

INTERPRETING MASS VIA RIEMANNIAN POLYHEDRA

PENGZI MIAO

ABSTRACT. We give an account of some recent development that connects the concept of mass in general relativity to the geometry of large Riemannian polyhedra, in the setting of both asymptotically flat and asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.

1. SCALAR CURVATURE AND MASS IN GENERAL RELATIVITY

The scalar curvature of a Riemannian manifold is a basic curvature quantity of the metric. Besides prolific motivations from Riemannian geometry, an important incentive to study the scalar curvature is from Einstein's theory of general relativity. We briefly outline this relativistic role of scalar curvature below.

Suppose \mathcal{S}^4 is a spacetime, i.e. a time-oriented Lorentz 4-manifold. Suppose M^3 is a spacelike hypersurface with a unit normal n in \mathcal{S} . By the Einstein equation and the Gauss equation,

$$(1.1) \quad R(g) - |K|_g^2 + (\operatorname{tr}_g K)^2 = 16\pi T(n, n).$$

Here $R(g)$ is the scalar curvature of the Riemannian metric g on M , K is the second fundamental form of M in \mathcal{S} , and T is the stress energy tensor determined by matter distribution in \mathcal{S} .

A physically reasonable spacetime often satisfies suitable energy conditions which guarantee $T(n, n) \geq 0$. In this case, if M is a maximal slice, i.e. $\operatorname{tr}_g K = 0$, then (1.1) implies $R(g) \geq 0$. In general, under an assumption that \mathcal{S} satisfies the dominant energy condition, study of the triple (M, g, K) often can be related to the case of $R(g) \geq 0$ (see [32] for instance).

If the spacetime \mathcal{S} models an isolated system, the Riemannian manifold (M, g) is often assumed to be asymptotically flat. For such manifolds, a fundamental result on nonnegative scalar curvature metrics is the Riemannian positive mass theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Schoen-Yau[31], Witten[37]). *Suppose (M, g) is a complete, asymptotically flat 3-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature. Then the mass $\mathbf{m}(g)$ of (M, g) satisfies $\mathbf{m}(g) \geq 0$, and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to the Euclidean space.*

We recall the definitions of asymptotically flat manifolds and their mass.

Definition 1.1. A Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is said to be asymptotically flat (with one end) if there exists a compact set K such that $M \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to

P. Miao's research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1906423.

$\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r(0)$, where $B_r(0) = \{|x| < r\}$ for some $r > 0$, and with respect to the standard coordinates $\{x_i\}$ on \mathbb{R}^n , the metric g satisfies $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}$, where

$$(1.2) \quad h_{ij} = O(|x|^{-p}), \quad \partial h_{ij} = O(|x|^{-p-1}), \quad \partial \partial h_{ij} = O(|x|^{-p-2})$$

for some $p > \frac{n-2}{2}$. Moreover, the scalar curvature of g is integrable on (M, g) .

For an asymptotically flat (M^n, g) , its mass (introduced by Arnowitt, Deser and Misner [4]), is defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{m}(g) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \int_{S_r} (g_{ij,i} - g_{ii,j}) \bar{\nu}^j d\bar{\sigma}.$$

Here S_r is the coordinate sphere $\{|x| = r\}$, $d\bar{\sigma}$ denotes the Euclidean volume element on S_r , $\bar{\nu}$ is the Euclidean outward unit normal to S_r , and summation is applied over repeated indices. It was proved by Bartnik [5], and also by Chruściel [10], that $\mathbf{m}(g)$ is independent on the choice of coordinates satisfying (1.2).

For dimensions $n \leq 7$, Schoen had a proof of the positive mass theorem in [30]. For arbitrary dimensions, the theorem was shown by Schoen-Yau [33]. A different approach was provided by Lohkamp [22, 23, 24, 25].

2. GROMOV'S GEOMETRIC COMPARISON THEORY FOR SCALAR CURVATURE

In Riemannian geometry, comparison theorems are useful tools in understanding manifolds with various notions of lower curvature bounds. In two dimensions, scalar curvature reduces to Gauss curvature, and geometric triangles were compared to those in a model space (see [1, 2, 35] for instance).

In [14], Gromov suggested Riemannian polyhedra play a useful role in the study of scalar curvature in higher dimensions. Given a convex polyhedron P in (\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{g}) , the Euclidean space, Gromov [14, 15] conjectured that, if g is a Riemannian metric on P satisfying

- g has nonnegative scalar curvature;
- each face of P has nonnegative mean curvature in (P, g) ; and
- along each edge of P , the dihedral angle of (P, g) is less than or equal to the corresponding dihedral angle of P in (\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{g}) ,

then (P, g) is isometric to a polyhedron in (\mathbb{R}^n, \bar{g}) .




FIGURE 1. A Riemannian tetrahedron

Significant progress toward this conjecture was obtained by Li [18, 19, 20]. In 3-dimension, Li [18] proved the conjecture for a wide class of polyhedra including cubes and 3-simplices. In higher dimensions, Li [19] showed the conjecture holds for a class

of prisms with dimensions less than or equal to 7. In [20], Li extended the comparison theory to metrics with a negative scalar curvature lower bound.

