

ON AN INVERSE CURVATURE FLOW IN TWO-DIMENSIONAL SPACE FORMS

KWOK-KUN KWONG, YONG WEI, GLEN WHEELER, AND VALENTINA-MIRA WHEELER

ABSTRACT. We study the evolution of compact convex curves in two-dimensional space forms. The normal speed is given by the difference of the weighted inverse curvature with the support function, and in the case where the ambient space is the Euclidean plane, is equivalent to the standard inverse curvature flow. We prove that solutions exist for all time and converge exponentially fast in the smooth topology to a standard round geodesic circle. This has a number of consequences: first, to prove the isoperimetric inequality; second, to establish a range of weighted geometric inequalities; and third, to give a counterexample to the $n = 2$ case of a conjecture of Girão-Pinheiro.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M^2(K)$ be a real simply connected 2-dimensional space form with constant sectional curvature equal to $K \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. We view $M^2(K)$ as a warped product manifold $I \times \mathbb{S}^1$ equipped with the metric

$$g = dr^2 + \phi(r)^2 d\theta^2,$$

where ϕ satisfies $\phi'' = -K\phi$, $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\phi'(0) = 1$. On $M^2(K)$ there is a conformal Killing field V satisfying $\nabla V = \phi'(r)g$ (see Section 2). This conformal Killing field is used for the support function.

Let $X_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M^2(K)$ be a closed convex curve containing the origin $0 = \{r = 0\} \in M^2(K)$. If $K = 1$, we also assume X_0 lies in the open hemisphere centered at 0. We consider the flow $X : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, T) \rightarrow M^2(K)$ where

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(x, t) = \left(\frac{\phi'(r)}{\kappa} - u \right) \nu(x, t) \\ X(\cdot, 0) = X_0, \end{cases}$$

where κ is the curvature, ν is the unit outward normal, and $u = \langle V, \nu \rangle$ is the (generalised) support function of the curve. Note that when $K = 0$, the flow (1) is, up to rescaling [2], equivalent to the standard inverse curvature flow

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = \frac{1}{\kappa} \nu.$$

Local existence for the flows (1) with smooth data can be obtained in a standard way (for instance) by using classical quasilinear PDE theory and writing the solution as a graph over the initial curve.

Our main result is that each of the flows (1) exist globally in time, and converge, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, to a geodesic circle exponentially fast in the smooth topology.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $X_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M^2(K)$ be a smooth closed and strictly convex curve containing the origin. There exists a unique solution $X : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow M^2(K)$ of the flow (1) such that*

- (a) $X(\cdot, 0) = X_0$
- (b) $X(\cdot, t)$ is a smooth strictly convex curve which is star-shaped for all t ;
- (c) There is a unique standard smooth geodesic circle $X_\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ centered at the origin, such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X(\mathbb{S}^1, t) = X_\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$$

exponentially fast in the smooth topology.

The expansion of curves in the plane by the expansion flow (2) is by now a classical topic in curvature flow: see [13, 36] and their many citations. For curves in particular we mention the recent note [25], that applies a technique from Andrews-Bryan [3] to very efficiently deduce convergence to a circle for solutions to (2).

Inverse curvature flow in hyperbolic space and in the sphere are again the subject of seminal work by Gerhardt [12, 14]. We invite the reader to explore the citations of these works. The particular kind of generalisation of

the inverse curvature flow that we study here (1) enjoys uniform upper and lower bounds on curvature. This is due to the presence of the support function term, which is related (as explained by Andrews [2]) by continuous rescaling to the inverse curvature flow in the plane. In other space forms, the flow (1) is more convenient to study compared to (2); in this sense, we say that the flow (1) is adapted to the space form setting. This is well-explained in Brendle-Guan-Li [6], where the flow is introduced, and results given for hypersurfaces with dimension $n \geq 2$. This flow was studied in higher dimensions with hyperbolic background in [22]. For rigidity results and geometric inequalities in the sphere, we refer to [31]. The case of curves has been left open in earlier work. Indeed, the analysis of the flows must be done differently in low dimensions. This is the primary focus of our paper: to fill this gap.

There is a strong tradition of application for curvature flow to geometric inequalities. See for instance the classical use of the inverse mean curvature flow by Huisken-Ilmanen to establish the Riemannian Penrose inequality [24]. The isoperimetric inequality is another typical application (also one that we perform here, for both convex and non-convex cases – see Theorem 5.2). There has been recently a burst of activity in the area, including inequalities involving quermassintegrals, Minkowski-type inequalities, Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities and more general weighted inequalities [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 29, 30, 21, 22, 27, 28, 35].

In our work here, additional to the convergence result above we give some applications of the flows (1). These include the aforementioned isoperimetric inequality (Theorem 5.2), and weighted geometric inequalities:

Theorem 1.2. *Let γ be a smooth, closed and convex curve in \mathbb{H}^2 , \mathbb{S}_+^2 or \mathbb{R}^2 . (Here \mathbb{S}_+^2 denotes any hemisphere.) Then we have*

$$(3) \quad \int_{\gamma} \Phi(r) \kappa \, ds \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} (L^2 - 2\pi A),$$

where $\Phi(r)$ is given by

$$(4) \quad \Phi(r) = \int_0^r \phi(s) \, ds = \begin{cases} \cosh r - 1, & K = -1 \\ \frac{r^2}{2}, & K = 0 \\ 1 - \cos r, & K = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Equality holds if and only if γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

This weighted inequality has a few important consequences that we briefly mention. Combining Theorem 1.2 with the Gauss-Bonnet theorem generalises Theorem 1 from [10] (see Corollary 5.4). Furthermore, in the case of $K = 1$, we find

$$\int_{\gamma} \kappa \cos r \leq 2\pi - \frac{L^2}{2\pi}.$$

This inequality can be used to show that a conjecture of Girão-Pinheiro [15] is false for $n = 2$ (see Corollary 5.7).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we give evolution equations for general flows of curves in space forms, which are then analysed in Section 3 for the flows (1) in particular. There, we establish monotonicity of the isoperimetric deficit, two-sided bounds for the curvature scalar, and bounds on the height function when written as a radial graph. For these bounds a novel approach is taken, that requires an auxiliary function. In Section 4 we study the long time behaviour of the flow. Given our estimates from Section 3, global existence follows using a standard argument. A weak kind of subsequential convergence follows from monotonicity of the isoperimetric deficit and our estimates. This can then be strengthened to full, exponentially fast convergence by using a linearisation technique. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed in Section 4. Section 5 is concerned with applications of the flows (1), in particular the isoperimetric inequality, the weighted geometric inequalities, and its corollaries mentioned above.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Kwok-Kun Kwong would like to thank Man-Chun Lee for useful discussions. Yong Wei was supported by National Key Research and Development Project SQ2020YFA070080 and a research grant from University of Science and Technology of China. Valentina-Mira Wheeler was supported in part by Discovery Project DP180100431 and DECRA DE190100379 of the Australian Research Council.

