

# TOWARDS CLASSIFICATION OF CODIMENSION 1 FOLIATIONS ON THREEFOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE

ALEKSEI GOLOTA

ABSTRACT. We aim to classify codimension 1 foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  with canonical singularities and  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) < 3$  on threefolds of general type. We prove a classification result for foliations satisfying these conditions and having non-trivial algebraic part. We also describe purely transcendental foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  with the canonical class  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  being not big on manifolds of general type in any dimension, assuming that  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-singular in codimension 2.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Minimal Model Program (MMP) is one of the main guiding principles in the study of algebraic varieties. It predicts that every projective variety  $X$  with mild singularities should have a birational model  $X'$  which is also mildly singular and either has  $K_{X'}$  nef (minimal model) or admits a Mori fiber space. So, informally speaking, all algebraic varieties should be constructed, using birational equivalences and fibrations, from those of the three classes:  $K_X$  positive (general type),  $K_X$  numerically trivial (Calabi–Yau) and  $K_X$  negative (Fano).

The works of Brunella [Bru97, Bru03, Bru15], Mendes [Men00] and McQuillan [McQ08] established a version of the MMP for holomorphic foliations on surfaces. Analogously to the case of varieties, for a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  with mild singularities it is possible to define the canonical class  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  and its invariants such as Kodaira and numerical dimension. Foliation on surfaces admit a classification according to these invariants; moreover, this classification is rather explicit for foliations “not of general type”. The final step in the classification of minimal models of foliations on surfaces is the following theorem (see [Bru03, Theorem on p. 122] and [McQ08, Section IV.5]).

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation with reduced singularities on a surface  $S$  of general type. Suppose that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is nef and not big. Then either*

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically integrable and induced by an isotrivial fibration,  $\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is transcendental and the pair  $(S, \mathcal{F})$  is isomorphic to a Hilbert modular surface with a Hilbert modular foliation,  $\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = -\infty, \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ .

The setting of the above theorem is interesting from several points of view. It involves the interplay between positivity of  $K_X$  and  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  (see e.g. [CP15, CP19] for related topics); the failure of abundance for foliations (see [McQ08, Tou16]). Another context, in which these foliations appear, is the study of Kobayashi hyperbolicity (see e.g. [Kob70, Dem97]). Entire curves tangent to foliations on surfaces have been studied in connection with the Green–Griffiths conjecture (see [McQ98, Bru99, DR15]). In higher dimensions we have, for example, the following theorem [GPR13, Theorem F].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension one foliation with canonical singularities on a projective threefold  $X$ . Suppose that there exists a generically nondegenerate meromorphic map  $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \dashrightarrow X$  such that the image  $f(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is Zariski-dense in  $X$  and tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then the canonical class of  $\mathcal{F}$  is not big.*

Recently, in a series of works [Spi20, CS21, SS19] the MMP for codimension 1 foliations on threefolds has been established. Moreover, some structure theorems for codimension 1 foliations are known in higher dimensions, for example in the case  $K_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv 0$  [LPT18, Dru21] or  $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$  ample [AD13, AD17, AD19]. These results suggest that the MMP-type classification for codimension 1 foliations should hold in any dimension.

Motivated by the above results, we would like to describe codimension 1 foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  with canonical singularities and  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) < 3$  on threefolds of general type. In this paper we are able to prove the following partial analogue of Theorem 1.1.

---

This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 18-11-00121.

**Theorem (A).** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension one foliation with canonical singularities on a threefold  $X$  of general type. Suppose that  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not big and the algebraic rank  $r_a(\mathcal{F})$  is positive. Then one of the following two cases occurs.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically integrable. Then, up to a generically finite morphism, the threefold  $X$  splits as a product  $S \times C$  of a surface  $S$  and a curve  $C$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the relative tangent bundle of the projection  $S \times C \rightarrow C$ . We have  $\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$ .
- (2) The algebraic rank of  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to 1. Then, up to a generically finite morphism, the threefold  $X$  splits as a product  $S \times C$  of a Hilbert modular surface  $S$  and a curve  $C$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pullback via the projection  $S \times C \rightarrow S$  of a Hilbert modular foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $S$ . We have  $\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = -\infty$  and  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$ .

At the moment we do not know how to classify purely transcendental foliations with canonical singularities and not of general type on threefolds of general type. However, if we restrict ourselves to foliations regular in codimension 2, then we are able to prove a result which confirms our expectations. The starting point for us is another remarkable theorem by Brunella (see [Bru97, pp. 587–588]).

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a regular foliation on a minimal surface  $X$  of general type. Then the conormal bundle  $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$  is pseudoeffective (even numerically effective).*

This theorem essentially follows from Baum–Bott formula, Riemann–Roch theorem and intersection theory. Assuming that  $\mathcal{F}$  is non-singular in codimension 2, we use the Baum–Bott formula and intersection computations in a similar way to study purely transcendental foliations in higher dimensions. Together with Lefschetz-type theorems, it allows us to “lift” positivity of the conormal bundle from hyperplane sections. Then we use classification results of Touzet [Tou13, Tou16] to describe foliations from this class.

**Theorem (B).** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective manifold of general type,  $\dim(X) = n \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation on  $X$ . Suppose that*

- (1)  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not big;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is purely transcendental;
- (3)  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$ .

*Then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is induced by a Hilbert modular foliation via a morphism  $X \rightarrow M_H$ , generically finite onto its image.*

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we gather the information on Kodaira and numerical dimensions for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors, basic notions from foliation theory and foliated birational geometry. In section 3 we recall some important definitions and results concerning fibrations with fibers of general type. Then we use these results to prove Theorem (A), see Proposition 3.9 and Theorem 3.10 below. In section 4 we prove Theorem (B) (see Theorem 4.1) and pose some questions for future research.

**Acknowledgements.** This paper is a part of a project on hyperbolicity and foliations we have been working on for a long time. We would like to thank our advisor Constantin Shramov for encouraging us to write down these results. We also thank Jorge Vitório Pereira, Erwan Rousseau, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Misha Verbitsky and Vladimir Lazić for very helpful discussions on various topics related to this work.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Kodaira and numerical dimensions.** In this subsection we recall the notions of Kodaira and numerical dimension for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $D$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on a normal projective variety  $X$ . The Kodaira dimension (or Kodaira–Iitaka dimension) of  $D$  is defined as

$$\kappa(X, D) = \max\left\{k \mid \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mD \rfloor))}{m^k} > 0\right\}$$

if  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mD \rfloor)) > 0$  for some  $m$  and  $\kappa(X, D) = -\infty$  otherwise.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety,  $D$  an  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  and  $A$  an ample divisor. We define the quantity

$$\nu(D, A) = \max \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\lfloor mD \rfloor + A))}{m^k} > 0 \right\}.$$

The numerical dimension of  $D$  is defined to be

$$\nu(D) = \max\{\nu(D, A) \mid A \text{ ample}\}.$$

If  $D$  is not pseudoeffective then we set  $\nu(D) = -\infty$ .