An immediate connection between Gromov's conjecture and the positive mass theorem is that the conjecture being valid for a single polyhedron implies the latter. This is because, by the work of Lohkmap [21], if the mass of an asymptotically flat (M, g) is negative, the metric g can be deformed to another metric \tilde{g} with nonnegative scalar curvature, which is exactly Euclidean outside a compact set. In this case, by considering a large polyhedron enclosing the nontrivial geometry in the compact set, one would obtain a contradiction to Gromov's conjecture (see [19, Theorem 5.2] for details).

3. MASS AND COORDINATE CUBES IN 3-DIMENSION

For an asymptotically flat 3-manifold (M, g) , a connection between the mass $\mathbf{m}(g)$ and large Riemannian cubes was found in [26].

Theorem 3.1 ([26]). *Let (M^3, g) be an asymptotically flat 3-manifold. Given any large constant $L > 0$, let C_L^3 denote a coordinate cube with side length $2L$ centered at the coordinate origin. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E} denote the sets of the faces and the edges of C_L^3 , respectively. Then, as $L \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{m}(g) = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{F}} H d\sigma + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) ds + o(1).$$

Here H is the mean curvature of each face with respect to the outward unit normal, α is the dihedral angle between the two adjacent faces along each edge, $d\sigma$ and ds are the area and the length measure with respect to g , respectively.

If (M^3, g) is complete with nonnegative scalar curvature, the positive mass theorem (Theorem 1.1) shows $\mathbf{m}(g) > 0$, unless (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^3 . This combined with (3.1) shows

$$(3.2) \quad -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{F}} H d\sigma + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) ds \geq 0, \text{ for large } L.$$

A related but yet different formula, relating $\mathbf{m}(g)$ to C_L^3 , was also derived in [26].

Theorem 3.2 ([26]). *Let (M^3, g) , L and C_L^3 be given as above. For each $t \in [-L, L]$ and each $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $S_t^{(k)}$ be the curve given by the intersection between ∂C_L^3 and the coordinate plane $\{x_k = t\}$. Then, as $L \rightarrow \infty$, the mass of (M^3, g) satisfies*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{m}(g) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{-L}^L \mathbf{m}_k^{(2)}(t, L) dt + o(1).$$

Here

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{m}_k^{(2)}(t, L) = 2\pi - \int_{S_t^{(k)}} \kappa^{(k)} ds - \beta_t^{(k)},$$

$\kappa^{(k)}$ is the geodesic curvature of $S_t^{(k)}$ in $\{x_k = t\}$, and $\beta_t^{(k)}$ is the sum of the turning angle of $S_t^{(k)}$ at its four vertices.

The quantity $\mathbf{m}_k^{(2)}(t, L)$ measures the angle deficit of the (large) portion of the coordinate plane $\{x^k = t\}$ inside the cube C_L^3 . (3.3) shows the mass of (M^3, g) can be obtained by suitably integrating such angle deficit associated to all coordinate planes. It is worthy of noting that, in the setting of asymptotically conical surfaces, the angle deficit can be used to define the 2-dimensional ‘‘mass’’ of those surfaces (see [9, 7] for instance).

Formula (3.3) was largely prompted by a recent monumental work of Stern [34] that relates the scalar curvature and the level sets of harmonic functions (and maps). Together with the Gauss-Bonnet theorem and Stern’s formula from [34], (3.3) provides insight into the recent new proof of the 3-dimensional positive mass theorem by Bray-Kazaras-Khuri-Stern [6]. Below we briefly outline this implication following [26, Remark 5].

Stern’s formula [34, equation (14)], in its simplest form, shows

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta|\nabla u| = \frac{1}{2|\nabla u|} [|\nabla^2 u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2(R - 2K_\Sigma)],$$

near points where $\nabla u \neq 0$. Here u is a harmonic function on a 3-manifold (M^3, g) , R and K_Σ denote the scalar curvature of g and the Gauss curvature of Σ , the level set of u , respectively.

Suppose the manifold (M^3, g) is asymptotically flat. An observation of Bartnik [5] was

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{S_\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} |\nabla y_i|^2 d\sigma = 16\pi \mathbf{m}(g).$$

Here $\{y_i\}$ are harmonic coordinates on (M, g) near infinity, and \int_{S_∞} represents the limit of integration along a sequence of suitable surfaces approaching infinity. Since $|\nabla y_i|$ tends to 1 suitably fast, it is easily checked that (3.6) is equivalent to

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{S_\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} |\nabla y_i| d\sigma = 16\pi \mathbf{m}(g).$$

Considering (3.5) and (3.7), one may want to seek a formula that computes $\mathbf{m}(g)$ in terms of the level sets of y_i . This was the motivation to the derivation of (3.3) in [26]. It turned out that (3.3) itself does not require $\{x_i\}$ to be harmonic.

