

HODGE SHEAVES UNDERLYING FLAT PROJECTIVE FAMILIES

SÁNDOR J KOVÁCS AND BEHROUZ TAJI

ABSTRACT. We show that, for any fixed weight, there is a natural system of Hodge sheaves, whose Higgs field has no poles, arising from a flat projective family of varieties parametrized by a regular complex base scheme, extending the analogous classical result for smooth projective families due to Griffiths. As an application, based on positivity of direct image sheaves, we establish a criterion for base spaces of rational Gorenstein families to be of general type. A key component of our arguments is centered around the construction of derived categorical objects generalizing relative logarithmic forms for smooth maps and their functorial properties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and main results	1
2. Preliminary definitions and notation	6
3. Relative Du Bois complexes of p -forms	8
4. Systems of Hodge sheaves and derived extensions	15
5. Positivity of direct image sheaves and the discrepancy of the family	19
6. Appendix: Summary of wedge products and filtration diagrams	22
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In a series of seminal works [Gri68a], [Gri68b], and [Gri70], Griffiths established that a degeneration of polarized Hodge structures (of fixed weight) in a smooth projective family $f : X \rightarrow B$ induces

- (i) a flat bundle (\mathcal{V}, ∇) on B , equipped with a
- (ii) system of Hodge bundles (\mathcal{E}, θ) , and a
- (iii) natural analytic data defined by a harmonic metric.

Following this discovery, these fundamental results were later successfully developed further in two major new directions. Through nonabelian Hodge theory, Simpson [Sim92] and Mochizuki [Moc06] established topological characterizations of (i), (ii), and (iii), regardless of a geometric origin (a smooth projective family). In a different direction by replacing (i) and the Hodge filtration by filtered holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules, Hodge modules were introduced

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D06, 14E05, 14E30, 14D07, 14F05.

Key words and phrases. Families of manifolds, flat projective families, variation of Hodge structures, Hodge sheaves, derived category of coherent sheaves, direct image sheaves, hyperresolutions.

Sándor Kovács was supported in part by NSF Grants DMS-1565352 and DMS-1951376.

by Saito [Sai90] as a generalization of variations of Hodge structures (VHS for short) for non-smooth families.

For smooth projective families we know that the direct summands of \mathcal{E} are represented by the cohomology of sheaves of relative Kähler forms; an algebro-geometric datum. From a geometric point of view the existence of (ii) for non-smooth families and one that is similarly of algebro-geometric origin is of special interest,¹ cf. 1.A.

Our first goal in this paper is to establish that in fact any flat family of projective varieties gives rise to systems of Hodge sheaves (with no poles), as soon as the base of the family is smooth. Moreover, we will see that, similar to the smooth case, they arise from cohomology of objects—in the derived category—that play the role of relative Kähler forms for non-smooth families, cf. Section 4.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a flat projective morphism of reduced complex schemes with connected fibers, where B is a smooth complex variety. Further let $w \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq w \leq \dim(X/B)$. Then, there exists a system of reflexive Hodge sheaves² $(\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^w \overline{\mathcal{E}}_i, \overline{\theta})$, $\overline{\theta} : \overline{\mathcal{E}}_i \rightarrow \Omega_B^1 \otimes \overline{\mathcal{E}}_{i+1}$, of weight w on B . If in addition X has only rational singularities and $w = \dim(X/B)$, then $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0 \simeq (f_*\omega_{X/B})^{**}$.*

Our next goal is to compare these Hodge sheaves to the logarithmic system $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ underlying the Deligne canonical extension [Del70, I.5.4] \mathcal{V}^0 of integral variation of Hodge structures of weight w for a smooth model.³ More precisely, given a suitable resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and the resulting family $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow B$, we show that there is a nonnegative integer $a_{\tilde{f}}$, that encodes how singular the family f is and measures the difference between $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and \mathcal{E}^0 , where \mathcal{E}^0 is the Deligne extension of the integral VHS associated to the smooth locus of \tilde{f} .

Theorem 1.2. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a good resolution with respect to f , and denote the resulting morphism by $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow B$. Then, there exists an integer*

$$(1.2.1) \quad 0 \leq a_{\tilde{f}} \leq \dim(X)$$

for which we have an inclusion of systems of equal weights

$$(1.2.2) \quad (\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta}) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}) \simeq_{\mathbb{C}^\infty} \mathcal{V}^{-a_{\tilde{f}}},$$

where $D_{\tilde{f}}$ denotes the divisorial part of the discriminant locus of \tilde{f} and the isomorphism is in the category of smooth bundles.

Here, a *good resolution with respect to f* means a desingularization π for which $\tilde{f}^* D_{\tilde{f}}$ has simple normal crossing (snc) support. For a more detailed and precise definition see Definition 2.3. In Theorem 1.2 and in the rest of this article $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}})$ denotes the naturally induced system of Hodge sheaves defined by

$$(\mathcal{E}^0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}), \theta^0 \otimes \text{id}).$$

¹See for example [Gri84, II, VII], [Ste75], [Ste76], and more recently [VZ03] and [Taj20].

²See Definition 4.3 and the subsequent paragraph for the definition.

³Here we are following the standard parabolic notation for extensions of \mathcal{V} . That is, for a tuple $\beta = (\beta_i)_i$ of real numbers β_i , $j : B \setminus D_{\tilde{f}} \rightarrow B$ is the inclusion map and $D_{\tilde{f}} = \sum D_{\tilde{f}}^i$ is the discriminant locus of \tilde{f} (which is assumed to be normal crossing) is defined as follows. The sequence of holomorphic bundles \mathcal{V}^β is the decreasing filtration of $j_*\mathcal{V}$, defined by the lattice with respect to which $\text{res}(\nabla)|_{D_{\tilde{f}}^i}$ has eigenvalues in $[\beta_i, \beta_{i+1})$. Throughout this paper $[\beta_i, \beta_{i+1})$ is fixed to be equal for all i .

The integer $a_{\tilde{f}}$ will be called the *discrepancy of f with respect to $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$* . Note that $a_{\tilde{f}}$ can be interpreted as a measure of degeneration in the family; the smaller this integer, the milder the singularity of the degeneration. In particular when f is smooth, we have $a_{\tilde{f}} = 0$.

1.A. Singular families of varieties with base schemes of general type. Viehweg conjectured that for a projective morphism $f : X \rightarrow B$ of smooth projective varieties X and B with connected fibers and D denoting the (divisorial part of) the discriminant locus of f , if f has maximal variation, and its smooth fibers are canonically polarized, then (B, D) is of log general type, i.e., $\omega_B(D)$ is big.

This conjecture generated considerable interest and for several years. It was finally resolved, and in fact generalized, through the culmination of work of several authors including [Kov96], [Kov00], [OV01], [VZ01], [Kov02], [VZ02], [Kov03], [KK08a],[KK08b], [KK10a], [Pat12], [PS17], [Taj18] and [CP19].

In higher dimensions, the minimal model program taught us that when positivity of canonical sheaves are involved, it is desirable to try to extend results to mildly singular cases. So, it is natural to ask whether Viehweg's conjecture extends to families of minimal models. The simple answer is that the desired positivity fails already, if one allows Gorenstein terminal singularities, arguably the mildest possible. In particular, the conjecture fails for Lefschetz pencils, cf. 1.C.1.

This could be interpreted as a sign that there is no reasonable generalization of Viehweg's conjecture to singular families. However, here we offer a potential way to remedy the situation. Before we state that generalization, first recall that the initial step in the proof of essentially any result connected to Viehweg's conjecture has been a related result (which itself was a culmination of work of Fujita, Kawamata, Kollár, and Viehweg), which states that if a family of varieties of general type has maximal variation, then the line bundle $\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$ is big, i.e., has maximal Kodaira dimension. Reformulating Viehweg's conjecture in terms of the bigness of this line bundle has the advantage that it allows one to remove the condition that the fibers would be canonically polarized or even of general type. So, by including this initial step of the proof in the conjecture itself one may rephrase Viehweg's conjecture in terms of $\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$ being big, instead of requiring maximal variation and that the fibers be of general type. This formulation allows one to quantify (to some extent) the starting assumption for singular families and ask that not only $\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$ be big, but that it should be big compared to something else.

As an application of [Theorem 1.2](#), we show that for Gorenstein families it is possible to obtain a result similar to Viehweg's conjecture along the lines outlined above. This requires that we take into account how singular the family is. More precisely, we show that if $\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$ is positive *enough* to balance the *discrepancy* of the family (discussed above), then the base of the family is indeed necessarily of (log) general type.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X and B be projective varieties and $f : X \rightarrow B$ a flat family of geometrically integral varieties with only Gorenstein Du Bois singularities, such that B is smooth and the generic fiber of f has rational singularities. Further let $D, D' \subset B$ be effective divisors such that $D + D' = D_{\tilde{f}}$ and let $r := \text{rank}(f_*\omega_{X/B})$. If $(\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)(-(mr_m n) \cdot D)$ is big, then (B, D') is of log-general type.*

Remark 1.4. This is indeed a generalization of Viehweg's conjecture: If f is of maximal variation and the smooth fibers are canonically polarized, then $\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$ is big. Therefore,

the assumption is satisfied with $D = 0$ and hence $(B, D_{\tilde{f}})$ is of log-general type, as predicted by Viehweg's conjecture.

Notice further, that in [Theorem 1.3](#) there is no assumption on the Kodaira dimension of the fibers, so in some sense this is much more general than Viehweg's original conjecture.

On the other end of the spectrum, [Theorem 1.3](#) implies that for every flat rational Gorenstein family we have the following implication:

$$\kappa((\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)(-mr_m \dim X \cdot D_{\tilde{f}})) = \dim(B) \implies \kappa(B) = \dim B.$$

Finally note, that if $f : X \rightarrow B$ is a KSB-stable family, with X Gorenstein and B smooth, such that the general fiber of f has rational singularities, then f satisfies the assumption on the singularities in [Theorem 1.3](#) by [\[KK10b\]](#) and hence [Theorem 1.3](#) applies to such families.

1.B. Hyperfiltered logarithmic forms in the derived category. Inspired by the works of Katz-Oda [\[KO68\]](#) our construction of $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ in [Theorem 1.1](#) fundamentally depends on the existence of a filtration, or more precisely the *Koszul filtration*, that is naturally available for Kähler forms of smooth families. In the absence of such objects with analogous properties for singular families, we pass on to the derived category $D^b(X)$, where an appropriate hyperfiltration \mathbb{F} (in the derived sense, see [Definition 2.2](#)) was constructed in [\[Kov05\]](#) and applied to the complex of Deligne-Du Bois forms, which are objects in the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves of X . These objects have similar cohomological properties to the sheaves Ω_X^p in the smooth case (see [Definition 3.1](#)). For more details regarding the complexes of Deligne-Du Bois forms see [Section 3](#), [\[DB81\]](#), [\[GNPP88\]](#), [\[Kov97, 3.1\]](#), [\[KS11, §4\]](#), and [\[PS08, 7.3.1\]](#).

For smooth projective families, through the Hodge-to-de Rham spectral sequence degeneration, one uses holomorphicity and transversality properties of ∇ to extract an underlying system of Hodge bundles. When f is singular, in the absence of such tools, including a filtered relative de Rham complex satisfying good degeneration properties, analogous results cannot be similarly established by the same methods.

To circumvent this difficulty we construct the *complex of logarithmic Du Bois p -forms* $\underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \in \text{Ob } D^b(X)$, which can be endowed with the structure of a Koszul-type hyperfiltration \mathbb{F}_f using the construction in [\[Kov05\]](#). Moreover, we show that for a morphism of snc pairs $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ (see [Definition 2.1](#)) $(\underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta), \mathbb{F}_f^\bullet)$ is filtered quasi-isomorphic to $(\Omega_X^p(\log \Delta), F_K^\bullet)$, where F_K^\bullet is the usual Koszul filtration. See [Theorem 3.2](#) for details.

In [Section 3](#), we show that this hyperfiltration is functorial, and using this functoriality we establish a natural filtered pullback map from $\underline{\Omega}_X^p$ to $\underline{\Omega}_{\tilde{X}}^p(\log \Delta)$, twisted with a well-understood line bundle that encodes the singularity of the family f in terms of $a_{\tilde{f}}$ (the *discrepancy* of f with respect to $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$). On the other hand, $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ is determined by $(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p(\log \Delta), F_K^\bullet)$ by [\[Ste75\]](#) and [\[KO68\]](#). Now, the fact that, for each $0 \leq p \leq \dim X/B$, the two filtered objects $\underline{\Omega}_X^p$ and $\underline{\Omega}_{\tilde{X}}^p(\log \Delta)$ are functorially related then leads to the formation of $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ compatible with $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ (in the sense of [\(1.2.2\)](#)), endowing the former with the structure of a system of Hodge sheaves.