The main property of  $\nu(D)$  is that it depends only on the numerical class of a divisor.

**Proposition 2.3.** *We have the following basic properties of Kodaira and numerical dimensions (see [Nak04, Lemma II.3.11, Proposition III.5.7] and [Nak04, Sec. V] for proofs).*

- (1) *We have  $\kappa(X, D) \leq \nu(X, D) \leq n$  for any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$ ;*
- (2) *For a nef  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$  we have  $\nu(X, D) = \max\{k \mid D^k \cdot A^{n-k} \neq 0\}$*
- (3) *If  $D$  and  $E$  are pseudoeffective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors then  $\nu(D + E) \geq \max\{\nu(D), \nu(E)\}$ ;*
- (4) *If  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a surjective morphism then  $\kappa(Y, f^*D) = \kappa(X, D)$  and  $\nu(Y, f^*D) = \nu(X, D)$ .*
- (5) *If  $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a birational morphism and  $D$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on  $X$  then*

$$\kappa(X, D) = \kappa(\tilde{X}, \varphi^*D + E) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(X, D) = \nu(\tilde{X}, \varphi^*D + E)$$

*for any effective  $\varphi$ -exceptional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $E$ .*

We also recall the notion of *movable intersection product* for pseudoeffective classes from [BDPP13] (see also [Leh13, Sec. 4]).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety of dimension  $n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the cone of pseudoeffective  $(1, 1)$ -classes on  $X$ . Then for every  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a map*

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow H_{\geq 0}^{k,k}(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad (L_1, L_2, \dots, L_k) \rightarrow \langle L_1 \cdot L_2 \cdots L_k \rangle$$

*called the movable intersection product, such that the following properties hold.*

- (1) *We have  $\text{vol}(L) = \langle L \rangle^n$ ;*
- (2) *The movable intersection product is increasing, homogeneous of degree 1 and superadditive in each variable:*

$$\langle L_1 \cdots (M + N) \cdots L_k \rangle \geq \langle L_1 \cdots M \cdots L_k \rangle + \langle L_1 \cdots N \cdots L_k \rangle.$$

- (3) *For  $k = 1$  the movable intersection product gives a divisorial Zariski decomposition:*

$$L = P_L + N_L$$

*where  $P_L = \langle L \rangle$  is nef in codimension 1.*

*Remark 2.5.* This product was used in [BDPP13, Definition 3.6] to define another version of numerical dimension for a pseudoeffective class  $D$ :

$$\nu_{BDPP}(D) = \max\{k \mid \langle D \rangle^k \neq 0\}.$$

The equivalence of this definition to Definition 2.2 was claimed in [Leh13], see the subsequent corrections in [E16, Les19]. Still, by the results in [Leh13, Sec. 6] we have an inequality

$$\nu_{BDPP}(D) \leq \nu(D)$$

for any pseudoeffective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor  $D$ .

**2.2. Foliations.** In this subsection we recollect basic definitions and facts from foliation theory. General references for this subsection are e.g. [AD13, Bru15]. We denote by  $X, Y$  normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial projective varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  and by  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}$ ) coherent sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules). If  $\mathcal{E}$  is a coherent torsion-free sheaf of rank  $r$  then by  $\det(\mathcal{E})$  we denote the reflexive hull  $(\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E})^{**}$ . A subset  $U \subset X$  is called *big* if the codimension of  $U$  in  $X$  is at least 2.

**Definition 2.6.** A *foliation* on a variety  $X$  is a coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$  which is

- saturated, that is, the quotient  $T_X/\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free;
- closed under the Lie bracket, i. e. the map  $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T_X/\mathcal{F}$  is zero.

The *rank*  $r = \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})$  of the foliation is the rank of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  at a general point of  $X$  and the *codimension* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $q = \dim(X) - \text{rk}(\mathcal{F})$ .

A foliation on a smooth variety  $X$  is *regular* if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a subbundle of  $T_X$  at every point of  $X$ . In general, let  $X^{\text{reg}}$  be the maximal open subset of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{X^{\text{reg}}}$  is regular. Then  $X^{\text{reg}}$  is a big subset of  $X$ ; the complement  $X \setminus X^{\text{reg}}$  is denoted by  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$  and is a closed subscheme of codimension at least 2 (this follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{F}$  is saturated).

*Remark 2.7.* The *normal sheaf* to  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined to be  $N_{\mathcal{F}} = (T_X/\mathcal{F})^{**}$ . Taking the  $q$ -th wedge power of the map  $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^1$  gives rise to a  $q$ -form  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \det N_{\mathcal{F}})$  with zero locus of codimension at least two. This twisted  $q$ -form is locally decomposable and integrable, which means that locally in the Euclidean topology around a general point of  $X$  we have

$$\omega_{\mathcal{F}} = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_q$$

for locally defined 1-forms  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q$  satisfying the integrability condition  $d\omega_i \wedge \omega_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ . Conversely, any locally decomposable and integrable twisted  $q$ -form  $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \mathcal{L})$  defines a codimension  $q$  foliation. The subsheaf  $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$  is obtained as the kernel of the morphism  $c_{\omega}: T_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^{q-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}$  given by contraction with  $\omega$ .

*Remark 2.8.* We will need the following fact about behaviour of foliations under morphisms and rational maps:

- Let  $f: Y \dashrightarrow X$  be a dominant rational map of varieties restricting to a morphism  $f^{\circ}: Y^{\circ} \rightarrow X^{\circ}$  where  $Y^{\circ} \subset Y$  and  $X^{\circ} \subset X$  are Zariski open subsets. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $X$  given by a  $q$ -form  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \det N_{\mathcal{F}})$ . Then we have an induced  $q$ -form

$$\omega_{Y^{\circ}} \in H^0(Y^{\circ}, \Omega_{Y^{\circ}}^q \otimes (f^{\circ})^*(\det N_{\mathcal{F}}|_{X^{\circ}}))$$

which defines a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y^{\circ}$ . We define the *pulled-back* foliation  $f^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  to be the saturation of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $T_Y$ .

- Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a dominant morphism and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a foliation on  $Y$ . We have an induced map  $df: T_Y \rightarrow f^*T_X$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  is called *projectable* under  $f$  if for a general point  $x \in X$  the image  $df_y(\mathcal{G}_y)$  does not depend on the choice of  $y \in f^{-1}(x)$  and  $\dim(df_y(\mathcal{G}_y)) = r = \text{rk}(\mathcal{G})$ . In particular, if  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a birational contraction then any foliation  $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq T_Y$  is projectable under  $f$  and induces a foliation  $\mathcal{F} = f_*\mathcal{G}$  of the same rank on  $X$ .
- Let  $Z \subseteq X$  be a smooth subvariety transverse to a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  given by a twisted  $q$ -form  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \det N_{\mathcal{F}})$ . Suppose that the restriction of  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$  to  $Z$  is nonzero. Then we obtain a nonzero induced  $q$ -form  $\omega_Z \in H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^q \otimes \det N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Z)$ . Let  $B$  be the maximal effective divisor on  $Z$  such that

$$\omega_Z \in H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^q \otimes \det N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Z(-B)).$$

This  $q$ -form defines a codimension  $q$  foliation  $\mathcal{F}_Z$  on  $Z$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on a smooth variety  $X$ . Since the integrability condition holds for  $\mathcal{F}$ , the Frobenius theorem implies that for every point  $x \in X^{\text{reg}}$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U = U_x$  and a submersion  $p: U \rightarrow V$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_U = T_{U/V}$ . A *leaf* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a maximal connected, locally closed submanifold  $L \subset X^{\text{reg}}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_L = T_L$ . A leaf  $L$  is *algebraic* if it is open in its Zariski closure or, equivalently, if  $\dim(L) = \dim(\overline{L}^{\text{Zar}})$ . In this case we use the word “leaf” for the Zariski closure of a leaf as well.

**Definition 2.10** (Tangent and transverse subvarieties). Let  $W$  be an irreducible and reduced subvariety of  $X$ . We say that  $W$  is *tangent* to  $\mathcal{F}$  if tangent space  $T_W$  factors through  $\mathcal{F}$  at all points  $x \in X^{\text{reg}}$ . A subvariety is *transverse* to  $\mathcal{F}$  if it is not tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  factors through the tangent space of  $W$  then  $W$  is called *invariant* by  $\mathcal{F}$ . In the special case of a codimension 1 foliation we call a hypersurface tangent to  $\mathcal{F}$  an *invariant hypersurface*.

**Definition 2.11** (Algebraic integrability). A foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is called *algebraically integrable* or simply *algebraic* if the leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  through a general point of  $X$  is algebraic. An example of an algebraically integrable foliation is given by (the saturation of) the relative tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{F} = (T_{X/Y})^{\text{sat}}$  of a fibration  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  where  $\dim(Y) < \dim(X)$ . The leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  in this case are just the fibers of  $f$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is *induced by the fibration*  $f$ .

**Proposition 2.12** (Rational first integrals). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an algebraically integrable foliation on  $X$ . Then there is a unique irreducible subvariety  $W$  of the cycle space  $\text{Chow}(X)$  parameterizing the closure of a general leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $V \subset W \times X$  be the universal cycle with universal morphisms  $\pi: V \rightarrow W$  and  $e: V \rightarrow X$ . Then the morphism  $e$  is birational and for  $w \in W$  general  $e(\pi^{-1}(w)) \subset X$  is the closure of a leaf of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The normalization  $\widetilde{W}$  of  $W$  is called the space of leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the induced rational map  $X \dashrightarrow \widetilde{W}$  is called rational first integral of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In other words, an algebraically integrable foliation is induced by a fibration on a suitable birational model of  $X$ .*

**Proposition 2.13** (Algebraic and purely transcendental parts). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $X$ . Then there exists a normal variety  $Y$  with a dominant rational map  $\varphi: X \dashrightarrow Y$  with connected fibers and a foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y$  such that*

- The foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  is purely transcendental, that is, there is no subvariety tangent to  $\mathcal{G}$  through a general point of  $Y$ ;
- We have  $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ .

*The pair  $(Y, \mathcal{G})$  is unique up to a birational equivalence. The foliation induced by  $\varphi$  is called the algebraic part of  $\mathcal{F}$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{alg}}$ . The rank of  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{alg}}$  is called the algebraic rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; by construction, it is a birational invariant.*

**Proposition 2.14** (Baum–Bott formula, [BP06]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation on a complex manifold  $X$  of dimension at least 2. We have the following equality in  $H^4(X, \mathbb{C})$ :*

$$c_1^2(N_{\mathcal{F}}) = \sum_Y BB(\mathcal{F}, Y)[Y],$$

*where  $Y$  ranges over irreducible components of  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$  of codimension 2, and  $BB(\mathcal{F}, [Y])$  is a number, called the Baum–Bott index of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $Y$ .*

### 2.3. Canonical class and singularities of foliations.

**Definition 2.15.** By the *canonical class* of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  we denote a linear equivalence class of Weil divisors  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_X(K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F})^*$ . We have the relation

$$K_X = K_{\mathcal{F}} + \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*).$$

**Definition 2.16.** Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a birational morphism and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation on  $X$ . Then we have an induced foliation  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}} = f^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  on  $Y$ . We express the canonical divisor  $K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}$  as

$$K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}} = f^*K_{\mathcal{F}} + \sum_i a(E_i, \mathcal{F}, X)E_i$$

where the sum is over all prime  $f$ -exceptional divisors on  $Y$ . The foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is said to have *canonical* (resp., *terminal*) *singularities* if all discrepancies  $a(E_i, \mathcal{F}, X)$  are nonnegative (resp., positive) for any such birational morphism.

*Remark 2.17.* By property (5) in Proposition 2.3, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a foliation with canonical singularities and  $\varphi: (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{F})$  is a birational morphism, then

$$\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \kappa(K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \nu(K_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}).$$

Thus, the class of foliations with canonical singularities is natural to consider in birational geometry. A famous theorem of Seidenberg [Sei68] says that any foliation singularity on a smooth surface can be

transformed to a *reduced* singularity by a finite number of blow-ups. For codimension one foliations in dimension 3 there is a resolution theorem of Cano [Can04], in terms of so-called *simple* foliation singularities. Both reduced and simple foliation singularities are canonical.

*Remark 2.18.* Unlike canonical ones, terminal foliation singularities form a rather restricted class. A result of McQuillan [McQ08, Corollary I.2.2] says that terminal foliations on (normal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein) surfaces are, up to finite cyclic covers, regular foliations on smooth surfaces. Thus terminal singularities of codimension one foliations on smooth projective varieties are regular in codimension 2. For more details on terminal foliation singularities on threefolds see [SS19, Section 5].

To express the canonical class of an algebraic foliation we recall the notion of ramification (see e.g. [AD19, Definition 2.5]).

