

A series of integral formulas for a foliated sub-Riemannian manifold

Vladimir Rovenski*

Abstract

In this article, we prove a series of integral formulae for a codimension-one foliated sub-Riemannian manifold, which is a new geometric object denoting a Riemannian manifold (M, g) equipped with a distribution $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N)$, where \mathcal{F} is a foliation of M and N a unit vector field g -orthogonal to \mathcal{F} . Our integral formulas involve r th mean curvatures of \mathcal{F} , Newton transformations of the shape operator of \mathcal{F} with respect to N and the curvature tensor of induced connection on \mathcal{D} and generalize some known integral formulas (due to Brito-Langevin-Rosenberg, Andrzejewski-Walczak and the author) for codimension-one foliations. We apply our formulas to sub-Riemannian manifolds with restrictions on the curvature and extrinsic geometry of a foliation.

Keywords: Distribution, sub-Riemannian manifold, codimension-one foliation, Newton transformation, shape operator

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010) 53C12, 53C17

Introduction

Integral formulas are useful for solving many problems in Riemannian geometry, both manifolds (for example, the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed surfaces) and foliations, see surveys [1, 10]:

- characterizing of foliations, whose leaves have a given geometric property;
- prescribing the higher mean curvatures of the leaves of a foliation;
- minimizing functionals like volume defined for tensor fields on a foliation.

The first known integral formula for a codimension-one foliation of a closed Riemannian manifold belongs to G. Reeb, see [8],

$$\int_M H \, d \text{vol}_g = 0; \quad (1)$$

thus, either the mean curvature of the leaves $H \equiv 0$ or $H(x)H(x') < 0$ for some points $x \neq x'$ on M . Recall that any compact manifold with Euler number equal to zero admits codimension-one foliations. There is a series of integral formulas for codimension-one foliations, starting with (1), with consequences for foliated space forms, see surveys in [1, 4, 10]. These integral formulas were obtained by applying the Divergence Theorem to suitable vector fields. The second formula in the series of total r th mean curvatures σ_r 's (symmetric functions of the principal curvatures of the leaves) of a codimension-one foliation with a unit normal vector field N is, see [7],

$$\int_M (2\sigma_2 - \text{Ric}_{N,N}) \, d \text{vol}_g = 0. \quad (2)$$

By (2), there are no totally umbilical codimension-one foliations of a closed manifold of negative Ricci curvature, and there are no harmonic codimension-one foliations of a closed manifold of positive Ricci curvature.

*Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Mount Carmel, 3498838 Haifa, Israel
e-mail: vrovenski@univ.haifa.ac.il

In [2], the Newton transformations $T_r(A)$ (of the *Weingarten operator* A of the leaves) were applied to codimension one foliations, and a series of integral formulas for $r \geq 0$ starting with (2) was obtained (with consequences for foliated space forms, see [4]):

$$\int_M ((r+2)\sigma_{r+2} - \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A) \mathcal{R}_N) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} (-1)^{j-1} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-j}(A) \mathcal{R}_{A^{j-1} \nabla_N N})) \, d \text{vol}_g = 0. \quad (3)$$

Here, the linear operator $\mathcal{R}_X : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$ for $X \in TM$ is given by $\mathcal{R}_X : Y \rightarrow R(Y, X)N$.

The natural question arises: can one find similar integral formulas for foliations of arbitrary codimension? In studying this question, we consider a foliation \mathcal{F} of (M, g) equipped with a unit normal vector field N . In this case, $T\mathcal{F}$ (the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F}) and N span in the general case a non-integrable distribution \mathcal{D} on (M, g) (subbundle of TM). Note that (M, \mathcal{D}) with the metric on \mathcal{D} (e.g., the restriction of g) is the main object of sub-Riemannian geometry, see [3]. Thus, we study the following sub-Riemannian version of the above question: *can one extend integral formulas (3) for codimension-one foliated sub-Riemannian manifolds?*

Apparently, a foliated sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, g)$, i.e., the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{F}$ of a foliation \mathcal{F} is a subbundle of \mathcal{D} , is a new geometrical object. In the article, we consider a codimension-one foliated sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, g)$, i.e., $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N)$ and N is a unit vector field g -orthogonal to \mathcal{F} , and prove a series of integral formulas (in Theorems 1–3 and Corollaries 4, 5), which generalize known integral formulas, see [2, 4, 8, 10], for codimension-one foliated Riemannian manifolds. The integral formulas involve r th mean curvatures of \mathcal{F} (i.e., symmetric functions of the principal curvatures of the leaves), Newton transformations of the shape operator of \mathcal{F} with respect to N and the curvature tensor of induced connection on \mathcal{D} . We apply our formulas to sub-Riemannian manifolds with restrictions on the curvature and extrinsic geometry of a foliation.