1.C. Singularities of Higgs fields underlying VHSs of geometric origin. The Gauss-Manin connection ∇ arising from a smooth projective family extends to \mathcal{V}^0 with only logarithmic poles due to its integrability, as shown by Manin [\[Man65\]](#) and Deligne [\[Del70, I.5.4\]](#). However, in general such flat connections do not have trivial local monodromy at infinity and thus their singularities are often not removable. On the other hand, for a polarized

VHS over a punctured polydisk with unipotent monodromy, the Hodge filtration extends to a holomorphic filtration of \mathcal{V}^0 by Schmid's Nilpotent Orbit Theorem [Sch73] and the results of Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid [CKS86]. It follows that the poles of $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$, as a Higgs bundle, are at worst logarithmic. In fact, at least over a smooth quasi-projective variety, and for a suitable choice of extension, the same is true for all tame harmonic bundles [Moc07, 22.1]. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 we can show that there is always an extension of the Higgs bundle (\mathcal{E}, θ) underlying (\mathcal{V}, ∇) with zero residues⁴. In other words, θ has removable singularities.

Theorem 1.5. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, further assume that X is smooth. Let D_f denote the divisorial part of the discriminant locus of f and assume that D_f and f^*D_f have simple normal crossing support. Then, for any fixed weight, the system $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ in Theorem 1.1 is an extension of the Hodge bundle of the same weight underlying the VHS of the smooth locus of f , that is $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})|_{B \setminus D_f} \cong (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$.*

In the context of Theorem 1.5, we call $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ a *derived extension*. We note that the inclusion $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})(-a_{\overline{f}} \cdot D_f) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ guarantees that there is always a subextension of $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ with vanishing residues.

Theorem 1.5 can be interpreted as providing an analytic criterion for detecting when a VHS is not of geometric origin (and similarly for a complex VHS in the sense of [Sim90, p. 868]).

Corollary 1.6. *Let B be a smooth complex variety, $D \subseteq B$ a simple normal crossing divisor, and $(\mathcal{V}, \nabla, \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i, \theta)$ an abstract real VHS on $B \setminus D$. If the given VHS is of geometric origin, then the singularity of θ is removable, i.e., there exists a reflexive Hodge sheaf (\mathcal{E}', θ') on B , with $\theta' : \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \Omega_B^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}'$, such that $(\mathcal{E}', \theta')|_{B \setminus D} \cong (\mathcal{E}, \theta)$.*

1.C.1. *Order of poles for Lefschetz pencils.* We emphasize that Deligne extensions have logarithmic poles even in the case of very mild degenerations such as Lefschetz pencils of non-hyperelliptic curves (a particular instance of a stable family of curves). To see this, one may use the following observation. Note that $f_*\omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the first graded piece of the Hodge sheaves underlying the Deligne extension $(\mathcal{E}^0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_1^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2^0, \theta^0)$ of $\mathcal{R}^1 f_*\mathbb{C}_X$, where f° denotes the smooth locus of f . By the weak positivity of $f_*\omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1}$ (see for example [Vie95]) we know that every rank-one direct summand \mathcal{L}_j in the splitting $f_*\omega_{X/\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_j$ is nef. Over the smooth locus of f , the Higgs field θ^0 is locally equal to the derivative of the period map, so by the local Torelli theorem $\theta^0 \neq 0$. Therefore, we have $\theta^0(\mathcal{L}_j) \neq 0$, for some j . Now if θ^0 had no poles, by applying θ^0 to \mathcal{L}_j and using the weak negativity⁵ of the kernel of θ^0 , cf. [Gri84], [Sim90] or [Zuo00], we would get an induced injection

$$\mathcal{L}_j \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2^0.$$

It follows, again from the weak negativity of \mathcal{E}_2^0 , that after taking determinants there is an injection

$$\mathcal{L}_j^t \otimes (\det \mathcal{E}_2^0)^{-1} \longrightarrow (\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1)^{\otimes t},$$

where $t = \text{rank}(\mathcal{E}_2^0)$ and $(\det \mathcal{E}_2^0)^{-1}$ is nef. But this is absurd, showing that indeed θ^0 must have poles.

⁴In some sense this gives an optimal algebro-geometric realization of the fact that θ is nilpotent.

⁵A weakly negative sheaf is one whose dual is weakly positive (see [Vie95, 2.3]).

1.D. Acknowledgments. The second named author owes a special debt of gratitude to Gábor Székelyhidi for his support and encouragements. We are grateful to Erwan Rousseau for his help during our joint visit to CIRM. We would also like to thank Geordie Williamson for helpful comments and suggestions.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

2.A. Families of pairs. The study of *pairs* or *log varieties* have led to many advances in birational geometry and moduli theory. For the questions investigated here a simple version of pairs will suffice, namely we will restrict to the case when the boundary divisor is reduced.

Definition 2.1. A *reduced pair* (X, Δ) consists of a normal scheme X and an effective reduced divisor $\Delta \subset X$. An *snc pair* is a reduced pair (X, Δ) such that X is smooth and Δ is an snc divisor. A *morphism of (reduced) pairs* $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow B$ of normal schemes such that $\text{supp } \Delta \supseteq f^{-1}(\text{supp } D)$. Assuming that D is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, we will use the notation $f^{-1}D := (f^*D)_{\text{red}}$ to denote the *reduced preimage* of D . Using this notation the above criterion can be replaced by $\Delta \geq f^{-1}D$. A *morphism of snc pairs* is a morphism of reduced pairs $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ such that both (X, Δ) and (B, D) are snc pairs. We call such a morphism an *snc morphism* (of pairs), if the restriction $f|_{X \setminus \Delta} : X \setminus \Delta \rightarrow B \setminus D$ is smooth. The composition of two (snc) morphisms of pairs is also a (snc) morphism of pairs.

Further note that the term “morphism of pairs” does not have a standard usage and it may be used to refer to a somewhat different situation by other authors. We added the extra word “reduced” to remind the reader of this potential difference. We are still not claiming that this definition is standard. We believe that an established standard usage of this phrase does not exist at this time.

Definition 2.2. Let $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ be an snc morphism. Then there exists a short exact sequence of locally free sheaves,

$$0 \longrightarrow f^*\Omega_D^1(\log D) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/B}^1(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For each $0 \leq q \leq \dim(X/B)$ this induces a descending filtration, called the *Koszul filtration* and denoted by $F_K^\bullet \Omega_X^q(\log \Delta)$ such that the associated graded quotients of the filtration satisfy that

$$(2.2.1) \quad \text{Gr}_{F_K}^j \Omega_X^q(\log \Delta) := F_K^j \Omega_X^q(\log \Delta) / F_K^{j+1} \Omega_X^q(\log \Delta) \simeq f^* \Omega_B^j(\log B) \otimes \Omega_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta).$$

The reader is referred to [GNPP88] for the definition of simplicial and cubic schemes. In this paper a *hyperresolution* will mean a cubic scheme, all of whose entries are smooth schemes of finite type over \mathbb{C} .

Definition 2.3. Let (X, Δ) be a reduced pair. A *good resolution* (or *log resolution*) of (X, Δ) , is a proper birational morphism of pairs $g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ such that X is quasi-projective, the exceptional set $E := \text{Ex}(g)$ of g is a divisor, $\Gamma = g_*^{-1}\Delta + E$ and (Y, Γ) is an snc pair.

Let (X, Δ) be a reduced pair and $f : X \rightarrow B$ a morphism. A *good resolution* of (X, Δ) with respect to f is a good resolution $g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ such that in addition to the above, $\Gamma + g_*^{-1}D$ is also an snc divisor where D is the divisorial part of the discriminant locus of $f \circ g$. This can be constructed the following way: let $g_0 : (Y_0, \Gamma_0) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a (good) resolution of (X, Δ) and let $D_0 \subseteq B$ denote the divisorial part of the discriminant locus

of $f_0 := f \circ g_0$, i.e., the smallest effective reduced divisor $D_0 \subseteq B$ such that $g_0|_{Y_0 \setminus f_0^{-1}D_0} : Y_0 \setminus f_0^{-1}D_0 \rightarrow B \setminus D_0$ is smooth in codimension 1. Now let $g_1 : (Y, \Gamma + g_1^{-1}f_0^{-1}D_0) \rightarrow (Y_0, \Gamma_0 + f_0^{-1}D_0)$ be a good resolution such that g_1 is an isomorphism over $Y_0 \setminus f_0^{-1}D_0$ and let $g = g_0 \circ g_1 : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$.

Note that if $\Delta = \emptyset$, then we will often drop Γ from the notation and just say that $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is a *good resolution (with respect to f)*.

A *good hyperresolution* of (X, Δ) , denoted by $\varepsilon_\bullet : (X_\bullet, \Delta_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ consists of a hyperresolution $\varepsilon_\bullet : X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ such that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\dim X_i \leq \dim X - i$ and for $\Delta_\bullet := X_\bullet \setminus (X_\bullet \times_X (X \setminus \Delta))$, either Δ_i is an snc divisor on X_i , or $\Delta_i = X_i$.

2.B. Hyperfiltrations and spectral sequences. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be abelian categories and $D(\mathcal{A})$ and $D(\mathcal{B})$ their derived categories respectively. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a left exact additive functor and assume that $\mathcal{R}\Phi : D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{B})$, the right derived functor of Φ exists.

Definition 2.4. [Kov05, 1.2.1] Let $K \in \text{Ob}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))$ be a bounded complex. A *bounded hyperfiltration* $\mathbb{F}^\bullet K$ of K consists of a set of objects $\mathbb{F}^j K \in \text{Ob}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))$ for $j = l, \dots, k+1$, for some $l, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and morphisms

$$\varphi_j \in \text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(\mathbb{F}^{j+1}K, \mathbb{F}^jK) \quad \text{for } j = l, \dots, k,$$

where $\mathbb{F}^l K \simeq K$ and $\mathbb{F}^{k+1}K \simeq 0$. $\mathbb{F}^j K$ will be denoted by \mathbb{F}^j when no confusion is likely. For convenience let $\mathbb{F}^i K = K$ for $i < l$ and $\mathbb{F}^i K = 0$ for $i > k$. The *p-th associated graded complex* of a hyperfiltration $\mathbb{F}^\bullet K$ is

$$\mathbb{G}r_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet K}^p := M(\varphi_p),$$

the mapping cone of the morphism φ_p .

Let $\mathbb{F}^\bullet A$ be a hyperfiltration of the object A and $\Xi : D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{B})$ a functor. Then, there is a natural hyperfiltration of $\Xi(A)$ given by

$$\mathbb{F}^j(\Xi(A)) := \Xi(\mathbb{F}^j A)$$

for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will always consider the object $\Xi(A)$ with this natural hyperfiltration, unless otherwise specified.

Next let $\mathbb{F}^\bullet A$ and $\mathbb{F}^\bullet B$ be hyperfiltrations of the objects A and B of $D(\mathcal{A})$ respectively. Then, a (*hyper*)*filtered morphism* between A and B is a collection of compatible morphisms $\mathbb{F}^j \alpha : \mathbb{F}^j A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^j B$, i.e. for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}^{j+1}A & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}^{j+1}\alpha} & \mathbb{F}^{j+1}B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{F}^j A & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}^j \alpha} & \mathbb{F}^j B \end{array}$$

are commutative in $D(\mathcal{A})$. Notice that in this case these morphisms induce a morphism $\alpha^j : \mathbb{G}r_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet A}^j \rightarrow \mathbb{G}r_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet B}^j$, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A filtered morphism $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ is a *filtered quasi-isomorphism* if the induced morphism $\alpha^j : \mathbb{G}r_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet A}^j \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{G}r_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet B}^j$ is an isomorphism for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see, and left to the reader, that a filtered quasi-isomorphism (of bounded complexes) is necessarily a quasi-isomorphism.

