MOTIVIC INTEGRATION ON BERKOVICH SPACES OVER TRIVIALLY VALUED FIELDS

TOMMASO DE FERNEX AND CHUNG CHING LAU

ABSTRACT. We define a motivic measure on the Berkovich analytification of an algebraic variety defined over a trivially valued field, and introduce motivic integration in this setting. The construction is geometric with a similar spirit as Kontsevich's original definition, and leads to the formulation of a functorial theory which mirrors, in this aspect, the approach of Cluckers and Loeser via constructibe motivic functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivic integration was introduced by Kontsevich in 1995 [Kon95] and later developed by Denef and Loeser in [DL99]; see also [Bat99, DL02, Loo02, Rei02] for further developments and applications to stringy invariants. Following these pioneer works, more advanced theories of integration were later discovered using model theory. Among them, we recall the theory of constructible motivic functions due to Cluckers and Loeser [CL08, CL10]. According to this theory, performing motivic integration corresponds to taking push-forward to a point, and pushing forward along other morphisms can be interpreted as integration along the fibers. A key feature of Cluckers–Loeser's theory is the functoriality of push-forward, which in turns leads to a Fubini type theorem.

In this paper, we propose a new approach to motivic integration which aims to maintain the same geometric flavor of the original construction while reproducing some of the features of [CL08]. The goal is to offer a concrete approach which does not rely on model theory but rather on geometric properties such as resolution of singularities and the weak factorization theorem. Berkovich spaces are used in place of arc spaces, and the resulting theory can be viewed as a combination of motivic and Lebesgue measures, reflecting a unique feature of Berkovich analytifications whose topology is a blend of Zariski and Euclidean topologies.

The following paragraphs provide an overview of the main contents of the paper.

Measure and integration. The original definition of motivic integration is purely geometric. Working on a smooth variety X, one assigns a motivic volume to cylinders in the arc space X_{∞} of X, and integrate functions of the form $\mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(Z)}$ where $Z \subset X$ is a closed subscheme. Here, $\mathbb{L} := [\mathbb{A}^1_X]$ is the Lefschetz motive, and the function $\operatorname{ord}(Z) \colon X_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined by $\alpha \mapsto \operatorname{ord}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{I}_Z)$ where $\mathcal{I}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal sheaf of Z. The motivic integral $\int_{X_{\infty}} \mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(Z)} d\mu^{X_{\infty}}$ is expressed as an infinite sum by stratifying the arc space (away from a set of measure zero) as a union of cylinders where the function takes constant values. It

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14E18, Secondary 12J25.

Key words and phrases. Motivic integration, Berkovich analytification, valuation space.

The research of the first author was partially supported by NSF Grants DMS-1700769 and DMS-2001254. The research of the second author was partially supported by a Croucher Foundation Fellowship.

Compiled on October 3, 2021. Filename motivic-20-1216.

follows by resolution of singularities that the integral can be realized in the localization of the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)$ at the classes $[\mathbb{P}^a_X]$ of all projective spaces.

Here we propose a similar approach where the arc space X_{∞} of the variety X is replaced by its analytification X^{an} in the sense of Berkovich [Ber90]. There are some advantages in performing integration over X^{an} that are discussed below. The focus of this paper is on the case where the variety X is defined over a field with trivial norm, a setting that is in line with motivic integration on arc spaces, but a similar theory can be developed when X is defined over a nontrivially valued field (the function field of a discrete valuation ring, to be precise), which relates more closely, for instance, to [LS03, HK06]; this setting will be treated in a forthcoming paper. We will mostly restrict to the space of real valuations $X^{val} \subset X^{an}$; we will discuss a way to extend the measure in a meaningful way to the space $X^{\Box} \subset X^{an}$ of semi-valuations centered in X, and explain the obstruction to extend it nontrivially to the whole Berkovich space X^{an} when X is not proper.

The approach relies on the approximation of X^{val} via certain skeleta, which are fans of quasi-monomial valuations [Ber99, Thu07]. While this should be reminiscent of the original approach to motivic integration based on the approximation of arc spaces via jet schemes, the two approximations are actually quite different in nature, with the approximation of X^{val} by skeleta more in line, in fact, with the construction of the Riemann–Zariski space.

To get into some details, every log resolution $\pi: X_{\pi} \to X$, paired with a simple normal crossing divisor $D_{\pi} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} D_i$ containing the exceptional locus, determines a set $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \subset X^{\mathrm{val}}$ of quasi-monomial valuations, called a 'skeleton', via the toroidal structure given by the embedding of $X_{\pi} \setminus D_{\pi}$. This set Sk_{π} has a natural fan structure indexed by the subsets $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$, and can be identified with the cone over the dual complex $\mathcal{D}(D_{\pi})$ associated to D_{π} . The valuation space X^{val} is the inverse limit of retraction maps $r_{\pi}: X^{\mathrm{val}} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$. Log discrepancies (more precisely, Mather log discrepancies, if X is singular) determine a function \widehat{A}_X on X^{val} that is linear homogeneous on the faces $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ of Sk_{π} . This function is modeled over the log discrepancy function A_X constructed in [JM12, BdFFU15]. A technical requirement here is that all resolutions π must factor through the Nash blow-up of X.

The Lebesgue measure naturally defined on each face $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$, rescaled by $e^{-\widehat{A}_X}$ and weighted by the motive $[D_I^\circ]$ of the stratum $D_I^\circ := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} D_j$, defines a measure on Sk_{π} . These measures, defined for all π , glue together to a measure μ_X on X^{val} which gives a motivic volume on 'cylinders' $r_{\pi}^{-1}(S)$ where $S \subset \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi}$ is any measurable set. Explicitly, for any such set we have

$$\mu_X(r_{\pi}^{-1}(S)) = \sum_{I} [D_I^{\circ}] \int_{S \cap \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} e^{-\sum a_i x_i} dx_1 \dots dx_{|I|}$$

where the x_i are suitable Euclidean coordinates on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ and $a_i = \operatorname{ord}_{D_i}(\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi})$. One can interpret this measure as a motivically weighted pull-back of suitably normalized Lebesgue measures on all tropicalizations of the underlying variety X. The measure μ_X takes values in the ring $\operatorname{MR}_X := (K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)/(\mathbb{L}-1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Taking Euler characteristics, one gets a measure with values in \mathbb{R} .

A similar approach leads to the definitions of integrable motivic functions and motivic integrals. Real valued functions on X^{val} provide an already interesting example of motivic functions. For instance, every closed subscheme $Z \subset X$ determines a function $|\mathcal{I}_Z|: X^{\text{val}} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $x \mapsto |\mathcal{I}_Z|_x$. This function is integrable and so is any positive real power of it. If $\pi: X_{\pi} \to X$ is a log resolution of (X, Z) and $\mathcal{I}_Z \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}}(-b_i D_i)$, then for every t > 0 we have

$$\int_{X^{\mathrm{val}}} |\mathcal{I}_Z|^t \cdot d\mu_X = \sum_I [D_I^\circ] \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} e^{-\sum (a_i + tb_i)x_i} dx_1 \dots dx_{|I|}$$

where, as before, $a_i = \operatorname{ord}_{D_i}(\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi})$. Thinking of elements of X^{val} as valuations, the integral can be equivalently written in the form $\int_{X^{\operatorname{val}}} e^{-t \operatorname{ord}(Z)} d\mu_X$, a notation that makes the connection to the usual motivic integration more evident.

Showing that the measure and the integral are well defined requires a proof, but once this is done, properties like the change-of-variables formula follow immediately: if $h: Y \to X$ is a resolution of singularities and $h^{\text{val}}: Y^{\text{val}} \to X^{\text{val}}$ is the induced function (a bijection, in this case), then for any integrable motivic function f on X^{val} we have

$$\int_{X^{\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X = \int_{Y^{\text{val}}} (f \circ h^{\text{val}}) \left| \text{Jac}_h \right| d\mu_Y$$

via the natural push-forward $h_*: M\mathbb{R}_Y \to M\mathbb{R}_X$.

Functoriality. The class of integrable motivic functions considered above, which we denote by $IMF(X^{val})$, is defined via a direct limit construction using pull-back maps along the natural retraction maps between skeleta. In order to provide a functorial theory, we need enlarge this class using an inverse limit construction via push-forward maps. The resulting class of functions is denoted by $IMG(X^{val})$. This requires some additional bookkeeping. At the finite level, when tracing the functions on the skeleton Sk_{π} determined by a log resolution $\pi: X_{\pi} \to X$, we need to keep track of information coming from higher resolutions. For this reason, we work with certain vector functions, and refer to elements in $IMG(X^{val})$ as integrable motivic Functions, with a capital F.

More generally, we define a class of integrable motivic Functions $I_B MG(X^{val})$ on X^{val} , where the symbol *B* represents a 'base' which can be a variety dominated by *X*, the analytification of such a variety, or something in between. Integrability is intended relatively to such a base. The notation $IMG(X^{val})$ is reserved for the case where B = X.

The class of integrable motivic functions is stable under push-forward. Given a dominant morphism of varieties $b: X \to Y$, and working over a common base B, we define a push-forward map $b_!: I_BMG(X^{val}) \to I_BMG(Y^{val})$ and prove functoriality. As in [CL08], which has served as a guide and inspiration for this part of the paper, push-forwards are interpreted as integrations along fibers, and functoriality as a Fubini theorem.

Taking $B = Y^{\text{val}}$, we have the projection formula

$$b_!(b^*(f) \cdot \boldsymbol{g}) = f \cdot b_!(\boldsymbol{g})$$

where $\boldsymbol{g} \in I_{Y^{\text{val}}} MG(X^{\text{val}})$ and f is any motivic function on Y^{val} . Motivic integration over X is realized by taking B = X and setting

$$\int_{X^{\mathrm{val}}} \boldsymbol{g} \, d\mu_X := t_X(\boldsymbol{g})$$

for any $\boldsymbol{g} \in \text{IMG}(X^{\text{val}})$, where $t_X \colon \text{IMG}(X^{\text{val}}) \to M\mathbb{R}_X$ is the corresponding push-forward map. The two approaches are related via a naturally defined inclusion $\text{IMF}(X^{\text{val}}) \hookrightarrow \text{IMG}(X^{\text{val}})$ that is compatible with the respective definitions of integrals. Atomic approach. Neither the original definition of motivic integration nor the theory of Cluckers and Loeser require working modulo $\mathbb{L} - 1$. This is however necessary in the approach followed in this paper where certain motivic denominators (classes of projective spaces) that appear in the usual motivic integral are absorbed as integral denominators (the Euler characteristic of those projective spaces) that are now coming out of the Lebesgue component of the measure. This is what allows us to enlarge the algebra of measurable sets to the extent done in this paper and work with real valued functions such as $e^{-\operatorname{ord}(Z)}$. In fact, one can push this point of view even further by taking Euler characteristics and working exclusively with real valued functions. Another advantage of this approach is that it does not require taking any localization of the Gronthendieck ring.

Using an atomic approach that is more line with with [CL08], it is possible to develop a parallel theory of motivic integration on Berkovich spaces where the measure takes values in the same motivic ring as the original theory of motivic integration without needing to work modulo $\mathbb{L} - 1$. This comes at the cost of significantly reducing the algebra of measurable sets and requires integrating functions that looks more like $\mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(Z)}$, just like in the usual motivic integration. However, it has the advantage to fully recover the original motivic integration. This alternative approach is discussed in the last section.

2. Preliminaries on Berkovich analytification

Throughout this section, we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. We regard k as being equipped with the trivial norm.

2.1. Berkovich analytification. The Berkovich analytification X^{an} of a scheme X over k is a topological space parameterizing multiplicative seminorms on X that are trivial on k (this space carries an analytic structure, but we will not use this). For the convenience of the reader, we briefly recall the construction. We refer to [Ber90, Thu07] for further details.

For any affine scheme $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ over k, X^{an} is defined to be the set of multiplicative seminorms $| |_x$ on A that restrict to the trivial norm on k. It is endowed with the weakest topology such that for any given $f \in A$, the map $| |_x \mapsto |f|_x$ is continuous. We denote by $\pi_X \colon X^{\operatorname{an}} \to X$ the continuous map sending a seminorm to its kernel. If X is affine and $U \subset X$ is any open subscheme, then we define $U^{\operatorname{an}} := \pi_X^{-1}(U)$ with the induced topology. Note that this is compatible with the above definition if U is affine. For an arbitrary scheme X over k, we choose an open affine cover $\{U_i\}$ and define X^{an} by gluing the spaces U_i^{an} along $(U_i \cap U_j)^{\operatorname{an}}$. The projections π_{U_i} also glue together to give a continuous map $\pi_X \colon X^{\operatorname{an}} \to X$. We write x when we think of a multiplicative seminorm $| |_x$ as a point of X^{an} , and denote by $\xi_x \in X$ its image via π_X . The point x can be equivalently viewed as a real valuation $v_x := -\log | |_x$ of the residue field $k(\xi_x)$ of ξ_x . We can therefore think of a point x of the Berkovich analytification of X as a pair (ξ_x, v_x) .

We denote by $X^{\Box} \subset X^{\operatorname{an}}$ the compact analytic subspace consisting of the points $x = (\xi_x, v_x)$ such that the valuation v_x of $k(\xi_x)$ has center in the closure of ξ_x in X; we say for short that v_x has center in X, and denote the center by $c_X(v_x)$. Note that $X^{\Box} = X^{\operatorname{an}}$ whenever X is proper over k. When X is a variety, we denote by $X^{\operatorname{bir}} \subset X^{\operatorname{an}}$ the inverse image of the generic point of X under the map π_X , and let $X^{\operatorname{val}} := X^{\operatorname{bir}} \cap X^{\Box}$. This is the set of real valuations on the function field of X with center in X; we will sometimes denote its points simply by v_x . The construction of these spaces is functorial. In particular, any morphism of schemes $p: X \to Y$ over k induces maps $p^{\operatorname{an}} \colon X^{\operatorname{an}} \to Y^{\operatorname{an}}$ and $p^{\Box} \colon X^{\Box} \to Y^{\Box}$,

and if p is a dominant morphism of varieties then these maps restrict to a map $p^{\text{val}} \colon X^{\text{val}} \to Y^{\text{val}}$.

2.2. Skeleta and quasi-monomial valuations. Let X be an algebraic variety over k. We start by fixing some terminology.

Definition 2.1. A simple normal crossing (snc) model (X_{π}, D_{π}) over X is given by a log resolution $\pi: X_{\pi} \to X$ and a reduced simple normal crossing divisor D_{π} on X_{π} such that $\pi: X_{\pi} \to X$ factors through the Nash blow-up of X, D_{π} contains the exceptional locus of π , and every stratum of D_{π} is irreducible. We refer to D_{π} as the boundary divisor of the snc model. A snc model (X_{π}, D_{π}) is said to be a log resolution of a non-zero ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ if $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}}$ is a locally principal ideal cosupported within the support of D_{π} .

Remark 2.2. The condition that π factors through the Nash blow-up is to ensure that the Jacobian ideal $\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi} := \operatorname{Fitt}^0(\Omega_{X_{\pi}/X}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}}$ is locally principal. This will become useful in order to compare Jacobian ideals on different snc models in terms of combinatorial information attached to their respective boundary divisors.

It will be convenient to enlarge the class of snc models to allow singularities away from the divisor.

Definition 2.3. A local snc model (X_{π}, D_{π}) over X is given by a proper birational morphism $\pi: X_{\pi} \to X$ and a divisor D_{π} on X_{π} such that X is covered by two open sets V and W with the following properties:

- (1) $\operatorname{Supp}(D_{\pi}) \subset \pi^{-1}(V)$ and $(\pi^{-1}(V), D_{\pi})$ is a snc model over V, and
- (2) the restriction of π to $\pi^{-1}(W)$ gives an isomorphism $\pi^{-1}(W) \cong W$.

The main reason for enlarging the class from snc models to local snc models is to include the variety X itself, with the identity map $id_X \colon X \to X$ and empty boundary divisor. While for most of the paper this is not needed and one can restrict to working with snc models, allowing the identity map $id_X \colon X \to X$ to be counted as a model will come useful in Section 5 in order to realize motivic integrals as a push-forwards.

We use the symbol π to denote a local snc model and not just the underlying morphism, and hence write $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D_{\pi}) \to X$. To avoid cluttering the notation, we will typically drop the label π from the divisor and just write $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ for the model. We stress, however, that even if the label π has been removed from the notation, fixing π means that we are fixing the divisor D as well.

Definition 2.4. Given a morphism of varieties $p: X \to Y$, a morphism between two local snc models $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ and $\sigma: (Y_{\sigma}, E) \to Y$ is a morphism $q: X_{\pi} \to Y_{\sigma}$ such that $\sigma \circ q = p \circ \pi$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(q^*E) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. We write $q: (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$.

Definition 2.5. Given two local snc models $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ and $\pi': (X_{\pi'}, D') \to X$, we say that $(X_{\pi'}, D')$ dominates (X_{π}, D) , and write $(X_{\pi'}, D') \ge (X_{\pi}, D)$ or $\pi' \ge \pi$, if there is a morphism of local snc models $\alpha: (X_{\pi'}, D') \to (X_{\pi}, D)$, that is, a proper birational morphism $\alpha: X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$ such that $\pi' = \pi \circ \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(\alpha^* D) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D')$. We say that such a map α is a smooth transversal blow-up if α is the blow-up of a smooth center contained in the smooth locus of X_{π} that is transversal to D, and D' is the sum of the proper transform of D and the exceptional divisor. (We recall that a subvariety W of a smooth variety V is said to be transversal to a simple normal crossing divisor E if in the formal neighborhood at any closed point, W and E are locally defined by monomial equations in the same system of coordinates.)

If (X_{π}, D) is a local snc model over X and $D = \sum_{i=1}^{r} D_i$ is the decomposition into irreducible components, then for every $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ we denote

$$D_I := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i$$
 and $D_I^\circ := \bigcap_{i \in I} D_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \notin I} D_j$

with the convention that $D_{\emptyset} = X_{\pi}$ and $D_{\emptyset}^{\circ} = X_{\pi} \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$. Note that, according to our definition, D_I is irreducible.