Next, for simplicity, suppose M has no boundary. Consider a harmonic map

$$(3.8) \quad U = (u_1, u_2, u_3) : (M, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, \bar{g})$$

such that U is a diffeomorphism near infinity, and, in this coordinate chart defined by U , g satisfies (1.2). By the usual construction of harmonic coordinates (for instance Theorem 3.1 in [5]), such a map U always exists. If one further supposes the regular level set $\Sigma_t^{(i)}$ of each u_i satisfies $\chi(\Sigma_t^{(i)}) \leq 1$ (which is the case if M is \mathbb{R}^3 for instance), then it follows from Stern’s formula (3.5), Bartnik’s formula (3.7), the mass formula

(3.3), and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 24\pi \mathbf{m}(g) \\
(3.9) \quad &= \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\partial C_L^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} |\nabla u_k| d\sigma + \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{-L}^L \left[(2\pi - \beta_t^{(k)}) - \int_{C_t^{(k)}} \kappa^{(k)} ds \right] dt \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_M \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{|\nabla u_i|} |\nabla^2 u_i|^2 + R |\nabla u_i| \right] dV.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $R \geq 0$, then $\mathbf{m}(g) \geq 0$. We remark that inequality (3.9) is weaker than the result in [6]. The lower bound of $\mathbf{m}(g)$ in Theorem 1.2 of [6] only used a single harmonic function.

A potentially useful feature of the above mapping setting (3.9) is the following. Suppose $g_{ij} = g(\partial_{u_i}, \partial_{u_j})$. Given any constant $\Lambda > 0$, let $r_o > 0$ be a constant such that

$$|g_{ij}| \leq \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad |g^{ij}| \leq \Lambda, \quad \text{if } |u| \geq r_o.$$

Using the fact $|\nabla u_i|^2 = g^{ii}$ and $\nabla^2 u_i(\partial_{u_k}, \partial_{u_l}) = -\Gamma_{kl}^i$, we see from (3.9) that, if the scalar curvature is nonnegative, then

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbf{m}(g) \geq C \int_{|u| \geq r_o} \sum_{i,j,k} |\partial_i g_{jk}|^2,$$

where C is a constant depending only on Λ . This shows, outside a large u -coordinate sphere $\{|u| = r_o\}$, the L^2 -norm of ∂g is controlled by the mass.

4. MASS AND POLYHEDRA IN GENERAL DIMENSIONS

Motivated by Theorem 3.1, Piubello and the author [27] considered a sequence of polyhedra of general types, approaching infinity in an asymptotically flat manifold of arbitrary dimensions.




FIGURE 2. A sequence of polyhedra approaching ∞ in (M^n, g)

Theorem 4.1 ([27]). *Let (M^n, g) be an asymptotically flat manifold with dimension $n \geq 3$. Let $\{P_k\}$ denote a sequence of Euclidean polyhedra in a coordinate chart $\{x_i\}$ that defines the end of (M, g) . Suppose $\{P_k\}$ satisfies the following conditions:*

a) P_k encloses the coordinate origin and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{P_k} = \infty$, where

$$r_{P_k} = \min_{x \in \partial P_k} |x|;$$

b) $|\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)| = O(r_{P_k}^{n-1})$, where $\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)$ denotes the union of all the faces in ∂P_k , and $|\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)|$ is the Euclidean $(n-1)$ -dimensional volume of $\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)$;

c) $|\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)| = O(r_{P_k}^{n-2})$, where $\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)$ denotes the union of all the edges in ∂P_k , and $|\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)|$ is the Euclidean $(n-2)$ -dimensional volume of $\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)$; and

d) along each edge, the Euclidean dihedral angles $\bar{\alpha}$ of P_k satisfies

$$|\sin \bar{\alpha}| \geq c$$

for some constant $c > 0$ that is independent on k .

Then the mass of (M, g) satisfies, as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathfrak{m}(g) = \frac{1}{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \left(- \int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)} H d\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) d\mu \right) + o(1).$$

Here ω_{n-1} is the volume of the standard $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere, H denotes the mean curvature of the faces $\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)$ in (P_k, g) , α denotes the dihedral angle along the edges $\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)$ of (P_k, g) , and $d\sigma$, $d\mu$ denote the volume element on $\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)$, $\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)$, respectively, with respect to the induced metric from g .

The sequence $\{P_k\}$ in Theorem 4.1 has the feature that it can consist of different types of polyhedra. Moreover, elements in $\{P_k\}$ can be non-convex. The angle condition

$$|\sin \bar{\alpha}| \geq c$$

is imposed due to $y'(x) = -\frac{1}{\sin y}$ if $y = \arccos x$. In the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [27], one obtains the dihedral angle from the metric coefficients. A condition of $|\sin \bar{\alpha}| \geq c$ helps to convert estimates on the metric to estimates on the dihedral angle.

