

The Effects of the NBA COVID Bubble on the NBA Playoffs: A Case Study for Home-Court Advantage

Michael Price and Jun Yan

michael.price@uconn.edu

Department of Statistics, University of Connecticut

Abstract

The 2020 NBA playoffs were played inside of a bubble in Disney World because of the COVID-19 pandemic. This meant that there were no fans in attendance, games played on neutral courts and no traveling for teams, which in theory removes home-court advantage from the games. This setting has attracted much discussion as analysts and fans debated the possible effects it may have on the outcome of games. Home-court advantage has historically played an influential role in NBA playoff series outcomes. The 2020 playoff provided a unique opportunity to study the effects of the bubble and home-court advantage by comparing the 2020 season with the seasons in the past. While many factors contribute to the outcome of games, points scored is the deciding factor of who wins games, so scoring is the primary focus of this study. The specific measures of interest are team scoring totals and team shooting percentage on two-pointers, three-pointers, and free throws. Comparing these measures for home teams and away teams in 2020 vs. 2017-2019 shows that the 2020 playoffs favored away teams more than usual, particularly with two point shooting and total scoring.

1 Introduction

Home-court advantage is often discussed in sports circles as a contributing factor to the outcome of games. It is well-known that the home team typically benefits from some competitive edge from playing at their home-court, resulting in a better chance of winning. Thus, the NBA playing the 2020 playoffs in a bubble due to the COVID-19 pandemic brought a great deal of concern for fans, teams, journalists, and others. For example, [Aschburner \(2020\)](#) discusses about anticipated effects, sharing concerns from former players, coaches and other experts about the potential effects of removing home-court advantage. Aschburner notes that the NBA did make attempts to recreate the effects by putting the “home” team logo on the court and allowing the “home” team to play crowd noise and music, but most people doubted these small attempts would recreate a true playoff atmosphere. During the 2020 NBA playoffs, home teams only won about 48.2% of the games. This is lower than normal, which Aschburner claims usually floats around 60%. This shift in the home team winning percentage surely indicates the opportunity for thorough investigation.

So, what happened? Did the home teams fail to perform up to normal standards without the help of home-court advantage? Were away teams able to rise to the occasion and perform better not having to deal with the headache of going on the road? We seek to answer the questions using scoring totals and shooting percentages as indicators of team performance. This will deepen understanding of how home-court advantage affects home and away teams in the NBA.

Our study is quite different from earlier NBA home-court advantage studies. By using the neutral site games of 2020 we will get to compare home and away performance to a control. Typically, studies just compare home vs away performance. These studies do not separate the effects of home-court advantage into the specific effect on the home team and the specific effect on the away team. They show that home teams outperform away teams, but not if this is a result of home teams overperforming or away teams underperforming because of home-court advantage. Some of these studies are reviewed in greater detail in

Section 2.

We will compare home team performance in 2020 at a neutral site with no fans vs. 2017-19 playoffs with fans. Likewise, away team performance in 2020 at a neutral site with no fans vs. 2017-19 playoffs with fans. By comparing home teams in 2020 to home teams in 2017-19 and away teams in 2020 to away teams in 2017-19, we add a new perspective to the field of research. This will allow for a more accurate understanding of the effects of home-court advantage on home and away teams in the NBA. We will not only see that home-court advantage helps home teams outperform away teams, but also separate the effects of home-court advantage on home teams and away teams performance individually.

Nine hypotheses were tested to understand the differences in 2020 vs. earlier years. First, whether or not the difference between home win percentage in 2020 and 2017-19 is zero. This difference is found to be statistically significant from zero. Then we assess for differences in home scoring in 2020 vs 2017-2019. Similarly, we can do the same test, but for differences in away scoring in 2020 vs 2017-2019. Also, differences in team shooting (for-two pointers, three-pointers, and free throws) from 2020 vs 2017-2019 for both home and away teams. The results from these tests bring a new perspective to understanding of how home-court advantage impacts games by altering the performance of the home and away teams.

