

The role of CP-conserving annihilations in generating cosmological particle-antiparticle asymmetries

Avirup Ghosh,^a Deep Ghosh^b and Satyanarayan Mukhopadhyay^b

^a*Regional Centre for Accelerator-based Particle Physics, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad - 211 019, India*

^b*School of Physical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, 2A and 2B Raja S.C. Mullick Road, Kolkata 700 032*

E-mail: avirupghosh@hri.res.in, tpdg@iacs.res.in, tpsnm@iacs.res.in

ABSTRACT: The role of CP-violating decay and annihilation processes have been extensively studied in the context of generating cosmological particle-antiparticle asymmetries, both as sources of the asymmetry and its subsequent wash-out. In the scenarios for which the lowest order source of CP-violation is scattering processes, we highlight the role of additional CP-conserving annihilations in indirectly affecting the asymmetry generation. This stems from the strong dependence of the relevant out-of-equilibrium number densities on the rate of CP-conserving reactions. The net asymmetry generated, in turn, is proportional to the out-of-equilibrium number densities and the rate of CP-violation. Such CP-conserving scatterings occur naturally in scenarios of baryogenesis, leptogenesis and asymmetric dark matter production through scattering, as we illustrate through several examples. We find that the asymmetric yields for relevant particle-antiparticle systems can vary by orders of magnitude depending upon the relative size of the CP-conserving and violating reaction rates.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Example-1: Baryogenesis from scattering	2
3	Example-2: Asymmetric dark matter from scattering	5
4	Example-3: Asymmetric dark matter from semi-annihilation	7
5	Summary	9

1 Introduction

Cosmological production of particle-antiparticle asymmetries through dynamical mechanisms has been a topic of extensive studies [1]. In particular, several possible ways of generating the observed baryon-antibaryon asymmetry of the Universe (BAU) have been proposed [2–9]. Starting from a symmetric initial condition with zero asymmetry, as implied by inflationary scenarios, achieving a non-zero BAU requires satisfying the three Sakharov conditions of baryon number (B) violation, the violation of charge conjugation (C) and charge conjugation - parity (CP) discrete symmetries, and departure from thermodynamic equilibrium [1, 10]. Two of the most well studied BAU generation mechanisms are baryogenesis in grand unified theories (GUT) [2, 6–8], and baryogenesis through leptogenesis [9]. In both scenarios, most of the implementations considered involve the CP-violating out-of-equilibrium decay of a heavy particle in the early Universe. Thus, the primary quantities that determine the net asymmetry generated are the rates of the CP-violating decay and its inverse process. If in addition CP-violating scattering processes are present, they are found to affect both the generated asymmetry, and its subsequent wash-out, depending upon the hierarchy of the decoupling temperatures for the decay and scattering processes; for reviews, see, for example, Refs. [11–13], and references therein.

The primary source of the CP-violation in a given scenario may turn out to be scattering processes, with the heavy particle decay processes either sub-dominant or absent [14–16]. Such scattering mechanisms have also been studied in contexts involving the dark matter (DM) particles as well, either in achieving baryogenesis through DM annihilations [17–20], or in relating the baryon and dark matter sector asymmetries [21, 22], or in realizing the asymmetric DM (ADM) scenario [23] through semi-annihilations [24]. Our present study is concerned with the role of CP-conserving annihilation processes in such scenarios in determining the net particle-antiparticle asymmetry, which, to our knowledge, has not been highlighted earlier.

A CP-conserving reaction cannot by itself change the net particle-antiparticle number difference, the rates of the forward and backward processes being the same. However,

the out-of-equilibrium number density of a given species, and its antiparticle, can strongly depend on both the CP-conserving and CP-violating reaction rates involving that species. And since this out-of-equilibrium number density in turn determines the final asymmetry, the CP-conserving reactions can turn out to be a dominant factor in controlling the asymmetries as well. We find that these CP-conserving reactions occur naturally, with rates comparable to or more than that of the CP-violating ones, in many simple scenarios of baryogenesis, and asymmetric dark matter production. It is therefore crucial to consider their role in generating cosmological particle-antiparticle asymmetries. Since the decay reaction rates, if present, are significantly larger than scattering reaction rates in most scenarios, the role of CP-conserving scatterings is less important in such cases. However, there may be particular model realizations, in which the CP-violating decay and CP-conserving annihilations have comparable reaction rates, thereby making the latter significant in such scenarios as well.

