

## ISOMETRIES OF THE QUATERNIONIC HYPERBOLIC LINE

JAIME L. O. CHAMORRO

ABSTRACT. We give a classification of the matrices in the unitary group  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ , where  $\mathbb{H}$  is the division ring of the real quaternions. To this end, we consider the complex representation  $\phi(P)$  for  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ . Next, we compute the characteristic polynomial  $f$  of the  $4 \times 4$  complex matrix  $\phi(P)$  and then study the sign of the resultant of  $f$  and its derivative  $f'$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The general linear group  $GL(2, \mathbb{H})$  of all  $2 \times 2$  non-singular quaternionic matrices acts on the quaternionic projective line  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  by right colineations, inducing an isometric action of the unitary group  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H}) \subset GL(2, \mathbb{H})$  on the projective model of the quaternionic hyperbolic line  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1 \subset \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ . On *ball model* or *Siegel domain* of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ , such action is given by *Möbius transformations*

$$(1) \quad g(x) = (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1,$$

with  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ .

Matrices in  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  were classified in [1] by studying the fixed points of (1). For that purpose, in [1] all solutions of the quaternionic polynomial equation  $xcx + xd - ax - b = 0$  are determined by solving  $t^2 - (d + \bar{c}^{-1}b\bar{d})t + \bar{c}^{-1}b = 0$ , with  $t = cx + d$ .

In this work, we present an alternative approach to the classification of matrices  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  by studying the eigenvalues of its complex representation  $\phi(P)$  (see [7, 4]), which is a  $4 \times 4$  complex matrix whose characteristic polynomial has the form  $t^4 - 2\tau t^3 + \rho t^2 - 2\tau t + 1$ , where  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  are real numbers. This approach has been inspired by [5, section 3.5]. The main goal of the paper is the following

**Theorem 1.** *For any  $P = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ , let  $\tau = \operatorname{Re}(a + d)$  and  $\rho = 2 + |c - \bar{b}|^2 + 4\operatorname{Re}(a)\operatorname{Re}(d)$ . If  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the regions in the  $(\tau, \rho)$ -plane, defined by  $4|\tau| - 2 \leq \rho \leq \tau^2 + 2$  and  $\rho \geq \tau^2 + 2$ , respectively. Then for  $P \neq \pm I$  we have that*

(a)  *$P$  is elliptic if and only if either*

$$(\tau, \rho) \in R_1 \setminus R_1 \cap R_2$$

---

*Date:* August 26, 2021.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51M10, 15A16.

*Key words and phrases.* Hyperbolic Geometry, Eigenvalues.

or

$$(\tau, \rho) \in R_1 \cap R_2 \setminus \{(\pm 2, 6)\}, \operatorname{Re}(a) = \operatorname{Re}(d) \text{ and } c = \bar{b}.$$

(b)  $P$  is parabolic if and only if either

$$(\tau, \rho) = (\pm 2, 6)$$

or

$$(\tau, \rho) \in R_1 \cap R_2 \setminus \{(\pm 2, 6)\} \text{ and either } \operatorname{Re}(a) \neq \operatorname{Re}(d) \text{ or } c \neq \bar{b}.$$

(c)  $P$  is loxodromic if and only if  $(\tau, \rho) \in R_2 \setminus R_1 \cap R_2$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review some basic facts on quaternions, hyperbolic quaternionic spaces and right eigenvalues of square quaternionic matrices. In Section 3, we prove Corollary 3.2 which provides the classification of substantial right eigenvalues of matrices in  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ . In particular, we give a geometric description of elliptic, loxodromic and parabolic isometries of the quaternionic hyperbolic line. Then, in Section 4, we give a proof of Theorem 1, by using Corollary 3.2 to study parameters  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  in terms of substantial right eigenvalues of matrices in  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ . Moreover, in Theorem 2, we give explicit expressions for the substantial right eigenvalues in terms of  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARES

**2.1. Quaternions.** Recall that, the the division ring of the real quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$  is generated by symbols  $i, j, k$  satisfying