2. GENERAL EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

Let us briefly take some time to make more concrete the setting. If $K = 0$, $\phi(r) = r$ and $I = [0, \infty)$, M^2 is the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 . If $K = -1$, $\phi(r) = \sinh r$ and $I = [0, \infty)$, M^2 is the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 . If $K = 1$, we have $\phi(r) = \sin r$. However, for technical reasons we restrict ourselves to $I = [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and so $M^2(1) = \mathbb{S}_+^2$ is the open hemisphere. (We will see that under a convexity assumption the curve will stay within \mathbb{S}_+^2 along the flow.) Let $\bar{\nabla}$ be the connection on $M^2(K)$. It is well-known that the vector field $V = \bar{\nabla}\Phi = \phi(r)\partial_r$ on $M^2(K)$ (here Φ is as defined in (4)) is a conformal Killing field with $\bar{\nabla}V = \phi'(r)\bar{g}$. Given a closed convex curve $X_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M^2(K)$, we consider the following general flow

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}X(x, t) &= F\nu(x, t) \\ X(\cdot, 0) &= X_0, \end{cases}$$

in $M^2(K)$, where F is a smooth function on the evolving curves $\gamma_t = X(\mathbb{S}^1, t)$. We derive the evolution equations based on the calculation as in [16].

Let $X(\theta, t)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1$ be the position of the evolving curves $\gamma_t = X(\mathbb{S}^1, t)$ and $\vartheta = |X_\theta|$. We define the arc-length parameter s by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

Denote the unit tangent vector by

$$T = \frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{\vartheta} X_\theta.$$

The arclength element of the curve γ_t is represented by $ds = \vartheta d\theta$.

Lemma 2.1. *Along the flow (5), the length L of the evolving curves satisfies*

$$(6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}L(t) = \int_{\gamma_t} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}X, \kappa\nu \right\rangle ds.$$

Proof. Denote $W = \partial_t X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}L(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \vartheta d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} W(|X_\theta|) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{1}{|X_\theta|} \langle \bar{\nabla}_W X_\theta, X_\theta \rangle d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{X_\theta} W, T \rangle d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since W is parallel to the normal direction, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}L(t) &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle W, \bar{\nabla}_{X_\theta} T \rangle d\theta \\ &= - \int_{\gamma_t} \langle W, \bar{\nabla}_s T \rangle \vartheta d\theta \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} \langle W, \kappa\nu \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *The evolution of $\vartheta = |X_\theta|$ is given by*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vartheta = F\kappa\vartheta.$$

Proof. By differentiating in time t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vartheta &= 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (F\nu), \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \left\langle F \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \nu, X_\theta \right\rangle \\
&= 2F\vartheta^2 \langle \nu_s, T \rangle \\
&= 2F\kappa\vartheta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Covariant derivative with respect to s and t are related by*

$$\bar{\nabla}_t \bar{\nabla}_s = \bar{\nabla}_s \bar{\nabla}_t + F\bar{R}(T, \nu)$$

where \bar{R} denotes the ambient curvature.

Proof. We have

$$\bar{\nabla}_t \bar{\nabla}_\theta = \bar{\nabla}_\theta \bar{\nabla}_t + \bar{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_t \bar{\nabla}_s &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} \right) \bar{\nabla}_\theta + \frac{1}{\vartheta} \bar{\nabla}_t \bar{\nabla}_\theta \\
&= \frac{-F\kappa}{\vartheta} \bar{\nabla}_\theta + \frac{1}{\vartheta} \left(\bar{\nabla}_\theta \bar{\nabla}_t + \bar{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \right) \\
&= \bar{\nabla}_s \bar{\nabla}_t - F\kappa \bar{\nabla}_s + \bar{R}(T, F\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *The derivative of T with respect to time t is*

$$\bar{\nabla}_t T = \bar{\nabla}_s F\nu.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_t \nu = -\bar{\nabla}_s FT.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_t T &= \bar{\nabla}_t \left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{\vartheta^2} \bar{\nabla}_t \vartheta \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial X}{\partial \theta} \\
&= -F\kappa T + \bar{\nabla}_s (F\nu) \\
&= -F\kappa T + \bar{\nabla}_s F\nu + F\kappa T \\
&= \bar{\nabla}_s F\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.5. *The evolution of curvature κ is given by*

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} - (\kappa^2 + K) F.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \bar{\nabla}_s T, -\nu \rangle \\
&= \langle \bar{\nabla}_t \bar{\nabla}_s T, -\nu \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_s T, -\bar{\nabla}_t \nu \rangle \\
&= \langle \bar{\nabla}_s \bar{\nabla}_t T - F \kappa \bar{\nabla}_s T + F \bar{R}(T, \nu) T, -\nu \rangle + \langle -\kappa \nu, \bar{\nabla}_s F T \rangle \\
&= \langle \bar{\nabla}_s (\bar{\nabla}_s F \nu) + F \kappa^2 \nu, -\nu \rangle - K F \\
&= -\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} - (\kappa^2 + K) F.
\end{aligned}$$

where $K = \langle \bar{R}(T, \nu) T, \nu \rangle$ is the Gauss curvature of $M^2(K)$. □

3. ON THE FLOW (1)

We collect some useful facts on $M^2(K)$ here. We recall the Minkowski formula on M^2 :

$$(9) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi'(r) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa \langle V, \nu \rangle.$$

We also have Brendle's Heintze-Karcher type inequality [5]:

$$(10) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\phi'(r)}{\kappa} \geq \int_{\Omega} 2\phi'(r) dA = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V, \nu \rangle.$$

Note that it was proved in [5] that equality holds only if $\partial\Omega$ is umbilical, which is automatic when $n = 2$. On the other hand, by [34, Theorem 1] and [33], the equality holds if and only if Ω is a geodesic ball for all dimensions.

Now we consider the locally constrained curve flow (1). Let $F = \frac{\phi'(r)}{\kappa} - u$ be the flow speed.

Proposition 3.1. *Under the flow (1), the length L of γ_t is preserved and the area A enclosed by γ_t satisfies*

$$(11) \quad A'(t) = \int_{\gamma_t} \left(\frac{\phi'(r)}{\kappa} - \langle V, \nu \rangle \right) \geq 0.$$

The isoperimetric deficit $L^2 - 4\pi A + KA^2$ is also monotone decreasing.