Remark 4.1. Let P be a fixed polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n that encloses the coordinate origin. Let $P_{(r)}$ be the polyhedron obtained by scaling P with a constant factor r in the coordinate space. For large r , the family $\{P_{(r)}\}$ satisfies all the conditions a) – d) in Theorem 4.1. As a result, (4.1) is applicable to such a family $\{P_{(r)}\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 4.2. Formula (4.1) implies the limit of $-\int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)} H d\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) d\mu$ is geometric, i.e. independent on the choices of $\{x_i\}$ and $\{P_k\}$. It is not hard to see this is not the case for the limit of each individual factor. For instance, let (M^n, g_m) denote the spatial Schwarzschild manifold. If one uses the isotropic coordinates $\{x_i\}$, in which $g_m = \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|^{n-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \bar{g}$, and let $\{P_k\}$ be the associated coordinate cubes, then $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$ due to the fact that g and \bar{g} are conformal in these $\{x_i\}$ coordinates. In particular, $\int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) d\mu = 0$ in this case. On the other hand, if one uses the spherical coordinates (r, θ) , in which $g_m = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2m}{r^{n-2}}} dr^2 + r^2 \sigma_o$, where σ_o is the standard metric on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then g is not conformal to the

background Euclidean metric \bar{g} in the rectangular coordinates $\{y_i\}$ given by $y = r\theta$, unless $m = 0$. Suppose $m \neq 0$ and choose $\{P_k\}$ as the coordinate cubes in these $\{y_i\}$ coordinates. One can easily check that, passing to the limit, the angle deficit term $\int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) d\mu$ has a nontrivial contribution to the mass.

We briefly comment on the proof of Theorem 4.1. Formula (4.1) was essentially revealed by a special structure that is present in the linearization of the mean curvature function.

To explain this structure, we fix some notations. Let $\Sigma^{n-1} \subset M^n$ be a given hypersurface. Let g, \bar{g} be two Riemannian metrics on M , and let $\gamma, \bar{\gamma}$ denote the metrics on Σ induced from g, \bar{g} , respectively. Let ν and $\bar{\nu}$ be the corresponding unit normal vectors to Σ in (M, g) and (M, \bar{g}) . We assume ν and $\bar{\nu}$ point to the same side of Σ . Let A, H and \bar{A}, \bar{H} denote the second fundamental form, the mean curvature function of Σ in (M, g) and (M, \bar{g}) , respectively. Viewing \bar{g} as a background metric, we write $g = \bar{g} + h$ and assume $|h|_{\bar{g}} < \epsilon(n)$, where $\epsilon(n)$ is a small positive constant depending only on n . Here $|\cdot|_{\bar{g}}$ denotes the norm with respect to \bar{g} .

Proposition 4.1 ([27]). *The mean curvature functions H and \bar{H} satisfy*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(H - \bar{H}) &= (d \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}} h - \operatorname{div}_{\bar{g}} h)(\bar{\nu}) - \operatorname{div}_{\bar{\gamma}} X - \langle h, \bar{A} \rangle_{\bar{\gamma}} \\ &\quad + |\bar{A}|_{\bar{g}} O(|h|_{\bar{g}}^2) + O(|\bar{D}h|_{\bar{g}} |h|_{\bar{g}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\operatorname{div}_{\bar{g}}(\cdot)$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}}(\cdot)$ denote the divergence and trace on (U, \bar{g}) ; $\operatorname{div}_{\bar{\gamma}}(\cdot)$ denotes the divergence on $(\Sigma, \bar{\gamma})$; X is the vector field on Σ that is dual to the 1-form $h(\bar{\nu}, \cdot)$ with respect to $\bar{\gamma}$; and \bar{D} denotes the covariant derivative with respect to \bar{g} . Given two functions f and ϕ , we write $f = O(\phi)$ to denote $|f| \leq C|\phi|$ with a constant C that depends only on n .

We see that, on the right side of (4.2), the first term $(d \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}} h - \operatorname{div}_{\bar{g}} h)(\bar{\nu})$ relates to the mass integral; the divergence term $\operatorname{div}_{\bar{\gamma}} X$, upon integration by parts, produces the angle along the edges; and the third term, involving the second fundamental form \bar{A} , indicates the advantage of making use of a polyhedron, whose faces are totally geodesic.

Similar to (3.2), if (M^n, g) is complete, asymptotically flat and has nonnegative scalar curvature, it follows from the positive mass theorem and (4.1) that

$$(4.3) \quad - \int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_k)} H d\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_k)} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) d\mu \geq 0, \text{ for large } k.$$

Here $\{P_k\}$ is any sequence of Euclidean polyhedra satisfying conditions in Theorem 4.1. In particular, for a fixed Euclidean polyhedron P ,

$$(4.4) \quad - \int_{\mathcal{F}_{(r)}} H d\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{E}_{(r)}} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) d\mu \geq 0, \text{ for large } r,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{(r)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{(r)}$ are the faces and edges of the polyhedron $P_{(r)}$ that is obtained by scaling P by a constant r .