1 Preliminaries

Here, we use the induced linear connection to define the shape operator (with its Newton transformations) and the curvature tensor related to a codimension-one foliated sub-Riemannian manifold, then we prove three auxiliary lemmas.

Let \mathcal{D} be an $(n+1)$ -dimensional distribution on a smooth m -dimensional manifold M , i.e., a subbundle of TM of rank $n+1$ (where $0 < n < m$). In other words, to each point $x \in M$ we assign an $(n+1)$ -dimensional subspace \mathcal{D}_x of the tangent space $T_x M$ smoothly depending on x . An integrable distribution determines a foliation; in this case the Lie bracket of any two vector fields from \mathcal{D} also belongs to \mathcal{D} . A pair (M, \mathcal{D}) , where M is a manifold and \mathcal{D} is a non-integrable distribution on M , is called a *non-holonomic manifold*, see [3]. The notion of non-holonomic manifold was introduced for a geometric interpretation of constrained systems in classical mechanics. A *sub-Riemannian manifold* is a non-holonomic manifold (M, \mathcal{D}) , equipped with a sub-Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e., the scalar product $g : \mathcal{D}_x \times \mathcal{D}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in M$, see [3]. Usually, they assume that the sub-Riemannian metric on \mathcal{D} (the horizontal bundle) is extended to a Riemannian metric on the whole space M , also denoted by g .

The orthoprojector $P : TM \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ onto the distribution \mathcal{D} is characterized by the properties

$$P = P^* \text{ (self-adjoint), } \quad P^2 = P,$$

e.g., [5], and similarly for the $(1,1)$ -tensor $P^\perp = \text{id}_{TM} - P$ – the orthoprojector onto the orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp (the vertical subbundle). A sub-Riemannian manifold (M, \mathcal{D}, g) equipped with a foliation \mathcal{F} such that the tangent bundle $T\mathcal{F}$ is a subbundle of \mathcal{D} will be called a *foliated sub-Riemannian manifold*.

In this article, we assume that $\dim \mathcal{F} = n$ (that is \mathcal{F} is a codimension-one foliation relative to \mathcal{D}), and there exists a unit vector field N orthogonal to \mathcal{F} and tangent to \mathcal{D} . This means that the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{D} is zero. The following orthogonal decomposition is valid:

$$\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N).$$

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ on (M, g) induces a linear connection ∇^P on $\mathcal{D} = P(TM)$:

$$\nabla_X^P P Y = P \nabla_X P Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

which is compatible with the metric: $X \langle U, V \rangle = \langle \nabla_X^P U, V \rangle + \langle U, \nabla_X^P V \rangle$.

Define the horizontal vector field

$$Z = \nabla_N^P N,$$

and note that $\nabla_N N$ is the curvature vector of N -curves in (M, g) .

The *shape operator* $A_N : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$ of the foliation \mathcal{F} with respect to N is defined by

$$A_N(X) = -\nabla_X^P N, \quad X \in T\mathcal{F}. \quad (4)$$

The *elementary symmetric functions* $\sigma_j(A_N)$ of A_N (r th mean curvatures of \mathcal{F} in \mathcal{D}) are given by the equality

$$\sum_{r=0}^n \sigma_r(A_N) t^r = \det(\text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}} + t A_N), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $\sigma_r(A_N) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_r}$, where $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A_N . Let $\tau_j(A_N) = \text{tr}(A_N)^j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be the *power sums* symmetric functions of A_N . For example, $\sigma_0(A_N) = 1$, $\sigma_1(A_N) = \tau_1(A_N) = \text{tr} A_N$, $\sigma_n(A_N) = \det A_N$, and

$$2\sigma_2(A_N) = \tau_1^2(A_N) - \tau_2(A_N). \quad (5)$$

For short, we set

$$A = A_N, \quad \sigma_r = \sigma_r(A_N), \quad \tau_r = \tau_r(A_N).$$

Next, we introduce the curvature tensor $R^P : TM \times TM \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{D})$ of the connection ∇^P :

$$R^P(X, Y) = \nabla_X^P \nabla_Y^P - \nabla_Y^P \nabla_X^P - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^P; \quad (6)$$