We shall now demonstrate the above simple mechanism through several examples – for baryogenesis from scatterings in Sec. 2, for asymmetric dark matter production from annihilation processes in Sec. 3, and ADM production from semi-annihilation in Sec. 4. We summarize our findings in Sec. 5.

2 Example-1: Baryogenesis from scattering

To illustrate the role of CP-conserving annihilations, consider a toy model for baryogenesis in the spirit of similar examples in Refs. [11, 25]. Let the scatterings of the self-conjugate parent particle X produce an asymmetry in the daughter sector b (for baryon). We introduce three possible scattering reactions involving X , b , and its antiparticle \bar{b} ¹:

1. $X + X \rightarrow b + b$ (CP-violating, violates b -number by 2 units), its CP-conjugate $X + X \rightarrow \bar{b} + \bar{b}$, and the inverse processes to both.
2. $X + X \rightarrow b + \bar{b}$ (CP-conserving, conserves b -number), and its inverse process.
3. $b + b \rightarrow \bar{b} + \bar{b}$ (CP-violating, violates b -number by 4 units), and its CP-conjugate, which is also the inverse process, $\bar{b} + \bar{b} \rightarrow b + b$, which necessarily occur given the above first set of processes.

With the above reactions in the thermal bath, the Boltzmann equations governing the

¹In this toy model we assume b carries only b -number, which may be violated, but no other conserved charge. A realistic model involving the SM quarks and leptons will necessarily require the introduction of more particles to be consistent with charge conservation. However, the basic dynamics of baryon asymmetry generation as shown through the Boltzmann system for the number densities will remain the same. See Refs. [11, 25] for further discussions.

number densities of the X and b particles are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dn_X}{dt} + 3Hn_X &= - \int \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p_i}} g_X^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) 2 \left[\left(|M|_{XX \rightarrow bb}^2 f_X(p_1) f_X(p_2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - |M|_{bb \rightarrow XX}^2 f_b(p_3) f_b(p_4) \right) + \left(|M|_{XX \rightarrow \bar{b}\bar{b}}^2 f_X(p_1) f_X(p_2) - |M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow XX}^2 f_{\bar{b}}(p_3) f_{\bar{b}}(p_4) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(|M|_{XX \rightarrow \bar{b}b}^2 f_X(p_1) f_X(p_2) - |M|_{\bar{b}b \rightarrow XX}^2 f_{\bar{b}}(p_3) f_b(p_4) \right) \right] \\
\frac{dn_b}{dt} + 3Hn_b &= \int \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p_i}} g_b^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \left[2 \left(|M|_{XX \rightarrow bb}^2 f_X(p_1) f_X(p_2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - |M|_{bb \rightarrow XX}^2 f_b(p_3) f_b(p_4) \right) + 2 \left(|M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow bb}^2 f_{\bar{b}}(p_1) f_{\bar{b}}(p_2) - |M|_{bb \rightarrow \bar{b}\bar{b}}^2 f_b(p_3) f_b(p_4) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(|M|_{XX \rightarrow \bar{b}b}^2 f_X(p_1) f_X(p_2) - |M|_{\bar{b}b \rightarrow XX}^2 f_{\bar{b}}(p_3) f_b(p_4) \right) \right], \tag{2.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where g_X and g_b are the internal degrees of freedom for X and b respectively. Appropriate symmetry factors to be included, to take into account identical particles in the initial and final states, have been kept implicit. The Boltzmann equation for the number density of \bar{b} can be obtained by replacing b with \bar{b} everywhere in the above equation for n_b . The amplitudes of the CP-violating processes are related by CPT and unitarity, such that, given an initial state bb , we obtain the following relation:

$$\int dPS_2 |M|_{bb \rightarrow XX}^2 + \int dPS_2 |M|_{bb \rightarrow \bar{b}\bar{b}}^2 = \int dPS_2 |M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow XX}^2 + \int dPS_2 |M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow bb}^2, \tag{2.2}$$

where the integrals sum over the momenta of the final state particles, as well as any other discrete labels carried by X , b and \bar{b} . This relation implies that the CP-violation in the two different channels, namely, $b + b \rightarrow X + X$ and $b + b \rightarrow \bar{b} + \bar{b}$ are related. We can define the CP-violation parameter, as a function of the four-momenta of the particles involved, for the $bb \rightarrow XX$ scattering process as follows:

$$\epsilon(p_i) = \frac{|M|_{bb \rightarrow XX}^2 - |M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow XX}^2}{|M|_{bb \rightarrow XX}^2 + |M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow XX}^2} \tag{2.3}$$