**Definition 2.19.** Let  $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a dominant rational map between normal and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial projective varieties. Let  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  be a maximal open subset such that  $f^\circ = f|_{f^{-1}(Y^\circ)}: f^{-1}(Y^\circ) \rightarrow Y^\circ$  is an equidimensional morphism. Define

$$R(f^\circ) = \sum_D ((f^\circ)^*D - ((f^\circ)^*D)_{\text{red}}),$$

where the sum is over all prime divisors  $D$  on  $Y^\circ$ . The ramification divisor  $R(f)$  of  $f$  is defined as the Zariski closure of  $R(f)$  in  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.20** ([AD19, 2.5]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a foliation induced by an equidimensional morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the canonical class of  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by the formula*

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_{X/Y} - R(f).$$

We also state the Hurwitz formula for codimension one foliations (see e.g. [Spi20, Proposition 3.7]).

**Proposition 2.21.** *Let  $f: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$  be a finite surjective morphism of projective varieties. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation on  $X$  and denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  the induced foliation on  $\overline{X}$ . Then the canonical classes of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  are related by the formula*

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}} = f^*K_{\mathcal{F}} + \sum_D \epsilon(D)(r_D - 1)D.$$

Here the sum is over prime divisors  $D$  on  $\overline{X}$  with ramification index  $r_D$ , and  $\epsilon(D)$  is zero if  $D$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -invariant and 1 otherwise.

In particular, if  $f: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$  is a ramified cover with  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant branch divisor then  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}} = f^*K_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

We will need an adjunction formula for foliations induced on general hyperplane sections of a projective variety [AD19, Lemma 2.9].

**Proposition 2.22.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation on  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a very ample divisor on  $X$  and take a general element  $D \in |A|$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  induces a codimension 1 foliation  $\mathcal{F}|_D$  on  $D$  such that*

$$K_{\mathcal{F}|_D} = (K_{\mathcal{F}} + D)|_D \quad \text{and} \quad N_{\mathcal{F}|_D}^* = (N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)|_D.$$

### 3. FOLIATIONS WITH POSITIVE ALGEBRAIC RANK

**3.1. Families with general fibers of general type.** We start by recalling a few facts about fibrations on varieties of general type.

**Definition 3.1.** A fibration is a proper and surjective map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  between normal projective varieties, such that the fibers of  $\pi$  are connected or, equivalently,  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$ .

The fibrations we consider in this section are algebraic parts of our foliations. In particular, general fibers of such fibrations are either curves or surfaces of general type. These fibrations belong to a wider class considered by Kawamata in his work [Kaw85] on the Iitaka conjecture. Namely, he considered fibrations with the geometric generic fiber  $\overline{X}_\eta$  having a good minimal model. For these fibrations it is possible to define the *birational variation* and to compare this invariant to the Kodaira dimension of the relative canonical bundle.

**Proposition 3.2.** [Kaw85, Theorem 7.2] *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a fibration such that the geometric generic fiber  $\overline{X}_\eta$  has a good minimal model. We call a minimal closed field of definition of  $\pi$  a minimal element in the set of all algebraically closed subfields  $K \subset \overline{k(Y)}$  satisfying the condition*

$$\text{Frac}(L \otimes_K \overline{k(Y)}) \cong \text{Frac}(k(X) \otimes_{k(Y)} \overline{k(Y)}) \quad \text{over } \overline{k(Y)}$$

for some finitely generated extension  $L \supset K$ . Then a minimal closed field of definition exists and is unique.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a fibration as above. We define the *birational variation*  $\text{Var}(\pi)$  as transcendence degree over  $\mathbb{C}$  of the minimal closed field of definition of  $\pi$ .

*Remark 3.4.* Suppose that the fibration  $\pi$  is semistable and there is a moduli space for the fibers (for example, the fibers are curves or canonically polarized varieties). Then  $\text{Var}(\pi)$  is equal to the variation in the sense of moduli theory.

**Theorem 3.5.** [Kaw85, Theorem 1.1] *Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  be a fibration between smooth projective varieties. Suppose that the geometric generic fiber  $X_\eta$  of  $\pi$  has a good minimal model. Then the following inequalities hold:*

- (1)  $\kappa(Y, \det(\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{X/Y}))) \geq \text{Var}(\pi)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2) If  $L$  is a line bundle on  $Y$  such that  $\kappa(Y, L) \geq 0$ , then

$$\kappa(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_{X/Y}) \otimes \pi^* L) \geq \kappa(X_\eta) + \max\{\kappa(Y, L), \text{Var}(\pi)\}.$$

**Corollary 3.6.** [Kaw85, Corollary 1.2] *In the assumptions of Theorem 3.5, let  $F$  be a general fiber of  $\pi$ . Then we have the inequality*

$$\kappa(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_{X/Y})) \geq \kappa(K_F) + \text{Var}(\pi).$$

We reproduce here a very useful construction from the proof of [CKT16, Theorem 7.1]. Informally speaking, this construction allows us to “eliminate the ramification” of the algebraic part of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  by generically finite base change, preserving the Kodaira and numerical dimension of  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{alg}}$ .

**Construction 3.7.** Let  $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a rational map with connected fibers between normal projective varieties. Then there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{a} & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{b} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \overline{Y} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \\ & & & & \underline{\underline{Y}} \end{array}$$

where the maps are as follows:

- $b: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a resolution of indeterminacies of  $f$  and of singularities of  $X$ ;
- $\beta: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is an adapted Galois cover of the pair  $(Y, B)$ , where  $B$  is the orbifold branch divisor of the map  $f$ ;
- $\alpha: \overline{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is a log resolution of the pair  $(\tilde{Y}, \beta^* B)$ ;
- $a: \overline{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is a log resolution of the fiber product  $\overline{Y} \times_Y \tilde{X}$ .

As a result, we obtain a morphism of smooth varieties  $\bar{f}: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  and generically finite morphisms  $b \circ a: \overline{X} \rightarrow X$  and  $\beta \circ \alpha: \overline{Y} \rightarrow Y$ . Moreover, there exist big open subsets  $X^\circ \subset X$  and  $Y^\circ \subset Y$  with preimages  $\overline{X}^\circ = (b \circ a)^{-1}(X^\circ)$  and  $\overline{Y}^\circ = (\beta \circ \alpha)^{-1}(Y^\circ)$  also being big and such that

$$K_{\overline{X}^\circ/\overline{Y}^\circ} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (b \circ a)^*(K_{X^\circ/Y^\circ} - R(f)).$$

*Remark 3.8.* Suppose that we have a fibration  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 3.5. Then Construction 3.7 gives us a morphism  $\bar{f}: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ . Let us consider the Stein decomposition of  $\bar{f}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \overline{Y} \\ & \searrow g & \nearrow h \\ & & Z \end{array}$$

Here the morphism  $g: \overline{X} \rightarrow Z$  is a fibration and  $h: Z \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  is finite. Thus we can set

$$\mathrm{Var}(\overline{\pi}) := \mathrm{Var}(g).$$

By Hurwitz formula we have  $K_Z > h^*K_{\overline{Y}}$ , therefore  $K_{\overline{X}/Z} < K_{\overline{X}/\overline{Y}}$ . Moreover, if  $F$  and  $\overline{F}$  are general fibers of  $\pi$  and  $g$ , respectively, then again by Hurwitz formula we have  $K_{\overline{F}} > (b \circ a)^*K_F$ . Therefore,

$$\kappa(K_{\overline{X}^\circ/\overline{Y}^\circ}) = \kappa(K_{\overline{X}/\overline{Y}}) \geq K_{\overline{F}} + \mathrm{Var}(\overline{\pi}),$$

so Corollary 3.6 works in this case as well. Analogously, we can check that the same is true about part (2) in Theorem 3.5.

