

A NOTE ON BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY ON A SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SURFACE

SICHEN LI

ABSTRACT. A question of the bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface X asserts that there exists a positive constant c_X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq c_X h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$ for every prime divisor C on X . We first note that this conjecture is true for such X with the Picard number $\rho(X) = 1$. And then when $\rho(X) = 2$, we prove that if the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ and X has a negative curve, then this conjecture holds for X .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. By a curve on a surface we will mean a reduced, irreducible curve.

The bounded negativity conjecture (BNC for short) is one of the most intriguing problems in the theory of projective surfaces and can be formulated as follows.

Conjecture 1.1. [2, Conjecture 1.1] *For a smooth projective surface X there exists an integer $b(X) \geq 0$ such that $C^2 \geq -b(X)$ for every curve $C \subseteq X$.*

Let us say that a smooth projective surface X has

$$b(X) > 0$$

if there is at least one negative curve on X .

The following conjecture (implying the BNC) of the bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface is due to Harbourne, Ro e, Ciliberto and Miranda in [1, Conjecture 2.5.3].

Conjecture 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective surface. Then there exists a positive constant c_X such that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \leq c_X h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$ for every curve C on X .*

Remark 1.3. Note that there is a counterexample of surface X of general type in [1, Corollary 3.1.2] for Conjecture 1.2 when X has a large Picard number. However, the authors of [1] pointed out that it could be true that Conjecture 1.2 holds when restricted to rational surfaces. Indeed, they showed in [1, Proposition 3.1.3] that the smooth projective

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 14C20 .

Key words and phrases. bounded negativity, bounding cohomology, Picard number 2.

rational surfaces with an effective anticanonical divisor satisfy Conjecture 1.2. Recently, there exists some new evidences for Conjecture 1.2 in [7, 8]. We present it as below.

Definition 1.4. Let X be a smooth projective surface. If X satisfies Conjecture 1.2, we say that X satisfies the bounded cohomology.

Now we quote the following definition in [8].

Definition 1.5. [8, Definition 2.2] Let X be a smooth projective surface.

(1) For every \mathbb{R} divisor D with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X , we define a value l_D of D as follows:

$$l_D := \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{\max \left\{ 1, D^2 \right\}}.$$

(2) For every \mathbb{R} -divisor D with $D^2 = 0$ on X , we define a value l_D of D as follows:

$$l_D := \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{\max \left\{ 1, h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) \right\}}$$

Remark 1.6. Ciliberto and his coauthors asked in [3, Question 4] that whether there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_D \leq m(X)$ for every curve D with $D^2 > 0$ on X . When the closed Mori cone $\text{NE}(X)$ is generated by some curves, this question is affirmative (cf. Proposition 2.3). Moreover, the question motivated us to give a numerical characterization of Conjecture 1.2 in [8].

Proposition 1.7. *Let X be a smooth projective surface. If there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_C \leq m(X)$ for every curve C on X and $|D^2| \leq m(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D))$ for every curve D with either $D^2 < 0$ or $l_D > 1$ and $D^2 > 0$ on X , then X satisfies Conjecture 1.2.*

We say a surface X satisfies *uniformly bounded for l_C* if there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_C \leq m(X)$ for every curve C on X . We say a surface X satisfies *uniformly bounded for C^2* if there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $|C^2| \leq m(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$.

Remark 1.8. Proposition 1.7 established that the uniformly bounded for l_C and C^2 implies the bounded cohomology.

Thanks to Proposition 1.7, we can quickly prove the following result.

Proposition 1.9. *Every smooth projective surface X with the Picard number $\rho(X) = 1$ satisfies the bounded cohomology.*

Now we may assume that $\rho(X) \geq 2$.

In [7], we gave a classification of the smooth projective surface with $\rho(X) = 2$ and two negative curves. This result motivated us to showed in [8] that every smooth projective surface with $\rho(X) = 2$ and $b(X) > 0$ satisfies the bounded cohomology if either the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 1$ or two negative curves. One observed that the closed Mori cone $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ of these surfaces is generated by two curves. This motivates the following question.

Question 1.10. [8, Question 3.6] *Let X be a smooth projective surface with $\rho(X) = r$ and C_i some curves on X . Suppose that $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$. Is Conjecture 1.2 true for X ?*

Remark 1.11. For Question 1.10, we show in Proposition 2.3 that X has finitely many negatively curves (hence satisfies the BNC) and there is a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_C \leq m(X)$ for every curve C with $C^2 \neq 0$ on X .

Remark 1.12. For Question 1.10, we wish that there exists a new result with the higher Picard number but the case that $-K_X$ is effective. For example, Joaquim Roé asked us that whether Question 1.10 is affirmative for every Mori dream space in dimension two.

Now we give the main result to answer Question 1.10.