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1.$$

So, given  $q \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have  $q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k$  for some  $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Analogously to complex numbers, *conjugate*, *norm*, *real* and *imaginary parts* of a quatenion  $q$  are defined respectively by

$$\bar{q} = q_0 - q_1i - q_2j - q_3k, |q| = \sqrt{q\bar{q}}, \operatorname{Re}(q) = \frac{q + \bar{q}}{2} \text{ and } \operatorname{Im}(q) = \frac{q - \bar{q}}{2}.$$

Also, recall that the norm is multiplicative,  $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{|q|^2}$  if  $q \neq 0$ , and the center of  $\mathbb{H}$  is the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, a quaternion is called *imaginary* if its real part is zero, and any real subalgebra of  $\mathbb{H}$  which is generated by a non zero imaginary quaternion is isomorphic to the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Hence, we identify, as usual,  $\mathbb{C}$  with the real subalgebra generate by  $i$ .

We say that  $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$  are *similar* if there exists non zero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $p = \lambda^{-1}q\lambda$ . Clearly, similarity is an equivalence relation. In fact, two quaternions are similar if and only if its real parts and norms are equal [2, lemma 1.2.2]. Hence, any  $q \in \mathbb{H}$  is similar to  $\operatorname{Re}(q) \pm |\operatorname{Im}(q)|i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then for  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $q$  is similar to  $p$  if and only if  $q = p$  and the similarity class of  $q \notin \mathbb{R}$  contains only one complex number with positive imaginary part, since  $\bar{z} = -jzj$ , for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**2.2. Quaternionic Hyperbolic Spaces.** Let  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$  be the right vector space on  $\mathbb{H}$  of all column matrices with  $n + 1$  elements in  $\mathbb{H}$ . We consider here a non-degenerate Hermitian bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  of signature  $(1, \dots, 1, -1)$  on  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , and denoted by  $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$  the Hermitian space  $(\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *A non-zero vector  $X \in \mathbb{H}^{n,1}$  is called negative, isotropic or positive if  $\langle X, X \rangle$  is negative, zero or positive, respectively. The sets of all negative, isotropic and positive vectors are denoted respectively by  $V_-$ ,  $V_0$  and  $V_+$ .*

For all non-zero  $X \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , let  $X\mathbb{H}$  be the one-dimensional right vector subspace on  $\mathbb{H}$  generated by  $X$ . The set  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  of all  $X\mathbb{H}$  is the  $\mathbb{H}$ -projective space. Negative, isotropic and positive points  $X\mathbb{H} \in \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  are given by negative, isotropic and positive vectors  $X$ , respectively. In this way, the *projective model* of the *quaternionic hyperbolic space*  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  is the set of all negative points in  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ . Also, its *ideal boundary* which is denoted by  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ , is given by the set of all isotropic points in  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ .

The unitary group  $U(n, 1; \mathbb{H})$  is the subgroup of all matrices  $P \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{H})$  such that

$$(2) \quad \langle PX, PY \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathbb{H}^{n,1}$$

Given a non-singular matrix  $P \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{H})$ , the *collineation* induced by  $P$  is the (real analytic) diffeomorphism  $\tilde{P}$  of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  given by  $X\mathbb{H} \mapsto (PX)\mathbb{H}$ . Clearly, collineations induced by elements of  $U(n, 1; \mathbb{H})$  leave  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ ,  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{H}}^n - (\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \cup \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n)$  invariant. In fact,  $U(n, 1; \mathbb{H})$  acts transitively on  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  by isometries with kernel  $\{\pm I\}$ . So,  $\mathbf{P}U(n, 1; \mathbb{H}) := U(n, 1; \mathbb{H})/\{\pm I\}$  acts isometrically and effectively on  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  [2, section 2.2].