2 Literature Review

There is a voluminous literature on the effects of home-court advantage. Many NBA home-court advantage studies analyze the effects by studying shooting percentages. [Kotecki \(2014\)](#) reported significant home-court advantage using performance-based statistics, specifically field goal percentage, free throw percentage, and points by comparing home performance vs. away performance in games. All of which he showed significantly indicates that home-court helps teams play better. [Cao et al. \(2011\)](#) studied the effects of pressure on performance in the NBA. Using throws as their measure of interest, they tested whether home fans could

distract and put pressure on opposing players to make free throws. However, they found insignificant evidence that home status has a substantial impact on missing from the free throw line. [Harris and Roebber \(2019\)](#) used two point shots, three-point shots and free throws as measures of interest to study home-court advantage. Two point shots were found to be the strongest predictor of home-court advantage. They suggested that home teams should try to shoot more two point shots and force their opponent to take more two point shot attempts. This strategy will maximize the benefits of home-court advantage and give them the best chance to win.

Some studies focus less on shooting and more on scoring differences and other metrics. For example, [Greer \(1983\)](#) focused on the influence of spectator booing on home-court advantage in basketball. The three methods of performance used in this study were scoring, violations, and turnovers. This study was conducted using the men's basketball programs at two large universities. The study finds that social support, like booing, is an important contributor to home-court advantage. Greer explains, whether the influence is greater on visiting team performance or referee calls is less clear. However, the data does seem to lean slightly in favor of affecting visiting team performance. [Harville and Smith \(1994\)](#) studied the effect of home-court advantage using the 1991-1992 college basketball season. Unlike the NBA, it is not uncommon to have a few games played at neutral sites during the college basketball season. This allowed them to construct two samples, one of home teams and one of neutral teams. They formulate their study in a regression predicting the expected difference in score for home teams. They set up their study to find if the home teams won games by more points when they had home-court advantage vs. when playing at neutral court. This study concluded with evidence supporting home-court advantage.

There are also surveys on the factors contributing to home-court advantage. [Courneya and Carron \(1992\)](#) gave four main game location factors for home and away teams, namely, the crowd factor, which is the impact of fans cheering; learning factors, which is an advantage from home teams from playing at a familiar venue; travel factors, the idea that away teams

may face fatigue and jet lag from traveling; and, rule factors, which says that home teams may benefit from some advantages in rules and officiating. They acknowledge that these factors would all be removed if games were played at a neutral site even if one team was designated as “home team”. This study was reviewed a decade later by [Carron et al. \(2005\)](#). The 2005 review goes over the new findings from studies about the significance of these four game location factors. Since 1992 they found that results on these four factors are mixed. However, there is some evidence supporting crowd and travel factors impact games in the NBA. There is less evidence suggesting learning and rule factors impact the NBA. One interesting finding cited by [Carron et al. \(2005\)](#) is that in the absence of crowds result in overall performance increases.

3 Data

Data were collected from the official NBA website. The main variables of interest are whether or not the home team won, scoring totals for home and away teams, and shooting percentages for home and away teams on two-pointers, three-pointers, and free throws. These variables were very popular and frequently used in the related literature discussed earlier. The data was collected on a game by game basis, this gave us two observations for each variable per game played, one observation for each team(home and away). There were 83 games played in the 2020 playoffs, giving 83 observations for each variable in 2020 for both the home and away teams (166 observations total). Likewise, there were 243 games played over 2017-2019, giving 243 observations of each variable for both home and away teams over 2017-2020 (486 observations total). While many other measures could be used for measuring the outcome of the game and team performance, scoring seemed to be the most important. The winner of a game is determined by who scores more points. There can only be one winner and one loser making the outcome a binary variable, with one indicating a win and zero indicating a loss.

Home-court advantage is the basic idea that the home team is more likely to win. So laying a foundation of typical home-court advantage is crucial. Before focusing on the 2017 to 2020 playoffs we can take a quick look at home team win percentages since 2010. Notice in Figure 1, the 10 years before 2020, the home team winning percentage ranged from around 0.56 to 0.7 and never dipped below 0.5. The 2020 bubble broke this historic pattern dipping down below 0.5. Foreshadowing, the confirmation of the expectation that the effect of home-court advantage was removed in the 2020 playoffs.

