

# WITT GROUPS OF SPINOR VARIETIES

THOMAS HUDSON, ARTHUR MARTIROSIAN, AND HENG XIE

ABSTRACT. We compute Witt groups of maximal isotropic Grassmannians, aka. spinor varieties. They are examples of type D homogeneous varieties. Our method relies on the Blow-up setup of Balmer-Calmès, and we investigate the connecting homomorphism via the projective bundle formula of Walter-Nenashev, the projection formula of Calmès-Hornbostel and the excess intersection formula of Fasel. The computation in the Type D case can be presented by so called “even shifted young diagrams”.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1930s, Witt [24] introduced a group structure on the set of isometry classes of quadratic forms over an arbitrary field, which is now known as the Witt group; for a nice survey article, see [1]. Witt groups give rise to a very interesting cohomology theory in algebraic geometry. Similarly to the oriented cohomology theories in the sense of Levine-Morel [21] or Panin [25] (e.g. K-theory and Chow groups), Witt theory also has a localization sequence, cf. [1]. However, unlike what happens with these functors, in Witt theory pushforwards always keep track of the orientation and the relative codimension, a fact which makes computations via the localization sequence much trickier, cf. [7]. To this day, not many computations of Witt groups of elementary projective schemes have been performed: quadrics (cf. [22] and [30]), projective bundles (cf. [22] and [23] (see also [20] and [29])), Grassmann varieties (cf. [4]), curves and surfaces (cf. [33]), cellular varieties over algebraically closed fields (cf. [32]), and real varieties (cf. [18] and [19]).

In the paper [4], Balmer-Calmès adopt an innovative approach known as the “Blow-up setup” from [3]. This approach is effective, because it interprets the abstract connecting homomorphism arising from the localization sequence of Witt groups in purely geometric terms. This key idea motivates the current article and, in its vein, we study Witt groups of even maximal isotropic Grassmannians  $OG_+(n, E)$ , *maximal isotropic Grassmannians* for short. Provided that the ambient space  $E$  has even dimension and it comes equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, these spaces are defined as subschemes of the usual Grassmannians  $Gr(n, E)$ : maximal isotropic Grassmannians parametrise those subspaces on which the symmetric form vanishes identically.

Before stating the main result (cf. Theorem 1.1), it may be worth to recall that in [4] Balmer-Calmès identified the additive generators of the Witt groups of Grassmannians and introduced a combinatorial object known as *even Young diagrams*, which they used as an indexing set. Roughly speaking they consider a subfamily consisting of those Young diagrams whose inner edges (*i.e.* those which do not lie on the outer rectangular frame) have even length. In similar fashion, for maximal isotropic grassmannians the additive generators can be described by means of *even shifted Young diagrams*, where shifted Young diagrams are the combinatorial object used to index the generators of the Chow ring of  $OG_+(n, E)$ . In this case the outer frame consists of an upside-down staircase corresponding to the maximal shifted partition  $\mu = (n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1)$  right-justified. As before, the even diagrams are those whose inner segments have even length and we denote by  $\mathfrak{E}_{n-1}$  the set of even shifted Young diagram which are contained inside  $\mu$ . Example 1.2 provides the full list of the even shifted Young diagrams contained in  $\mu$  for  $n = 7$ , these are exactly those whose internal segments have even length.

Let  $X$  be a scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Following [5], we define the total Witt groups as

$$W^{\text{tot}}(X) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}} W^i(X).$$


Note that this convention differs from [4], as we do not adopt the grading involving  $\text{Pic}(X)/2$ . This simplification is enough for us, because in our case the twisted Witt groups are trivial (cf. Proposition 3.7). We can now state the main theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Let  $OG_+(n, E)$  be the maximal isotropic Grassmannian of the trivial  $2n$ -dimensional bundle  $E = \mathcal{O}_S^{2n}$  with the standard hyperbolic form. There is an isomorphism of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules*

$$W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n, E)) \cong \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{e}_{n-1}} W^{\text{tot}}(S)[-|\underline{\lambda}|].$$

Here  $|\underline{\lambda}|$  denotes the number of boxes of the even shifted Young diagram  $\underline{\lambda}$ , which equals the sum of all entries in the strict partition corresponding to the even shifted Young diagram  $\underline{\lambda}$ .

**Example 1.2.** Here are the even shifted Young diagrams for  $OG_+(7, E)$ .



It is worth pointing out that, although we work within the framework of the Blow-up setup of [3], our method differs slightly from that of [4] for Type A. There the authors make use of two-step flag varieties as an intermediate step to compute the connecting homomorphism  $\delta$ , instead, in view of the observation that the exceptional fiber of the Blow up is a projective bundle, we are able to handle  $\delta$  directly in Type D using the projective bundle formula and the excess intersection formula. This idea does not seem to have appeared in the literature so far. It would be interesting to know if this method could be used to compute the Witt groups of other homogeneous varieties. Unfortunately, we were informed by Nicolas Perrin that Type C and E do not fit in the Blow-up Setup, and therefore it can not be applied directly to these cases without modifications.

One may also try to adopt our method to study the  $\mathbf{I}$ -cohomology of Type D homogeneous varieties. For  $\mathbf{I}$ -cohomology of projective bundles and split quadrics using the Blow-up setup, we refer the reader to [11] and [16]. There are also recent developments on Hermitian  $K$ -theory when two are not invertible in the base, cf. [6] and [27]. Investigating Hermitian  $K$ -theory of schemes in these frameworks seems to be an interesting project.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank Nicolas Perrin and Marcus Zibrowius for comments and helpful discussions. Heng Xie would like to acknowledge support from the EPSRC Grant EP/M001113/1, the DFG priority programme 1786 and hospitality of Max-Planck-Institute in Bonn. Research for this publication was conducted in the framework of the DFG Research Training Group 2240: Algebraic-Geometric Methods in Algebra, Arithmetic and Topology.

## 2. MAXIMAL ISOTROPIC GRASSMANNIANS

**2.1. Standard hyperbolic flags.** Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Let  $\mathbb{H} = (\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S^*, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \eta & 0 \end{pmatrix})$  be the hyperbolic form where  $\eta$  is the identification with the double dual.

**Definition 2.1.** We write  $(E, \beta)$  for the standard hyperbolic bundle  $(E, \beta) = \mathbb{H}^{\oplus n}$ . We choose an orthogonal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n, f_1, \dots, f_n$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \beta(e_i, e_j) &= 0 \\ \beta(e_i, f_j) &= \delta_{i,j} \\ \beta(f_i, f_j) &= 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, there is an explicit filtration

$$E_{\bullet} := \left( 0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_{n-1} \subset E_n = E_n^{\perp} \subset E_{n-1}^{\perp} \subset \dots \subset E_1^{\perp} \subset E_0^{\perp} = E \right)$$

such that each  $E_i$  is isotropic with respect to  $\beta$  with  $\dim(E_i) = i$ . More explicitly we set  $E_j := \mathcal{O}_S\{e_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{e_j\}$ , and  $E_j^{\perp} := \mathcal{O}_S\{e_1\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{e_n\} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{f_n\} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_S\{f_{j+1}\}$  and we refer to  $E_{\bullet}$  as the *standard hyperbolic flag*.

After quotienting out  $E_1$ , the hyperbolic bundle  $(E, \beta)$  induces a hyperbolic bundle  $(E^1, \psi^1)$  with  $E^1 := E_1^{\perp}/E_1$ . Note that, similarly  $E_{\bullet}$  induces on  $(E^1, \psi^1)$  the following standard hyperbolic flag

$$E_{\bullet}^1 := \left( 0 = E_0^1 \subset E_1^1 \subset \dots \subset E_{n-2}^1 \subset E_{n-1}^1 = (E_{n-1}^1)^{\perp} \subset (E_{n-2}^1)^{\perp} \subset \dots \subset (E_0^1)^{\perp} = E^1 \right),$$

where we set  $E_i^1 := E_{i+1}/E_1$ . Note that  $E_{i+1}^{\perp}/E_1$  is isomorphic to  $(E_{i+1}/E_1)^{\perp}$  in  $(E^1, \psi^1)$ . One can do this inductively. At the  $j$ -th step, this procedure produces a hyperbolic bundle  $E^j := ((E_1^{j-1})^{\perp}/E_1^{j-1}, \psi^j)$  and a standard hyperbolic flag

$$E_{\bullet}^j := \left( 0 = E_0^j \subset E_1^j \subset \dots \subset E_{n-j}^j = (E_{n-j}^j)^{\perp} \subset \dots \subset (E_0^j)^{\perp} = E^j \right),$$

where for  $j = 1$  we set  $E_1^0 = E_1$ .