As illustrated by Theorem 3.2, it is worthy of taking $\{P_k\}$ as a sequence of large coordinate cubes in (M^n, g) . Cubes have a feature that, when sliced by hyperplanes parallel to their face, the resulting intersections are large $(n - 1)$ -dimensional cubes.




FIGURE 3. Slicing a coordinate cube

The following can be viewed as a higher dimensional analog of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 4.2 ([27]). *Let (M^n, g) be an asymptotically flat manifold with dimension $n \geq 4$. Given any $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, any large constant L , and any $t \in [-L, L]$, there is a quantity $\mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L)$, associated to the coordinate hyperplane $\{x_k = t\}$, defined in (4.7) below, such that*

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{m}(g|_{\{x_k=t\}}) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L),$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{m}(g) = \frac{\omega_{n-2}}{(n-1)\omega_{n-1}} \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{-L}^L \mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L) dt.$$

We explain the quantity $\mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L)$. Let C_L^n denote a coordinate cube in (M, g) , with its center at the coordinate origin and side (coordinate) length $2L$. For every $t \in [-L, L]$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $S_t^{(k)}$ be the intersection of ∂C_L^n with the coordinate hyperplane $\{x_k = t\}$, i.e.

$$S_t^{(k)} = \partial C_L^n \cap \{x_k = t\}.$$

Then $S_t^{(k)}$ is the boundary of an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional cube in $\{x_k = t\}$ (see Figure 3). On the hypersurface $\{x_k = t\}$, let $\tilde{H}^{(k)}$ be the mean curvature of each face of $S_t^{(k)}$ with respect to the outward normal $\tilde{\nu}_i^{(k)}$ and let $\tilde{\alpha}^{(k)}$ be the dihedral angle along every edge of $S_t^{(k)}$, both taken with respect to g .

Associated to each $S_t^{(k)}$ in $\{x_k = t\}$, $\mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L)$ is given by

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_{n-2}} \left(- \int_{\mathcal{F}(S_t^{(k)})} \tilde{H}^{(k)} d\sigma^{n-2} + \int_{\mathcal{E}(S_t^{(k)})} \left(\tilde{\alpha}^{(k)} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) d\mu^{n-3} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma^{n-2}$ and $d\mu^{n-3}$ denote the volume forms, induced from the metric g , on the faces and edge of $S_t^{(k)}$. The assertion

$$\mathbf{m}(g|_{\{x_k=t\}}) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{m}_k^{(n-1)}(t, L)$$

is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1, where $g|_{\{x_k=t\}}$ denotes the metric on $\{x_k = t\}$ induced from g . In many cases, for instance if g has a decay rate of $p > n - 3$, this limit will be zero as $\mathbf{m}(g|_{\{x_k=t\}}) = 0$.

A couple of questions arise in relation to Theorems 4.1 and 4.2.

- a) The angle condition $|\sin \bar{\alpha}| \geq c$ serves only as a sufficient condition for (4.1). It would be good to weaken this condition. For instance, a replacement of $|\sin \bar{\alpha}| \geq c$ by a suitable slow decay condition of $\sin \bar{\alpha}$, relative to the growth of the volume of the faces and edges, may be desirable.
- b) In dimension three, formula (3.3) combined with the Gauss-Bonnet theorem and Stern's work [17] yielded a proof of the positive mass theorem. Could formula (4.6) play a role in any new proof of the positive mass theorem in high dimensions?

5. MASS OF ASYMPTOTICALLY HYPERBOLIC MANIFOLDS

The preceding connection between polyhedra and the mass of asymptotically flat manifolds prompts one to consider if an analogous connection exists on manifolds that are asymptotically hyperbolic.

We recall the definition of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds and their mass functional following the work of Chruściel and Herzlich [13]. Let (\mathbb{H}^n, \bar{g}) denote the standard hyperbolic space with constant sectional curvature -1 . One model of \mathbb{H}^n is the upper hyperboloid in the Minkowski spacetime

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{(z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1} \mid z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2 - t^2 = -1, t > 0\}.$$

Let $r = |z|$. A Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called asymptotically hyperbolic if there exist a compact set $K \subset M$ and a diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^n \setminus D$, where D is some compact set in \mathbb{H}^n , such that $h := (\Phi^{-1})^*g - \bar{g}$ satisfies

$$|h|_{\bar{g}} + |\bar{D}h|_{\bar{g}} + |\bar{D}^2h|_{\bar{g}} = O(r^{-q}), \text{ for some rate } q > \frac{n}{2},$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\int_M r [R_g + n(n-1)] dV_g < \infty.$$

Here R_g and dV_g are the scalar curvature and the volume element of g , respectively. The mass functional of (M, g) is a linear functional

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbf{m}(\cdot) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ is the space of static potentials on \mathbb{H}^n , given by

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n) = \text{span}\{t, z_1, \dots, z_n\}, \text{ where } t = \sqrt{1 + r^2},$$

and, $\forall V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n)$,

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbf{m}(V) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|z|=r} [V(\operatorname{div}_{\bar{g}}h - d\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}}h) + (\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}}h)dV - h(\bar{\nabla}V, \cdot)](\bar{\nu}) d\bar{\sigma}.$$

Here $d\bar{\sigma}$ is the volume element on the coordinate sphere $\{|z| = r\}$ in \mathbb{H}^n and $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the gradient on (\mathbb{H}^n, \bar{g}) . Similar to the asymptotically flat case, the limit of the above integral can be computed along other suitable exhaustion sequences of M (see [28] for instance).