Example 2.5. Let $A \in C(\mathcal{A})$ be a complex of objects of the abelian category \mathcal{A} and let $A =: F^0 \supseteq F^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F^r = 0$ be a filtration of A . Considering the induced morphisms $F^{j+1} \rightarrow F^j$ in $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ defines a hyperfiltration of the object A .

3. RELATIVE DU BOIS COMPLEXES OF p -FORMS

Our aim in this section is to construct, for all flat morphisms to regular base schemes, an analogue of relative logarithmic p -forms for morphisms of snc pairs. To do so, following the construction in [Kov05] (reviewed in Section 6), we will work in the derived category $D^b(X)$. We use the notation $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D)$ to denote this object for a morphism of pairs $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$. The “ D ” is included in the notation to emphasize the fact that the construction depends on D as well.

With hyperfiltrations playing a role here, similar to that of filtrations in an abelian category, our first goal is to use these objects to construct a functorial filtration of $\underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta)$ (Theorem 3.2). Our next goal is to establish a connection between $\underline{\Omega}_X^p$ and $\underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta)$, as hyperfiltered objects (Theorem 3.7). This is where the notion of discrepancy (as was mentioned in the introduction) naturally appears. Our final goal in this section is to extend these relations to distinguished triangles arising from such hyperfiltrations (Corollary 3.11). The latter is of particular interest in the context of VHSs, as we will see in Section 4.

We will use the terminology, notation and conventions developed in Section 2.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, Δ) be a reduced pair (Definition 2.1) and $\varepsilon_\bullet : (X_\bullet, \Delta_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ a good hyperresolution (Definition 2.3). The *logarithmic Deligne-Du Bois complex* (or *logarithmic DB complex* for short) of (X, Δ) is defined as $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\log \Delta) := \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_\bullet)_* \Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet(\log \Delta_\bullet)$. This is an object in the bounded filtered derived category of coherent sheaves on X , and the corresponding filtration (induced by the filtration bête on each component of X_\bullet) is denoted by $F_{DB}^\bullet := F_{DB}^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\log \Delta)$. The associated graded objects of this filtration give rise to the *complexes of logarithmic DB p -forms*: $\underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) := \left(\text{Gr}_{F_{DB}^\bullet}^p \underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\log \Delta) \right)[p]$. The reader is referred to [GNPP88, IV.2.1] for details on this definition and basic properties of these complexes.

Next we construct relative versions of these complexes.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ be a morphism of pairs and assume that (B, D) is an snc pair. Let $n = \dim X$ and $d = \dim B$. Then, for each $0 \leq p, q \leq \dim(X/B) = n - d$, there are objects $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \in D^b(X)$ and a hyperfiltration $\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta)$ satisfying the following properties.*

(i) $\mathbb{F}_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) = \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta)$, and $\mathbb{F}_f^{d+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) = 0$.

(ii) Let $\phi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\Delta}) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a log resolution. Then

$$\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{n-d}(\log \Delta/D) \simeq \mathcal{R}\phi_* \omega_{\tilde{X}/B}(\tilde{\Delta} - (f\phi)^* D).$$

(iii) For each $0 \leq j \leq d$,

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) := \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \simeq f^* \Omega_B^j(\log D) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D).$$

(iv) The hyperfiltration $\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta)$ is functorial in the following sense. Let $g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a morphism of pairs such that $\dim Y = \dim X = n$. Then, for each $0 \leq q \leq n - d$, there exists a natural filtered morphism in $D^b(X)$

$$\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \mathbb{F}_g^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_Y^q(\log \Gamma).$$

(v) The formation of $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D)$ is functorial in the following sense. Let $g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a morphism of pairs such that $\dim Y = \dim X = n$. Then, for each $0 \leq p \leq$

$n - d$, there exists a natural morphism in $D^b(X)$,

$$\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \underline{\Omega}_{Y/B}^p(\log \Gamma/D).$$

(vi) If $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ is an snc morphism, then there is a natural filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \xrightarrow{\simeq} F_K^\bullet \Omega_X^p(\log \Delta),$$

where F_K^\bullet is the Koszul filtration (Definition 2.2).

Remark 3.3. When Δ and D are empty, we will suppress the “log” term from the notation. In particular, we will use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\Omega}_X^p &:= \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \emptyset) \\ \mathbb{F}_{X/B}^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^p &:= \mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \emptyset), \text{ and} \\ \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p &:= \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \emptyset/\emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.4. We refer to the object $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \in D^b(X)$ in Theorem 3.2 as the *complex of relative logarithmic DB p -forms*.

Notation 3.5. To avoid cumbersome notation, as in Theorem 3.2(iii), we will use $\mathbb{G}r_f^p$ to denote $\mathbb{G}r_{\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet}^p$, where \mathbb{F}_f^\bullet is the hyperfiltration $\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}^q(\log \Delta)$ in Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. First, assume in addition that (X, Δ) is also an snc pair. Let $\Phi_X := f^* \Omega_B^1(\log D)$, $\Psi_X := \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta)$, and $\theta_X : \Phi_X \rightarrow \Psi_X$ be the natural morphism induced by f . In this case the relevant filtration is already known to exist, so, using the notation of Section 6 (cf. [Kov05]), set $\mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^q(\log \Delta/D) := \mathbb{F}^\bullet \wedge^p \Psi_X$ and define $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) := \underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p$, where $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p$ is the object constructed in Theorem 6.9 (cf. [Kov05, 2.7]). The statements (i) and (iii) follow from Theorem 6.9 and (iv) follows from [Kov05, 4.1]. For (ii), first observe that both sides are independent of the choice of ϕ . This follows from [DB81, 6.3] for the left hand side and from [Kov11, 2.10] (cf. [Kol13, 10.34]) for the right hand side. In particular, in the snc case we may use $\phi = \text{id}$, and in that case (ii) follows from Definition 6.8.

Next, let (X, Δ) be arbitrary and let $\varepsilon_\bullet : (X_\bullet, \Delta_\bullet) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a *good hyperresolution* (see Definition 2.3). The logarithmic Deligne-Du Bois complex of (X, Δ) is defined as $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet(\log \Delta) := \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_\bullet)_* \Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet(\log \Delta_\bullet)$, see [GNPP88, IV.2.1] for details. Using this representative we define the desired filtration as follows: For each $0 \leq p, q \leq \dim(X/B) = n - d$, and $0 \leq j \leq \dim B$, let

$$(3.5.1) \quad \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) := \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_\bullet)_* \mathbb{F}^j \Omega_{X_\bullet}^q(\log \Delta_\bullet),$$

and

$$(3.5.2) \quad \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta) := \mathcal{R}(\varepsilon_\bullet)_* \underline{\Omega}_{X_\bullet/B}^p(\log \Delta_\bullet).$$

Using these definitions, (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) follow from the snc case above: (i) follows directly, (ii) follows from the snc case, the definition of a good hyperresolution, Definition 2.3, and (3.5.2). Item (iv) follows by the functoriality of the snc case. For (iii), further note that as (B, D) is an snc pair, $f^* \Omega_B^{q-j}(\log D)$ is locally free, so one can use the projection formula.

Statement (v) follows by a descending induction on p . The induction can be started by (i) and the inductive step follows from (iii) and (iv). Indeed, choose a good hyperresolution

$\mu_\bullet : (Y_\bullet, \Gamma_\bullet) \rightarrow (Y, \Gamma)$, which is compatible with the chosen good hyperresolution of (X, Δ) , i.e., there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y_\bullet, \Gamma_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{g_\bullet} & (X_\bullet, \Delta_\bullet) \\ \mu_\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_\bullet \\ (Y, \Gamma) & \xrightarrow{g} & (X, \Delta). \end{array}$$

Then, the following diagram is commutative by (iv):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{F}_f^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}r_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \xrightarrow{+1} & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathcal{R}g_* \mathbb{F}_{fg}^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_Y^p(\log \Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}g_* \mathbb{F}_{fg}^j \underline{\Omega}_Y^p(\log \Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}g_* \mathbb{G}r_{fg}^j \underline{\Omega}_Y^p(\log \Gamma) & \xrightarrow{+1} & , \end{array}$$

and hence induces a compatible natural morphism $\mathbb{G}r_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \mathbb{G}r_{fg}^j \underline{\Omega}_Y^p(\log \Gamma)$. The already proven (iii) implies that $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \simeq \mathbb{G}r_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta)$ and $\underline{\Omega}_{Y/B}^p(\log \Gamma/D) \simeq \mathbb{G}r_{fg}^0 \underline{\Omega}_Y^p(\log \Gamma)$. This finishes the proof of (v). Finally, (vi) follows from the construction of $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p$ carried out in this case (cf. Section 6, especially Definition 6.8, and [Kov05, §2]). The main point is that the cokernel of the morphism $f^* \Omega_B^1(\log D) \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log \Delta)$ is locally free and hence its exterior powers satisfy the required properties, cf. Proposition 6.10. In fact, the construction outlined in Section 6 was modeled after this case. \square

Next we will compare these objects obtained with respect to different bases replacing (B, D) . We will be using the standard $\omega_{B/B'} := \omega_B \otimes \tau^* \omega_{B'}^{-1}$ notation.

Theorem 3.6. *Using the notation from Theorem 3.2, in addition let $\tau : (B, D) \rightarrow (B', D')$ be another morphism of pairs, such that (B', D') is also an snc pair and τ is a dominant generically finite morphism. Let $f' = \tau \circ f$ and $\Gamma := D - \tau^{-1} D'$. Then for each $0 \leq p, q \leq \dim(X/B) = n - d$, and $0 \leq j \leq d = \dim B = \dim B'$,*

(i) *there exists a natural morphism*

$$\mu_p : \underline{\Omega}_{X/B'}^p(\log \Delta/D') \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \otimes \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-d-p+1)}.$$

(ii) *there exists a natural morphism*

$$\nu_{j,q} : \mathbb{F}_{f'}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-d-q+j)}, \text{ and}$$

(iii) *the natural morphisms in (i) and (ii) are compatible in the following sense. For each q and j there exists a commutative diagram of distinguished triangles,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F}_{f'}^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D') \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \downarrow \nu_{j+1,q} & & \downarrow \nu_{j,q} \otimes \varsigma & & \otimes f^* \Omega_{B'}^j(\log D') \downarrow \mu_{q-j} \otimes \wedge^j \varrho \\ \mathbb{F}_f^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & & & & \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} \otimes f^* \Omega_B^j(\log D) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{j,q} = \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{n-d-q+j+1}$ and

$$\varsigma = \varrho \otimes \text{id}_{(\det \Phi'_X)^{-1}} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma).$$

(iv) there exists a natural filtered morphism

$$\nu_q : \mathbb{F}_{f'}^* \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_f^* \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-q)}.$$

Proof. We will use the notation of Section 6 with the additional definition introduced in the proof of Theorem 3.2. In particular, $\Phi_X := f^* \Omega_B^1(\log D)$ and we will also use $\Phi'_X := (f')^* \Omega_{B'}^1(\log D')$.

Observe that in the construction of filtration diagrams in Section 6 if one has a morphism $\varrho : \Phi'_X \rightarrow \Phi_X$, then it induces a natural map between the resulting filtration diagrams (the maps go from the ones associated to Φ'_X to those associated to Φ_X induced by the morphisms $\wedge^r \varrho : \wedge^r \Phi'_X \rightarrow \wedge^r \Phi_X$ for various r). In particular, for the objects \mathfrak{F}_i^p defined in 6.6 one obtains a natural morphism

$$(3.6.1) \quad \mu_p^\circ : \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-p}^{n-d-p}(\Phi'_X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-p}^{n-d-p}(\Phi_X),$$

for each $0 \leq p \leq n-d = \dim(X/B)$.