Moving on to the main focus of the study, comparing 2020 to 2017-2019. Figure 2 shows the histograms of the home (green) and away scoring (red) for 2020 vs. 2017-2019. All histograms are fairly bell shaped, which is important for statistical tests designed for normally distribute data. There appears to be little difference between the 2020 and 2017-2019 for home scoring, but for away scoring, a noticeable shift to the right in 2020 is observed compared with that in 2017-2019.

Our second target of inference is shooting percentage for home and away teams. Figure 3 shows home shooting for two-pointers, three-pointers and free throws for 2020 (top) vs. 2017-19 (bottom). The histograms appear to be fairly similarly distributed between 2020 and 2017-19. Likewise, Figure 4, shows the same percentages except for away teams. It appears that the two-point shooting percentage for away teams has a small shift to the right in 2020 relative to that in 2017-2019.

4 Methods

The 2020 bubble provides a new and exciting opportunity to study home-court advantage for the NBA. Unlike college basketball, aside from a few exhibition/preseason games, the NBA always has a home and away team. So, for the first time in NBA history the bubble allows NBA home and away performance to be compared vs a control/neutral field. The NBA bubble, as a neutral court, removed all 4 possible game location factors impacting home-




Figure 1: Winning percentage of NBA home teams in the playoffs since 2010, the green line denotes .500.




Figure 2: Histograms of home (blue) and away (red) scoring for 2020 (bottom) and 2017-2019 (top).




Figure 3: Histograms of home shooting percentages for two- pointers, three-pointers and free throws for 2020 (top) vs. 2017-19 (bottom).




Figure 4: Histograms of away shooting percentages for two-pointers, three-pointers and free throws for 2020 (top) vs. 2017-19 (bottom).

court advantage hypothesized by [Courneya and Carron \(1992\)](#). The NBA bubble featured 8 seeding games then a standard playoff format. The focus of this study was the play during the playoff games since it followed the standard playoff format and can easily be compared back to other playoffs. For this study, the 2020 playoffs were compared against the three previous playoffs collectively. To control for the changing play style of the NBA, we limit the study to 2020 vs 2017-2019 for the faster pace play and more common use of the three-point shot in modern basketball. If we used data from say 10 years ago, or earlier, observed differences may not be from effects of the NBA bubble, but rather from the effects of drastic changes in the style of play between the seasons. However, basketball evolves slow enough that we can reasonably assume 2017-2019 are at least very close in pace and playing style to 2020.

Comparisons between 2020 and 2017-19 home and away teams were made on home team winning percentage, total team scoring and two-point, three-point and free throw shooting. Comparing the differences in these metrics for home and away teams in 2020 vs previous years will provide valuable insights to the understanding of home-court advantage. We can see how going on the road may negatively impact away performance and how playing at home may positively impact home performance. If there are differences in scoring for home or away teams the differences can be used to show how home-court advantage affects overall performance of home and away teams. While testing for differences in shooting will provide added context for how home-court advantage specifically affects performance. Shooting percentages are not the only possible metrics affected by home-court advantage, but they are the most obvious and certainly an important one.

We formulate the following nine specific research questions to test the effects of the COVID bubble on the 2020 NBA playoffs:

1. Is the home team winning percentage in 2020 different than that it was in 2017-2019?
2. Is the average home team scoring different in 2020 than it was over 2017-2019?

3. Is the average away team scoring different in 2020 than it was over 2017-2019?
4. Are home teams making two-pointers at the same rate in 2020 as 2017-2019?
5. Are home teams making three-pointers at the same rate in 2020 as 2017-2019?
6. Are home teams making freethrows at the same rate in 2020 as 2017-2019?
7. Are away teams making two-pointers at the same rate in 2020 as 2017-2019?
8. Are away teams making three-pointers at the same rate in 2020 as 2017-2019?
9. Are away teams making free throws at the same rate in 2020 as 2017-2019?

All nine questions can be approached by a standard two-sample comparison with the z -test. The z -test statistic follows a standard normal distribution, which is a good approximation based on the central limit theorem given the sample size in this application.