**2.2. Isotropic Grassmann bundles.** Even without a symmetric structure on  $E$ , the Grassmannian scheme  $Gr(d, E)$  can be defined on the functor of points as

$$\begin{aligned} Gr(d, E) &= \left\{ N \subset E : E/N \text{ is locally free } \mathcal{O}_S\text{-module of rank } 2n - d \right\} \\ &= \left\{ N \subset E : N(x) \hookrightarrow E(x) \text{ is a } k(x)\text{-vector subspace of rank } d, \forall x \in X \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [15, p.210 -p211]).

**Definition 2.2.** Define  $OG(n, E)$  to be the subscheme of the Grassmannian  $Gr(n, E)$  that parametrizes isotropic  $n$ -dimensional subspaces in  $P$  with respect to the form  $\beta$ . More concretely, we can describe  $OG(n, E)$  on the functor of points as

$$OG(n, E) := \left\{ L_n \in Gr(n, E) : L_n^{\perp} = L_n \right\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $S$  be an integral scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume that  $(E, \beta)$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag as in Section 2.1. The maximal isotropic Grassmannian  $OG(n, E)$  has two disjoint connected components

$$\begin{aligned} OG_+(n, E) &:= \{ L_n \in OG(n, E) : \dim(E_n(K) \cap L_n(K)) \equiv n \pmod{2} \} \\ OG_-(n, E) &:= \{ L_n \in OG(n, E) : \dim(E_n(K) \cap L_n(K)) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is the function field of  $S$ , and the two components  $OG_+(n, E)$  and  $OG_-(n, E)$  are isomorphic to each other.

In the special case in which  $S$  is an algebraically closed field this statement is folklore to experts. We explain the proof, as we could not find a reference in this generality.

*Proof.* It is clear that the two components are disjoint. To see they are isomorphic, we define the following morphism

$$\tau : OG_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_-(n, E), \quad L_n \mapsto \tilde{L}_n$$

which is induced by the automorphism  $a : E \rightarrow E$  defined by swapping  $e_n$  and  $f_n$  (notation as in Section 2.1) and keeping the other part the same.

To make sure  $\tau$  lands in the other component, one needs to check the dimension formula, which can then be reduced to the case of fields. Assume  $S$  is a field, we must check that the condition

$$\dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}$$

holds. Observe that  $\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1} = L_n \cap E_{n-1}$ . (The reason is that any  $p \in L_n \cap E_{n-1}$  can be written as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i e_i$ , and the automorphism  $a : P \rightarrow P$  only acts on  $e_n$  and  $f_n$ .)

We now consider the chain

$$L_n \cap E_{n-1} \subset L_n \cap E_n \subset L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$$

and note that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp) - \dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) = 1$ . To see this, we consider the following diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L_n \cap E_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_n \oplus E_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & L_n \oplus E_{n-1}^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & E_{n-1}^\perp / E_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_n + E_{n-1} & \hookrightarrow & L_n + E_{n-1}^\perp & \twoheadrightarrow & C', \end{array}$$

where the right vertical sequence is exact by the snake lemma. It is clear that  $\dim(E_{n-1}^\perp / E_{n-1}) = 2$ . If  $\dim C = 2$ , then  $\dim C' = 0$  and hence  $L_n + E_{n-1} = L_n + E_{n-1}^\perp$ . This implies that  $\langle e_n, f_n \rangle \subset L_n$ , which is a contradiction as  $L_n$  is isotropic. If  $\dim C' = 2$ , then  $\dim C = 0$  and hence  $L_n \cap E_{n-1} = L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$ . This is again a contradiction in view of the formula  $(P \cap W)^\perp = P^\perp + W^\perp$ . It follows that there are two cases to consider.

**Case I** Assume that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) = \dim(L_n \cap E_n)$  and hence  $L_n \cap E_{n-1} = L_n \cap E_n$ . Consider the injection

$$\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_n \cap E_n$$

which is of codimension 1 or 0 by the above discussion. Note that, once again by the formula  $(P \cap W)^\perp = P^\perp + W^\perp$ , we have  $L_n + E_{n-1}^\perp = L_n + E_n$ . It follows that the basis element  $f_n \in E_{n-1}^\perp$  can be written as  $f_n = p + e$  with  $p \in L_n$  and  $e \in E_n$ . Observe that  $e \in E_{n-1}$ . If not, we would have  $\beta(p, p) \neq 0$ , which contradicts  $L_n = L_n^\perp$ . Now the element  $\tilde{\pi}' = e_n - e$  is in  $\tilde{L}_n$  and also in  $E_n$ . However,  $\tilde{\pi}' \notin \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}$ . This means that  $\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1} \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_n \cap E_n$  is of codimension 1. Hence, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) - 1 &= \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}) \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Case II** Assume that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp) = \dim(L_n \cap E_n)$ . By the discussion above, we see that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}) + 1 = \dim(L_n \cap E_n)$ . We have  $L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp = L_n \cap E_n$ , which implies that  $L_n + E_{n-1} = L_n + E_n$ . It follows that the basis element  $e_n \in E_n$  can be written as  $e_n = p + e'$  for  $p \in L_n$  and  $e' \in E_{n-1}$ . Thus the element  $\tilde{\pi}' = f_n - e' \in \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$ , but  $\tilde{\pi}' \notin \tilde{L}_n \cap E_n$ . Hence, the injection

$$\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n \hookrightarrow \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$$

is of codimension one and  $\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n = \tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp$ . We are now able to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) + 1 &= \dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp) + 1 \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_{n-1}^\perp) + 1 \\ &= \dim(L_n \cap E_n). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof that the condition  $\dim(\tilde{L}_n \cap E_n) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2}$  holds.

Finally, the automorphism  $a : P \rightarrow P$  is of order two, and hence the morphism

$$\tau : OG_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_-(n, E), \quad L_n \mapsto \tilde{L}_n$$

is an isomorphism. □

**Definition 2.4.** We will refer to the connected component  $OG_+(n, E)$  as the *maximal isotropic Grassmannian*.

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $OG(n, E) \rightarrow OG(n, E)_K$  be the base change map. Then we have the following fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} OG_+(n, E) & \longrightarrow & OG_+(n, E)_K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ OG(n, E) & \longrightarrow & OG(n, E)_K. \end{array}$$

According to [9, page 71 top paragraph], if  $S$  is smooth over a field, we can take  $K$  to be any residue field of  $S$  and the definition does not depend on the choice of residue field.

**Remark 2.6.** If  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag with  $\dim(E) = 4$  (resp.  $\dim(E) = 2$ ), then the scheme  $OG_{\pm}(2, E)$  (resp.  $OG_{\pm}(1, E)$ ) are both isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}_S^1$  (resp.  $S$ ).

The following folklore is useful for studying if two Lagrangians are in the same component.

**Remark 2.7.** Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Let  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  be two elements in  $OG(n, E)$ . Then,  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  are in the same component if and only if  $\dim(L_n(K) \cap L'_n(K)) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ .

**2.3. The closed embedding.** Let  $H$  be an isotropic subbundle of  $E$ . Consider the closed subscheme

$$(1) \quad \iota_{\pm}^H : OG_{\pm}^H(n, E) \hookrightarrow OG_{\pm}(n, E) \quad \{L_n \in OG_{\pm}(n, E) : H \subset L_n\} \subset \{L_n\}.$$

In the special case  $H = E_1$ , we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. The morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : OG_{\pm}^{E_1}(n, E) &\rightarrow OG_{\pm}(n-1, E^1) \\ E_1 \subset L_n \subset E &\mapsto L_n/E_1 \subset E^1 = E_1^{\perp}/E_1 \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Set  $L_n^1 := L_n/E_1$ . By [28, Proposition 6.5], we see that  $(L_n^1)^{\perp\psi} = L_n^1$ . In order to verify that the requirement on the dimension is satisfied, we can assume that we are working over fields. Suppose that  $\dim(L_n \cap E_n) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , then we need to check that

$$\dim(L_n^1 \cap E_n^1) \equiv n-1 \pmod{2}$$

holds. We use the identity  $L_n^1 \cap E_n^1 = (L_n \cap E_n)/E_1$ . To see why this identity holds, we first pick the basis element  $e_1 \in L_n \cap E_n$  and complete it to a basis of  $L_n \cap E_n$ . Then we extend this common basis simultaneously to both  $L_n^1$  and  $E_n^1$ . The identity follows by comparing the common basis elements modulo  $e_1$ . Thus, we have

$$\dim(L_n^1 \cap E_n^1) = \dim((L_n \cap E_n)/E_1) = \dim(L_n \cap E_n) - 1.$$

This shows that  $\Phi$  is well-defined.

To see that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism, we construct an inverse morphism

$$\Psi : OG_+(n-1, E^1) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_1}(n, E).$$

If  $L \subset E_1^{\perp}$  is a Lagrangian, we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L & \longrightarrow & E^1 & \twoheadrightarrow & L^* \\ \uparrow s & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ L' & \longrightarrow & E_1^{\perp} & \twoheadrightarrow & C \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ E_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & E_1 & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the left upper square is Cartesian and  $C$  is the cokernel of  $L' \rightarrow E_1^{\perp}$ . By construction, the kernel of  $L' \rightarrow L$  is  $E_1$ . We wish to show that  $L' = L'^{\perp}$ . To show this, we look at another diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L' & \longrightarrow & E_1^{\perp} & \longrightarrow & L^* \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow s^* \\ L' & \xrightarrow{j} & E & \xrightarrow{j^*\beta} & (L')^*. \end{array}$$

Note that the composition of the morphisms on the upper row is zero and  $s^*$  is injective. This implies that in the bottom row, one has  $j^*\beta j = 0$ . As above, by a dimension counting we see that the bottom row is exact. This shows  $L' = L'^\perp$ . By our construction, it is straightforward to check  $\Psi$  is an inverse of  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

A similar proof yields the following result.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. The morphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : OG_{\pm}^{E_2}(n, E) &\rightarrow OG_{\pm}^{E_1}(n-1, E^1) \\ E_2 \subseteq L_n &\mapsto E_1^1 \subseteq L_n/E_1 \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

**2.4. The affine bundle.** Let  $U_+(n, E) := OG_+(n, E) - OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$  be the open complement. Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , the following lemma is a special case of [26, Proposition 5].

**Lemma 2.10.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. If  $L_n \subset E$  is a Lagrangian with  $E_1 \not\subset L_n$  (i.e.  $E_1$  is not an admissible subbundle of  $L_n$ ) and  $\dim(L_n(K) \cap E_n(K)) \equiv n \pmod{2}$ , then there is a unique Lagrangian  $L'_n \subset E$  such that  $E_1 \subset L'_n$  and*

$$\dim(L'_n(K) \cap L_n(K)) = n - 1.$$

The construction of  $L'_n$  induces a natural morphism

$$\alpha : U_{\pm}(n, E) \rightarrow OG_{\mp}^{E_1}(n, E)$$

by sending a Lagrangian  $L_n$  to  $L'_n$ . Moreover, the morphism  $\alpha$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle (i.e. a Zariski locally trivial bundle).

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L_n & & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow 0 & \\ E_1 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\beta} & E^* & \longrightarrow & L_n^* \\ & & \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ L'_n & \hookrightarrow & E_1^\perp & \longrightarrow & L_n^*/E_1. \end{array}$$

Note that the condition  $E_1 \not\subset L_n$  implies that  $E_1$  is an admissible subbundle of  $L_n^*$  via the middle horizontal composition. The right vertical morphism is obtained by taking the quotient. The lower map  $E_1^\perp \rightarrow L_n^*/E_1$  is defined to be the composition of the other four maps in the lower square diagram. The bundle  $L'_n$  is defined to be kernel of the lower map. It is clear that  $E_1 \subset L'_n$  and one can check that  $L'_n \subset E$  is a Lagrangian.

The statement on the dimension reduces to the field case. In the field case,  $L'_n$  contains  $L_1$  while does  $L_n$  not, and the other basis elements are the same. For the uniqueness, we can again reduce to the field case. If  $L''_n$  is another Lagrangian such that  $E_1 \subset L''_n$  and  $\dim(L''_n \cap L_n) = n - 1$ , then  $L'_n$  agrees with  $L''_n$  because they are generated by the same basis.

In order to check that  $\alpha$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle, one may use the induced canonical open cover of the Grassmannian restricted to the maximal isotropic Grassmannian. The details are left to the reader.  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** The affine bundle  $\alpha : U_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_-^{E_1}(n, E)$  has a section

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_+(n, E) & \longrightarrow & OG_-^{E_1}(n, E) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \cong \\ & & OG_+^{\langle f_1 \rangle}(n, E), \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is given by switching the elements  $e_1$  and  $f_1$  in the basis.

**2.5. The blow-up setup.** Let  $Bl_+(n, E)$  be the blow-up of  $OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$  inside  $OG_+(n, E)$  and  $E_+(n, E)$  be the corresponding exceptional fibre.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. The isotropic Grassmannians satisfy [3, Setup 1.1].*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} OG_+^{E_1}(n, E) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & OG_+(n, E) & \xleftarrow{v} & U_+(n, E) \\ \uparrow \tilde{\pi} & & \uparrow \pi & \swarrow \tilde{v} & \downarrow \alpha \\ E_+(n, E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & Bl_+(n, E) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & OG_-^{E_1}(n, E) \end{array}$$

In other words, all reasonable diagrams in the above picture commute and  $\alpha$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle. When viewed in terms of functors of points, (2) has the following interpretation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{L_n\} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \{E_1 \not\subset L_n\} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L'_n, L_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{E_1 \subset L'_n\} \end{array}$$

where  $D(L_n, L'_n) := \dim(L_n(K) \cap L'_n(K))$ . Moreover, one can change the parity to get a similar diagram for the other component.

*Proof.* In this case, the blow up  $Bl_+(n, E)$  is the closure of the graph (cf. [17, paragraph above Exercise 7.19] )

$$\Gamma_{(\tilde{v}, \alpha)} : U_+(n, E) \rightarrow OG_+(n, E) \times OG_-^{E_1}(n, E),$$

which, at the level of functors of points, by Lemma 2.10 is given by the set

$$\{(L_n, L'_n) : E_1 \subset L'_n, D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1\}.$$

Alternatively, one can check the universal property of the blow up.  $\square$

**Remark 2.13.** Note that  $E_+(n, E)$  can be regarded as a projective bundle of relative dimension  $n-2$  over either  $OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$  or  $OG_-^{E_1}(n, E)$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a projection, and by symmetry  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is another projection. We shall define  $\tilde{\pi}' := \tilde{\alpha}$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Let  $H^*$  be a homotopy invariant oriented theory on regular schemes. Assume that  $H^*$  has the devissage property for regular embeddings ,e.g.  $K$ -theory and Chow groups. Then, we have the following equivalence*

$$H^*(OG_+(n, E)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} H^*(S).$$

*Proof.* First of all let us observe that  $\dim(E^{n-1}) = 2$ , and in view of Remark 2.6, we can make the identification  $S = OG_+(1, E^{n-1})$ . Finally, we inductively apply Lemma 2.8, homotopy invariance and [3, Theorem 1.3].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Then, we have*

$$\text{Pic}(OG_+(n, E)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Pic}(S)$$

and

$$\text{Pic}(Bl_+(n, E)) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Pic}(OG_+(n, E)).$$

*Proof.* Identify  $\text{Pic}(X) = CH^1(X)$  when  $X$  is regular. The first formula is obtained by Proposition 2.14. The second formula is obtained by the blow up formula cf. [3, Proposition A.6 (i)].  $\square$

**Remark 2.16.** Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Note that the closed embedding  $\iota : OG_+^{E_1}(n, E) \hookrightarrow OG_+(n, E)$  is a regular embedding of codimension of  $(n-1)$ . Define  $\omega_\iota$  to be the dual of the determinant of the normal bundle of  $\iota$ . This is not isomorphic to the generator  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  in the Picard group of  $OG_+^{E_1}(n, E)$ , instead  $\omega_\iota$  is trivial in  $\text{Pic}(OG_+^{E_1}(n, E))/2$ .