Let  $\Pi$  be an affine right hyperplane of  $\mathbb{H}^{n,1}$  which is orthogonal to some negative vector. If  $\Pi \cap V_- \neq \emptyset$ , we have the (real analytic) diffeomorphism  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \rightarrow \Pi \cap V_-$ ,  $X\mathbb{H} \mapsto X\mathbb{H} \cap \Pi$  which extends naturally to a homeomorphism  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \cup \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \rightarrow \Pi \cap (V_- \cup V_0)$ . In fact,  $\Pi \cap V_-$  is homeomorphic to an open ball in  $\Pi \simeq \mathbb{R}^{4n}$ , with boundary  $\Pi \cap V_0 \simeq \mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$ , which is called the *ball model* for  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ . It follows from Brouwer's fixed-point theorem that any collineation has a fixed point in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \cup \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ . In this sense we have the following

**Definition 2.2.** *We say that  $P \in U(n, 1; \mathbb{H})$  (resp.  $\tilde{P}$ ) is*

- (1) *elliptic if  $\tilde{P}$  has a fixed point in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ ,*
- (2) *parabolic if  $\tilde{P}$  has exactly one fixed point in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \cup \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  which lies on  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ ,*
- (3) *loxodromic if  $\tilde{P}$  has exactly two fixed points in  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n \cup \partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  which belong to  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$ .*

In fact, Definition 2.2 covers all possibilities [2, section 3.1].

An unbounded model for  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  can be constructed in a similar way to the ball model. Namely, we choose  $\Pi$  to be orthogonal to some isotropic vector  $X_0$ . So  $\Pi \cap V_-$  is a connected open unbounded set of  $\Pi$  called *Siegel domain*. Here,  $\partial\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^n$  is identified with  $(\Pi \cap V_0) \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  is an ideal point corresponding to  $X_0\mathbb{H}$ .

Finally, recall that  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  is called *quaternionic hyperbolic line*.

**2.3. Right Eigenvalues of Quaternionic Matrices.** For quaternionic matrices we have two essentially different notions of eigenvalues, namely on the left and on the right. For our purposes, we need only the second one.

**Definition 2.3.** *Given a square quaternionic matrix  $P$ , we say that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}$  is a right eigenvalue of  $P$  if there exists a non zero column vector  $X$ , such that*

$$(3) \quad PX = X\lambda.$$

*In this case, we will refer to  $X$  as a right eigenvector of  $P$  associated to  $\lambda$*

On the one hand, we have that the sum of right eigenvectors is again a right eigenvector, all of them associated to the same eigenvalue. On the other hand, a right scalar multiple of a right eigenvector is again a right eigenvector, but these are associated to similar, not necessarily equal, eigenvalues. In fact, it follows from Equation (3) that, if  $\mu \in \mathbb{H}$  with  $\mu \neq 0$ , then,

$$P(X\mu) = (X\mu)\mu^{-1}\lambda\mu.$$

In particular, any quaternion similar to a right eigenvalue is also a right eigenvalue. So, if there exists a right eigenvalue, then necessarily there exists at least one complex right eigenvalue.

To find complex right eigenvalues of a square quaternionic matrix we need to associate it with a certain complex matrix. In fact, note that for any  $p \in \mathbb{H}$  there exist unique  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p = a + bj$ . In particular, given a quaternionic matrix  $P$ , by applying above decomposition to each of its elements, we get unique complex matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , such that  $P = A + Bj$ . We say that

$$\phi(P) := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -\bar{B} & \bar{A} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the *complex representation* of  $P$ . We will see below, in addition to other properties that, any eigenvalue of  $\phi(P)$  is also a right eigenvalue of  $P$ .

The next four propositions, have been proved in [7, Sec. 4, 5, 6] and [4].

**Proposition 2.4.** *We have that  $\chi : M_m(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow M_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $P \mapsto \phi(P)$ , is an injective homomorphism of real algebras such that*

- (1)  $\phi(P^*) = \phi(P)^*$ ;
- (2)  $\det(\phi(P)) \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Given  $P \in M_m(\mathbb{H})$ , we have that,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is a right eigenvalue of  $P$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\phi(P)$ . In particular, there exists at least one and at most  $m$  distinct similarity classes of right eigenvalues for  $P$ .*

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $P \in M_m(\mathbb{H})$ , then characteristic polynomial of its complex representation  $\phi(P)$  has the form*

$$(t - \lambda_1)(t - \bar{\lambda}_1)(t - \lambda_2)(t - \bar{\lambda}_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_m)(t - \bar{\lambda}_m),$$