Set $R^P(X, Y, V, U) = \langle R^P(X, Y)V, U \rangle$ for $U, V \in \mathcal{D}$. Obviously, $R^P(Y, X)V = -R^P(X, Y)V$ (for any linear connection). Since ∇^P is compatible with the metric, then

$$R^P(X, Y, V, U) = -R^P(X, Y, U, V). \quad (7)$$

Recall the Codazzi's equation for a codimension-one foliation (or a submanifold) of (M, g) :

$$(\nabla_X h)(Y, U) - (\nabla_Y h)(X, U) = (R(X, Y)Z)^\perp, \quad (8)$$

where $R : TM \times TM \rightarrow \text{End}(TM)$ is the Riemann curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection,

$$R(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]},$$

$^\perp$ denotes the projection onto the vector bundle orthogonal to \mathcal{F} , and $h : T\mathcal{F} \times T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow (T\mathcal{F})^\perp$ is the second fundamental form of \mathcal{F} in (M, g) defined by

$$h(X, Y) = (\nabla_X Y)^\perp.$$

Lemma 1. *For $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N)$ on (M, g) , the following Codazzi type equation is valid:*

$$(\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} A)Y - (\nabla_Y^{\mathcal{F}} A)X = -R^P(X, Y)N, \quad X, Y \in T\mathcal{F}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. From (8), for all vectors $X, Y, U \in T\mathcal{F}$ we get

$$\langle (\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} A)Y - (\nabla_Y^{\mathcal{F}} A)X, U \rangle + \langle h(X, U), \nabla_Y N \rangle - \langle h(Y, U), \nabla_X N \rangle = -\langle R(X, Y)N, U \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Applying the orthoprojector on the vector bundle orthogonal to \mathcal{F} , we find

$$\langle R(X, Y)N, U \rangle = \langle R^P(X, Y)N, U \rangle + \langle \nabla_X((\nabla_Y N)^\perp) - \nabla_Y((\nabla_X N)^\perp) - \nabla_{[X, Y]^\perp} N, U \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Using the equalities $[X, Y]^\perp = 0$ (since $T\mathcal{F}$ is integrable), (11) and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h(X, U), \nabla_Y N \rangle &= \langle \nabla_Y N, (\nabla_X U)^\perp \rangle = -\langle \nabla_X((\nabla_Y N)^\perp), U \rangle, \\ \langle h(Y, U), \nabla_X N \rangle &= \langle \nabla_X N, (\nabla_Y U)^\perp \rangle = -\langle \nabla_Y((\nabla_X N)^\perp), U \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

in (10) completes the proof. \square

The following lemma generalizes [6, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 2. *Let $\{e_i\}$ be a local orthonormal frame of $T\mathcal{F}$ such that at a point $x \in M$:*

- $\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} e_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) for any vector $X \in T_x M$;
- $\nabla_\xi^P e_i = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) for any vector $\xi \in \mathcal{D}_x^\perp M$.

Then the following equality is valid at $x \in M$:

$$\langle \nabla_{e_i} Z, e_j \rangle = \langle A^2 e_i, e_j \rangle + \langle R^P(e_i, N)N, e_j \rangle - \langle (\nabla_N^{\mathcal{F}} A)e_i, e_j \rangle + \langle Z, e_i \rangle \langle Z, e_j \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Proof. Taking covariant derivative of $\langle Z, e_j \rangle = -\langle N, \nabla_N e_j \rangle$ with respect to e_i , we find

$$-\langle Z, \nabla_{e_i} e_j \rangle = \langle \nabla_{e_i} Z, e_j \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, P \nabla_N e_j \rangle + \langle N, \nabla_{e_i} P \nabla_N e_j \rangle. \quad (13)$$

For a foliation \mathcal{F} , we obtain

$$\langle (\nabla_N^{\mathcal{F}} A)e_i, e_j \rangle = \nabla_N \langle N, \nabla_{e_i} e_j \rangle = \langle Z, \nabla_{e_i} e_j \rangle + \langle N, \nabla_N P \nabla_{e_i} e_j \rangle.$$