Proof. By (6) and (9),

$$L'(t) = \int_{\gamma_t} (\phi'(r) - \kappa \langle V, \nu \rangle) ds = 0.$$

By the coarea formula and (10),

$$A'(t) = \int_{\gamma_t} \langle \partial_t X, \nu \rangle = \int_{\gamma_t} F ds = \int_{\gamma_t} \left(\frac{\phi'(r)}{\kappa} - \langle V, \nu \rangle \right) ds \geq 0.$$

If $K = 1$, we will see in Proposition 3.3 that $X(\cdot, t)$ will stay inside the hemisphere centered at 0, and in particular the area enclosed by it is less than 2π . From the above,

$$\frac{d}{dt} (L^2 - 4\pi A + KA^2) = 2LL' - 4\pi A' + 2KAA' \leq 0.$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose X_0 is strictly convex, then γ_t remains strictly convex along the flow (1). Furthermore we have the uniform two-sided estimate*

$$\min \kappa_0 \leq \kappa(\cdot, t) \leq \max \kappa_0.$$

Proof. Let s be the arclength parameter. By (8),

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial t} = \phi' \kappa^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 \kappa}{\partial s^2} - 2\phi' \kappa^{-3} \left(\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} \right)^2 + 2\kappa^{-2} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial^2 \phi'}{\partial s^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} - (\kappa^2 + K) F.$$

We compute $\frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial s} = \langle \bar{\nabla} \phi', T \rangle = \langle \phi''(r) \partial_r, T \rangle = \frac{\phi''(r)}{\phi'(r)} \langle \phi(r) \partial_r, T \rangle = -K \langle V, T \rangle$ and

$$(13) \quad \bar{\nabla}^2 \phi' = -K \bar{\nabla} V = -K \phi'(r) \bar{g}.$$

So by (13),

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \phi'}{\partial s^2} = -K \langle \bar{\nabla}_T V, T \rangle - K \langle V, \bar{\nabla}_T T \rangle = -K \phi' \bar{g} \langle T, T \rangle + K \kappa \langle V, \nu \rangle = -K (\phi' - \kappa u).$$

We compute $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = \langle V, \kappa T \rangle$ and

$$(15) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\kappa \langle V, T \rangle) = \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} \langle V, T \rangle + \kappa \phi' - \kappa^2 u = \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} \langle V, T \rangle + \kappa (\phi' - \kappa u).$$

Putting (14) and (15) into (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial t} &= \phi' \kappa^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 \kappa}{\partial s^2} + \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} \left(2\kappa^{-2} \frac{\partial \phi'}{\partial s} - 2\phi' \kappa^{-3} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} + \langle V, T \rangle \right) \\ &= \phi' \kappa^{-2} \frac{\partial^2 \kappa}{\partial s^2} + \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial s} \left(2\kappa^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\phi'}{\kappa} \right) + \langle V, T \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Again, in the case where $K = 1$, we will prove in Proposition 3.3 that γ_t lies in the hemisphere centered at 0 and so $\phi'(r) > 0$.

By the maximum principle, κ remains positive and uniformly bounded by its initial values. \square

Every star-shaped curve can be regarded as a graph of $\rho : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ over \mathbb{S}^1 . For such a graph,

$$\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}} (\partial_r - \rho_\theta \phi(\rho)^{-2} \partial_\theta)$$

and

$$(16) \quad \kappa = \frac{\phi(\rho)^2 \phi'(\rho) + 2\rho_\theta^2 \phi'(\rho) - \rho_{\theta\theta} \phi(\rho)}{(\phi(\rho)^2 + \rho_\theta^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

For a family of curves that can be represented as graphs over \mathbb{S}^1 , we can write

$$X(x, t) = (\rho(\psi(x, t), t), \psi(x, t))$$

where $\psi(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ is a family of diffeomorphism and $\rho(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is the radial distance.

By direct computation,

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial X}{\partial t}, \nu \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right) + \phi(\rho)^2 \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \phi(\rho)^{-2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can express the flow (1) equivalently as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\phi'(\rho)}{\kappa} - \langle V, \nu \rangle = \frac{\phi'(\rho)}{\kappa} - \frac{\phi(\rho)}{\sqrt{1 + \rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}}.$$

So let us consider

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\phi'(\rho) \sqrt{1 + \rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}}{\kappa} - \phi(\rho) \\ \rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x). \end{cases}$$

where we regard κ as a function of ρ , ρ_θ and $\rho_{\theta\theta}$ given by (16).

Proposition 3.3. *If ρ is a solution to (17), then $\min \rho(\cdot, 0) \leq \rho \leq \max \rho(\cdot, 0)$. In particular, if $K = 1$ and the initial curve X_0 lies in the hemisphere centered at 0, then the solution $X(\cdot, t)$ to (1) always lies in the same hemisphere.*

Proof. At a spatial maximum point of ρ , $\nabla\rho = 0$, so (17) becomes

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\phi'(\rho)}{\kappa} - \phi(\rho).$$

By comparison principle, as the curve is contained in the circle with radius ρ centered at 0, the curvature at this point must be greater than or equal to $\frac{\phi'(\rho)}{\phi(\rho)}$, which is the geodesic curvature of the circle with radius ρ , hence $\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} \leq 0$ at this point. By a result of Hamilton [19], we conclude that $\rho \leq \max \rho(\cdot, 0)$. Similarly, at a spatial minimum, $\rho \geq \min \rho(\cdot, 0)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Along the flow (17), $\left| \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\theta} \right| \leq C \max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\theta} \right|$, where C is a constant which depends continuously on $\max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0$ and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0$ only.*

Proof. Let $h(\tau) = 2ct_K^{-1}(e^{-\tau})$ for $\tau < 0$, where ct_K^{-1} is the inverse function of $x \mapsto \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)}$. Direct computation shows that $h'(\tau) = \phi(h(\tau))$.

Now, let $\rho(\theta, t) = h(\tau(\theta, t))$, we compute

$$\rho_\theta = h'(\tau)\tau_\theta = \phi(h(\tau))\tau_\theta = \phi(\rho)\tau_\theta$$

and

$$\rho_{\theta\theta} = \phi'(h(\tau))\phi(h(\tau))\tau_\theta^2 + \phi(h(\tau))\tau_{\theta\theta} = \phi'(\rho)\phi(\rho)\tau_\theta^2 + \phi(\rho)\tau_{\theta\theta}.$$

In view of (16), (17) then becomes

$$(18) \quad \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t} = \frac{\phi'(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2)^2}{\phi'(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2) - \tau_{\theta\theta}} - 1$$

where

$$\phi'(\rho) = \begin{cases} -\coth\tau & \text{if } K = -1 \\ -\tanh\tau & \text{if } K = 1 \end{cases}.$$