*where either  $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $\lambda_\alpha$  has positive imaginary part, for all  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .*

**Definition 2.7.** *Complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  as in Proposition 2.6 are called substantial right eigenvalues of  $P$ .*

**Proposition 2.8.** *Given  $P \in M_2(\mathbb{H})$ , let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be its substantial right eigenvalues. We have that  $\phi(P)$  is similar to  $\begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{J} \end{bmatrix}$ , where either  $J = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $J = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ , with  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ . Moreover,  $P$  is similar to  $J$  and hence  $P$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $\phi(P)$  is diagonalizable.*

We end this section by giving a sufficient condition for a  $2 \times 2$  quaternionic matrix to be diagonalizable.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Given  $P \in M_2(\mathbb{H})$ , let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be its substantial right eigenvalues. If  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $P$  is diagonalizable.*

*Proof.* For  $\alpha = 1, 2$ , let  $X_\alpha$  be a right eigenvector of  $P$  associated to  $\lambda_\alpha$ . Consider  $\phi(X_\alpha)$  and denote by  $\xi_\alpha$  and  $-\eta_\alpha$  its columns. Clearly,  $\xi_\alpha$  and  $\eta_\alpha$  are linearly independent eigenvectors of  $\phi(P)$ , associated to  $\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\overline{\lambda_\alpha}$ , respectively. If  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are not similar, and hence  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are right linearly independent. In particular,  $\{\xi_1, \eta_1, \xi_2, \eta_2\}$  is linearly independent, since  $a_1\xi_1 + b_1\eta_1 + a_2\xi_2 + b_2\eta_2 = 0$  if and only if  $X_1(a_1 + \overline{b_1}j) + X_2(a_2 + \overline{b_2}j) = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3. THE GROUP $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$

As usually, for  $X, Y \in \mathbb{H}^{1,1}$  (see Section 2.2) we put  $\langle X, Y \rangle = X^*\mathbb{J}Y$ , where  $\mathbb{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus,  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  if and only if

$$(4) \quad P^*\mathbb{J}P = \mathbb{J}.$$

**3.1. Substantial Right Eigenvalues.** Now we will determine the substantial right eigenvalues of matrices in  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  then*

- (i)  $\det(\phi(P)) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\phi(P)$  if and only if  $\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of  $\phi(P)$ .

*Proof.* First, we apply  $\chi$  to Equation (4). For (i), use Proposition 2.4. For (ii), we have that  $\phi(P)$  and  $\phi(P)^*{}^{-1}$  are similar matrices since  $\phi(\mathbb{J})^2 = I$ .  $\square$

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 3.1 we get the following

**Corollary 3.2.** *Given  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be the substantial right eigenvalues of  $P$ . We have one and only one of the following possibilities*

- (i)  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \pm 1$ ;
- (ii)  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$ ;
- (iii)  $\lambda_1 = r$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r}$ , for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \neq -1, 0, 1$ ;
- (iv)  $\lambda_1 = \pm 1$  and  $\lambda_2 = e^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in ]0, \pi[$ ;
- (v)  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = e^{i\theta}$  for some  $\theta \in ]0, \pi[$ ;
- (vi)  $\lambda_1 = e^{i\theta_1}$  and  $\lambda_2 = e^{i\theta_2}$  for some  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in ]0, \pi[$ ,  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ ;
- (vii)  $\lambda_1 = re^{i\theta}$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta}$  for some  $r > 0$ ,  $r \neq 1$  and  $\theta \in ]0, \pi[$ .

