# EXAMPLES OF PLANE RATIONAL CURVES WITH TWO GALOIS POINTS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC, II

#### SATORU FUKASAWA AND KATSUSHI WAKI

ABSTRACT. It is proved that there exist plane rational curves of degree twelve (resp. twenty-four) with two different outer Galois points such that the Galois group at one of two Galois points is an alternating group  $A_4$  (resp. a symmetric group  $S_4$ ) of degree four, under the assumption that the characteristic of the ground field is eleven (resp. is twenty-three). For an alternating group  $A_5$  of degree five, a similar existence theorem is confirmed, over a field of characteristic 59, by GAP system.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be an irreducible plane curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  with k(C) as its function field. For a point  $P \in \mathbb{P}^2$ , if the function field extension  $k(C)/\pi_P^*k(\mathbb{P}^1)$  induced by the projection  $\pi_P$  is Galois, then P is called a Galois point for C. This notion was introduced by Yoshihara ([5, 6]). Furthermore, if a Galois point P is contained in  $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ , then P is said to be outer. The associated Galois group at P is denoted by  $G_P$ .

It was difficult to prove the existence of plane curves  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree d = 12 with two Galois points  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  such that  $G_{P_1}$  is isomorphic to an alternating group  $A_4$  of degree four. For example, for plane rational curves C of degree d over a field k of characteristic p = 0, the case where d = 12, 24 or 60 is excluded in Yoshihara's paper [7]. In the present article, it is proved that there exist plane rational curves of this kind, which update the Table in [8], as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let p = 11. Then there exist plane rational curves  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree d = 12 with different outer Galois points  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  such that the associated Galois groups  $G_{P_1}$ ,  $G_{P_2}$  are in the following each cases:

(a) 
$$G_{P_1} \cong A_4$$
,  $G_{P_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ ;

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 14H50, 20G40.

Key words and phrases. Galois point, plane curve, Galois group, projective linear groups.

The first author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K03438.

- (b)  $G_{P_1} \cong A_4$ ,  $G_{P_2} \cong D_{12}$ , where  $D_{12}$  is the dihedral group of order 12;
- (c)  $G_{P_1} \cong G_{P_2} \cong A_4$ .

For a symmetric group  $S_4$  of degree four, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let p=23. Then there exist plane rational curves  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree d=24 with different outer Galois points  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  such that the associated Galois groups  $G_{P_1}, G_{P_2}$  are in the following each cases:

- (a)  $G_{P_1} \cong S_4$ ,  $G_{P_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (b)  $G_{P_1} \cong S_4, G_{P_2} \cong D_{24};$
- (c)  $G_{P_1} \cong G_{P_2} \cong S_4$ .

For an alternating group  $A_5$  of degree five, we have the following theorem, which will be confirmed by GAP system [4].

**Theorem 3.** Let p = 59. Then there exist plane rational curves  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree d = 60 with different outer Galois points  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  such that the associated Galois groups  $G_{P_1}$ ,  $G_{P_2}$  are in the following each cases:

- (a)  $G_{P_1} \cong A_5, G_{P_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/60\mathbb{Z};$
- (b)  $G_{P_1} \cong A_5$ ,  $G_{P_2} \cong D_{60}$ ;
- (c)  $G_{P_1} \cong G_{P_2} \cong A_5$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

According to [1, Theorem 1 and Remark 1] and Lüroth's theorem, the following theorem holds (see also [2, Fact 2], [3, Problem 1]).

Fact 1. Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1$  and let  $G_1, G_2 \subset PGL(2, k)$  be different finite subgroups with  $|G_1| = |G_2|$ . If the two conditions

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}$ , and
- (b) the orbits  $G_1Q$ ,  $G_2Q$  have length  $|G_1| = |G_2|$  and  $G_1Q = G_2Q$

are satisfied, then there exists a plane rational curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $|G_1| = |G_2|$  with two different outer Galois points  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$  such that  $G_{P_i} = G_i$  for i = 1, 2.