Therefore, using (6), we calculate at the point $x \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle A^2 e_i, e_j \rangle + \langle R^P(e_i, N)N, e_j \rangle - \langle (\nabla_N^{\mathcal{F}} A)e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \langle A^2 e_i, e_j \rangle - \langle R^P(e_i, N)e_j, N \rangle + N \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_j \rangle \\ &= \langle A^2 e_i, e_j \rangle - \langle Z, \nabla_{e_i} e_j \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} P \nabla_N e_j, N \rangle + \langle \nabla_{[e_i, N]} e_j, N \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since $\langle \nabla_{P^\perp[e_i, N]} e_j, N \rangle = 0$, see conditions at $x \in M$, we rewrite the last term in (14) as

$$\langle \nabla_{P[e_i, N]} e_j, N \rangle = \langle \nabla_{[e_i, N]} e_j, N \rangle.$$

Then, using (13) and the following equalities at $x \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned} P \nabla_{e_i} N &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_j \rangle e_j, & P \nabla_N e_i &= \langle \nabla_N e_i, N \rangle N, \\ \langle A^2 e_i, e_j \rangle &= \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, N \rangle \langle \nabla_N e_j, N \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we simplify the last line in (14) as

$$\langle \nabla_{e_i} Z, e_j \rangle - \langle Z, e_i \rangle \langle Z, e_j \rangle.$$

From the above, the claim follows. \square

Many authors investigated r th mean curvatures of foliations and hypersurfaces of Riemannian manifolds using the Newton transformations of the shape operator, see [2].

Definition 1. The *Newton transformations* $T_r(A)$ of the shape operator A of an n -dimensional foliation \mathcal{F} of a sub-Riemannian manifold (M, \mathcal{D}, g) are defined recursively or explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(A) &= \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}}, & T_r(A) &= \sigma_r \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}} - A T_{r-1}(A), & 1 \leq r \leq n, \\ T_r(A) &= \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \sigma_{r-j} A^j = \sigma_r \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}} - \sigma_{r-1} A + \dots + (-1)^r A^r. \end{aligned}$$

For example, $T_1(A) = \sigma_1 \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}} - A$ and $T_n(A) = 0$. Notice that A and $T_r(A)$ commute.

Lemma 3 (see Lemma 1.3 in [10]). *For the shape operator A we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) &= (n-r)\sigma_r, \\ \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A \cdot T_r(A)) &= (r+1)\sigma_{r+1}, \\ \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(A^2 \cdot T_r(A)) &= \sigma_1\sigma_{r+1} - (r+2)\sigma_{r+2}, \\ \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-1}(A)(\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} A)) &= X(\sigma_r), \quad X \in T\mathcal{F}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since the (1,1)-tensors A and $T_r(A)$ are self-adjoint, we have

$$\langle (\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A))Y, V \rangle = \langle (\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A))V, Y \rangle, \quad X, Y, V \in T\mathcal{F}. \quad (15)$$

2 Main results

Here, we prove a series of integral formulas for a codimension-one foliated sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, g)$ with $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N)$.

Recall that the \mathcal{F} -divergence of a vector field X on (M, g) is defined by

$$\text{div} X = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i} X, e_i \rangle,$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of $T\mathcal{F}$. Following [6], define the \mathcal{F} -divergence of the Newton transformation $T_r(A)$ by

$$\text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\nabla_{e_i}^{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A)) e_i.$$

For any $X \in \mathcal{D}$, define a linear operator $\mathcal{R}_X^P : T\mathcal{F} \rightarrow T\mathcal{F}$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_X^P : V \rightarrow R^P(V, X)N, \quad V \in T\mathcal{F}.$$

We can view $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{R}_X^P = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle R^P(e_i, X)N, e_i \rangle$ as the *Ricci P -curvature* $\text{Ric}_{X,N}^P$. Then $\text{Ric}_{N,N}^P = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{R}_N^P$ is the Ricci P -curvature in the N -direction.

The following result generalizes [6, Lemma 2.2].

Proposition 1. *The leafwise divergence of $T_r(A)$ satisfies the inductive formula*

$$\langle \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A), X \rangle = -\langle \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_{r-1}(A), AX \rangle + \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-1}(A) \mathcal{R}_X^P), \quad (16)$$

for $r > 0$ and any vector field $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$; moreover, $\text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_0(A) = 0$. Equivalently,

$$\langle \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A), X \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} (-1)^{j-1} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-j}(A) \mathcal{R}_{A^{j-1}X}^P). \quad (17)$$

Proof. Using the inductive definition of $T_r(A)$, we have

$$\text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) = \nabla^{\mathcal{F}} \sigma_r - A \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_{r-1}(A) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\nabla_{e_i}^{\mathcal{F}} A) T_{r-1}(A) e_i.$$

