

# Poisson manifolds of strong compact type over 2-tori

Luka Zwaan

January 5, 2022

## Abstract

In [Mar13], the first non-trivial example of a Poisson manifold of strong compact type is given. The construction uses the theory of K3 surfaces and results in a Poisson manifold with leaf space  $S^1$ . We modify the construction to obtain a new class of examples. Specifically, we obtain for each strongly integral affine 2-torus a Poisson manifold of strong compact type with said torus as leaf space.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider Poisson manifolds of compact type (PMCTs). They are the Poisson counterpart of Lie algebras of compact type in Lie theory - indeed, they are defined as those Poisson manifolds which are integrated by a Lie groupoid which has a certain compactness property. Contrary to the case of Lie groups and Lie algebras, there are multiple notions of compactness for Lie groupoids. First, a Lie groupoid  $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$  is called

- *proper* if the anchor map  $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M \times M$  is proper;
- *source proper*, or *s-proper*, if the source map is proper;
- *compact* if the space of arrows  $\mathcal{G}$  is compact.

Second, there is still the distinction between any Lie groupoid and the (unique) source 1-connected Lie groupoid integrating the Poisson manifold - the Weinstein groupoid. We say that an integrable Poisson manifold has *strong* compactness type if its Weinstein groupoid has the corresponding compactness type; this results in six total classes of PMCTs. We will focus here on the strongest one: Poisson manifolds of strong compact type (PMSCTs).

PMCTs are studied extensively in [CFT19] and [CFM19], the latter focusing on *regular* PMCTs. It turns out that, contrary to general Poisson manifolds, the symplectic foliations of PMCTs have a rich transverse geometry; among other things, the leaf space of a PMCT inherits the structure of an integral affine orbifold. We refer the interested reader to the aforementioned papers for a full overview of the known results.

In general, it is not too difficult to produce interesting examples of PMCTs, except in the case of PMSCTs. Indeed, a trivial class of examples is that of compact symplectic manifolds with finite fundamental group, but beyond this it gets a lot more difficult. The first non-trivial example of a PMSCT was given in [Mar13]. The construction is inspired by [Kot06], where non-trivial results on the geometry of K3 surfaces are used to construct a free symplectic circle action

with contractible orbits. The orbit space of such an action is then a PMSCT with leaf space  $S^1$ , endowed with the standard integral affine structure. In general, it is not known whether any integral affine orbifold can appear as the leaf space of a PMSCT. In this note we obtain the following result.

**Theorem.** *Any strongly integral affine 2-torus appears as the leaf space of a PMSCT.*

Here by a *strongly integral affine structure* we mean an integral affine structure with integral translational part [Sep13, Remark 5.10].

This note is organised as follows. First, we give a general method of constructing regular PMSCTs, after which we will recall the relevant results on K3 surfaces allowing us to apply our general method to obtain the examples. They are all fibrations whose typical fibre is the smooth manifold underlying a K3 surface, and these fibres will also be the symplectic leaves. We will first recall the example that has leaf space the circle with its standard integral affine structure; this is the original example from [Mar13]. Next, we modify this construction to obtain a PMSCT with leaf space the 2-torus with again its standard integral affine structure; this example was also known to the authors of [CFT19] and [CFM19]. Finally, we will give the examples for the non-standard integral affine 2-tori.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Marius Crainic for his help as supervisor of my master thesis, when I first worked on this topic. I would also like to thank David Martínez Torres for a fruitful discussion regarding his paper that this work is based on. Finally, I would like to thank my PhD advisor Rui Loja Fernandes for his feedback during the writing of this paper.

## 2 A general construction of PMSCTs

The construction we will give here is a slight reformulation of [CFM19, Proposition 4.4.6] and is based on two results on PMCTs:

- (a) the fact that the leaf space inherits an integral affine structure [CFM19, Section 3], and
- (b) the *linear variation theorem* [CFM19, Sections 4-5].

The setup is as follows.

Let  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  be a fibre bundle with typical fibre  $S$  a compact, 1-connected manifold, and assume that  $E$  admits a Poisson structure whose symplectic leaves are precisely the fibres of this bundle. We denote the symplectic leaf corresponding to  $v \in \mathbb{R}^q$  by  $(S_v, \omega_v)$ . We will write

$$\mathcal{H}^2 := \bigsqcup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^q} H^2(S_v, \mathbb{R})$$

for the vector bundle over  $\mathbb{R}^q$  of real degree 2-cohomologies of the fibres of  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ , and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2 := \bigsqcup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^q} \text{im}(H^2(S_v, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S_v, \mathbb{R}))$$

for the lattice of integral cohomology inside it. Associated to this we have the *Gauss-Manin connection*  $\nabla$  on  $\mathcal{H}^2$ , uniquely determined by its vanishing on sections of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ . Note that the Poisson structure on  $E$  gives us a section  $\varpi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}^2)$ ,  $v \mapsto [\omega_v]$ .

