

# THE $d$ -AMPLENESS OF ADJOINT LINE BUNDLES ON QUASI-ELLIPTIC SURFACES

YONGMING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a numerical criterion of Reider-type for the  $d$ -very ampleness of the adjoint line bundles on quasi-elliptic surfaces, and meanwhile we give a new proof of the vanishing theorem on quasi-elliptic surfaces emailed from Langer and show that it is the optimal version.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a projective algebraic variety defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $Z$  be a 0-dimensional subscheme of  $X$  which is called 0-cycle of  $X$ . For an integer  $d \geq 0$ , a line bundle  $L$  on  $X$  is called  $d$ -very ample if for any 0-cycle  $Z$  with length  $|Z| \leq d + 1$ , the restriction map

$$\Gamma(X, L) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Z, L|_Z)$$

is surjective. Note that 0-very ampleness and 1-very ampleness is equivalent to being generated by global sections and being very ample respectively.

Let  $X^{[d]}$  be the Hilbert scheme of points on  $X$  of length  $d$ . If  $L$  is  $d$ -very ample then the restriction map associates to every 0-cycle  $Z$  of length  $d + 1$  a subspace of  $H^0(X, L)^*$  of dimension  $d + 1$  and this indeed a morphism

$$\phi_d : X^{[d+1]} \rightarrow \text{Grass}(d + 1, H^0(X, L)^*).$$

And it is proved that  $\phi_d$  is an embedding if and only if  $L$  is  $d + 1$ -very ample (see [3]). Thus the  $d$ -very ampleness is geometrically a natural generalization of the usual notation of very ampleness.

Using Reider's method ([10]), Beltrametti and Sommese obtained a useful numerical criterion for the  $d$ -very ampleness of the adjoint line bundles in the case of surfaces in characteristic zero.

**Theorem 1.1** ([1]). *Let  $L$  be a nef line bundle on a complex smooth projective surface  $X$  and suppose that  $L^2 \geq 4r + 5$ . Then either  $K_X + L$  is  $r$ -very ample or there exists an effective divisor  $D$  containing some 0-dimensional scheme of length  $\leq r + 1$  along which  $r$ -very ampleness fails, such that a power of the line bundle  $L - 2D$  has sections and*

$$(D, L) - r - 1 \leq D^2 < \frac{1}{2}(D, L) < r + 1.$$

In positive characteristic, by the results of N.I.Shepherd-Barron ([11]), Theorem 1.1 also works directly on surfaces neither of general type nor quasi-elliptic of Kodaira dimension 1, and for the surface of general type, T. Nakashima used N.I.Shepherd-Barron's results to obtain a numerical criterion for the  $d$ -very ampleness of the adjoint line bundles ([9]). Then H. Terakawa ([14]) improved it and collected all such results on surfaces together.

**Theorem 1.2** ([14]). *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $L$  be a nef line bundle on  $X$ . Assume that  $l := L^2 - 4d - 5 \geq 0$  and one of the following situations holds:*

- (1)  $X$  is not of general type and further not quasi-elliptic of Kodaira dimension 1;
- (2)  $X$  is of general type with minimal model  $X'$ ,  $p \geq 3$  and  $l > K_{X'}^2$ ;
- (3)  $X$  is of general type with minimal model  $X'$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $l > \max\{K_{X'}^2, K_{X'}^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + 2\}$ .

Then either  $K_X + L$  is  $d$ -very ample or there exists an effective divisor  $D$  containing some 0-dimensional scheme of length  $\leq d + 1$  along which  $d$ -very ampleness fails, such that  $L - 2D$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective and

$$(D, L) - d - 1 \leq D^2 < \frac{1}{2}(D, L) < d + 1.$$

The purpose of this note is to study the adjoint linear system on the remaining case that  $X$  is a quasi-elliptic surface and at the same time we give a new proof of the vanishing theorem on it.

**Theorem 1.3** (Theorem 4.1). *Let  $X$  be a quasi-elliptic surface over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ , and  $F$  be a general fibre of the quasi-elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$ . Let  $L$  be a nef and big divisor on  $X$ . Assume that*

$$L^2 > 4(d + 1)$$

for a nonnegative integer  $d$  then we have following descriptions.