Using the notation $\theta'_X := \theta_X \circ \varrho$, the definition

$$(3.6.2) \quad \Omega_{\theta'_X}^p := \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-p}^{n-d-p}(\Phi_X) \otimes (\det \Phi_X)^{-(n-d-p+1)},$$

$$(3.6.3) \quad \Omega_{\theta'_X}^p := \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-p}^{n-d-p}(\Phi'_X) \otimes (\det \Phi'_X)^{-(n-d-p+1)},$$

(cf. Definition 6.8), and the fact that in this case we have

$$\det \Phi_X \simeq f^* \Omega_B^d(\log D) \quad \text{and} \quad \det \Phi'_X \simeq (f')^* \Omega_{B'}^d(\log D')$$

we find that there exist natural morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p &:= \mu_p^\circ \otimes \text{id}_{\left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{-(n-d-p+1)}} : \underline{\Omega}_{X/B'}^p(\log \Delta/D') \simeq \underline{\Omega}_{\theta'_X}^p \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\theta'_X}^p \otimes \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-d-p+1)} \simeq \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \otimes \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-d-p+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i). The same argument, used for

$$\nu_{j,q}^\circ : \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-q}(\Phi'_X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-q}(\Phi_X)$$

instead of the morphism in (3.6.1) and using the definition

$$(3.6.4) \quad \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) := \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-q}(\Phi_X) \otimes (\det \Phi_X)^{-(n-d-q+j)},$$

(cf. Definition 6.8), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{j,q} &= \nu_{j,q}^\circ \otimes \text{id}_{\left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{-(n-d-q+j)}} : \mathbb{F}_{f'}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-d-q+j)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (ii). In fact, following this argument one is led to consider distinguished triangles of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j+1}^{n-q}(\Phi'_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-q}(\Phi'_X) \otimes \det \Phi'_X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-d-q+j}(\Phi'_X) \otimes \wedge^j \Phi'_X \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \downarrow \nu_{j+1,q}^\circ & & \downarrow \nu_{j,q}^\circ \otimes \det \varrho & & \downarrow \mu_{q-j}^\circ \otimes \wedge^j \varrho \\ \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j+1}^{n-q}(\Phi_X) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-q}(\Phi_X) \otimes \det \Phi_X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_{n-d-q+j}^{n-d-q+j}(\Phi_X) \otimes \wedge^j \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are induced by the morphism $\varrho : \Phi'_X \rightarrow \Phi_X$ as indicated. Tensoring this diagram with $(\det \Phi'_X)^{-(n-d-q+j+1)}$ gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F}_{f'}^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{f'}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D') \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & & & & \otimes f^* \Omega_{B'}^j(\log D') \\ \downarrow \nu_{j+1,q} & & \downarrow \nu_{j,q} \otimes \varsigma & & \downarrow \mu_{q-j} \otimes \wedge^j \varrho \\ \mathbb{F}_f^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & & & & \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} \otimes f^* \Omega_B^j(\log D) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{j,q} = \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{n-d-q+j+1}$ and $\varsigma = \varrho \otimes \text{id}_{(\det \Phi'_X)^{-1}} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma)$. This proves (iii).

Finally, in order to prove (iv), first recall that $\mathbb{F}_{f'}^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) = 0$ and $\mathbb{F}_{f'}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) = 0$ by Theorem 3.2(i), so we may assume that $j < \dim B = d$. With that restriction, consider the morphism

$$(3.6.5) \quad \varsigma^{d-j-1} : \mathcal{L}_{j,q} = \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-d-q+j+1)} \rightarrow \left(f^* \Omega_{B/B'}^d(\log \Gamma) \right)^{(n-q)} =: \mathcal{L}_q.$$

This morphism allows us to add one more row to the above commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{F}_{f'}^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{f'}^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D') \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & & & & \otimes f^* \Omega_{B'}^j(\log D') \\ \downarrow \nu_{j+1,q} & & \downarrow \nu_{j,q} \otimes \varsigma & & \downarrow \mu_{q-j} \otimes \wedge^j \varrho \\ \mathbb{F}_f^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & & & & \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j,q} \otimes f^* \Omega_B^j(\log D) \\ \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \varsigma^{d-j-1} & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \varsigma^{d-j-1} & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \varsigma^{d-j-1} \\ \mathbb{F}_f^{j+1} \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_q & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^j \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_q & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{q-j}(\log \Delta/D) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ & & & & \otimes \mathcal{L}_q \otimes f^* \Omega_B^j(\log D) \end{array}$$

Now, erasing the middle row gives us commutative diagrams, for each j , with the same line bundle multiplier in the last row. In other words this shows that there exists a natural filtered morphism

$$\nu_q : \mathbb{F}_{f'}^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^q(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{L}_q,$$

as claimed in (iv) (cf. (3.6.5)). \square

Theorem 3.7. *Using the notation from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.6, we have that for each $0 \leq p \leq \dim(X/B)$ there exists an integer $0 \leq a_p \leq \dim X - p$,*

(i) *a natural filtered morphism*

$$\mathbb{F}_{X/B}^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^p \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_f^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(a_p \cdot f^* D), \text{ and}$$

(ii) *a natural morphism*

$$\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(a_p \cdot f^* D).$$

In addition, let $g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be another morphism of pairs such that $\dim Y = \dim X = n$. Then there exist

(iii) *a natural filtered morphism*

$$\mathbb{F}_{X/B}^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_X^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \mathbb{F}_{f \circ g}^\bullet \underline{\Omega}_Y^p(\log \Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(a_p \cdot f^* D), \text{ and}$$

(iv) *a natural morphism*

$$\varkappa_p : \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \underline{\Omega}_{Y/B}^p(\log \Gamma/D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(a_p \cdot f^* D).$$

Proof. Let $D' := \emptyset$ and consider the morphism of pairs $\tau : (B, D) \rightarrow (B, D')$. Observe that $\Omega_{B/B'}^d \simeq \mathcal{O}_B$ and $\Gamma = D$, hence (i) follows from Theorem 3.6(iv). Then (ii) follows from (i) and Theorem 3.2(iii). The required natural filtered morphisms in (iii) is simply the composition of the natural filtered morphisms in (i) and Theorem 3.2(iv) (more precisely, the latter is twisted with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(a_p \cdot f^* D)$). Finally, (iv) follows from (ii) and Theorem 3.2(v). \square

Definition 3.8. Let $D \subset B$ be a reduced, effective divisor. The smallest non-negative integer $a \in \mathbb{N}$ for which a morphism as in Theorem 3.7(ii) for each $0 \leq p \leq \dim(X/B)$ with the choice of $a_p = a \leq \dim X$ exists will be called the *discrepancy* of D with respect to $f : X \rightarrow B$ and will be denoted by $a_f(D)$.

3.9. Koszul triangles. Let $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ be a morphism of pairs and assume that (B, D) is an snc pair. Let $n = \dim X$ and $d = \dim B$ and $0 \leq p \leq \dim(X/B) = n - d$.

Let $\mathbb{G}_f^{0,2}$ denote the mapping cone of the morphism $\mathbb{F}_f^2 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta)$ and consider the commutative diagram of distinguished triangles,

$$(3.9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{F}_f^2 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^2 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \xrightarrow{+1} & \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow x & & \\ \mathbb{F}_f^1 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}r_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \xrightarrow{+1} & \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{G}r_f^1 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}r_f^{0,2} & \cdots \cdots \cdots \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}r_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) & \xrightarrow{+1} & \longrightarrow \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 & & \end{array}$$

Then the dotted arrows exist by [Nee91, Theorem 1.8] (cf. [Kov13, Theorem B.1]) and they maybe identified with the induced morphisms on the mapping cones. Therefore we obtain

the distinguished triangle

$$(3.9.2) \quad \mathbb{G}r_f^1 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}r_f^{0,2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}r_f^0 \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

in which each term is defined as the mapping cone of the vertical morphisms in (3.9.3) and the morphisms are the ones coming from the mapping cone construction. We will refer to this distinguished triangle in (3.9.2) as the p^{th} -Koszul triangle of $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$ and denote it by $\underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^p(\log \Delta)$. As before, in case $\Delta = \emptyset$ and $D = \emptyset$, then we will denote this by $\underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^p$. Replacing $\mathbb{G}r_f^i \underline{\Omega}_X^p(\log \Delta)$ for $i = 0, 1$ by isomorphic objects as in Theorem 3.2(iii) we obtain an alternative expression for $\underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^p(\log \Delta)$:

$$(3.9.3) \quad f^* \Omega_B^1(\log D) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{p-1}(\log \Delta/D) \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}r_f^{0,2} \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Remark 3.10. The nine lemma in triangulated categories is somewhat trickier than in abelian categories. It is not true that any morphism of triangles induce a distinguished triangle on their mapping cones. What [Nee91, Theorem 1.8] and [Kov13, Theorem B.1] state is that there exists a χ (see upper right side of (3.9.3)) such that the third row (of mapping cones) forms a distinguished triangle. In addition, it follows from [Kov13, Theorem B.1] that in the case of (3.9.1) the χ is in fact uniquely determined. This is, of course, not surprising given that the initial object of χ is 0, but one should remember that we are working in the derived category, so caution is warranted.

We would also like to emphasize that we are not merely stating that a distinguished triangle exists with the given objects as in (3.9.2) and (3.9.3), but that the morphisms of the triangle are exactly the ones one would hope for, namely the morphisms induced by the mapping cone construction. In particular, this means that the Koszul triangles will inherit any natural property carried by the filtrations used in their definition.

Corollary 3.11. *Using the above notation, let $g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be another morphism of pairs such that $\dim Y = \dim X = n$. Then the morphisms \varkappa_p obtained in Theorem 3.7(iv) are compatible with Koszul triangles, that is, there exist natural compatible morphisms of the terms of the following Koszul triangles:*

$$\varkappa_p : \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{f_g}^p(\log \Gamma)(a_f(D) \cdot f^* D)$$

(With a slight abuse of notation we will denote these morphisms of Koszul triangles by the same symbol).

Proof. Theorem 3.7 implies that there exist natural morphisms between the terms of the diagram (3.9.1) for $f : (X, \emptyset) \rightarrow (B, \emptyset)$ and for $f_g : (Y, \Gamma) \rightarrow (D, B)$. It follows from Theorem 3.7(i) that these morphisms commute with the first two rows and all the columns. Then it follows that they also commute with the third row as well, which is exactly the desired statement.

The naturality of \varkappa_p follows from the naturality of the morphisms in Theorem 3.7 and the fact that the morphisms in $\underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^p$ are given by the mapping cone construction, as explained in Remark 3.10. \square

Remark 3.12. One can slightly generalize Corollary 3.11 by considering

$$\varkappa_p : \underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^p(\log \Delta') \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}g_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{f_g}^p(\log \Gamma)(a_f(D - D') \cdot f^*(D - D')),$$

where $0 \leq D' \leq D$ and $\Delta' = f^{-1}D' \leq \Delta$. The proof is the same as the one for Corollary 3.11.

Notation-Remark 3.13. For an snc morphism, $f : (X, \Delta) \rightarrow (B, D)$, we use the notation $\text{Kosz}_f^p(\log \Delta)$ to denote the triangle defined by the standard Koszul filtration F_K^\bullet . Note that for such morphisms, using [Theorem 3.2\(vi\)](#), there is a natural isomorphism of triangles $\underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^p(\log \Delta) \rightarrow \text{Kosz}_f^p(\log \Delta)$, defined explicitly by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^*\Omega_B^1(\log D) \otimes \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{p-1}(\log \Delta/D) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}r_f^{0,2} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta/D) \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \downarrow \simeq_{\text{qis}} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq_{\text{qis}} \\ f^*\Omega_B^1(\log D) \otimes \Omega_{X/B}^{p-1}(\log \Delta) & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}_{F_K^\bullet}^{0,2} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{X/B}^p(\log \Delta) \xrightarrow{+1}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical quasi-isomorphisms are the ones defined by [Theorem 3.2\(vi\)](#) (see also [Remark 3.10](#)).

4. SYSTEMS OF HODGE SHEAVES AND DERIVED EXTENSIONS

Our aim is now to use the complexes of relative logarithmic DB forms from [Section 3](#) to construct systems of Hodge sheaves for arbitrary flat families. Since the proofs of [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Theorem 1.2](#) are interdependent, they will be presented together. First we need to introduce the notion of *discrepancy* for flat families that appears in the setting of [Theorem 1.2](#).

Notation 4.1. Given a flat projective morphism $g : X \rightarrow B$ of regular schemes, we denote the reduced divisorial part of the discriminant locus $\text{disc}(g)$ of g by D_g .

Notation 4.2. Given a flat, projective family of schemes $f : X \rightarrow B$ with regular base B , let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of the pair and $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow B$ the induced family. That is, assume that $\Delta_{\tilde{f}} := \tilde{f}^{-1}(D_{\tilde{f}})$ is a divisor with normal crossings. By [Theorem 3.7\(ii\)](#) and [Theorem 3.2\(v\)](#) (or [Corollary 3.11](#)), over the flat locus of \tilde{f} , there is a morphism

$$(4.2.1) \quad \varkappa_p : \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\pi_* \underline{\Omega}_{\tilde{X}/B}^p(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}/D_{\tilde{f}})(a_p \cdot f^* D_{\tilde{f}}).$$

Following [Definition 3.8](#), we use the notation $a_{\tilde{f},p}$ to denote the smallest integer for which the morphism (4.2.1), with the choice of $a_p = a_{\tilde{f},p}$, exists. Furthermore, we use $a_{\tilde{f}}$ to denote the discrepancy $a_f(D_{\tilde{f}})$ of $D_{\tilde{f}}$ with respect to \tilde{f} cf. [Definition 3.8](#).