Following [Thu07, JM12], every local snc model $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ determines a set $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \subset X^{\mathrm{val}}$, called the *skeleton* of X^{val} associated to (X_{π}, D) , whose elements are monomial valuations on X_{π} with respect to its toroidal structure (this set is denoted $\mathrm{QM}(X_{\pi}, D)$ in [JM12]). If $\pi = \mathrm{id}_X$ (with empty divisor), then $\mathrm{Sk}_{\mathrm{id}_X}$ only consists of one point, the trivial valuation of k(X). For any index set I, we denote by $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I} \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ the set of quasimonomial valuations centered at the generic point of D_I . There are natural and compatible deformation retraction maps $r_{\pi}: X^{\mathrm{val}} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ and $r_{\pi'\pi}: \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$, and $X^{\mathrm{val}} = \varprojlim_{\pi} \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$. For every $\pi' \geq \pi$ there is an inclusion $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$ of subsets of X^{val} . The elements of $X^{\mathrm{qm}} := \bigcup_{\pi} \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ are by definition the quasi-monomial valuations on X.

Definition 2.6. For every I, we call $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ a face of Sk_{π} . A subset $R \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ is said to be a potential face of Sk_{π} if there is a local snc model $\pi' \geq \pi$ such that R is a face $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I'}$ of $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$ under the natural inclusion $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$. The dimension of a face is its dimension over \mathbb{R} . The relative codimension of a potential face $R \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ is the codimension of R inside the face $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I} \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ containing R.

Definition 2.7. We say that π' is a *refinement* of π if $\pi' \ge \pi$ and $Sk_{\pi'} = Sk_{\pi}$ as subsets of X^{val} .

Remark 2.8. Every potential face of Sk_{π} is contained in a face $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$, and that any potential face of Sk_{π} can be realized as a face of $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$ for some refinement $\pi' \geq \pi$. Note also that π' is a refinement of π if and only if the induced map $\alpha \colon X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$ is the composition of a sequence of blow-ups of strata.

Given any $\pi' \geq \pi$, we write $I' \succeq I$ whenever the generic point of a stratum $D_{I'}^{\circ}$ maps to a point of a stratum D_{I}° . Note that this is equivalent to having $r_{\pi'\pi}(D_{I'}^{\circ}) \subset D_{I}^{\circ}$, and also to the condition that the map $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I'} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ induced by $r_{\pi'\pi}$ factors through $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$.

If $g: X' \to X$ is a proper birational morphism of varieties and (X_{π}, D) is a local snc model over both X and X', then Sk_{π} can be viewed both as a subset of X^{val} and a subset of $(X')^{\text{val}}$ via the natural identification given by the induced map $g^{\text{val}}: (X')^{\text{val}} \to X^{\text{val}}$.

The closure of Sk_{π} in X^{\beth} is denoted by $\overline{\mathrm{Sk}}_{\pi}$ and is called the *skeleton* of X^{\beth} associated to (X_{π}, D) . Note that, differently from Sk_{π} , the closure $\overline{\mathrm{Sk}}_{\pi} \subset X^{\beth}$ does depend on the model X. It is proven in [Thu07] that the retractions r_{π} extend to deformation retractions $\overline{r}_{\pi} : X^{\beth} \to \overline{\mathrm{Sk}}_{\pi}$ and $X^{\beth} = \varprojlim_{\pi} \overline{\mathrm{Sk}}_{\pi}$, but we will not use this.

2.3. Mather log discrepancy function. The condition that X_{π} dominates the Nash blow-up of X means that the Jacobian ideal $\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}}$ is locally principal. If $X_{\pi'}$ is any other local snc model dominating X_{π} via a morphism α , then $\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi'} = \operatorname{Jac}_{\pi} \cdot \operatorname{Jac}_{\alpha}$ (this formula relies on the fact that the exceptional locus of π is contained in the smooth locus of X_{π}). For every divisor E on X_{π} , the *Mather discrepancy* of X along E is defined to be the integer $\hat{k}_E := \operatorname{ord}_E(\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi})$. The following property is a variant of similar results from [JM12, BdFFU15]. **Proposition 2.9.** There is a unique lower-semicontinuous function $\widehat{A}_X : X^{\text{val}} \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $\widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_E) = \widehat{k}_E(X) + 1$ for every divisorial valuation val_E on X;
- (2) \widehat{A}_X is continuous on Sk_{π} for all π ;
- (3) $\widehat{A}_X = \sup_{\pi} \widehat{A}_X \circ \overline{r}_{\pi}.$

This function is homogeneous with respect to rescaling of valuations (i.e., $\widehat{A}_X(t \cdot v) = t \cdot \widehat{A}_X(v)$ for every $v \in X^{\text{val}}$ and every $t \ge 0$), is finite on Sk_{π} for every local snc model π , and is positive away from the trivial valuation.

Proof. Let π_0 be a local snc model, and let $\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi_0} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}}$ be the Jacobian ideal. Using the natural identification $X_{\pi_0}^{\operatorname{val}} \simeq X^{\operatorname{val}}$, we define $\widehat{A}_X := A_{X_{\pi_0}} + \operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi_0})$ where $A_{X_{\pi_0}}$ is the log discrepancy function defined in [JM12]. For every divisorial valuation ord_E , if E is a divisor on a local snc model $\pi \geq \pi_0$ and $\alpha \colon X_{\pi} \to X_{\pi_0}$ is the induced map, then $A_{X_{\pi_0}}(\operatorname{val}_E) = \operatorname{val}_E(\operatorname{Jac}_{\alpha}) + 1$ by [JM12, Proposition 5.1], hence the equality $\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi} = \operatorname{Jac}_{\pi_0} \cdot \operatorname{Jac}_{\alpha}$ implies that $\widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_E) = \operatorname{val}_E(\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi}) + 1$, which gives (1). The function $\operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{Jac}_{\pi_0})$ is homogeneous and continuous by the definition of the topology on $X_{\pi_0}^{\operatorname{val}}$, and the proposition follow easily from the properties of the function $A_{X_{\pi_0}}$ listed in [JM12, Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.7] and the fact that this function is homogeneous and strictly positive on divisorial valuations. The properties just established for the function \widehat{A}_X , in conjunction with the property in (1), imply that the definition of \widehat{A}_X does not depend on the choice of model π_0 .

Definition 2.10. We call \hat{A}_X the Mather log discrepancy function.

3. MOTIVIC MEASURE

The purpose of this section is to define a motivic measure on X^{val} where X is an algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero.

We start by defining the motivic ring in which the measure will take values.

3.1. Motivic ring. Let S be a scheme. We denote by $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_S)$ the Grothendieck ring generated by isomorphism classes $[V]_S$ of separated schemes V of finite type over S modulo the relation $[V]_S = [V \setminus Z]_S + [Z]_S$ whenever $Z \subset V$ is a closed subscheme, with product defined by $[V]_S \cdot [W]_S := [V \times_S W]_S$. In this ring, we have $0 = [\emptyset]_S$ and $1 = [S]_S$. We denote by \mathbb{L}_S the class of \mathbb{A}^1_S .

In this paper, we work with the following version of motivic ring:

$$\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_S := \left(K_0(\mathrm{Var}_S) / (\mathbb{L}_S - 1) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}.$$

The element of $M\mathbb{R}_S$ determined by a scheme V over S is still be denoted by $[V]_S$, or simply by [V] if the base S is clear from the context. When $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, we just write $M\mathbb{R}_k$.

Any morphism of varieties $p: S \to T$ induces a push-forward group homomorphism $p_*: K_0(\operatorname{Var}_S) \to K_0(\operatorname{Var}_T)$ defined by mapping a class $[V]_S$ of a scheme V over S to the class $[V]_T$ of V viewed as a scheme over T. Since $[\mathbb{A}_S^1]_T - [S]_T = ([\mathbb{A}_T^1]_T - [T]_T) \cdot [S]_T$ in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_T)$, this induces a push-forward group homomorphism $p_*: \operatorname{MR}_S \to \operatorname{MR}_T$. The morphism p also induces a pull-back group homomorphism $p^*: \operatorname{MR}_T \to \operatorname{MR}_S$ given by $[V]_T \mapsto [V \times_T S]_S$.

Remark 3.1. The motivic ring used in the usual (geometric) motivic integration is different from $M\mathbb{R}_S$ but, as we shall discuss later, the two are closely related. More precisely, $M\mathbb{R}_S$

is closely related to the ring $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_S)[[\mathbb{P}^a_S]^{-1}]_{a\geq 1}$ where usual motivic integrals can be shown to take values. Intuitively, by setting $\mathbb{L}_S = 1$ and allowing rational coefficients, the denominators $[\mathbb{P}^a_S]$ appearing in the above localization get encoded in $M\mathbb{R}_S$ as rational coefficients.

3.2. Measure. In Section 2.3, we introduced the Mather discrepancy function \widehat{A}_X on X^{val} . This function is piecewise linear on each Sk_{π} , in the following sense. Let $I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$ be such that $D_I \neq \emptyset$. Assuming $I \neq \emptyset$, once the indices i_1, \ldots, i_s are ordered, there is a canonical isomorphism $\psi_{\pi,I} \colon \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^s_{>0}$ given by $v \mapsto (v(D_{i_1}), \ldots, v(D_{i_s}))$, and \widehat{A}_X is the linear function on $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ determined by the conditions $\widehat{A}_X(\mathrm{val}_{D_i}) = \widehat{a}_{D_i}(X)$. Under this isomorphism, the Lebesgue measure ν on $\mathbb{R}^s_{>0}$ induces a measure $\psi^*_{\pi,I}(\nu)$ on $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$. After rescaling by $e^{-\widehat{A}_X}$, we define the measure

$$\nu_{\pi,I} := e^{-\widehat{A}_X} \cdot \psi_{\pi,I}^*(\nu)$$

on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$. Note that $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ is a σ -finite measurable space under this measure. If x_1, \ldots, x_s are the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^s , then we also define the form

$$\omega_{\pi,I} := e^{-\widehat{A}_X} \cdot \psi_{\pi,I}^* (dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_s)$$

on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$. We have $|\omega_{\pi,I}| = d\nu_{\pi,I}$. If $I = \emptyset$ then $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ is just a point; in this case we extend the above notation using the convention that $\mathbb{R}^0_{>0} = \{0\}$. We set $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I} = \emptyset$ if $D_I = \emptyset$. The decomposition $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi} = \bigsqcup_I \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ determines a fan structure on Sk_{π} .

Let now $R \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ be any potential face. Pick a local snc model $\pi' \geq \pi$ such that $R = \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I'}$ for some I', and consider the form $\omega_R := \omega_{\pi',I'}$ and the measure $\nu_R := \nu_{\pi',I'}$. It is immediate to verify that these definitions are independent of the choice of model π' . The form ω_R is only well-defined up to sign, which depends on the order of the indices in I', and we have $|\omega_R| = d\nu_R$. Stating the obvious, we stress that whenever $R \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ is an actual face $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$, we can take $\omega_R = \omega_{\pi,I}$, and we have $\nu_R = \nu_{\pi,I}$.

Using these volume forms, we define a σ -algebra of measurable sets on $Sk_{\pi,I}$, as follows.

Definition 3.2. A subset $S \subset Sk_{\pi}$ is *measurable* if for every potential face $R \subset Sk_{\pi}$ the set $S \cap R$ is measurable with respect to the measure ν_R . We denote by $\Sigma(Sk_{\pi})$ the collection of measurable subsets $S \subset Sk_{\pi}$. Then we define

$$\Sigma_{\pi}(X^{\mathrm{val}}) := \{ r_{\pi}^{-1}(S) \subset X^{\mathrm{val}} \mid S \in \Sigma(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}) \}.$$

Finally, we define the measure μ_{π} on $\Sigma_{\pi}(X^{\text{val}})$ with values in $M\mathbb{R}_X$ by setting

$$\mu_{\pi}(r_{\pi}^{-1}(S)) := \sum_{I} [D_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \nu_{\pi,I}(S \cap \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I})$$

for every $S \in \Sigma(Sk_{\pi})$.

Remark 3.3. Imposing measurability conditions on all potential faces and not just on the faces of Sk_{π} is natural once one realizes that any potential face is a face on $Sk_{\pi'}$ for some refinement π' of π , and $Sk_{\pi'} = Sk_{\pi}$ as subsets of X^{val} .

Setting $a_i := \widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_{D_i})$, we have

$$\mu_{\pi}(r_{\pi}^{-1}(S)) = \sum_{I} [D_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S \cap \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I})} e^{-\sum_{i \in I} a_{i} x_{i}} dx_{1} \dots dx_{s}.$$

Theorem 3.4. Denoting $\Sigma(X^{\text{val}}) := \bigcup_{\pi} \Sigma_{\pi}(X^{\text{val}})$ where the union is taken over all local snc models $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$, the measures μ_{π} glue together to give a function

$$\mu_X \colon \Sigma(X^{\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_X$$
.

We postpone the proof of this theorem to Section 3.4.

Definition 3.5. We call μ_X the *motivic measure* on X^{val} , and $\Sigma(X^{\text{val}})$ the algebra of measurable subsets of X^{val} .

Remark 3.6. $\Sigma(X^{\text{val}})$ is a Boleean algebra under the operations of sets, and μ_X is additive on finite disjoint sums. Note, however, that $\Sigma(X^{\text{val}})$ is not a σ -algebra.

3.3. Extended motivic measure. It is natural to extend the measure μ_X to a measure on X^{\Box} by setting the measure equal to the trivial measure on the complement $X^{\Box} \setminus X^{\text{val}}$. For all purposes, one can pretend that the theory of integration introduced in this paper is in fact developed over X^{\Box} .

Setting the measure equal to zero on $X^{\beth} \setminus X^{\text{val}}$ is consistent with the fact that for every π the function \widehat{A}_X admits a continuous extension from any skeleton Sk_{π} to its closure $\overline{\mathrm{Sk}}_{\pi}$ where the value on $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \setminus \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ is set equal to ∞ , hence $e^{-\widehat{A}_X}$ vanishes over there. Extending the measure in this way, we have that for every proper closed subscheme $Y \subsetneq X$, the set $Y^{\square} \subset X^{\square}$ is a measurable set of measure zero. This is in analogy with the fact that $Y_{\infty} \subset X_{\infty}$, being the intersection of a sequence of measurable sets whose measure converges to zero in the motivic ring $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_X$, can be regarded as a set of measure zero from the point of view of motivic integration.

There is however a different way of extending the measure to X^{\square} so that it gives a nontrivial measure on the complement $X^{\square} \setminus X^{\text{val}}$. This can be done by supplementing the rescaling factor $e^{-\hat{A}_X}$, which vanishes over $X^{\square} \setminus X^{\text{val}}$, with the functions $e^{-\hat{A}_V}$ where V ranges among the subvarieties of X. The point is that, set theoretically, $X^{\square} = \bigsqcup_{V \subset X} V^{\text{val}}$ where the union is taken over all closed subvarieties $V \subset X$.

Definition 3.7. We define the *extended motivic ring* to be $\widetilde{\operatorname{MR}}_X := \prod_{V \subset X} \operatorname{MR}_V$ where the union is taken over all closed subvarieties $V \subset X$. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}(X^{\beth})$ be the collection of all sets $T \subset X^{\square}$ such that $T \cap V^{\operatorname{val}} \in \Sigma(V^{\operatorname{val}})$ for every closed subvariety $V \subset X$. We define the *extended motivic measure* $\widetilde{\mu}_X$ on X^{\square} by setting $\widetilde{\mu}_X(T) := (\mu_V(T \cap V^{\operatorname{val}}))_{V \subset X}$ for every $T \in \widetilde{\Sigma}(X)$.

Entries $\mu_V(T \cap V^{\text{val}})$, for $V \subsetneq X$, can be thought as the 'infinitesimal' contributions to the measure, with the partial order given by inclusion yielding a partial order between these 'infinitesimal' quantities. There is no natural way, however, of extending nontrivially the measure from X^{\square} to the whole Berkovich space X^{an} .

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.4. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\alpha: (X_{\pi'}, D') \to (X_{\pi}, D)$ be a smooth transversal blow-up. Let $C \subset X_{\pi}$ be the center of blow-up, and write $D' = \sum_{i=0}^{r} D'_i$ where D'_0 is the exceptional divisor of α and $D'_i = f_*^{-1}D_i$ for i > 0. Then for every $S \subset \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi}$ we have $S \in \Sigma(\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi})$ if and only if $r_{\pi'\pi}^{-1}(S) \in \Sigma(\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi'})$, and the two measures μ_{π} and $\mu_{\pi'}$ agree on $r_{\pi}^{-1}(S)$.

Proof. Throughout the proof, we abuse notation and write $\mu_{\pi}(S)$ instead of $\mu_{\pi}(r_{\pi}^{-1}(S))$. By additivity, it suffices to consider the case where $S \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ for some $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$. After reindexing, we can assume that $I = \{1, \ldots, s\}$ where s = |I|. For every subset $J \subset I$,

we associate the set $\tilde{J} := \{0\} \cup J \subset \{0, 1, \dots, s\}$. Finally, let $c = \operatorname{codim}(C, X_{\pi})$. Let $C_I^\circ := C \cap D_I^\circ$. If $C_I^\circ = \emptyset$, then $r_{\pi'\pi}^{-1}(\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}) = \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}$, the map $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I} \to \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ is an isomorphism preserving the measure given by the isomorphisms $\psi_{\pi,I}$ and $\psi_{\pi',I}$ and α identifies D_I° with D_I° . Thus, the result follows.

Assume that $C_I^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. After reindexing I, we can assume that there is an integer $t \in \{0, \ldots, s\}$, such that $C \subset D_i$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq t$. Note that $\operatorname{codim}(C_I^\circ, D_I^\circ) = c - t$, and t = 0 if and only if $C \not\subset \text{Supp}(D)$. Recall that we write $I' \succeq I$ if $\alpha(D_{I'}^{\circ}) \subset D_I^{\circ}$. We have $I' \succeq I$ if and only if either I' = I or $I' = \widetilde{J}$ where $J \subset I$ is a subset containing $\{t+1,\ldots,s\}.$

(1) First, observe that α restricts to an isomorphism $D_I^{\circ} \cong D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ}$. Thus,

$$[D_I^{\prime \circ}] = [D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ}]$$

in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)/(\mathbb{L}_X-1)$.