Next, let  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aff}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}^q)$  be a discrete group of integral affine transformations acting freely and properly on  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , and assume that the action lifts to a Poisson action on  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ . Then the setup above directly descends to the quotient: setting  $M := E/\Gamma$  and  $B := \mathbb{R}^q/\Gamma$ , we get a (smooth) fibre bundle  $p : M \rightarrow B$ , again with typical fibre  $S$ , and a Poisson structure  $\pi$  on  $M$  whose leaves are the fibres of  $p$ . In other words,  $(M, \pi)$  is a regular Poisson manifold with leaf space  $B$ . Note also that  $B$  naturally inherits an integral affine structure.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(M, \pi)$  be constructed as above. Assume that there exists a  $\nabla$ -flat section  $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}^2)$  and linearly independent sections  $c_1, \dots, c_q \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2)$  such that*

$$\varpi = s + \sum_{i=1}^q \text{pr}_i c_i, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\text{pr}_i : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denotes projection onto the  $i$ -th coordinate. Then  $(M, \pi)$  is of strong s-proper type. If in addition  $B$  is compact, it is a PMSCT. The induced integral affine structure on  $B$  agrees with the one coming from the quotient  $\mathbb{R}^q/\Gamma$ .

*Proof.* Pulling back the integral affine structure on  $B$  by  $p$  yields a transverse integral affine structure on the symplectic foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\pi$ , i.e. a lattice in its conormal bundle. We will denote this lattice by  $\Lambda \subset \nu^*(\mathcal{F}_\pi)$ . The main point is that for all  $x \in M$ , the monodromy group  $N_x(M, \pi)$  is equal to the lattice  $\Lambda_x$ . Using the description of the monodromy groups for regular Poisson manifolds as the “variation of symplectic area” this follows directly from Equation (2.1). The integrability criteria for Poisson manifolds (see [CF04]) then imply that  $(M, \pi)$  is integrable. Furthermore, since  $S$  has trivial fundamental group, the isotropy groups of the Weinstein groupoid  $\Sigma(M, \pi)$  fit into the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \pi_2(S, x) \rightarrow \nu_x^*(\mathcal{F}_\pi) \rightarrow \Sigma_x(M, \pi) \rightarrow 0.$$

From our previous discussion it follows that they are isomorphic to  $\nu_x^*(\mathcal{F}_\pi)/\Lambda_x$ , i.e. that they are compact. Since  $S$  is also compact, it follows that the Weinstein groupoid is s-proper.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** This construction essentially assumes from the start properties (a) and (b) above in the simplest possible case. Indeed, when a PMCT has 1-connected leaves, the leaf space is actually a smooth manifold, which is why we assume  $S$  to be 1-connected. Then by (a) we need the leaf space to be a compact integral affine manifold, so we take it to be simplest type: a quotient of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  by a free and proper action of a discrete group of integral affine transformations. Note that if the Markus conjecture for integral affine structures holds true, then in fact every compact integral affine manifold is of this type. Lastly, starting with a Poisson manifold with leaf space  $\mathbb{R}^q$  allows us to make the linear variation from (b) very explicit, as in (2.1).

### 3 Background on K3 surfaces and the Poisson structure on the universal family

We start by listing some definitions and results concerning K3 surfaces, after which we will describe the moduli spaces and universal families for K3 surfaces. These results can all be found in [BPV84]. Finally, following [Mar13], we will use the Calabi-Yau theorem to turn the universal

family into a Poisson manifold and the strong Torelli theorem to establish a Poisson action on it, setting us up to apply our construction.

**Definition 3.1.** A K3 surface is a compact, 1-connected complex surface with trivial canonical bundle.  $\diamond$

Every K3 surface is Kähler. All K3 surfaces have the same underlying smooth manifold  $S$ ; this will be the model fibre used in Proposition 2.1. The intersection form on  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  turns it into a lattice and this lattice is isomorphic to the aptly named *K3 lattice*, which we will denote  $L$ . It is the unique even, unimodular lattice of signature  $(3, 19)$ . Explicitly, we have  $L = U^{\oplus 3} \oplus (-E_8)^{\oplus 2}$ , where  $U = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$  with form given by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $E_8 = \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 8}$  with form given by the Cartan matrix of  $E_8$ ; it is important for us that this form is positive definite. We will also denote  $L_{\mathbb{R}} := L \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and  $L_{\mathbb{C}} := L \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ; note that these are models for the real and complex cohomology, respectively.

### 3.1 The Torelli theorem

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X, X'$  be K3 surfaces. A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module isomorphism  $H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is called a *Hodge isometry* if

- (i) it preserves the intersection form;
- (ii) its  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear extension preserves the Hodge decomposition.

A Hodge isometry is called *effective* if its  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear extension maps a Kähler class of  $X'$  to one of  $X$ .<sup>1</sup>  $\diamond$

**Theorem 3.3** (strong Torelli). *Let  $\varphi : H^2(X', \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  be an effective Hodge isometry between K3 surfaces  $X, X'$ . Then there exists a unique biholomorphism  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  such that  $f^* = \varphi$ .*

This result will ultimately be used to obtain the action part of Proposition 2.1.

### 3.2 Moduli spaces and universal families

There are two moduli spaces and corresponding families for K3 surfaces: one takes into account the Kähler structure and one only the complex structure. We start now with the latter.