- (1) *When  $p = 2$ , we assume that  $(L \cdot F) > 2$  in addition. Then either  $K_X + L$  is  $d$ -very ample or there exists an effective divisor  $B$  containing a 0-cyclic  $Z^{(2)} = F^*Z$ , which is the Frobenius pull back of a 0-cyclic  $Z$  of  $\deg Z \leq (d + 1)$  where the  $d$ -very ampleness fails, such that  $2L - B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective and*

$$2(L \cdot B) - 4 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq (L \cdot B) \leq 4 \deg Z;$$

- (2) *When  $p = 3$ , we assume that  $(L \cdot F) > 1$  in addition. Then either  $K_X + L$  is  $d$ -very ample or there exists an effective divisor  $B$  containing a 0-cyclic  $Z^{(3)} = F^*Z$ , which is the Frobenius pull back of a 0-cyclic  $Z$  of  $\deg Z \leq (d + 1)$  where the  $d$ -very ampleness fails, such that  $3L - 2B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective and*

$$3(L \cdot B) - 9 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq \frac{3(L \cdot B)}{2} \leq 9 \deg Z.$$

**Theorem 1.4** (Langer, Theorem 3.1). *Let  $X$  be a quasi-elliptic surface over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ , and  $F$  be a general fibre of the quasi-elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$ . Let  $L$  be a nef and big divisor on  $X$ .*

- *If  $p = 2$  and  $(L \cdot F) > 2$ , then  $H^1(X, L^{-1}) = 0$ ,*
- *If  $p = 3$  and  $(L \cdot F) > 1$ , then  $H^1(X, L^{-1}) = 0$ .*

In fact, there are already some results along the lines of Theorem 1.4 in the literature: [15, Corollary 4.1] and [7, Corollary 7.4] which seem stronger than Theorem 1.4 but may be wrong when  $p = 2$ . And from the email of Langer recently, this result follows by modifying a small error in the calculation of length of the torsion part of  $\Omega_F$  in [7, Corollary 7.4] or by the first part of the proof of [15, Corollary 4.1] which still holds while the remaining part may goes wrong. Here we give a new proof of this result and construct some examples to show that it is optimal.

Initially, our main skill is inspired by the method in Proposition 4.3 of [4]. However we find that there is a small error in its proof (the general fibre  $C_i$  may be non-reduced!), and it doesn't affect the results in Proposition 4.3 of [4]. But it may lead a little trouble in Proposition 3.1 of [2], which is a key step in the proof of Fujita's Conjecture on quasi-elliptic surfaces. So we turn to use the method of deformation of morphism of curves to estimate the upper bound of intersection number  $-(K_Y \cdot \tilde{F})$  and get some effective results as we expected. The paper is organized as follows. The second section contains some preliminary materials which will be used in the last two sections to prove the main results. And we present a new proof of the vanishing theorem in the third section and study  $d$ -very ampleness of adjoint line bundle in the last section.

#### Notations:

- Through this paper  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and all variety defined over  $k$ ;

- $K_X$  is the canonical divisor of a smooth projective variety  $X$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The author would like to thank Yi Gu and Chen Jiang for their useful discussions and suggestions and thank Adrian Langer for his warm letter. The author is supported by grant NSFC (No. 12101618).

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Deformations of Morphisms of Curves.** First let's recall some well-known results of deformation theory.

**Theorem 2.1** ([6, II, 1.3]). *Let  $C$  be a proper algebraic curve without embedded points and  $f : C \rightarrow Y$  a morphism to a quasi-projective variety  $Y$  of pure dimension  $n$ . Assume that the image of every irreducible components of  $C$  intersects the smooth locus of  $Y$ . Assume furthermore that  $Y$  is a local complete intersection. Then*

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Hom}(C, Y) \geq \dim \text{Hom}(f^* \Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_C) - \dim \text{Ext}^1(f^* \Omega_Y^1, \mathcal{O}_C) = -K_Y \cdot C + n\chi(\mathcal{O}_C).$$

*In fact, every irreducible component of  $\text{Hom}(C, Y)$  at  $[f]$  has dimension at least  $-K_Y \cdot C + n\chi(\mathcal{O}_C)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** ([6, II, 1.6]). *Let  $X/S$  and  $Y/S$  be schemes,  $B \subset X$  a sub-scheme, proper over  $S$  and  $g : B \rightarrow Y$  a morphism.  $\text{Hom}(X, Y, g)$  is the functor*

$$\text{Hom}(X, Y, g)(T) = \{T\text{-morphisms } f : X \times_S T \rightarrow Y \times_S T \text{ such that } f|_{B \times_S T} = g \times_S \text{Id}_T\}$$