Using Codazzi type equation (9) and the last formula in Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle (\nabla_{e_i}^{\mathcal{F}} A) T_{r-1}(A) e_i, X \rangle &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle T_{r-1}(A) e_i, (\nabla_{e_i}^{\mathcal{F}} A) X \rangle \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle T_{r-1}(A) e_i, (\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} A) e_i - R^P(e_i, X)N \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-1}(A)(\nabla_X^{\mathcal{F}} A)) - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle R^P(e_i, X)N, T_{r-1}(A) e_i \rangle \\ &= X(\sigma_r) - \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-1}(A) \mathcal{R}_X^P).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inductive formula (16) holds. Finally, (17) follows directly from the above. \square

Remark 1. (a) If $P = \text{id}_{TM}$, i.e., \mathcal{F} is a codimension-one foliation of M , then $R^P = R$, and using the symmetry $\langle R(X, Y)U, V \rangle = \langle R(U, V)X, Y \rangle$, we simplify equation (16) to the form

$$\text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) = -A \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_{r-1}(A) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (R(N, T_{r-1}(A)e_i)e_i)^\top,$$

where $^\top$ denotes the orthogonal projection on the vector bundle $T\mathcal{F}$, see [6, Lemma 2.2].

(b) Let the distribution $T\mathcal{F}$ be *P-curvature invariant*, that is

$$R^P(X, Y)V \in T\mathcal{F}, \quad X, Y, V \in T\mathcal{F}. \quad (18)$$

In view of (7), equation (17) implies that $\text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) = 0$ for every $r \geq 0$. Condition (18) is obviously satisfied, if the distribution $T\mathcal{F}$ is auto-parallel, i.e., $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. A sufficient condition for (18) is the following equality (for some real constant c):

$$R^P(X, Y)V = c(\langle Y, V \rangle X - \langle X, V \rangle Y), \quad X, Y, V \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (19)$$

The following result generalizes [6, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition 2. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)Z) &= \underline{\langle \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A), Z \rangle} + \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P) + \langle T_r(A)Z, Z \rangle \\ &\quad - (r+2)\sigma_{r+2} - N(\sigma_{r+1}) + \sigma_1\sigma_{r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = P \nabla_N N$ and the underlined term is given by (17) with $X = Z$.

Proof. Using (15), we can compute the divergence of the vector field $T_r(A)Z$ as follows:

$$\text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A)Z = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i}(T_r(A)Z), e_i \rangle = \langle \text{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A), Z \rangle + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i} Z, T_r(A)e_i \rangle.$$

Using (12) of Lemma 2, we compute $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i} Z, T_r(A)e_i \rangle$ as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\langle A^2 e_i + R^P(e_i, N)N - (\nabla_N^{\mathcal{F}} A)e_i, T_r(A)e_i \rangle + \langle Z, e_i \rangle \langle Z, T_r(A)e_i \rangle) \\ &= -\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)(\nabla_N^{\mathcal{F}} A - A^2 - \mathcal{R}_N^P)) + \langle T_r(A)Z, Z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3, we can write

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)(\nabla_N^{\mathcal{F}} A - A^2 - \mathcal{R}_N^P)) = N(\sigma_{r+1}) - \sigma_1\sigma_{r+1} + (r+2)\sigma_{r+2} - \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P).$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i} Z, T_r(A)e_i \rangle = -N(\sigma_{r+1}) + \sigma_1\sigma_{r+1} - (r+2)\sigma_{r+2} \\ &\quad + \langle T_r(A)Z, Z \rangle + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{j-1} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-j}(A)\mathcal{R}_{A^{j-1}Z}^P). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From the above, applying the Divergence Theorem to any compact leaf, we get

Theorem 1. *For any compact leaf L of \mathcal{F} and $Z = P \nabla_N N$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_L ((r+2)\sigma_{r+2} + N(\sigma_{r+1}) - \sigma_1\sigma_{r+1} - \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P) \\ &\quad - \langle T_r(A)Z, Z \rangle - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{j-1} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_{r-j}(A)\mathcal{R}_{A^{j-1}Z}^P)) \, d \text{vol}_L = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1. *Let $\tau_1 = \text{const}$ and $\text{Ric}_{N,N}^P > 0$. Then \mathcal{F} has no compact leaves.*

Proof. For $r = 0$ and $Z = P\nabla_N N$, by Proposition 2,

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} Z = \tau_2 - N(\tau_1) + \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{R}_N^P + \langle Z, Z \rangle.$$

By conditions, $N(\tau_1) = 0$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{R}_N^P = \operatorname{Ric}_{N,N}^P > 0$, hence $\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} Z > 0$. Applying the Divergence Theorem to a compact leaf yields a contradiction. \square

For any vector field X in \mathcal{D} , we have

$$\operatorname{div} X = \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} X - \langle X, Z \rangle - \langle X, H^\perp \rangle, \quad (20)$$

where H^\perp is the mean curvature vector field of the distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp in (M, g) . Recall that a distribution on a Riemannian manifold is called *harmonic* if its mean curvature vector field vanishes. There are topological restrictions for the existence of a Riemannian metric on closed manifold, for which a given distribution becomes harmonic, see [11].