It is common to represent $\mathbf{m}(\cdot)$ by an $(n+1)$ -vector (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n) with

$$p_0 = \mathbf{m}(t), \quad p_i = \mathbf{m}(z_i), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The Riemannian positive mass theorem for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds states that, if $R_g \geq -n(n-1)$, then $p_0^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$, and equality holds if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{H}^n . The proof of this theorem has a rich history. We refer readers to [36, 38, 13, 3, 11, 12, 16, 29] and references therein.

Given a function V , let $\mathbb{U}(V)(\cdot)$ be the associated 1-form

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{U}(V)(\cdot) = V(\operatorname{div}_{\bar{g}}h - d\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}}h) + (\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}}h)dV - h(\bar{\nabla}V, \cdot).$$

The following formula, which can be viewed as a weighted version of Proposition 4.1, was derived by Jang and the author in [17].

Proposition 5.1 ([17]). *Given two metrics \bar{g} and g , a function V , and a hypersurface $\Sigma \subset M$, let $\bar{\nu}, \nu$ denote the unit normal vectors to Σ pointing the same side in $(M, \bar{g}), (M, g)$, respectively. Then, for $|h|_{\bar{g}}$ small,*

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{U}(V)(\bar{\nu}) &= 2V(\bar{H} - H) - \operatorname{div}_{\bar{\gamma}}(VX) + (\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{\gamma}}h)dV(\bar{\nu}) - V\langle \bar{A}, h \rangle_{\bar{\gamma}} \\ &\quad + V[|\bar{A}|_{\bar{g}}O(|h|_{\bar{g}}^2) + O(|\bar{D}h|_{\bar{g}}|h|_{\bar{g}})]. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{H}, H, \bar{\gamma}, \bar{A}$ and X are defined as in Proposition 4.1.

Formula (5.5) suggests that when evaluating the total flux of $\mathbb{U}(V)(\cdot)$ across a hypersurface Σ with normal $\bar{\nu}$, one likes to simplify the term

$$(5.6) \quad \mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) := (\operatorname{tr}_{\bar{\gamma}}h)dV(\bar{\nu}) - V\langle \bar{A}, h \rangle_{\bar{\gamma}}.$$

In the asymptotically flat case, $V = 1$ and one can take Σ as hyperplanes which have $\bar{A} = 0$. In the asymptotically hyperbolic case, it is natural to first consider those $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ which are totally umbilic, i.e.

$$(5.7) \quad \bar{A} = \frac{1}{n-1}\bar{H}\bar{\gamma}.$$

Under this assumption,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) &= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \bar{\nu}} - \frac{\bar{H}}{n-1}V \right) \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{\gamma}}h \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\nu}} \ln V - \frac{\bar{H}}{n-1} \right) V \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{\gamma}}h, \quad \text{if } V \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Some basic choices of pairs (V, Σ) making $\mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) = 0$ can be described as follows. Consider the upper half space model of \mathbb{H}^n ,

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \mid y_1 > 0\}, \quad \bar{g} = \frac{1}{y_1^2}(dy_1^2 + \dots + dy_n^2).$$

One knows

$$y_1^{-1} = t - z_1 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{H}^n).$$

Take $V = y_1^{-1}$, and choose Σ to be

- (i) a horosphere given by $\Sigma = \{y_1 = c\}$ for some constant $c > 0$;
- (ii) a totally geodesic piece that is isometric to \mathbb{H}^{n-1} , say $\Sigma = \{y_\alpha = d\}$ for some constant d , where $\alpha \in \{2, \dots, n\}$.

It is easily seen $\mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) = 0$ on such an Σ .

Making use of these facts, Jang and the author derived the following in [17].