We regard the RHS of (18) as $G(\phi'(\rho(\tau)), \tau_\theta, \tau_{\theta\theta}) = G(v, \tau_\theta, \tau_{\theta\theta})$. Direct computation gives $\frac{\partial G}{\partial v} = -\frac{(1+\tau_\theta^2)^2\tau_{\theta\theta}}{(v(1+\tau_\theta^2) - \tau_{\theta\theta})^2}$. Let $w := \frac{1}{2}\tau_\theta^2$. Then (by slightly abusing notation)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} &= \tau_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}(\tau_t) \\ &= \tau_\theta \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial v} v_\theta + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_\theta} \tau_{\theta\theta} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_{\theta\theta}} \tau_{\theta\theta\theta} \right) \\ &= \tau_\theta \left(-\frac{(1+\tau_\theta^2)^2\tau_{\theta\theta}}{(\phi'(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2) - \tau_{\theta\theta})^2} \phi''(\rho)\phi(\rho)\tau_\theta + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_\theta} \tau_{\theta\theta} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_{\theta\theta}} \tau_{\theta\theta\theta} \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{\tau_\theta \phi''(\rho)\phi(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2)^2}{(\phi'(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2) - \tau_{\theta\theta})^2} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_\theta} \right) w_\theta + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_{\theta\theta}} \tau_{\theta\theta\theta} \tau_\theta \\ &= \left(-\frac{\tau_\theta \phi''(\rho)\phi(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2)^2}{(\phi'(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2) - \tau_{\theta\theta})^2} + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_\theta} \right) w_\theta + \frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_{\theta\theta}} (w_{\theta\theta} - \tau_{\theta\theta}^2). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that $\frac{\partial G}{\partial\tau_{\theta\theta}} = \frac{(1+\tau_\theta^2)^2\phi'(\rho)}{(\phi'(\rho)(1+\tau_\theta^2) - \tau_{\theta\theta})^2} > 0$ ($\rho \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ if $K = 1$ by Proposition 3.3), so at a spatial maximum point of w , $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \leq 0$ and hence by [19], $\left| \frac{\partial\tau}{\partial\theta} \right|^2 \leq \max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial\tau_0}{\partial\theta} \right|^2$.

Note that $\tau \mapsto 2ct_K^{-1}(e^{-\tau}) : (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is either $\tau \mapsto e^\tau$ ($K = 0$), $\tau \mapsto \operatorname{arccoth} e^{-\tau}$ ($K = -1$) or $\tau \mapsto \operatorname{arccot} e^{-\tau}$. In all of these cases it is a strictly increasing convex function, and the values of ρ and τ both lie in a compact interval, which can be determined by $\max \rho_0$ and $\min \rho_0$. Therefore $\left| \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\theta} \right| \leq C \max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\theta} \right|$ where C is determined by $\max \rho_0$ and $\min \rho_0$ only. \square

Proposition 3.5. *If X_0 contains the origin, then $X(\cdot, t)$ contains the origin along the flow (1). Furthermore we have the two-sided estimate*

$$C_1 \geq u \geq C_2 > 0$$

where the constants C_1 and C_2 depend on the maxima and minima of $\left| \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta} \right|$ and ρ_0 only.

Proof. Clearly $u \leq \phi(\rho) \leq C_1$ by Proposition 3.3. It follows from Proposition 3.4 that ρ_θ does not blow up along the flow. The expression $u = \frac{\phi(\rho)}{\sqrt{1+\rho_\theta^2 \phi(\rho)^{-2}}}$ then implies that $u \geq C > 0$ where the constant C depends on the maxima and minima of $\left| \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta} \right|$ and ρ_0 only. \square

4. LONG TIME EXISTENCE AND CONVERGENCE

4.1. Long time existence. By (16), Proposition 3.2, and Proposition 3.4, we conclude that if ρ is a positive, admissible solution of (17) on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, T)$, then for any $t \in [0, T)$ we have

$$(19) \quad \|\rho\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, T))} \leq C$$

where C depends continuously only on $\max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0$, $\min_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0$, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta} \right|$, $\min_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta} \right|$, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \rho_0}{\partial \theta^2} \right|$ and $\min_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \rho_0}{\partial \theta^2} \right|$. Since κ is uniformly bounded from both above and below by positive constants, by applying results of Krylov and Safonov ([26, Section 5.5]), we can obtain $\|\rho\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C$ where C depends on ρ_0 only. By a standard argument, we can derive uniform C^k estimate of ρ for all $k \geq 2$ and then conclude that the flow (1) exists for all time $t \in [0, \infty)$.

4.2. Smooth convergence. First, we observe the following weak subconvergence.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a sequence of times $\{t_j\}$, $t_j \rightarrow \infty$, such that $Q(t_j) \searrow 0$, where*

$$Q(t) = \int_{\gamma_t} F ds = \int_{\gamma_t} \left(\frac{\phi'}{\kappa} - u \right) ds.$$

Along this sequence of times, γ_t converges smoothly to a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

Proof. Since $Q = A'$, we have

$$\int_0^t Q(\tau) d\tau = A(t) - A(0).$$

Proposition 3.3 implies that the RHS is uniformly bounded by $2\pi\Phi(\max_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0)$. Then, Brendle's inequality yields $Q(t) \geq 0$ so that Q is a non-negative function in $L^1((0, \infty))$. This yields the existence of the sequence t_j .

For this sequence of times $t_j \rightarrow \infty$, the C^0 and uniform regularity estimates imply that there exists a subsequence, still denoted by t_j , such that γ_{t_j} converges smoothly to a limit γ_∞ , which satisfies $\int_{\gamma_\infty} \left(\frac{\phi'}{\kappa} - u \right) ds = 0$. By the rigidity of Heintze-Karcher inequality [34, 33], the limit curve γ_∞ must be a geodesic circle. That is, we have a subsequence which converges smoothly to a geodesic circle.

We now claim that the geodesic circle γ_∞ is centered at 0. Suppose the radius of γ_∞ is ρ_∞ , such that its curvature is $\frac{\phi'(\rho_\infty)}{\phi(\rho_\infty)}$. This circle is a stationary solution to the flow (1) with length $2\pi\phi(\rho_\infty)$. If this circle is not centered at 0, then at the maximum point of ρ , by (17), we have $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\phi'(\rho)}{\kappa} - \phi(\rho) = \frac{\phi'(\rho)\phi(\rho_\infty)}{\phi'(\rho_\infty)} - \phi(\rho) = \frac{\phi(\rho_\infty - \rho)}{\phi'(\rho_\infty)} < 0$. This contradicts the fact that γ_∞ is stationary. \square