The following statement generalizes (1).

Theorem 2. *For a closed sub-Riemannian manifold (M, \mathcal{D}, g) with $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \operatorname{span}(N)$ and a harmonic orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp , the following integral formula is valid:*

$$\int_M \sigma_1 \, d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Recall that $\sigma_1 = \operatorname{tr} A$, see (4), and observe that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} N = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle \nabla_{e_i} N, e_i \rangle = -\sigma_1.$$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{div} N = \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} N - \langle N, H^\perp \rangle = -\sigma_1 - \langle N, H^\perp \rangle. \quad (22)$$

Applying the Divergence Theorem and using the assumption $H^\perp = 0$, yields (21). \square

The following integral formula generalizes (3).

Theorem 3. *For a closed sub-Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \operatorname{span}(N)$ and a harmonic orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp , the following integral formula is valid:*

$$\int_M \left((r+2) \sigma_{r+2} - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} (T_r(A) \mathcal{R}_N^P) - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} (-1)^{j-1} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} (T_{r-j}(A) \mathcal{R}_{A^{j-1} \nabla_N^P N}^P) \right) d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Using (22), we calculate the divergence of $\sigma_{r+1} \cdot N$ as

$$\operatorname{div}(\sigma_{r+1} \cdot N) = \sigma_{r+1} \operatorname{div} N + N(\sigma_{r+1}) = N(\sigma_{r+1}) - \sigma_1 \sigma_{r+1}.$$

Then, by (20) with $X = T_r(A)Z$, (since \mathcal{D}^\perp is a harmonic distribution), we get

$$\operatorname{div} (T_r(A)Z + \sigma_{r+1} \cdot N) = \langle \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A), Z \rangle - (r+2) \sigma_{r+2} + \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} (T_r(A) \mathcal{R}_N^P).$$

Thus, by Proposition 2, we get (23). \square

Corollary 2. *For $r = 0$, (23) gives us the following generalization of (2):*

$$\int_M (2\sigma_2 - \operatorname{Ric}_{N,N}^P) \, d \operatorname{vol}_g = 0. \quad (24)$$

For $n = 1$, we get $\sigma_2 = 0$, thus, (24) gives the zero integral of ‘‘Gaussian P -curvature’’ of \mathcal{D} .

Example 1. (a) For $r = 1, 2$, (23) reduces to

$$\int_M \left(3\sigma_3 - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_1(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P + \mathcal{R}_Z^P) \right) d\operatorname{vol}_g = 0,$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{F}} \left(4\sigma_4 + \langle T_2(A)Z, H^\perp \rangle - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_2(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P + T_1(A)\mathcal{R}_Z^P - \mathcal{R}_{AZ}^P) \right) d\omega^\perp = 0. \quad (25)$$

(b) If N is a P -geodesic vector field, i.e., $Z = 0$, then (23) shortens to the formula

$$\int_{\mathcal{N}_1\mathcal{F}} \left((r+2)\sigma_{r+2} - \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P) \right) d\omega^\perp = 0.$$

3 Some consequences

Here, we apply our formulas to sub-Riemannian manifolds with restrictions on the shape operator A or the induced curvature tensor R^P .

Let $(M, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, g)$ be a foliated sub-Riemannian manifold with $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \operatorname{span}(N)$, then

- \mathcal{F} will be called P -harmonic, if $\sigma_1 = 0$,
- \mathcal{F} will be called P -totally umbilical, if $A = (\sigma_1/n)\operatorname{id}_{T\mathcal{F}}$.

Obviously, P -harmonic and P -totally umbilical distributions are harmonic and totally umbilical, respectively, but the opposite is not true. By (24), we obtain the following.