In the course of the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Theorem 1.2](#) it is helpful to differentiate between the various properties of systems of Hodge sheaves. To do so we introduce the following terminology.

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{W} be an \mathcal{O}_B -module on a regular scheme B , $w \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a \mathcal{W} -valued system of weight w is a pair (\mathcal{E}, τ) where \mathcal{E} is an \mathcal{O}_B -module and $\tau : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ is sheaf homomorphism, such that there exists an \mathcal{O}_B -module splitting $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^w \mathcal{E}_i$ with respect to which τ is Griffiths-transversal, that is, for every $i = 0, \dots, w$,

$$\tau : \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{i+1}.$$

Using this terminology an \mathcal{O}_B^1 -valued system with an integrable and \mathcal{O}_B -linear map τ is a system of Hodge sheaves (of weight w). When \mathcal{E} is reflexive, we call $(\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i, \theta)$ a system of reflexive Hodge sheaves.

4.A. **Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.** Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a good resolution with the induced map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow B$. As introduced in Notation 4.2, $a_{\tilde{f}}$ denotes the discrepancy of the family with respect to f . Set $m := n - d$, where $n = \dim X$ and $d = \dim B$. After removing a subscheme from B of $\text{codim}_B \geq 2$, defined by the complement of the flat locus of \tilde{f} , for every $0 \leq i \leq m$, consider the map of distinguished triangles

$$(4.3.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{m-i} : \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^{m-i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\pi_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}})(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot f^* D_{\tilde{f}})$$

established in Corollary 3.11. By applying $\mathcal{R}f_*$ to (4.3.1) we find

$$\mathcal{R}f_* \mathcal{Z}_{m-i} : \mathcal{R}f_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^{m-i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\tilde{f}_*(\underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}))(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}).$$

From the resulting cohomology sequence and the filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(\underline{\Omega}_{\tilde{X}}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}), \mathbb{F}_f^\bullet) \simeq_{qis} (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}), F_K^\bullet)$$

in Theorem 3.2(vi), and Notation-Remark 3.13 we find connecting homomorphisms τ_i and $\theta_i^0 \otimes \text{id}$ with the commutative diagram

$$(4.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^i f_* \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet}^0 & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & \mathcal{R}^{i+1} f_* \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{F}^\bullet}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{R}^i \tilde{f}_* \text{Gr}_{\tilde{f}}^0(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^{i+1} \tilde{f}_* \text{Gr}_{\tilde{f}}^1(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathcal{R}^i \tilde{f}_* \text{Gr}_{F_K^\bullet}^0(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}) & \xrightarrow{\theta_i^0 \otimes \text{id}} & \mathcal{R}^{i+1} \tilde{f}_* \text{Gr}_{F_K^\bullet}^1(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}). \end{array}$$

Here, by $\theta_i^0 : \mathcal{R}^i \tilde{f}_* \text{Gr}_{F_K^\bullet}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{i+1} \tilde{f}_* \text{Gr}_{F_K^\bullet}^1$ we denote the connecting map arising from the cohomology sequence associated to $\mathcal{R}\tilde{f}_* \text{Kosz}_{\tilde{f}}^{m-i}(\log \Delta)$.

Next, we define the two systems $(\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_i, \tau = \bigoplus \tau_i)$ and $(\mathcal{E}^0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i^0, \theta^0 = \bigoplus \theta_i^0)$ by

$$(4.3.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{R}^i f_* \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{m-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_i^0 := \mathcal{R}^i \tilde{f}_* \underline{\Omega}_{\tilde{X}/B}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}).$$

By construction, the two systems (\mathcal{F}, τ) and $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ are Ω_B^1 -valued and $\Omega_B^1(\log D_{\tilde{f}})$ -valued, respectively. Set

$$(\mathcal{E}^{a_{\tilde{f}}}, \theta^{a_{\tilde{f}}}) := (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)(a_{\tilde{f}} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}}).$$

It follows from (4.3.2) that there are sheaf homomorphisms $\psi_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i^{a_{\tilde{f}}}$ fitting in the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_i & \xrightarrow{\tau_i} & \Omega_B^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i+1} \\ \downarrow \psi_i & & \downarrow \psi_{i+1} \\ \mathcal{E}_i^{a_{\tilde{f}}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_i^{a_{\tilde{f}}}} & \Omega_B^1(\log D_{\tilde{f}}) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{i+1}^{a_{\tilde{f}}}. \end{array}$$

Define the system map

$$(4.3.4) \quad \psi = \bigoplus \psi_i : (\mathcal{F}, \tau) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}^{a_{\tilde{f}}}, \theta^{a_{\tilde{f}}}),$$

and let $(\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus \mathcal{G}_i, \theta_{\mathcal{G}})$ denote its image.

By extending the result of Katz-Oda [KO68] to the case of logarithmic relative de Rham complex and using [Ste75, 2.18] (cf. [Gri84, p.131]) one finds that $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ is the logarithmic system of Hodge bundles underlying the Deligne canonical extension of the flat bundle $(\mathcal{R}^m f_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X} \setminus \Delta_{\tilde{f}}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{B \setminus D_{\tilde{f}}}, \nabla)$, with ∇ denoting the Gauss-Manin connection. In particular $\theta^{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}}$ is \mathcal{O}_B -linear and integrable. Consequently, so is $\theta_{\mathcal{G}}$, that is $(\mathcal{G}, \theta_{\mathcal{G}})$ is a system of Hodge sheaves. Furthermore, with $(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}}, \theta^{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}})$ being locally free, the morphism $\psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}}$ factors through $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{**}$. We now define

$$(4.3.5) \quad (\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta}) := (\mathcal{G}, \theta_{\mathcal{G}})^{**}.$$

The last part of [Theorem 1.1](#) follows from the construction of $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ and [Theorem 3.2\(ii\)](#). More precisely, we have that $\mathcal{F}_0 \simeq \mathcal{R}^0 f_* \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^m \simeq f_* \omega_{X/B}$. Furthermore, using the isomorphism $\pi_* \omega_{\tilde{X}} \simeq \omega_X$, we find that

$$\psi_0 : \mathcal{F}_0|_{B \setminus D_{\tilde{f}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_0^{\alpha_{\tilde{f}}}|_{B \setminus D_{\tilde{f}}}$$

is an isomorphism. As \mathcal{F}_0 is torsion free, this implies that ψ_0 is injective. Therefore, \mathcal{F}_0 can be identified with its image under ψ_0 , which we have denoted by \mathcal{G}_0 . In particular we have $\mathcal{G}_0^{**} \simeq \mathcal{F}_0^{**} \simeq (f_* \omega_{X/B})^{**}$. This completes the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Theorem 1.2](#). \square

Next we will show that the system $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ constructed above does not depend on the choice of the resolution.

Proposition 4.4. *Up to isomorphism, the system $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ in [Theorem 1.2](#) is independent of the choice of a good resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. The main step is proving the following claim.

Claim 4.5. For $j = 1, 2$, let $\pi_j : \tilde{X}_j \rightarrow X$ be good resolutions of X , with the induced morphisms $\tilde{f}_j : \tilde{X}_j \rightarrow B$, such that $\pi_2 : \tilde{X}_2 \rightarrow X$ factors through $\mu : \tilde{X}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{X}_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \pi_2 & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \searrow & \\ \tilde{X}_2 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \tilde{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X \\ & \searrow & \searrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \tilde{f}_1 & & f \\ & \searrow & & & \downarrow \\ & & \tilde{f}_2 & & B \end{array}$$

Let $({}^j \overline{\mathcal{E}}, {}^j \overline{\theta})$ be two Hodge systems—defined the same way as $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ in (4.3.5)—through the image of

$$\psi^j : (\mathcal{F}, \tau) \longrightarrow ({}^j \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_j}, {}^j \theta^{\alpha_j}),$$

where we have set

$$({}^j \mathcal{E}^{\alpha_j}, {}^j \theta^{\alpha_j}) := ({}^j \mathcal{E}^0, {}^j \theta^0)(a_{(f, \tilde{X}_j)} \cdot D_{\tilde{f}_j}),$$

with ${}^j \mathcal{E}^0$ being the logarithmic Hodge bundle associated to the Deligne extension for $\mathcal{R}^m (f_j)_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}_i \setminus \Delta_{\tilde{f}_j}}$. Then, we have $({}^1 \overline{\mathcal{E}}, {}^1 \overline{\theta}) \simeq ({}^2 \overline{\mathcal{E}}, {}^2 \overline{\theta})$.

Proof of Claim 4.5. Let $a_j = a_{(f, \tilde{X}_j)}$. Thanks to the vanishing result of Deligne [Del71] and Esnault-Viehweg [EV82, 1.5], one has the isomorphism⁶

⁶To establish the relative version of the result of [EV82] one can use a simple argument using induction on p .

$$(4.5.1) \quad \mathcal{R}\mu_* \Omega_{\tilde{X}_2/B}^p(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}_2}) \simeq \Omega_{\tilde{X}_1/B}^p(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}_1}).$$

This implies that $a_1 = a_2$. This common value will be denoted by $a = a_1 = a_2$ for the remainder of this proof.

Now, let \varkappa_p^1 and \varkappa_p^2 be the two morphisms of triangles in [Corollary 3.11](#) for π_1 and π_2 , respectively. By construction, for each $0 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^{m-i} & \xrightarrow{\varkappa_{m-i}^1} & \mathcal{R}(\pi_1)_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}_1}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}_1})(a \cdot \tilde{f}_1^* D_{\tilde{f}_1}) \\ & \searrow \varkappa_{m-i}^2 & \downarrow \\ & & \mathcal{R}(\pi_2)_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}_2}^{m-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}_2})(a \cdot f_2^* D_{\tilde{f}_2}). \end{array}$$

Consequently, we find the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_i & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \Omega_B^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i+1} \\ \downarrow \psi^1 & & \downarrow \\ {}^1\mathcal{E}_i^a & \xrightarrow{{}^1\theta^a} & \Omega_B^1(\log D_{\tilde{f}_1}) \otimes {}^1\mathcal{E}_{i+1}^a \\ \downarrow \psi^2 & & \downarrow \\ {}^2\mathcal{E}_i^a & \xrightarrow{{}^2\theta^a} & \Omega_B^1(\log D_{\tilde{f}_2}) \otimes {}^2\mathcal{E}_{i+1}^a, \end{array}$$

where, for each i , using [\(4.5.1\)](#), we have ${}^1\mathcal{E}_i^0 \simeq {}^2\mathcal{E}_i^0$ and thus ${}^1\mathcal{E}_i^a \simeq {}^2\mathcal{E}_i^a$. As ψ^2 factors through ψ^1 we find ${}^1\mathcal{E}_i^a \simeq {}^2\mathcal{E}_i^a$, which proves the claim. \square

Returning to the proof of [Proposition 4.4](#), we are now in position to prove the independence of (the isomorphism class of) $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ from the choice of a resolution by applying [Claim 4.5](#) to a common resolution for any pair of good resolutions of X . \square

4.B. Proof of [Theorem 1.5](#). Let $f_U : U \rightarrow V$ be the smooth locus of $f : X \rightarrow B$ and $i : U \rightarrow X$ and $j : V \rightarrow B$ the natural inclusion maps.

Claim 4.6. $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_l|_V \simeq \mathcal{R}^l f_* \Omega_{U/V}^{m-l}$.