To prove Theorem 1 (a) (resp. Theorem 1 (b), Theorem 1 (c)), we have to prove the existence of a pair  $(G_1, G_2)$  of subgroups  $G_1, G_2 \subset PGL(2, k)$  such that  $G_1 \cong A_4$ and  $G_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$  (resp.  $G_1 \cong A_4$  and  $G_2 \cong D_{12}$ ,  $G_1 \cong G_2 \cong A_4$ ), and conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for  $(G_1, G_2)$ . Let  $\alpha = 2 \in \mathbb{F}_{11}$ , which is a primitive element. Let  $\sigma, \tau, \eta \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong PGL(2, k)$  be represented by matrices

$$A_{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \alpha^4 \\ 1 & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, that is,  $\sigma(s,t) = (s,t)A_{\sigma}$ ,  $\tau(s,t) = (s,t)A_{\tau}$ ,  $\eta(s,t) = (s,t)A_{\eta}$ . The following lemma can be confirmed by hand.

**Lemma 1.** For matrices  $A, B \in GL(2, k)$ , if the classes of A, B are the same, then it is denoted by  $A \sim B$ . Then:

- (a)  $\sigma$ ,  $\tau$  are of order two.
- (b)  $\eta$  is of order three.
- (c)  $A_{\sigma}A_{\tau} \sim A_{\tau}A_{\sigma}$ .
- (d)  $A_n^{-1}A_{\sigma}A_{\eta} \sim A_{\tau}$
- (e)  $A_{\eta}^{-1}A_{\tau}A_{\eta} \sim A_{\sigma}A_{\tau}$ .
- (f) The group  $G_1 := \langle \sigma, \tau, \eta \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $A_4$ .
- (g) The following three matrices represent all elements of  $G_1 \cong A_4$  of order two:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right), \ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 10 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right), \ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 9 & 9 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}\right).$$

(h) The following eight matrices represent all elements of  $G_1 \cong A_4$  of order three:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 5 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(i) The group  $G_1$  acts on the set  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$  transitively, where  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$  is the set of all  $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ -rational points of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

Let  $\xi$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  represented by

$$A_{\xi} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & 1\\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

and let  $G_2 := \langle \xi \rangle$ . It is not difficult to confirm the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** The order of  $\xi$  is twelve, and  $G_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$  transitively.

For the following proposition, we give the proof of assertion (a), which is the most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 1 (a).

**Proposition 1.** The pair  $(G_1, G_2)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = G_2Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_2)$ .

*Proof.* We prove assertion (a). Assume by contradiction that  $G_1 \cap G_2 \neq \{1\}$ . Since  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is a subgroup of  $A_4$  and of a cyclic group  $\langle \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $\xi^6 \in G_1$  or  $\xi^4 \in G_1$ . Note that

$$A_{\xi}^6 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\xi}^4 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Lemma 1 (g) (resp. Lemma 1 (h)), it follows that  $\xi^6 \notin G_1$  (resp. that  $\xi^4 \notin G_1$ ). This is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1 (a). Proposition 1 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 1 (a).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\sigma', \tau' \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  be represented by matrices

$$A_{\sigma'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha^3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\tau'} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & 1 \\ \alpha^2 & \alpha^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. It is not difficult to confirm the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** (a)  $\sigma'$  is of order two.

- (b)  $\tau'$  is of order six.
- (c)  $A_{\sigma'}^{-1} A_{\tau'} A_{\sigma'} \sim A_{\tau'}^{-1}$ .
- (d) The group  $G_3 := \langle \sigma', \tau' \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $D_{12}$ .
- (e) The group  $G_3$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$  transitively.

For the following proposition, we give the proof of assertion (a), which is the most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 1 (b).

**Proposition 2.** The pair  $(G_1, G_3)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_3 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = G_3Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_3)$ .

*Proof.* We prove assertion (a). Assume by contradiction that  $G_1 \cap G_3 \neq \{1\}$ . Since  $G_1 \cap G_3$  is a subgroup of  $A_4$ , it follows that  $G_1 \cap G_3$  contains an element of order two or three.