FIGURE 4. A y -coordinate rectangular prism in \mathbb{H}^n

Theorem 5.1 ([17]). *Let (M^n, g) be an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold. Consider a large coordinate rectangular prism*

$$P_L = \{e^{-L} \leq y_1 \leq e^L, |y_\alpha| \leq \sigma(L), \alpha = 2, \dots, n\} \subset \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Here $\sigma(L)$ is any function on (L_0, ∞) for some $L_0 > 0$ so that $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(L) = \infty$. Let $V = y_1^{-1}$. Then

- (a) *The mass components p_0 and p_1 satisfies*

$$p_0 - p_1 = 2 \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_L)} V(\bar{H} - H) d\sigma + \int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_L)} V \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) d\mu \right].$$

- (b) *If $\sigma(L)^{n-2-2q} = o(e^{(q-n+1)L})$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$, which holds automatically if $q \geq n-1$, then*

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{E}(\partial P_L)} V \left(\alpha - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) d\mu = 0,$$

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_L)} V(\bar{H} - H) d\sigma = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_L) \cap \{y_1 = e^{-L}\}} V(\bar{H} - H) d\sigma,$$

and as a result,

$$p_0 - p_1 = 2 \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}(\partial P_L) \cap \{y_1 = e^{-L}\}} V(\bar{H} - H) d\sigma.$$

Remark 5.1. For many examples of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds (M^n, g) , the metric fall-off rate q satisfies $q = n$. For instance, this is the case if (M, g) is AdS-Schwarzschild, or more generally if (M, g) is conformally compact (see [36]).

The content of Theorem 5.1 was contained in [17, Remark 3.2]. Though its part (a) could be thought as an analogue of Theorem 3.1 or 4.1, its part (b) shows that, in contrast to the asymptotically flat case (see Remark 4.2), the limit of the integral of the angle deficit along the edges of P_L , and the integral of the mean curvature difference on most part of the faces of P_L , do not contribute to $p_0 - p_1$, if $q \geq n - 1$. Exploring this fact, Jang and the author [17] derived an evaluation formula of $p_0 - p_1$ using horospheres $\{y_1 = e^{-L}\}$ alone.

It is worth of seeking other candidates Σ on which $\mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma)$ can be simplified with $V = y_1^{-1}$. In [8], Chai found that $\mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) = 0$ if Σ is any y -coordinate hyperplane. This can be seen as follows. Given an arbitrary

$$\Sigma^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{H}^n = \{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \mid y_1 > 0\},$$

if A_E, H_E denote its second fundamental form, mean curvature with respect to the background Euclidean metric dy^2 , standard conformal transformation formulae give

$$(5.9) \quad \bar{A} = V A_E + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \nu_E} \gamma_E,$$

where ν_E is the Euclidean unit normal and γ_E is the background metric on Σ . Clearly, (5.7) holds if and only if

$$(5.10) \quad A_E = \frac{H_E}{n-1} \gamma_E.$$

Under this assumption,

$$(5.11) \quad V^{-1} dV(\bar{\nu}) - \frac{\bar{H}}{n-1} = -\frac{H_E}{n-1} V^{-1}.$$

Therefore, (5.8) becomes

$$(5.12) \quad \mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } H_E = 0 \\ -\frac{H_E}{n-1} \text{tr}_{\bar{\gamma}} h, & \text{if } H_E \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Here H_E is necessarily a constant. Taking $H_E = 0$, one sees $\mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma) = 0$ along any coordinate hyperplanes Σ in $\{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)\}$. Thus, as pointed out by Chai in [8], an analogous statement to part (a) of Theorem 5.1 holds for an exhaustion sequence consisting of y -coordinate polyhedra.

Remark 5.2. One may also explore the case $H_E \neq 0$ in (5.12), which corresponds to considering domains bounded by coordinate spheres in the y -space. In this case, the presence of $\text{tr}_{\bar{\gamma}} h$ in $\mathbb{A}(V, \Sigma)$ suggests one could proceed in a way similar to that in Proposition A.2 of [17].

We thus have seen that, on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, there exists a connection, analogous to Theorem 3.1 or 4.1, between the mass quantity $p_0 - p_1$ and large Riemannian polyhedra. However, as shown by part (b) of Theorem 5.1, in many cases, the contribution of the angle deficit along the edges and the mean curvature difference on a portion of the faces is zero as the polyhedron approaches the infinity.




FIGURE 5. A y -coordinate prism in the Poincaré ball model

This phenomenon might be illustrated by considering the corresponding regions in the Poincaré ball model of (\mathbb{H}^n, \bar{g}) . If P_L from Theorem 5.1 is illustrated in the ball model, one sees that all the edges of P_L , and all the faces of P_L except that on the horosphere $\{y_1 = e^{-L}\}$, approach a single point at the infinity. A quantitative relation, between the geometric convergence of the boundary of such polyhedra to the infinity of (M, g) and the analytic vanishing property of the integrals in the limit, seems worth of further exploration.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Dr. Hyun Chul Jang for helpful discussions concerning asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, 1948. Intrinsic geometry of convex surfaces (Russian edition). OGIz, Moscow-Leningrad. (German edition). Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1955. (English edition). In: Kutateladze, S.S. (Ed.), A.D. Alexandrov Selected Works. Part II. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2006.
- [2] A. D. Aleksandrov, *A theorem on triangles in a metric space and some of its applications*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **38**, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **38**, Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, (1951), 5–23.
- [3] L. Andersson, M. Cai, and G. J. Galloway, *Rigidity and positivity of mass for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Annales Henri Poincaré, **9** (2008), no.1, 1–33.
- [4] R. Arnowitt; S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, *Coordinate invariance and energy expressions in general relativity*, Phys. Rev., **122** (1961), no. 3, 997–1006.
- [5] R. Bartnik, *The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), no. 5, 661–693.
- [6] H. Bray, D. Kazaras, M. Khuri and D. Stern, *Harmonic functions and the mass of 3-dimensional asymptotically flat Riemannian manifolds*, arXiv:1911.06754.
- [7] A. Carlotto and C. De Lellis, *Min-max embedded geodesic lines in asymptotically conical surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **112** (2019), no. 3, 411–445.