*Assume that  $X/S$  is flat,  $B/S$  is flat and finite of degree  $m$  and  $Y/S$  is smooth of relative dimension  $n$ . Then*

$$\text{Hom}(X, Y, g) \subset \text{Hom}(X, Y)$$

*is locally defined by  $mn$  equations. In particular,*

$$\text{codim}(\text{Hom}(X, Y, g), \text{Hom}(X, Y)) \leq mn.$$

**Proposition 2.3** ([6, II, 1.9.2]). *Let  $C$  be a irreducible, smooth and proper curve of genus  $p_g$ , and let  $f : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonconstant morphism,  $P \in C$  a closed sub-scheme and  $g = f|_P$ . Then*

$$\dim_{[f]}(\text{Hom}(C, C, g) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p_g(C) \geq 2; \\ \max\{0, 1 - \deg P\}, & \text{if } p_g(C) = 1; \\ \max\{0, 2\deg f + 1 - \deg P\}, & \text{if } p_g(C) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the above results we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $Y$  be a projective surface which is a local complete intersection and admits a fibration over a smooth curve  $C$ ,  $f : Y \rightarrow C$  and  $F$  be the general fiber of  $f$ . Assume that  $Y$  is smooth along  $F$  and  $F$  is irreducible (possibly non-reduced). Let  $F_{red}$  be the reduced scheme of  $F$ ,  $\alpha : \widetilde{F}_{red} \rightarrow F_{red}$  be the normalization of  $F_{red}$  and  $p_g$  be the genus of  $\widetilde{F}_{red}$ , Then*

$$-K_Y \cdot F_{red} \leq \max\{2, 2p_g\}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $f : \widetilde{F}_{red} \xrightarrow{\alpha} F_{red} \hookrightarrow Y$ , then  $\deg f = 1$ . Suppose that  $-K_Y \cdot F_{red} > \max\{2, 2p_g\}$ , then by Theorem 2.1

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Hom}(\widetilde{F}_{red}, Y) > \max\{2, 2p_g\} + 2(1 - p_g).$$

Take a closed point  $P \in \widetilde{F}_{red}$  and set  $g = f|_P$ . And we may consider the smooth locus of  $Y$  instead of  $Y$ . then by Theorem 2.2

$$\dim_{[f]} \text{Hom}(\widetilde{F}_{red}, Y, g) > \max\{2, 2p_g\} - 2p_g = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p_g \geq 1; \\ 2, & \text{if } p_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.3, the dimension of the group of automorphisms of  $C$  fixing one point is

$$\dim_{[f]}(\text{Hom}(\widetilde{F}_{red}, \widetilde{F}_{red}, g) \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p_g \geq 1; \\ 2, & \text{if } p_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

So

$$\dim_{[f]} \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{F}_{red}, Y, g) > \dim_{[f]} (\operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{F}_{red}, \widetilde{F}_{red}, g))$$

and hence we can choose a point  $[f_0] \in \operatorname{Hom}(\widetilde{F}_{red}, Y, g)$  (the irreducible component containing  $[f]$ ) such that  $f_0(\widetilde{F}_{red}) \neq F_{red}$ . So we get  $f_0(\widetilde{F}_{red}) \cdot F_{red} > 0$  since they both pass the point  $f(P)$ , which contradicts the fact  $f_0(\widetilde{F}_{red}) \cdot F_{red} = F_{red}^2 = 0$  by the fibration.  $\square$

## 2.2. The Shepherd-Barron's result on the instability of locally free sheaves.

**Definition 2.5.** A rank 2 locally free sheaf  $E$  on a smooth projective surface  $X$  is *unstable* if there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(A) \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_Z \cdot \mathcal{O}(B) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $A, B \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ ,  $I_Z$  is the ideal sheaf of an effective 0-cycle  $Z$  on  $X$  and  $A - B \in C_{++}(X)$ , the positive cone of  $NS(X)$ . (Recall that  $C_{++}(X) = \{x \in NS(X) \mid x^2 > 0 \text{ and } x \cdot H > 0 \text{ for some ample divisor } H \text{ and hence every ample divisor } H\}$ .) We say that  $E$  is *semi-stable* if it is not unstable.