It is convenient to re-write the Boltzmann equations in terms of the thermally averaged symmetric and asymmetric reaction rates, $\langle \sigma v \rangle_0$ and $\langle \epsilon \sigma v \rangle_0$, respectively, where the latter is defined as follows:

$$\langle \epsilon \sigma v \rangle_0 = \frac{\int \prod_{i=1}^4 \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{p_i}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \epsilon(p_i) |M_0|^2 f_0(p_1) f_0(p_2)}{\int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3} f_0(p_1) f_0(p_2)}, \tag{2.4}$$

where, $|M_0|^2 = |M|_{bb \rightarrow XX}^2 + |M|_{\bar{b}\bar{b} \rightarrow XX}^2$, $f_0(p) = e^{-\frac{E(p)}{T}}$ is the equilibrium distribution function with zero chemical potential, and $Y_0 = \frac{1}{s} \int \frac{d^3 p_i}{(2\pi)^3} g f_0(p)$, with s being the entropy

density of the Universe. We can similarly define $\langle\sigma v\rangle_0$, by removing the $\epsilon(p_i)$ factor in Eq. 2.4. Further defining the dimensionless variables $Y_X = n_X/s$, $Y_B = (n_b - n_{\bar{b}})/s$ and $x = m_X/T$, we arrive at the following Boltzmann equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dY_X}{dx} &= -\frac{s}{Hx} (\langle\sigma v\rangle_0 + \langle\sigma v\rangle_A) (Y_X^2 - Y_{0,X}^2) \\ \frac{dY_B}{dx} &\simeq -\frac{s}{Hx} \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_0 (Y_X^2 - Y_{0,X}^2).\end{aligned}\quad (2.5)$$

Here, H is the Hubble expansion rate, and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ is the thermally averaged reaction rate for the CP-conserving annihilation channel $X + X \rightarrow b + \bar{b}$. In writing these equations, we have assumed that X and b particles are in kinetic equilibrium with the SM plasma throughout the evolution by undergoing elastic scattering processes. In writing the second equation, we have worked under the approximation that in the epoch of interest, the pseudo-chemical potentials developed by b and \bar{b} are small and can still be ignored [11]. Considerations in this regime are sufficient to illustrate the primary point of our discussion.

As we can clearly see from Eq. 2.5, the CP-conserving annihilation rate does not directly enter the equation for Y_B , since these reactions conserve b -number, and the forward and backward reactions rates are also the same. However, $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ controls the out-of-equilibrium yield Y_X at the same footing as $\langle\sigma v\rangle_0$. And since Y_X in turn controls the evolution of Y_B , the value of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ becomes a crucial ingredient in determining the asymmetry in the b -sector. To quantitatively see the impact of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$, we solve the Boltzmann equations in Eq. 2.5 numerically, the results of which are shown in Fig. 1. In the left panel




Figure 1: Left Panel: Numerical solutions for the yields Y_X (blue solid line) and $|Y_B|$ (red solid line), as a function of m_X/T . For comparison, we also show the equilibrium distribution $Y_{0,X}$ (black dashed line). Right Panel: The ratio, Y_B^A/Y_B^0 , of the asymptotic values of Y_B , where Y_B^A and Y_B^0 are the yields with and without the CP-conserving annihilation process, respectively, as a function of the ratio of the reaction rates $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A/\langle\sigma v\rangle_0$. See text for details.

of Fig. 1, we show the numerical solutions for Y_X (blue solid line) and $|Y_B|$ (red solid line), as a function of m_X/T . For comparison, we also show the equilibrium distribution $Y_{0,X}$ (black dashed line). We have kept the X -particle mass $m_X = 100$ GeV and the effective CP-violation parameter $\epsilon_{\text{eff}} = 0.01$ fixed, where $\epsilon_{\text{eff}} = \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_0/\langle\sigma v\rangle_0$. For this figure, we have also kept the values of the reaction rates $\langle\sigma v\rangle_0$ and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ fixed. As we can see from this figure, Y_X tracks the equilibrium distribution function, until the X -particle decouples,

after which the value of Y_X freezes out. $|Y_B|$, on the other hand, increases with m_X/T , and eventually freezes-in to an asymptotic value.