Proof of Claim 4.6. This directly follows from flat base change and properties of complexes of relative DB forms. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{E}}_l|_V &\simeq j^* \mathcal{R}^l f_* \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{m-l}, \text{ by the definitions of } \overline{\mathcal{E}}_l \text{ in (4.3.3) and } \psi \text{ in (4.3.4)} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{R}^l f_*(i^* \underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{m-l}), \text{ using flat base change} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{R}^l f_* \underline{\Omega}_{U/V}^{m-l}, \text{ according to the construction} \\ &\simeq \mathcal{R}^l f_* \Omega_{U/V}^{m-l}, \text{ by the quasi-isomorphism in Theorem 3.2(vi).} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now, by construction we have $i^* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^p \simeq \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{U/V}^p$. Moreover, by [Notation-Remark 3.13](#), we have a natural isomorphism of triangles $\underline{\text{Kosz}}_{U/V}^p \rightarrow \text{Kosz}_{U/V}^p$, inducing the isomorphism

$$(4.6.1) \quad j^* \mathcal{R}f_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{X/B}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}f_* \text{Kosz}_{U/V}^p.$$

Thanks to [\[KO68\]](#) we know that the Higgs field underlying ∇ (the Gauss-Manin connection) is defined by the connecting homomorphisms of the long exact cohomology sequence associated to the right-hand-side of [\(4.6.1\)](#). On the other hand, by the construction of $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$, base change, and [Claim 4.6](#), the left-hand-side of [\(4.6.1\)](#) similarly determines $\overline{\theta}|_V$ in $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})|_V$. Therefore, we find that $(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \overline{\theta})$ defines an extension of the Hodge bundle underlying ∇ . \square

5. POSITIVITY OF DIRECT IMAGE SHEAVES AND THE DISCREPANCY OF THE FAMILY

We will continue using the notation introduced in [Notation 4.2](#). First we show a somewhat weaker version of [Theorem 1.3](#).

Theorem 5.1. *Let X and B be two projective varieties of dimension n and d , respectively, $f : X \rightarrow B$ a flat family of geometrically integral varieties with only Gorenstein Du Bois singularities, such that B is smooth and the generic fiber of f has rational singularities. Further let $D \subset B$ be an effective divisor satisfying $D \leq D_{\bar{f}}$ and let $r := \text{rank}(f_* \omega_{X/B})$. Then, one of the following holds.*

- (i) *Either $c_1(\det(f_* \omega_{X/B})(-rn \cdot D)) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq 0$, for every ample divisor $H \subset B$, or*
- (ii) *there exists a pseudo-effective line bundle \mathcal{B} on B for which there is an injection*

$$((\det f_* \omega_{X/B})(-rn \cdot D))^t \otimes \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D'))^{\otimes N},$$

for some $t, N \in \mathbb{N}$, where $D' := D_{\bar{f}} - D$.

Remark 5.2. The above results remain valid, if we replace $n = \dim X$ by $a_{\bar{f}}$. We opted to avoid a cumbersome notation, and instead use the upperbound n for $a_{\bar{f}}$, cf. [Theorem 1.2](#).

Remark 5.3. One may also replace $f_* \omega_{X/B}$ in [Theorem 5.1](#) by any of its subsheaves (and of course replace r with the corresponding rank). This is of interest for example in the setting of Fujita's Second Main Theorem (see [\[CD17\]](#) and references therein).

Before proving [Theorem 5.1](#), we recall the following well-known fact regarding the functoriality of canonical extensions.

Fact 5.4. Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a projective morphism of smooth quasi-projective varieties X and B . Assume that D_f and $\Delta := f^{-1}D_f$ are simple normal crossing divisors. Let $\gamma : C \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of smooth quasi-projective varieties. Let X_C be a strong resolution of $X \times_B C$, with $f_C : X_C \rightarrow C$ being the naturally induced family. Assume that the support of D_{f_C} and Δ_{f_C} are simple normal crossing divisors. Let $(\mathcal{E}_C^0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{C,i}^0, \theta_C^0)$ be the Deligne extension of the local system $\mathcal{R}^j f_* \mathbb{C}_{X_C \setminus \Delta_{f_C}}$ of any given weight j . Then, as systems of Hodge sheaves, we have an inclusion

$$\gamma^*(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_C^0, \theta_C^0),$$

which is an isomorphism over the flat locus of $\gamma|_{C \setminus D_{f_C}}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{L} := (\det f_* \omega_{X/B})(-rn \cdot D)$ and assume that for every ample divisor $H \subset B$ we have

$$(5.4.1) \quad c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot H^{d-1} > 0.$$

Let X^r denote the r th fiber product $X \times_B \cdots \times_B X$ (r times) with the resulting morphism $f^r : X^r \rightarrow B$. Now, as f is Gorenstein and flat we have

$$\bigotimes^r f_* \omega_{X/B} \simeq f_*^r \omega_{X^r/B}.$$

Note, that X^r has rational singularities by [KS16, Theorem E] (cf. [Elk78]).

By slightly modifying \mathcal{F}_i in (4.3.3) we set $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{R}^i f_*^r (\underline{\Omega}_{X^r/B}^{nr-i}(\log \Delta'/D'))$, where $\Delta' := f^{-1}D'$. Since X^r has only rational singularities, by Theorem 3.2(ii) we have $\mathcal{F}_0 \cong f_*^r \omega_{X^r/B}$. From the natural inclusion $\det f_* \omega_{X/B} \subseteq f_*^r \omega_{X^r/B}$ it follows that there is an injection,

$$(5.4.2) \quad \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_0(-rn \cdot D).$$

To simplify our notation, we will replace f by f^r in the sequel. Similarly to (4.3.1) we have a morphism of triangles (Remark 3.12)

$$\varkappa_{nr-i} : \underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^{nr-i}(\log \Delta') \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\pi_* \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}}^{nr-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}) \otimes f^*(rn \cdot D),$$

inducing a morphism of systems of equal weight

$$\psi = \bigoplus \psi : (\mathcal{F}, \tau)(-rn \cdot D) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0).$$

Denote the image of ψ by $(\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus \mathcal{G}_i, \theta) \subseteq (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$, $\theta : \mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow \Omega_B^1(\log \Delta') \otimes \mathcal{G}_{i+1}$. By construction ψ_0 is injective and thus $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$.

Claim 5.5. $\theta(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$.

Proof of Claim 5.5. Aiming for a contradiction, assume that $\bar{\theta}(\mathcal{L}) = 0$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the saturation of the image of the injection (5.4.2). Set $C \subseteq B$ to be the smooth, complete intersection curve defined by H^{d-1} with the natural inclusion map $\gamma : C \rightarrow B$. For a suitable choice of C we can ensure that C is in the locus of B over which $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_0/\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is locally free. Let $(\mathcal{E}_C, \theta_C)$ be the logarithmic Hodge system defined in Fact 5.4. According to Fact 5.4 we have an injection

$$(5.5.1) \quad \gamma^*(\mathcal{E}^0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_i^0, \theta^0) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{E}_C^0 = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{C,i}^0, \theta_C^0).$$

In particular we have an injection

$$\gamma^* \overline{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{C,0}^0.$$

From our initial assumption it follows that $\theta_{C,0}(\gamma^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$. On the other hand, since $\ker(\theta_C^0|_{\mathcal{E}_{C,i}^0})$ is weakly negative by [Zuo00], this implies that $\deg(\gamma^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}|_C) \leq 0$ and thus contradicting our initial assumption (5.4.1). This finishes the proof of the claim. \square

Now, by applying $\bar{\theta}$ to \mathcal{L} we can find an integer $k \geq 1$ such that

$$\underbrace{(\text{id} \otimes \theta) \circ \cdots \circ (\text{id} \otimes \theta)}_{k-1 \text{ times}} \otimes \theta : \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D'))^{\otimes k} \otimes \mathcal{N}_k,$$

where $\mathcal{N}_k := \ker(\theta|_{\mathcal{G}_k})$. As $\mathcal{N}_k \subseteq \ker(\theta|_{\mathcal{E}_k})$ and since $\ker(\theta|_{\mathcal{E}_k})$ is weakly negative, we find that there is an injection $\mathcal{L}^t \otimes \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D'))^{\otimes N}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and pseudo-effective $\mathcal{B} := (\det \mathcal{N}_k)^{-1}$.

5.A. **The general case.** By using a cyclic covering construction (see also [VZ03], [Taj18] and [Taj20]), combined with the constructions in Section 4, we generalize Theorem 5.1 to the pluricanonical case.

Theorem 5.6. *In the setting of Theorem 5.1, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $r_m = \text{rank}(f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)$ and set $t_m := n \cdot r_m \cdot m$. Then there exists a divisor $D \subset B$ such that either*

- (i) $c_1((\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)(-t_m \cdot D)) \cdot H^{d-1} \leq 0$, or
- (ii) there exists a pseudo-effective line bundle \mathcal{B} on B for which there exists an injection

$$((\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)(-t_m \cdot D))^t \otimes \mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_B^1(\log D'))^{\otimes N},$$

for some $t, N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Using the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.1, consider the natural injection

$$(\det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m)^m \hookrightarrow f_*^{r_m \cdot m} \omega_{X^{r_m \cdot m}/B}^m,$$

which, with $\mathcal{A}_m := \det f_*\omega_{X/B}^m$, implies that for the line bundle \mathcal{M} defined by

$$\mathcal{M} := \omega_{X^{r_m \cdot m}/B} \otimes (f^{r_m \cdot m})^*(\mathcal{A}_m)^{-1}$$

we have $H^0(X^{r_m \cdot m}, \mathcal{M}^m) \neq 0$. Following Notation 4.2, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ denotes a good resolution of X and further let $\tilde{X}^{(r_m \cdot m)}$ denote a strong resolution of $(\tilde{X})^{r_m \cdot m}$. The composition of this latter resolution with $\pi^{r_m \cdot m}$ induces a projective birational morphism $\mu : \tilde{X}^{(r_m \cdot m)} \rightarrow X^{r_m \cdot m}$.

Note that the assumptions on the singularities of the fibers of f remain true for the fibers of $f^{r_m \cdot m}$, so for ease of notation, let us replace $f^{r_m \cdot m}$ by f and, similarly, replace $\tilde{X}^{(r_m \cdot m)}$ by \tilde{X} .

Next, we modify the system (\mathcal{F}, τ) , defined in (4.3.3), by setting

$$\mathcal{F}'_i := \mathcal{R}^i f_*(\Omega_{X/B}^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta'/D') \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1}),$$

where $\Delta' := f^{-1}(D')$, and so we get a morphism of triangles

$$(5.6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varkappa_{t_m-d-i} : \underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta') \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1} &\longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\mu_* (\underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}}^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}) \otimes \mu^* \mathcal{M}^{-1})(t_m \cdot f^* D), \end{aligned}$$

cf. Remark 3.12. Now, let $\sigma : Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a resolution of singularities of the cyclic covering associated to a global section of $\mu^* \mathcal{M}^m$ (cf. [Laz04, 4.1.6]) and let $g : Z \rightarrow B$ be the induced map. By construction, and using Remark 3.12 with $\Delta_g, \Delta_{\tilde{f}}, D_g$ and $D_{\tilde{f}}$ playing the role of $\Gamma, \Delta = \Delta', D$ and D' , and the fact that $a_{\tilde{f}}(D_g - D_{\tilde{f}}) = 0$ we get a morphism of triangles

$$(5.6.2) \quad \underline{\text{Kosz}}_{\tilde{f}}^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta_{\tilde{f}}) \otimes \mu^* \mathcal{M}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\sigma_* (\underline{\text{Kosz}}_g^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta_g)),$$

Combining (5.6.2) and (5.6.1) leads to the morphism of triangles:

$$\underline{\text{Kosz}}_f^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta') \otimes \mathcal{M}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}\pi_* (\underline{\text{Kosz}}_g^{t_m-d-i}(\log \Delta_g)) \otimes (t_m \cdot f^* D),$$

where $\pi := \mu \circ \sigma$. Similarly to (4.3.4) it follows that there exists a morphism of systems of equal weight:

$$\psi^{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus \psi_i^{\mathcal{M}} : (\mathcal{F}', \tau')(-t_m \cdot D) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0),$$

where $(\mathcal{E}^0, \theta^0)$ is the Deligne extension for $\mathcal{R}^{t_m-d} g_* \mathbb{C}_{Z \setminus \Delta_g}$. On the other hand, since X has rational singularities, by Theorem 3.2(ii) we have $\underline{\Omega}_{X/B}^{t_m-d}(\log \Delta') \cong_{\text{qis}} \omega_{X/B}$. Using the fact

that f is Gorenstein, it thus follows that $\mathcal{F}'_0 \cong \mathcal{A}_m$. Since by construction ψ_0 is injective, the line bundle $\mathcal{A}_m(-t_m \cdot D) \cong (\det f_* \omega_{X/B}^m)(-t_m \cdot D)$ injects into \mathcal{G}_0 , with $(\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus \mathcal{G}_i, \theta)$ being the image of (\mathcal{F}', τ') under $\psi^{\mathcal{A}}$. The rest of the argument follows as in the $m = 1$ case. \square

The following corollary now directly follows from [Theorem 5.6](#) and [\[CP19, 7.11\]](#).