**3.2. The action of  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  on quaternionic hyperbolic line.** The following two lemmas will be useful to describe the isometries of  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  induced by  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be right eigenvalues of  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  and let  $X, Y$  be right eigenvectors of  $P$  associated to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , respectively. Then*

(i) *If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ , then  $\langle X, X \rangle = 0$ .*

(ii) *For  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , if  $\bar{\lambda}\mu \neq 1$  and  $\lambda\mu \neq 1$ , then  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ .*

*Proof.* From Equation (2), we have that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle PX, PY \rangle = \langle X\lambda, Y\mu \rangle = \bar{\lambda}\langle X, Y \rangle\mu$ . Making  $X = Y$  and  $\lambda = \mu$  we get (i). Now, taking  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = a + bj$ , we get  $a = \bar{\lambda}\mu a$ ,  $b = \overline{\lambda\mu}b$  and (ii) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** ([2], Prop. 2.1.4). *If  $X \in \mathbb{H}^{1,1}$  then*

(i)  *$X \in V_-$  if and only if  $X^\perp \subset V_+$ .*

(ii)  *$X \in V_+$  if and only if  $X^\perp \subset V_-$ .*

(iii)  *$X \in V_0$  if and only if  $X^\perp = X\mathbb{H}$ .*

**3.2.1. Elliptic Case.** Let  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  as in Corollary 3.2 (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi), where for case (v) we assume that  $P$  is diagonalizable (see Proposition 2.8). For cases (ii), (iv) and (vi), Lemma 3.3 (ii) implies that right eigenvectors of  $P$  associated to  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are orthogonal. So, from Lemma 3.4 there exists a right orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$  with  $Pe_1 = e_1\lambda_1$  and  $Pe_2 = e_2\lambda_2$ . Note that this is also satisfied by case (v). In fact, as  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  then  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ , since  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = \langle Pe_1, Pe_2 \rangle = \bar{\lambda}\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle\lambda$ . So,  $W = e_1\mathbb{C} \oplus e_2\mathbb{C}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -hyperbolic subspace of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$  (see [2, Sec. 2.1]) with  $Pw = w\lambda$ , for any  $w \in W$ . In particular, we can suppose that  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $W$ , and hence an orthonormal right basis for  $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$ .

So, if  $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$ , we get  $\langle X, X \rangle = |X_1|^2 - |X_2|^2$  and  $PX = e_1\lambda X_1 + e_2\lambda X_2$ . Thus,  $x = X_1X_2^{-1}$  gives the ball model  $|x| < 1$  for  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  and the isometry induced by  $P$  is an euclidean motion (see [6]), namely

$$x \mapsto \lambda_1 x \lambda_2^{-1} = \begin{cases} -x, & \text{if } \lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = -1 \\ \pm x e^{-i\theta}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \pm 1, \lambda_2 = e^{i\theta} \\ e^{i\theta} x e^{-i\theta}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = e^{i\theta} \\ e^{i\theta_1} x e^{-i\theta_2}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 = e^{i\theta_1}, \lambda_2 = e^{i\theta_2} \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, if  $x = u + vj$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\lambda_1 x \lambda_2^{-1} = e^{i\alpha}u + e^{i\beta}vj$ , where

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} (\pi, \pi) \\ (-\theta, \theta), (\pi - \theta, \pi + \theta) \\ (0, 2\theta) \\ (\theta_1 - \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_2) \end{cases},$$

respectively. Note that,  $v = 0$  and  $u = 0$  are two totally geodesic surfaces [2, section 2.5] (in fact for case (v),  $v = 0$  corresponds to the projectivization of  $W$ ) which are both isometric to the complex hyperbolic line  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$  and intersects orthogonally at a single point, namely at  $x = 0$ . So, in all cases except (v)  $P$  acts by two simultaneous rotations

on  $v = 0$  and  $u = 0$  with unique fixed point  $x = 0$ . In case (v),  $P$  induces an isometry whose restriction to surface  $v = 0$  is the identity and acts by a rotation on the surface  $u = 0$ .