**Definition 3.4.** A *marked K3 surface* is a pair  $(X, \varphi)$  consisting of a K3 surface  $X$  and a *marking*  $\varphi$ , i.e. an isometry  $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$ . Two marked K3 surfaces are *equivalent* if there is a biholomorphism between them intertwining the markings. The *moduli space of marked K3 surfaces* is the set of equivalence classes:

$$M_1 := \{(X, \varphi)\} / \sim \quad \diamond$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is equivalent to it mapping the Kähler cone of  $X'$  to that of  $X$ .

It follows immediately from the definition that any K3 surface admits, up to scalar multiplication, a unique nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form. In fact, one can show that, again up to scalar multiplication, there is a bijection between complex structures on  $S$  and closed, complex 2-forms  $\sigma \in \Omega^2(S, \mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $\sigma \wedge \sigma = 0$  and  $\sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma} > 0$ . This motivates the following definitions. We will use the same letter to denote a marking  $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$  and the induced maps  $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**Definition 3.5.** The *period domain* is given by

$$\Omega := \{[\sigma] \in \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \mid (\sigma, \sigma) = 0, (\sigma, \bar{\sigma}) > 0\}.$$

We define the *period map*  $\tau_1 : M_1 \rightarrow \Omega$  by

$$[(X, \varphi)] \mapsto [\varphi(\sigma_X)],$$

where  $\sigma_X$  is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic 2-form on  $X$ . ◇

**Theorem 3.6.** *The moduli space  $M_1$  admits the structure of a 20-dimensional complex manifold such that the period map  $\tau_1 : M_1 \rightarrow \Omega$  becomes a surjective local biholomorphism. Furthermore, there exists a universal family  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow M_1$  of marked K3 surfaces.*

**Remark 3.7.** Let us clarify this statement. The fibre of the universal family  $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow M_1$  over any  $t \in M_1$  is a marked K3 surface  $(X_t, \varphi_t)$  such that  $[(X_t, \varphi_t)] = t$ . Furthermore, these markings vary smoothly in the sense that they induce local trivialisations of the bundle  $\cup_{t \in M_1} H^2(X_t, \mathbb{R})$ .

There are still some inconveniences present here. The space  $M_1$  can be shown not to be Hausdorff, and the period map  $\tau_1$  is not injective. These problems disappear when taking into account the Kähler structure.

**Definition 3.8.** We define  $M_2$  to be the subset of the bundle

$$\bigsqcup_{t \in M_1} H^2(X_t, \mathbb{C})$$

consisting of all Kähler classes. ◇

It can be shown that  $M_2$  is a real-analytic manifold of dimension 60. One should think of a point in  $M_2$  as an equivalence class of marked K3 surfaces together with a specified Kähler class. Note that there is a projection map  $\pi : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ .

Inspired by some analysis of the Kähler cone of K3 surfaces, which we will not go into here, one can make the following definitions.

**Definition 3.9.** Let

$$K\Omega := \{(k, [\sigma]) \in L_{\mathbb{R}} \times \Omega \mid (k, k) > 0, (k, \sigma) = 0\}.$$

The *refined period domain* is then given by

$$K\Omega^0 := \{(k, [\sigma]) \in K\Omega \mid (k, d) \neq 0 \text{ for all } d \in L \text{ such that } (d, d) = -2 \text{ and } (d, \sigma) = 0\}.$$

The *refined period map*  $\tau_2 : M_2 \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  is defined as

$$(t, k) \mapsto (\varphi_t(k), \tau_1(t)).$$
 ◇

**Theorem 3.10.** *The refined period map is a diffeomorphism.*

We set  $K\mathcal{U} := (\pi \circ \tau_2^{-1})^*\mathcal{U}$ . This is a real-analytic family over  $K\Omega^0$  with extra data attached: the fibre over  $(k, [\sigma])$  is a triple  $(X, \varphi, \omega)$  consisting of a K3 surface  $X$ , a marking  $\varphi : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow L$  and a Kähler class  $\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\varphi(\omega) = k$ . These markings vary smoothly in the same sense as before, and hence so do the Kähler classes.

The family  $K\mathcal{U} \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  is universal for real-analytic “marked Kähler K3 families”, i.e. real-analytic families of K3 surfaces equipped with smoothly varying markings and Kähler classes on the fibres.

### 3.3 The Poisson structure

Recall the following special version of the Calabi-Yau theorem.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold with vanishing first Chern class. Then for any Kähler class  $\omega \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  there exists a unique Ricci flat Kähler metric whose Kähler form belongs to  $\omega$ .*

This theorem applies in particular to K3 surfaces, and thus we can use it to endow the fibres of  $K\mathcal{U} \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  with smoothly varying Kähler forms, turning it into a Poisson manifold.