Assume that  $G_1 \cap G_3$  contains an element of order three. Since  $G_1 \cap G_3$  is a subgroup of  $G_3 \cong D_{12}$ , it follows that  $\tau'^2 \in G_1$ . Note that

$$A_{\tau'}^2 \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3 & 3\\ 1 & 6 \end{array}\right)$$

According to Lemma 1 (h), it follows that  $\tau'^2 \notin G_1$ . This is a contradiction.

Assume that  $G_1 \cap G_3$  contains an element of order two. It follows that  $\sigma \in G_1 \cap G_3$ ,  $\tau \in G_1 \cap G_3$  or  $\sigma \tau \in G_1 \cap G_3$ . Assume that  $\sigma \in G_1 \cap G_3$ . Then  $\sigma \tau'^3 = \tau'^3 \sigma$ . Note that

$$A_{\tau'}^3 \sim \left(\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 7 \end{array}\right)$$

It follows that

$$A_{\sigma}A_{\tau'}^3 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 9 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\tau'}^3 A_{\sigma} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 9 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that  $\sigma \tau'^3 \neq \tau'^3 \sigma$ . This is a contradiction.

Assume that  $\tau \in G_1 \cap G_3$ . Then  $\tau \tau'^3 = \tau'^3 \tau$ . It follows that

$$A_{\tau}A_{\tau'}^{3} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\tau'}^{3}A_{\tau} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 1 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that  $\tau \tau'^3 \neq \tau'^3 \tau$ . This is a contradiction.

Assume that  $\sigma \tau \in G_1 \cap G_3$ . Then  $(\sigma \tau)\tau'^3 = \tau'^3(\sigma \tau)$ . It follows that

$$A_{\sigma\tau}A_{\tau'}^3 \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\tau'}^3A_{\sigma\tau} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 4 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that  $(\sigma \tau)\tau'^3 \neq \tau'^3(\sigma \tau)$ . This is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1 (b). Proposition 2 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 1 (b).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\iota$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  represented by

$$A_{\iota} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} lpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

and let  $G_4 := \iota G_1 \iota^{-1}$ . For the following proposition, we give the proof of assertion (a), which is the most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 1 (c).

**Proposition 3.** The pair  $(G_1, G_4)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_4 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{11}) = G_4Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_4)$ .

*Proof.* We prove assertion (a). The following three matrices represent all elements of  $G_4$  of order two:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}0&6\\1&0\end{array}\right),\;\left(\begin{array}{cc}5&5\\1&6\end{array}\right),\;\left(\begin{array}{cc}10&5\\1&1\end{array}\right).$$

According to Lemma 1 (g), it follows that  $G_1 \cap G_4$  does not contain an element of order two. The following eight matrices represent all elements of  $G_4$  of order three:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 4 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 9 \\ 1 & 8 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 9 \\ 1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 3 \\ 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Lemma 1 (h), it follows that  $G_1 \cap G_4$  does not contain an element of order three. The claim follows.

Proof of Theorem 1 (c). Proposition 3 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 1 (c).  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\alpha = 5 \in \mathbb{F}_{23}$ , which is a primitive element. Let  $\sigma, \tau, \eta, \mu := \eta^2 \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong PGL(2, k)$  be represented by matrices

$$A_{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \alpha^7 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{12} & \alpha^7 \\ 1 & \alpha^3 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha^{10} \\ \alpha^6 & \alpha^{15} \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -\alpha^7 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. The following lemma can be confirmed by hand.