### 3.2. The classification: positive algebraic rank.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation with canonical singularities on a threefold  $X$  of general type. Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is algebraically integrable and that the canonical class  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not big. Then, up to a generically finite morphism, the threefold  $X$  splits as a product  $S \times C$  of a surface  $S$  and a curve  $C$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  is the relative tangent bundle of the projection  $S \times C \rightarrow C$ . In particular, we have  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a fibration  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$  which is a resolution of indeterminacies of a rational map  $X \dashrightarrow C$  inducing the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have the induced foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = T_{\tilde{X}/C}^{\mathrm{sat}}$  on  $\tilde{X}$ . By Proposition 2.20 the canonical class of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is given by the formula

$$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} = K_{\tilde{X}/C} - R(\pi).$$

We apply Construction 3.7 to  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow C$  and obtain a morphism  $\overline{\pi}: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{C}$  and a foliation  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = T_{\overline{X}/\overline{C}}$ . Then by Remark 3.8 we can apply Corollary 3.6 to the map  $\overline{\pi}$  and obtain

$$2 \geq \nu(K_{\tilde{X}/C} - R(\pi)) = \nu(K_{\overline{X}^\circ/\overline{C}^\circ}) \geq \kappa(K_F) + \mathrm{Var}(\overline{\pi}).$$

By adjunction, a general fiber  $F$  of  $\pi$  is a surface of general type, that is,  $\kappa(K_F) = 2$ . Therefore we have  $\mathrm{Var}(\overline{\pi}) = \mathrm{Var}(\pi) = 0$  and by definition of birational variation, some finite cover of  $X$  birationally splits as a product  $S \times C$ . We have  $\nu(K_{X/C}) = 2$  for the foliation  $T_{X/C}$  on  $X = S \times C$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  has canonical singularities, by Proposition 2.21 we have  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$  as well. The proposition is proved.  $\square$

Next, we treat the case of foliations with algebraic rank 1. The idea is the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.9. We express the canonical class of  $\mathcal{F}$  in terms of the canonical classes of its algebraic and transcendental parts. Then we use our assumption  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) < 3$  together with Construction 3.7 and Theorem 3.5 in order to obtain restrictions on the birational variation of the algebraic reduction  $\pi$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The rest of the proof is case-by-case analysis according to possible values of  $\mathrm{Var}(\pi)$  and  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{G}})$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation with canonical singularities on a threefold  $X$  of general type. Suppose that the algebraic rank of  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to 1 and that the canonical class of  $\mathcal{F}$  is not big. Then, up to a generically finite morphism, the threefold  $X$  is isomorphic to  $S \times C$ , where  $S$  is a Hilbert modular surface,  $C$  is a curve of genus at least 2, and the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pullback of a Hilbert modular foliation on  $S$  by the projection  $S \times C \rightarrow S$ . The numerical dimension of  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is equal to 2.*

*Proof.* We consider the algebraic reduction of  $\mathcal{F}$ , see Proposition 2.13. After resolving the indeterminacies and singularities, we obtain a fibration  $\pi: X \rightarrow S$  from a smooth projective threefold (which we also denote by  $X$ ) of general type to a smooth surface  $S$ . Moreover, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is the pullback of a transcendental foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $S$ . The canonical class of  $\mathcal{F}$  can then be expressed by the formula

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*K_{\mathcal{G}} + K_{X/S} - R(\pi).$$

Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is transcendental, the canonical class  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is pseudoeffective. By [CP19, Theorem 1.3], the canonical class  $K_{X/S} - R(\pi)$  of the algebraic part of  $\mathcal{F}$  is pseudoeffective as well. We have the inequalities  $\nu(K_{X/S} - R(\pi)) \leq \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) \leq 2$  by our assumption on  $\mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand, we apply Construction 3.7 to obtain a diagram as before:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{X} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \overline{f} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \overline{S} & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$



We can use this diagram to estimate the numerical dimension of  $K_{X/S} - R(\pi)$  from below. Indeed, by Corollary 3.6 and Remark 3.8 we obtain

$$\nu(K_{X/S} - R(\pi)) = \nu(K_{\overline{X}^\circ/\overline{S}^\circ}) \geq \kappa(K_{\overline{X}/\overline{S}}) \geq \kappa(K_F) + \text{Var}(\overline{\pi}) = 1 + \text{Var}(\pi),$$

since a general fiber  $F$  of  $\pi$  is a curve of general type. Thus we are left with two possibilities: either  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 0$  or  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 1$ .

If  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 0$  then after passing to a finite cover, the threefold  $X$  becomes birational to a product  $S \times C$  of a surface and a curve. In particular, both the surface  $S$  and the curve  $C$  are of general type. Moreover, if  $p_S: S \times C \rightarrow S$  and  $p_C: S \times C \rightarrow C$  are projections and  $\mathcal{F} = p_S^{-1}\mathcal{G}$  then  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = p_S^*K_{\mathcal{G}} + p_C^*K_C$ . From this formula we see that if  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not big on  $X = S \times C$  then  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is not big on  $S$ . Since the foliation  $\mathcal{G}$  is moreover transcendental and the singularities of  $\mathcal{G}$  are canonical, by Theorem 1.1 we conclude that  $\mathcal{G}$  is birational to a Hilbert modular foliation on a Hilbert modular surface. The case  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 0$  is thus settled.

Suppose now that  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 1$ ; then since a general fiber  $F$  of  $\pi$  is a curve of general type, we necessarily have that

$$\nu(K_{X/S} - R(\pi)) = 2.$$

Again, we apply Construction 3.7 to obtain a morphism  $\overline{\pi}: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{S}$  and induced foliations  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ . By Remark 3.8 we can apply part (2) of Theorem 3.5 to  $\overline{\pi}$ , taking  $L = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}})$ , and obtain

$$2 \geq \kappa(K_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}) = \kappa(\pi^*K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}} + K_{\overline{X}/\overline{S}}) \geq 1 + \max\{\text{Var}(\pi), \kappa(K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}})\},$$

provided that  $\kappa(K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}) \geq 0$ . Therefore, the foliation  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  (and therefore  $\mathcal{F}$ ) is not of general type. To complete the proof, we need to exclude the remaining cases  $\nu(K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}) = 0$  and  $\nu(K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}) = 1$ .