**Proposition 3.12.** *The family  $K\mathcal{U}$  admits a regular Poisson structure  $\pi_{K\mathcal{U}}$  whose symplectic leaves are the fibres of  $K\mathcal{U} \rightarrow K\Omega^0$ , with the symplectic form on a fibre  $X$  over  $(k, [\sigma])$ , with marking  $\varphi$ , being the Kähler form associated to the unique Ricci flat Kähler metric on  $X$  with Kähler class  $\varphi^{-1}(k)$ .*

### 3.4 The action

We will construct an action on  $K\mathcal{U}$  by the group  $O(L)$  of isometries of the K3 lattice. Note that there is an obvious induced action of  $O(L)$  on  $K\Omega^0$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** *There is a Poisson action of  $O(L)$  on  $K\mathcal{U}$  with respect to which the projection  $K\mathcal{U} \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  is equivariant.*

*Proof.* Fix  $\gamma \in O(L)$  and  $p \in K\Omega^0$ . Using the notation from above, denote the triple over  $p$  by  $(X_p, \varphi_p, \omega_p)$  and similarly for  $\gamma(p)$ . It is easy to see that

$$\varphi_p^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\gamma(p)} : H^2(X_{\gamma(p)}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_p, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an effective Hodge isometry, so that by Theorem 3.3 we obtain a biholomorphism  $f_\gamma^p : X_p \rightarrow X_{\gamma(p)}$ . The universality of the family then gives neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $p$  and  $\gamma(p)$  respectively and an isomorphism  $(\Psi, \psi) : K\mathcal{U}|_U \rightarrow K\mathcal{U}|_V$  extending  $f_\gamma^p$ . Writing  $\Psi_q : X_q \rightarrow X_{\psi(q)}$  for the fiberwise maps, it then follows that for all  $q \in U$  we have

$$\Psi_q^* = \varphi_q^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\psi(q)} : H^2(X_{\psi(q)}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_q, \mathbb{Z}).$$

This implies first of all that  $\psi = \gamma|_U$ , from which it follows that  $\Psi_q = f_\gamma^q$ , since biholomorphisms of K3 surfaces are uniquely determined by their induced maps on degree 2 integral cohomology [BPV84, Proposition VIII.11.3]. Thus these fibrewise biholomorphisms  $f_\gamma^p$ ,  $p \in K\Omega^0$ , together

form an automorphism  $F_\gamma : KU \rightarrow KU$ . From the uniqueness part of Theorem 3.3 it follows that  $F_{\gamma \circ \gamma'} = F_\gamma \circ F_{\gamma'}$  for all  $\gamma, \gamma' \in O(L)$ , meaning that we have an action of  $O(L)$  on  $KU$ . This action makes  $KU \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  equivariant by construction. Finally, from the uniqueness part of the Calabi-Yau theorem it follows that each  $f_\gamma^p$  preserves the symplectic forms on the fibres, meaning that the action is by Poisson maps.  $\square$

## 4 The examples

We now have a Poisson manifold  $KU \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  for which we can read off the cohomology classes of the symplectic forms on the leaves from the explicit algebraic model  $K\Omega^0$ . Furthermore, we have an equivariant Poisson action on it. Thus the construction now comes down to finding a suitable embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^q$  into  $K\Omega^0$ . Let us rephrase Proposition 2.1 in the current setting to make this explicit.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Assume that we have an embedding  $f : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow K\Omega^0$  and a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset O(L)$  such that*

(i) *the  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ -component of  $f$  has the form*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_q) \mapsto a + \sum_{i=1}^q x_i a_i$$

*for fixed  $a, a_1, \dots, a_q \in L$  with  $\{a_1, \dots, a_q\}$  linearly independent;*

(ii) *the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $K\Omega^0$  preserves the image of  $f$ ;*

(iii) *the induced action on  $\mathbb{R}^q$  is free, proper and by integral affine maps.*

*Then  $M := f^*KU/\Gamma$  is a Poisson manifold of strong  $\mathfrak{s}$ -proper type with leaf space  $B := \mathbb{R}^q/\Gamma$ . If  $B$  is compact,  $M$  is a PMSCT.*

With this proposition, finding examples has been reduced to the concrete problem of finding suitable  $f$  and  $\Gamma$ . We will first recall the original example from [Mar13] in Section 4.1, obtaining a PMSCT with leaf space the circle. After this, we will construct PMSCTs whose leaf spaces are all possible strongly integral affine 2-tori. In [Mis96] a classification of all integral affine structures on 2-tori is given, from which it follows that the strongly integral affine 2-tori are precisely

- (a) the quotient  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  by the action generated by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 1)$  - the standard integral affine 2-torus, and
- (b) the quotients  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$  by the action generated by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + p, y)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + ny, y + q)$ , where  $n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

This is an exhaustive list and these types are all pairwise nonisomorphic. We will give the example for (a) in Section 4.2 and the examples for (b) in Section 4.3.

Before we move on to the examples, we establish some necessary notation. Recall that  $L = U^{\oplus 3} \oplus (-E_8)^{\oplus 2}$ . We denote the standard bases of the three copies of  $U$  by  $\{u, v\}$ ,  $\{x, y\}$

and  $\{z, t\}$ , so that  $(u, v) = (x, y) = (z, t) = 1$  with all other combinations yielding zero. Recall also that  $-E_8$  is even and negative definite. Finally, let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$  be a set of real numbers such that the set

$$\{1, e_1, \dots, e_8, e_1^2, e_1e_2, \dots, e_7^2, e_7e_8, e_8^2\}$$

consisting of  $1, e_1, \dots, e_8$  and their pairwise products is linearly independent over the integers, or equivalently the rationals. The existence of such a set is guaranteed by [Bes40]. We then set  $e := (e_1, \dots, e_8) \in (-E_8)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , scaling if necessary such that  $|(e, e)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and we set  $a := (0, e), b := (e, 0) \in (-E_8)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\oplus 2} \subset L_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

#### 4.1 A PMSCT with leaf space the circle

We will construct a PMSCT whose leaf space is  $S^1$  with its standard integral affine structure, i.e. we want the standard action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $x \mapsto x + 1$ .