Proposition 4.2. *There exists $\rho_\infty > 0$ such that along the flow (17), $\|\rho(\cdot, t) - \rho_\infty\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $t_j \rightarrow \infty$ and ρ_∞ such that

$$(20) \quad \|\rho(\cdot, t_j) - \rho_\infty\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^1)} \rightarrow 0$$

for all $k \geq 0$. On the other hand, by the proof of Proposition 3.3, $\rho_{\max}(t) = \max_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1} \rho(\theta, t)$ is non-increasing in time and $\rho_{\min}(t) = \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1} \rho(\theta, t)$ is non-decreasing in time. This together with subconvergence (20) implies that

$$(21) \quad \|\rho(\cdot, t) - \rho_\infty\|_{C^0(\mathbb{S}^1)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Recall a special case of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality [32] (see [4] for a statement of the inequality on Riemannian manifolds): for positive integers j, k satisfying $j < k$, there exists a constant C depending only on j, k such that

$$(22) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \theta^j} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^\delta \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^{1-\delta},$$

for all function $f \in W^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, where $\delta = j/k$. Choosing $f = \rho(\theta, t) - \rho_\infty$ in (22), the uniform C^k estimate of ρ derived in Section 4.1 and the C^0 convergence (21) imply that for each positive integer j and any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\|\frac{\partial^j}{\partial \theta^j} \rho(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)} < \varepsilon$ holds for large enough t . Then Sobolev embedding theorem implies that $\|\rho(\cdot, t) - \rho_\infty\|_{C^{j-1}(\mathbb{S}^1)} < \varepsilon$ provided time t is taken sufficiently large. This means that $\rho(\cdot, t)$ converges smoothly to ρ_∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4.3. Exponential convergence. The exponential convergence can be obtained by studying the linearisation of the flow (1).

Lemma 4.3. *The linearisation of (17) along the stationary solution $\rho = \rho_\infty$ is*

$$(23) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h = \frac{1}{\phi'(\rho_\infty)} h_{\theta\theta}.$$

Proof. We write

$$\rho(\theta, t) = \rho_\infty + \varepsilon h(\theta, t)$$

for small ε . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \phi'(\rho) &= \phi''(\rho_\infty) h \\ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} u &= \phi'(\rho_\infty) h \\ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \kappa &= \frac{1}{\phi^3(\rho_\infty)} (-\phi(\rho_\infty) h_{\theta\theta} + 2\phi(\rho_\infty) (\phi'(\rho_\infty))^2 h + \phi(\rho_\infty)^2 \phi''(\rho_\infty) h) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\phi^2(\rho_\infty) \phi'(\rho_\infty)}{\phi^5(\rho_\infty)} 2\phi(\rho_\infty) \phi'(\rho_\infty) h \\ &= -\frac{1}{\phi^2(\rho_\infty)} h_{\theta\theta} + \frac{1}{\phi^2(\rho_\infty)} (\phi(\rho_\infty) \phi''(\rho_\infty) - (\phi'(\rho_\infty))^2) h \\ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \sqrt{1 + \frac{(\rho_\theta)^2}{\phi^2(\rho)}} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above equations, we obtain (23). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We have proved that γ_t converges smoothly to a geodesic circle γ_∞ of radius ρ_∞ centered at 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$. So for sufficiently large time t , γ_t lies in a small neighborhood of γ_∞ . Denote

$$\sigma(\theta, t) = \rho(\theta, t) - \rho_\infty$$

which converges to zero smoothly as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $G[\rho(\theta, t)]$ denote the right-hand side of (17). Then using the linearisation (23) we can write the flow (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(\theta, t) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho(\theta, t) - \rho_\infty) \\ &= G[\rho(\theta, t)] \\ &= G[\rho_\infty] + DG \Big|_{\rho_\infty} (\sigma(\theta, t)) + O(\|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \sigma(\theta, t) + \eta \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta(\theta, t)$ denotes the error terms which can be controlled by $\|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2$.

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta &\leq \frac{1}{\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} (\sigma(\theta, t)) + C \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\sigma(\theta, t)| \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sigma(\theta, t)) \right)^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\sigma(\theta, t)| \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2 \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\sigma(\theta, t)| \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last line, we have used the Wirtinger inequality $\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta)^2 d\theta \leq 4 \int_0^{2\pi} f'(\theta)^2 d\theta$ if $f \in C^1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ attains zero somewhere on its domain ([20, Theorem 257]). The reason σ attains 0 is as follows. If $\sigma(\theta, t) < 0$ for all θ , then as γ_t is convex and contains the origin (which we recall is the centre of γ_∞), it is enclosed by γ_∞ and has a shorter length than γ_∞ . But since the length is preserved along the flow, this is a contradiction. Similarly it is not possible to have $\sigma(\theta, t) > 0$ for all θ .

We estimate the last term on the right-hand side of (24). Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality (22), for positive integers j, k satisfying $j < k$, there exists a constant C depending only on j, k such that

$$(25) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \theta^j} \sigma(\theta, t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \sigma(\theta, t) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^\delta \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^{1-\delta},$$

where $\delta = j/k$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, $\|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)} \leq C \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{W^{j,2}(\mathbb{S}^1)}$ for $j \geq 3$. Choosing k larger in (25), the C^∞ estimate implies that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$

$$(26) \quad \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2 \leq C(\varepsilon) \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

We can choose $\varepsilon = 1/2$ (this also fixes the k in (25)), and then apply the Hölder inequality and (26) to the last term of (24). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\sigma(\theta, t)| \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^2 &\leq \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^1)}^4 d\theta \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta \right)^{1+\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (27) into (24) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta &\leq -\frac{1}{4\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta \right)^{1+\frac{1}{4}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta \left(1 - C' \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{4\phi'(\rho_\infty)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta (1 - \delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Here for any $\delta > 0$ there exists a time t_δ such that the above estimate holds for all $t > t_\delta$. This is because we already have $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta \rightarrow 0$. Choosing $\delta = 1/2$, (28) implies

$$(29) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \sigma(\theta, t)^2 d\theta \leq C e^{-\frac{t}{8\phi'(\rho_\infty)}}.$$

Finally, applying again the interpolation inequality (25) and Sobolev embedding theorem, we can obtain the exponential convergence of $\rho(\theta, t)$ to ρ_∞ in any C^k topology:

$$\|\sigma(\theta, t)\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^1)} \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t}$$

for each $k \geq 0$, where C_1 and C_2 depends on ρ_0 and k only. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

5. APPLICATIONS

5.1. Isoperimetric inequality. We first provide a new proof of the isoperimetric inequality for convex curves.

Theorem 5.1. *Let γ be a smooth, closed, convex curve in $M^2(K)$ with $K = -1, 1$ and 0 . Then the isoperimetric inequality*

$$(30) \quad L^2 \geq 4\pi A - KA^2$$

holds for γ . Equality holds in (30) if and only if γ is a geodesic circle.

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1: We first prove (30) for smooth, strictly convex (i.e., $\kappa > 0$) closed curve γ which encloses a bounded domain Ω . We choose a point o in the interior of Ω such that γ is star-shaped with respect to o and can be expressed as a graph of radial function $\rho_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $X_0 = (\rho_0(\theta), \theta) : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M^2(K)$ be the embedding of the curve γ . We evolve γ along the flow (1).