Let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be the (absolute) Frobenius morphism and  $F^e$  be the  $e$ -iteration of  $F$ . For any coherent sheaf  $G$ , and we write  $G^{(p^e)} := F^{e*}(G)$  simply. And the *relative  $e$ -iteration Frobenius morphism*  $F_r^e$  is defined by the universal property the fibre product in following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{F^e} & Y \\ \text{\scriptsize } F_r^e \text{\scriptsize } \dashrightarrow & & \downarrow f \\ & F^{e*}(Y) \longrightarrow & Y \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow f \\ & X & \xrightarrow{F^e} X \end{array}$$

**Theorem 2.6** (Theorem 1, [11]). *If  $E$  is a rank 2 locally free sheaf on a smooth projective surface  $X$  with  $c_1^2(E) > 4c_2(E)$ , then there is a reduced and irreducible surface  $Y \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(E)$  such that*

- (1) *the composite  $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$  is purely inseparable, say of degree  $p^n$ ;*
- (2) *the  $n$ -iteration Frobenius  $F^n : X \rightarrow X$  factors rationally through  $Y$ ;*
- (3) *putting  $\tilde{E} = F^{n*}E$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P}(\tilde{E})$  and letting  $\psi : \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  be the natural map, we have  $\psi^*Y = p^n X_1$ , where  $X_1$  is the quasi-section of  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  corresponding to an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \tilde{E} \rightarrow I_Z \cdot B \rightarrow 0$ , where  $A, B \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ ,  $Z \in X$  is a 0-cycle and  $N = A - B$  lies in the positive cone  $C_{++}$  of  $NS(X)$ .*

*Proof.* See [Theorem 1, [11]]  $\square$

The  $Y$  in the above theorem could be constructed as follows (which could also be considered as the image of  $X_1$  under  $\psi$  in Theorem 1 of [11]): Fix a vector bundle  $E$  of rank 2 with  $c_1^2(E) > 4c_2(E)$ . Suppose that  $e > 0$  is the smallest integer such that it is unstable, then we have the following diagram, where  $s$  is the section determined by the instability of  $F^{e*}E$ ,  $Y = F_r^{e*}(X)$  is the pull back of this section under the relative  $e$ -iteration Frobenius  $F_r^e$  which is reduced and irreducible, and  $\rho = \pi|_Y$  is a inseparable morphism of degree  $p^e$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ \mathbb{P}(E) & \xrightarrow{F_r^n} & \mathbb{P}(E^{(p^e)}) \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow F^{e*}(\pi) \\ & & X \end{array}$$

**Proposition 2.7** ([11], Corollary 5). *With the same assumption as Theorem 2.6,*

$$K_Y \equiv \rho^*(K_X - \frac{p^e - 1}{p^e} N).$$

**2.3. The Tyurin's result on a construction of locally free sheaves.** Let  $X$  be a nonsingular projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field. Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . For a 0-cycle  $Z \in X^{[d]}$ , consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \otimes I_Z \rightarrow L \rightarrow L|_Z \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, L \otimes I_Z) \rightarrow H^0(X, L) \rightarrow H^0(L|_Z) \rightarrow H^1(X, L \otimes I_Z) \rightarrow H^1(X, L) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now put  $\delta(Z, L) := H^1(X, L \otimes I_Z) - H^1(X, L)$ . Note that the integer  $\delta(Z, L)$  is nonnegative. The cycle  $Z$  is called  $L$ -stable (in the sense of Tyurin) if  $\dim \delta(Z, L) > \dim \delta(Z_0, L)$  for any subcycle  $Z_0$  of  $Z$ . Note that  $L$  is  $d$ -very ample if and only if  $\delta(Z, L) = 0$  for all  $Z \in X^{[d+1]}$ .

**Theorem 2.8** ([13], Lemma 1.2). *Let  $L$  be a line bundle on a nonsingular projective surface  $X$  defined over an algebraically closed field and let  $Z$  be an  $L$ -stable 0-cycle of  $X$ . Then there exists an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, L \otimes I_Z) \otimes K_X \rightarrow E(Z, L) \rightarrow L \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $E(Z, L)$  is a locally free sheaf on  $X$  of rank  $H^1(X, L \otimes I_Z) + 1$ .

### 3. VANISHING THEOREM ON QUASI-ELLIPTIC SURFACES

In this section we give a new proof of the following vanishing theorem from a letter of Langer, which can also be obtained by a modification of a small error in the proof of [7, Corollary 7.4] or by the first part of the proof of [15, Corollary 4.1] (the other part may go wrong), and then show it is optimal by some examples.