Corollary 5.7 (= [Theorem 1.3](#)). *In the setting of [Theorem 5.6](#) assume that $(\det f_* \omega_{X/B}^m)(-t_m \cdot D)$ is big. Then, the pair $(B, D_{\tilde{f}} - D)$ is of log-general type.*

6. APPENDIX: SUMMARY OF WEDGE PRODUCTS AND FILTRATION DIAGRAMS

We recall some definitions and constructions from [\[Kov05\]](#) for the reader's convenience.

6.A. Wedge products. Let Φ_X and Ψ_X be locally free sheaves on X of rank k and n respectively, and let $\theta_X : \Phi_X \rightarrow \Psi_X$ be a morphism.

Definition 6.1. Let η be a section of $\bigwedge^p \Psi_X$ over an open set and ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k sections of Φ_X over the same set. Then $\eta \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_k)$ is a section of $\bigwedge^p \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X$. For any $\sigma \in S_k$ let

$$\xi_{\sigma, q} = \theta_X(\xi_{\sigma(1)}) \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_X(\xi_{\sigma(q)}),$$

and

$$\xi^{\sigma, q} = \xi_{\sigma(q+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{\sigma(k)}.$$

Further let

$$S_{k, q} = \{\sigma \in S_k \mid \sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(q) \text{ and } \sigma(q+1) < \dots < \sigma(k)\},$$

and

$$I_\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(q)\}.$$

It is easy to see that every $\sigma \in S_{k, q}$ is determined by I_σ . Now define

$$\lambda_q^\theta(\eta \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_k)) \in \bigwedge^{p+q} \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X$$

by the formula

$$\lambda_q^\theta(\eta \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_k)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k, q}} (-1)^{\text{sgn } \sigma} (\xi_{\sigma, q} \wedge \eta) \otimes \xi^{\sigma, q},$$

and extend it linearly.

To see that

$$\lambda_q^\theta : \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \rightarrow \bigwedge^{p+q} \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X$$

is a well-defined morphism of sheaves, it is enough to verify the multi-linear and alternating properties. This is left to the reader.

Lemma 6.2. *Let id denote $\text{id}_{\Phi_X} : \Phi_X \rightarrow \Phi_X$. Then*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_q^\theta} & \bigwedge^{p+q} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X \\ \downarrow \lambda_{q+r}^\theta & & \downarrow \lambda_r^\theta \\ \bigwedge^{p+q+r} \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q-r} \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_q^{\text{id}}} & \bigwedge^{p+q+r} \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-r} \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram, i.e., $\lambda_r^\theta \circ \lambda_q^\theta = \lambda_q^{\text{id}} \circ \lambda_{q+r}^\theta$.

Proof. Use the same notation as in Definition 6.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_r^\theta \circ \lambda_q^\theta (\eta \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k) \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k)) &= \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in S_{k,r}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k,q}} (-1)^{\text{sgn } \tau + \text{sgn } \sigma} (\xi_{\tau,r} \wedge \xi_{\sigma,q} \wedge \eta) \otimes \xi^{\sigma,q} \otimes \xi^{\tau,r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma \in S_{k,q}$, $\tau \in S_{k,r}$. If

$$I_\tau \cap I_\sigma = \{\tau(1), \dots, \tau(r)\} \cap \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(q)\} \neq \emptyset,$$

then $\xi_{\tau,r} \wedge \xi_{\sigma,q} = 0$. Otherwise let $\mu = \mu(\sigma, \tau) \in S_{k,q+r}$ be defined by $I_\mu = I_\tau \cup I_\sigma$ and let $\nu = \nu(\sigma, \tau) = \sigma \in S_{k,q}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_q^{\text{id}} \circ \lambda_{q+r}^\theta (\eta \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k) \otimes (\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_k)) &= \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in S_{k,q}} \sum_{\mu \in S_{k,q+r}} (-1)^{\text{sgn } \mu + \text{sgn } \nu} (\xi_{\mu,q+r} \wedge \eta) \otimes \xi^{\nu,q} \otimes (\xi_{\nu,q} \wedge \xi^{\mu,q+r}) \end{aligned}$$

and for $\nu \in S_{k,q}$, $\mu \in S_{k,q+r}$, $\xi_{\nu,q} \wedge \xi^{\mu,q+r} \neq 0$ let $\sigma = \sigma(\mu, \nu) = \nu$ and $\tau = \tau(\mu, \nu) \in S_{k,r}$ be defined by $I_\tau = I_\mu \setminus I_\nu$.

This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the pairs (σ, τ) and the pairs (μ, ν) . Further observe that

$$(-1)^{\text{sgn } \tau} \underbrace{(\xi_{\tau,r} \wedge \xi_{\sigma,q})}_{\pm \xi_{\mu,q+r}} \otimes \xi^{\tau,r} = (-1)^{\text{sgn } \mu} \xi_{\mu,q+r} \otimes \underbrace{(\xi_{\nu,q} \wedge \xi^{\mu,q+r})}_{\pm \xi^{\tau,r}},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\text{sgn } \tau + \text{sgn } \sigma} (\xi_{\tau,r} \wedge \xi_{\sigma,q} \wedge \eta) \otimes \xi^{\sigma,q} \otimes \xi^{\tau,r} &= \\ &= (-1)^{\text{sgn } \mu + \text{sgn } \nu} (\xi_{\mu,q+r} \wedge \eta) \otimes \xi^{\nu,q} \otimes (\xi_{\nu,q} \wedge \xi^{\mu,q+r}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

6.B. Filtration diagrams. Let X be a scheme. As usual, $C(X)$ will denote the category of complexes of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and for $u \in \text{Mor}(C(X))$, $M(u) \in \text{Ob}(C(X))$ will denote the mapping cone of u . $K(X)$ is the category of homotopy equivalence classes of objects of $C(X)$. A diagram in $C(X)$ will be called a *pretruncated triangle* if its image in $K(X)$ is a distinguished triangle. $D(X)$ will denote the derived category of complexes of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. The superscripts $+$, $-$, b carry the usual meaning (bounded below, bounded above and bounded). Regarding these notions the basic reference will be [Har66]. S_k denotes the symmetric group of degree k .

Let Φ_X and Ψ_X be locally free sheaves on X of rank k and n respectively, and let $\theta_X : \Phi_X \rightarrow \Psi_X$ be a morphism.

Let $p, i \in \mathbb{N}$. We are going to define an object, $\mathfrak{F}_i^p = \mathfrak{F}_i^p(\theta_X) \in \text{Ob}(C(X))$ and a (p, i) -filtration diagram of θ_X diagram, $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p} = \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p(\theta_X)}$. This will be done recursively, starting with $i = 0$ and then increasing i .

Definition 6.3. The $(p, 0)$ -filtration diagram of θ_X is

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_0^p} = \mathfrak{F}_0^p = \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X.$$

A 0-filtration morphism for some p, q , consists of locally free sheaves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} and a morphism between $\bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and $\bigwedge^{n-q} \Psi_X \otimes \mathcal{F}$.

For instance,

$$\lambda_p^\theta : \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p} \Phi_X$$

is a 0-filtration morphism. Let

$$\mathfrak{F}_1^p = M(\lambda_p^\theta)[-1].$$

Definition 6.4. The $(p, 1)$ -filtration diagram of θ_X consists of the predistinguished triangle,

$$\mathfrak{F}_1^p \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p} \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1}$$

It is denoted by $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^p}$. A 1-filtration morphism for some p, r , consists of locally free sheaves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} and morphisms between the corresponding terms of $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^p} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^r} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ such that the resulting diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_1^r \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-r} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow \lambda_p^\theta & & \downarrow \lambda_r^\theta \\ \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p} \Phi_X \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-r} \Phi_X \otimes \mathcal{F} \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \\ & & \vdots \end{array}$$

Consider the following commutative diagram (cf. Lemma 6.2).

$$(6.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \det \Phi_X & & \mathfrak{F}_1^{p-q} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_q^\theta} & \bigwedge^{n-p+q} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X \\ \downarrow \lambda_p^\theta & & \downarrow \lambda_{p-q}^\theta \\ \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p} \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_q \text{ id.}} & \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+q} \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X \\ \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1 \end{array}$$

There exists a morphism,

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \det \Phi_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1^{p-q} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X,$$

that makes the above diagram commutative.

The diagram (6.4.1), combined with α gives a 1-filtration morphism

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^p} \otimes \det \Phi_X \rightarrow \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^{p-q}} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X,$$

with $r = p - q$, $\mathcal{E} = \det \Phi_X$, $\mathcal{F} = \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{F}_2^p = M \left(\mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \det \Phi_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1^1 \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \right) [-1].$$

Then there exists a distinguished triangle,

$$\mathfrak{F}_2^p \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1^1 \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Definition 6.5. The $(p, 2)$ -filtration diagram of θ_X consists of the diagram,

$$\mathfrak{F}_2^p \longrightarrow \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^p} \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_1^1} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X.$$

It is denoted by $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}$. A 2-filtration morphism for some p, r , consists of locally free sheaves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} and morphisms between the corresponding terms of $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ such that the resulting diagram is commutative.

More explicitly, the $(p, 2)$ -filtration diagram of θ_X is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{F}_2^p & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1^1 \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \\
& & \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
& & \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \xrightarrow{\lambda_{p-1}^\theta} \bigwedge^{n-1} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \\
& & \downarrow \lambda_p^\theta \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \lambda_1^\theta \\
& & \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p} \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \xrightarrow{\lambda_{p-1}^{\text{id}}} \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \\
& & \downarrow +1 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow +1
\end{array}$$

Similarly, a 2-filtration morphism is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{0,0} \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{0,2} \otimes \mathcal{E} \\
\searrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{0,0} \otimes \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{0,2} \otimes \mathcal{F} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{1,1} \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{1,2} \otimes \mathcal{E} & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{1,1} \otimes \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{1,2} \otimes \mathcal{F} & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{2,1} \otimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{2,2} \otimes \mathcal{E} & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{2,1} \otimes \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^r}^{2,2} \otimes \mathcal{F} & &
\end{array}$$

where the $(p, 2)$ -filtration diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathfrak{F}_2^p & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_1^p \otimes \det \Phi_X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{F}_1^1 \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \bigwedge^{n-p} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} \Psi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p} \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^n \Psi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-p+1} \Phi_X \\
 & & \downarrow +1 & & \downarrow +1
 \end{array}$$

is represented by the simplified diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{0,0} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{0,2} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{1,1} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{1,2} \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{2,1} & \longrightarrow & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_2^p}^{2,2} .
 \end{array}$$

To define the (p, i) -filtration diagram of θ_X and the i -filtration morphisms we will iterate this construction.

6.6. Inductive Hypotheses. For a given i the following hold for each $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (i) The (p, i) -filtration diagram of θ_X is defined and denoted by $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p}$.
- (ii) An i -filtration morphism, by definition, consists of locally free sheaves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} and a morphism between the corresponding terms of $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ and $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^r} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ such that the resulting diagram is commutative.
- (iii) $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p}$ has a unique object, \mathfrak{F}_i^p , with only one adjacent arrow pointing out.
- (iv) $\mathfrak{F}_i^p = 0$ for $p < i$.
- (v) There exists an i -filtration morphism,

$$\lambda_q^{\theta, i} : \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p} \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^{p-q}} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X.$$

(vi) The diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^p} \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_q^{\theta, i}} & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^{p-q}} \otimes \det \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X \\
\downarrow \lambda_{q+r}^{\theta, i} & & \downarrow \lambda_r^{\theta, i} \\
\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^{p-q-r}} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q-r} \Phi_X \otimes \det \Phi_X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_q^{\text{id}}} & \boxed{\mathfrak{F}_i^{p-q-r}} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-r} \Phi_X \otimes \bigwedge^{k-q} \Phi_X
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Lemma 6.7. *If (6.6) holds for $i = 0, \dots, j$, then $\boxed{\mathfrak{F}_{j+1}^p}$ can be defined so that (6.6) holds for $i = j + 1$.*

Proof. For the proof the reader is referred to [Kov05, 2.5]. \square

Now we are ready to define $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p \in \text{Ob}(D(X))$.