Consider the map  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  defined by

$$s \mapsto (2u + v + sy, [x - su + 2y + a + i(z + 2t + b)])$$

and the map  $\varphi : L \rightarrow L$  defined by  $u \mapsto u, v \mapsto v + y, x \mapsto x - u, y \mapsto y$  on the first two copies of  $U$  and as the identity on the other summands of  $L$ . It is easily checked that  $\varphi$  is an isometry and that

$$\varphi \cdot f(s) = f(s + 1).$$

This implies that the image of  $f$  is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma := \langle \varphi \rangle$ , and also that the induced action on  $\mathbb{R}$  is just the standard action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence it remains to prove that the image of  $f$  is contained in  $K\Omega^0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Setting  $f_1(s) = 2u + v + sy, f_2(s) = x - su + 2y + a$  and  $f_3(s) = z + 2t + b$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} (f_2(s), f_2(s)) &= (x - su + 2y + a, x - su + 2y + a) \\ &= 4(x, y) + (a, a) \\ &= 4 + (e, e) \geq 3\frac{1}{2} > 0, \\ (f_3(s), f_3(s)) &= (z + 2t + b, z + 2t + b) \\ &= 4(z, t) + (b, b) \\ &= 4 + (e, e) \geq 3\frac{1}{2} > 0, \\ (f_2(s), f_3(s)) &= (x - su + 2y + a, z + 2t + b) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These computations imply that  $[f_2(s) + if_3(s)] \in \Omega$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1(s), f_1(s)) &= (2u + v + sy, 2u + v + sy) = (2u, v) + (v, 2u) = 4 > 0, \\ (f_1(s), f_2(s)) &= (2u + v + sy, x - su + 2y + a) = -s(v, u) + s(y, x) = -s + s = 0, \\ (f_1(s), f_3(s)) &= (2u + v + sy, z + 2t + b) = 0, \end{aligned}$$



we see that  $f(s) \in K\Omega$ . It remains to check the extra condition on  $K\Omega^0$ . So assume that we have  $d \in L$  such that  $(d, d) = -2$  and  $(d, f_1(s)) = (d, f_2(s)) = (d, f_3(s)) = 0$ . We need to find a contradiction. Let us write

$$d = Au + Bv + Cx + Dy + Ez + Ft + d_1 + d_2,$$

with  $A, \dots, F \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $d_i$  in the  $i$ -th copy of  $-E_8$ . Since  $E_8$  is even and positive definite, we can write  $(d_i, d_i) = -2n_i$ , for  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The above conditions then translate into three equations;

$$AB + CD + EF = n_1 + n_2 - 1, \quad (4.1)$$

$$2B + A + Cs = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$D - Bs + 2C + (d_2, e) = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

$$F + 2E + (d_1, e) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

This is where the seemingly strange choice of  $e$  comes in. Indeed,  $(d_1, e)$  is just an integral linear combination of the coordinates  $\{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$  of  $e$ , and since these coordinates were chosen such that, in particular,  $\{1, e_1, \dots, e_8\}$  is linearly independent over the integers, it follows from (4.4) that we must have  $F + 2E = 0$  and that the coefficients in front of the  $e_i$  must be zero. The latter implies that  $d_1 = 0$ , and thus also  $n_1 = 0$ . This can be seen easily by writing out the explicit  $-E_8$  form. Next, we need to consider two cases, namely  $C = 0$  and  $C \neq 0$ . In the first case, (4.2) yields  $2B + A = 0$ , and (4.1) becomes

$$2B^2 + 2E^2 = 1 - n_2.$$

Of course, this implies that  $B = E = 0$  and  $n_2 = 1$ . But then  $d_2 \neq 0$  and (4.3) becomes

$$D + (d_2, e) = 0,$$

which together with  $d_2 \neq 0$  contradicts the ‘‘linear independence’’ assumption on  $e$ .

So let us consider the case  $C \neq 0$ . Then from (4.2) we get

$$s = -\frac{2B + A}{C},$$

and substituting this Equation (4.3) yields

$$AB + CD = -2C^2 - 2B^2 - (d_2, e).$$

Combining this with (4.1) gives

$$2B^2 + 2C^2 + 2E^2 + C(d_2, e) = 1 - n_2.$$

From the properties of  $e$  we get  $Cd_2 = 0$ , implying that  $d_2 = 0$  and thus also that  $n_2 = 0$ , so that we are left with

$$2B^2 + 2C^2 + 2E^2 = 1,$$

which is obviously impossible. □

## 4.2 A PMSCT with leaf space the standard torus

Here we construct a PMSCT with leaf space the torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with its standard integral affine structure. This means that we want the action of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + 1, y)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 1)$ .