## 3. WITT GROUPS OF MAXIMAL ISOTROPIC GRASSMANNIAN

In this section, we study Witt groups of maximal isotropic Grassmannians in the case of standard hyperbolic flags.

**3.1. Some preliminary results.** The following theorems are useful for our computations.

**Theorem 3.1** (Localization). *Let  $X$  be a scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $Z$  be a closed subset and  $U$  be its open complement. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Then, there is a 12-term periodic long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow W^{i-1}(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W_Z^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

See Balmer [1, Theorem 1.5.5].

**Theorem 3.2** (Dévissage). *Let  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a regular embedding of codimension  $d$ , where  $Z$  and  $X$  are both regular separated schemes of finite Krull dimension with  $\frac{1}{2}$  in their global sections. Let  $\omega_\iota$  be dual of the determinant of the normal bundle  $N_\iota$  of the embedding  $\iota$ . Then, there is an isomorphism*

$$\iota_* : W^{i-d}(Z, \omega_\iota \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W_Z^i(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

The above theorem is proved by Gille in [14] (see also [30, Corollary 6.2]). Combining the two theorems together, one obtains the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a regular embedding of codimension  $d$ , where  $Z$  and  $X$  are both regular separated schemes of finite Krull dimension with  $\frac{1}{2}$  in their global sections. Let  $\omega_\iota$  be dual of the determinant of the normal bundle  $N_\iota$  of the embedding  $\iota$ . Let  $U := X - Z$ . Then, one has the following 12-term periodic long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \rightarrow W^{i-1}(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W^{i-d}(Z, \omega_\iota \otimes \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(U, \mathcal{L}_U) \xrightarrow{\partial} W^{i-d+1}(Z, \omega_\iota \otimes \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

**Theorem 3.4** (Homotopy invariance). *Let  $X$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Assume that  $p : E \rightarrow X$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Then the pullback induces an isomorphism*

$$p^* : W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^i(E, p^* \mathcal{L}).$$

One may find a proof in [2], [13] (see also [20] for the singular case).

**Theorem 3.5** (Projective line). *Let  $S$  be a (regular) scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Then, there is an isomorphism  $W^i(\mathbb{P}_S^1) \cong W^i(S) \oplus W^{i-1}(S)$ , and the group  $W^i(\mathbb{P}_S^1, \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

See Nenashev [22] and Walter[23].

**Remark 3.6.** It is worth pointing out that, since for regular schemes Witt groups on vector bundles and those on coherent sheaves are isomorphic, in this paper we do not strictly distinguish between the two.

**3.2. Two simple cases.** Assume that  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag with  $\dim(E) = 2n$ . In this part if no confusion is likely to arise we shall suppress  $E$  from the notation associated to the maximal isotropic Grassmannians. For instance, we shall use the simplified notation  $OG_\pm(n)$  for  $OG_\pm(n, E)$ .

By homotopy invariance, dévissage theorem and Remark 2.16, the 12-term periodic long exact sequence takes the form

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & W^{i-(n-1)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_*} & W^i(OG_+(n), \mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{v^*} & W^i(U_+(n), \mathcal{L}) \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \partial \\ & & & & & & W^{i-n+2}(OG_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Here, since it is trivial in  $\text{Pic}(OG_+^{E_1}(n))/2$ , we suppressed the canonical bundle  $\omega_\iota$  and we made a choice of a trivialization. From now on, we shall omit all even twisting as no confusion can occur.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Then, we have  $W^i(OG_+(n), \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*



*Proof.* Recall that  $OG_+(2) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $W^i(OG_{\pm}(2), \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$ . By inductively applying the localization sequence (3), homotopy invariance and Lemma (2.8), we see that  $W^i(OG_+(n), \mathcal{O}(1)) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume that for  $n$  even, the vector bundle  $E$  has rank  $2n$  and it admits a standard hyperbolic flag. Then, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-(n-1)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{\iota_*} W^i(OG_+(n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} W^i(U_+(n)) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split exact. Hence, we have the following isomorphism

$$W^i(OG_+(n)) \cong W^{i-(n-1)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \oplus W^i(OG_-^{E_1}(n)).$$

*Proof.* If  $n$  is even, we see that  $\lambda(\mathcal{O}) = 0 \equiv n - 2 \pmod{2}$  and [3, Theorem 1.4 (A)] applies here and, as a consequence, the localization sequence breaks down into short split exact sequences. Finally, the last statement follows directly from homotopy invariance.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *Under the assumptions of the previous proposition one has the following isomorphism of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules:*

$$(4) \quad W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \cong W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_1}(n))[-(n-1)] \oplus W^{\text{tot}}(OG_-^{E_1}(n)).$$

**3.3. More observations.** It now remains to compute  $W^i(OG_+(n))$  for  $n$  odd. This case proves to be much more complicated to deal with. In fact, the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [3] provides more information, which will be useful for the proof. We shall rewrite Diagram 2 in the case  $n - 1$ :

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & \xleftarrow{v_1} & U_+^{E_1}(n) \\ \tilde{\pi}_1 \uparrow & & \pi_1 \uparrow & \swarrow \tilde{v}_1 & \downarrow \alpha_1 \\ E_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_1} & Bl_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_1} & OG_-^{E_2}(n). \end{array}$$

$\tilde{\pi}'_1$  (curved arrow from  $E_+^{E_1}(n)$  to  $OG_-^{E_2}(n)$ )

At the level of functor of points the previous diagram can be described by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{E_2 \subset L_n\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n \\ E_2 \not\subset L_n \end{array} \right\} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_2 \subset L_n, L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n \\ E_2 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_2 \subset L'_n\}, \end{array}$$

where we remind the reader that  $D(L_n, L'_n) := \dim(L_n(K) \cap L'_n(K))$ .

**Remark 3.10.** It should be noticed that there exists a twin diagram in which the parity is reversed everywhere. We will apply the notation  $(-)'$  to the morphisms in Diagram 5 to refer to the associated morphism in this other diagram. For instance, in the other component we have

$$\iota'_1 : OG_-^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_-^{E_1}(n), \quad \{E_2 \subset L'_n\} \mapsto \{E_1 \subset L'_n\}.$$

We make a few observation about the Witt groups associated to Diagram 5. As in Proposition 3.8 and [3, Theorem 1.4 (A)], the upper short exact sequence in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} & W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{v_1^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow (\pi_1)_* & & \uparrow \alpha_1^* \cong \\ & & & & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}_1^*} & W^i(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) \end{array}$$

has a right splitting:

$$\beta := (\pi_1)_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}_1^* \circ (\alpha_1^*)^{-1}.$$

In this case, we observe the following fact.

**Remark 3.11.** Note that, in Diagram 5,  $\tilde{\iota}_1$  is a regular embedding of codimension one, while  $\iota_1$  is a regular embedding of codimension  $n - 2$ . The excess normal bundle of the left Cartesian square in Diagram 5 is precisely the canonical quotient bundle  $\mathcal{G}$  of the projective bundle  $\pi_1 : E_+^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n)$ , which is the cokernel of the map  $\mathcal{O}_{E_+^{E_1}(n)}(-1) \rightarrow \tilde{\pi}_1^* \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\iota}_1}$ . This allows us to apply the excess intersection formula for Witt groups, cf. [10].