**Theorem 3.1** (Langer). *Let  $X$  be a quasi-elliptic surface, and  $F$  be a general fibre of the quasi-elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$ . Let  $L$  be a nef and big divisor on  $X$ .*

- If  $p = 2$  and  $(L \cdot F) > 2$ , then  $H^1(X, L^{-1}) = 0$ ,
- If  $p = 3$  and  $(L \cdot F) > 1$ , then  $H^1(X, L^{-1}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $H^1(X, L^{-1}) \neq 0$ . Let's take any nonzero element  $0 \neq \alpha \in H^1(X, L^{-1})$  which gives a non-split extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Corollary 17 in [11] implies that  $F^{e*}E$  will split when  $e \gg 0$ . Suppose that  $e > 0$  is the smallest integer such that it split, then we have the following diagram, where  $s$  is the section determined by the splitting of  $F^{e*}E$ ,  $Y = F_r^{e*}(X)$  is the pull back of this section which is reduced and irreducible and  $\rho = \pi|_Y$  is a inseparable morphism of degree  $p^e$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ \mathbb{P}(E) & \xrightarrow{F_r^n} & \mathbb{P}(E^{(p^e)}) \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow F^{e*}(\pi) \\ & & X \end{array}$$

But the general fibre of  $g = f \circ \rho : Y \rightarrow C$  may be not reduced:

$$\rho^*(F) = p^{e-e_0} \tilde{F}$$

where  $0 \leq e_0 \leq e$  and  $\tilde{F}$  is integral.

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-K_Y \cdot \tilde{F} &= \rho^*((p^e - 1)L - K_X) \cdot \tilde{F} \\
&= p^{e_0 - e}(\rho^*((p^e - 1)L - K_X) \cdot \rho^*F) \\
&= p^{e_0}(((p^e - 1)L - K_X) \cdot F) \\
&= p^{e_0}(p^e - 1)L \cdot F
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{F}$  is a rational curve, by Proposition 2.4, we have the inequality  $p^{e_0}(p^e - 1)L \cdot F = -(K_Y \cdot \tilde{F}) \leq 2$  which gives that when  $p = 2$ ,

- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 0$ , and  $L \cdot F \leq 2$ , or
- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 1$ , and  $L \cdot F = 1$ ,

and when  $p = 3$ ,

- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 0$ , and  $L \cdot F = 1$

So we get our result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a quasi-elliptic surface, and  $L$  a big and nef divisor on  $X$ , then we have*

- if  $p = 2$  and  $n \geq 3$ , then  $H^1(X, L^{-n}) = 0$ , and
- if  $p = 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $H^1(X, L^{-n}) = 0$ .

Next, we will construct a quasi-elliptic surface to show that the above vanishing theorem are optimal.

*Example 3.3.* Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field with  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  and  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}_k^2 = \text{Proj}(k[X, Y, Z])$  be the plane curve defined by the equation:

$$Y^{2e} - X^{2e-1}Y = XZ^{2e-1},$$

where  $e > 1$  is a free variable. It is easy to check that  $C$  is a smooth curve and  $2g(C) - 2 = 2e(2e - 3)$ . Take  $\infty := [0, 0, 1]$  on  $C$ . Then  $U := C \setminus \infty = C \cap \{X \neq 0\}$  is an affine open subset defined by  $y^{2e} - y = z^{2e-1}$  with  $y = Y/X$  and  $z = Z/X$ . As a result,  $dz$  is a generator of  $\Omega_C^1|_U$  since  $dy = z^{2e-2}dz$ . So we have

$$K_C = \text{div}(dz) = (2g(C) - 2)\infty = 2e(2e - 3) \cdot \infty.$$

Let  $D = e(2e - 3) \cdot \infty$ , then  $C$  is a Tango curve with a Tango structure  $L = \mathcal{O}_C(D)$  by [15, Definition 2.1] (see [5; 8; 12, etc] for more details about this example of Tango curves).

Set  $e = 3e_1$  and  $N = e_1(2e - 3) \cdot \infty$  then  $L = 3N$ . Next we follow the same argument as section 2 in [15] to construct a Raynaud surface  $X$  which is an  $l$ -cyclic cover of a ruled surface  $P$  over  $C$  with  $l = p + 1 = 3$

$$\phi : X \xrightarrow{\psi} P \xrightarrow{\pi} C.$$

Note that it is a quasi-elliptic fibration by [15, Proposition 2.3] and denote general fibre by  $F$ .