The role of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ in indirectly determining Y_B is illustrated in the right panel of Fig. 1, where we show the ratio of the *asymptotic values* of Y_B , with (Y_B^A) and without (Y_B^0) the CP-conserving annihilation process. This ratio Y_B^A/Y_B^0 is shown as a function of the ratio of the reaction rates $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A/\langle\sigma v\rangle_0$. All the other parameters have been fixed to be the same as in Fig. 1 (left panel). As we can see from this figure, the effect of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ becomes important for values of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A \gtrsim \langle\sigma v\rangle_0$, and the asymptotic value of Y_B can be modified by upto one order of magnitude for $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A/\langle\sigma v\rangle_0 \sim 10$. Thus, the CP-violating parameters remaining the same, a change in the CP-conserving scattering rate can eventually alter the particle-antiparticle asymmetries by orders of magnitude. As can be seen from this figure, the asymptotic value of Y_B has an approximately power-law dependence on $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$, for $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A \gtrsim \langle\sigma v\rangle_0$. We have also checked this by an approximate analytical solution to the Boltzmann equations, which gives $Y_B \sim a/\langle\sigma v\rangle_A + b/\langle\sigma v\rangle_A^2$, where a and b are constants determined in terms of the other reaction rates, as well as relevant parameters for the degrees of freedom involved.

3 Example-2: Asymmetric dark matter from scattering

We now move onto an example in asymmetric dark matter production from scattering. We briefly discuss a simple model for this purpose, the details of which will be reported elsewhere [26]. Consider a complex scalar DM χ , which is stabilized by a Z_2 symmetry (with a multiplicative charge of -1), interacting with itself and a Z_2 even real scalar ϕ . The scalar ϕ can mix with or decay to SM states, thereby maintaining kinetic equilibrium of the DM sector with the SM bath as well. In the subsequent analyses, we have taken ϕ to be much lighter than χ . Consistent with the Z_2 symmetry, we can write the following reactions involving the χ and ϕ particles:

1. $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \chi^\dagger$ (CP-violating, violates DM number by 4 units)
2. $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi + \chi^\dagger$ (CP-violating, violates DM number by 2 units)
3. $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \phi + \phi$ (CP-violating, violates DM number by 2 units)
4. $\chi + \chi^\dagger \rightarrow \phi + \phi$ (CP-conserving, conserves DM number)

For all the above processes, the inverse reactions are to be included, along with the CP-conjugate ones for the first three CP-violating processes. Here, we have defined χ to have a DM number of 1, while for χ^\dagger it is -1 . In addition to the above processes, elastic scattering reactions that do not violate the DM number or the net DM and anti-DM numbers also exist, such as $\chi + \phi \rightarrow \chi + \phi$. It is easy to see that all the above processes are realized by a Lagrangian density including all possible terms allowed by the Z_2 symmetry [26]. For the present analysis, we shall parametrise the microphysics in terms of the following thermally averaged reactions rates: $\langle\sigma v\rangle_1$ and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_1$ (for $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \chi^\dagger$), $\langle\sigma v\rangle_2$ and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_2$ (for $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi + \chi^\dagger$), $\langle\sigma v\rangle_3$ and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_3$ (for $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \phi + \phi$) and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ (for $\chi + \chi^\dagger \rightarrow \phi + \phi$). Here,

as in the previous section, $\langle\sigma v\rangle_i$'s and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_i$'s are the thermally averaged symmetric and asymmetric reaction rates, respectively, for the different scattering processes, computed at the next-to-leading order, with and without the CP-violation parameter $\epsilon(p_i)$ included.