**Lemma 3.12.** *Assume that  $n$  is an odd number. The maps*

$$(\pi_1)_* : W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) : \pi_1^*$$

are isomorphisms and inverse to each other.

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}_1)_*} & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1'^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \epsilon(\mathcal{G}) \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^* & & \uparrow \pi_1^* & & \uparrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} & W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{v_1^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow (\tilde{\pi}_1)_* & & \uparrow (\pi_1)_* & & \uparrow = \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}_1)_*} & W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1'^*} & W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where commutativity of the left upper square is obtained by using the excess intersection formula applied to the left Cartesian square of Diagram 5, and the left lower square is obtained by the functoriality of pushforward maps.

It remains to prove that, on the left vertical arrows of Diagram 5, we have that  $(e(\mathcal{G}) \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^*) \circ (\tilde{\pi}_1)_*$  is the identity. Since  $\tilde{\pi}_1 : E_+^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n)$  is a projective bundle of rank  $n - 3$ , the result follows by Theorem A.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Assume  $n$  to be an odd number. The short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{v_1^*} W^i(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \longrightarrow 0$$

has a left splitting:

$$(\tilde{\pi}_1)_* \circ (\tilde{\pi}_1')^{-1} \circ (\tilde{\alpha}_1)_* \circ \pi_1^*.$$

*Proof.* By the projective bundle formula (cf. Theorem A.1), we see that  $(\tilde{\pi}_1')_*$  and  $(\tilde{\pi}_1)_*$  are isomorphisms. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\pi}_1')_*} W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \\ & \parallel & \uparrow (\tilde{\alpha}_1)_* \\ (\tilde{\pi}_1)_* \cong & W^{i-1}(E_+^{E_1}(n), \mathcal{O}(-1)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}_1)_*} W^i(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) & \\ & \uparrow \epsilon(\mathcal{G}) \circ \tilde{\pi}_1^* \cong & \uparrow \pi_1^* \cong \\ & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xrightarrow{(\iota_1)_*} W^i(OG_+^{E_1}(n)). & \end{array}$$

The result follows by its commutativity.  $\square$

**3.4. The main theorem.** We can now state our main result.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $S$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_S$ . Assume  $E$  admits a standard hyperbolic flag and  $n$  is odd. Then, there is an isomorphism of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules*

$$(6) \quad W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \cong W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))[-(2n-3)] \oplus W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))$$

if  $n \geq 3$  is odd.

By [3, Theorem 1.4 (B)], the connecting homomorphism  $\partial$  in the localization sequence (3) can be fitted into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^i(U_+(n)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \\ \alpha^* \uparrow \cong & & \tilde{\pi}_* \uparrow \\ W^i(OG_-^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'^*} & W^i(E_+(n)). \end{array}$$

Our strategy is to further decompose the  $n - 1$  cases (i.e. when one has  $OG_{\pm}^{E_1}(n)$ ) into the  $n - 2$  cases and analyze the map  $\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{\pi}'^*$ .

We look at the following picture

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W^i(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha_1'^*} & W^i(U_-^{E_1}(n)) & & W^{i-2(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xleftarrow[\cong]{\epsilon} W^{i-2(n-2)}(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}_1'^* & \searrow \beta & \uparrow v_1'^* & & \downarrow (\iota_1)_* \nearrow \gamma \\ W^i(Bl_-^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\pi_1')^*} & W^i(OG_-^{E_1}(n)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_* \tilde{\pi}'^*} & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{E_1}(n)) \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*} W^{i-(n-2)}(Bl_+^{E_1}(n)) \\ & & \uparrow (\iota_1')^* & & \uparrow (\tilde{\alpha}_1)_* \\ & & W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_-^{E_2}(n)) & & W^{i-(n-2)}(U_+^{E_1}(n)) \end{array},$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are defined as the depicted composition, and  $\epsilon := (\tilde{\pi}_1)_* \circ (\tilde{\pi}_1')_*^{-1}$ .

**Sublemma 3.15.** *The composition  $v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ (\iota_1')^*$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof of Sublemma 3.15.* Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{v_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & OG_+(n) \xleftarrow{v} U_+(n) \\ \parallel & & \uparrow \tilde{\pi} & & \uparrow \pi \\ & & E_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & Bl_+(n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} OG_-^{E_1}(n) \\ & & \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi}' & \uparrow \iota_1' \\ U_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}_1} & Bl_+^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & OG_-^{E_2}(n), \\ & & & \searrow \alpha_1 & \end{array}$$

where we make the following interpretation on functors of points:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n \\ E_2 \not\subset L_n \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset L_n\} & \longrightarrow & \{L_n\} & \longleftarrow & \{E_1 \not\subset L_n\} \\ \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n, L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_1 \subset L'_n\} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n \\ E_2 \not\subset L_n \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n, E_2 \subset L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \{E_2 \subset L'_n\}. & & \end{array}$$

Note that the lower right rectangle diagram is Cartesian. By the base change formula, we have

$$v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ (\iota_1')^* = \alpha_1^*$$

and the claim follows, since  $\alpha_1$  is an  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle.  $\square$

**Sublemma 3.16.** *The composition  $v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta$  is zero.*

*Proof of Sublemma 3.16.* It is enough to show that

$$L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0.$$

To see why this suffices, note that there is an involution  $\sigma$  on  $OG_+^{E_1}(n)$  such that the composition  $\iota_1^\sigma := \sigma \circ \iota_1$  restricts to a section of the  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundle  $\alpha_1 : U_+^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+^{E_1}(n)$ . (The involution  $\sigma$  can be given by switching the basis elements  $e_2$  and  $f_2$ , as well as  $e_n$  and  $f_n$ ). If  $L(\iota_1^\sigma)^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ , then  $v_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ . Note that there is another involution  $\epsilon$  on  $OG_+^{E_1}(n)$  such that  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_1^\sigma$  both factor through the open complement  $U_{+, \epsilon}^{E_1}(n)$  of the embedding  $\iota_1^\epsilon : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_1}(n)$ . (In this case  $\epsilon$  can be given by swapping  $e_2, f_2$  and  $f_n$  with, respectively  $f_2 + e_3, e_2 - e_3$  and  $e_n$ ). Furthermore, the open complement  $U_{+, \epsilon}^{E_1}(n)$  is an affine bundle over  $OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+^{E_1}(n)$  for which the embeddings  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_1^\sigma$  are both sections. This situation gives rise to the following picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1^\epsilon} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & \longleftarrow & U_{+, \epsilon}^{E_1}(n) \\ & & \uparrow \iota_1 & \nearrow \iota_1 & \downarrow \mathbb{A}^*\text{-bundle} \\ & & OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & OG_-^{E_2}(n) \end{array}$$

By homotopy invariance, we see that  $L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$  if and only if  $L(\iota_1^\sigma)^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ .

In order to prove  $L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta = 0$ , we need the following diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) & & \\ \tilde{\alpha}'_1 \uparrow & & \tilde{\pi} \uparrow & & \\ Bl_-^{E_1}(n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'} & OG_-^{E_1}(n), \\ & \searrow \pi'_1 & & & \end{array}$$

where the left square is Cartesian, and we provide the following interpretation in terms of functors of points:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{E_2 \subset L_n\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{E_1 \subset L_n\} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L'_n, \\ E_2 \subset L_n, \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow & \left\{ (L_n, L'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset L_n, L'_n \\ D(L_n, L'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \{E_1 \subset L'_n\}. \end{array}$$

Now, observe that the map  $L\iota_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \circ \beta$  can be identified with the composition

$$(\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ \pi_1'^* \circ (\pi_1')_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}'_1^*$$

in view of the functoriality of pull-backs and the base change formula [7, Theorem 5.5]. By Lemma 3.12, we see that the composition  $\pi_1'^* \circ (\pi_1')_*$  is the identity map. Therefore, it remains to prove that  $(\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}'_1^*$  vanishes. Since  $\tilde{\alpha}'_1 : Bl_-^{E_1}(n) \rightarrow OG_+^{E_2}(n)$  is a projective bundle of odd relative dimension, Theorem A.4 implies that  $(\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ \tilde{\alpha}'_1^*$  vanishes.  $\square$