**Lemma 4.** (a)  $\sigma$ ,  $\mu$  are of order two.

- (b)  $\tau$  is of order three.
- (c)  $\eta$  is of order four.
- (d)  $A_{\sigma}A_{\mu} \sim A_{\mu}A_{\sigma}$ .
- (e)  $A_{\tau}^{-1} A_{\sigma} A_{\tau} \sim A_{\mu}, A_{\tau}^{-1} A_{\mu} A_{\tau} \sim A_{\sigma} A_{\mu}.$
- (f)  $A_n^{-1} A_{\sigma} A_{\eta} \sim A_{\sigma} A_{\mu}, A_n^{-1} A_{\mu} A_{\eta} \sim A_{\mu}.$
- (g)  $A_{\eta}^{-1} A_{\tau} A_{\eta} \sim A_{\sigma} A_{\mu} A_{\tau}^{2}$ .
- (h)  $A_{\eta}^{-1} A_{\tau}^2 A_{\eta} \sim A_{\mu} A_{\tau}$
- (i)  $\langle \sigma, \mu, \tau \rangle \cong A_4$ , and  $G_1 := \langle \sigma, \tau, \eta, \mu \rangle \cong S_4$ .
- (j) The group  $G_1$  acts on the set  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23})$  transitively.

$$\begin{split} O_1 &= \{(0:1), (1:\alpha), (1:\alpha^3), (1:\alpha^6), (1:\alpha^7), (1:\alpha^{18})\}, \\ O_2 &= \{(1:0), (1:\alpha^8), (1:\alpha^9), (1:\alpha^{12}), (1:\alpha^{14}), (1:\alpha^{19})\}, \\ O_3 &= \{(1:1), (1:\alpha^2), (1:\alpha^4), (1:\alpha^{10}), (1:\alpha^{17}), (1:\alpha^{21})\}, \\ O_4 &= \{(1:\alpha^5), (1:\alpha^{11}), (1:\alpha^{13}), (1:\alpha^{15}), (1:\alpha^{16}), (1:\alpha^{20})\}, \\ namely, \, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23}) &= O_1 \cup O_2 \cup O_3 \cup O_4. \ \, Then \ \, it \ \, follows \ \, that \\ & \sigma(O_1) &= O_2, \sigma(O_2) = O_1, \sigma(O_3) = O_4, \sigma(O_4) = O_3, \\ & \tau(O_1) &= O_1, \tau(O_2) = O_3, \tau(O_3) = O_4, \tau(O_4) = O_2, \\ & \eta(O_1) &= O_2, \eta(O_2) = O_3, \eta(O_3) = O_4, \eta(O_4) = O_1. \end{split}$$

In particular,  $G_1$  acts on the set  $\{O_1, O_2, O_3, O_4\}$  faithfully.

Let  $\xi$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  represented by

$$A_{\xi} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

and let  $G_2 := \langle \xi \rangle$ . It is not difficult to confirm the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** The order of  $\xi$  is twenty-four, and  $G_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23})$  transitively.

For the following proposition, we give the proof of assertion (a), which is the most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 2 (a).

**Proposition 4.** The pair  $(G_1, G_2)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23}) = G_2Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_2)$ .

*Proof.* We prove assertion (a). Assume by contradiction that  $G_1 \cap G_2 \neq \{1\}$ . Since  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is a subgroup of  $S_4$  and of a cyclic group  $\langle \xi \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $\xi^{12} \in G_1$  or  $\xi^8 \in G_1$ . Note that

$$A_{\xi}^{12} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_{\xi}^{8} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 2 \\ 2 & 11 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\xi^{12}(0:1) = (1:\alpha^{17}) \in O_3 \text{ and } \xi^8(0:1) = (1:\alpha^7) \in O_1$$

for the point  $(0:1) \in O_1$ . According to Lemma 4 (k), it follows that  $\xi^{12}(1:\alpha) \in O_3$  and  $\xi^{8}(1:\alpha^3) \in O_1$ , since  $(1:\alpha), (1:\alpha^3) \in O_1$ . However,

$$\xi^{12}(1:\alpha) = (1:\alpha^5) \in O_4, \ \xi^8(1:\alpha^3) = (1:\alpha^{16}) \in O_4.$$

This is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 2 (a). Proposition 4 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 2 (a).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\sigma', \tau' \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  be represented by matrices

$$A_{\sigma'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha^{10} \\ \alpha^9 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\tau'} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{15} & \alpha \\ -1 & \alpha^7 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. The following lemma can be confirmed by hand.