Consider the map  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  defined by

$$(s, r) \mapsto (2u + v + sy + rt, [x - su + 2y + a + i(z - ru + 2t + b)]),$$

the map  $\varphi : L \rightarrow L$  as in the previous example and the map  $\psi : L \rightarrow L$  defined by  $u \mapsto u, v \mapsto v + t, z \mapsto z - u, t \mapsto t$  on two copies of  $U$  and as the identity on the other summands of  $L$ . It is easily checked that these are isometries and that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \cdot f(s, r) &= f(s + 1, r), \\ \psi \cdot f(s, r) &= f(s, r + 1).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the image of  $f$  is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma := \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ , and also that the induced action on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is just the standard action of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence again it remains to prove that the image of  $f$  is contained in  $K\Omega^0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  be the three ‘‘components’’ of  $f$ , as before, and let  $(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned}(f_2(s, r), f_2(s, r)) &= (x - su + 2y + a, x - su + 2y + a) \\ &= 4(x, y) + (a, a) \\ &= 4 + (e, e) \geq 3\frac{1}{2} > 0, \\ (f_3(s, r), f_3(s, r)) &= (z - ru + 2t + b, z - ru + 2t + b) \\ &= 4(z, t) + (b, b) \\ &= 4 + (e, e) \geq 3\frac{1}{2} > 0, \\ (f_2(s, r), f_3(s, r)) &= (x - su + 2y + a, z - ru + 2t + b) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and conclude that  $[f_2(s, r) + if_3(s, r)] \in \Omega$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1(s, r), f_1(s, r)) &= (2u + v + sy + rt, 2u + v + sy + rt) = (2u, v) + (v, 2u) = 4 > 0, \\ (f_1(s, r), f_2(s, r)) &= (2u + v + sy + rt, x - su + 2y + a) = -s(u, v) + s(x, y) = -s + s = 0, \\ (f_1(s, r), f_3(s, r)) &= (2u + v + sy + rt, z - ru + 2t + b) = -r(u, v) + r(z, t) = -r + r = 0\end{aligned}$$

implies that  $f(s, r) \in K\Omega$ . To check the final condition, let  $d \in L$  such that  $(d, d) = -2$  and  $(d, f_1(s)) = (d, f_2(s)) = (d, f_3(s)) = 0$  and write again

$$d = Au + Bv + Cx + Dy + Ez + Ft + d_1 + d_2,$$

and let  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  as before. Like before, we need to find a contradiction. The relevant equations now become

$$AB + CD + EF = n_1 + n_2 - 1, \quad (4.5)$$

$$2B + A + Cs + Er = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

$$D - Bs + 2C + (d_2, e) = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$F - Br + 2E + (d_1, e) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Let us first consider the case  $B = 0$ . Then the assumptions on  $e$ , together with (4.7) and (4.8), imply that  $d_1 = d_2 = 0$ , so  $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ , and  $D + 2C = F + 2E = 0$ . But then (4.5) becomes

$$2C^2 + 2E^2 = 1,$$

which is clearly not possible.

So consider the case  $B \neq 0$ . Then from (4.7) and (4.8) we get

$$s = \frac{D + 2C + (d_2, e)}{B}, \quad r = \frac{F + 2E + (d_1, e)}{B}.$$

Substituting this into (4.6) gives

$$AB + CD + EF = -2B^2 - 2C^2 - 2E^2 - C(d_2, e) - E(d_1, e),$$

and combining this with (4.5) we obtain

$$2B^2 + 2C^2 + 2E^2 + C(d_2, e) + E(d_1, e) = 1 - n_1 - n_2.$$

The assumptions on  $e$  imply that  $Cd_2 + Ed_1 = 0$ , so that this becomes

$$2B^2 + 2C^2 + 2E^2 = 1 - n_1 - n_2.$$

This implies that  $B = C = E = 0$  and that  $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 0$  or  $n_1 = 0, n_2 = 1$ . But  $B = C = E = 0$  together with (4.7) and (4.8) and the assumptions on  $e$  implies that  $d_1 = d_2 = 0$ , so  $n_1 = n_2 = 0$ , which gives a contradiction.  $\square$

### 4.3 The PMSCTs with leaf spaces the non-standard tori

In this example we will construct a PMSCT whose leaf space is a torus with a different induced integral affine structure, namely the one induced by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  generated by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + p, y)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + ny, y + q)$ , where  $n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

Consider the map  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow L_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{P}(L_{\mathbb{C}})$  defined by

$$(s, r) \mapsto (2u + v + sy + rt, [qx + (nr^2 - qs)u - nrz + 2qy + a + i(z - ru + 2q^2t + 2nqry + b)]),$$

the map  $\varphi : L \rightarrow L$  defined by  $u \mapsto u, v \mapsto v + py, x \mapsto x - pu, y \mapsto y$  on the first two copies of  $U$  and the identity on the other summands of  $L$  and the map  $\psi : L \rightarrow L$  defined by

$u \mapsto u, v \mapsto v + qt, x \mapsto x - nz + qnu, y \mapsto y, z \mapsto z - qu, t \mapsto t + ny$  on the copies of  $U$  and the identity on the other summands of  $L$ . It is easily checked that these are isometries and that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \cdot f(s, r) &= f(s + p, r), \\ \psi \cdot f(s, r) &= f(s + nr, r + q).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that the image of  $f$  is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma := \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ , and also that the induced action on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the desired one. Hence again it remains to prove that the image of  $f$  is contained in  $K\Omega^0$ .