**3.2.2. Parabolic Case.** Let  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  as in Corollary 3.2 (i) and (v) assuming that  $P$  is not diagonalizable. Let  $\lambda$  be the unique substantial eigenvalue of  $P$ . From Proposition 2.8 we can take a right basis  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$  such that  $Pe_1 = e_1\lambda$  and  $Pe_2 = e_1 + e_2\lambda$ . Then

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle &= \bar{\lambda} \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle + \bar{\lambda} \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \lambda \\ \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle &= \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \lambda) + \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By first and second equations in (5) we get, respectively, that  $e_1 \in V_0$  and hence  $\operatorname{Re}(\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \lambda) = 0$ . Now, by setting  $\alpha = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$ , (5) entails that

$$\lambda\alpha = \alpha\lambda \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha\lambda) = 0,$$

where  $\alpha \neq 0$ , by Lemma 3.4 (iii). So, setting  $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$ , we get

$$\langle X, X \rangle = r|X_2|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{X_1}\alpha X_2) \text{ and } PX = e_1(\lambda X_1 + X_2) + e_2\lambda X_2,$$

with  $r = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle$ . Then, by making  $\xi = X_1X_2^{-1}$ , we obtain the Siegel domain  $r + \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\alpha}\xi) < 0$  for  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$ , and the isometry induced by  $P$  is given by

$$\xi \mapsto \lambda\xi\lambda^{-1} + \lambda^{-1} = \begin{cases} \xi \pm 1, & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ e^{i\theta}\xi e^{-i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}, & \text{if } \lambda = e^{i\theta} \end{cases}.$$

In the first case, we have a Heisenberg translation. In second case, we have the composition of a Heisenberg translation with a rotation (as in elliptic case (v)). In two cases, the isometry induced by  $P$  fixes only  $\infty$ .

**3.2.3. Loxodromic Case.** Let  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  as in Corollary 3.2 (iii), (vii). From Corollary 2.9 and Lemma 3.3 (i), there exists a right basis  $\{e_1, e_2\} \subset V_0$  of  $\mathbb{H}^{1,1}$  with  $Pe_1 = e_1\lambda_1$  and  $Pe_2 = e_2\lambda_2$ . By Lemma 3.4 (iii),  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \neq 0$ , so by substituting  $e_1$  with  $e_1\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle^{-1}$ , if necessary, we can suppose that  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 1$ . Now, by taking  $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$ , we get  $\langle X, X \rangle = 2\operatorname{Re}(\overline{X_1}X_2)$  and  $PX = e_1\lambda_1X_1 + e_2\lambda_2X_2$ . Thus, if  $\xi = X_1X_2^{-1}$ , Siegel domain for  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{H}}^1$  is given by  $\operatorname{Re}(\xi) < 0$  and the isometry induced by  $P$  is

$$\xi \mapsto \lambda_1\xi\lambda_2^{-1} = \begin{cases} r^2\xi, & \text{if } \lambda_1 = r, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r} \\ r^2e^{i\theta}\xi e^{-i\theta}, & \text{if } \lambda_1 = re^{i\theta}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta} \end{cases},$$

which preserves Riemannian geodesic with end points 0 and  $\infty$  and fixes only these two points. In the first case, we have an homothety. In the second case, we have composition of an homothety with a rotation (as in elliptic case (v)).

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

From Equation (4) we have that  $P = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  if and only if

$$|a|^2 - |b|^2 = 1, \quad \bar{a}c - \bar{b}d = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |c|^2 - |d|^2 = -1.$$

Now, consider the complex representation  $\phi(P)$  of  $P$ . On the one hand, by a direct computation, we have that characteristic polynomial  $f$  of  $\phi(P)$  is

$$(6) \quad f = t^4 - 2\tau t^3 + \rho t^2 - 2\tau t + 1,$$

where  $2\tau = \text{tr}(\phi(P)) = 2\text{Re}(a + d)$  and  $\rho = 2 + |c - \bar{b}|^2 + 4\text{Re}(a)\text{Re}(d)$ . On the other hand, from Proposition 2.6, we get

$$(7) \quad f = (t - \lambda_1)(t - \bar{\lambda}_1)(t - \lambda_2)(t - \bar{\lambda}_2),$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are the substantial right eigenvalues of  $P$ . So from equations (6), (7) and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$(8) \quad \tau = \text{Re}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho = |\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + 4\text{Re}(\lambda_1)\text{Re}(\lambda_2).$$

Thus, from Corollary 3.2 one readily gets the following

**Corollary 4.1.** *For any  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  let  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  be as in (8). We have one, and only one, of the following possibilities:*