**Sublemma 3.17.** *The composition  $\gamma \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^*$  vanishes.*

*Proof of Sublemma 3.17.* Consider the following diagram (without the shaded part):

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} OG_+^{E_2}(n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & OG_-^{E_2}(n) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\alpha}'_1} & Bl_1^+ & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & OG_+^{E_1}(n) \\ & \swarrow p' & \swarrow p & \uparrow q & \uparrow \tilde{\pi} & & \uparrow \tilde{\pi}' \\ & & H^- & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & H^+ & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & E_+(n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}'} & OG_-^{E_1}(n) \\ & & & \searrow \varphi' & & & \uparrow \varphi' & & \uparrow \pi_1' \\ & & & & & & H^- & \xrightarrow{q'} & Bl_1^- \\ & & & & & & & \searrow p' & \downarrow \tilde{\alpha}'_1 \\ & & & & & & & & OG_+^{E_2}(n). \end{array}$$

Again, on functors of points, we have the following interpretation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\{E_2 \subset Q'_n\} & \longleftarrow \left\{ (E_n, Q'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset E_n, E_2 \subset Q'_n \\ D(E_n, Q'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow \{E_1 \subset E_n\} \\
& \nearrow & \uparrow \\
\left\{ (E_n, Q'_n, E'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_2 \subset Q'_n \\ E_1 \subset E_n, E'_n \\ D(E_n, E'_n) = n-1 \\ D(E_n, Q'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow \left\{ (E_n, E'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset E_n, E'_n \\ D(E_n, E'_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow \{E_1 \subset E'_n\} \\
& & \uparrow \\
\left\{ (E_n, Q_n, E'_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_2 \subset Q_n \\ E_1 \subset E_n, E'_n \\ D(E_n, E'_n) = n-1 \\ D(E'_n, Q_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow \left\{ (P'_n, Q_n) : \begin{array}{l} E_1 \subset P'_n, E_2 \subset Q_n \\ D(P'_n, Q_n) = n-1 \end{array} \right\} & \longrightarrow \{E_1 \subset E'_n\} \\
& & \downarrow \\
& & \{E_2 \subset Q_n\}
\end{array}$$

where  $H^+$  is the pullback of  $\tilde{\pi}$  and  $\pi_1$ .

By Lemma 2.3 and its proof, we have an isomorphism  $\sigma : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_-^{E_2}(n)$  induced by an automorphism of  $E$ . Note that  $\sigma$  induces an isomorphism  $\sigma' : H^- \cong H^+$ , which satisfies both  $\varphi \circ \sigma' = \varphi'$  and  $\sigma \circ p' = p \circ \sigma'$ . Here  $H^-$  is the pullback taken along  $\sigma$  and  $p$ , as one can see in the shaded part of Diagram 8. Note that the map  $q' : H^- \rightarrow Bl_1^-$  is a projective bundle of rank  $n-2$ , and the map  $\varphi' : H^- \rightarrow E_+(n)$  is birational. Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* &= \tilde{\alpha}_{1*} \circ \pi_1^* \circ \tilde{\pi}_* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \\
&= p_* \circ \varphi'^* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \\
&= p_* \circ ((\sigma')^{-1} \varphi'^*) \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* \\
&= (\sigma^*)^{-1} \circ p'_* \circ \varphi'^* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^*.
\end{aligned}$$

It is therefore enough to prove  $p'_* \circ \varphi'^* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* = 0$ . To show this, we consider the following chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned}
p'_* \circ \varphi'^* \circ \tilde{\pi}'^* &= p'_* \circ q'^* \circ \pi_1'^* \\
&= (\tilde{\alpha}'_1 \circ q')_* \circ q'^* \circ \pi_1'^* \\
&= (\tilde{\alpha}'_1)_* \circ (q'_* \circ q'^*) \circ \pi_1'^* \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we made use of  $q'_* \circ q'^* = 0$ , which follows by Theorem A.4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.14.* Let  $\theta : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  denote the composition  $\iota \circ \iota_1$  and  $\theta' : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  be  $\tau \circ \theta$ , where  $\tau : OG_+(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  is induced by the automorphism of  $E$  which switches  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and acts as the identity on the other elements of the basis. By the above sublemmas, we have constructed the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-(2n-3)}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \xrightarrow{\theta_*} W^i(OG_+(n)) \xrightarrow{L\theta'^*} W^i(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note here that the morphism  $\theta' : OG_+^{E_2}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$  can be identified with the canonical embedding  $OG_+^{(f_1, f_2)}(n) \rightarrow OG_+(n)$ , and this short exact sequence comes from the localization sequence (3) as follows. By using functoriality and Remark 2.11 one first replaces the term  $W^i(U_+(n))$  in (3) with  $W^i(OG_+^{(f_1)}(n))$ . We then decompose  $W^i(OG_+^{(f_1)}(n))$  into the direct sum of  $W^{i-(n-2)}(OG_+^{(f_1, e_2)})$  and  $W^i(OG_+^{(f_1, f_2)})$ .

Using the projection formula and the fact that the pullback is compatible with products, we can further obtain the following short exact sequence of graded  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))[-(2n-3)] \xrightarrow{\theta_*} W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+(n)) \xrightarrow{L\theta'^*} W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By induction on  $n$  and by identifying  $OG_+^{E_2}(n) \cong OG_+(n-2, E^2)$ , we can assume that  $W^{\text{tot}}(OG_+^{E_2}(n))$  is a graded projective  $W^{\text{tot}}(S)$ -module. In fact, we can reduce to the  $n = 1$  case and  $OG_+(1) \cong S$ , therefore this exact sequence splits.  $\square$

**3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The statement of Theorem 1.1 for  $n$  odd follows inductively from Theorem 3.14 and results in Section 2.3. In the even case the theorem follows from Corollary 3.9, which helps to reduce to the odd case.

For odd  $n$ , one can obtain a visual interpretation of Theorem 3.14. In fact, in this case one has that even shifted Young diagrams must either have the first two rows of maximal length (as in those appearing in the first line of Example 1.2) or two empty columns on the right (in the second line). The number of boxes in the first two rows is precisely  $2n - 3$ , which not by accident also appears as the shift in the statement of Theorem 3.14.

For  $n$  even there are two classes of even shifted Young diagrams: those whose first row is full and those whose last column is empty. Note that this fact can be viewed as a diagrammatic counterpart to the recursive description given in Corollary 3.9.

## APPENDIX A. EULER CLASSES AND PROJECTIVE BUNDLES

Heng Xie

The projective bundle theorem for Witt groups was independently proved by Walter [23] and Nenashev [22]. In the main body of the paper we need to apply two theorems (Theorem A.1 and A.4 in this appendix), which were proved as Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 in the unpublished [23]. Although the approach by Nenashev is closer to our needs, his description of the underlying maps appearing in the projective bundle theorem is not very explicit. The aim of this appendix is to highlight some maps that in [22] are sort of hidden and simultaneously solve the lack of a published reference for the theorems that we need. It is worth mentioning that Fasel [11] has written down explicitly the underlying maps for the projective bundle theorem of  $\mathbf{I}^j$ -cohomology, which can be compared with our Theorem A.1 and A.4.

**A.1. Projective bundles.** Let  $\rho: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r + 1$  over a regular scheme  $X$  with  $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $s: X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  be the zero section of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $q: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$  be the projective bundle associated to the vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then, there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow q^*\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is called the canonical quotient bundle. Now, let us consider the projective bundle  $q': \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow X$ . The canonical split exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$  induces two closed embeddings

$$\iota: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) = X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \text{ and } \iota': \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}).$$

Let

$$v: U_\iota \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \text{ and } v': U_{\iota'} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$$

be the associated open complements of  $\iota$  and  $\iota'$  respectively. There are canonical maps

$$\alpha: U_\iota \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \text{ and } \alpha': U_{\iota'} \rightarrow X$$

which are both  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundles.