Theorem 1.13. *Let X be a smooth projective surface with the Picard number $\rho(X) = 2$. If the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ and $b(X) > 0$, then Question 1.10 is affirmative for X .*

Remark 1.14. When $\rho(X) = 2$, the author of [9] may reduce Question 1.10 to the case that $K_X = aC_1 + bC_2$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and every curve $D = a_1C_1 + a_2C_2$ with $a_1 > a$ and $0 < 2a_2 < b$. Moreover, the author of [9] show that Question 1.10 is true for such X with either $\kappa(X) = 2$, the irregularity $q(X) = 0$ and the Iitaka dimension $\kappa(X, C_1) = 1$ or $\kappa(X) = 0$.

2. STUDY FOR l_C

Definition 2.1. [6, Defintion 2.1.1] Let L be a line bundle on the irreducible projective variety X . The *semigroup* of L consists of those non-negative powers of L that have a non-zero section:

$$\mathbb{Z}(L) = \mathbb{Z}(X, L) = \{m \geq 0 | H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{Z}(L) = (0)$ if $H^0(X, L^{\otimes m}) = 0$ for all $m > 0$. Assuming $\mathbb{Z}(L) \neq (0)$, all sufficiently large elements of $\mathbb{Z}(X, L)$ are multiples of a largest single natural number

$e = e(L) \geq 1$, which we may call the *exponent* of L and all sufficiently large multiples of $e(L)$ appear in $\mathbb{Z}(X, L)$. The semigroup $\mathbb{Z}(X, D)$ and exponent $e = e(D)$ of a Cartier divisor D are defined analogously, or equivalently by passing to $L = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let C be a curve on a smooth projective surface X . Suppose $C^2 = 0$. Then there exists a constant a_C such that $l_D \leq a_C$ for (if there exists) every curve $D \in |nC|$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}(X, C)$.*

Proof. Take a curve $D \in |nC|$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}(X, C)$. Then $n = e(L)n_D$ with $n_D \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\kappa(X, C) = 0$, then the set

$$\left\{ D \text{ is a curve } \left| D \in |nC|, n \in \mathbb{Z}(X, C) \right. \right\}$$

consists an element. Therefore, we may assume that $\kappa(X, C) = 1$. By [6, Corollary 2.1.38], there exists a constant a and sufficiently large integer $M = M(C)$ such that

$$an \leq h^0(X, nC)$$

for all sufficiently large $n \geq M$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}(X, C)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{n(K_X \cdot C)}{h^0(X, nC)} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \{l_{mC}\}, \frac{(K_X \cdot C)}{a} \right\} := a_C. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

To answer Question 1.10, we may show the following result.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a smooth projective surface and some curves C_i on X . Suppose that $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho(X)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$. Then X has finitely many negative curves and there exists a positive constant $m(X)$ such that $l_D \leq m(X)$ for every curve D with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X .*

Proof. Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho(X)} a_i C_i$ be a curve with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X and each $a_i \geq 0$. If $D^2 < 0$, then $[D]$ is extremal in $\overline{\text{NE}}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho(X)} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C_i]$. Let $J = \{j | C_j^2 < 0\}$. As a result, $[D] = [C_j]$ and then $l_D := l_{C_j}$ with $j \in J$. In particular, X has finitely many negative

curves. Now we may assume that $D^2 > 0$. As a result, $D \cdot C_i \geq 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{K_X \cdot D}{D^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r a_i(K_X \cdot C_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i(D \cdot C_i)} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \left\{ \frac{|K_X \cdot C_i|}{D \cdot C_i} \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{|K_X \cdot C_i|\} := C(X). \end{aligned}$$

In all, there exists a positive constant $m(X) = \max\{C(X), \max_{j \in J} \{l_{C_j}\}\}$ such that $l_D \leq m(X)$ for every curve D with $D^2 \neq 0$ on X . \square

3. THE PROOF

Proof of Proposition 1.9. We may assume that $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ or $\kappa(X) = 2$. Note that every curve C on X is nef and big. If $\kappa(X) \leq 0$, then $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 0$ follows from Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem (cf. [5, Theorem 2.64]). If $\kappa(X) = 2$, then we may assume that $K_X = aH$ and $C = bH$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Now $(K_X - C)C = (a - b)bH^2$. Note that $h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D)) \leq p_g(X)$ by Serre duality (cf. [4, Corollary III.7.7 and III.7.12]). As a result,

$$(1) \quad h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \leq q(X) - 1.$$

Here $p_g(X)$ and $q(X)$ are the geometric genus and the irregularity of X .