- (i)  $\tau = \pm 2$  and  $\rho = 6$ ;
- (ii)  $\tau = 0$  and  $\rho = -2$ ;
- (iii)  $\tau = r + \frac{1}{r}$  and  $\rho = r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} + 4$ ;
- (iv)  $\tau = \pm 1 + \cos \theta$  and  $\rho = 2 \pm 4 \cos \theta$ ;
- (v)  $\tau = 2 \cos \theta$  and  $\rho = 2 + 4 \cos^2 \theta$ ;
- (vi)  $\tau = \cos \theta_1 + \cos \theta_2$  and  $\rho = 2 + 4 \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2$ ;
- (vii)  $\tau = (r + \frac{1}{r}) \cos \theta$  and  $\rho = r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} + 4 \cos^2 \theta$ .

Where  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $r \neq -1, 0, 1$  and  $\theta, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in ]0, \pi[$  with  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$ .

Now, recall that to know if a polynomial  $f$  has a repeated root it is enough to know if  $f$  and its derivative  $f'$  have a common root. For this, we can compute the *resultant* of  $f$  and  $f'$  [3, Lemma 3.3].

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$ , characteristic polynomial  $f$  of  $\phi(P)$  (see Equation (6)) has a repeated root if and only if*

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2\tau & \rho & -2\tau & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2\tau & \rho & -2\tau & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2\tau & \rho & -2\tau & 1 \\ 4 & -6\tau & 2\rho & -2\tau & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & -6\tau & 2\rho & -2\tau & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & -6\tau & 2\rho & -2\tau & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & -6\tau & 2\rho & -2\tau \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$



i.e.

$$(\rho + 4\tau + 2)(\rho - 4\tau + 2)(\rho - \tau^2 - 2)^2 = 0.$$

Note that from Corollary 3.2,  $(\rho + 4\tau + 2)(\rho - 4\tau + 2)(\rho - \tau^2 - 2)^2 \neq 0$  only in cases (vi) and (vii). It follows that the factors  $\rho \pm 4\tau + 2$ , and  $\rho - \tau^2 - 2$  give important discriminants, which are our main tool to find the class of  $P$ . In fact, in the  $(\tau, \rho)$ -plane consider the curves  $\rho = 4|\tau| - 2$  and  $\rho = \tau^2 + 2$ . These curves determine the regions  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  as in Theorem 1. So, from Corollary 4.1 we get

**Corollary 4.3.** *Seven cases in Corollary 3.2 are distributed as follows*

- (a) *For cases (ii), (iv) and (vi), one has that  $(\tau, \rho) \in R_1 - R_1 \cap R_2$ .*
- (b) *For cases (i) and (v), one has that  $(\tau, \rho) \in R_1 \cap R_2$ .*
- (c) *For cases (iii) and (vii), one has that  $(\tau, \rho) \in R_2 - R_1 \cap R_2$ .*

**Remark 4.4.**  *$P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  is called simple if  $P$  is similar in  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  to a complex matrix. When  $P$  is not simple, we say that  $P$  is compound. One has that [1, Prop. 1.2]*

$P = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  *is simple if and only if*

$$\operatorname{Re}(a) = \operatorname{Re}(d) \quad c = \bar{b}.$$

*Now, note that  $\rho = \tau^2 + 2$  (resp.  $\rho > \tau^2 + 2$ ,  $\rho < \tau^2 + 2$ ) if and only if  $|\bar{b} - c|^2 = \operatorname{Re}(a - d)^2$  (resp.  $|\bar{b} - c|^2 > \operatorname{Re}(a - d)^2$ ,  $|\bar{b} - c|^2 < \operatorname{Re}(a - d)^2$ ). So, simple elements of  $U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  appear only along the parabola  $\rho = \tau^2 + 2$ . However, taking  $d = -\bar{a}$  and  $c = -b$  with  $b = \operatorname{Re}(a) \neq 0$  and  $|\operatorname{Im}(a)| = 1$ , we get that  $P$  is compound and parabolic, with  $(\tau, \rho) = (0, 2)$  being the vertex of the parabola.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.* According to the discussion in sections 3.2.1, 3.2.2 and 3.2.3, cases (a) and (c) in Corollary 4.3 correspond, respectively, to elliptic and loxodromic isometries. While in case (b) we have both elliptic and parabolic isometries. So, to finish the proof, it is enough to analyze when matrix  $P$  is diagonalizable in case (b). This case correspond to  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ . On the one hand, if  $\lambda = \pm 1$ ,  $P$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $P = \pm I$ . On the other hand if  $\lambda \notin \mathbb{R}$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$ , from Proposition 2.8, we get that  $P$  is diagonalizable if and only if minimal polynomial of  $\phi(P)$  is