If  $\nu(K_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}}) = 0$  then by the classification theorem of McQuillan [McQ08, Theorem 2 IV.3.6] (see also [Bru15, Theorem 8.2]), we can replace  $\overline{S}$  by a further finite cover followed by a sequence of birational contractions such that the foliation  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is given by a holomorphic vector field with isolated zeroes. Let  $D \subset \overline{S}$  be a minimal reduced divisor such that the restriction of  $\overline{\pi}$  is a smooth family over  $\overline{S} \setminus D$ . Take a minimal log resolution  $\varphi: (\tilde{S}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (\overline{S}, D)$ . Then the induced foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is logarithmic for the pair  $(\tilde{S}, \tilde{D})$ , since the support of  $\tilde{D}$  is snc and every component of  $\tilde{D}$  is  $\tilde{F}$ -invariant. Moreover, the foliation  $\tilde{F}$  is given by a section

$$s \in H^0(\tilde{S}, K_{\tilde{S}}^{-1}) \subset H^0(\tilde{S}, T_{\tilde{S}}(-\log \tilde{D})).$$

On the other hand, the restriction of  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a smooth family of genus  $\geq 2$  curves over the complement  $\tilde{S} \setminus \tilde{D}$ . Our assumption  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 1$  together with Remark 3.4 and Torelli theorem for curves gives us a non-trivial variation of polarized Hodge structures supported on  $\tilde{S} \setminus \tilde{D}$ . By a result of Brunbarbe [Brun18, Theorem 1.2], there exists a section

$$\sigma \in H^0(\tilde{S}, \text{Sym}^m \Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1(\log \tilde{D})).$$

Therefore, the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1(\log \tilde{D}))}(1)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective. However, since there is a section

$$s \in H^0(\tilde{S}, T_{\tilde{S}}(-\log \tilde{D})) = H^0(\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1(\log \tilde{D})), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\Omega_{\tilde{S}}^1(\log \tilde{D}))}(-1)),$$

the logarithmic tangent bundle  $T_{\tilde{S}}(-\log \tilde{D})$  has to be trivial. Then by adjunction we have

$$K_{\tilde{X}} + \widetilde{D}_X = \tilde{\pi}^*(K_{\tilde{S}} + \tilde{D}) + K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}.$$

The left hand side of this linear equivalence is a big divisor class, while on the right hand side we have the sum of a trivial divisor class  $\tilde{\pi}^*(K_{\tilde{S}} + \tilde{D})$  and the class  $K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}$ . By the above discussion we have  $\nu(K_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}) = \nu(K_{X/S} - R(\pi)) = 2$ , so the class on the right hand side is not big. We obtain a contradiction, which shows that the case  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{G}}) = 0$  does not occur.

Finally, we need to exclude the case  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{G}}) = 1$  and  $\text{Var}(\pi) = 1$ . In this case we have

$$\nu(K_{X/S} - R(\pi)) = 2.$$

Let us consider the divisorial Zariski decomposition  $K_{X/S} - R(\pi) = P + N$ . Then  $P$  is nef in codimension 1 and  $\nu(P) = 2$ . By the MMP for foliations on surfaces, we can assume  $K_{\mathcal{G}}$  to be nef. Then by the

formula for  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  and by properties of the restricted positive product from Theorem 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad 0 &= \text{vol}(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \langle K_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle^3 \geq \langle \pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}} \rangle^3 + 3\langle \pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot P^2 \rangle + 3\langle \pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \cdot P \rangle + \langle P \rangle^3 = \\ (2) \quad &= 0 + 0 + 0 + 3\pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot \langle P \rangle^2 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\nu(P) = 2$ , it follows from duality that every movable class orthogonal to  $\langle P \rangle^2$  is proportional to  $P$ . The class  $\pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}}$  is nef of numerical dimension 1 and is obviously not proportional to  $P$ . Therefore,  $\pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}} \cdot \langle P \rangle^2 > 0$ , which contradicts  $\nu(\pi^* K_{\mathcal{G}} + P) \leq \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$ . Therefore this case is impossible and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. THE CASE OF PURELY TRANSCENDENTAL FOLIATIONS

In this section we consider purely transcendental foliations on smooth projective varieties of general type. Assuming that the foliation is non-singular in codimension 2, we can obtain the following description for these foliations.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective manifold of general type,  $\dim(X) = n \geq 2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation on  $X$ . Suppose that*

- (1)  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not big;
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is purely transcendental;
- (3)  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$ .

*Then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is induced by a Hilbert modular foliation via a morphism  $X \rightarrow M_H$ , generically finite onto its image.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  is a surface then by assumption  $\mathcal{F}$  is regular, therefore canonical (see [AD13]). The statement then follows from Theorem 1.1 with the morphism  $X \rightarrow M_H$  being the minimal model of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Suppose now that  $\dim(X) = n \geq 3$ .

*Step 1: Find a suitable complete intersection surface.* By our assumptions,  $K_X$  is big and  $K_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not big. From Proposition 2.3 and from the formula

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_X + N_{\mathcal{F}}$$

we obtain that the normal bundle  $N_{\mathcal{F}}$  is not pseudoeffective. Since  $X$  is smooth, by [BDPP13, Theorem 1.5] this is equivalent to the following condition: there exists a birational model  $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  and a complete intersection class  $\alpha = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1}$  on  $\tilde{X}$  such that

$$(3) \quad N_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \varphi_*(H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1}) = \varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot H_1 \cdots H_{n-1} < 0.$$

By Bertini's theorem we can take the above ample divisors  $H_2, \dots, H_{n-1}$  and large multiples  $m_i$  for  $i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$  such that a general element

$$D \in |m_2 H_2 \cap \cdots \cap m_{n-1} H_{n-1}|$$

is a smooth surface. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is purely transcendental, a very general  $D$  as above satisfies the following condition: every compact curve  $C \subset D$ , invariant under  $\mathcal{F}|_D$ , is an intersection  $C = D \cap E$  for an  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant hypersurface  $E \subset X$ . Note that this condition implies that the number of  $\mathcal{F}|_D$ -invariant curves is finite. Indeed, if the number of  $\mathcal{F}|_D$ -invariant curves is infinite, then the number of  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant surfaces  $E \subset X$  is infinite as well. Therefore by Jouanolou's theorem [Jou78] the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  has to be algebraically integrable, which is not the case.