By Proposition 3.1, the isoperimetric deficit $Q(\gamma_t) = L^2 - 4\pi A + KA^2$ is non-increasing in time along the flow (1). In previous section, we have proved that for initially strictly convex curve, the flow (1) converges smoothly and exponentially to a geodesic circle S_{ρ_∞} of radius ρ_∞ . This implies that

$$Q(\gamma) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(\gamma_t) = Q(S_{\rho_\infty}) = 0$$

for strictly convex curve γ .

If equality (30) holds for some strictly convex closed curve γ , then $Q(\gamma) = Q(\gamma_t)$ for any positive time t . By the proof of Proposition 3.1, each curve γ_t is a geodesic circle. In particular, γ is a geodesic circle.

Step 2: Now for a smooth, closed and convex curve γ , let $X_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow M^2(K)$ be the embedding of the curve γ . We evolve γ along the curve shortening flow

$$(31) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = -\kappa \nu.$$

By the general evolution equation (8), the curvature κ of the solution $\gamma_t = X(\mathbb{S}^1, t)$ of (31) satisfies

$$(32) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \kappa = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \kappa + \kappa(\kappa^2 + K).$$

Since $\kappa \geq 0$ on the initial curve γ and there exists at least one point on γ with $\kappa > 0$, applying the strong maximum principle to (32) implies that γ_t is strictly convex for $t > 0$. By **Step 1**, the inequality (30) holds for each γ_t . Let $t \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that the inequality (30) also holds for γ .

If equality holds in (30) for a convex curve γ . We need to show that γ is a geodesic circle. Let

$$\gamma_+ = \{p \in \gamma, \kappa(p) > 0\}.$$

Since γ is a closed curve, it must have at least one convex point. Therefore, γ_+ is a non-empty open subset of γ . We claim that γ_+ is also closed. In fact, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\gamma_+)$ be a smooth function with compact support in γ_+ . Following [18], we consider a variation of γ by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = -\varphi \nu.$$

For sufficiently small time $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, $\gamma_t = X(\mathbb{S}^1, t)$ is convex, as the variation only deforms a compact subset of γ_+ for sufficiently small time and γ_+ is strictly convex. Then $Q(\gamma_t) \geq 0$ for $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $Q(0) = 0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} Q(t) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (L^2 - 4\pi A + KA^2) \\ &= -2L \int_{\gamma} \varphi \kappa ds + 4\pi \int_{\gamma} \varphi ds - 2KA \int_{\gamma} \varphi ds \\ &= -2 \int_{\gamma} (L\kappa - 2\pi + KA) \varphi ds \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\gamma_+)$. In particular,

$$\kappa = \frac{2\pi - KA}{L}$$

on γ_+ , which is a closed condition and hence γ_+ is a closed subset of γ . By connectedness of γ , we conclude that $\gamma_+ = \gamma$ and γ is strictly convex everywhere. Therefore, by the equality case of **Step 1**, we obtain that γ is a geodesic circle. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let γ be a smooth, simple closed curve in $M^2(K)$ with $K = -1, 1$ and 0 . Then the isoperimetric inequality*

$$(33) \quad L^2 \geq 4\pi A - KA^2$$

holds for γ . Equality holds if and only if γ is a geodesic circle.

Proof. We will employ the *strictly minimizing hull* Ω^* of the domain Ω enclosed by γ . We first recall the definition and regularity of Ω^* (see pages 371-372 in [24]) of a bounded domain in a complete Riemannian manifold M : We call E is a *minimizing hull* if E minimizes area on the outside, that is, if for any F containing E we have

$$(34) \quad |\partial E| \leq |\partial F|.$$

E is a *strictly minimizing hull* if equality holds in (34) if $|F \setminus E| = 0$. For a bounded domain $\Omega \Subset M$, we define its strictly minimizing hull Ω^* as the intersection of all strictly minimizing hulls that contain Ω . There hold $|\partial\Omega^*| \leq |\partial\Omega|$ and $|\Omega| \leq |\Omega^*|$. If $\partial\Omega$ is C^2 , the boundary of $\partial\Omega^*$ has $C^{1,1}$ regularity. For the weak mean curvature, we have (see [24, p.372])

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\partial\Omega^*} &= 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega^* \setminus \partial\Omega \\ H_{\partial\Omega^*} &= H_{\partial\Omega} \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega^* \cap \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Now let Ω be the bounded domain enclosed by a smooth simple closed curve γ in $M^2(K)$ with $K = -1, 1$ and 0 . We consider its strictly minimizing hull Ω^* which has a $C^{1,1}$ boundary $\gamma^* = \partial\Omega^*$. Since geodesic balls are strictly minimizing hulls in $M^2(K)$, we see that Ω^* is contained in $M^2(K)$ (Note that for $K = 1$ we only consider the curve lies in the hemisphere $M^2(1) = \mathbb{S}_+^2$). Then

$$(36) \quad L[\gamma] \geq L[\gamma^*], \quad A[\Omega] \leq A[\Omega^*],$$

which implies that

$$Q(\gamma) \geq Q(\gamma^*).$$

To show (33), it suffices to show $Q(\gamma^*) \geq 0$.

By (35), the weak curvature of the boundary $\gamma^* = \partial\Omega^*$ is nonnegative a.e. and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\gamma^*} &= 0, \quad \text{on } \gamma^* \setminus \gamma \\ \kappa_{\gamma^*} &= \kappa_\gamma \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \gamma^* \cap \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

That is, γ^* is a convex curve with $C^{1,1}$ regularity. Intuitively, the strictly minimizing hull Ω^* could be obtained by the intersection of all convex domains containing Ω and it should have convex boundary. To show (33) for a $C^{1,1}$ convex curve γ^* , we apply an approximation argument. By employing the curve shortening flow (31) as in Huisken-Ilmanen's work [23, Lemma 2.6], γ^* can be approximated by a family of smooth, strictly convex curve γ_ε with $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$ from interior in $C^{1,\alpha} \cap W^{2,p}$ for all $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 \leq p < \infty$. Here, γ_ε solves the curve shortening flow (31). The proof of Lemma 2.6 in [23] was presented in Euclidean space, but the proof can be easily adapted to curved ambient space as the ambient curvature only produces some lower order terms (see also [1, Lemma 6.8] for a precise statement). Then for each γ_ε , there holds

$$Q(\gamma_\varepsilon) \geq 0.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$Q(\gamma^*) \geq 0.$$

Finally, if equality holds in (33) for a smooth simple closed γ , then equality holds in (36). This implies that $\Omega = \Omega^*$ and is outer-minimizing. Then Ω is smooth and convex. By the rigidity part of Theorem 5.1, we conclude that γ is a geodesic circle. \square

5.2. Weighted geometric inequalities.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\Phi(r)$ be defined by (4). Along the flow (1) starting from an initially strictly convex curve, we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\gamma_t} \Phi(r) \kappa d\mu + A[\gamma_t] \right) \leq 0$$

with equality if and only if γ_t is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (37) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \Phi(r) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle \bar{\nabla} \Phi(r), T \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle V, T \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_T V, T \rangle + \langle V, \nabla_s T \rangle \\ &= \phi'(r) \langle T, T \rangle + \langle V, -\kappa \nu \rangle \\ &= \phi'(r) - \kappa u. \end{aligned}$$

We compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi = \langle \bar{\nabla} \Phi, \partial_t X \rangle = Fu$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} ds = F\kappa ds$ and

$$(38) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \langle V, \nu \rangle = \kappa \langle V, T \rangle = \kappa \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s}.$$

So by (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\gamma_t} \Phi \kappa ds &= \int_{\gamma_t} F \kappa u ds + \int_{\gamma_t} \Phi F \kappa^2 ds + \int_{\gamma_t} \Phi \left(-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} - (\kappa^2 + K) F \right) ds \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} \Phi + \kappa u - K \Phi \right) F ds \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} (2\kappa u - \phi'(r) - K \Phi) F ds \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} (2\kappa u - 1) F ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (11), (37), (38) and Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\gamma_t} \Phi \kappa ds + A \right) &= \int_{\gamma_t} 2\kappa u F ds \\ &= 2 \int_{\gamma_t} u (\phi'(r) - \kappa u) ds \\ &= 2 \int_{\gamma_t} u \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial s^2} ds \\ &= -2 \int_{\gamma_t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial s} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} ds \\ &= -2 \int_{\gamma_t} \kappa |\partial_s \Phi|^2 ds \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial s} = 0$ and so r is constant. \square

Now let us prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Firstly, if γ is smooth, closed and strictly convex, along the flow (1) starting from γ , γ_t converges to a geodesic circle γ_∞ of radius ρ_∞ and

$$\begin{aligned} L[\gamma_t] &= L[\gamma_\infty] = 2\pi\phi(\rho_\infty) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A[\gamma_t] &= A[\gamma_\infty] = 2\pi\Phi(\rho_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, Lemma 5.3 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma_t} \Phi \kappa ds + A[\gamma_t] &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_t} \Phi \kappa ds + A[\gamma_\infty] = \int_{\gamma_\infty} \Phi \kappa ds + A[\gamma_\infty] \\ &= 2\pi \phi'(\rho_\infty) \Phi(\rho_\infty) + 2\pi \Phi(\rho_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

By direct checking, $\Phi \Phi'' + \Phi = \Phi'^2$, i.e. $\Phi \phi' + \Phi = \phi^2$. Therefore

$$\int_{\gamma} \Phi \kappa ds + A[\gamma] \geq 2\pi \phi(\rho_\infty)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} L^2.$$

If equality holds in (3) for a strictly convex curve γ , by Lemma 5.3 we have that γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

In general, the inequality (3) and its rigidity also hold for smooth closed convex curve γ , by applying a similar approximation argument as in **Step 2** of the proof of Theorem 5.1. \square

By Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we obtain the following inequalities.

Corollary 5.4. (1) *Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ be a smooth, closed and convex curve. Then*

$$(39) \quad \int_{\gamma} \kappa \cosh r \geq 2\pi + \frac{L^2}{2\pi}.$$

Equality holds if and only if γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

(2) *Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{S}_+^2$ be a smooth, closed and convex curve. Then*

$$(40) \quad \int_{\gamma} \kappa \cos r \leq 2\pi - \frac{L^2}{2\pi}.$$

Equality holds if and only if γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

Remark 5.5. The inequality (39) extends [10, Theorem 1] to $n = 2$.

By the isoperimetric inequality, Theorem 1.2 implies that for a convex curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$(41) \quad \int_{\gamma} \Phi(r) |\kappa| \geq A + \frac{A^2}{2\pi}.$$

We show that this inequality also holds for smooth simple closed curves.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{H}^2$ be a smooth, simple closed curve. Then*

$$(42) \quad \int_{\gamma} \Phi(r) |\kappa| ds \geq A + \frac{A^2}{2\pi}$$

holds for γ , where $\Phi(r) = \cosh r - 1$. Equality holds if and only if γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

Proof. Let Ω be the bounded domain in \mathbb{H}^2 enclosed by a smooth simple closed curve γ . Then the strictly minimizing hull Ω^* of Ω has a $C^{1,1}$ boundary $\gamma^* = \partial\Omega^*$. We have

$$L[\gamma] \geq L[\gamma^*], \quad A[\Omega] \leq A[\Omega^*].$$

The weak curvature of γ^* satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\gamma^*} &= 0, \quad \text{on } \gamma^* \setminus \gamma \\ \kappa_{\gamma^*} &= \kappa_{\gamma} \geq 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \gamma^* \cap \gamma \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \Phi |\kappa| d\mu &= \int_{\gamma \cap \gamma^*} \Phi \kappa d\mu + \int_{\gamma \setminus \gamma^*} \Phi |\kappa| d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{\gamma \cap \gamma^*} \Phi \kappa d\mu + \int_{\gamma^* \setminus \gamma} \Phi \kappa d\mu \\ &= \int_{\gamma^*} \Phi \kappa d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since γ^* is convex, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma^*} \Phi \kappa d\mu &\geq A[\Omega^*] + \frac{A^2[\Omega^*]}{2\pi} \\ &\geq A[\Omega] + \frac{A^2[\Omega]}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

If equality holds in (42), then $\Omega = \Omega^*$ is outerminimizing, with smooth convex boundary. The rigidity of (41) for smooth convex case implies that $\gamma = \partial\Omega$ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin. \square

The inequality (40) is related to the following conjecture in [15]:

Conjecture 1. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{S}^n$ be a closed, orientable and connected embedded hypersurface. If Σ is strictly convex, then*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}^n} \int_{\Sigma} \rho_x H d\Sigma \geq (n-1)\omega_{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} - \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \right].$$

Here $\rho_x(q) = \cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^n}(x, q))$ and $d_{\mathbb{S}^n}(x, q)$ denotes the geodesic distance from q to x . Moreover, the equality holds if and only if Σ is a geodesic sphere.

We show here that this conjecture is false when $n = 2$.

Corollary 5.7. *There is a curve $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ such that*

$$\int_{\gamma} \kappa \cos r ds < 2\pi - \frac{L^2}{2\pi},$$

that is, Conjecture 1 is not true.

Proof. When $n = 2$, the conjecture becomes

$$\max_{y \in \mathbb{S}^2} \int_{\gamma} \cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(y, x)) \kappa(x) ds(x) \geq 2\pi - \frac{L^2}{2\pi}.$$

Let γ be a general strictly convex curve in \mathbb{S}^2 . Consider the function $F : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$F(y) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa(x) \cos(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)) ds(x) = \int_{\gamma} \kappa(x) \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3} ds(x).$$

Suppose the maximum of this function is attained at y_0 , then by Lagrange multiplier method, we have

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{R}^3} F(y_0) = \lambda y_0.$$

Here $\nabla^{\mathbb{R}^3}$ is the gradient in \mathbb{R}^3 . As $\nabla^{\mathbb{R}^3} F = \int_{\gamma} \kappa(x) x ds(x)$, the maximum point is attained at the point $y_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$ which is parallel to $\int_{\gamma} \kappa x ds$ (it is easy to see that $\int_{\gamma} \kappa x ds(x) \neq 0$ for a strictly convex curve γ). It is also easy to see that $\lambda > 0$.