$$(t - \lambda)(t - \bar{\lambda}) = t^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)t + 1.$$

In this case,

$$\phi(P)^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)\phi(P) + I = 0.$$

From Proposition 2.4, the last equation means  $P^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)P + I = 0$ , or, equivalently

$$P + P^{-1} = 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)I.$$

But, if  $P = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $P^{-1} = \mathbb{J}P^*\mathbb{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & -\bar{c} \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{bmatrix}$  from Equation (4). Therefore,  $P$  is diagonalizable if and only if  $\operatorname{Re}(a) = \operatorname{Re}(d) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)$  and  $c = \bar{b}$ .  $\square$

Finally, as a byproduct one has explicit formulas for the substantial eigenvalues in terms of  $\tau$  and  $\rho$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Substantial right eigenvalues of  $P \in U(1, 1; \mathbb{H})$  are given by*

$$(a) \lambda_1 = e^{i \arccos \frac{\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 + 2 - \rho}}{2}}, \lambda_2 = e^{i \arccos \frac{\tau - \sqrt{\tau^2 + 2 - \rho}}{2}}, \text{ if } (\tau, \rho) \in R_1;$$

$$(b) \lambda_1 = re^{i\theta}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{r}e^{i\theta}, \text{ where } \theta = \arccos \sqrt{\frac{\rho + 2 - \sqrt{(\rho + 2)^2 - 16\tau^2}}{8}} \text{ and } r = \frac{\tau + \sqrt{\tau^2 - 4 \cos^2 \theta}}{2 \cos \theta},$$

$$\text{ if } (\tau, \rho) \in R_2 - R_1 \cap R_2.$$

*Proof.* We can divide the seven cases in Corollary 4.1 in two classes. Namely, for (i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi) we have that  $(\tau, \rho) \in R_1$  and

$$(9) \quad \tau = \cos \theta_1 + \cos \theta_2 \text{ and } \rho = 2 + 4 \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2.$$

For (iii) and (vii) we get  $(\tau, \rho) \in R_2 - R_1 \cap R_2$  and

$$(10) \quad \tau = \left(r + \frac{1}{r}\right) \cos \theta \text{ and } \rho = r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} + 4 \cos \theta.$$

So, the claim readily follows from equations (9) and (10), by solving respectively for  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  and  $(r, \theta)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. Cao and J. R. Parker and X. Wang. On Classifications of Quaternionic Möbius Transformations. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **137** (2004) 349–361.
- [2] S. S. Chen and L. Greengerg. Hyperbolic Spaces *Contributions to Analysis. A Collection of Paper Dedicated to Limpan Bers.* (Academic Press, New York, London, 1974) 49–87.
- [3] F. Kirwan. *Complex Algebraic Curves.* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992).
- [4] H. C. Lee. Eigenvalues and Canonical Forms of Matrices with Quaternion Coefficients. *Proc. R.I.A. Sect. A* **52** (1949) 253–260.
- [5] J. R. Parker. *Notes on Complex Hyperbolic Geometry.* (Unpublished Notes, 2003).
- [6] I. R. Porteous. *Topological Geometry.* (Cambridge University Press, London, New York, New Rochelle, Melbourne, Sydney, 1969).
- [7] F. Zhang. Quaternions and Matrices of Quaternions. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **251** (1997) 21–57.

DEPARTMENT OF MATEMATICS, FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF BAHIA, BRAZIL

*Email address:* `jaime.chamorro@ufba.br`