- [8] X. Chai, *Mass and polyhedra in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, arXiv:2102.10715.
- [9] P. Chruściel, *Lectures on energy in general relativity*, preprint. [manuscript available on <http://homepage.univie.ac.at/piotr.chrusciel/teaching/Energy/Energy.pdf>]
- [10] P. Chruściel, *Boundary conditions at spatial infinity from a Hamiltonian point of view*, Topological Properties and Global Structure of Space-Time, Plenum Press, New York, (1986), 49–59.
- [11] P. Chruściel, G. J. Galloway, L. Nguyen, and T.-T. Paetz, *On the mass aspect function and positive energy theorems for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Class. Quantum Grav., **35** (2018), no.11, 115015–115038.
- [12] P. Chruściel and E. Delay, *The hyperbolic positive energy theorem*, arXiv:1901.05263.
- [13] P. Chruściel and M. Herzlich, *The mass of asymptotically hyperbolic Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. Math., **212** (2003), no. 2, 231–264.
- [14] M. Gromov, *Dirac and Plateau billiards in domains with corners*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2014), no. 8, 1109–1156.
- [15] M. Gromov, *A dozen problems, questions and conjectures about positive scalar curvature*, Foundations of mathematics and physics one century after Hilbert, Springer, Cham, 2018, 135–158.
- [16] L.-H. Huang, H.-C. Jang, and D. Martin, *Mass rigidity for hyperbolic manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys., **376** (2020), no. 3, 2329–2349.
- [17] H. C. Jang and P. Miao, *Hyperbolic mass via horospheres*, arXiv:2102.01036.
- [18] C. Li, *A polyhedron comparison theorem for three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math. **219** (2020), 1–37.
- [19] C. Li, *The dihedral rigidity conjecture for n -prisms*, arXiv:1907.03855.
- [20] C. Li, *Dihedral rigidity of parabolic polyhedrons in hyperbolic spaces*, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications **16** (2020), 099, 8 pages.
- [21] J. Lohkamp, *Scalar curvature and hammocks*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), no. 3, 385–407.
- [22] J. Lohkamp, *Skin Structures on Minimal Hypersurfaces*, arXiv:1512.08249.
- [23] J. Lohkamp, *Hyperbolic Geometry and Potential Theory on Minimal Hypersurfaces*, arXiv:1512.08251.
- [24] J. Lohkamp, *Skin structures in scalar curvature geometry*, arXiv:1512.08252.
- [25] J. Lohkamp, *The Higher Dimensional Positive Mass Theorem II*, arXiv:1612.07505.
- [26] P. Miao, *Measuring mass via coordinate cubes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **379** (2020), 773–783.
- [27] P. Miao and A. Piubello, *Mass and Riemannian polyhedra*, arXiv:2101.02693.
- [28] B. Michel, *Geometric invariance of mass-like asymptotic invariants*, J. Math. Phys., **52** (2011), no. 5, 052504–052514 (English).
- [29] A. Sakovich, *The Jang equation and the positive mass theorem in the asymptotically hyperbolic setting*, arXiv:2003.07762.
- [30] R. Schoen, *Variational theory for the total scalar curvature functional for Riemannian metrics and related topics*, Topics in Calculus of Variations (Montecatini Terme, 1987), Lecture Notes in Math., **1365** (1989), 120–154, Springer, Berlin.
- [31] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Commun. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), no. 1, 45–76.
- [32] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II*, Commun. Math. Phys., **79** (1981) no. 2, 231–260.
- [33] R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau, *Positive scalar curvature and minimal hypersurfaces singularities*, 2017, arXiv:1704.05490.
- [34] D. Stern, *Scalar curvature and harmonic maps to \mathbb{S}^1* , to appear in J. Diff. Geom., arXiv:1908.09754.
- [35] V. A. Toponogov, 1959. *Riemann spaces with curvature bounded below*. Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk, (1959) 14 85 (1), 87–130 (in Russian).
- [36] X. Wang, *The mass of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Differential Geom., **57** (2001), no. 2, 273–299.

- [37] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), no. 3, 381–402.
- [38] X. Zhang, *A definition of total energy-momenta and the positive mass theorem on asymptotically hyperbolic 3 manifolds I*, Commun. Math. Phys., **249** (2004), no. 3, 529–548.

(Pengzi Miao) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI, CORAL GABLES, FL 33146, USA

Email address: pengzim@math.miami.edu