*Step 2: Prove pseudoeffectivity of  $\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*$  on  $D$ .* Recall from Remark 2.8 that we have the relation

$$N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \cong \varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* + E$$

where  $E$  is an effective  $\varphi$ -exceptional divisor. Therefore, if  $(\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)|_D$  is pseudoeffective then the same is true for  $N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D$ . Let us consider the following  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors on  $\tilde{X}$ :

$$L_\varepsilon := \varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* + \varepsilon H_1, \quad \varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}.$$

By the Riemann–Roch theorem we obtain

$$h^0(D, mL_\varepsilon|_D) + h^2(D, mL_\varepsilon|_D) \geq C_\varepsilon \cdot (L_\varepsilon|_D)^2 m^2$$

for a positive constant  $C_\varepsilon$  and  $m$  large and sufficiently divisible. The intersection number is equal to

$$(4) \quad (L_\varepsilon|_D)^2 = (\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D + \varepsilon H_1|_D)^2 = ((\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)^2 + 2\varepsilon \varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \cdot H_1 + \varepsilon^2 H_1^2) \cdot D = \\ = (\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)^2 \cdot m_2 H_2 \cdots m_{n-1} H_{n-1} + 2\varepsilon \varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \cdot H_1 \cdot m_2 H_2 \cdots m_{n-1} H_{n-1} + \varepsilon^2 H_1 \cdot m_2 H_2 \cdots m_{n-1} H_{n-1}.$$

The second summand in the above equality is positive by the condition (3). The third one is also positive, since  $H_i$  are ample divisors. As for the first summand, by the projection formula we have

$$(\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)^2 \cdot H_2 \cdots H_{n-1} = N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \cdot \varphi_*(\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \cdot H_2 \cdots H_{n-1}) = N_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \cdot \varphi_*(H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1}).$$

By our assumption  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$  and by the Baum–Bott formula we have

$$(5) \quad N_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \cdot \varphi_*(H_2 \cap \cdots \cap H_{n-1}) = 0 \quad \text{since} \quad N_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \equiv 0.$$

Thus  $(L_\varepsilon|_D)^2 > 0$  for all  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ . Moreover, by Serre duality and by the condition (3) we have

$$h^2(D, mL_\varepsilon|_D) = h^0(D, K_D + m\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* - m\varepsilon H_1) = 0$$

for  $m$  large enough. Therefore for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$  we obtain

$$h^0(D, mL_\varepsilon|_D) > C \cdot (L_\varepsilon|_D)^2 m^2 > 0$$

for  $m$  large and sufficiently divisible (depending on  $\varepsilon$ ). So the class of  $L_0|_D = \varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D$  is a limit of classes of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective divisors, hence it is pseudoeffective. Then the conormal line bundle

$$N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^* = N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D = (\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* + E)|_D$$

is pseudoeffective as well.

*Step 3: Case-by-case analysis.* By the classification result of Touzet [Tou13, Proposition 2.14], we have three possibilities for the numerical and Kodaira dimensions of  $N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*$ :

- (1)  $\nu(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\nu(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 1, \kappa(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 1$ ;
- (3)  $\nu(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 1, \kappa(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = -\infty$ .

We consider these three cases separately.

*Case  $\nu(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 0$ .* Since  $\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D$  is pseudoeffective and  $N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^* = (\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* + E)|_D$ , it follows that  $\nu(\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D) = 0$ . We consider the Zariski decomposition  $(\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)|_D = L + \sum_i a_i C_i$ , where  $L$  is numerically trivial and  $C_i$  are exceptional curves on  $D$ . We obtain

$$(6) \quad (\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D)^2 = (\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*)^2 \cdot m_2 H_2 \cdots m_{n-1} H_{n-1} = 0 \quad (\text{by the equality (5)}) \\ = L^2 + \left( \sum a_i C_i \right)^2 = \left( \sum a_i C_i \right)^2.$$

Since the Gram matrix of  $\{C_i\}$  is negative definite, we obtain that  $C_i = 0$ , so that  $\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^*|_D = L \equiv 0$ . By the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem the map  $i^*: H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(D, \mathbb{C})$  is injective, therefore we have  $\varphi^* N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \equiv 0$  on  $\widetilde{X}$ . However, this implies  $\nu(\varphi^* K_X) = \nu(\varphi^* K_{\mathcal{F}}) = n$ , which contradicts our assumptions.

*Case  $\nu(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 1, \kappa(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 1$ .* In this case we apply a result of Bogomolov [Bog79, Lemma 12.4] and obtain that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D$  is algebraically integrable. However, the algebraic reduction of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D$  is induced by that of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  (see [PS20, Lemma 4]), so the algebraic rank of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$  has to be positive, which is not the case by assumption.

*Case  $\nu(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = 1, \kappa(N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*) = -\infty$ .* By a theorem of Touzet [Tou16, Theorem 1], there exists a map  $f: D \rightarrow M_H$ , where  $M_H = \mathbb{D}^N/\Gamma$  is a Hilbert modular variety, and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D$  is the pullback via  $f$  of one of the Hilbert modular foliations on  $M_H$ . The map  $f$  is constructed from a monodromy representation

$$\rho_D: \pi_1(D \setminus \text{Supp}(N_D)) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbb{C})$$

using the Corlette–Simpson correspondence. Here  $N_D$  is the negative part in the Zariski decomposition of  $N_{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}|_D}^*$ . By our assumption on  $D$  we have  $N_D = N_{\widetilde{X}}|_D$  where  $N_{\widetilde{X}}$  is a divisor on  $\widetilde{X}$  with  $\text{Supp}(N_{\widetilde{X}})$  being  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ -invariant. Applying the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem for quasi-projective varieties [HL85, Theorem 1.1.1], we obtain that

$$\pi_1(D \setminus (\text{Supp}(N_D))) \simeq \pi_1(\widetilde{X} \setminus \text{Supp}(N_{\widetilde{X}})).$$

Therefore we have a representation of  $\pi_1(\tilde{X} \setminus \text{Supp}(N_{\tilde{X}}^*))$  satisfying all the assumptions used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [Tou16]. By the same argument as in *loc. cit.* we can construct a map  $f_{\tilde{X}}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow M_H$  such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is induced via  $f_{\tilde{X}}$  by one of the Hilbert modular foliations on  $M_H$ . In particular, the conormal bundle  $N_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^*$  is pseudoeffective. The map  $f_X$  has to be generically finite onto its image, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is purely transcendental.

Finally, the conormal bundle  $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* = \varphi_* N_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^*$  is pseudoeffective as well. From the above analysis it follows that the only possible case is  $\nu(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = 1$  and  $\kappa(N_{\mathcal{F}}^*) = -\infty$ . Applying [Tou16, Theorem 1], we obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

Finally, we list some questions which are natural to ask in view of our results.