Let F be a fiber of $D'_0 \to C$ over any closed point of C_I° . Note that $F \cong \mathbb{P}_k^{c-1}$. The divisors D'_i , for $t < i \leq s$, contain F. On the other hand, the divisor $\sum_{i=1}^t D'_i$ restricts to a simple normal crossing divisor E on F, and writing $E = \sum_{i=1}^{t} E_i$ where $E_i = D'_i|_F$, the pair (F, E) has a unique minimal stratum $E_I \cong \mathbb{P}_k^{c-1-t}$. For every subset $J \subset I$ that contains $\{t+1,\ldots,s\}$, the map $D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\circ} \to C_{I}^{\circ}$ is a locally trivial fibration with fiber E_{J}° . For these J's, we have:

(2) If |J| = s (i.e., J = I), then $E_J^{\circ} \cong \mathbb{P}_k^{c-t-1}$, hence

$$D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\circ}] = [\mathbb{P}_k^{c-t-1} \times_k C_I^{\circ}] = [\mathbb{P}_X^{c-t-1} \times_X C_I^{\circ}] = (c-t)[C_I^{\circ}]$$

in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)/(\mathbb{L}_X-1)$. In the last equality we used the fact that $\mathbb{L}_X=1$. (3) If |J| = s - 1, then $E_J^{\circ} \cong \mathbb{A}_k^{c-t}$, hence

$$[D_{\widetilde{J}}'^{\circ}] = [\mathbb{A}_k^{c-t} \times_k C_I^{\circ}] = [C_I^{\circ}]$$

in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)/(\mathbb{L}_X - 1)$. (4) If $|J| \leq s - 2$, then $E_J^\circ \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^{s-1-|J|} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{c-t}$, hence

$$[D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\prime\circ}] = [\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^{s-1-|J|} \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^{c-t} \times_k C_I^{\circ}] = 0$$

in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)/(\mathbb{L}_X-1)$.

Denoting $S' = r_{\pi'\pi}^{-1}(S)$ and setting $S'_{I'} := S' \cap \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I'}$ for every I', we have the decomposition $S' = \bigsqcup_{I' \succeq I} S'_{I'}$. The computation below will show that S is a measurable subset of $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ if and only if $S'_{I'}$ is a measurable subset of $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I'}$ for all $I' \succeq I$.

Recall that, by definition, $\mu_{\pi'}(S'_{I'}) = [D'_{I'}] \nu_{\pi,I}(S'_{I'})$ for every I'. It follows from (4) that $\mu_{\pi'}(S'_{\widetilde{J}}) = 0$ for all $J \subsetneq I$ with $|J| \le s - 2$, hence we have

$$\mu_{\pi'}(S') = \mu_{\pi'}(S'_I) + \mu_{\pi'}(S'_{\widetilde{I}}) + \sum_{j=1}^t \mu_{\pi'}(S'_{\widetilde{I} \setminus \{j\}})$$

We now analyze the terms in the right-hand-side of this equation. Regarding the first term, we have $\psi_{\pi',I}(S'_I) = \psi_{\pi,I}(S)$ in $\mathbb{R}^s_{>0}$, and hence

$$\mu_{\pi'}(S'_I) = [D'^{\circ}_I] \int_{S'_I} d\nu_{\pi',I} = [D^{\circ}_I \setminus C^{\circ}_I] \int_S d\nu_{\pi,I} = [D^{\circ}_I \setminus C^{\circ}_I] \,\mu_{\pi}(S_I).$$

The analysis of the other terms require a closer look at the integrals and the linear maps $\lambda_{\widetilde{J}} \colon \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|\widetilde{J}|} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|I|}$ defined by the retraction $r_{\pi'\pi}$ via the isomorphisms $\psi_{\pi,I} \colon \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|I|}$ and $\psi_{\pi',\widetilde{J}} \colon \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{J}} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{|\widetilde{J}|}$ (that is, $\lambda_{\widetilde{J}} := \psi_{\pi,I} \circ r_{\pi'\pi} \circ \psi_{\pi',\widetilde{J}}^{-1}$). First observe that $\alpha^* D_i = D'_i + D'_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $\alpha^* D_i = D'_i$ for $t + 1 < i \leq s$. Taking J = I, we see from these equations that the map $\lambda_{\widetilde{I}} \colon \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{s+1} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}^s$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} y_i = x_i + x_0 & \text{for } 1 \le i \le t, \\ y_i = x_i & \text{for } t + 1 < i \le s. \end{cases}$$
(3a)

Here the coordinates y_i and x_j are the coordinates of the target and domain respectively, indexed by the corresponding indexing sets, I and \tilde{I} . When $J = I \setminus \{j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, then the corresponding map $\lambda_{\tilde{I} \setminus \{j\}} \colon \mathbb{R}^s_{>0} \to \mathbb{R}^s_{>0}$ is given by the same equations in (3a) except for y_j which is set to 0 (note that the variable x_j is now omitted).

For every $0 \le i \le s$, we set $a_i := \widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_{D'_i})$. It follows from the equations for $\alpha^* D_i$ and the fact that $\operatorname{ord}_{D'_0}(\operatorname{Jac}_{\alpha}) = c - 1$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{D'_i}(\operatorname{Jac}_{\alpha}) = 0$ for $1 \le i \le s$ that $a_i = \widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_{D_i})$ for all $1 \le i \le s$ and $a_0 = c - t + \sum_{i=1}^t a_i$.

Case 1. Assume that $C \not\subset \text{Supp}(D)$, i.e., t = 0.

We start with the case J = I. We have $\widehat{A}_X(x_0, \ldots, x_s) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i x_i$ and $\widehat{A}_X(y_1, \ldots, y_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i y_i$ under the isomorphisms $\psi_{\pi', \widetilde{I}}$ and $\psi_{\pi, I}$, and hence

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\pi'}(S'_{\widetilde{I}}) &= [D_{\widetilde{I}}'^{\circ}] \int_{\psi_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}(S'_{\widetilde{I}})} e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{s} a_{i}x_{i}} dx_{0} dx_{1} \dots dx_{s} \\ &= c \left[C_{I}^{\circ}\right] \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-cx_{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dx_{0}\right) dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} \\ &= \left[C_{I}^{\circ}\right] \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} \\ &= \left[C_{I}^{\circ}\right] \nu_{\pi,I}(S). \end{split}$$

Combining with the computation done for the first term, this gives the required identity

$$\mu_{\pi'}(S') = ([D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ}] + [C_I^{\circ}]) \int_S d\nu_{\pi,I} = \mu_{\pi}(S).$$

Case 2. Assume that $C \subset \text{Supp}(D)$, i.e., $t \neq 0$.

We start by looking at the term with J = I. For every $i = 1, \ldots, t$, we let

$$\psi_{\pi,I}(S)_i := \psi_{\pi,I}(S) \cap \{(y_1, \dots, y_s) \mid y_i = \min\{y_1, \dots, y_t\}\}$$

Note that $\psi_{\pi,I}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \psi_{\pi,I}(S)_i$ and the union is a disjoint union away from a set of measure zero. By (3a), we may apply the change of variables $x_i = y_i - x_0$ for $1 \le i \le t$

11

and $x_i = y_i$ for $t + 1 \le i \le s$, and compute

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\pi'}(S'_{\tilde{I}}) &= [D_{\tilde{I}}^{\prime\circ}] \int_{\psi_{\pi',\tilde{I}}(S'_{\tilde{I}})} e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{s} a_{i}x_{i}} dx_{0} dx_{1} \dots dx_{s} \\ &= (c-t)[C_{I}^{\circ}] \int_{\psi_{\pi',\tilde{I}}(S'_{\tilde{I}})} e^{-(c-t)x_{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dx_{0} dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} \\ &= (c-t)[C_{I}^{\circ}] \sum_{j=1}^{t} \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)_{j}} \left(\int_{0}^{y_{j}} e^{-(c-t)x_{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dx_{0} \right) dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} \\ &= [C_{I}^{\circ}] \left(\int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)_{j}} e^{-(c-t)y_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} \right) \\ &= [C_{I}^{\circ}] \left(\nu_{\pi,I}(S) - \sum_{j=1}^{t} \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)_{j}} e^{-(c-t)y_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dy_{1} \dots dy_{s} \right). \end{split}$$

We look now at the terms where $J = I \setminus \{j\}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq t$. Note that, modulo a set of measure zero, $\lambda_{\tilde{I} \setminus \{j\}}$ sends $\psi_{\pi', \tilde{I} \setminus \{j\}}(S'_{\tilde{I} \setminus \{j\}})$ to $\psi_{\pi, I}(S)_j$ bijectively. Using the change of variable $x_0 = y_j$, $x_i = y_i - y_j$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, $i \neq j$, and $x_i = y_i$ for $t < i \leq s$ as given in (3a), we compute

$$\mu_{\pi'}(S'_{\tilde{I}\setminus\{j\}}) = [D'^{\circ}_{\tilde{I}\setminus\{j\}}] \int_{\psi_{\pi',\tilde{I}\setminus\{j\}}(S'_{\tilde{I}\setminus\{j\}})} e^{-a_0x_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i x_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^s a_i x_i} dx_0 dx_1 \dots \widehat{dx_j} \dots dx_s$$
$$= [C^{\circ}_I] \int_{\psi_{\pi,I}(S)_j} e^{-(c-t)y_j - \sum_{i=1}^s a_i y_i} dy_1 \dots dy_s.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. We need to show that, given two local snc models $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ and $\pi': (X_{\pi'}, D') \to X$, the measures μ_{π} and $\mu_{\pi'}$ agree on $\Sigma_{\pi}(X^{\text{val}}) \cap \Sigma_{\pi'}(X^{\text{val}})$. Let $T \in \Sigma_{\pi}(X^{\text{val}}) \cap \Sigma_{\pi'}(X^{\text{val}})$ be any element, and write $T = r_{\pi}^{-1}(S) = r_{\pi'}^{-1}(S')$ where $S \in \Sigma(\text{Sk}_{\pi})$ and $S' \in \Sigma(\text{Sk}_{\pi'})$.

The singular loci of X_{π} and $X_{\pi'}$ are disjoint from the supports of the divisors D and D', and we can resolve singularities without touching the divisors, and hence without changing the sets S and S'. Therefore, we can assume without loss of generality that both models are smooth.

The composition $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi' \colon X_{\pi'} \dashrightarrow X_{\pi}$ is a birational map. Let $U \subset X_{\pi} \setminus \text{Supp}(D)$ and $U' \subset X_{\pi'} \setminus \text{Supp}(D')$ be nonempty open sets such that this map restricts to an isomorphism $U' \cong U$. Using existence of resolutions of marked ideals [Wło05], where we mark the defining ideals of the complements $X_{\pi} \setminus U$ and $X_{\pi'} \setminus U'$, we can replace the models (X_{π}, D) and $(X_{\pi'}, D')$ with higher models so that $\text{Supp}(D) = X_{\pi} \setminus U$ and $\text{Supp}(D') = X_{\pi'} \setminus U'$. This reduction step is done by only taking of smooth transversal blow-ups. By applying again resolution of singularities, this time to the indeterminacy subscheme of $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi'$, we can furthermore assume that $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi'$ is a morphism, so that $(X_{\pi'}, D') \ge (X_{\pi}, D)$. After we replace S and S' with the respective inverse images under the induced retraction maps corresponding to these sequences of blow-ups, we still have $T = r_{\pi}^{-1}(S) = r_{\pi'}^{-1}(S')$, and Lemma 3.8 ensures that the corresponding measures $\mu_{\pi}(T)$ and $\mu_{\pi'}(T)$ have not changed.

The next step is to apply the weak factorization theorem [AKMW02, Wb03] to the birational morphism $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi' \colon X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$, keeping track of the open set U. Using the formulation given in [AKMW02, Theorem 0.3.1], we obtain a decomposition of $\pi^{-1} \circ \pi'$ into a chain of birational maps

$$X_{\pi'} = X_{\pi_0} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} X_{\pi_1} \xrightarrow{\psi_2} \dots \xrightarrow{\psi_{n-1}} X_{\pi_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\psi_n} X_{\pi_n} = X_{\pi}$$

where either ψ_i or ψ_i^{-1} is a blow-up of a smooth center that is disjoint with U and has transversal intersections with its complement, and all models X_{π_i} dominate X_{π} via a welldefined morphism $X_{\pi_i} \to X_{\pi}$. Denoting $S_i = r_{\pi_i,\pi}^{-1}(S)$, we have $S_{i-1} = r_{\pi_{i-1},\pi_i}^{-1}(S_i)$ if ψ_i is a morphism, and $S_i = r_{\pi_i,\pi_{i-1}}^{-1}(S_{i-1})$ if ψ_i^{-1} is a morphism. Going through these blow-ups and blow-downs, and applying Lemma 3.8 at each step, we conclude that $\mu_{\pi}(T) = \mu_{\pi'}(T)$. \Box

4. MOTIVIC INTEGRATION

As before, let X be a variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. In this section, we define motivic integration on X^{val} .

4.1. Motivic functions. Given a local snc model $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$ the ring of real valued functions on Sk_{π} , and let $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$ be the ideal of functions whose restriction to every potential face R of Sk_{π} vanishes almost-everywhere with respect to the corresponding measure ν_R .

Definition 4.1. We call

$$F_{\pi} := \mathcal{F}(Sk_{\pi}, \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{F}_{0}(Sk_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$$

the ring of functions of level π . We think of elements of F_{π} as almost-everywhere defined functions (in the sense explained above), and simply refer to them as functions. We let $F_{\pi}^{\circ} \subset F_{\pi}$ be the subring generated by the characteristic functions $1_{Sk_{\pi,I}}$ of the faces $Sk_{\pi,I}$ of Sk_{π} .

Consider the motivic ring $M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} = (K_0(\operatorname{Var}_{X_{\pi}})/(\mathbb{L}_{X_{\pi}}-1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. For short, we will denote the element in this ring defined by a scheme V over X_{π} by the symbol $[V]_{\pi}$, instead of $[V]_{X_{\pi}}$; if π is the identity function $X \to X$ then we stick with the notation $[V]_X$.

For every model $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$, there is an injective ring homomorphism $F_{\pi}^{\circ} \to M\mathbb{R}(X_{\pi})$ defined by mapping $1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \mapsto [D_{I}^{\circ}]_{\pi}$. We regard $M\mathbb{R}(X_{\pi})$ as an F_{π}° -module via this map.

Definition 4.2. We call

$$\mathrm{MF}_{\pi} := \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}(X_{\pi}) \otimes_{\mathrm{F}^{\circ}_{-}} \mathrm{F}_{\pi}.$$

the ring of motivic functions of level π .

Let $\pi: (X_{\pi'}, D') \to X$ be another local snc model dominating (X_{π}, D) via a morphism $\alpha: X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$. Recall that we have retraction maps $\overline{r}_{\pi'\pi}: \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi'} \to \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi}$ and $r_{\pi'\pi}: \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi'} \to \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi}$. Composition with $r_{\pi'\pi}$ gives rise to an injective map $F_{\pi} \hookrightarrow F_{\pi'}$, and the latter restricts to an injection $F_{\pi}^{\circ} \hookrightarrow F_{\pi'}^{\circ}$ since the inverse image of a face of Sk_{π} is a union of faces of $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi'}$.

Definition 4.3. Set $F^{\circ}(X^{val}) := \lim_{\pi} F^{\circ}_{\pi}$ and $F(X^{val}) := \lim_{\pi} F_{\pi}$. We think of elements of these rings as almost-everywhere defined functions on X^{val} and simply refer to them as *functions*.

We have an inclusion of rings $F^{\circ}(X^{val}) \hookrightarrow F(X^{val})$. For every π , there is a natural injection $F_{\pi} \hookrightarrow F(X^{val})$ whose image consists of functions of the form $\phi = \phi_{\pi} \circ r_{\pi}$ where $\phi_{\pi} \in F_{\pi}$.

Example 4.4. Given a nonzero ideal sheaf $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ and a real number $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the function $X^{\text{val}} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $x \mapsto e^{-s v_x(\mathfrak{a})}$ (or, equivalently, $x \mapsto |\mathfrak{a}|_x^s$). We denote this function by $e^{-s \operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{a})}$ or $|\mathfrak{a}|^s$. We have $e^{-s \operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{a})} = e^{-s \operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{a})} \circ r_{\pi}$ for any local snc model $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a locally principal ideal sheaf cosupported on D, thus the function defines an element in \mathbb{F}_{π} and hence in $\mathbb{F}(X^{\operatorname{val}})$. If s > 0, then the definition extends to the case $\mathfrak{a} = (0)$, giving a function that is identically zero.

At the level of motivic rings, for any morphism $\alpha \colon (X_{\pi'}, D') \to (X_{\pi}, D)$, of local snc models over X we have a pull-back ring homomorphism $\alpha^* \colon M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \to M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi'}}$ mapping $[V]_{\pi} \mapsto [V \times_{X_{\pi}} X_{\pi'}]_{\pi'}$ for every X_{π} -scheme V. Note that $\alpha^*([D_I^{\circ}]_{\pi}) = \sum_{I' \succeq I} [D_{I'}^{\circ}]_{\pi'}$, which is compatible with the formula $1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \circ r_{\pi'\pi} = \sum_{I' \succ I} 1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I'}}$ It follows that the diagram

commutes. This yields a natural pull-back ring homomorphism

$$\alpha^* \colon \mathrm{MF}_{\pi} \to \mathrm{MF}_{\pi'}$$
.

Definition 4.5. The ring of motivic functions is the ring

$$MF(X^{val}) := \lim_{\pi} MF_{\pi},$$

where the direct limit is taken over all (local) snc models $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ using the pullback map defined above. An element of $MF(X^{val})$ is called a *motivic function*. A motivic function f is said to be *determined at level* π if it is in the image of the natural map $MF_{\pi} \to MF(X^{val})$. Any element $f_{\pi} \in MF_{\pi}$ mapping to f is called a *representative* of f.