**A.2. Two-periodicity on the twists.** Let  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be line bundles on  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{L}'$ , then we have a two-periodicity isomorphism on the twists

$$\text{per}_{\mathcal{M}}: W^i(X, \mathcal{L}') \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L}),$$

which by definition is the product

$$(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) \otimes -: W^i(X, \mathcal{L}') \rightarrow W^i(X, \mathcal{L}),$$

where  $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$  is the canonical form on  $W^i(X, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2})$ .

**A.3. Pushforward and pullback.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism between regular schemes with  $\frac{1}{2}$  in their global sections, and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $Y$ . Recall two functorial maps from [7]: the pullback

$$f^*: W^i(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow W^i(X, f^*\mathcal{L})$$

and the pushforward

$$f_*: W^{i+\dim X}(X, f^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_{X/Y}) \rightarrow W^{i+\dim Y}(Y, \mathcal{L}).$$

For the case of the projective bundle  $q: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ , the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X} \longrightarrow q^*\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields a canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X} := \det(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})/X}) \cong q^* \det \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r-1).$$

Therefore, we are able to rewrite the pushforward as

$$q_* : W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), q^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \det \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r-1)) \rightarrow W^{i-r}(X, \mathcal{L}).$$

For properties of pushforward and pullback, we refer to [7].

**A.4. Euler class.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $\rho : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$  and let  $\nu : X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  be the zero section. The *Euler class* of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the following composition of maps

$$W^i(X, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\nu_*} W^{i+r}(\mathcal{V}, \rho^*(\det \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{(\rho^*)^{-1}} W^{i+r}(X, \det \mathcal{V}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L})$$

which shall be denoted by  $e(\mathcal{V})$  (cf. [10] or [11, Section 3] for details). For the relations between pushforwards, pullbacks and Euler classes, we refer to [10] (see also [11]).

**A.5. Witt groups of projective bundles.** We use notations as in Section A.1.

**Theorem A.1** (Theorem 1.2 [23]). *Assume that  $r$  is an even number. The maps of groups*

$$q_* \circ \text{per} : W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) \xleftrightarrow{\quad} W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) : e(\mathcal{Q}) \circ q^*$$

are inverse isomorphisms.

*Proof.* We shall examine the Balmer-Calmès Setup (cf. [3, Setup 1.1 and Hypothesis 1.2]):

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) & \xleftarrow{v} & U_{\tilde{t}} \\ \tilde{\pi} \uparrow & & \pi \uparrow & \swarrow \tilde{v} & \downarrow \alpha \\ E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}} & Bl_{\tilde{t}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \end{array}$$

where  $Bl_{\tilde{t}}$  is the blow up of the closed embedding  $\iota : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  and  $E$  is the exceptional fiber. The scheme  $Bl_{\tilde{t}}$  is isomorphic to the projective bundle  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$  over  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  so that the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : Bl_{\tilde{t}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  becomes the projection. Note also that the map  $\pi$  factors through the projection  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  via the closed embedding  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$ . Notice that this last inclusion is actually induced by the canonical map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \rightarrow q^* \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}$ .

When interpreted as a projective bundle the scheme  $Bl_{\tilde{t}}$  has canonical sections

$$\tilde{t} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \text{ and } \tilde{t}' : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}),$$

which are also closed embeddings. Both  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1))$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ . We denote by

$$\tilde{v} : U_{\tilde{t}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \text{ and } \tilde{v}' : U_{\tilde{t}'} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$$

the open embeddings respectively associated to  $\tilde{t}$  and  $\tilde{t}'$ . They induce the  $\mathbb{A}^*$ -bundles

$$\tilde{\alpha} : U_{\tilde{t}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha}' : U_{\tilde{t}'} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}).$$

It is worth pointing out that  $U_{\tilde{t}} = U_{\tilde{t}'}$ .

Now observe that the normal bundle of the regular embedding  $\iota : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}$  and that  $\tilde{\pi} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O})$  is naturally identified with the projection  $q : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ . We also point out that  $\tilde{t} : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})})$  coincides with the inclusion  $\tilde{t} : E \hookrightarrow Bl_{\tilde{t}}$  in the blow up, which justifies our notation.

By [7], we have a pushforward map  $\pi_* : W^i(Bl_{\tilde{t}}, \omega_{Bl_{\tilde{t}}}) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))$ . Note that by [3, Proposition 2.1] one has  $\omega_{Bl_{\tilde{t}}} = (0, r) \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cong \text{Pic}(Bl_{\tilde{t}})$ . Since  $r$  is even, we have a periodicity isomorphism  $\text{per} : W^i(Bl_{\tilde{t}}) \rightarrow W^i(Bl_{\tilde{t}}, \omega_{Bl_{\tilde{t}}})$ . We now form the following diagram, the rows of which

consist of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E, \omega_{\tilde{t}}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{t})^*} & W^i(Bl_t) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}^*} & W^i(U_t) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \text{per} & & \downarrow \text{per} & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E, \omega_{\tilde{t}} \otimes \tilde{t}^* \omega_{\pi}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{t})^*} & W^i(Bl_t, \omega_{\pi}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}^*} & W^i(U_t) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \tilde{\pi}_* & & \downarrow \pi_* & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1-r}(X, \omega_t) & \xrightarrow{(\iota)^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{v^*} & W^i(U_t) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow e(\mathcal{Q}) \circ \tilde{\pi}^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(E, \omega_{\tilde{t}}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{t})^*} & W^i(Bl_t) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{v}^*} & W^i(U_t) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Here all the squares are commutative and in particular the commutativity of the lower left diagram follows by the excess intersection formula (cf. [10]). Recall the isomorphism  $\omega_{\tilde{t}} \cong \mathcal{O}_E(-1)$  (cf. [3, Appendix A]). The excess normal bundle of the left cartesian square of Diagram 9 is the canonical quotient bundle  $\mathcal{Q}$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \cong E$ . Theorem A.1 will follow if we can prove that

$$\pi^* \circ \pi_* \circ \text{per} = \text{id} \text{ and } \pi_* \circ \text{per} \circ \pi^* = \text{id}.$$

To see this, we shall consider the Cartesian diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\iota'} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}'} & Bl_t. \end{array}$$

By applying the localization theorem to this diagram, we further consider the following diagram of Witt groups, in which the rows are split exact (cf. Lemma A.2)

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\tilde{\iota}'}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}')^*} & W^i(Bl_t) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \pi_* \circ \text{per} & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\iota'}) & \xrightarrow{(\iota')^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\iota'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow = & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\tilde{\iota}'}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\iota}')^*} & W^i(Bl_t) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Note that

- (LU) the left upper diagram is commutative by the functoriality of pushforwards,
- (LL) the left lower diagram is commutative by the base-change formula,
- (RL) the right lower diagram is commutative by the functoriality of pullbacks,
- (RU) the right upper diagram is commutative by the base change formula.

Finally, we conclude that the map  $\pi^*$  is an isomorphism, and Diagram 11 implies that  $\pi_* \circ \text{per}$  is the inverse of  $\pi^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $X$  be a regular scheme with  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Then, the sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\iota'}) \xrightarrow{\iota'_*} W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \xrightarrow{\iota'^*} W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split exact.

*Proof.* By [22, Section 5], the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W^{i-1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \omega_{\iota'}) \xrightarrow{\iota'_*} W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) \xrightarrow{\iota'^*} W^i(X) \longrightarrow 0$$

splits on the right by the map  $q'^* : W^i(X) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}))$ . By [22, Theorem 3.6], we also know that  $q^* : W^i(X) \rightarrow W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$  is an isomorphism, and therefore  $((q^*)^{-1} \circ \iota'^*) \circ q'^* = \iota'^* \circ q'^* = \text{id}$ .