Following similar steps in reducing the Boltzmann equations as in the previous section, we arrive at the evolution equations for the symmetric ($Y_S = Y_\chi + Y_{\chi^\dagger}$) and asymmetric yields ($Y_{\Delta\chi} = Y_\chi - Y_{\chi^\dagger}$):

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dY_S}{dx} &= -\frac{s}{2Hx} \left[\langle\sigma v\rangle_A (Y_S^2 - Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 - 4Y_0^2) + \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 \left(\frac{Y_S^2 + Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 - 4Y_0^2}{2} \right) - \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_S Y_S Y_{\Delta\chi} \right] \\ \frac{dY_{\Delta\chi}}{dx} &= -\frac{s}{2Hx} \left[\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_S \left(\frac{Y_S^2 - 4Y_0^2}{2} \right) + \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_A \frac{Y_{\Delta\chi}^2}{2} + \langle\sigma v\rangle_{all} Y_S Y_{\Delta\chi} \right].\end{aligned}\quad (3.1)$$

Here, we have defined, $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_S = \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_1 + \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_2$, $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_A = \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_1 - \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_2$ and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{all} = 2\langle\sigma v\rangle_1 + \langle\sigma v\rangle_2 + \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$. In writing these equations, we have used the unitarity sum rule relating the $\langle\sigma v\rangle_i$'s, similar to Eq. 2.2, and have eliminated $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_3$, in terms of the other two asymmetric rates.




Figure 2: Left Panel: The ratio, $Y_{\Delta\chi}^A / Y_{\Delta\chi}^0$, where $Y_{\Delta\chi}^A$ and $Y_{\Delta\chi}^0$ are the asymptotic values of the yield $Y_{\Delta\chi}$, with and without the CP-conserving annihilation process, respectively, as a function of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$. Also shown are the corresponding values of the DM relic abundance $\Omega_\chi h^2$. Right Panel: The final particle-antiparticle asymmetry parameter $r_\infty = |Y_{\Delta\chi}| / Y_S$, as a function of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$. See text for details. Both the figures are for the asymmetric DM model from scattering discussed in Sec. 3.

Solving Eqs. 3.1 numerically we can determine the impact of the CP-conserving annihilation process in modifying the asymmetric yield. Similar to the previous section, we thus show the ratio $Y_{\Delta\chi}^A / Y_{\Delta\chi}^0$, where $Y_{\Delta\chi}^A$ and $Y_{\Delta\chi}^0$ are the asymptotic values of the yield $Y_{\Delta\chi}$, with and without the CP-conserving annihilation process, respectively. We show the yield ratio as a function of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$ in Fig. 2 (left panel), with the symmetric and asymmetric reaction rates for the CP-violating processes, and the DM mass, kept fixed. As before, for $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A \gtrsim \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$, we see that $Y_{\Delta\chi}$ changes significantly, by a factor of 10 for $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 \sim 75$. For our choice of parameters, $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 \sim 60$ is also

necessary to satisfy the DM relic abundance requirement of $\Omega_\chi h^2 = 0.12$ [27]. We have shown the value of $\Omega_\chi h^2$ for different values of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$ in Fig. 2 (left panel) as well. For $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 > 100$, the DM species is underabundant, since the CP-conserving process here plays a dual role of both changing $Y_{\Delta\chi}$, as well as reducing the symmetric DM component [26].

We can solve Eqs. 3.1 analytically near freeze-out, and obtain the following expression for $|Y_{\Delta\chi}(x)|$ ²

$$|Y_{\Delta\chi}(x)| = \frac{2Hx}{s} \frac{\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_S}{(2\langle\sigma v\rangle_A + \langle\sigma v\rangle_3)\langle\sigma v\rangle_{all} + \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_S^2} \quad (3.2)$$

Thus we see that *in our parametrization* of the Boltzmann Eqs. 3.1, which utilizes the unitarity sum rules to eliminate $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_3$, $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_S$ acts as a source term for the asymmetric yield $Y_{\Delta\chi}$, while both $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_3$ act to reduce $Y_{\Delta\chi}(x)$.

In the right panel of Fig. 2, we also show the final particle-antiparticle asymmetry parameter $r_\infty = |Y_{\Delta\chi}|/Y_S$, as a function of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$. As we can see $0 \leq r_\infty \leq 1$, where $r_\infty = 0$ corresponds to the completely symmetric limit, in which the asymptotic yields of the DM and anti-DM are the same, while $r_\infty = 1$ corresponds to the completely asymmetric limit, in which only either the DM or the anti-DM species survives. We find that as we increase the value of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3$, $r_\infty \rightarrow 1$, and a completely asymmetric DM with the required relic abundance is obtained for $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 \sim 60$.