**Lemma 6.** (a)  $\sigma'$  is of order two.

- (b)  $\tau'$  is of order twelve.
- (c)  $A_{\sigma'}^{-1} A_{\tau'} A_{\sigma'} \sim A_{\tau'}^{-1}$ .
- (d) The group  $G_3 := \langle \sigma', \tau' \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $D_{24}$ .
- (e) The group  $G_3$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23})$  transitively.
- (f) Let

$$T_{1} = \{(0:1), (1:\alpha^{18}), (1:\alpha^{3}), (1:\alpha^{11}), (1:\alpha^{4}), (1:\alpha^{9}),$$

$$(1:1), (1:\alpha^{21}), (1:\alpha^{13}), (1:\alpha^{16}), (1:\alpha^{17}), (1:\alpha^{15})\},$$

$$T_{2} = \{(1:0), (1:\alpha^{8}), (1:\alpha^{6}), (1:\alpha^{7}), (1:\alpha^{10}), (1:\alpha^{2}),$$

$$(1:\alpha), (1:\alpha^{14}), (1:\alpha^{19}), (1:\alpha^{12}), (1:\alpha^{20}), (1:\alpha^{5})\},$$

namely,  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23}) = T_1 \cup T_2$ . Then it follows that

$$\sigma'(T_1) = T_2, \sigma'(T_2) = T_1, \tau'(T_1) = T_1, \tau'(T_2) = T_2.$$

In particular,  $G_3$  acts on the set  $\{T_1, T_2\}$ .

For the following proposition, we give the proof of assertion (a), which is the most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 2 (b).

**Proposition 5.** The pair  $(G_1, G_3)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_3 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23}) = G_3Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_3)$ .

*Proof.* We prove assertion (a). It follows from Lemmas 4 (k) and 6 (f) that

$$O_{1} \cap T_{1} = \{(0:1), (1:\alpha^{3}), (1:\alpha^{18})\}, O_{1} \cap T_{2} = \{(1:\alpha), (1:\alpha^{6}), (1:\alpha^{7})\},$$

$$O_{2} \cap T_{1} = \{(1:\alpha^{9})\}, O_{2} \cap T_{2} = \{(1:0), (1:\alpha^{8}), (1:\alpha^{12}), (1:\alpha^{14}), (1:\alpha^{19})\},$$

$$O_{3} \cap T_{1} = \{(1:1), (1:\alpha^{4}), (1:\alpha^{17}), (1:\alpha^{21})\}, O_{3} \cap T_{2} = \{(1:\alpha^{2}), (1:\alpha^{10})\},$$

$$O_{4} \cap T_{1} = \{(1:\alpha^{5}), (1:\alpha^{20})\}, O_{4} \cap T_{2} = \{(1:\alpha^{11}), (1:\alpha^{13}), (1:\alpha^{15}), (1:\alpha^{16})\}.$$

Note that if  $O_i \cap T_j$  consists of a unique point, then i = 2 and j = 1. Let  $\gamma \in G_1 \cap G_3$ . Then  $\gamma(1 : \alpha^9) = (1 : \alpha^9)$ . Since  $G_3$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{F}_{23})$  transitively, it follows that  $\gamma = 1$ .

Proof of Theorem 2 (b). Proposition 5 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 2 (b).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\iota$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  represented by

$$A_{\iota} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha^7 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

and let  $G_4 := \iota G_1 \iota^{-1}$ . For the following proposition, we give the proof of assertion (a), which is the most complicated part of the proof of Theorem 2 (c).

**Proposition 6.** The pair  $(G_1, G_4)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_4 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{23}) = G_4Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_4)$ .