To conclude  $(q^*)^{-1} \circ \iota'^* = \iota^*$ , it is enough to show that  $\iota'^* \iota'_* = 0$ . Note that  $\iota' : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})$  is a regular closed embedding of codimension one. Therefore, we have the normal bundle  $N_{\iota'}$  is a line bundle isomorphic to  $\omega_{\iota'}$ . By the self-intersection formula [10, Theorem 33], we see that  $\iota'^* \iota'_* = e(\omega_{\iota'})$ . Note that the Euler class  $e(\omega_{\iota'})$  is hyperbolic [12, Proposition 14]. Therefore,  $\iota'^* \iota'_* = 0$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Remark A.3.** In [22, Theorem 5.1] Nenashev is able to show that  $W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E})$  and  $W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1))$  are isomorphic, however it is more subtle to gain an understanding of the intermediate maps whose composition gives rise to this isomorphism, and this is the precisely the purpose of Theorem A.1.

**Theorem A.4** (Theorem 1.4 [23]). *Assume that  $r$  is an odd number. The sequence of maps of groups*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow W^i(X) \xrightarrow{q^*} W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{q_* \circ \text{per}} W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} W^{i+1}(X) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is exact.

*Proof.* Let  $p : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow X$  be the canonical projection. Consider the exact sequence

$$(12) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})}(-1) \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0$$

and form the following ladder diagram.

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & W^i(U_{\iota'}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \longrightarrow & W^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) & \xrightarrow{v'^*} & W^{i+1}(U_{\iota'}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \alpha^* \uparrow & & \iota'_* \uparrow & & e(\mathcal{G}) \circ p^* \uparrow & & \alpha^* \uparrow & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & W^i(X) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) & \xrightarrow{q_* \circ \text{per}} & W^{i-r}(X, \det \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{e(\mathcal{E})} & W^{i+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Note that all the vertical maps in (13) are isomorphisms and that the upper sequence is exact. It is now enough to prove that all squares in Diagram 13 are commutative.

By [3, Lemma 4.2 (B)], we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^i(U_{\iota'}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & W_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O}), \mathcal{O}(-1)) \\ v'^* \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{O})) & \xrightarrow{\iota'^*} & W^i(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})) \end{array},$$

which explains the commutativity of the left square in (13). In order to conclude that the middle square is commutative, we use that by Theorem A.1 the map  $p_* \circ \text{per}$  is the inverse of  $e(\mathcal{G}) \circ p^*$ , so that the commutativity follows by  $p_* \circ \iota'_* = q_*$ . Finally, in order to see that the right square is commutative, we observe that  $\alpha^* \iota'^* = v'^*$  on the level of Picard groups. By applying the pullback  $\iota^*$  to (12), we see that  $\iota^* \mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{E}$  and therefore  $v'^* \mathcal{G} = \alpha^* \mathcal{E}$ . Now one has

$$v'^* e(\mathcal{G}) p^* = e(v'^* \mathcal{G}) v'^* p^* = e(v'^* \mathcal{G}) \alpha^* = e(\alpha^* \mathcal{E}) \alpha^* = \alpha^* e(\mathcal{E}). \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Balmer, Witt groups. Handbook of K-theory. Vol. 1, 2, 539–576, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [2] P. Balmer, Witt cohomology, Mayer-Vietoris, homotopy invariance and the Gersten conjecture, K-theory 23 (2001) 15–30.
- [3] P. Balmer, and B. Calmès, *Geometric description of the connecting homomorphism for Witt groups*, Documenta Mathematica, 14 (2009), 525–550.
- [4] P. Balmer, and B. Calmès, Witt groups of Grassmann varieties, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, 21, no 4 (2012), pp. 601–642.
- [5] P. Balmer and S. Gille, Koszul complexes and symmetric forms over the punctured affine space, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 91, no 2 (2005), pp. 273–299.
- [6] B. Calmès, E. Dotto, J. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus, and W. Steimle, Hermitian K-theory for stable  $\infty$ -categories, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07223>, 2021.
- [7] B. Calmès, and J. Hornbostel, *Push-forwards for Witt groups of schemes*, Comment. Math. Helv. 86 (2011), 437–468
- [8] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer (1978).
- [9] W. Fulton, and P. Pragacz, *Schubert Varieties and Degeneracy Loci*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1689, Springer (1998).
- [10] J. Fasel, *The excess intersection formula for Grothendieck–Witt groups*, Manuscripta Mathematica, volume 130, Article number: 411 (2009)

- [11] J. Fasel, *The projective bundle theorem for  $I^j$ -cohomology*, Journal of K-theory, Polume 11, Issue 2 April 2013, pp. 413-464
- [12] J. Fasel and V. Srinivas, *Chow-Witt groups and Grothendieck-Witt groups of regular schemes*, Advances in Mathematics 221 (2009), 302-329.
- [13] S. Gille, Homotopy invariance of coherent Witt groups, Math. Z. 244 (2003), 211–233.
- [14] S. Gille, The general dévissage theorem for Witt groups, Arch. Math. 88 (2007) 333-343.
- [15] G. Ulrich, and W. Torsten, Algebraic Geometry, Part I: Schemes. With Examples and Exercises, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Springer 2010.
- [16] J. Hornbostel, H. Xie and M. Zibrowius, Chow-Witt rings of split quadrics, In Motivic homotopy theory and refined enumerative geometry, Contemporary Mathematics, AMS series, 745 (2020), 123-162.
- [17] J. Harris, Algebraic geometry: A first course. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 133. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [18] M. Karoubi, M. Schlichting, and C. Weibel, The Witt group of real algebraic varieties. J. Topol. 9 (2016), no. 4, 1257–1302.
- [19] M. Karoubi, and C. Weibel, The Witt group of real surfaces. K-theory in algebra, analysis and topology, 157–193, Contemp. Math., 749, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020.
- [20] M. Karoubi, M. Schlichting, and C. Weibel, Grothendieck-Witt groups of some singular schemes, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 122 (2021), Issue 4, Pages 521-536.
- [21] M. Levine and F. Morel, Algebraic cobordism, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [22] A. Nenashev, *On the Witt groups of projective bundles and split quadrics: Geometric reasoning*, J. K-theory 3 (2009), no. 3, 533-546.
- [23] C. Walter, *Grothendieck-Witt group of projective bundles*, Preprint.
- [24] E. Witt, Theorie der quadratischen Formen in beliebigen Körpern. J. Reine Angew. Math., 176:31–44, 1937.
- [25] I. Panin, Riemann-Roch theorems for oriented cohomology, Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II, vol. 131, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 261–333.
- [26] N. Perrin, Courbes rationnelles sur les variétés homogènes, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, Tome 52 (2002) no. 1, pp. 105-132.
- [27] M. Schlichting, Higher K-theory of forms I. From rings to exact categories, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu. 20 (2021), Issue 4, pp. 1205 - 1273
- [28] H. G. Quebbemann, W. Scharlau, and M. Schulte, Quadratic and Hermitian forms in additive and abelian categories, J. Algebra 59 (1979) 264-289.
- [29] H. Rohrbach, The Projective Bundle Formula for Grothendieck-Witt spectra, JPAA (2021).
- [30] H. Xie, A transfer morphism for Hermitian K-theory of schemes with involution, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra (4) 224 (2020), 26 pages.
- [31] H. Xie, Witt groups of smooth projective quadrics, Advances in Mathematics 346 (2019), 70-123.
- [32] M. Zibrowius, Witt Groups of Complex Cellular Varieties, Documenta Math. 16 (2011), 465–511.
- [33] M. Zibrowius, Witt Groups of Curves and Surfaces, Math. Zeits. 278 (2014), Nr. 1–2, 191–227.

THOMAS HUDSON, UNIVERSITY OF WUPPERTAL, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [HUDSON@UNI-WUPPERTAL.DE](mailto:HUDSON@UNI-WUPPERTAL.DE)

ARTHUR MARTIROSIAN, UNIVERSITY OF DÜSSELDORF, GERMANY  
*Email address:* [ARTHUR.MARTIROSIAN@HHU.DE](mailto:ARTHUR.MARTIROSIAN@HHU.DE)

HENG XIE, SUN-YAT SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, CHINA  
*Email address:* [SYSUXIEHENG@163.COM](mailto:SYSUXIEHENG@163.COM)