Remark 4.6. Since direct limit commutes with tensor product [Bou89, Proposition 7 at page 290], there is a natural isomorphism

$$MF(X^{val}) \cong (\varinjlim_{\pi} M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}}) \otimes_{F^{\circ}(X^{val})} F(X^{val}).$$

Note also that if $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$ then $\operatorname{MF}(X^{\operatorname{val}}) = \operatorname{MR}_k$.

Remark 4.7. If $f_{\pi} = [V]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}$ where V is a scheme over X_{π} and $\phi_{\pi} \in F_{\pi}$, then $f_{\pi'} = [V \times_Y X_{\pi'}]_{\pi'} \otimes (\phi_{\pi} \circ r_{\pi'\pi})$ for every $\pi' \geq \pi$. If V is a scheme over X_{π} whose image in X_{π} is supported on a stratum D_I° of D, then for every $\phi_{\pi} \in F_{\pi}$ we have $[V]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi} = ([V]_{\pi} \cdot [D_I^{\circ}]_{\pi}) \otimes \phi_{\pi} = [V]_{\pi} \otimes (\phi_{\pi} \cdot 1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}})$ in MF $_{\pi}$. Similarly, if V is any scheme over X_{π} and ϕ_{π} is supported on Sk $_{\pi,I}$, then $[V]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi} = [V \times_{X_{\pi}} D_I^{\circ}]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}$.

4.2. Integrability. We start by defining integrability for functions of finite levels.

Definition 4.8. Let $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ be a local snc model. A function $\phi \in F_{\pi}$ is *measurable if* for every potential face $R \subset Sk_{\pi}$, the restriction of ϕ to R is measurable with respect to the measure ν_R . A measurable function $\phi \in F_{\pi}$ is *integrable* if furthermore $\int_R |\phi| d\nu_R < \infty$ for all potential faces $R \subset Sk_{\pi}$. We denote by $IF_{\pi} \subset F_{\pi}$ the subspace of integrable functions.

Example 4.9. Any continuous function on Sk_{π} or, more generally, any function that is continuous on each face $Sk_{\pi,I}$ of Sk_{π} is integrable.

Given local snc models $\pi' \ge \pi$, we have $\mathrm{IF}_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{IF}_{\pi'}$ via the map $\mathrm{F}_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\pi'}$. This follows by a similar computation as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, by adding at each step of the computation the function ϕ as a factor in the integrals. We can therefore define

$$\operatorname{IF}(X^{\operatorname{val}}) := \varinjlim_{\pi} \operatorname{IF}_{\pi},$$

We denote by $IF_{\pi}(X^{val})$ the image of $IF_{\pi} \hookrightarrow IF(X^{val})$.

Definition 4.10. A motivic function $f \in MF(X^{val})$ is *integrable* if it belongs to

$$\mathrm{IMF}(X^{\mathrm{val}}) := \varinjlim_{\pi} \left(\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{IF}_{\pi} \right)$$

We call $IMF(X^{val})$ the module of *integrable motivic functions* on X^{val} . The module of *measurable motivic functions* on X^{val} can be defined in a similar fashion.

Remark 4.11. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{IMF}(X^{\operatorname{val}}) \cong \left(\varinjlim_{\pi} \operatorname{MR}_{X_{\pi}} \right) \otimes_{\operatorname{F}^{\circ}(X^{\operatorname{val}})} \operatorname{IF}(X^{\operatorname{val}}).$$

This shows that $IMF(X^{val})$ has a natural structure of module over $F^{\circ}(X^{val})$.

Definition 4.12. If $f \in \text{IMF}(X^{\text{val}})$ is represented by an element $f_{\pi} = \sum_{j} [V_j]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_j$ where $[V_j] \in M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}}$ and $\phi_j \in \text{IF}_{\pi}$, then the *integral* of f over X^{val} is the element in $M\mathbb{R}_X$ given by

$$\int_{X^{\mathrm{val}}} f \, d\mu_X := \sum_j \sum_I [V_j \times_{X_\pi} D_I^\circ]_X \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \phi_j \, d\nu_{\pi,I}.$$

Theorem 4.13. The integral is well-defined.

Proof. The definition is clearly independent from the way the element f_{π} is written in the tensor product. The independence from the choice of the model π can be verified following the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.4 by reducing to check that the definition of the integral is stable when we take a smooth transversal blow-up $\alpha: X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$ of snc models.

This follows by similar computations as those carried out in Lemma 3.8, adding at each step the factors V_j in the motivic coefficients and the functions ϕ_j as factors in the integrals. We use the same notation as in that lemma. By linearity, it suffices to consider the case where f is represented by $f_{\pi} = [V]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}$ with ϕ_{π} supported on a face $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}$. Note that f is also represented by $f_{\pi'} = \sum_{I' \succeq I} [V \times_{X_{\pi}} X_{\pi'}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}$ where $\phi_{\pi'} := \phi_{\pi} \circ r_{\pi'\pi}$. We need to show that

$$[V \times_{X_{\pi}} D_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \phi_{\pi} \, d\nu_{\pi,I} = \sum_{I' \succeq I} [V \times_{X_{\pi}} D_{I'}^{\circ}]_{X} \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I'}} \phi_{\pi'} \, d\nu_{\pi',I'}. \tag{4a}$$

Analyzing the strata $D_{I'}^{\prime \circ}$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.8, the only terms that matter are I' is either I, \tilde{I} , or $\tilde{I} \setminus \{j\}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq t$. We shall analyze in detail the case where $I' = \tilde{I}$, which was discussed in Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 3.8. Following the same argument used there, we compute

$$\begin{split} &[V \times_{X_{\pi}} D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime \circ}]_{X} \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}} \phi_{\pi'} \, d\nu_{\pi',I'} = \\ &= (c-t)[V \times_{X_{\pi}} C_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}} \phi_{\pi'}(x_{0},\ldots,x_{s}) \, e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{s} a_{i}x_{i}} \, dx_{0} \, dx_{1} \, \ldots \, dx_{s} \\ &= (c-t)[V \times_{X_{\pi}} C_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \sum_{j=1}^{t} \int_{(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I})_{j}} \phi_{\pi}(y_{1},\cdots y_{s}) \left(\int_{0}^{y_{j}} e^{-(c-t)x_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} \, dx_{0} \right) dy_{1} \, \ldots \, dy_{s} \\ &= [V \times_{X_{\pi}} C_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \left(\int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \phi_{\pi} \, d\nu_{\pi,I} - \sum_{j=1}^{t} \int_{(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I})_{j}} \phi_{\pi}(y_{1},\ldots,y_{s}) \, e^{-(c-t)y_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} \, dy_{1} \, \ldots \, dy_{s} \right). \end{split}$$

Similar computations show that the term given by I' = I is equal to

$$[V \times_{X_{\pi}} (D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ})]_X \int_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \phi_{\pi} \, d\nu_{\pi,I},$$

and the terms where $I' = \widetilde{I} \setminus \{j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$ are given by

$$[V \times_{X_{\pi}} C_{I}^{\circ}]_{X} \int_{(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I})_{j}} \phi_{\pi}(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}) e^{-(c-t)y_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}y_{i}} dy_{1} \ldots dy_{s}.$$

Adding up all the terms gives us the left hand side of (4a). This completes the proof. \Box

Example 4.14. Let X be a variety, $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ an ideal sheaf, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ be a snc model such that $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}} = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\pi}}(-\sum b_i D_i)$, and let $a_i := \widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_{D_i})$. Assume that $a_i + sb_i > 0$ for every *i* (this is automatic, for instance, if we take $s \geq 0$). Then

$$\int_{X^{\text{val}}} |\mathfrak{a}|^s d\mu_X = \sum_I [D_I^{\circ}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}_{>0}} e^{-\sum_{i \in I} (a_i + sb_i)x_i} dx_1 \cdots dx_{|I|} = \sum_I \frac{[D_I^{\circ}]}{\prod_{i \in I} (a_i + sb_i)}$$

Example 4.15. Suppose that X is a normal variety such that the canonical class K_X is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, and assume that X has log terminal singularities. Fix a positive integer r such that rK_X is Cartier, and let $\mathfrak{n}_{r,X} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the ideal sheaf defined by the image of the natural map $(\Omega_X^{\dim X})^{\otimes r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-rK_X) \to \mathcal{O}_X$. One can check that $\widehat{A}_X = A_X + \frac{1}{r} \operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{n}_{r,X})$ where A_X is the log discrepancy function, which is defined in this generality in [BdFFU15] (this function takes value $A_X(\operatorname{val}_E) = \operatorname{ord}_E(K_{X\pi/X}) + 1$ for every prime divisor E on a resolution of singularities $X_\pi \to X$). If $\pi: (X_\pi, D) \to X$ is any local snc model such that $\mathfrak{n}_{r,X} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$ is a locally principal ideal cosupported in $\operatorname{Supp}(D)$, and we set $a_i := A_X(\operatorname{val}_{D_i})$, then

$$\int_{X^{\text{val}}} |\mathfrak{n}_{r,X}|^{\frac{1}{r}} d\mu_X = \sum_{I} [D_I^{\circ}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^{|I|}_{>0}} e^{-\sum_{i \in I} a_i x_i} dx_1 \dots dx_{|I|} = \sum_{I} \frac{[D_I^{\circ}]}{\prod_{i \in I} a_i}$$

This is the stringy motivic class of X (cf. [Rei02, Section 4]). For example, if X = M/G where M is a manifold and G is a finite group, then this is equal to $\sum_{[g]} [M^g/C(g)]$, where the sum runs over conjugacy classes and $C(g) \subset G$ denotes the centralizer of an element $g \in G$.

Example 4.16. If X is a smooth variety and Z is a proper closed subscheme of X (e.g., a Cartier divisor), then the log canonical threshold lct(X, Z) of the pair (X, Z) is the supremum of the numbers $t \ge 0$ such that the pair (X, tZ) is log canonical. If the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_Z \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ of Z is locally generated by a single element h, then the log canonical threshold can be equivalently defined as the supremum of the numbers $t \ge 0$ such that $|h|^{-2t}$ is locally integrable on X. It follows by the above computations that lct(X, Z) is also the supremum value of $t \ge 0$ such that $|\mathcal{I}_Z|^t$ is integrable on X^{val} . In the singular case, if X and $\mathfrak{n}_{r,X}$ are as in Example 4.15 then the log canonical threshold lct(X, Z) is still defined, and is equal to the supremum value of $t \ge 0$ such that $|\mathfrak{n}_{r,X}|^{1/r}|\mathcal{I}_Z|^t$ is integrable on X^{val} . In general, for an arbitrary variety X, one defines the Mather log canonical threshold lct(X, Z) to be the supremum of the numbers $t \ge 0$ such that the pair (X, tZ) is Mather log canonical, and this condition is equivalent to $|\mathcal{I}_Z|^t$ being integrable on X^{val} .

The motivic integral defined here using Berkovich spaces is of course closely related to the usual (geometric) motivic integral defined using arc spaces [Kon95, DL99]. For simplicity, assume that X is a smooth variety and B is an effective integral divisor. Let X_{∞} denote the space of formal arcs on X. For the purpose of this discussion, we will denote by $\mu^{X_{\infty}}$ the motivic measure on X_{∞} . By definition the classical motivic integral $\int_{X_{\infty}} \mathbb{L}_X^{-\operatorname{ord}(B)} d\mu^{X_{\infty}}$ takes value in a suitable completion of the localization $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)[\mathbb{L}_X^{-1}]$. Using resolution of singularities and the change-of-variables formula, one shows that the integral can be represented in a natural way by an element in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)[[\mathbb{P}_X^a]^{-1}]_{a\geq 1}$. As $[\mathbb{P}_X^a] = a + 1 \mod \mathbb{L}_X - 1$, there is a natural map $\Phi \colon K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)[[\mathbb{P}_X^a]^{-1}]_{a\geq 1} \to (K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)/(\mathbb{L}_X - 1)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, and

$$\int_{X^{\mathrm{val}}} e^{-\operatorname{ord}(B)} d\mu_X = \Psi\Big(\int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}_X^{-\operatorname{ord}(B)} d\mu^{X_\infty}\Big).$$

Therefore the motivic integral defined in this paper using Berkovich spaces recovers, modulo $\mathbb{L}_X - 1$, the classical one defined using arc spaces. The requirement that B be an integral divisor is not essential, and the classical definition of motivic integral can be easily extended to deal with \mathbb{Q} -divisors. This, however, requires to enlarge the motivic ring by introducing a symbolic root $\mathbb{L}_X^{1/r}$ of \mathbb{L}_X . This step is not needed if the integral is defined using Berkovich spaces.

Remark 4.17. It is possible to extend the theory developed in this section using the extended measure defined in Section 3.3. For instance, if $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is an ideal sheaf and s > 0, then one can define

$$\int_{X^{\square}} |\mathfrak{a}|^{s} d\widetilde{\mu}_{X} := \left(\int_{V^{\mathrm{val}}} |\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{V}|^{s} d\mu_{V} \right)_{V \subset X}$$

where V ranges among the closed subvarieties of X. This defines an element in the extended motivic ring $\widetilde{\mathrm{MR}}_X$. One can look at this *extended motivic integral* as a way of capturing not only the integral of \mathfrak{a} but also of all its restrictions $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V$.

4.3. Change-of-variable formula. The approach to motivic integration via Berkovich spaces is naturally set up to immediately yield the following key result of the theory.

Theorem 4.18. Let $h: Y \to X$ be a resolution of singularities, and let $f \in IMF(X^{val})$. Then $(f \circ h^{val}) |Jac_h| \in IMF(Y^{val})$ and

$$\int_{X^{\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X = h_* \int_{Y^{\text{val}}} (f \circ h^{\text{val}}) \left| \text{Jac}_h \right| d\mu_Y$$

in $M\mathbb{R}_X$, where Jac_h is the Jacobian ideal of h.

Proof. As h induces an identification $X^{\text{val}} \simeq Y^{\text{val}}$, we can pretend that we are integrating the same function on the same space, which is however equipped with two different measures. The formula follows from the observation that since Y is smooth, if $g: Y' \to Y$ is any proper birational map from a smooth variety Y', then $\text{Jac}_{h\circ g} = \text{Jac}_h \cdot \text{Jac}_g$, which implies that $\widehat{A}_X - \widehat{A}_Y = \text{ord}(\text{Jac}_h)$ on the space of valuations.

Example 4.19. Assume that $h: Y \to X$ is a proper birational morphism of smooth varieties, and let B be an \mathbb{R} -divisor on X such that (X, -B) is Kawamata log terminal. Then

$$\int_{X^{\text{val}}} e^{-\operatorname{ord}(B)} d\mu_X = h_* \int_{Y^{\text{val}}} e^{-\operatorname{ord}(K_{Y/X} + h^*B)} d\mu_Y$$

in $M\mathbb{R}_X$, where $K_{Y/X}$ is the relative canonical divisor. When B is an integral divisor, this recovers, modulo $\mathbb{L}_X - 1$, the analogous formula in the usual motivic integration

$$\int_{X_{\infty}} \mathbb{L}_X^{-\operatorname{ord}(B)} d\mu^{X_{\infty}} = h_* \int_{Y_{\infty}} \mathbb{L}_X^{-\operatorname{ord}(K_{Y/X} + h^*B)} d\mu^{Y_{\infty}}.$$

5. PUSH-FORWARD AND FUNCTORIALITY

The purpose of this section is to extend the theory of integration on Berkovich spaces introduced in the previous pages into a functorial theory, in the spirit of [CL08].

Throughout this section, we fix a variety Z and a local snc model $\tau: (Z_{\tau}, F) \to Z$.

5.1. Category of models and restrictions of quasi-monomial valuations. We start by introducing a category of local snc models. We work over the model τ fixed above.

Definition 5.1. We denote by $Lsnc_{\tau}$ the category whose objects are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_{\pi}, D) & \xrightarrow{\pi} X \\ p_{\pi\tau} & & \downarrow \\ (Z_{\tau}, F) & \xrightarrow{\tau} Z \end{array}$$

where X is a variety, π is a local snc model, p is a dominant morphism, and $\operatorname{Supp}(p_{\pi\tau}^*(F)) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. For short, we say that (X_{π}, D) is a local snc model (over X) above τ . A morphism between two local snc models (X_{π}, D) and (Y_{σ}, E) above τ is a commutative diagram



such that $\operatorname{Supp}(b^*_{\pi\sigma}(E)) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(D)$. The composition of two morphisms is defined in the obvious way, by composing the respective diagrams.

Every morphism $b_{\pi\sigma}: (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ of local snc models above τ induces the map on sets of quasi-monomial valuations

$$b_{\pi\sigma}^{\mathrm{Sk}} := r_{\sigma} \circ b^{\mathrm{val}}|_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}} \colon \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma} .$$

Lemma 5.2. $b_{\pi\sigma}^{\text{Sk}} \circ r_{\pi} = r_{\sigma} \circ b^{\text{val}}$.

Proof. For any $v_x \in X^{\text{val}}$, we need to show that $b^{\text{Sk}}(r_{\pi}(v_x)) = r_{\sigma}(b^{\text{val}}(v_x))$. Note that, by the definition of b^{Sk} , the left-hand-side of this equation is equal to $r_{\sigma}(b^{\text{val}}(r_{\pi}(v_x)))$. It is therefore enough to show that, writing $E = \sum_j E_j$ where E_j are the irreducible components, we have $b^{\text{val}}(r_{\pi}(v_x))(E_j) = b^{\text{val}}(v_x)(E_j)$ for all j. Since $\text{Supp}(b_{\pi\sigma}^*(E)) \subset$ Supp(D), we can write $b^*E_j = \sum_i a_{ij}D_i$, where as usual D_i are the irreducible components of D. We have

$$b^{\text{val}}(r_{\pi}(v_x))(E_j) = \sum a_{ij} \cdot r_{\pi}(v_x)(D_i) = \sum a_{ij} \cdot v_x(D_i) = b^{\text{val}}(v_x)(E_j),$$

which gives what we need.