We note in passing that due to the threshold nature of the first two scattering processes, $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \chi^\dagger$ and $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi + \chi^\dagger$, the corresponding reaction rates will have non-trivial x -dependence in the leading term itself, whose effect would be discussed in Ref. [26]. For simplicity, we have taken $\langle\sigma v\rangle_{1,2}$ to be constants here, without affecting the primary results of this discussion.

4 Example-3: Asymmetric dark matter from semi-annihilation

In the last example, we consider the possibility of producing asymmetric dark matter from semi-annihilation [23]. As discussed in detail in Ref. [23], the minimal implementation of such a scenario involves a complex scalar DM particle χ which is stabilized by a Z_3 symmetry, with charge ω , where $\omega^3 = 1$. Similar to the previous scenario, we also require an additional real singlet scalar ϕ with Z_3 charge of 1, which can mix with or decay to SM sector fields. Consistent with the Z_3 symmetry, we can write the following reactions involving the χ and ϕ particles:

1. $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \phi$ (CP-violating semi-annihilation process, violates DM number by 3 units)
2. $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \phi + \phi$ (CP-violating higher-order semi-annihilation, violates DM number by 3 units)
3. $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \chi^\dagger + \chi$ (CP-violating self-interaction, violates DM number by 3 units)

²We have presented a detailed discussion of the analytic solutions to the system of Boltzmann Eqs. 3.1 in Ref. [26].

4. $\chi + \chi^\dagger \rightarrow \phi + \phi$ (CP-conserving pair-annihilation, conserves DM number)

As in the previous section, for all the above processes, the inverse reactions are to be included, along with the CP-conjugate ones for the first three CP-violating processes, and in addition to the above processes, elastic scattering reactions also exist. It can be shown that all the above processes are realized by a Lagrangian density including all possible terms allowed by the Z_3 symmetry [23]. For the present analysis, we shall parametrise the microphysics in terms of the following thermally averaged reactions rates: $\langle\sigma v\rangle_1$ and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_1$ (for $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \phi$), $\langle\sigma v\rangle_2$ and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_2$ (for $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \phi + \phi$), $\langle\sigma v\rangle_3$ and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_3$ (for $\chi + \chi \rightarrow \chi^\dagger + \chi^\dagger + \chi$) and $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$ (for $\chi + \chi^\dagger \rightarrow \phi + \phi$). Here, as in the previous section, $\langle\sigma v\rangle_i$'s and $\langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_i$'s are the thermally averaged symmetric and asymmetric reaction rates, respectively.

Following similar steps in reducing the Boltzmann equations as in the previous section, we arrive at the evolution equations for the symmetric ($Y_S = Y_\chi + Y_{\chi^\dagger}$) and asymmetric yields ($Y_{\Delta\chi} = Y_\chi - Y_{\chi^\dagger}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dY_S}{dx} &= -\frac{s}{8Hx} \left[\langle\sigma v\rangle_S (Y_S^2 + Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 - 2Y_0Y_S) + \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 \left(Y_S^2 \left(\frac{Y_S}{2Y_0} - 1 \right) - Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 \left(\frac{Y_S}{2Y_0} + 1 \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4\langle\sigma v\rangle_A (Y_S^2 - Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 - 4Y_0^2) + \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_3 \frac{Y_{\Delta\chi}}{2Y_0} (Y_S^2 - Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 + 4Y_0^2 - 8Y_0Y_S) \right] \\ \frac{dY_{\Delta\chi}}{dx} &= -\frac{3s}{4Hx} \left[\langle\sigma v\rangle_S Y_{\Delta\chi} (Y_S + Y_0) + \langle\sigma v\rangle_3 Y_{\Delta\chi} \left(Y_S + \frac{Y_S^2 - Y_{\Delta\chi}^2}{4Y_0} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle\epsilon\sigma v\rangle_3 \frac{Y_S}{4Y_0} (Y_S^2 - Y_{\Delta\chi}^2 - 4Y_0^2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where, we have defined $\langle\sigma v\rangle_S = \langle\sigma v\rangle_1 + \langle\sigma v\rangle_2$. Here, the thermal average rate $\langle\sigma v\rangle_3$ has a significant x -dependence, given by $\langle\sigma v\rangle_3 = \frac{1+2x}{\sqrt{\pi x}} e^{-x} (\sigma v_3)_s$, where $(\sigma v_3)_s$ is an x -independent s-wave piece, and the exponential suppression factor stems from the phase-space cost for producing an extra particle in the final state [28].