*Question 1.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a purely transcendental foliation of codimension 1 on a threefold  $X$  of general type. Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  has canonical singularities and  $\nu(K_{\mathcal{F}}) < 3$ . Is the conormal (or log conormal, for some  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant boundary) bundle always pseudoeffective in this case? The logarithmic version of Touzet’s theorem [Tou16, Theorem 2] gives an affirmative answer to this question for singular Hilbert modular foliations.

*Question 2.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  a foliation be as in the previous question. Can the numerical dimension be equal to 1? More generally, if  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional variety of general type, what is the minimal numerical dimension of a foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ ?

*Question 3.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a codimension 1 foliation with canonical singularities on a projective threefold. Suppose that  $\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) \geq 0$ ; does it follow that  $\kappa(K_{\mathcal{F}}) = \nu(K_{\mathcal{F}})$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [AD13] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. On Fano foliations. *Advances in Mathematics* 238 (2013) 70–118.
- [AD17] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on complex projective manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 727 (2017), 191–246.
- [AD19] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel. Characterization of generic projective space bundles and algebraicity of foliations. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 94 (2019), no. 4, 833–853.
- [Bog79] Fedor Bogomolov. Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective varieties. *Math. USSR-Izv.*, 13:3 (1979), 499–555.
- [BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun and Thomas Peternell. The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension. *J. Algebraic Geom.* 22 (2013), no. 2, 201–248.
- [Brun18] Brunebarbe, Yohan. Symmetric differentials and variations of Hodge structures. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 743 (2018), 133–161.
- [Bru97] Marco Brunella. Feuilletages holomorphes sur les surfaces complexes compactes. *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure, Série 4, Tome 30* (1997) no. 5, pp. 569–594.
- [Bru99] Marco Brunella. Courbes entières et feuilletages holomorphes. *Enseign. Math.* (2) 45 (1999), no. 1-2, 195–216.
- [Bru03] Brunella, Marco Subharmonic variation of the leafwise Poincaré metric. *Invent. Math.* 152 (2003), no. 1, 119–148.
- [Bru15] Brunella, Marco Birational geometry of foliations. *IMPA Monographs*, 1. Springer, Cham, 2015. xiv+130 pp.
- [BP06] Brunella, Marco and Perrone, Carlo. Exceptional singularities of codimension one holomorphic foliations. *Publ. Mat.* 55 (2011), 295–312.
- [CP15] Frédéric Campana and Mihai Păun. Orbifold generic semi-positivity: an application to families of canonically polarized manifolds. *Annales de l’institut Fourier*, 65 no. 2 (2015), p. 835–861.
- [CP19] Campana, Frédéric; Păun, Mihai. Foliations with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 129 (2019), 1–49.
- [Can04] Cano, Felipe. Reduction of the singularities of codimension one singular foliations in dimension three. *Ann. of Math.* 160 (2004), 907–1011.
- [CKT16] Benoît Claudon, Stefan Kebekus, Behrouz Taji. Generic positivity and applications to hyperbolicity of moduli spaces. *ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1610.09832*.
- [CS21] Cascini, Paolo and Spicer, Calum. MMP for co-rank one foliations on threefolds. *Invent. Math.* 225 (2021), no. 2, 603–690.
- [Dem97] Jean-Pierre Demailly. Algebraic criteria for Kobayashi hyperbolic projective varieties and jet differentials. In *Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995*, volume 62 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 285–360. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [Dru21] Druel, Stéphane. Codimension one foliations with numerically trivial canonical class on singular spaces. *Duke Math. J.* 170 (2021), no. 1, 95–203.
- [DR15] Simone Diverio and Erwan Rousseau. The exceptional set and the Green-Griffiths locus do not always coincide. *Enseign. Math.* 61 (2015), no. 3-4, 417–452.
- [E16] Eckl, Thomas. Numerical analogues of the Kodaira dimension and the Abundance Conjecture. *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 150 (3-4), 337–356.

- [GPR13] Carlo Gasbarri, Gianluca Pacienza and Erwan Rousseau. Higher-dimensional tautological inequalities and applications. *Math. Ann.* (2013) 356: 703–735.
- [HL85] Hamm, Helmut A. and Lê Dũng Tráng. Lefschetz theorems on quasi-projective varieties. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, Tome 113 (1985) , pp. 123-142.
- [Jou78] Jouanolou, Jean-Pierre. Hypersurfaces solutions d'une équation de Pfaff. *Math. Ann.* 232, 239–245 (1978).
- [Kaw85] Kawamata, Yujiro. Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 363(1985), 1–46.
- [Kob70] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Hyperbolic manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, volume 2 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1970.
- [Leh13] Lehmann, Brian. Comparing numerical dimensions. *Algebra and Number Theory*, 7:5 (2013).
- [Les19] Lesieutre, John. Notions of numerical Iitaka dimension do not coincide. ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1904.10832.
- [LPT18] Loray, Frank; Pereira, Jorge Vitório; Touzet, Frédéric. Singular foliations with trivial canonical class. *Invent. Math.* 213 (2018), no. 3, 1327–1380.
- [McQ98] McQuillan, Michael. Diophantine approximations and foliations. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 87 (1998), 121–174.
- [McQ08] McQuillan, Michael. Canonical models of foliations. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 4 (2008), no. 3, Special Issue: In honor of Fedor Bogomolov. Part 2, 877–1012.
- [Men00] Mendes, Luís Gustavo. Kodaira dimension of holomorphic singular foliations. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* 31 (2000), no. 2, 127–143.
- [Nak04] Nakayama, Noboru. *Zariski-decomposition and Abundance*. (Tokyo: The Mathematical Society of Japan, 2004)
- [PS20] Pereira, Jorge Vitório and Spicer, Calum. Hypersurfaces quasi-invariant by codimension one foliations. *Math. Ann.* 378 (2020), no. 1-2, 613–635.
- [Sei68] Seidenberg, Abraham. Reduction of singularities of the differential equation  $Ady = Bdx$ . *Am. J. Math.* 89, 248–269 (1968).
- [Spi20] Spicer, Calum. Higher-dimensional foliated Mori theory. *Compositio Math.* 156 (2020), 1–38.
- [SS19] Spicer, Calum and Svaldi, Roberto. Local and global applications of the Minimal Model Program for co-rank one foliations on threefolds. ArXiv e-prints arXiv:1908.05037.
- [Tou13] Touzet, Frédéric. Uniformisation de l'espace des feuilles de certains feuilletages de codimension un. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 44 (2013), no. 3, 351–391.
- [Tou16] Touzet, Frédéric. On the structure of codimension 1 foliations with pseudoeffective conormal bundle. *Foliation theory in algebraic geometry*, 157–216, Simons Symp., Springer, Cham, 2016.

Aleksei Golota

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russian Federation  
 Laboratory of Algebraic Geometry, NRU HSE, 6 Usacheva str., Moscow, Russia, 119048  
 golota.g.a.s@mail.ru, agolota@hse.ru