Next, we define a pull-back homomorphism

$$b^* \colon \mathrm{MF}(Y^{\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{MF}(X^{\mathrm{val}})$$

along a dominant morphism $b: X \to Y$. We proceed as follows. Given $g \in MF(Y^{val})$, we fix a local snc model (Y_{σ}, E) over Y so that g is represented by an element $g_{\sigma} \in MF(Y^{val})$. Let then (X_{π}, D) be a local snc model over X above σ , so that $b_{\pi\sigma}: (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ is defined. Arguing as in the construction of $\alpha^*: MF_{\pi'} \to MF_{\pi}$ given in Section 4.1, we obtain a commutative diagram



where $b_{\pi\sigma}^*$ is the ring homomorphism defined by mapping a class $[V]_{\sigma}$ to the class $[V \times_{Y_{\sigma}} X_{\pi}]_{\pi}$ and the other vertical arrows are given by pulling back functions via $b_{\pi\sigma}^{\text{Sk}}$. We obtain a ring homomorphism $b_{\pi\sigma}^*$: $MF_{\sigma} \to MF_{\pi}$. Using Lemma 5.2, we see that if $\pi' \geq \pi$ and $\sigma' \geq \sigma$ are other models, with π' above σ' , and $\alpha \colon (X_{\pi'}, D') \to (X_{\pi}, D)$ and $\beta \colon (Y_{\sigma'}, E') \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ are the induced maps, then $b_{\pi'\sigma'}^* \circ \beta^* = \alpha^* \circ b_{\pi\sigma}^*$. We can therefore define $b^*(g)$ to be the element represented by $b_{\pi\sigma}^*(g_{\sigma})$ in MF_{π} . The commutativity of the above diagram ensures that the definition of $b^*(g)$ is independent of the choice of models.

Proposition 5.3. The assignment given on objects by $X \mapsto MF(X^{val})$ and on morphisms $b \mapsto b^*$ define functors from the category of varieties and dominant morphisms to the category of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} .

The proof is straightforward and is omitted.

5.2. Motivic Functions of finite level. The remainder of the section is devoted to the construction of push-forwards. The next example is meant to serve as a motivation for the definitions that follow.

Example 5.4. Let $\alpha: (X_{\pi'}, D_{\pi'}) \to (X_{\pi}, D_{\pi})$ be a morphism of snc models over a smooth variety X where D_{π} is the sum of two prime divisors D and E with $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$, and α is the blow-up along $D \cap E$. We take $D_{\pi'} = \alpha^* D_{\pi} = D' + E' + F$ where F is the exceptional divisor. Let $R \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi'}$ be the ray spanned by val_F , and let $S \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi}$ be the images of R via $r_{\pi'\pi}: \text{Sk}_{\pi'} \to \text{Sk}_{\pi}$. Note that while R is a face of $\text{Sk}_{\pi'}$, S is only a potential face in Sk_{π} . Given a function $f \in \text{MF}(X^{\text{val}})$ determined by some $f_{\pi'} \in \text{MF}_{\pi'}$, we wish to realize the integral $\int_{X^{\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X$ as a push-forward of $f_{\pi'}$ via some map $\text{MF}_{\pi'} \to \text{MF}_{\text{id}_X} = \text{MR}_X$. Such push-forward should be functorial. Suppose that $f_{\pi'}$ is supported on R. Then its push-forward via $MF_{\pi'} \to MF_{\pi}$, which we denote by f_{π} , must be supported on S. This means that f_{π} is almost-everywhere zero for the measure defined in Sk_{π} , hence its pushforward via $MF_{\pi} \to M\mathbb{R}_X$ can only be zero. However, $f_{\pi'}$ may contribute nontrivially to the integral of f, since R has nonzero measure. The issue here is that even though R = Sas subsets in X^{val} , the measures this set inherits from $Sk_{\pi'}$ and Sk_{π} are different. The solution to this impasse is to remember the measure the potential face S had when it was realized as an actual face R.

Let X be a variety of dimension n. For every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we let $\mathcal{F}^i(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of functions that are each supported within a finite union of potential faces of relative codimension *i*. Note that $\mathcal{F}^0(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$ and, for i > 0, $\mathcal{F}^i(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$ is a non-unital associative \mathbb{R} -algebra. Let then $\mathcal{F}^i_0(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{F}^i(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$ be the ideal of functions whose restriction to any potential face R of relative codimension *i* is almost-everywhere zero with respect to the measure ν_R .

We define

$$\mathbf{G}^i_{\pi} := \mathcal{F}^i(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{F}^i_0(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}, \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$\mathbf{G}_{\pi} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{G}_{\pi}^{i}$$

Note that there is a natural surjective map $F_{\pi} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\pi}^{0}$. The kernel consists of those functions on Sk_{π} that are almost-everywhere zero on the faces $Sk_{\pi,I}$ but may fail to be almosteverywhere zero on some potential faces of positive relative codimension.

Definition 5.5. An element in G_{π} is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma^0, \dots, \gamma^{n-1})$ and called a *Function* of level π (with a capital F to remind us that this element is a vector). We think of the components γ^i as almost-everywhere defined functions (on unions of potential faces of relative codimension i), and simply refer to them as *functions*. We say that an element $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma^0, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}) \in G_{\pi}$ is concentrated in relative codimension 0 if $\gamma^i = 0$ for i > 0.

Remark 5.6. It might be reassuring to keep in mind that any element $\gamma = (\gamma^0, \ldots, \gamma^{n-1}) \in G_{\pi}$ can be viewed as a Function concentrated in relative codimension 0 on some sufficiently high refinement π' of π . Indeed, for every i let $R_{i,j}$ be the potential faces of relative codimension i where γ^i is supported. Let $\pi' \geq \pi$ be a refinement such that each $R_{i,j}$ is an actual face of $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$. Note that $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'} = \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}$ as subsets of X^{val} , and $\mathrm{F}_{\pi'} = \mathrm{F}_{\pi}$. We can then find an element $\phi \in \mathrm{F}_{\pi}$ to represent γ , in the following sense. For every index set I, let i(I) be the relative codimension of $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I}$ as a potential face of Sk_{π} , and define $\phi_i \in \mathrm{F}_{\pi}$ to be the function that agrees with γ^i on each face $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi',I}$ with i(I) = i and is zero elsewhere. We then take $\phi := \sum_i \phi_i \in \mathrm{F}_{\pi}$. By construction, the restriction of ϕ to any $R_{i,j}$ agrees (almost-everywhere) with the corresponding γ^i , and the function is zero on the complement of $\bigcup_{i,j} R_{i,j}$. We can regard ϕ as defining an element in $G_{\pi'}$ concentrated in relative codimension 0.

Each G^i_{π} has a natural F°_{π} -module structure via multiplication of functions, and this induces an F°_{π} -module structure on G_{π} . We define

$$\mathrm{MG}_{\pi} := \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathrm{MG}_{\pi}^{i}$$

where $\mathrm{MG}^{i}_{\pi} := \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}^{\circ}_{\pi}} \mathrm{G}^{i}_{\pi}$. Note that MG^{0}_{π} is a quotient of MF_{π} .

Definition 5.7. An element of MG_{π} is denoted by $\boldsymbol{g} = (g^0, \ldots, g^{n-1})$, where $g^i \in MG_{\pi}^i$, and is called a *motivic Function of level* π (with a capital F). We say that an element $\boldsymbol{g} = (g^0, \ldots, g^{n-1}) \in MG_{\pi}$ is concentrated in relative codimension 0 if $g^i = 0$ for i > 0.

Returning to the setting fixed at the beginning of the section, where we work over a variety Z and a local snc model $\tau: (Z_{\tau}, F) \to Z$, let

$$b_{\pi\sigma} \colon (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$$

be a morphism of local snc models above τ (i.e., a morphism in the category Lsnc_{τ}). Let $R \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi}$ be a potential face, and let $S \subset \text{Sk}_{\sigma}$ be the image of R via the map $b_{\pi\sigma}^{\text{Sk}}$: $\text{Sk}_{\pi} \to \text{Sk}_{\sigma}$ defined in Section 5.1. For short, we denote by $b_R \colon R \to S$ the induced map on these cones.

Lemma 5.8. There is a decomposition $S = (\bigsqcup_{\alpha=1}^{m} S_{\alpha}) \sqcup S'$ where S_{α} are potential faces of Sk_{σ} of the same dimension s and $S' \subset S$ is a finite union of potential faces of dimension < s.

Proof. Let I be the index set such that $R \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ and $|I| = \dim R$ (i.e., R is an open subset of $\text{Sk}_{\pi,I}$). The condition that $\text{Supp}(b^*_{\pi\sigma}(E)) \subset \text{Supp}(D)$ implies that $b_{\pi\sigma}(E_I)$ is contained in some stratum E_J . We pick J such that E_J is minimal with this property. Then $S \subset \text{Sk}_{\sigma,J}$. Furthermore, the closure of S in $\overline{\text{Sk}}_{\sigma,J} \cong \mathbb{R}^t_{\geq 0}$ is a convex rational polyhedral cone, spanned over $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by the divisorial valuations $b_{\sigma\pi}(\text{val}_{D_i})$ for $i \in I$. As a convex polyhedral cone, the relative interior of S is equidimensional and is dense in S. We let s be the relative dimension of S. Since S is a rational cone, there exists a sequence of stellar subdivisions after which S becomes a union of faces; this can be checked for instance using toric geometry and functorial resolution of singularities. The sequence of subdivisions can be realized by taking a refinement $\sigma' \geq \sigma$, so that S becomes a union of faces on $\text{Sk}_{\sigma'}$. Thus, S is a finite union of potential faces. By what said before, the union of potential faces of dimension s contained in S is dense in S, and hence all other potential faces contained in S have dimension < s.

In the setting of the lemma, we write $S \stackrel{\text{ae}}{=} \bigsqcup_{\alpha=1}^{m} S_{\alpha}$. We stress that with this notation we tacitly assume that all S_{α} are potential faces of the same dimension. The sum of the forms $\omega_{S_{\alpha}}$ on S_{α} defines almost-everywhere, via the embedding $\bigsqcup_{\alpha=1}^{m} S_{\alpha} \subset S$, an s-form on S which we denote by ω_{S} . Letting $r = \dim R$, we can pick an (r - s)-form $\omega_{R/S}$ on R such that

$$\omega_R = \omega_{R/S} \wedge b_R^*(\omega_S).$$

We remark that the choice of $\omega_{R/S}$ is not unique, but its restriction to the fibers of b_R is independent of the choice. Note that if $R' \subset R$ is another potential face of Sk_{π} of the same dimension r then its image $S' \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma}$ has the same dimension s of S and, up to sign, $\omega_{R/S}|_{R'} = \omega_{R'/S'}$. For every $y \in S$, the fiber $b_R^{-1}(y)$ has dimension r-s and the restricted form $\omega_{R/S}|_{b_{r}^{-1}(y)}$ is a top form on the fiber.

Definition 5.9. An element $\gamma \in G^i_{\pi}$ is relatively integrable over σ if for every potential face $R \subset Sk_{\pi}$ of relative codimension *i* we have

$$\int_{b_R^{-1}(y)} |\gamma \, \omega_{R/S}| < \infty$$

for almost every $y \in S$. We denote by $I_{\sigma}G_{\pi}^i \subset G_{\pi}^i$ the subspace of relatively integrable functions over σ .

Remark 5.10. Since an element $\gamma \in G_{\pi}^{i}$ is uniquely defined almost-everywhere on a potential face R of codimension i, its restriction to $b_{R}^{-1}(y)$ is uniquely defined almost-everywhere for almost every $y \in S$. Therefore the condition of integrability is well-posed.

Definition 5.11. A Function of level π on X^{val} is *relatively integrable over* σ if it belongs to

$$\mathbf{I}_{\sigma}\mathbf{G}_{\pi} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbf{I}_{\sigma}\mathbf{G}_{\pi}^{i} \,.$$

Similarly, a motivic Functions of level π on X^{val} is relatively integrable over σ if it belongs to the module

$$I_{\sigma}MG_{\pi} := M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{F_{\pi}^{\circ}} I_{\sigma}G_{\pi}.$$

If $\sigma = id_Y$, then we write $I_Y G_{\pi}$ and $I_Y M G_{\pi}$. If moreover $Y = \operatorname{Spec} k$, then we write $I_k G_{\pi}$ and $I_k M G_{\pi}$.

A special case of particular interest is when we take Y = X and $\sigma = id_X$.

Definition 5.12. A Function of level π on X^{val} is *integrable* if it belongs to $\text{IG}_{\pi} := I_X \text{G}_{\pi}$. Similarly, a motivic Functions of level π on X^{val} is *integrable* if it belongs to the module $\text{IMG}_{\pi} := I_X \text{MG}_{\pi}$.

5.3. **Push-forward.** Given a morphism $b_{\pi\sigma} \colon (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ in the category Lsnc_{τ} , the first step is to define a push-forward map $b_{\pi\sigma} \colon I_{\tau}G_{\pi} \to I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}$.

Every element in $I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ can be written as a finite sum of elements of the form $\gamma = (\gamma^0, \ldots, \gamma^{n-1})$ where each γ^i is supported on a unique face R_i of relative codimension *i*. For simplicity, we first define the push-forward on elements of this form, and then extend by linearity.

So, let $\gamma = (\gamma^0, \ldots, \gamma^{n-1}) \in I_\tau G_\pi$ be an element as above. Let $\gamma := \gamma^i$ be one of the components and $R = R_i$ the corresponding face of relative codimension *i*. Let $S \subset Sk_\sigma$ and $T \subset Sk_\tau$ be the images of R, and let $b_R \colon R \to S$, $p_R \colon R \to T$, and $q_S \colon S \to T$ be the induced maps. Note that there are index sets I, J, and K such that $R \subset Sk_{\pi,I}$, $S \subset Sk_{\sigma,J}$ and $T \subset Sk_{\tau,K}$. In particular, R has relative codimension *i* and, writing $S \stackrel{\text{ae}}{=} \bigsqcup S_\alpha$ and $T \stackrel{\text{ae}}{=} \bigsqcup T_\beta$ (with the above convention), we have that each S_α is a potential face of the same relative codimension j and each T_β is a potential face of the same relative codimension k. As explained before, this induces forms $\omega_{R/S}$ and $\omega_{R/T}$ defined almost-everywhere on R and a form $\omega_{S/T}$ defined almost-everywhere on S. Since γ is relative integrable over τ , we have

$$\int_{p_R^{-1}(z)} |\gamma \, \omega_{R/T}| < \infty$$

for almost every $z \in T$. Along the fibers $p_R^{-1}(z)$, we have $|\omega_{R/T}| = |\omega_{R/S} \wedge b_R^*(\omega_{S/T})|$ for almost every $z \in T$. Applying Fubini's theorem, we deduce that the quantity

$$\psi(y) := \int_{b_R^{-1}(y)} |\gamma \, \omega_{R/S}|$$

is well-defined (and finite) for almost every $y \in S$, and defines almost-everywhere a function on S that is measurable and integrable over τ . Moreover, we have

$$\int_{p_R^{-1}(z)} |\gamma \, \omega_{R/T}| = \int_{q_S^{-1}(z)} |\psi \, \omega_{S/T}|$$

for almost every $z \in T$. Clearly, ψ , as a function defined almost-everywhere on S, is independent of the choice of representative of γ . Extending ψ by zero outside of S, we obtain an element in $I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}^{(j)}$, which we denote by $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma)$.

For every $\gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ as above, we define the push-forward $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma)$ of γ by $p_{\pi\sigma}$ to be the element of $I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}$ whose component $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma)^{j} \in I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}^{(j)}$ is the sum of all $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma^{i})$ that are supported, according to the above construction, on a potential face S_{i} of relative codimension j. We define the push-forward map

$$b_{\pi,\sigma} : I_{\tau} G_{\pi} \to I_{\tau} G_{\sigma}$$

by extending the definition by linearity.

With the notation as in Definition 5.1, it follows from what observed above that $p_{\pi\tau!} = q_{\sigma\tau!} \circ b_{\pi\sigma!}$. A similar argument shows functoriality, which is stated next.

Proposition 5.13. The assignment given on objects by $(X_{\pi}, D) \mapsto I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ and on morphisms by $b_{\pi\sigma} \mapsto b_{\pi\sigma}!$ defines a functor from $Lsnc_{\tau}$ to the category of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} .

A more careful application of Fubini–Tonelli's theorem yields the following property.

Proposition 5.14. Let $b_{\pi\sigma}: (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ be a morphism of locally snc models over τ and $\gamma = (\gamma^0, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}) \in G_{\pi}$ be any element.

- (1) If $\gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ then $\gamma \in I_{\sigma}G_{\pi}$ and $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma) \in I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}$.
- (2) Assuming $\gamma^i \geq 0$ for every *i*, the converse holds too, hence we have that $\gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ if and only if $\gamma \in I_{\sigma}G_{\pi}$ and $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma) \in I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}$.

Remark 5.15. In the setting considered in (2), the condition that $\gamma \in I_{\sigma}G_{\pi}$ ensures that the push-forward $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma)$ is well-defined.

Proof of Proposition 5.14. We prove the proposition component by component. Let $\gamma = \gamma^i$ be any component of γ . By linearity, we can assume that γ is supported on just one potential face R of codimension i. Let $b_R \colon R \to S$, $p_R \colon R \to T$, and $q_S \colon S \to T$ be the induced maps and $\omega_{R/S}$, $\omega_{R/T}$ and $\omega_{S/T}$ the corresponding forms. Let j denote the relative codimension of S.

Assume first $\gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}^{i}$. As $|\omega_{R/T}| = |\omega_{R/S} \wedge b_{R}^{*}(\omega_{S/T})|$, Fubini's theorem implies that

$$\int_{b_R^{-1}(z)} |\gamma \, \omega_{R/S}| < \infty$$

for almost every $z \in T$, and therefore $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma)$ is well-defined. Furthermore, we have

$$\int_{q_{S}^{-1}(z)} |b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma)\,\omega_{R/T}| = \int_{q_{S}^{-1}(z)} \left(\int_{b_{R}^{-1}(z)} |\gamma\,\omega_{R/S}|\right) |\omega_{S/T}| = \int_{p_{R}^{-1}(z)} |\gamma\,\omega_{R/T}| < \infty$$

for almost every $z \in T$. This means that $\gamma \in I_{\sigma}G^{i}_{\pi}$ and $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma) \in I_{\tau}G^{(j)}_{\sigma}$, which gives (1).