Corollary 3. *Let (M, \mathcal{D}, g) be a closed sub-Riemannian manifold with a harmonic orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp .*

- (i) *If $\operatorname{Ric}^P > 0$, then there are no P -harmonic codimension-one foliations in \mathcal{D} .*
- (ii) *If $\operatorname{Ric}^P < 0$, then there are no P -totally umbilical codimension-one foliations in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. (i) If \mathcal{F} is a P -harmonic codimension-one foliation in \mathcal{D} , then $2\sigma_2 = -\tau_2 \leq 0$, see (5).
(ii) If \mathcal{F} is a P -totally umbilical codimension-one foliation in \mathcal{D} , then $2\sigma_2 = \frac{n-1}{n}(\sigma_1)^2 \geq 0$.

In both cases, (i) and (ii), we get a contradiction to integral formula (24). \square

The total r -th mean curvature of \mathcal{F} are defined by

$$\sigma_r(\mathcal{F}) = \int_M \sigma_r d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

The following corollary of Theorem 3 generalizes [4, Theorem 1.1] (see also [6, Section 4.1]).

Corollary 4. *Let (M, \mathcal{D}, g) be a closed sub-Riemannian manifold with $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \operatorname{span}(N)$ and a harmonic orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp , satisfying condition (19). Then $\sigma_r(\mathcal{F})$ depends on r, n, c and the volume of (M, g) only, i.e., the following integral formula is valid:*

$$\sigma_r(\mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} c^{r/2} \binom{n/2}{r/2} \operatorname{Vol}(M, g), & n, r \text{ even,} \\ 0, & n \text{ or } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3, we get

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(T_r(A)\mathcal{R}_N^P) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \langle R^P(e_i, N)N, T_r(A)e_i \rangle = c \operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) = c(n-r)\sigma_r.$$

From (23) we obtain the equality

$$(r+2)\sigma_{r+2}(\mathcal{F}) = c(n-r)\sigma_r(\mathcal{F}). \quad (26)$$

Since $\sigma_1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, see (21), by induction we obtain $\sigma_r(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any odd r . For even $r = 2s$ and $n = 2l$, using (26) and induction implies $\sigma_{2s}(\mathcal{F}) = c^s \binom{l}{s} \operatorname{Vol}(M, g)$. \square

We can get similar formulas when (M, \mathcal{D}, g) has the property

$$\text{Ric}_{X,N}^P = C \langle X, N \rangle, \quad X \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (27)$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathcal{F} (with $\dim \mathcal{F} > 1$) is P -totally umbilical. Note that for $\mathcal{D} = TM$, condition (27) is satisfied for Einstein manifolds, see [6].

The following corollary of Theorem 3 generalizes result in [6, Section 4.2].

Corollary 5. *Let (M, \mathcal{D}, g) be a closed sub-Riemannian manifold with $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N)$, P -totally umbilical foliation \mathcal{F} , a harmonic orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp , and satisfying (27). Then $\sigma_r(\mathcal{F})$ depends on r, n, C and the volume of (M, g) only, i.e., the following integral formula holds:*

$$\sigma_r(\mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} (C/n)^{n/2} \binom{n/2}{r/2} \text{Vol}(M, g), & n, r \text{ even,} \\ 0, & r \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Proof. In this case, $T_r(A)$ has the form

$$T_r(A) = a_r (\sigma_1/n)^r \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}}, \quad \text{where } a_r = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{r-i} \binom{n}{i}.$$

Since $\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}} T_r(A) = (n-r)\sigma_r = (n-r) \binom{n}{r} (\sigma_1/n)^r$, then

$$a_r = \frac{n-r}{n} \binom{n}{r}, \quad T_r(A) = \frac{n-r}{n} \sigma_r \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}}.$$

By our assumption (27),

$$\text{Ric}_{Z,N}^P = 0, \quad \text{Ric}_{N,N}^P = C.$$

Thus, for a closed manifold with a P -totally umbilical foliation \mathcal{F} and condition (27), formula (23) becomes

$$\sigma_{r+2}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{C(n-r)}{n(r+2)} \sigma_r(\mathcal{F}).$$

Using induction similarly to Corollary 4, we obtain (28). \square

Remark 2. Our integral formulas provide more conditions for the mean curvature $H = \sigma_1/n$ of \mathcal{F} . In the case of a P -totally umbilical codimension-one foliation in \mathcal{D} with $A = H \text{id}_{T\mathcal{F}}$, such conditions can be easily derived from (23) using $\sigma_r = \binom{n}{r} H^r$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M H^{r-1} \left(H^3(n-1)(n-r-1)n \right. \\ & \left. - H(n-1)(r+1) \text{Ric}_{N,N}^P - r(r+1) \text{Ric}_{Z,N}^P \right) d \text{vol}_g = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Here we used the following identity with binomial coefficients:

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{n-r+j}{n} \binom{n}{r-j} = \binom{n-2}{r-1}.$$

These integrals contain polynomials depending on H , and one can get obstructions for existence of P -totally umbilical foliations. For example, if $r = n-1$, then (29) reads as $\int_M H^{n-2} (H \text{Ric}_{N,N}^P + \text{Ric}_{Z,N}^P) d \text{vol}_g = 0$.