Definition 6.8. Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $p > n - k$ let $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p = 0$, and for $-k \leq p \leq n - k$ let $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p$ be the class of

$$\mathfrak{F}_{n-k-p}^{n-k-p} \otimes (\det \Phi_X)^{-(n-k-p+1)}$$

in $\text{Ob}(D(X))$. It follows that

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{n-k} = \det \Psi_X \otimes (\det \Phi_X)^{-1}$$

and that there is a distinguished triangle:

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{n-k-1} \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{n-1} \Psi_X \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{n-k} \otimes \bigwedge^{k-1} \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Furthermore, for $j \geq p - n + k$ let $\mathbb{F}^j \bigwedge^p \Psi_X$ be the class of

$$\mathfrak{F}_{n-k-p+j}^{n-p} \otimes (\det \Phi_X)^{-(n-k-p+j)}$$

in $\text{Ob}(D(X))$. The predistinguished triangle,

$$\mathfrak{F}_{n-k-p+j+1}^{n-p} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{n-k-p+j}^{n-p} \otimes \det \Phi_X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{n-k-p+j}^{n-k-p+j} \otimes \bigwedge^j \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1}$$

obtained during the definition of these filtration diagrams (cf.[Kov05, (2.5.7)]) gives the distinguished triangle,

$$\mathbb{F}^{j+1} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^j \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{p-j} \otimes \bigwedge^j \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Observe that $\mathbb{F}^{k+1} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X = 0$ by (iv) and $\mathbb{F}^{p-n+k} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X = \bigwedge^p \Psi_X$ by definition. Furthermore, if $p - n + k < 0$, then

$$\mathbb{F}^0 \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \simeq \mathbb{F}^{-1} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \simeq \dots \simeq \mathbb{F}^{p-n+k} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X = \bigwedge^p \Psi_X,$$

because $\bigwedge^j \Phi_X = 0$ for $j < 0$. If $p - n + k \geq 0$, define $\mathbb{F}^j \bigwedge^p \Psi_X = \bigwedge^p \Psi_X$ for $j = 0, \dots, p - n + k$.

The following theorem summarizes the above observations.

Theorem 6.9. [Kov05, 2.7] *Let Φ_X and Ψ_X be locally free sheaves on X of rank k and n respectively, and let $\theta_X : \Phi_X \rightarrow \Psi_X$ be a morphism. Then there exists an object $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^r \in \text{Ob}(D(X))$ for each $r \in \mathbb{Z}, r \geq -k$ with the following property. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a hyperfiltration $\mathbb{F}^j \bigwedge^p \Psi_X$ of $\bigwedge^p \Psi_X$ with $j = 0, \dots, k+1$, such that*

$$\mathbb{F}^0 \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \simeq \bigwedge^p \Psi_X,$$

$$\mathbb{F}^{k+1} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \simeq 0$$

and

$$\text{Gr}^j \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \simeq \underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{p-j} \otimes \bigwedge^j \Phi_X.$$

Furthermore, for $r > n - k$,

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^r \simeq 0.$$

Proposition 6.10. [Kov05, 2.9] *If the cokernel sheaf, Ξ_X , of θ_X is locally free, then $\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p$ is simply the p -th exterior power of Ξ_X . The filtration is given by*

$$\bigwedge^p \Psi_X = F^0 \supset F^1 \supset \dots \supset F^p \supset F^{p+1} = 0,$$

with quotients

$$F^j / F^{j+1} \simeq \bigwedge^{p-j} \Xi_X \otimes \bigwedge^j \Phi_X,$$

for each j .

Proof. By definition one has that

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p \simeq \det \Psi_X \otimes (\det \Phi_X)^{-1} \simeq \det \Xi_X.$$

Then the statement follows using descending induction, the filtration associated to the short exact sequence of locally free sheaves and the distinguished triangle,

$$\mathbb{F}^{j+1} \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^j \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{p-j} \otimes \bigwedge^j \Phi_X \xrightarrow{+1} . \quad \square$$

Example 6.11. Let $k = 1$, i.e., assume that Φ_X is a line bundle. Then the hyperfiltration in Theorem 6.9 is simply a distinguished triangle

$$\underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^{p-1} \otimes \Phi_X \longrightarrow \bigwedge^p \Psi_X \longrightarrow \underline{\Omega}_{\theta_X}^p \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

REFERENCES

- [CD17] F. Catanese and M. Dettweiler, *Answer to a question by fujita on variation of hodge structures*, Adv. in Pure. Math **74** (2017), 73–102.
- [CKS86] E. Cattani, A. Kaplan, and W. Schmid, *Degeneration of Hodge structures*, Ann. Math. **123** (1986), no. 3, 457–535.
- [CP19] F. Campana and M. Păun, *Foliations with positive slopes and birational stability of orbifold cotangent bundles*, Publ. Math. IHES **129** (2019), 1–49.
- [DB81] P. Du Bois, *Complexe de de Rham filtré d'une variété singulière*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **109** (1981), no. 1, 41–81. MR613848 (82j:14006)

- [Del70] P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163. MR54#5232
- [Del71] ———, *Théorie de Hodge. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **40** (1971), 5–57. MR0498551 (58 #16653a)
- [Elk78] R. Elkik, *Singularités rationnelles et déformations*, Invent. Math. **47** (1978), no. 2, 139–147. MR501926 (80c:14004)
- [EV82] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Revêtements cycliques*, Algebraic threefolds (Varenna, 1981), 1982, pp. 241–250. MR672621 (84m:14015)
- [GNPP88] F. Guillén, V. Navarro Aznar, P. Pascual Gainza, and F. Puerta, *Hyperrésolutions cubiques et descente cohomologique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1335, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. Papers from the Seminar on Hodge-Deligne Theory held in Barcelona, 1982. MR972983 (90a:14024)
- [Gri68a] P. A. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, i. (construction and properties of the modular varieties)*, American Journal of Mathematics **90** (1968), no. 2, 568–626.
- [Gri68b] ———, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, ii: (local study of the period mapping)*, American Journal of Mathematics **90** (1968), no. 3, 805–865.
- [Gri70] ———, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds, iii (some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping)*, Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS **38** (1970), 125–180 (en). MR44#224
- [Gri84] P. Griffiths (ed.), *Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry*, Princeton University Press, 1984.
- [Har66] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966. MR0222093
- [KK08a] S. Kebekus and S. J. Kovács, *Families of canonically polarized varieties over surfaces*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 3, 657–682. DOI:10.1007/s00222-008-0128-8. Preprint arXiv:0707.2054. MR2393082
- [KK08b] S. Kebekus and S. J. Kovács, *Families of varieties of general type over compact bases*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 3, 649–652. DOI:10.1016/j.aim.2008.01.005. MR2414316 (2009d:14042)
- [KK10a] S. Kebekus and S. J. Kovács, *The structure of surfaces and threefolds mapping to the moduli stack of canonically polarized varieties*, Duke Math. J. **155** (2010), no. 1, 1–33. MR2730371 (2011i:14060)
- [KK10b] J. Kollár and S. J. Kovács, *Log canonical singularities are Du Bois*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 3, 791–813, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-10-00663-6.
- [KO68] N. M. Katz and T. Oda, *On the differentiation of de Rham cohomology classes with respect to parameters*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **8** (1968), 199–213.
- [Kol13] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács. MR3057950
- [Kov00] S. J. Kovács, *Algebraic hyperbolicity of fine moduli spaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), no. 1, 165–174. MR1713524 (2000i:14017)
- [Kov02] ———, *Logarithmic vanishing theorems and Arakelov-Parshin boundedness for singular varieties*, Compositio Math. **131** (2002), no. 3, 291–317. MR2003a:14025
- [Kov03] ———, *Viehweg’s conjecture for families over \mathbb{P}^n* , Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), no. 8, 3983–3991. Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman. MR2007392 (2004h:14038)
- [Kov05] ———, *Spectral sequences associated to morphisms of locally free sheaves*, Recent progress in arithmetic and algebraic geometry, 2005, pp. 57–85. MR2182771 (2006j:14016)
- [Kov11] ———, *Irrational centers*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **7** (2011), no. 4, Special Issue: In memory of Eckart Viehweg, 1495–1515. MR2918171
- [Kov13] ———, *Singularities of stable varieties*, Handbook of moduli. Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 25, vol. II, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Kov96] ———, *Smooth families over rational and elliptic curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **5** (1996), no. 2, 369–385. MR1374712 (97c:14035)
- [Kov97] ———, *Relative de Rham complex for non-smooth morphisms*, Birational algebraic geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1996), 1997, pp. 89–100. MR1462927 (98f:14014)
- [KS11] S. J. Kovács and K. E. Schwede, *Hodge theory meets the minimal model program: a survey of log canonical and Du Bois singularities*, Topology of stratified spaces, 2011, pp. 51–94. MR2796408 (2012k:14003)

- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR2095471 (2005k:14001a)
- [Man65] Y. Manin, *Moduli fuchsiani*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **19** (1965), 113–126.
- [Moc06] T. Mochizuki, *Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for tame harmonic bundles and an application*, Astérisque **309** (2006), viii+117. MR2310103
- [Moc07] ———, *Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor D -modules. II*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **185** (2007), no. 870, xii+565. DOI:10.1090/memo/0870. MR2283665
- [Nee91] A. Neeman, *Some new axioms for triangulated categories*, J. Algebra **139** (1991), no. 1, 221–255. MR1106349
- [OV01] K. Oguiso and E. Viehweg, *On the isotriviality of families of elliptic surfaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 3, 569–598. MR1832333 (2002d:14054)
- [Pat12] Zs. Patakfalvi, *Viehweg’s hyperbolicity conjecture is true over compact bases*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1640–1642. MR2871152 (2012m:14072)
- [PS08] C. A. M. Peters and J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. MR2393625
- [PS17] M. Popa and C. Schnell, *Viehweg’s hyperbolicity conjecture for families with maximal variation*, Invent. Math. **208** (2017), no. 3, 677–713.
- [Sai90] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 2, 221–333. MR1047415
- [Sch73] W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structures: singularities of the period mapping*, Invent. Math. **22** (1973), 211–319.
- [Sim90] C. T. Simpson, *Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), no. 3, 713–770. DOI:10.2307/1990935. MR1040197
- [Sim92] ———, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **75** (1992), 5–95. MR1179076 (94d:32027)
- [Ste75] J. Steenbrink, *Limits of Hodge structures*, Invent. Math. **31** (1975/76), no. 3, 229–257. MR0429885 (55 #2894)
- [Ste76] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures on the vanishing cohomology* (1976), 525–563. Real and complex singularities (Oslo).
- [Taj18] B. Taji, *On the Kodaira dimension of base spaces of families of manifolds*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra (2018). To appear. Preprint [arXiv:1809.05616](https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.05616).
- [Taj20] ———, *Birational geometry of smooth families of varieties admitting good minimal models* (2020). Preprint arxiv.org/abs/2005.01025.
- [Vie95] E. Viehweg, *Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 30, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. MR1368632 (97j:14001)
- [VZ01] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *On the isotriviality of families of projective manifolds over curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 4, 781–799. MR1838979 (2002g:14012)
- [VZ02] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *Base spaces of non-isotrivial families of smooth minimal models*, Complex geometry (göttingen, 2000), 2002, pp. 279–328. MR1922109 (2003h:14019)
- [VZ03] E. Viehweg and K. Zuo, *On the Brody hyperbolicity of moduli spaces for canonically polarized manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), no. 1, 103–150. MR1978884 (2004h:14042)
- [Zuo00] K. Zuo, *On the negativity of kernels of Kodaira-Spencer maps on Hodge bundles and applications*, Asian J. Math. **4** (2000), no. 1, 279–301. Kodaira’s issue. MR1803724 (2002a:32011)
- [KS16] S. J. Kovács and K. E. Schwede, *Inversion of adjunction for rational and Du Bois pairs*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 5, 969–1000, DOI 10.2140/ant.2016.10.969.

SÁNDOR KOVÁCS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98195, U.S.A

Email address: skovacs@uw.edu

URL: <http://sites.math.washington.edu/~kovacs/current/>

BEHROUZ TAJI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS F07, THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY, NSW 2006 AUSTRALIA

Email address: behrouz.taji@sydney.edu.au

URL: <http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/behrouzt/>