If $b \geq a$, then $(K_X - C)C \leq 0$. Then by Riemann-Roch theorem and (1) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) + \frac{(K_X - C)C}{2} - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\leq q(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C)). \end{aligned}$$

If $b < a$, then $C^2 \leq a^2H^2h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(C))$. This ends the proof by Proposition 1.7. \square

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a ruled surface over a smooth curve B of genus g , with invariant e . Let $C \subset X$ be a section, and let f be a fibre. If $b(X) > 0$, then X satisfies the bounded cohomology.*

Proof. We first show that $e > 0$ if and only if $b(X) > 0$. By [4, Proposition V.2.3 and 2.9],

$$\text{Pic } X \cong \mathbb{Z}C \oplus \pi^* \text{Pic } B, C \cdot f = 1, f^2 = 0, C^2 = -e, K_X \equiv -2C + (2g - 2 - e)f.$$

If $e > 0$, then $C^2 < 0$ (hence $b(X) > 0$). Conversely, we may assume that $b(X) > 0$. Then there exists a negative curve $C' = aC + bf$ on X . As a result, $C' = C$ by [4, Propositions 2.20 and 2.21]. Therefore, we have $e = -(C')^2 > 0$.

Now by [4, Proposition V.2.20(a)], $a > 0, b \geq ae$. As a result, every curve $D (\neq C, f)$ has $D^2 > 0, (C \cdot D) \geq 0$ and $(f \cdot D) > 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} l_D &= \frac{(K_X \cdot D)}{D^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2(C \cdot D) + |2g - 2 - e|(f \cdot D)}{a(C \cdot D) + b(f \cdot D)} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{a}, \frac{|2g - 2 - e|}{b} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, a and b are positive integers. So there exists a positive constant $m = \max\{2, |2g - 2 - e|, l_C, l_f\}$ such that $l_F \leq m$ for every curve F on X .

If $b \geq 2g - 2 - e$, then

$$(2) \quad (K_X - D)D = -(2 + a)(C \cdot D) + (2g - 2 - e - b)(f \cdot D) \leq 0.$$

By Riemann-Roch theorem, (1) and (2) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) &= h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + h^2(\mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \frac{(K_X - D)D}{2} - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ &\leq q(X)h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(D)). \end{aligned}$$

If $b < 2g - 2 - e$, then $a < (2g - 2 - e)e^{-1}$ by $b \geq ae$. As a result, $D^2 < 2(2g - 2 - e)^2e^{-1}$. Hence, by Proposition 1.7, X satisfies the bounded cohomology. \square

The following is well-known.

Lemma 3.2. [4, 5] *Let X be a smooth projective surface. If the canonical divisor K_X is pseudo-effective, then the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \geq 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.13. Let S be a relatively minimal model of X . A smooth projective surface S is relatively minimal if it has no (-1) -rational curves. By the Enriques-Kodaira classification of relatively minimal surfaces (cf. [4, 5]), it must be one of the following cases: a surface with nef canonical divisor, a ruled surface or \mathbb{P}^2 . Since $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, by Lemma 3.2, K_X is not nef. Therefore, S is either a ruled surface or \mathbb{P}^2 . As a result, $\rho(X) = 2$ implies that X is either a ruled surface or one point blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 . If X is ruled surface, then the proof follows from Lemma 3.1. Now suppose $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is one point blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 with a exceptional curve E and $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2) = \mathbb{Z}[H]$, where $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Then $K_X = \pi^*(-3H) + E$ and $C = \pi^*(dH) - mE$, where $m := \text{mult}_p(\pi_*C)$ and C is a curve on X . Note that $d \geq m$ since π_*C is a plane projective curve. Thus, every curve C (not E) on X has $C^2 \geq 0$ and then C is nef. Since $-K_X$ is ample, $C - K_X$ is ample. Therefore, by Kodaira vanishing theorem, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) = 0$. Therefore, X satisfies the bounded cohomology. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Prof. Meng Chen for his constant encouragement and the anonymous referee for several suggestions. The author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11601088,12071078).

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bauer, C. Bocci, S. Cooper, S. D. Rocci, M. Dumnicki, B. Harbourne, K. Jabbusch, A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya, R. Miranda, J. Roé, H. Schenck, T. Szemberg, and Z. Teithler, *Recent developments and open problems in linear series*, In: Contributions to Algebraic Geometry, p. 93-140, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012.
- [2] T. Bauer, B. Harbourne, A. L. Knutsen, A. Küronya, S. Müller-Stach, X. Roulleau, and T. Szemberg, *Negative curves on algebraic surfaces*, Duke Math. J. **162**(10)(2013), 1877-1894.
- [3] C. Ciliberto, A. L. Knutsen, J. Lesieutre, V. Lozovanu, R. Miranda, Y. Mustopa, and D. Testa, *A few questions about curves on surfaces*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo. II. Ser. **66** (2)(2017),195-204.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [5] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry, I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. **48**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] S. Li, *A note on a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2*, Math. Nachr. **292** (2019), no. 12, 2637-2642.
- [8] S. Li, *Bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2*, Commun. Algebra **49** (2021), no. 7, 3140-3144.
- [9] S. Li, *Bounding cohomology on a smooth projective surface with Picard number 2 revisited*, preprint, 2021.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, 220 HANDAN ROAD, YANGPU DISTRICT, SHANGHAI 200433, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: sichenli@fudan.edu.cn