In this case, let  $a = 2$  and  $b = 1$ , then  $Z_{a,b} = \mathcal{O}_X(a\tilde{E}) \otimes N^b = \mathcal{O}_X(2\tilde{E}) \otimes N$  is ample and satisfies the condition in [15, Theorem 3.7]. Hence we get

$$H^1(X, Z_{2,1}^{-1}) \neq 0.$$

But

$$(Z_{2,1} \cdot F) = 2 > 1,$$

which leads to a contradiction with [7, Corollary 7.4]

Moreover, if we set  $e_1 = 2e_2$  for some positive integer  $e_2$ , then  $Z_{2,1} = 2A$  with  $A = \mathcal{O}_X(\tilde{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(e_2(2e - 3) \cdot \infty)$  ample and  $H^1(X, A^{-2}) \neq 0$ , which leads to a contradiction with [15, Corollary 4.1].

By a similar argument we could also obtain quasi-elliptic surface  $X$  in characteristic 3 such that  $H^1(X, A^{-1}) \neq 0$  with  $A$  ample on  $X$ .

#### 4. ADJOINT LINEAR SYSTEM ON QUASI-ELLIPTIC SURFACES

In this section we prove a theorem of  $d$ -ampleness of Reider-type in positive characteristic on quasi-elliptic surfaces.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a quasi-elliptic surface, and  $F$  be a general fibre of the quasi-elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$ . Let  $L$  a nef and big divisor on  $X$ . Assume that*

$$L^2 > 4(d+1).$$

for a nonnegative integer  $d$  then we have following descriptions.

- (1) *When  $p = 2$ , we assume that  $(L \cdot F) > 2$  in addition. Then either  $K_X + L$  is  $d$ -very ample or there exists an effective divisor  $B$  containing a 0-cyclic  $Z^{(2)} = F^*Z$ , which is the Frobenius pull back of a 0-cyclic  $Z$  of  $\deg Z \leq (d+1)$  where the  $d$ -very ampleness fails, such that  $2L - B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective and*

$$2(L \cdot B) - 4 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq (L \cdot B) \leq 4 \deg Z;$$

- (2) *When  $p = 3$ , we assume that  $(L \cdot F) > 1$  in addition. Then either  $K_X + L$  is  $d$ -very ample or there exists an effective divisor  $B$  containing a 0-cyclic  $Z^{(3)} = F^*Z$ , which is the Frobenius pull back of a 0-cyclic  $Z$  of  $\deg Z \leq (d+1)$  where the  $d$ -very ampleness fails, such that  $3L - 2B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -effective and*

$$3(L \cdot B) - 9 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq \frac{3(L \cdot B)}{2} \leq 9 \deg Z.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $K_X + L$  is  $(d-1)$ -very ample, and not  $d$ -very ample. Then there exist a 0-cyclic  $Z$  of degree  $d+1$  where the  $d$ -very ampleness fails. By Theorem 3.1, we have  $H^1(X, K_X + L) = 0$  and then by Lemma 4.3, we obtain a rank 2 locally free sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  which is given by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_Z \cdot L \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover we have

$$c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E) = L^2 - 4 \deg Z = L^2 - 4(d+1) > 0.$$

When  $p = 3$ , by Lemma 4.4  $F^*(E)$  is unstable. Then we have the following diagram with exact vertical and horizontal sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & A & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & \searrow \sigma & \\ & & & & I_Z^{(3)} \cdot L^{\otimes 3} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & E^{(3)} & \longrightarrow & I_Z^{(3)} \cdot L^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & I_W \cdot B & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where  $A, B \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and  $I_W$  is the ideal sheaf of a 0-cycle  $W$  on  $X$  and  $A - B$  satisfies

- $(A - B)^2 \geq 9(c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E)) > 0$ ,



- $(A - B) \cdot H > 0$  for any ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$ .

Note that the composition map  $\sigma : A \rightarrow E^{(3)} \rightarrow I_Z^{(3)} \cdot L^{\otimes 3}$  is nonzero, otherwise we have  $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $(A - B) \cdot H = (2A - L) \cdot H < 0$  for any ample divisor  $H$  on  $X$  which is a contradiction. So  $B = L - A$  is an effective divisor. Thus we have

- (1)  $2L \cdot B \leq 3L^2$ ,
- (2)  $3L \cdot B - B^2 \leq 9 \deg Z$ ,
- (3)  $L \cdot B \geq 0$ , and
- (4)  $L^2 B^2 \leq (L \cdot B)^2$ ,

where the first inequality is from unstablity of  $E^{(3)}$ , the second one is obtained by computing of the second Chern class of  $E^{(3)}$  with the vertical and horizontal sequences, and last one is from Hodge index theorem, and put them together:

$$3(L \cdot B) - 9 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq \frac{(L \cdot B)^2}{L^2} \leq \frac{3(L \cdot B)}{2}.$$