*Proof.* We prove assertion (a). It follows that

$$\iota(O_1) = \{(0:1), (1:\alpha^{16}), (1:\alpha^{18}), (1:\alpha^{21}), (1:1), (1:\alpha^{11})\}, 
\iota(O_2) = \{(1:0), (1:\alpha), (1:\alpha^2), (1:\alpha^5), (1:\alpha^7), (1:\alpha^{12})\}, 
\iota(O_3) = \{(1:\alpha^{15}), (1:\alpha^{17}), (1:\alpha^{19}), (1:\alpha^3), (1:\alpha^{10}), (1:\alpha^{14})\}, 
\iota(O_4) = \{(1:\alpha^{20}), (1:\alpha^4), (1:\alpha^6), (1:\alpha^8), (1:\alpha^9), (1:\alpha^{13})\}.$$

Note that  $O_i \cap \iota(O_j) = \emptyset$  implies i = 2 and j = 1. Assume by contradiction that there exists  $\gamma \in G_1 \cap G_4 \setminus \{1\}$ . According to Lemma 4 (k), it follows that  $\gamma(\iota(O_1)) = \iota(O_1)$ . Since  $\iota(O_1) \subset O_1 \cup O_3 \cup O_4$ , it follows that  $\gamma$  acts on  $\{O_1, O_3, O_4\}$ , that is,  $\gamma(O_2) = O_2$ .

Assume that  $\gamma$  is of order three. Then  $\gamma(O_1) = O_3$  or  $\gamma^2(O_1) = O_3$ . We can assume that  $\gamma(O_1) = O_3$ . Then  $\gamma$  coincides with the automorphism  $\sigma \tau^2 \sigma \in G_1$ , which is represented by the matrix

$$A_{\sigma}A_{\tau}^{2}A_{\sigma} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 17 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -7 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 17 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 11 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for points  $(1:0), (1:\alpha^2) \in \iota(O_2), \ \gamma(1:0) = (1:\alpha^{14}) \in \iota(O_3)$  and  $\gamma(1:\alpha^2) = (1:\alpha^5) \in \iota(O_2)$ . Since  $\gamma \in G_4$ , this is a contradiction.

Assume that  $\gamma$  is of order two. Then  $\gamma(O_i) = O_i$  for some i = 1, 3, 4. If i = 1, then  $\gamma$  coincides the automorphism  $\tau^{-1}\sigma\eta\tau \in G_1$ , which is represented by

$$A_{\tau}A_{\eta}A_{\sigma}A_{\tau}^{2} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -5 & -6 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 9 \\ 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 17 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -7 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -10 & 1 \\ 6 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for points  $(0:1), (1:1) \in \iota(O_1), \ \gamma(0:1) = (1:\alpha^7) \in \iota(O_2)$  and  $\gamma(1:1) = (1:\alpha^{16}) \in \iota(O_1)$ . Since  $\gamma \in G_4$ , this is a contradiction. If i = 4, then  $\gamma$  coincides the automorphism  $\gamma_0 := \eta^2 \sigma \eta \in G_1$ , which is represented by

$$A_{\eta}A_{\sigma}A_{\eta}^{2} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 9 \\ 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 17 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 \\ -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -10 & 5 \\ -4 & 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for points  $(0:1), (1:1) \in \iota(O_1), \gamma(0:1) = (1:\alpha^{10}) \in \iota(O_3)$  and  $\gamma(1:1) = (1:\alpha^7) \in \iota(O_2)$ . Since  $\gamma \in G_4$ , this is a contradiction. If i=3, then  $\gamma$  coincides the automorphism  $\tau \gamma_0 \tau^2$ , which is represented by

$$A_{\tau}^2 A_{\eta^2 \sigma \eta} A_{\tau} \sim \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -7 \\ 5 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -10 & 5 \\ -4 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -5 & -6 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 3 \\ -10 & -7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for points  $(0:1), (1:1) \in \iota(O_1), \ \gamma(0:1) = (1:\alpha^{16}) \in \iota(O_1) \ \text{and} \ \gamma(1:1) = (1:\alpha^{10}) \in \iota(O_3)$ . Since  $\gamma \in G_4$ , this is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 2 (c). Proposition 6 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 2 (c).  $\Box$ 