We also conducted nonparametric tests that are distribution free to confirm the results from the z -test. For question~1, we used Fisher’s exact test for a contingency table which summarizes the wins and losses of the home team in the 83 games in 2020 and the 243 games in 2017-2019. For all other eight questions, the data are the scores or shooting percentages from the 84 games in 2020 and the 243 games in 2017-2019. We used Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test.

All three tests, namely the z -test, Fisher’s exact test, and Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test, were performed using R ([R Core Team, 2020](#)).

5 Results

Starting from the top of the [Table 1](#) summarizes p-values of the nine hypotheses for both z -tests and Wilcoxon tests. The p-values are all fairly similar for both tests giving strong confidence in conclusions drawn. Also reported are the point estimates of the two samples in each comparison.

Table 1: The results from the 9 tests.

	2020	2017-19	P-value	
			Z-test	Non-parametric
Home Win	0.482	0.613	0.0497	0.0400
Home Scoring	1.101	1.081	0.2321	0.2985
Away Scoring	1.091	1.040	0.0008	0.0004
Home 2P	0.523	0.515	0.4335	0.5719
Home 3P	0.363	0.357	0.5733	0.8852
Home FT	0.793	0.774	0.0692	0.0496
Away 2P	0.536	0.504	0.0003	0.0003
Away 3P	0.357	0.346	0.3256	0.3081
Away FT	0.783	0.777	0.6601	0.8370

First, we see a statistically significant change in home win percentage in 2020 from 2017-19, with p-value of 0.0497 for the z -test and 0.0400 for Fisher’s exact test. The 95% confidence interval (CI) of $(-0.255, -0.008)$ confirms our belief that home-court advantage was lost in the 2020 NBA playoffs. However, after accounting for multiple tests using the Bonferroni correction, the p-values for both tests are no longer significant. So, we may only cautiously say there is evidence that home-court advantage was not a factor in 2020.

Home team performance did not seem to be negatively impacted by losing home-court advantage like expected. Home scoring, two-point and three-point shooting all show no significant difference, on average, between 2020 vs. 2017-19 based on p-values from both tests. However, the Wilcoxon test and z -test have conflicting results for free throws. The z -test p-value of 0.0692 indicates no significant difference, while the Wilcoxon test p-value of 0.0469 indicates a difference at the 5% significance level. Since, the p-value of Wilcoxon test is so close to significance level and neither p-value is significant after a Bonferonni correction for multiple tests this difference is likely not very meaningful. There appears to be no strong evidence suggesting home teams played at a lower level in 2020 than they did in previous years when they had home-court advantage.

Away teams saw more of an impact than home teams. For starters, there is a significant

increase in mean points per game, indicated by p-value of 0.0008 for z -test and 0.0004 for Wilcoxon. It is important to note both p-values also remain significant after a Bonferonni correction giving strong indication of significance. The average difference in points was estimated to be about 5 points, with 95% CI (2.083, 7.988). Likewise, the away team two-point shooting efficiency increased significantly based on p-value of 0.0003 for both the z -test and Wilcoxon test. Again, both p-values remain significant after Bonferroni correction. The average difference was estimated to be about 0.03, with 95% CI (0.015, 0.050). However, unlike two-point shooting, away teams did not see a statistically significant difference in three-point and free throw shooting. Overall, away teams have evidence of change in performance in the bubble. The away teams seemed to perform better than they would under normal conditions as a visiting team.

6 Discussion

Generally it seemed that away teams fared better in the 2020 NBA playoff bubble than previous years on the road. Starting from the dip in home winning percentage to below 0.482 it is clear that something was different. Although the difference was not significant after a Bonferroni correction it is still informative to consider and understand that home teams seemed to struggle to win compared to normal conditions. Compared to [Kotecki \(2014\)](#) who finds home teams consistently have a significantly better record than away teams boasting about a 60.5% win percentage in his sample, the 48.2% home winning percentage of 2020 home teams is quite a shift. In this study, home teams did not benefit from the usual advantages provided by being the home team.