By solving Eqs. 4.1 numerically, we find the ratio of the asymmetric yields, as before, with and without the CP-conserving annihilation process, and show the results in the left panel of Fig. 3, as a function of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_S$. In the right panel of the same figure, we also show the final asymmetry parameter r_∞ as defined in the previous section. Compared to the scenario in Sec. 3, the r_∞ curve shows more features with the change in $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A / \langle\sigma v\rangle_S$. As mentioned in the previous section, this strongly shows the dual role played by the CP-conserving annihilation channel – that of reducing the value of $Y_{\Delta\chi}$ to begin with, and thus trying to reduce the asymmetry on the one hand, and at the same time of removing the symmetric component of the DM particles, and thus generating a competing effect to increase the final asymmetry. Initially, the second effect wins, thereby pushing r_∞ towards 1, but eventually for very large values of $\langle\sigma v\rangle_A$, the first effect dominates, reducing r_∞ to be lower than 1.




Figure 3: Same as Fig. 2, for the asymmetric DM from semi-annihilation scenario discussed in Sec. 4. See text for details.

5 Summary

To summarize, we have studied the role of CP-conserving annihilation processes in producing cosmological particle-antiparticle asymmetries. We have shown that they can play a significant role in generating the asymmetries in scenarios for which the dominant CP-violating processes are scattering reactions. The primary reason for this effect is the fact that the CP-conserving annihilations can control the out-of-equilibrium number densities of the relevant particles in the thermal bath. These out-of-equilibrium number densities, along with the rate of CP-violation, in turn, determine the generated asymmetry. We have illustrated this effect by studying three example scenarios. In the first scenario, we discussed a toy model for baryogenesis, the framework of which is also applicable to leptogenesis, in which the dominant CP-violating reactions are the pair-annihilations of a self-conjugate particle X to a pair of baryons (b) or anti-baryons (\bar{b}), while the corresponding CP-conserving process is the pair-annihilation of X to a baryon-antibaryon pair. We found that for values of the CP-conserving reaction rate larger than or equal to the CP-violating one, the asymmetric yield of the baryon-antibaryon system ($Y_B = Y_b - Y_{\bar{b}}$) is significantly modified – by an order of magnitude, if the reaction rates also differ by the same factor. This indicates a power-law behaviour of Y_B as a function of the CP-conserving annihilation rate, which we also found with an analytic approximation.

Subsequently, we studied two scenarios of asymmetric DM production from annihilations. In the first scenario, a complex scalar DM field stabilized by a Z_2 symmetry undergoes CP-violating self-scatterings, as well as annihilations of a pair of DM or anti-DM particles to a lighter SM-coupled species ϕ . In this case the annihilations of the DM-anti-DM pair to two ϕ particles constitutes the relevant CP-conserving annihilation process. In the second scenario, we have a complex scalar DM field stabilized by a Z_3 symmetry which undergoes CP-violating semi-annihilation processes, in which a pair of DM particles annihilate to an anti-DM and a neutral scalar ϕ . In addition to the semi-annihilation process, there are two other relevant CP-violating reactions that are relevant – a higher order semi-annihilation process with an additional ϕ particle in the final state, and a self

scattering process. The CP-conserving pair annihilation process remains the same in this scenario as well.

In both the DM scenarios studied, we find that, as before, the asymmetric yields are significantly affected by the presence of the CP-conserving processes. The final particle-antiparticle asymmetry in these cases is also affected by the subsequent annihilation of the symmetric components, thereby rendering a dual role to the CP-conserving reactions. Such a dual role is not observed in the baryogenesis scenario, as the parent and daughter particles involved are different, and the asymmetry is generated in the daughter sector. In the DM scenarios, on the other hand, the DM particles can be both the parent and the daughters in different reactions, and the asymmetry is also generated in the DM sector itself.

As these examples demonstrate, the role of CP-conserving scattering processes in generating particle-antiparticle asymmetries is significant, and therefore these processes should be incorporated while studying models for baryogenesis, leptogenesis and asymmetric DM production through scattering. It would also be of interest to study particular scenarios in which CP-conserving scatterings have comparable reaction rates as CP-violating decays, thereby making them important for generating the asymmetries in those scenarios as well.

Acknowledgment

The work of AG is partially supported by the RECAPP, Harish-Chandra Research Institute, and the work of DG is supported by CSIR, Government of India, under the NET JRF fellowship scheme with award file No. 09/080(1071)/2018-EMR-I.