Conversely, assume now that $\gamma \geq 0$, and that $\gamma \in I_{\sigma}G_{\pi}^{i}$ and $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\gamma) \in I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}^{(j)}$. Then Tonelli's theorem implies that $\gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}^{(j)}$, and this gives (2).

The next step is to extend the definition of push-forward to motivic Functions of level π . As before, let $p_{\pi\sigma} \colon (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ be a morphism in Lsnc_{τ} . Recall that $I_{\tau}MG_{\pi} = M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\text{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$. Since the inverse image of a face $\text{Sk}_{\sigma,J}$ under $b_{\pi\sigma}^{\text{Sk}} \colon \text{Sk}_{\pi} \to \text{Sk}_{\sigma}$ is a union of faces, pull-back along this map defines a natural ring homomorphism $\text{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ} \to \text{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}$.

Lemma 5.16. Regarding $M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}}$ and $I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ as F_{σ}° -modules via the ring homomorphism $F_{\sigma}^{\circ} \to F_{\pi}^{\circ}$, the push-forward maps $b_{\pi\sigma*} \colon M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \to M\mathbb{R}_{Y_{\sigma}}$ and $b_{\pi\sigma!} \colon I_{\tau}G_{\pi} \to I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}$ are F_{σ}° -module homomorphisms.

Proof. The assertion is clear for $b_{\pi\sigma!}$. If we write $I \succeq J$ whenever $b_{\pi\sigma}(D_I^\circ) \subset E_J^\circ$, then we have

$$b_{\pi\sigma*} \left(b_{\pi\sigma}^* (1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma,J}}) \cdot [V]_{\pi} \right) = b_{\pi\sigma*} \left(\sum_{I \succeq J} 1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot [V]_{\pi} \right) = b_{\pi\sigma*} \left(\sum_{I \succeq J} [D_I^\circ]_{\pi} \cdot [V]_{\pi} \right) = b_{\pi\sigma*} \left([E_J^\circ \times_{Y_\sigma} X_{\pi}]_{\pi} \cdot [V]_{\pi} \right) = [E_J^\circ]_{\sigma} \cdot [V]_{\sigma} = 1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma,J}} \cdot [V]_{\sigma}.$$
y linearity, this proves the assertion for $b_{\pi\sigma*}$.

By linearity, this proves the assertion for $b_{\pi\sigma*}$.

Lemma 5.17. There is a natural map

$$\rho_{\pi\sigma} \colon \operatorname{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\operatorname{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \operatorname{I}_{\tau} \operatorname{G}_{\pi} \to \operatorname{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\operatorname{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ}} \operatorname{I}_{\tau} \operatorname{G}_{\pi}.$$

Proof. Note that given $r \in F_{\pi}^{\circ}$, it is not necessarily true that multiplication by r on the left and on the right on the group $M\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{F_{\sigma}} I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$ give the same result, so more care is needed to define the map. We first claim that there are decompositions

$$\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \cong \bigoplus_{I} \left([D_{I}^{\circ}] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \right)$$

and

$$\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \cong \bigoplus_{J} \Big(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} [D_{I}^{\circ}] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \Big) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} \mathrm{1}_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \Big).$$

Indeed, multiplication by $1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \in \mathrm{F}^{\circ}_{\pi}$ gives the projection

$$\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \to \left([D_{I}^{\circ}] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \right),$$

and multiplication by $1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma,J}} \in \mathrm{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ}$ gives the projection

$$\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \to \Big(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} [D_{I}^{\circ}] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}}\Big) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} \mathrm{1}_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi}\Big).$$

Then the obvious inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{I} \left([D_{I}^{\circ}] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \right) \subset \bigoplus_{J} \left(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} [D_{I}^{\circ}] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} 1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \right)$$

defined using the isomorphism

$$\left(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} [D_I^\circ] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_\pi}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bigoplus_{I \succeq J} 1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_\tau \mathrm{G}_\pi\right) \cong \bigoplus_{I, I' \succeq J} \left([D_I^\circ] \cdot \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_\pi} \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I'}} \cdot \mathrm{I}_\tau \mathrm{G}_\pi \right)$$

gives us the desired map.

The push-forward

$$b_{\pi\sigma}! \colon \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MG}_{\pi} \to \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MG}_{\sigma}$$

is defined by the composition

$$\mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\rho_{\pi\sigma}} \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{X_{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\pi} \xrightarrow{b_{\pi\sigma*} \otimes b_{\pi\sigma}!} \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_{Y_{\sigma}} \otimes_{\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}^{\circ}} \mathrm{I}_{\tau} \mathrm{G}_{\sigma}$$

This is a linear map of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . Proposition 5.13 and the obvious functoriality of $\rho_{\pi\sigma}$ and $b_{\pi\sigma*}$ yields the following property.

Proposition 5.18. The assignment given on objects by $(X_{\pi}, D) \mapsto I_{\tau}MG_{\pi}$ and on morphisms by $b_{\pi\sigma} \mapsto b_{\pi\sigma}!$ defines a functor from $Lsnc_{\tau}$ to the category of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} .

5.4. Integrable motivic Functions. Still working above τ , any morphism $\alpha \colon (X_{\pi'}, D') \to (X_{\pi}, D)$ of local snc models over the same variety X induces a push-forward homomorphism $\alpha_! \colon I_{\tau} MG_{\pi'} \to I_{\tau} MG_{\pi}$. We can therefore define

$$I_{\tau}MG(X^{val}) := \varprojlim_{\pi} I_{\tau}MG_{\pi}$$

This inverse limit has a natural module structure over F°_{π} for all local snc models π above τ , and hence a natural module structure over $F^{\circ}(X^{\text{val}})$.

Definition 5.19. We call $I_{\tau}MG(X^{val})$ the module of *relatively integrable motivic Functions* on X^{val} over τ . When $\tau = id_Z$, we write $I_ZMG(X^{val})$.

If $\tau' \geq \tau$ is a higher local snc model over Z then by Proposition 5.14 we have a natural inclusion $I_{\tau}MG(X^{val}) \subset I_{\tau'}MG(X^{val})$. We can therefore define

$$I_{Z^{val}}MG(X^{val}) := \lim_{\tau} I_{\tau}MG(X^{val}).$$

Definition 5.20. We call $I_{Z^{val}}MG(X^{val})$ the module of *relatively integrable motivic Func*tions on X^{val} over Z^{val} .

For any dominant morphism $b: X \to Y$ over Z and any local snc model τ over Z, we obtain by functoriality a push-forward homomorphism

$$b_! : \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MG}(X^{\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MG}(Y^{\mathrm{val}})$$

defined by $b_!(\boldsymbol{g}) := (b_{\pi\sigma}!(\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi}))_{\sigma}$ where π is any local snc model above τ such that \boldsymbol{g} is determined by \boldsymbol{g}_{π} . Letting now τ vary, this induces a push-forward map (denoted by the same symbol)

$$b_! \colon \mathrm{I}_{Z^{\mathrm{val}}}\mathrm{MG}(X^{\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{I}_{Z^{\mathrm{val}}}\mathrm{MG}(Y^{\mathrm{val}}).$$

By Proposition 5.18, we obtain the following property.

Theorem 5.21. The assignments $X \mapsto I_{\tau}MG(X^{val})$ and $X \mapsto I_{Z^{val}}MG(X^{val})$, paired with the corresponding assignment $b \mapsto b_{!}$, define functors from the category of varieties endowed with a dominant morphism to Z, whose morphisms are dominant morphisms defined over Z, to the category of vector spaces over \mathbb{R} .

By interpreting the push-forward $b_! \colon I_{Z^{val}}MG(X^{val}) \to I_{Z^{val}}MG(Y^{val})$ as integration along the fibers of $b^{val} \colon X^{val} \to Y^{val}$, this result can be regarded as a Fubini theorem.

An element $\boldsymbol{g} \in I_{\tau} MG(X^{val})$ is given by a net of motivic Functions $\boldsymbol{g} = (\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi})_{\pi}$ where $\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi} = (g_{\pi}^{0}, \ldots, g_{\pi}^{n-1}) \in I_{\tau} MG_{\pi}$ and $\alpha_{!}(\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi'}) = \boldsymbol{g}_{\pi}$ for $\pi' \geq \pi$ (here, as usual, $\alpha \colon X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$ is the induced map). The next proposition says that, as long as we restrict to a smaller collection \mathcal{C} of models, we can view any element \boldsymbol{g} as being given by a net $(\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi})_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}}$ of motivic Functions that are concentrated in relative codimension 0.

Proposition 5.22. For every $g \in I_{\tau}MG(X^{val})$ there exists a collection C of local snc models over X such that:

- (1) for every local snc model π over X there exists $\pi' \in \mathcal{C}$ that is a refinement of π ;
- (2) if $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$ and π' is a refinement of π , then $\pi' \in \mathcal{C}$;
- (3) for every $\pi \in \mathcal{C}$, g_{π} is concentrated in relative codimension 0.

Proof. For every π , we let C_{π} be the collection of refinements π' of π such that every potential face of Sk_{π} on which g_{π} is not almost-everywhere zero becomes a face on $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$. Then $g_{\pi'}$ is concentrated in relative codimension 0. If this were not the case, then there would exist a potential face $R \subset \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$ such that $g_{\pi'}$ is not almost-everywhere zero on R. By the definition of push-forward, it would follow that g_{π} is not almost-everywhere zero on R, viewed as a potential face of Sk_{π} , but this would contradict our choice of π' . The proposition follows by taking $\mathcal{C} := \bigcup_{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{\pi}$.

For every π there is a natural push-forward map

$$t_{\pi} \colon \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MG}(X^{\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MG}_{\pi}$$
.

When $\pi = \mathrm{id}_X$, we write t_X for t_{id_X} .

A special case occurs when we take Z = X and $\tau = id_X$. Note that $I_X MG_{id_X} = M\mathbb{R}_X$, hence we have a push-forward map

$$t_X \colon I_X MG(X^{val}) \to M\mathbb{R}_X$$

Definition 5.23. We call

$$\operatorname{IMG}(X^{\operatorname{val}}) := I_X \operatorname{MG}(X^{\operatorname{val}})$$

the module of *integrable motivic Functions* on X^{val} . For every element $\boldsymbol{g} \in \text{IMG}(X^{\text{val}})$, we define the *integral* of \boldsymbol{g} to be

$$\int_{X^{\mathrm{val}}} \boldsymbol{g} \, d\mu_X := t_X(\boldsymbol{g}) \in \mathrm{M}\mathbb{R}_X.$$

The next result relates the above definition to the definition of motivic integration given in Section 4.

Theorem 5.24. There is a canonical injective linear map Λ : IMF $(X^{val}) \hookrightarrow IMG(X^{val})$ such that

$$\int_{X^{\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X = \int_{X^{\text{val}}} \Lambda(f) \, d\mu_X$$

for every $f \in IMF(X^{val})$.

Proof. For every local snc model π , we consider the linear map Λ_{π} : IMF $_{\pi} \to I_X MG_{\pi}$ given by $f_{\pi} \mapsto \boldsymbol{g}_{\pi} = (g_{\pi}, 0, \dots, 0)$ where g_{π} is the image of f_{π} via the quotient map MF $_{\pi} \to MG^0_{\pi}$. We claim that for every pair of snc models $\pi' \geq \pi$, if $\alpha \colon X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$ is the induced map then the diagram

$$\operatorname{IMF}_{\pi'} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{\pi'}} I_X \operatorname{MG}_{\pi'} \\
\uparrow^{\alpha^*} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\alpha_!} \\
\operatorname{IMF}_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_{\pi}} I_X \operatorname{MG}_{\pi}$$

is commutative. Note that this implies that the maps Λ_{π} glue together to give a linear map Λ : IMF $(X^{\text{val}}) \to \text{IMG}(X^{\text{val}})$. The stated equality between integrals can then be easily checked using Λ_{π} for any $\pi \gg 1_X$.

To prove the claim, we follow a strategy similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.4 and 4.13. The first step is to check that the diagram commutes whenever α is a smooth transversal blow-up. By linearly, we can assume that f is represented by an element $f_{\pi} = [V]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}$ where ϕ_{π} is supported on a face $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}$. Let C be the center of blow-up.

Suppose first that $C \not\subset \text{Supp}(D)$. This corresponds to Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 3.8. Note that $C \not\subset D_i$ for any $i \in I$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.8, let $c = \text{codim}(C, X_{\pi})$ and set $C^{\circ} := C \cap D_{I}^{\circ}$, which we assume not to be empty. Note that $\operatorname{codim}(C_{I}^{\circ}, D_{I}^{\circ}) = c$. We denote by D'_{0} the exceptional divisor of α and, for $i \in I$, let D'_{i} be the proper transform of D_{i} on X'_{π} . We denote $\widetilde{I} := I \cup \{0\}$. Note that α induces an isomorphism $D'_{I}^{\circ} \simeq D_{I}^{\circ} \setminus C_{I}^{\circ}$ and a \mathbb{P}^{c-1} -bundle fibration $D'_{\widetilde{I}} \to C_{I}^{\circ}$. Setting $\phi_{\pi'} := \phi_{\pi} \circ r_{\pi'\pi}$, we can write

$$f_{\pi} = [V \times_{X_{\pi}} (D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ})]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi} + [V \times_{X_{\pi}} C_I^{\circ}]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}.$$

Then

$$\alpha^*(f_{\pi}) = [V \times_{X_{\pi}} D_I'^{\circ}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'} + [V \times_{X_{\pi}} D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\circ}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'},$$

and we have $\Lambda_{\pi'}(\alpha^*(f_{\pi}))^0 = \alpha^*(f_{\pi})$ in MG^0_{π} , all other entries of $\Lambda_{\pi'}(\alpha^*(f_{\pi}))$ being zero. We compute the push-forward term by term. We have

$$\alpha_!([V \times_{X_\pi} D_I'^\circ]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}) = [V \times_{X_\pi} (D_I^\circ \setminus C_I^\circ)]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}$$

and

$$\alpha_!([V \times_{X_\pi} D^{\circ}_{\widetilde{I}}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}) = c[V \times_{X_\pi} C^{\circ}_I] \otimes \int_0^\infty \phi_{\pi'} e^{-cx} dx = [V \times_{X_\pi} C^{\circ}_I]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}$$

in MG⁰_{π}. This shows that $\alpha_!(\Lambda_{\pi'}(\alpha^*(f_{\pi}))) = \Lambda_{\pi}(f_{\pi})$, as desired.

Next, we consider the case where $C \subset \text{Supp}(D)$, which corresponds to Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 3.8. The term $[V \times_{X_{\pi}} (D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ})]_{\pi}$ behaves as before, so we can focus on the term $[V \times_{X_{\pi}} C_I^{\circ}]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}$. Letting $J \subset I$ and denoting $\widetilde{J} := J \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$\alpha^*([V \times_{X_\pi} C_I^{\circ}]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi}) = \sum_{\widetilde{J} \succeq I} [V \times_{X_\pi} D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\circ}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}$$

in MG_{π}^{0} . Similar computations as above, using now (1)–(3) from the proof of Lemma 3.8, show that

$$\alpha_! \Big(\sum_{\widetilde{J} \succeq I, |J| \ge |I| - 1} [V \times_{X_\pi} D^{\circ}_{\widetilde{J}}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'} \Big) = [V \times_{X_\pi} C^{\circ}_I]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}$$

in MG^0_{π} . In general, the terms in the sum $\sum_{\widetilde{J} \succeq I, |J| \le |I|-2} [V \times_{X_{\pi}} D^{\circ}_{\widetilde{J}}]_{\pi'} \otimes \phi_{\pi'}$ can be nonzero and can be supported on unions of faces of $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi'}$ that come from potential faces of Sk_{π} of positive relative codimension, so in principle they could produce nonzero entries in $\alpha_!(\Lambda_{\pi'}(\alpha^*(f_{\pi})))$ beyond the first vector component. This however does not occur, as (4) from the proof of Lemma 3.8 implies that all the terms in this sum belong to the kernel of $\alpha_!$. This verifies the commutativity of the diagram when $C \subset \mathrm{Supp}(D)$.

Now that we know that the diagram commutes whenever α is a smooth transversal blowup, it follows by functoriality that the diagram commutes whenever α is a composition of such blow-ups. It therefore suffices to reduce to this case. Using Hironaka's resolution of marked ideals, we first reduce to the situation where π and π' are snc models and α induces an isomorphism $X_{\pi'} \setminus D' \cong X_{\pi} \setminus D$. Then, using the weak factorization theorem, we obtain a diagram



where p_i and q_i are compositions of smooth transversal blow-ups and the induced rational maps $\alpha_i \colon X_{\pi_i} \dashrightarrow X_{\pi}$ are morphisms. By the above discussion, the diagram commutes with either p_i or q_i in place of α . If n = 1, then we have $\alpha \circ p_1 = q_1$, and we can use

27

functoriality and the commutativity of the diagram for p_1 and q_1 to conclude that the diagram commutes for α . In more details, we have

$$\Lambda_{\pi} = q_{1!} \circ \Lambda_{\pi'_1} \circ q_1^* = \alpha_! \circ p_{1!} \circ \Lambda_{\pi'_1} \circ p_1^* \circ \alpha^* = \alpha_! \circ \Lambda_{\pi'} \circ \alpha^*,$$

which proves the assertion. We can therefore use induction on $n \ge 1$ and assume that the diagram commutes for α_1 . By functoriality, this implies that it commutes for $\alpha_1 \circ q_1$. Observing that $\alpha \circ p_1 = \alpha_1 \circ q_1$, we conclude by the same argument just used in the case n = 1 that the diagram commutes for α . This proves the claim.