Away team average scoring did increase by a statistically significant amount. This goes hand in hand with our intuition and conclusion about the home winning percentage decreasing. If away teams are scoring significantly more and home teams are not, then we expect to see away teams winning a larger amount of games. This may give more reason to believe

the conclusion that there was a significant decrease in home winning percentage in 2020, despite failing to be significant after the Bonferroni correction. Only away team scoring being significantly impacted by playing on a neutral court indicates that home-court advantage stems mainly from adverse effects on the visiting team.

An interesting finding is all shooting and scoring numbers for both home and away teams did make at least small increases. Although these increases were not all significant these increases are exactly what is reported in [Carron et al. \(2005\)](#) when they explain how evidence suggests that teams perform better with the absence of fans. This is important because it coincides with our conclusion that home-court advantage mostly plays into games by negatively impacting away teams. If fans cause overall performance to drop, then home court advantage must come from a bigger drop in away performance than the drop in home performance. This is why away teams were able to close the gap with home teams with home-court advantage removed.

Separating the effects of the home-court advantage into home effects and away effects allowed for some interesting new insights. Previously, we knew that on average home teams outperformed away teams. It was less clear whether it was from positive effects on the home team or negative effects on the road team or perhaps a bit of both. The biggest takeaway from this study is the main source of home-court-advantage is the negative effects playing on the road away teams face. In 2020 there wasn't any evidence of regression for home team performance, based on the performance measures used, despite being stripped of home-court advantage. Yet, home teams lost about 12% more of games in the 2020 playoffs than the typical average. This was because of the improvement of away teams. No longer having to face the struggle of traveling, pressure from opposing fans, or playing on an unfamiliar court, teams saw an improvement in their play and an increase in winning. The improvement of away teams confirms a proposition from [Greer \(1983\)](#) that the positive social impact of crowds benefiting home teams may be a result of inhibiting away teams.

At least some of that improvement from away teams came from significantly higher two-

point efficiency. This corresponds with the conclusion from [Harris and Roebber \(2019\)](#), where they found home teams are best suited to capitalize on advantages from two-point shots. Normally, by shooting more two-pointers themselves and forcing away teams to shoot more two-pointers the home team benefits from increasing effects of home-court advantage. However, with away teams significantly improving two-point shooting in the bubble this strategy was no longer viable and home-court advantage disappeared.

Future studies may want to use the 2020 NBA bubble and compare vs previous years using other performance measures. For example, turnovers, steals, assist, rebounds, and many more game statistics. There are plenty of other possibilities besides just shooting efficiency to pick through looking for more possible sources of added points for away teams. This will further help explain what is lost in the performance of away teams when they travel to opposing arenas. This study is only the beginning of possibilities for studies using the 2020 NBA bubble as a case study for home-court advantage. Although the study is limited by a one time sample, it seems unlikely that these conditions will ever be repeated. It may not be possible to have a follow-up study using the same measures with a different sample. Otherwise, that type of study could help strengthen the conclusion in this paper.

References

- Aschburner, S. (2020). How Orlando's neutral site will impact teams, referees and games. <https://www.nba.com/article/2020/06/22/season-restart-home-court-advantage-no-more>.
- Cao, Z., J. Price, and D. F. Stone (2011). Performance under pressure in the NBA. *Journal of Sports Economics* 12(3), 231–252.
- Carron, A. V., T. M. Loughhead, and S. R. Bray (2005). The home advantage in sport competitions: Courneya and Carron's (1992) conceptual framework a decade later. *Journal of Sports Sciences* 23(4), 395–407.

- Courneya, K. S. and A. V. Carron (1992). The home advantage in sport competitions: A literature review. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 14(1), 13–27.
- Greer, D. L. (1983). Spectator booing and the home advantage: A study of social influence in the basketball arena. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 46(3), 252–261.
- Harris, A. R. and P. J. Roebber (2019). NBA team home advantage: Identifying key factors using an artificial neural network. *PLOS ONE* 14(7), 1–9.
- Harville, D. A. and M. H. Smith (1994). The home-court advantage: How large is it, and does it vary from team to team? *The American Statistician* 48(1), 22–28.
- Kotecki, J. (2014). Estimating the effect of home court advantage on wins in the NBA. *The Park Place Economist* 22(1), 49–57.
- R Core Team (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.