References

- [1] For a summary, see, for example, S. Weinberg, “Cosmology,” Oxford, UK: Oxford Univ. Pr. (2008) 593 p.
- [2] M. Yoshimura, “Unified Gauge Theories and the Baryon Number of the Universe,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **41** (1978) 281 Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979) 746].
- [3] A. Y. Ignatiev, N. V. Krasnikov, V. A. Kuzmin and A. N. Tavkhelidze, “Universal CP Noninvariant Superweak Interaction and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe,” Phys. Lett. **76B** (1978) 436.
- [4] I. Affleck and M. Dine, “A New Mechanism for Baryogenesis,” Nucl. Phys. B **249** (1985) 361.
- [5] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, “On the Anomalous Electroweak Baryon Number Nonconservation in the Early Universe,” Phys. Lett. **155B** (1985) 36.
- [6] S. Weinberg, “Cosmological Production of Baryons,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979) 850.
- [7] D. V. Nanopoulos and S. Weinberg, “Mechanisms for Cosmological Baryon Production,” Phys. Rev. D **20** (1979), 2484
- [8] M. Yoshimura, “Origin of Cosmological Baryon Asymmetry,” Phys. Lett. **88B** (1979) 294.
- [9] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, “Baryogenesis Without Grand Unification,” Phys. Lett. B **174** (1986) 45.

- [10] A. Sakharov, “Violation of CP Invariance, C asymmetry, and baryon asymmetry of the universe,” *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **34** (1991) no.5, 392-393.
- [11] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, “The Early Universe,” *Front. Phys.* **69** (1990) 1.
- [12] W. Buchmuller, R. D. Peccei and T. Yanagida, “Leptogenesis as the origin of matter,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **55** (2005), 311-355
- [13] S. Davidson, E. Nardi and Y. Nir, “Leptogenesis,” *Phys. Rept.* **466** (2008), 105-177
- [14] L. Bento and Z. Berezhiani, “Leptogenesis via collisions: The Lepton number leaking to the hidden sector,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 231304.
- [15] E. Nardi, J. Racker and E. Roulet, “CP violation in scatterings, three body processes and the Boltzmann equations for leptogenesis,” *JHEP* **09** (2007), 090.
- [16] P. H. Gu and U. Sarkar, “Annihilating Leptogenesis,” *Phys. Lett. B* **679** (2009) 118.
- [17] Y. Cui, L. Randall and B. Shuve, “A WIMPY Baryogenesis Miracle,” *JHEP* **04** (2012), 075,
- [18] N. Bernal, F. Josse-Michaux and L. Ubaldi, “Phenomenology of WIMPY baryogenesis models,” *JCAP* **01** (2013), 034.
- [19] N. Bernal, S. Colucci, F. Josse-Michaux, J. Racker and L. Ubaldi, “On baryogenesis from dark matter annihilation,” *JCAP* **10** (2013), 035.
- [20] J. Kumar and P. Stengel, “WIMPY Leptogenesis With Absorptive Final State Interactions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) no.5, 055016.
- [21] I. Baldes, N. F. Bell, K. Petraki and R. R. Volkas, “Particle-antiparticle asymmetries from annihilations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) no.18, 181601.
- [22] I. Baldes, N. F. Bell, A. J. Millar and R. R. Volkas, “Asymmetric Dark Matter and CP Violating Scatterings in a UV Complete Model,” *JCAP* **1510** (2015) 048.
- [23] A. Ghosh, D. Ghosh and S. Mukhopadhyay, “Asymmetric dark matter from semi-annihilation,” *JHEP* **08** (2020), 149
- [24] F. D’Eramo and J. Thaler, “Semi-annihilation of Dark Matter,” *JHEP* **1006** (2010) 109.
- [25] E. W. Kolb and S. Wolfram, *Nucl. Phys. B* **172** (1980), 224 [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **195** (1982), 542]
- [26] A. Ghosh, D. Ghosh and S. Mukhopadhyay, “Self-interacting asymmetric dark matter from annihilation”, *to appear*.
- [27] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], “Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters,” arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO].
- [28] D. Bhatia and S. Mukhopadhyay, “Unitarity limits on thermal dark matter in (non-)standard cosmologies,” [arXiv:2010.09762 [hep-ph]].