Example 2. Here is an amazing consequence of (21) and (24). Let a compact sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, g; \mathcal{D})$ with a harmonic orthogonal distribution \mathcal{D}^\perp and the condition

$$\text{Ric}^P \geq 2c > 0$$

for some real c , be equipped with a codimension-one foliation \mathcal{F} , i.e., $\mathcal{D} = T\mathcal{F} \oplus \text{span}(N)$. Then the image of the function $\sigma_2 : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ contains interval $[0, c + \varepsilon]$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Indeed, by Reeb type formula (21), $\sigma_1(x) = 0$ at some $x \in M$. Then $\sigma_2(x) = -\tau_2(x) \leq 0$, see (5). By (24), $\sigma_2(\mathcal{F}) \geq c \text{Vol}(M, g)$. Hence, there exists $y \in M$ such that $\sigma_2(y) > c$ (otherwise, $\sigma_2 \leq c$ on M , therefore, $\sigma_2 \equiv c > 0$ on M – a contradiction to $\sigma_2(x) \leq 0$).

4 Conclusion

In the article we generalized integral formula (3) (and its consequences) for sub-Riemannian setting. We delegate the following for further study.

1. Our integral formulas can be easily extended for foliations and distributions defined outside of a “singularity set” Σ (a finite union of pairwise disjoint closed submanifolds of codimension at least k of a closed manifold M) under additional assumption of convergence of certain integrals. Then, instead of the Divergence theorem, we apply the following, see [6, 13]: if $(k - 1)(q - 1) \geq 1$ and X is a vector field on $M \setminus \Sigma$ such that $\int_M \|X\|^q d \text{vol}_g < \infty$, then $\int_M \text{div } X d \text{vol}_g = 0$.

2. One can extend our integral formulas for holomorphic foliations of complex sub-Riemannian manifolds, see [12] for the case of Riemannian manifolds, i.e., $\mathcal{D} = TM$.

3. Our integral formulas can be extended to foliations of arbitrary codimension of a sub-Riemannian manifold, see [9] for the case of Riemannian manifolds, i.e., $\mathcal{D} = TM$.

References

- [1] K. Andrzejewski, V. Rovenski and P. Walczak, *Integral formulas in foliations theory*, 73–82, in *Geometry and Its Applications*, Springer Proc. in Math. and Statistics, 72, Springer, 2014.
- [2] K. Andrzejewski and P. Walczak, The Newton transformation and new integral formulae for foliated manifolds, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 37:2 (2010), 103–111.
- [3] A. Bejancu and H. Farran, *Foliations and geometric structures*. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [4] F. Brito, R. Langevin and H. Rosenberg, Intégrales de courbure sur des variétés feuilletées, *J. Diff. Geom.*, 1981, 16, 19–50.
- [5] A. Gray, Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, *J. Math. Mech.*, 16, no. 7 (1967) 715–737.
- [6] M. Lużyńczyk and P. Walczak, New integral formulae for two complementary orthogonal distributions on Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 48 (2015), 195–209.
- [7] T. Nora, *Seconde forme fondamentale d’une application et d’un feuilletage*. Thèse, l’Univ. de Limoges (1983), 115 pp.
- [8] G. Reeb, Sur la courbure moyenne des variétés intégrales d’une équation de Pfaff $\omega = 0$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 231 (1950), 101–102.
- [9] V. Rovenski, Integral formulae for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal distributions, *Central European J. Math.* 9, No. 3 (2011), 558–577.
- [10] V. Rovenski and P. Walczak, *Topics in Extrinsic Geometry of Codimension-One Foliations*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [11] D. Sullivan, A homological characterization of foliations consisting of minimal surfaces, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 54 (1979), 218–223.
- [12] M. Svensson, Holomorphic foliations, harmonic morphisms and the Walczak formula, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 2003, 68, 781–794.
- [13] P. Walczak, Integral formulae for foliations with singularities. *Coll. Math.* 150(1) (2017), 141–148.