It remains to check that Λ is injective. Let $f \in \text{IMF}(X^{\text{val}})$ be any nonzero element, and let π be a model such that f is determined by $f_{\pi} \in \text{IMF}_{\pi}$. Then $f_{\pi} \neq 0$, so there is a potential face R of Sk_{π} such that $f_{\pi}|_{R}$ is not almost everywhere zero with respect to the measure ω_{R} . After replacing π with a higher model, we may assume without loss of generality that R is an actual face of Sk_{π} . Then f_{π} is non-zero in MG_{π}^{0} , hence $\Lambda(f) \neq 0$. \Box

Remark 5.25. We do not know if $IMF(X^{val})$ is stable under push-forwards. More precisely, given a dominant morphism of varieties $b: X \to Y$ over Z we do not know if the push-forward $b_!: I_{Z^{val}}MG(X^{val}) \to I_{Z^{val}}MG(Y^{val})$ sends $\Lambda(IMF(X^{val})) \cap I_{Z^{val}}MG(X^{val})$ into $\Lambda(IMF(Y^{val}))$.

It might be helpful at this point to work out a simple example.

Example 5.26. Let $b: X = \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y = \mathbb{A}^1$ be the ramified cover given by $v = u^2$, with ramification point $P \in X$ and branch point $Q \in Y$. Consider the models π given by (X, P) and σ given by (Y, Q). Notice that even though these models are identities on the underlying varieties, they are different from id_X and id_Y as they have non-empty boundaries. We consider the motivic function f determined at level π by $f_{\pi} = [P] \otimes 1$, and view it as a motivic Function via Λ . Note that f is supported on the inverse image in X^{val} of the 1-dimensional face R of Sk_{π} . Let x be the coordinate on R such that val_P has coordinate x = 1. Similarly, let S be the 1-dimensional face of Sk_{σ} with coordinate y such that val_Q has coordinate y = 1. The function $b_R: R \to S$ is given by y = 2x. The volume forms on these faces are $\omega_R = e^{-x}dx$ and $\omega_S = e^{-y}dy$, hence $\omega_{R/S} = \frac{1}{2}e^x$. Then $b_!(f)$ is represented at level σ by

$$b_{\pi\sigma!}(f_{\pi}) = b_{\pi\sigma*}[P] \otimes b_{\pi\sigma!}(1) = [Q] \otimes \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{y}{2}}.$$

We have

$$t_Y(b_!(f)) = \int_{Y^{\text{val}}} b_!(f) \, d\mu_Y = [Q] \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{y}{2} - y} dy = [Q].$$

Note that we also have

$$b_*(t_X(f)) = b_*\left(\int_{X^{\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X\right) = b_*\left([P]\int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx\right) = [Q]$$

as expected by functoriality.

5.5. **Projection formula.** Recall that, given a local snc model (X_{π}, D) , there is a natural F_{π} -module structure on G_{π} given by multiplication. It is easy to see that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 5.27. Let $b_{\pi\sigma}: (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ be a morphism in the category Lsnc_{τ} , and let $\phi \in F_{\sigma}$ and $\gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$. If $b_{\pi\sigma}^*(\phi) \cdot \gamma \in I_{\tau}G_{\pi}$, then $\phi \cdot b_{\pi\sigma}(\gamma) \in I_{\tau}G_{\sigma}$ and

$$b_{\pi\sigma!}(b_{\pi\sigma}^*(\phi) \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = \phi \cdot b_{\pi\sigma!}(\boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

There is also a natural MF_{π}-module structure on MG_{π}. In concrete terms, the module structure is given by setting, for any $f = \sum_i [V_i]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_i \in MF_{\pi}$ and $g = \sum_j [W_j]_{\pi} \otimes \gamma_j \in MG_{\pi}$,

$$f \cdot \boldsymbol{g} := \sum_{i,j} [V_i imes_{X_{\pi}} W_j]_{\pi} \otimes (\phi_i \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j)$$

where $\phi_i \gamma_j := (\phi_i \gamma_j^0, \dots, \phi_i \gamma_j^{n-1})$. As a consequence of the previous proposition, we obtain the following formula.

Proposition 5.28. Let $b_{\pi\sigma} : (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ be a morphism in the category Lsnc_{τ} , and let $f \in MF_{\sigma}$ and $\boldsymbol{g} \in I_{\tau}MG_{\pi}$. If $b_{\pi\sigma}^*(f) \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \in I_{\tau}MG_{\pi}$, then $f \cdot b_{\pi\sigma}!(\boldsymbol{g}) \in I_{\tau}MG_{\sigma}$ and

$$b_{\pi\sigma!}(b^*_{\pi\sigma}(f) \cdot \boldsymbol{g}) = f \cdot b_{\pi\sigma!}(\boldsymbol{g})$$

Remark 5.29. When Z = Y and $\tau = \sigma$, the hypothesis that $b_{\pi\sigma}^*(f) \cdot g \in I_{\sigma}MG_{\pi}$ is automatically satisfied.

Let now $b: X \to Y$ be a dominant morphism of varieties. The proposition allows us to define a natural MF(Y^{val})-module structure on $I_{Y^{\text{val}}}MG(X^{\text{val}})$. Indeed, given any $f \in$ MF(Y^{val}) and $\boldsymbol{g} = (\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi})_{\pi} \in I_{Y^{\text{val}}}MG(X^{\text{val}})$, we can fix a high enough local snc model σ over Y such that f is represented by $f_{\sigma} \in MF_{\sigma}(Y^{\text{val}})$ and $\boldsymbol{g} = (\boldsymbol{g}_{\pi})_{\pi} \in I_{\sigma}MG(X^{\text{val}})$. Then we define the action

$$f \cdot \boldsymbol{g} := (b^*_{\pi\sigma}(f_{\pi}) \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_{\pi})_{\pi}$$

The representatives $b_{\pi\sigma}^*(f_{\pi}) \cdot g_{\pi}$ in the right-hand-side are well-defined for all high enough local snc models π . It is convenient here to remember in the notation of the action the pull-back map b^* , and hence denote the action of f on g by $b^*(f) \cdot g$. Proposition 5.28 yields the following projection formula.

Proposition 5.30. Let $b: X \to Y$ be a dominant morphism of varieties. Then for every $f \in MF(Y^{val})$ and $g \in I_{Y^{val}}MG(X^{val})$ we have

$$b_!(b^*(f) \cdot \boldsymbol{g}) = f \cdot b_!(\boldsymbol{g}).$$

6. Atomic Approach

An atomic measure on the Berkovich space of a variety is introduced in this last section as a parallel way of doing motivic integration on Berkovich spaces that resembles more closely the classical motivic integration on arc spaces and the theory of motivic constructible functions introduced in [CL08]. Contrary to the theory developed in the previous sections, this approach does not allow to integrate real valued functions, and ultimately produces a more restrictive algebra of measurable sets. The trade off is that it does not require to work modulo $\mathbb{L} - 1$ and as a result it fully recovers the usual motivic integral. This part, which still relies on geometric properties such as resolution of singularity and weak factorization, also relies on some preliminary results from [CL08].

6.1. Atomic motivic measure. As before, let X be a variety over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero equipped with the trivial norm. We consider the subspace $X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}} \subset X^{\text{val}}$ consisting of valuations with center in X and values in Z. Elements of this space are considered as maps $v: k(X)^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}$ which are not assumed to be surjective. In particular, we distinguish between multiples mv of the same valuation v.

For every local snc model $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$, we let $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \cap X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}$. This is the set of \mathbb{Z} -valued quasi-monomial valuations determined at level π . We denote by $r_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}: X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$

the restriction of r_{π} . We have $X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}} = \varprojlim_{\pi} \text{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Writing $D = \sum_{i=1}^{r} D_i$, we have a decomposition $\text{Sk}_{\pi} = \bigsqcup_{I} \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ parameterized by subsets $I \subset \{1, \ldots, r\}$. On each face $\text{Sk}_{\pi,I} \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi}$, the intersection $\text{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} := \text{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ coincides with the inverse image of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^s \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ via the isomorphism $\psi_{\pi,I} := \text{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}$ where s = |I|. In particular, this map gives an embedding $\psi_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s$. This allows us to transfer some of the notions introduced in [CL08] to this setting by carrying over the Presburger's language \mathbf{L}_{PR} from \mathbb{Z}^s to $\text{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The theory of Presburger arithmetic has language

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{PR}} = \{+, -, 0, 1, \le\} \cup \{\equiv_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$$

with \equiv_n the equivalence relation modulo n (for more details, see [Mar02, Chapter 3]). Here we only consider the model \mathbb{Z} for the theory. Note that the multiplication operation is not included in the language. Elimination of quantifiers holds in this setting, and in fact we will be relying on a cell decomposition theorem due to [Clu03].

For short, we set $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{L}_X$. As in [CL08], we consider the ring

$$\mathbb{A}_X := \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}^{-1}, (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-i})^{-1}]_{i \ge 1},$$

and work with the following version of motivic ring:

$$\mathrm{MA}_X := K_0(\mathrm{Var}_X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{L}]} \mathbb{A}_X.$$

Note that $M\mathbb{A}_X \cong K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)[\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}^{-1}, (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-i})^{-1}]_{i \ge 1}$.

We consider the collection $\Sigma_{\text{PR}}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$ of all subsets of the form $(r_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(S)$ where π is a local snc model over X and $S \subset \text{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ an \mathbf{L}_{PR} -definable subset, by which we mean that $S_I := S \cap \text{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is \mathbf{L}_{PR} -definable in $\text{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for all I. This will be the collection of measurable sets. It is clear that $\Sigma_{\text{PR}}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$ is closed under finite unions, finite intersections, and complements. Note that the same element of $\Sigma_{\text{PR}}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$ can be written in different ways, by choosing different models π .

Theorem 6.1. There is a well-defined function $\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon \Sigma_{\mathrm{PR}}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{MA}_X$ given by setting

$$\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}}\big((r_\pi^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(S)\big) := \sum_I [D_I^\circ] \big(\mathbb{L}-1\big)^{|I|} \sum_{S_I} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_X},$$

where the sums $\sum_{S_I} \mathbb{L}^{-\hat{A}_X}$ are considered as being taken in \mathbb{A}_X .

Proof. The series $\sum_{S_I} \mathbb{L}^{-\hat{A}_X}$ converge in $\mathbb{Z}((\mathbb{L}^{-1}))$. The fact that the sums define elements in \mathbb{A}_X follows from [CL08, Theorem–Definition 4.5.1] (see also the discussion at the beginning of Section 6.2).

Checking that the definition of $\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is independent of the choice of π requires a proof. Using resolution of singularities and the weak factorization theorem as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we reduce the proof of Theorem 6.1 to the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\alpha: X_{\pi'} \to X_{\pi}$ be the blow-up of a smooth variety $C \subset X_{\pi}$ with normal crossings with D, and let $D' = \sum_{i=0}^{r} D'_{i}$ where D'_{0} is the exceptional divisor of α and $D'_{i} = f_{*}^{-1}D_{i}$ for i > 0. Let $S \subset \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be an $\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{PR}}$ -definable subset, and let $S' := (r_{\pi'\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(S)$ where $r_{\pi'\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}: \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi'}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the restriction of $r_{\pi'\pi}$. Then S is $\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{PR}}$ -definable if and only if S' is $\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{PR}}$ -definable, and

$$\sum_{I} [D_{I}^{\circ}] (\mathbb{L}-1)^{|I|} \sum_{S_{I}} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X}} = \sum_{I} [D_{I'}^{\circ}] (\mathbb{L}-1)^{|I'|} \sum_{S_{I'}^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same steps of the proof of Lemma 3.8, but the computations need to be adapted to the current definitions.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.8, it suffices to consider the case where $S \subset \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some I, and we can assume that $I = \{1, \ldots, s\}$. For any subset $J \subset I$, we let $\widetilde{J} := \{0\} \cup J$. Let $c = \operatorname{codim}(C, X_{\pi})$ and set $C_{I}^{\circ} := C \cap D_{I}^{\circ}$. If $C_{I}^{\circ} = \emptyset$, then $(r_{\pi'\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}) = \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the equality is clear. Assume therefore that $C_{I}^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. We can assume that $C \subset D_{i}$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq t$ for some $0 \leq t \leq s$. We have the decomposition $S' = \bigsqcup_{I' \succeq I} S'_{I'}$. Recall that $I' \succeq I$ if and only if either I' = I or $I' = \widetilde{J}$ where $\{t + 1, \ldots, s\} \subset J \subset I$.

(1) First, note that $[D_I^{\circ}] = [D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ}]$ in $K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X)$.

We now look at the strata parameterized by J where $\{t + 1, \ldots, s\} \subset J \subset I$.

- (2) Taking J = I, we see that $D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\circ} \to C_{I}^{\circ}$ is a piecewise locally trivial fibration with fiber \mathbb{P}_{k}^{c-t-1} , hence $[D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime\circ}] = [C_{I}^{\circ}][\mathbb{P}_{X}^{c-t-1}]$ in $K_{0}(\operatorname{Var}_{X})$.
- (3) If $J \subsetneq I$, then $D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\circ} \to C_{I}^{\circ}$ is a piecewise locally trivial fibration with fiber $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}^{s-1-|J|} \times \mathbb{A}_{k}^{c-t}$, hence $[D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\circ}] = [C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s-1-|J|}\mathbb{L}^{c-t}$ in $K_{0}(\operatorname{Var}_{X})$.

Setting $a_i := \widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_{D'_i})$, we have $a_i = \widehat{A}_X(\operatorname{val}_{D_i})$ for i > 0 and $a_0 = c - t + \sum_{i=1}^t a_i$.

Case 1. Suppose $C \subsetneq \text{Supp}(D)$, i.e., t = 0.

Using the natural inclusions $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s$ and $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, we fix coordinates y_1, \ldots, y_s on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and x_0, \ldots, x_s on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The retraction map $r_{\pi'\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ restricted to $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{I}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is given by $y_i = x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$. By definition, we have $\widehat{A}_X(y_1, \ldots, y_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i y_i$ and $\widehat{A}_X(x_0, \ldots, x_s) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i x_i = cx_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i y_i$. We can then deduce in this case the formula stated in the lemma, as follows:

$$\begin{split} &[D_{I}^{\prime\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s}\sum_{S_{I}^{\prime}}\mathbb{L}^{-\hat{A}_{X}}+[D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s+1}\sum_{S_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime}}\mathbb{L}^{-\hat{A}_{X}}=\\ &=[D_{I}^{\circ}\setminus C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s}\sum_{S_{I}^{\prime}}\mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{i=1}^{s}a_{i}x_{i}}+[C_{I}^{\circ}][\mathbb{P}_{X}^{c-1}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s+1}\sum_{S_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime}}\mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{i=0}^{s}a_{i}x_{i}}\\ &=[D_{I}^{\circ}\setminus C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s}\sum_{S}\mathbb{L}^{-\sum_{i=1}^{s}a_{i}y_{i}}+[C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}^{c}-1)(\mathbb{L}-1)^{s}\sum_{S_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime}}\mathbb{L}^{-cx_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{s}a_{i}y_{i}}\\ &=[D_{I}^{\circ}\setminus C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s}\sum_{S}\mathbb{L}^{-\hat{A}_{X}}+[C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s}\sum_{S}\mathbb{L}^{-\hat{A}_{X}}. \end{split}$$

The first equality follows from (1) and (2) above, the second from the fact that $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ maps bijectively to $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and the third from the fact that the fiber of $S'_{\widetilde{I}} \to S$ over a point $(y_1, \ldots, y_s) \in S$ is the set $\{(x_0, y_1, \ldots, y_s) \mid x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}.$

Case 2. Suppose $C \subset \text{Supp}(D)$, i.e., $t \neq 0$.

We have inclusions $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^s$ and $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_J \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{J}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$, where J ranges among subsets of I containing $\{t+1,\ldots,s\}$. Using these inclusions, we fix coordinates y_1,\ldots,y_s on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and x_0,\ldots,x_s on $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_J \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{J}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$. The retraction map $r_{\pi'\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ restricted to $\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_J \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi',\widetilde{J}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ is given by $y_i = x_0 + x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $y_i = x_i$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq s$. By definition, we have $\widehat{A}_X(y_1, ..., y_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s a_i y_i$ and $\widehat{A}_X(x_0, ..., x_s) = \sum_{i=0}^s a_i x_i = (c-t)x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s a_i y_i$.

We now look at the formula stated in the lemma. The term involving the stratum D_I° on the right-hand-side of the formula is equal to

$$[D_I^{\circ} \setminus C_I^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^s \sum_{S} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_X}$$

In order to compute the term that involves the stratum $D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\circ}$, we first observe that the fiber of the restriction map $S_{\widetilde{I}}' \to S$ over a point $(y_1, \ldots, y_s) \in S$ consists of the points $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^{s+1}$ subject to the conditions $x_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, $x_i + x_0 = y_i$ for $i \leq i \leq t$, and $x_i = y_i$ for $t + 1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus the term is equal to

$$\begin{split} [D_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s+1} \sum_{S_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X}} &= [C_{I}^{\circ}][\mathbb{P}_{X}^{c-t-1}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s+1} \sum_{S_{\widetilde{I}}^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}^{-(c-t)x_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{s}a_{i}y_{i}} \\ &= [C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}^{c-t}-1)(\mathbb{L}-1)^{s} \sum_{S} \sum_{x_{0}=1}^{\min_{1\leq i\leq t}y_{i}-1} \mathbb{L}^{-(c-t)x_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{s}a_{i}y_{i}} \\ &= [C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s} \sum_{S} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X}}(1-\mathbb{L}^{-(c-t)(\min_{1\leq i\leq t}y_{i}-1)}). \end{split}$$

We now look at the terms involving $D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\circ}$ for $J \subsetneq I$. Recall that we always have $\{t + 1, \ldots, s\} \subset J$. There is a decomposition $S = \bigsqcup_{\{t+1,\ldots,s\} \subset J \subset I} S^J$ where

$$S^{J} := S \cap \{(y_1, \dots, y_s) \mid \text{for } 1 \le j \le t, \, y_j = \min_{1 \le i \le t} y_i \Leftrightarrow j \notin J\}.$$

The retraction map induces bijections $S'_{\widetilde{J}} \to S^J$, where the preimage of a point $(y_1, \ldots, y_s) \in S^J$ has value $\min_{1 \leq i \leq t} y_i$ in its 0-th coordinate, $y_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq t} y_i$ in its *i*-th coordinate for $1 \leq i \leq t$, and y_i in its *i*-th coordinate for $t+1 \leq i \leq s$. The term involving the stratum $D'_{\widetilde{J}}$ (for $J \subsetneq I$) is then equal to

$$\begin{split} [D_{\widetilde{J}}^{\prime \circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{|J|+1} \sum_{S_{\widetilde{J}}^{\prime}} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X}} &= [C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s} \mathbb{L}^{c-t} \sum_{S^{J}} \mathbb{L}^{-(c-t)\min_{1 \le i \le t} y_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i} y_{i}} \\ &= [C_{I}^{\circ}](\mathbb{L}-1)^{s} \sum_{S^{J}} \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X}} \mathbb{L}^{-(c-t)(\min_{1 \le i \le t} y_{i} - 1)}. \end{split}$$

Summing up the terms above, it is now clear that the formula stated in the lemma holds in this case as well. This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

6.2. Constructible motivic functions. For every π and I, we consider the ring of functions

$$P_{\pi,I} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{A}_X)$$

generated by constant functions with values in \mathbb{A}_X , \mathbf{L}_{PR} -definable functions $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}$, and functions of the form \mathbb{L}^{β} where β is an \mathbf{L}_{PR} -definable function $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}$. For every $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$, there is a unique ring homomorphism $\theta_q \colon \mathbb{A}_X \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\mathbb{L} \mapsto q$. We let $\operatorname{IP}_{\pi,I} \subset \operatorname{P}_{\pi,I}$ be the set of functions ϕ such that the series $\sum_{v \in \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \theta_q(\phi(v))$ converges for all q > 1. We interpret this as an integrability condition. By [CL08, Theorem-Definition 4.5.1], there is a unique \mathbb{A}_X -module homomorphism $\sum_{\operatorname{QM}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \colon \operatorname{IP}_{\pi,I} \to \mathbb{A}_X$ satisfying

$$\theta_q \Big(\sum_{\mathrm{QM}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \phi \Big) = \sum_{v \in \mathrm{QM}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \theta_q(\phi(v))$$

for all q > 1.