Fix a geodesic circle C which lies in the open hemisphere centered at a point $o \in \mathbb{S}^2$. We can perturb C to a curve γ such that

- (1) γ is not a geodesic circle.
- (2) γ is still strictly convex, $v := \int_{\gamma} \kappa(x) x ds = |v|y_0$, γ lies in the open hemisphere centered at y_0 , and $y_0 \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is still enclosed by γ .

This can be done by expressing γ as the graph of a small C^2 perturbation of the constant function r_0 , where r_0 is the radius of C .

By the analysis above, for this curve γ , the maximum of F is attained at y_0 . Let $r = d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(y_0, \cdot)$. It then follows from Corollary 5.5 that $\int_{\gamma} \kappa \cos r ds < 2\pi - \frac{L^2}{2\pi}$. i.e. the conjecture is not true. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Agostiniani, M. Fogagnolo, and L. Mazzieri. “Sharp geometric inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature”. *Inventiones Mathematicae* 222.3 (2020), pp. 1033–1101.
- [2] B. Andrews. “Evolving convex curves”. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 7.4 (1998), pp. 315–371.
- [3] B. Andrews and P. Bryan. “Curvature bound for curve shortening flow via distance comparison and a direct proof of Grayson’s theorem”. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* 2011.653 (2011), pp. 179–187.
- [4] T. Aubin. *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1987.
- [5] S. Brendle. “Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds”. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 117.1 (2013), pp. 247–269.
- [6] S. Brendle, P. Guan, and J. Li. “An inverse curvature type hypersurface flow in space forms”. *preprint* (2020).
- [7] S. Brendle, P.-K. Hung, and M.-T. Wang. “A Minkowski inequality for hypersurfaces in the anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild Manifold”. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 69.1 (2016), pp. 124–144.
- [8] C. Chen, P. Guan, J. Li, and J. Scheuer. “A fully-nonlinear flow and quermassintegral inequalities in the sphere”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.07393* (2021).
- [9] M. Chen and J. Sun. “Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in the sphere”. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.09419* (2021).
- [10] L. L. De Lima and F. Girão. “An Alexandrov–Fenchel-type inequality in hyperbolic space with an application to a Penrose inequality”. *Annales Henri Poincaré* 17.4 (2016), pp. 979–1002.
- [11] Y. Ge, G. Wang, and J. Wu. “Hyperbolic Alexandrov-Fenchel quermassintegral inequalities II”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 98.2 (2014), pp. 237–260.
- [12] C. Gerhardt. “Curvature flows in the sphere”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 100.2 (2015), pp. 301–347.
- [13] C. Gerhardt. “Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 32.1 (1990), pp. 299–314.
- [14] C. Gerhardt. “Inverse curvature flows in hyperbolic space”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 89.3 (2011), pp. 487–527.
- [15] F. Girão and N. M. Pinheiro. “An Alexandrov–Fenchel-type inequality for hypersurfaces in the sphere”. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* 52.4 (2017), pp. 413–424.
- [16] M. A. Grayson. “Shortening embedded curves”. *Annals of Mathematics* 129.1 (1989), pp. 71–111.
- [17] P. Guan and J. Li. “Isoperimetric type inequalities and hypersurface flows”. *J. Math. Study* 54.1 (2021), pp. 56–80.
- [18] P. Guan and J. Li. “The quermassintegral inequalities for k -convex starshaped domains”. *Advances in Mathematics* 221.5 (2009), pp. 1725–1732.
- [19] R. Hamilton. “Four-manifolds with positive isotropic curvature”. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry* 5.1 (1997), pp. 1–92.
- [20] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya. *Inequalities*. Cambridge university press, 1952.
- [21] Y. Hu and H. Li. “Geometric inequalities for hypersurfaces with nonnegative sectional curvature in hyperbolic space”. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* 58.2 (2019), pp. 1–20.
- [22] Y. Hu, H. Li, and Y. Wei. “Locally constrained curvature flows and geometric inequalities in hyperbolic space”. *Mathematische Annalen* (2020), pp. 1–50.
- [23] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen. “Higher regularity of the inverse mean curvature flow”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 80.3 (2008), pp. 433–451.
- [24] G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen. “The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality”. *Journal of Differential Geometry* 59.3 (2001), pp. 353–437.
- [25] H. Kröner. “A note on expansion of convex plane curves via inverse curvature flow”. *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA* 26.2 (2019), pp. 1–11.
- [26] N. V. Krylov. *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the second order*. Vol. 7. Springer, 1987.
- [27] K.-K. Kwong and P. Miao. “A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^n ”. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 267.2 (2014), pp. 417–422.
- [28] K.-K. Kwong and P. Miao. “Monotone quantities involving a weighted σ_k integral along inverse curvature flows”. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics* 17.05 (2015), p. 1550014.
- [29] H. Li, Y. Wei, and C. Xiong. “A geometric inequality on hypersurface in hyperbolic space”. *Advances in Mathematics* 253 (2014), pp. 152–162.
- [30] H. Li, Y. Wei, and T. Zhou. “Inverse curvature flow for hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifold and its application”. *Scientia Sinica Mathematica* 48.6 (2018), pp. 757–770.

- [31] M. Makowski and J. Scheuer. “Rigidity results, inverse curvature flows and Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in the sphere”. *The Asian Journal of Mathematics* 20.5 (2016), p. 869.
- [32] L. Nirenberg. “An extended interpolation inequality”. *Annali Della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze* 20.4 (1966), pp. 733–737.
- [33] G. Qiu and C. Xia. “A generalization of Reilly’s formula and its applications to a new Heintze–Karcher type inequality”. *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2015.17 (2015), pp. 7608–7619.
- [34] A. Ros. “Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher order mean curvatures”. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana* 3.3 (1987), pp. 447–453.
- [35] J. Scheuer. “Minkowski inequalities and constrained inverse curvature flows in warped spaces”. *Advances in Calculus of Variations* 1.ahead-of-print (2020).
- [36] J. Urbas. “On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures”. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 205.1 (1990), pp. 355–372.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, NORTHFIELDS AVE, 2522 NSW, AUSTRALIA

Email address: kwongk@uow.edu.au

Email address: glenw@uow.edu.au

Email address: vwheeler@uow.edu.au

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, P.R. CHINA

Email address: yongwei@ustc.edu.cn