*Proof.* Denote once more by  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  the ‘‘components’’ of  $f$ , and let  $(s, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}(f_2(s, r), f_2(s, r)) &= (qx + (nr^2 - qs)u - nrz + 2qy + a, qx + (nr^2 - qs)u - nrz + 2qy + a) \\ &= 4q^2(x, y) + (a, a) \\ &= 4q^2 + (e, e) \geq 3\frac{1}{2} > 0, \\ (f_3(s, r), f_3(s, r)) &= (z - ru + 2q^2t + 2nqry + b, z - ru + 2q^2t + 2nqry + b) \\ &= 4q^2(z, t) + (b, b) \\ &= 4q^2 + (e, e) \geq 3\frac{1}{2} > 0, \\ (f_2(s, r), f_3(s, r)) &= (qx + (nr^2 - qs)u - nrz + 2qy + a, z - ru + 2q^2t + 2nqry + b) \\ &= 2nq^2r(x, y) - 2nq^2r(z, t) = 2nq^2r - 2nq^2r = 0.\end{aligned}$$

we get that  $[f_2(s, r) + if_3(s, r)] \in \Omega$ . The computations

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1(s, r), f_1(s, r)) &= (2u + v + sy + rt, 2u + v + sy + rt) = (2u, v) + (v, 2u) = 4 > 0, \\ (f_1(s, r), f_2(s, r)) &= (2u + v + sy + rt, qx + (nr^2 - qs)u - nrz + 2qy + a) \\ &= (nr^2 - qs)(u, v) + qs(x, y) - nr^2(z, t) = nr^2 - qs + qs - nr^2 = 0, \\ (f_1(s, r), f_3(s, r)) &= (2u + v + sy + rt, z - ru + 2q^2t + 2nqry + b) \\ &= -r(u, v) + r(z, t) = -r + r = 0\end{aligned}$$

show that  $f(s, r) \in K\Omega$ . To see that  $f(s, r) \in K\Omega^0$ , let again  $d \in L$  such that  $(d, d) = -2$  and  $(d, f_1(s)) = (d, f_2(s)) = (d, f_3(s)) = 0$ . Like before we write

$$d = Au + Bv + Cx + Dy + Ez + Ft + d_1 + d_2,$$

and we set  $(d_i, d_i) = -2n_i$  with  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . The goal is again to find a contradiction. The main equations are now

$$AB + CD + EF = n_1 + n_2 - 1, \tag{4.9}$$

$$2B + A + Cs + Er = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

$$Dq + B(nr^2 - qs) - Fnr + 2Cq + (d_2, e) = 0, \tag{4.11}$$

$$F - Br + 2Eq^2 + 2Cnqr + (d_1, e) = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

First consider the case  $B - 2Cnq = 0$ . Then (4.12) tells us that  $d_1 = 0$  and  $F + 2Eq^2 = 0$ . We also know that  $C \neq 0$ , since  $C = 0$  implies that  $B = 0$ , so that (4.9) becomes

$$2E^2q^2 = 1 - n_2.$$

This is only possible if  $E = 0$  and  $n_2 = 1$ , but then also  $F = 0$  and (4.11) becomes

$$Dq + (d_2, e) = 0,$$

which would imply that  $d_2 = 0$ , contradicting  $n_2 = 1$ .

So we indeed know that  $C \neq 0$ . Then (4.10) tells us that

$$s = -\frac{2B + A + Er}{C},$$

and with (4.11) we obtain

$$2Cn^2qr^2 - 2Fnr + 2Anq^2 + C(8n^2q^3 + 2q) + Dq + (d_2, e) = 0.$$

Since  $C, n, q \neq 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we must have that

$$F^2 \geq 2Cq[2Anq^2 + C(8n^2q^3 + 2q) + Dq + (d_2, e)].$$

But  $F = -2Eq^2$  and  $B = 2Cnq$ , so combining this with (4.9) yields

$$q(1 - n_2) \geq C^2(8n^2q^3 + 2q) + C(d_2, e).$$

Since  $C \neq 0$ , this is certainly impossible when  $C$  and  $(d_2, e)$  have the same parity. So let us assume that they have opposite parity, so that the equation becomes

$$q(1 - n_2) \geq C^2(8n^2q^3 + 2q) - |C| \cdot |(d_2, e)|. \quad (4.13)$$

Now both  $d_2$  and  $e$  lie in the same copy of  $-E_8$ , and since  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is negative definite on  $-E_8$  we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$|(d_2, e)| \leq \sqrt{|(d_2, d_2)| \cdot |(e, e)|} = \sqrt{2 \cdot |(e, e)|n_2} \leq \sqrt{n_2},$$

using that we chose  $e$  such that  $|(e, e)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Now, in order for (4.13) to hold we certainly must have

$$C^2(8n^2q^3 + 2q) - \sqrt{n_2} \cdot |C| + qn_2 - q \leq 0$$

and it is easily seen that this is not possible for  $0 \neq C \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