So we have

$$3(L \cdot B) - 9 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq \frac{3(L \cdot B)}{2} \leq 9 \deg Z.$$

When  $p = 2$ , by Lemma 4.4 we have  $F^*(E)$  is unstable. Then by the same argument as above, we will get

$$2(L \cdot B) - 4 \deg Z \leq B^2 \leq (L \cdot B) \leq 4 \deg Z.$$

□

**Lemma 4.2** ([1], Lemma 1.2). *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring and  $I, J$  ideals of  $R$  with  $I \subseteq J$ . Assume that  $\text{length}(R/I) < \infty$ . Then there exists a chain*

$$I = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \cdots \subset I_r = J$$

*of ideals of  $R$  with  $\text{length}(I_i/I_{i-1}) = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .*

The following lemma is a slight improvement of Lemma 2.2 in [9] by H. Terakawa. For reader's convenience, we present a proof here.

**Lemma 4.3** ([14], Lemma 2.2). *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and  $L$  a line bundle on  $X$  such that  $H^1(X, K_X + L) = 0$ . Let  $Z$  be a 0-cycle with  $\deg Z = d + 1$  where  $d$  is a nonnegative integer. Assume that  $K_X + L$  is  $d - 1$ -very ample and the restriction map*

$$\Gamma(K_X + L) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_Z(K_X + L))$$

*is not surjective. Then there exists a rank 2 locally free sheaf  $E$  on  $X$  which is given by the short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow I_Z \cdot L \rightarrow 0,$$

*where  $I_Z$  is the ideal sheaf of  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Form the condition we see that the cycle  $Z$  is  $K_X + L$ -stable in the sense of Tyurin. Then by Theorem 2.8 we have a locally free extension

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, (K_X + L) \otimes I_Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \rightarrow E(Z, (K_X + L)) \rightarrow (K_X + L) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

and it is sufficient to prove that  $h^1((K_X + L) \otimes I_Z) = 1$ .

By Lemma 4.2, we can take a sub-cycle  $Z_0 \subset Z$  of  $\deg Z_0 = d$ . And we have the following diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (K_X + L) \otimes I_{Z_0} & \longrightarrow & (K_X + L) & \longrightarrow & (K_X + L)|_{Z_0} \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & \uparrow i & & \uparrow id & & \uparrow j \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (K_X + L) \otimes I_Z & \longrightarrow & (K_X + L) & \longrightarrow & (K_X + L)|_Z \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$



Note that  $\ker(j) = \operatorname{coker}(i) = k$  and by Tyurin' stability, we have

$$0 = \dim \delta(Z, K_X + L) < \dim \delta(Z, K_X + L) = \dim \operatorname{coker}(H^0(K_X + L) \rightarrow H^0((K_X + L)|_Z)) \leq 1.$$

Then considering the long exact sequence induced by the second row with the vanishing condition  $H^1(K_X + L) = 0$ , we obtain

$$H^1((K_X + L) \otimes I_Z) = \operatorname{coker}(H^0(K_X + L) \rightarrow H^0((K_X + L)|_Z)) = k.$$

□

There is a little error in the proof of [4, Propositon 4.3] that  $\rho^*F$  may be not reduced, and here we correct and improve it.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a quasi-elliptic surface an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , and  $F$  be a general fibre of the quasi-elliptic fibration  $f : X \rightarrow C$ . Let  $E$  a rank 2 vector bundle on  $X$  with  $c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E) > 0$  then  $F^*E$  is unstable.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.6, let  $e > 0$  be the smallest integer such that  $F^{e*}E$  is unstable:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(A) \rightarrow F^{e*}E \rightarrow I_Z \cdot \mathcal{O}(B) \rightarrow 0$$

Consider the composition  $g = f \circ \rho : Y \rightarrow C$ , then  $\rho^*F$  is a family of curves in  $Y$  and all the fibre of  $g$  may be not reduced:

$$\rho^*(F) = p^{e-e_0} \tilde{F}$$

where  $0 \leq e_0 \leq e$ .