Let $P_{\pi} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{A}_X)$ be the set of functions ϕ that restrict to elements in $\mathrm{P}_{\pi,I}$ for every I, and let $\mathrm{P}_{\pi}^{\circ} \subset \mathrm{P}_{\pi}$ be the subring generated by the constant function \mathbb{L} and characteristic functions of the form $1_{\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}}$. There are natural inclusions $\mathrm{P}_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\pi'}$ for $\pi' \geq \pi$, given by pull-back, and we define $\mathrm{P}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) := \varinjlim_{\pi} \mathrm{P}_{\pi}$ and $\mathrm{P}^{\circ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) := \varinjlim_{\pi} \mathrm{P}_{\pi}^{\circ}$.

For every π , there is a unique ring homomorphism $P^{\circ}_{\pi} \to K_0(\operatorname{Var}_{X_{\pi}})$ sending $\mathbb{L} \mapsto \mathbb{L}_{X_{\pi}}$ and $r^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\pi} \circ 1_{\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mapsto [D^{\circ}_I]_{\pi}$, and IP_{π} is a P°_{π} -module under the action given by multiplication. Let

 $\mathrm{MP}_{\pi} := K_0(\mathrm{Var}_{X_{\pi}}) \otimes_{\mathrm{P}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{P}_{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{IMP}_{\pi} := K_0(\mathrm{Var}_{X_{\pi}}) \otimes_{\mathrm{P}_{\pi}^{\circ}} \mathrm{IP}_{\pi} \,.$

For every $\pi' \ge \pi$, there is a natural pull-back map $MP_{\pi} \to MP'_{\pi}$ which restricts to a map $IMP_{\pi} \to IMP_{\pi'}$. We can therefore define

 $\operatorname{MP}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{val}}) := \varinjlim_{\pi} \operatorname{MP}_{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{IMP}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{val}}) := \varinjlim_{\pi} \operatorname{IMP}_{\pi}.$

Remark 6.3. There is a natural isomorphisms $\operatorname{MP}_{\pi} \cong \bigoplus_{I} [D_{I}^{\circ}]_{\pi} K_{0}(\operatorname{Var}_{X_{\pi}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{L}]} \operatorname{P}_{\pi,I}$ given by $[V]_{\pi} \otimes \phi \mapsto ([V]_{\pi} [D_{I}^{\circ}]_{\pi} \otimes \phi|_{\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}})_{I}$. In Cluckers–Loeser's notation [CL08], $D_{I}^{\circ} \times \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a globally definable sub-assignment, and $\operatorname{MP}_{\pi} = \bigoplus_{I} \mathcal{C}(D_{I}^{\circ} \times \operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}).$

Definition 6.4. An element $f \in MP(X^{\mathbb{Z}val})$ is called a *constructibe motivic function* on $X^{\mathbb{Z}val}$. Such element is said to be *integrable* if it belongs to $IMP(X^{\mathbb{Z}val})$. If f is an integrable constructible function that is represented by an element $f_{\pi} \sum_{j} [V_j]_{\pi} \otimes \phi_j \in IMP_{\pi}$, then we define the *integral* of f to be the element of MA_X given by

$$\int_{X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}} := \sum_I [V_j \times_{X_\pi} D_I^\circ] \big(\mathbb{L} - 1\big)^{|I|} \sum_{\text{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \phi_j \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_X}.$$

The same proof of Theorem 4.13, with the obvious adaptation in the computations, shows that the definition of the integral is independent of any choice.

Starting from here, one can prove a change-of-variables formula and develop a functorial theory similarly as done in the previous sections. The change-of-variables formula is stated next.

Theorem 6.5. Let $h: Y \to X$ be a resolution of singularities, and let $f \in \text{IMP}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$. Then $(f \circ h^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})\mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{Jac}_h)} \in \operatorname{IMP}(Y^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$ and

$$\int_{X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}} = h_* \int_{Y^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} (f \circ h^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}) \mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(\operatorname{Jac}_h)} \, d\mu_Y^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Example 6.6. If X is smooth and $D = \sum d_i D_i$ is an effective simple normal crossing divisor, then

$$\int_{X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} \mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(D)} d\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}} = \sum_I [D_I^\circ] (\mathbb{L} - 1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} \sum_{m_i = 1}^\infty \mathbb{L}^{-m_i(d_i + 1)} = \sum_I \frac{[D_I^\circ]}{\prod_{i \in I} [\mathbb{P}_X^{d_i}]}.$$

This agrees with the usual motivic integral defined using arc spaces. It follows by Theorem 6.5 that integration over $X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}$ agrees with integration over X_{∞} for every variety X, in the following sense: if Z is any proper closed subscheme of a variety X then

$$\int_{X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} \mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(Z)} d\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}} = \int_{X_\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\operatorname{ord}(Z)} d\mu^{X_\infty}.$$

Note that both integrals take values in $M\mathbb{A}_X$. This also means that integration over $X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}$ agrees, in the sense discussed in [CL08, Section 16.3], with the one constructed by Cluckers and Loeser.

As for the functorial theory, it turns out that it is actually simpler to define pushforwards using the atomic measure since in this setting there is no longer need to keep track of potential faces and working with vector functions as we did in Section 5. Just to give an idea of how the functorial approach can be developed using the atomic measure, we overview some of the results one can prove in this setting.

As in Section 5, we fix a variety Z and a local snc model $\tau: (Z_{\tau}, F) \to Z$ over it. Given a variety X which dominates Z, and a local snc model $\pi: (X_{\pi}, D) \to X$ above τ , we are going to define the subspace $I_{\tau}MP_{\pi} \subset MP_{\pi}$ of constructible motivic functions that are relatively integrable over τ . If $b_{\pi\sigma}: (X_{\pi}, D) \to (Y_{\sigma}, E)$ is a morphism in the category $Lsnc_{\tau}$, then we will define a push-forward morphism

$$b_{\pi\sigma!}^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MP}_{\pi} \to \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MP}_{\sigma},$$

similarly as it was done in Section 5.3.

The first step is to define the subring $I_{\sigma}P_{\pi} \subset P_{\pi}$ of functions that are relatively integrable over σ . For every I, let $b_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}$: $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the restriction of $b_{\pi\sigma}^{\mathrm{Sk}}$: $\mathrm{Sk}_{\pi} \to \mathrm{Sk}_{\sigma}$.

Definition 6.7. We say that a function $\phi \in P_{\pi}$ is *relatively integrable over* σ if for every I and $w \in Sk_{\sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the series

$$\sum_{\in (b_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(w)} \theta_q(\phi(v)) q^{-\widehat{A}_X(v) + \widehat{A}_Y(w)}$$

converges for all q > 1. According to [CL08, Theorem–Definition 4.5.1], this gives an element of \mathbb{A}_X which we denote by $\sum_{(b_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(w)} \phi \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_X + \widehat{A}_Y}$. We denote by $I_{\sigma} P_{\pi} \subset P_{\pi}$ the subring of relatively integrable functions over σ , and define $I_{\sigma} MP_{\pi} := K_0(\operatorname{Var}_X) \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{\pi}^{\circ}} I_{\sigma} P_{\pi}$.

The next step is to define push-forward at the level of functions. By [CL08, Lemma 4.5.7], we have an inclusion $I_{\tau}P_{\pi} \subset I_{\sigma}P_{\pi}$, and for every $\phi \in I_{\tau}P_{\pi}$, the assignment

$$b_{\pi\sigma!}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi)(w) := \sum_{I} \sum_{(b_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}})^{-1}(w)} \phi \, \mathbb{L}^{-\widehat{A}_{X} + \widehat{A}_{Y}}.$$

for every $w \in \operatorname{Sk}_{\sigma}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defines an element $b_{\pi\sigma!}(\phi) \in \mathcal{F}(\operatorname{Sk}_{\pi,I}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{A}_X)$. By [CL08, Theorem– Definition 4.5.1], this element belongs to P_{π} , and in fact to $I_{\tau}P_{\sigma}$ by [CL08, Lemma 4.5.7]. After proving the analogue of Lemma 5.17 in the present setting, we obtain the desired push-forward map $b_{\pi\sigma!}^{\mathbb{Z}}: I_{\tau}MP_{\pi} \to I_{\tau}MP_{\sigma}$.

Remark 6.8. As explained in [CL08, Section 4.2], one can define a partial ordering in \mathbb{A}_X , and hence the semiring $P_{\pi}^+ \subset P_{\pi}$ of functions $\phi \geq 0$. Restricting then to such functions, one can use part (2) of [CL08, Lemma 4.5.7] to prove a Tonelli type statement analogous to part (2) of Proposition 5.14.

35

If $\pi' \geq \pi$ is a higher local snc model over X and $\alpha \colon X'_{\pi} \to X_{\pi}$ is the corresponding map, then we have a push-forward map $\alpha_! \colon I_{\tau} MP_{\pi'} \to I_{\tau} MP_{\pi}$, hence we can define

$$I_{\tau} MQ(X^{\mathbb{Z}val}) := \varprojlim_{\pi} I_{\tau} MP_{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{Z^{\mathbb{Z}val}} MQ(X^{\mathbb{Z}val}) = \varinjlim_{\tau} I_{\tau} MQ(X^{\mathbb{Z}val}),$$

and b induces push-forward maps

$$b_!^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MQ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{I}_{\tau}\mathrm{MQ}(Y^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_!^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon \mathrm{I}_{Z^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}}\mathrm{MQ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{I}_{Z^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}}\mathrm{MQ}(Y^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}).$$

Just like in Theorem 5.21, the assignments $X \mapsto I_{\tau} MQ(X^{\mathbb{Z}val})$ and $X \mapsto I_{Z^{\mathbb{Z}val}} MQ(X^{\mathbb{Z}val})$ are functorial. Here we use again [CL08, Lemma 4.5.7].

When Z = X and $\tau = \mathrm{id}_X$, we denote $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{id}_X} \mathrm{MQ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}})$ simply by $\mathrm{IMQ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}})$. Note that $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{id}_X} \mathrm{MP}_{\mathrm{id}_X} = \mathrm{MA}_X$, hence we have a map

$$t_X^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon \mathrm{I}_X \mathrm{MQ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}) \to \mathrm{MA}_X$$

Definition 6.9. An element $g \in \text{IMQ}(X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$ is called an *integrable constructible motivic function*. Its *integral* is defined to be

$$\int_{X^{\mathbb{Z}\mathrm{val}}} g \, d\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}} := t_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(g)$$

We have the following analogue of Theorem 5.24.

Theorem 6.10. There is a natural inclusion $IMP(X^{\mathbb{Z}val}) \hookrightarrow IMQ(X^{\mathbb{Z}val})$ that is compatible with respect to the corresponding definitions of integral.

We finish this section by revisiting Example 5.26 from the viewpoint of atomic measures. While the answer is of course the same, comparing the computations gives a good sense of the difference between the two approaches.

Example 6.11. Keeping the same notation as in Example 5.26, consider now $f_{\pi} = [P] \otimes 1$ as an element of MP_{π} and f as an element of $MP(X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}})$. We let $b_R^{\mathbb{Z}} \colon R^{\mathbb{Z}} \to S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the restriction of b_R , where $R^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset R$ and $S^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset S$ are the subsets of integral points in the respective coordinates x and y. We denote by m and n the points of these sets and identify them with their positive integer values. Note that $b_R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is given by n = 2m, hence $b_R^{\mathbb{Z}}(R^{\mathbb{Z}}) = S^{2\mathbb{Z}}$, the subset of $S^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of even integral points. Then $b_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(f)$ is represented at level σ by

$$b_{\pi\sigma!}^{\mathbb{Z}}(f_{\pi}) = b_{\pi\sigma*}[P] \otimes b_{\pi\sigma!}^{\mathbb{Z}}(1) = \begin{cases} [Q] \otimes \mathbb{L}_{Y}^{-\frac{n}{2}+n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

hence

$$t_Y^{\mathbb{Z}}(b_!^{\mathbb{Z}}(f)) = \int_{Y^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} b_!^{\mathbb{Z}}(f) \, d\mu_Y^{\mathbb{Z}} = [Q](\mathbb{L}_Y - 1) \sum_{m \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \mathbb{L}_Y^{(-\frac{n}{2} + n) - n} = [Q].$$

On the other hand,

$$b_*(t_X^{\mathbb{Z}}(f)) = b_*\left(\int_{X^{\mathbb{Z}\text{val}}} f \, d\mu_X^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) = b_*\left([P](\mathbb{L}_X - 1)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_X^{-n}\right) = [Q]$$

where $b_*: M\mathbb{A}_X \to M\mathbb{A}_Y$ is the map induced by push-forward on Grothendieck rings.

References

- [AKMW02] Dan Abramovich, Kalle Karu, Kenji Matsuki, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, Torification and factorization of birational maps, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 3, 531–572. ↑13
 - [Bat99] Victor V. Batyrev, Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 1 (1999), no. 1, 5–33. ↑1
 - [Ber90] Vladimir G. Berkovich, Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990. [↑]2, 4
 - [Ber99] _____, Smooth p-adic analytic spaces are locally contractible, Invent. Math. 137 (1999), no. 1, 1-84. $\uparrow 2$
- [BdFFU15] Sebastien Boucksom, Tommaso de Fernex, Charles Favre, and Stefano Urbinati, Valuation spaces and multiplier ideals on singular varieties, Recent Advances in Algebraic Geometry, a conference in honor of Rob Lazarsfeld's 60th birthday, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 2015, pp. 29–51. ↑2, 6, 16
 - [Bou89] Nicolas Bourbaki, Algebra. I. Chapters 1-3, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. Translated from the French; Reprint of the 1974 edition. ↑14
 - [Clu03] Raf Cluckers, Presburger sets and p-minimal fields, J. Symbolic Logic **68** (2003), no. 1, 153–162. $\uparrow 30$
 - [CL08] Raf Cluckers and François Loeser, Constructible motivic functions and motivic integration, Invent. Math. 173 (2008), no. 1, 23–121. ↑1, 3, 4, 18, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35
 - [CL10] _____, Constructible exponential functions, motivic Fourier transform and transfer principle, Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 2, 1011–1065. ↑1
 - [DL99] Jan Denef and François Loeser, Germs of arcs on singular algebraic varieties and motivic integration, Invent. Math. 135 (1999), no. 1, 201–232. ↑1, 17
 - [DL02] _____, Motivic integration, quotient singularities and the McKay correspondence, Compositio Math. 131 (2002), no. 3, 267–290. ↑1
 - [HK06] Ehud Hrushovski and David Kazhdan, Integration in valued fields, Algebraic geometry and number theory, Progr. Math., vol. 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 261–405. ↑2
 - [JM12] Mattias Jonsson and Mircea Mustață, Valuations and asymptotic invariants for sequences of ideals, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 62 (2012), no. 6, 2145–2209 (2013) (English, with English and French summaries). ↑2, 6, 7
 - [Kon95] Maxim Kontsevich, String cohomology, 1995. Lecture at Orsay. ↑1, 17
 - [LS03] François Loeser and Julien Sebag, Motivic integration on smooth rigid varieties and invariants of degenerations, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 2, 315–344. ↑2
 - [Loo
02] Eduard Looijenga, Motivic measures, Astérisque 276 (2002), 267–297. Séminaire Bourbaki,
 Vol. 1999/2000. $\uparrow 1$
 - [Mar02] David Marker, *Model theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 217, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. An introduction. [↑]30
 - [Rei02] Miles Reid, La correspondance de McKay, Astérisque 276 (2002), 53–72. Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1999/2000. ↑1, 16
 - [Thu07] Amaury Thuillier, Géométrie toroïdale et géométrie analytique non archimédienne. Application au type d'homotopie de certains schémas formels, Manuscripta Math. 123 (2007), no. 4, 381– 451 (French, with English summary). ↑2, 4, 6
 - [Wło03] Jarosław Włodarczyk, Toroidal varieties and the weak factorization theorem, Invent. Math. 154 (2003), no. 2, 223–331. ↑13
 - [Wło05] _____, Simple Hironaka resolution in characteristic zero, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), no. 4, 779–822. ↑12

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 48112, USA *Email address*: defernex@math.utah.edu

Email address: malccad@gmail.com