It remains to look at the case  $B - 2Cnq \neq 0$ . In that case, we must also have  $B \neq 0$ . To see this, assume to the contrary that  $B = 0$ . Then we must have  $F \neq 0$ . Indeed, if we had  $F = 0$ , (4.11) would become

$$Dq + 2Cq + (d_2, e) = 0,$$

meaning that  $d_2 = 0$ , so  $n_2 = 0$ , and  $D + 2C = 0$ . But then (4.9) becomes

$$2C^2 = 1 - n_1,$$

which can only hold if  $C = 0$  and  $n_1 = 1$ . But then (4.12) becomes

$$2Eq^2 + (d_1, e) = 0,$$

which implies  $d_1 = 0$ , contradicting  $n_1 = 1$ . So we see indeed that  $F \neq 0$ . But then (4.11) and (4.12) yield

$$r = -\frac{F + 2Eq^2 + (d_1, e)}{2Cnq} = \frac{Dq + 2Cq + (d_2, e)}{Fn}.$$

This becomes

$$2CDnq^2 + 4C^2nq^2 + 2Cnq(d_2, e) + F^2n + 2EFnq^2 + Fn(d_1, e) = 0,$$

and the assumptions on  $e$  imply that  $2Cqd_2 + Fd_1 = 0$  and

$$2CDnq^2 + 4C^2nq^2 + F^2n + 2EFnq^2 = 0.$$

Since  $B = 0$ , combining this with (4.9) we obtain

$$4C^2nq^2 + F^2n = 2nq^2(1 - n_1 - n_2).$$

Both  $C$  and  $F$  are nonzero, meaning that this is impossible. So indeed  $B \neq 0$ . This means that we can write

$$r = \frac{F + 2Eq^2 + (d_1, e)}{B - 2Cnq}, \quad s = \frac{Dq + Bnr^2 - Fnr + 2Cq + (d_2, e)}{Bq}.$$

This yields

$$s = \frac{(B - 2Cnq)^2(2Cq + Dq + (d_2, e)) + Bn(F + 2Eq^2 + (d_1, e))^2}{Bq(B - 2Cnq)^2} - \frac{Fn(B - 2Cnq)(F + 2Eq^2 + (d_1, e))}{Bq(B - 2Cnq)^2}$$

and substituting this into (4.10) and using the assumptions on  $e$  (actually, finally using them to their full potential), this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2B^2q(B - 2Cnq)^2 + ABq(B - 2Cnq)^2 \\ &\quad + C\left((B - 2Cnq)^2(2Cq + Dq) + Bn(F + 2Eq^2)^2 - Fn(B - 2Cnq)(F + 2Eq^2)\right) \\ &\quad + BEq(B - 2Cnq)(F + 2Eq^2). \end{aligned}$$

Some rewriting turns this into

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= q(B - 2Cnq)^2(2B^2 + 2C^2 + AB + CD) \\ &\quad + BCn(F + 2Eq^2)^2 - CFn(B - 2Cnq)(F + 2Eq^2) + BEq(B - 2Cnq)(F + 2Eq^2), \end{aligned}$$

and some easy computations show that the second line is equal to

$$EFq(B - 2Cnq)^2 + 2q(BEq + CFn)^2,$$

so that altogether we obtain

$$q(B - 2Cnq)^2(2B^2 + 2C^2 + AB + CD + EF) + 2q(BEq + CFn)^2 = 0.$$

Combining this with (4.9) we get

$$2\left((B - 2Cnq)^2(B^2 + C^2) + (BEq + CFn)^2\right) = (B - 2Cnq)^2(1 - n_1 - n_2).$$

But this is impossible, since  $B - 2Cnq \neq 0$ ,  $B \neq 0$  and  $n_1, n_2 \geq 0$ , giving us the desired contradiction.  $\square$

## References

- [Bes40] A. S. Besicovitch. On the linear independence of fractional powers of integers. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-15(1):3–6, January 1940.
- [BPV84] W. Barth, C. Peters, and A. Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. Springer, 1984.
- [CF04] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes. Integrability of poisson brackets. *J. Differential Geom.*, 66(1):71–137, 01 2004.
- [CFM19] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, and D. Martínez Torres. Regular poisson manifolds of compact types. *Asterisque*, 413:1–166, January 2019.
- [CFT19] M. Crainic, R. L. Fernandes, and D. Martínez Torres. Poisson manifolds of compact types (pmct 1). *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2019(756):101 – 149, 2019.
- [Kot06] D. Kotschick. Free circle actions with contractible orbits on symplectic manifolds. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 252(1):19–25, 2006.
- [Mar13] D. Martínez Torres. A Poisson manifold of strong compact type. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 25(5):1154–1159, December 2013.
- [Mis96] K.N. Mishachev. The classification of lagrangian bundles over surfaces. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 6(4):301 – 320, 1996.
- [Sep13] Daniele Sepe. Universal lagrangian bundles. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 165, August 2013.