So by Propositon 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} -K_Y \cdot \tilde{F} &= \rho^*\left(\frac{p^e - 1}{p^e}(A - B) - K_X\right) \cdot \tilde{F} \\ &= p^{e_0-e} \left(\rho^*\left(\frac{p^e - 1}{p^e}(A - B) - K_X\right) \cdot \rho^*F\right) \\ &= p^{e_0} \left(\left(\frac{p^e - 1}{p^e}(A - B) - K_X\right) \cdot F\right) \\ &= \frac{p^e - 1}{p^{e-e_0}}(A - B) \cdot F \\ &= (p^e - 1) \frac{(A - B) \cdot F}{p^{e-e_0}} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $A - B$  is big.

Since  $\tilde{F}$  is a rational curve, by Proposition 2.4, we have the the inequality

$$(p^e - 1) \frac{(A - B) \cdot F}{p^{e-e_0}} = -(K_Y \cdot \tilde{F}) \leq 2,$$

which gives that when  $p = 2$ ,

- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 0$ , and  $(A - B) \cdot F = 2$  or 4, or
- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 1$ , and  $(A - B) \cdot F \leq 2$ ,

and when  $p = 3$ ,

- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 0$ , and  $(A - B) \cdot F = 3$ , or
- $e = 1$ ,  $e_0 = 1$ , and  $(A - B) \cdot F = 1$ .

So we get our result. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Beltrametti and A. J. Sommese, *Zero cycles and  $k$ -th order embeddings of smooth projective surfaces*, Problems in the theory of surfaces and their classification (Cortona, 1988), Sympos. Math., XXXII, Academic Press, London, 1991, pp. 33–48. With an appendix by Lothar Göttsche. MR1273371 ↑1, 8
- [2] Y.-A. Chen, *Fujita’s Conjecture for Quasi-Elliptic Surfaces*, arXiv:1907.09046 (2019). ↑2
- [3] F. Catanese and L. Göttsche,  *$d$ -very-ample line bundles and embeddings of Hilbert schemes of 0-cycles*, Manuscripta Math. **68** (1990), no. 3, 337–341, DOI 10.1007/BF02568768. MR1065935 ↑1
- [4] G. Di Cerbo and A. Fanelli, *Effective Matsusaka’s theorem for surfaces in characteristic  $p$* , Algebra Number Theory **9** (2015), no. 6, 1453–1475, DOI 10.2140/ant.2015.9.1453. MR3397408 ↑2, 9
- [5] Y. Gu, L. Zhang, and Y. Zhang, *Counterexamples to Fujita’s conjecture on surfaces in positive characteristic*, arXiv 2002.04584 (2020). ↑6
- [6] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR1440180 ↑3
- [7] A. Langer, *The Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality for logarithmic surfaces in positive characteristic*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 14, 2737–2769, DOI 10.1215/00127094-3627203. MR3551772 ↑2, 5, 6
- [8] S. Mukai, *Counterexamples to Kodaira’s vanishing and Yau’s inequality in positive characteristics*, Kyoto J. Math. **53** (2013), no. 2, 515–532, DOI 10.1215/21562261-2081279. MR3079312 ↑6
- [9] T. Nakashima, *On Reider’s method for surfaces in positive characteristic*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **438** (1993), 175–185, DOI 10.1515/crll.1993.438.175. MR1215653 ↑1, 8
- [10] I. Reider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **127** (1988), no. no. 2, 309–316. MR932299 ↑1
- [11] N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Unstable vector bundles and linear systems on surfaces in characteristic  $p$* , Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 2, 243–262, DOI 10.1007/BF01243912. MR1128214 ↑1, 4, 5
- [12] H. Tango, *On the behavior of extensions of vector bundles under the Frobenius map*, Nagoya Math. J. **48** (1972), 73–89. MR314851 ↑6
- [13] A. N. Tyurin, *Cycles, curves and vector bundles on an algebraic surface*, Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), no. 1, 1–26, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-87-05402-0. MR885772 ↑5
- [14] H. Terakawa, *The  $d$ -very ampleness on a projective surface in positive characteristic*, Pacific J. Math. **187** (1999), no. 1, 187–199, DOI 10.2140/pjm.1999.187.187. MR1674325 ↑1, 8
- [15] X. Zheng, *Counterexamples of Kodaira vanishing for smooth surfaces of general type in positive characteristic*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **221** (2017), no. 10, 2431–2444, DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2016.12.030. MR3646309 ↑2, 5, 6

Email address: zhangym@cumt.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, CHINA UNIVERSITY OF MINING AND TECHNOLOGY, XUZHOU, 221116, P. R. OF CHINA