## 4. Proof of Theorem 3

All lemmas and propositions in this section can be confirmed by GAP system [4]. Let  $\alpha = 2 \in \mathbb{F}_{59}$ , which is a primitive element. Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong PGL(2, k)$  be represented by matrices

$$A_{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha^{26} & 1\\ \alpha^{27} & \alpha^{26} \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha\\ \alpha^{6} & \alpha^{34} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

**Lemma 7.** (a)  $\sigma$  is of order two.

- (b)  $\tau$  is of order three.
- (c) The group  $G_1 := \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $A_5$ .
- (d) The group  $G_1$  acts on the set  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59})$  transitively.

Let  $\xi$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  represented by

$$A_{\xi} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1\\ \alpha^{12} & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

and let  $G_2 := \langle \xi \rangle$ .

**Lemma 8.** The order of  $\xi$  is sixty, and  $G_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59})$  transitively.

**Proposition 7.** The pair  $(G_1, G_2)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_2 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59}) = G_2Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_2)$ .

Proof of Theorem 3 (a). Proposition 7 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 3 (a).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\sigma', \tau' \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$  be represented by matrices

$$A_{\sigma'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha^2 \\ \alpha^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ A_{\tau'} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \alpha^3 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively.

**Lemma 9.** (a)  $\sigma'$  is of order two.

- (b)  $\tau'$  is of order 30.
- (c)  $A_{\sigma'}^{-1} A_{\tau'} A_{\sigma'} \sim A_{\tau'}^{-1}$ .
- (d) The group  $G_3 := \langle \sigma', \tau' \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $D_{60}$ .
- (e) The group  $G_3$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59})$  transitively.

**Proposition 8.** The pair  $(G_1, G_3)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_3 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59}) = G_3Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_3)$ .

Proof of Theorem 3 (b). Proposition 8 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 3 (b).  $\Box$ 

Let  $\iota$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  represented by

$$A_{\iota} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \alpha^{30} \\ 0 & -\alpha^{15} \end{array}\right),$$

and let  $G_4 := \iota G_1 \iota^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 9.** The pair  $(G_1, G_4)$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (a)  $G_1 \cap G_4 = \{1\}.$
- (b) Let  $Q \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59})$ . Then  $G_1Q = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{59}) = G_4Q$ .

In particular, conditions (a) and (b) in Fact 1 are satisfied for the pair  $(G_1, G_4)$ .

Proof of Theorem 3 (c). Proposition 9 and Fact 1 imply Theorem 3 (c).  $\Box$ 

## References

- [1] S. Fukasawa, A birational embedding of an algebraic curve into a projective plane with two Galois points, J. Algebra **511** (2018), 95–101.
- [2] S. Fukasawa and K. Higashine, A birational embedding with two Galois points for quotient curves, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (2021), 106525, 10 pages.
- [3] S. Fukasawa and K. Waki, Examples of plane rational curves with two Galois points in positive characteristic, Finite Fields and their Applications: Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Finite Fields and their Applications, Vancouver, June 3-7, 2019, pp.181–188, De Gruyter, 2020.
- [4] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.0; 2020. (https://www.gap-system.org)
- [5] K. Miura and H. Yoshihara, Field theory for function fields of plane quartic curves, J. Algebra 226 (2000), 283–294.
- [6] H. Yoshihara, Function field theory of plane curves by dual curves, J. Algebra 239 (2001), 340–355.
- [7] H. Yoshihara, Galois points for plane rational curves, Far East J. Math. 25 (2007), 273–284;
   Errata, ibid. 29 (2008), 209–212.
- [8] H. Yoshihara and S. Fukasawa, List of problems, available at: http://hyoshihara.web.fc2.com/openquestion.html

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY, KOJIRAKAWA-MACHI 1-4-12, YAMAGATA 990-8560, JAPAN

Email address: s.fukasawa@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

Email address: waki@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp