

WEAKLY INTEGRAL FUSION CATEGORIES OF LOW DIMENSIONS

JINGCHENG DONG AND HUA SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that fusion categories of Frobenius-Perron dimension 84 and 90 are either solvable or group-theoretical. Together with the results in the literature, we obtain that every weakly integral fusion category of Frobenius-Perron dimension less than 120 is either solvable or group-theoretical. This implies that the answer to the question posed by Etingof, Nikshych and Ostrik in [9] is negative for weakly integral fusion categories of Frobenius-Perron dimension less than 120.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a weakly group-theoretical fusion category is introduced in [9]. By definition, a fusion category \mathcal{C} is called weakly group-theoretical if it is Morita equivalent to a nilpotent fusion category. By [9, Theorem 1.5], a weakly group-theoretical fusion category has the strong Frobenius property, that is, for every indecomposable \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} and any simple object X in \mathcal{M} the number $\frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}{\text{FPdim}(X)}$ is an algebraic integer. If we take $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}$ then the strong Frobenius property of a fusion category implies the usual Frobenius property which was conjectured by Kaplansky in the setting of semisimple Hopf algebras [13].

One of the main results of [9] is that any weakly integral fusion category of Frobenius-Perron dimension less than 84 is weakly group-theoretical. The authors also posed a question [9, Question 2] if there exists a weakly integral fusion category which is not weakly group-theoretical.

The class of solvable or group-theoretical fusion categories are special cases of weakly group-theoretical fusion categories. They are both interesting examples which come from finite group theory. We think the following question is interesting.

Question 1.1. *Does there exist a weakly group-theoretical fusion category which is neither solvable nor group-theoretical?*

Our main result (see Theorem 6.1) shows that the answer to the question above is negative for weakly integral fusion categories of dimension less

Date: December 23, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18D10.

Key words and phrases. solvable fusion categories; group-theoretical fusion categories; weakly group-theoretical fusion categories; Frobenius property.

that 120. That is, all these fusion categories are either solvable or group-theoretical. Our result implies that the answer to [9, Question 2] is negative, too.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and results which will be used throughout. In Section 3, we study the existence of nontrivial symmetric categories in the Drinfeld center of a fusion category. We study fusion categories of dimension 84 and 90 in Section 4 and 5, respectively. Our main result is given in Section 6.

Throughout this paper, we shall work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. We refer to [7] for the main notions about fusion categories.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Frobenius-Perron dimensions. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category and let $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in \mathcal{C} . Then $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a basis of the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} . The Frobenius-Perron dimension $\text{FPdim}(X)$ of $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as the Frobenius-Perron eigenvalue of the matrix of left multiplication by X in the Grothendieck ring with respect to the basis. The Frobenius-Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} is the number

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} (\text{FPdim } X)^2.$$

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is called weakly integral if $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ is an integer. A fusion category \mathcal{C} is called integral if $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is an integer for every $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$.

A simple object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is called invertible if $\text{FPdim}(X) = 1$. A pointed fusion category is a fusion category \mathcal{C} whose simple objects are all invertible. If \mathcal{C} is a pointed fusion category, then \mathcal{C} is equivalent to the category of G -graded vector spaces with associativity constraint given by a 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, k^\times)$, denoted by $\text{Vec}_{G,\omega}$. This fact is originally due to Eilenberg and Mac Lane. See also [11] for details.

We use \mathcal{C}_{pt} to denote the largest pointed fusion subcategory in \mathcal{C} . Let $G(\mathcal{C})$ be the group of isomorphism classes of invertible simple objects of a fusion category \mathcal{C} . Then $|G(\mathcal{C})| = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_{pt})$.

Let Y be an object of \mathcal{C} and write $Y = \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} m(X, Y)X$, where $m(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The integer $m(X, Y)$ is called the multiplicity of X in Y . By [20, Theorem 9,10], we have the following results which will be used in our computation.

Let \mathcal{C} be an integral fusion category and let X, Y, Z be objects of \mathcal{C} . Then we have $m(X, Y) = m(X^*, Y^*)$, and

$$m(X, Y \otimes Z) = m(Y^*, Z \otimes X^*) = m(Y, X \otimes Z^*).$$

Let g be an element in $G(\mathcal{C})$. Then we have $m(g, X \otimes Y) = 1$ if and only if $Y = X^* \otimes g$, otherwise it is 0. In particular, $m(g, X \otimes Y) = 0$ if $\text{FPdim } X \neq$

$\text{FPdim } Y$. Let $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$. Then for all $g \in G(\mathcal{C})$, $m(g, X \otimes X^*) > 0$ if and only if $m(g, X \otimes X^*) = 1$ if and only if $g \otimes X = X$. The set of isomorphism classes of such invertible objects will be denoted by $G[X]$. Thus $G[X]$ is a subgroup of $G(\mathcal{C})$ of order dividing $(\text{FPdim } X)^2$. In particular, for all $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$, we have a decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad X \otimes X^* = \bigoplus_{g \in G[X]} g \oplus \sum_{Y \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) - G[X]} m(Y, X \otimes X^*) Y.$$

It is known that the group $G(\mathcal{C})$ acts on the set $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ by left tensor multiplication. This action preserves Frobenius-Perron dimensions and, for $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$, $G[X]$ is the stabilizer of X in $G(\mathcal{C})$.

In fact, these results and Theorem 2.1 below were established in the case where \mathcal{C} is the category of finite-dimensional representations of a semisimple Hopf algebra. Because their proofs only make use of the properties of the Grothendieck ring, these proofs also work *mutatis mutandis* in the fusion category setting, and thus we omit its proof.

Let $1 = d_0, d_1, \dots, d_s$, $s \geq 0$, be positive real numbers such that $1 = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_s$, and let n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s be positive integers. We shall say that \mathcal{C} is of type $(d_0, n_0; d_1, n_1; \dots; d_s, n_s)$ if, for all $i = 0, \dots, s$, n_i is the number of the non-isomorphic simple objects of Frobenius-Perron dimension d_i .

The following theorem is a restatement of [20, Theorem 11] in the context of fusion categories.

Theorem 2.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be an integral fusion category and let X be a simple object of dimension 2. Then at least one of the following holds:*

- (1) $G[X] \neq 1$.
- (2) \mathcal{C} has a fusion subcategory \mathcal{D} of type $(1, 2; 2, 1; 3, 2)$, such that $X \notin \text{Irr}(\mathcal{D})$, and \mathcal{D} has an invertible object g of order 2 such that $g \otimes X \neq X$.
- (3) \mathcal{C} has a fusion subcategory of type $(1, 3; 3, 1)$ or $(1, 1; 3, 2; 4, 1; 5, 1)$.

In particular, if $G[X] = 1$, then \mathcal{C} contains a fusion subcategory of dimension 12, 24, or 60.

2.2. Extensions of a fusion category. Let G be a finite group. A G -grading of \mathcal{C} is a decomposition of \mathcal{C} as a direct sum of full Abelian subcategories $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$, such that $(\mathcal{C}_g)^* = \mathcal{C}_{g^{-1}}$ and the tensor product $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ maps $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_h$ to \mathcal{C}_{gh} . The grading $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ is called faithful if $\mathcal{C}_g \neq 0$ for all $g \in G$. We say that \mathcal{C} is an G -extension of \mathcal{D} if the grading is faithful and the trivial component is \mathcal{D} .

By [12, Theorem 3.10], every weakly integral fusion category is a G -extension of an integral fusion category \mathcal{D} , where G is an elementary abelian 2-group.

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The fusion subcategory of \mathcal{C} generated by simple objects in $X \otimes X^*$ for all $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ is called the adjoint subcategory of \mathcal{C} and is denoted by \mathcal{C}_{ad} . By [12, Corollary 3.7] every fusion category has a canonical faithful grading $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C})} \mathcal{C}_g$ with trivial component \mathcal{C}_{ad} . This

grading is called the universal grading of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C})$ is called the universal grading group of \mathcal{C} .

Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{C}_g$ be a G -extension of \mathcal{D} . Then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_g) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_h)$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = |G| \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$, see [8, Proposition 8.20].

2.3. Weakly group-theoretical fusion categories. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category and let \mathcal{M} be an indecomposable right \mathcal{C} -module category. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ denote the category of \mathcal{C} -module endofunctors of \mathcal{M} . Then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ is a fusion category, called the dual of \mathcal{C} with respect to \mathcal{M} [8, 21]. Two fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are Morita equivalent if \mathcal{D} is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ for some indecomposable right \mathcal{C} -module category \mathcal{M} .

A fusion category \mathcal{C} is said to be (cyclically) nilpotent if there is a sequence of fusion categories

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{C}_0 = \text{Vec}, \mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C}$$

and a sequence of finite (cyclic) groups G_1, \dots, G_n such that \mathcal{C}_i is obtained from \mathcal{C}_{i-1} by a G_i -extension.

A fusion category is called group-theoretical if it is Morita equivalent to a pointed fusion category. A fusion category is called weakly group-theoretical if it is Morita equivalent to a nilpotent fusion category. A fusion category is called solvable if it is Morita equivalent to a cyclically nilpotent fusion category.

Let us recall an old result in group theory before giving the proof of the proposition below. Let G be a finite group. If $|G|$ is odd or $2n$ then G is solvable, where n is odd, see e.g., [15, Theorem 1.35].

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a weakly group-theoretical fusion category. Assume that $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ is odd or $2n$, where n is odd. Then \mathcal{C} is solvable.*

Proof. By the definition above, \mathcal{C} is Morita equivalent to a nilpotent fusion category \mathcal{D} . If \mathcal{D} is pointed then $\mathcal{D} = \text{Vec}_{G, \omega}$ for a finite group G with $|G| = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ and a 3-cocycle ω . Since G is solvable, \mathcal{D} is solvable and so is \mathcal{C} , by [9, Proposition 4.5]. In fact, \mathcal{C} is also group-theoretical by the definition of a group-theoretical fusion category.

We then assume that \mathcal{D} is not pointed and hence there is a sequence of fusion categories

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 = \text{Vec}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}$$

and a sequence of finite groups G_1, \dots, G_n such that \mathcal{D}_i is obtained from \mathcal{D}_{i-1} by a G_i -extension.

It is clear that the order of G_i divides $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ and hence G_i is solvable, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, the fusion subcategory \mathcal{D}_1 must be pointed and hence $\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Vec}_{K, \omega}$, where K is a finite group with order dividing $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$. By [9, Proposition 4.5(ii)], \mathcal{D}_1 is solvable. Then \mathcal{D}_i in the sequence is solvable by [9, Proposition 4.5(ii)]. Again by [9, Proposition 4.5(ii)], \mathcal{C} is solvable. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $p < q < r$ be distinct prime numbers, and let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category of dimension pqr . Then \mathcal{C} is solvable.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is integral then \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical by [9, Theorem 9.2]. Hence \mathcal{C} is solvable by Theorem 2.2.

If \mathcal{C} is not integral then $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{C}_g$ by [12, Theorem 3.10], where \mathcal{C}_e is a fusion category of dimension qr . Hence \mathcal{C} is solvable by [9, Proposition 4.5]. \square

2.4. Braided fusion categories. A fusion category \mathcal{C} is called braided if it admits a braiding c , where the braiding c is a family of natural isomorphisms: $c_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ satisfying the hexagon axioms for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ [14].

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a fusion subcategory. The Müger centralizer \mathcal{D}' of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{C} is the category of all objects $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{D}$. The centralizer \mathcal{D}' is again a fusion subcategory of \mathcal{C} . The Müger center of \mathcal{C} is the Müger centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{C}) := \mathcal{C}'$ of \mathcal{C} itself. A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called nondegenerate if its Müger center $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{C})$ is trivial and it is called slightly degenerate if its Müger center $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to the category sVec of super vector spaces.

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. The Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is defined as the category whose objects are pairs $(X, c_{-,X})$, where X is an object of \mathcal{C} and $c_{-,X}$ is a natural family of isomorphisms $c_{V,X}: V \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes V$, $V \in \mathcal{C}$, satisfying certain compatibility conditions, see [14, Definition XIII.4.1]. It is shown in [8, Theorem 2.15, Proposition 8.12] that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a braided fusion category and $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})^2$. In addition, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is nondegenerate by [6, Corollary 3.9].

A braided fusion category \mathcal{C} is called symmetric if $c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}$ for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. A symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} is said to be Tannakian if it is equivalent to $\text{Rep}(G)$ for some finite group G as symmetric categories.

Let G be a finite group and let $u \in G$ be a central element of order 2. Then the category $\text{Rep}(G)$ has a braiding $c_{X,Y}^u$ as follows: for all $x \in X, y \in Y$,

$$c_{X,Y}^u(x \otimes y) = (-1)^{mn} y \otimes x \text{ if } ux = (-1)^m x, uy = (-1)^n y.$$

Let $\text{Rep}(G, u)$ be the fusion category $\text{Rep}(G)$ equipped with the new braiding $c_{X,Y}^u$. Deligne proved that any symmetric fusion category is equivalent to some $\text{Rep}(G, u)$ [2].

Lemma 2.4. [6, Corollary 2.50] *Let \mathcal{C} be the symmetric fusion category $\text{Rep}(G, u)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is a Tannakian category;
- (2) $\text{Rep}(G/\langle u \rangle) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a maximal Tannakian subcategory with dimension $\frac{1}{2} \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$.

In particular, if $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ is bigger than 2, then \mathcal{C} always has a non-trivial Tannakian subcategory.

The following lemma follows from [3, Proposition 2.1].

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category. Then the pointed fusion subcategory $(\mathcal{C}_{ad})_{pt}$ is symmetric.*

The following theorem is known as the Müger Decomposition Theorem since it is due to Müger [16, Theorem 4.2] when \mathcal{C} is modular.

Theorem 2.6. [6, Theorem 3.13] *Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category and let \mathcal{D} be a non-degenerate subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C} is braided equivalent to $\mathcal{D} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}'$, where \mathcal{D}' is the centralizer of \mathcal{D} in \mathcal{C} .*

2.5. Equivariantizations. Let G be a finite group and \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. Let \underline{G} denote the monoidal category whose objects are elements of G , morphisms are identities and tensor product is given by the multiplication in G . Let $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$ denote the monoidal category whose objects are tensor autoequivalences of \mathcal{C} , morphisms are isomorphisms of tensor functors and tensor product is given by the composition of functors.

An action of G on \mathcal{C} is a monoidal functor

$$T : \underline{G} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\otimes} \mathcal{C}, \quad g \mapsto T_g$$

with the isomorphism $f_{g,h}^X : T_g(X) \otimes T_h(X) \cong T_{gh}(X)$, for every X in \mathcal{C} .

Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category with an action of G . Then the fusion category \mathcal{C}^G , called the G -equivariantization of \mathcal{C} , is defined as follows [1, 6, 17]:

(1) An object in \mathcal{C}^G is a pair $(X, (u_g^X)_{g \in G})$, where X is an object of \mathcal{C} and $u_g^X : T_g(X) \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism such that,

$$u_g^X T_g(u_h^X) = u_{gh}^X f_{g,h}^X, \quad \text{for all } g, h \in G.$$

(2) A morphism $\phi : (Y, u_g^Y) \rightarrow (X, u_g^X)$ in \mathcal{C}^G is a morphism $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} such that $\phi u_g^Y = u_g^X \phi$, for all $g \in G$.

(3) The tensor product in \mathcal{C}^G is defined as $(Y, u_g^Y) \otimes (X, u_g^X) = (Y \otimes X, (u_g^Y \otimes u_g^X) j_g|_{Y \otimes X})$, where $j_g|_{Y \otimes X} : T_g(Y \otimes X) \rightarrow T_g(Y) \otimes T_g(X)$ is the isomorphism giving the monoidal structure on T_g .

There is a procedure opposite to equivariantization. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category and let $\text{Rep}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ be a Tannakian subcategory which embeds into \mathcal{C} via the forgetful functor $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is the Drinfeld center of \mathcal{C} . Let $A = \text{Fun}(G)$ be the algebra of function on G . It is a commutative algebra in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ under the embedding above. Let \mathcal{C}_G be the category of left A -modules in \mathcal{C} . It is a fusion category which is called the de-equivariantization of \mathcal{C} by $\text{Rep}(G)$.

The two procedures above are inverse to each other; that is, there are canonical equivalences $(\mathcal{C}_G)^G \cong \mathcal{C}$ and $(\mathcal{C}^G)_G \cong \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, we have

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}^G) = |G| \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}_G) = \frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}{|G|}.$$

Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category, and $\text{Rep}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a Tannakian subcategory. The de-equivariantization \mathcal{C}_G of \mathcal{C} by $\text{Rep}(G)$ is a braided G -crossed fusion category. The category \mathcal{C}_G is not braided in general. But the

neutral component $(\mathcal{C}_G)_e$ of the associated G -grading of \mathcal{C}_G is braided. By [6, Proposition 4.56], \mathcal{C} is nondegenerate if and only if $(\mathcal{C}_G)_e$ is nondegenerate and the associated grading of \mathcal{C}_G is faithful. In this case, $|G|^2$ divides $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$.

3. EXISTENCE OF NONTRIVIAL SYMMETRIC CATEGORIES

There is an obvious forgetful tensor functor $F : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. By [10, Proposition 3.39], the forgetful functor $F : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is surjective.

Lemma 3.1. [4, Lemma 2.1] *Let $F_0 : G(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{C})$ be the group homomorphism induced by the forgetful functor $F : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is faithfully graded by the group \widehat{N} , where N is the kernel of F_0 .
- (2) Suppose $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C})$ is trivial. Then the group homomorphism F_0 is injective.

Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is semisimple the forgetful functor $F : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint $I : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. By [9, Lemma 3.2], $I(\mathbf{1}) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has a natural structure of commutative algebra. By [8, Proposition 5.4], $\text{FPdim}(I(\mathbf{1})) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that $I(\mathbf{1})$ contains nontrivial invertible simple objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Then \mathcal{C} is faithful graded by some finite group.*

Proof. Let $g \in I(\mathbf{1})$ be a nontrivial invertible object. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(g, I(\mathbf{1})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(g), \mathbf{1}) \neq 0$ implies that $F(g) = \mathbf{1}$. Hence the kernel of $F_0 : G(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{C})$ is not trivial. By Lemma 3.1, \mathcal{C} is faithfully graded by the group \widehat{N} , where N is the kernel of F_0 . \square

The following lemma is contained in the proof of [9, Lemma 9.17]. We explicitly state it for reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a fusion subcategory. Then $I(\mathbf{1})$ contain a subalgebra B corresponding to \mathcal{D} such that $\text{FPdim}(B) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) / \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial symmetric category \mathcal{E} . If the order of $G(\mathcal{C})$ is odd then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory.*

Proof. We may assume that \mathcal{C} has a trivial universal grading, otherwise [9, Proposition 2.9(ii)] shows that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory.

Consider the group homomorphism $F_0 : G(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C})$ is trivial, F_0 is injective by Lemma 3.1. It follows that the order of $G(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ is odd since the order of $G(\mathcal{C})$ is odd. Hence, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ can not contain fusion subcategory of dimension 2. It follows that the dimension of \mathcal{E} is greater than 2. By Lemma 2.4, \mathcal{E} contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a pre-modular category with a spherical structure ψ . Let Tr denote the trace corresponding to ψ . The S-matrix $S = \{s_{X,Y}\}_{X,Y \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})}$ of \mathcal{C} is defined by $s_{X,Y} = \text{Tr}(c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y})$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let p, q, r be distinct prime numbers and n be a positive integer. Assume that \mathcal{C} is an integral non-degenerate fusion category of dimension $p^{2n}q^2r^2$. Then one of the following holds true.*

- (1) \mathcal{C} contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory.
- (2) The Frobenius-Perron dimensions of simple objects of \mathcal{C} can not be divisible by p^n .

Proof. Let X be a simple object of \mathcal{C} . Then $\text{FPdim}(X)$ divides p^nqr by [9, Theorem 2.11]. If $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is a power of p, q or r then \mathcal{C} contains a nontrivial symmetric category by [9, Corollary 7.2]. This proves (1).

In the rest of the proof, we assume that $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is not a power of a prime number and prove $\text{FPdim}(X)$ can not be p^nd , where $d = 1, q$ or r .

We first consider the case when \mathcal{C} does not contain nontrivial invertible simple objects. In this case, \mathcal{C} must contain a simple object of dimension qr . In fact, if not, all possible dimensions of nontrivial simple objects have a common factor p which implies that \mathcal{C} has a pointed fusion subcategory with dimension divisible by p . This contradicts our assumption.

Assume on the contrary there exists a simple object X_0 of dimension p^nd . By the orthogonality of columns of the S-matrix, we have:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \frac{s_{X_0, X}}{\text{FPdim}(X_0)} \text{FPdim}(X) = 0.$$

Hence there exists $X_1 \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ of dimension qr such that $s_{X_0, X_1} \neq 0$. In fact, if there does not exist such a simple object, then the simple object X such that $s_{X_0, X} \neq 0$ is either 1 or its dimension has a prime factor p . It follows that the left side of the equation (3.1) is equal to 1 modular p , a contradiction.

The ratios $\frac{s_{X_0, X_1}}{\text{FPdim}(X_0)}$ and $\frac{s_{X_0, X_1}}{\text{FPdim}(X_1)}$ are both algebraic integers, and so is $\frac{s_{X_0, X_1}}{p^nqr}$. This implies that $\frac{s_{X_0, X_1}}{\text{FPdim}(X_0)}$ is divisible by $t := \frac{qr}{d}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \left| \frac{s_{X_0, X}}{\text{FPdim}(X_0)} \right|^2 = \frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})}{\text{FPdim}(X_0)^2} = t^2.$$

As we know, $s_{X_0, X}$ is a sum of roots of unity, hence every summand on the left side is a totally positive algebraic integer. The summand corresponding to $X = \mathbf{1}$ is 1 and the summand a corresponding to $X = X_1$ is an algebraic integer divisible by t^2 . Hence there is a Galois automorphism δ such that $\delta(a) \geq t^2$. Applying δ to equation (3.2), we get that the left side $\geq 1 + t^2$, a contradiction.

Now we consider the case when \mathcal{C} has nontrivial invertible simple objects. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}_{pt}$ be the maximal pointed fusion subcategory of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{B} is degenerate then the Müger center of \mathcal{B} is a nontrivial symmetric subcategory.

If \mathcal{B} is non-degenerate then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B} \boxtimes \mathcal{B}'$ by Theorem 2.6, where \mathcal{B}' is the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{C} . In particular, \mathcal{B}' is non-degenerate and does not contain nontrivial invertible simple objects. By the proof of the first case, the Frobenius-Perron dimensions of simple objects of \mathcal{B}' and hence \mathcal{C} can not be $p^n d$, where $d = 1, q$ or r . This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category of dimension $2qp^n$, where p, q are distinct odd primes and $n \geq 1$. If $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has a unique nontrivial symmetric subcategory \mathcal{E} which is equivalent to the category of super vectors. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ be the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{E} in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Then the Müger center $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{E} and hence \mathcal{A} is a slightly degenerate fusion category of dimension $2q^2 p^{2n}$.

By [9, Proposition 7.4], we may assume that \mathcal{A} can not contain simple objects of odd prime power dimension. On the other hand, [23, Corollary 3.4] shows that $\frac{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{A})}{2\text{FPdim}(X)^2}$ is an integer for all noninvertible simple objects X of \mathcal{A} . Hence $\text{FPdim}(X) = p^i q^j$ for $i, j \geq 1$. The decomposition of $X \otimes X^* \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{ad}$ implies that the order of $G[X]$ is divisible by pq . By Lemma 2.5, the fusion subcategory generated by $G[X]$ is a symmetric subcategory which must be Tannakian because it has odd dimension. This is a contradiction. \square

Let X, Y be simple objects of a braided fusion category. We say that X, Y projectively centralize each other if $c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = \lambda \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}$ for some $\lambda \in k^\times$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be slightly degenerate fusion category. Suppose that \mathcal{C} contains a simple object of dimension 2 or 4. Then \mathcal{C} contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the category generated by the invertible objects of \mathcal{C} . By [9, Proposition 2.6(ii)], $\mathcal{A} = \text{sVec} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_0$, where sVec is the Müger center of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{A}_0 is a non-degenerate pointed category. Then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{A}'_0$ by Theorem 2.6, where \mathcal{A}'_0 is the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{A}_0 . In particular, \mathcal{A}'_0 is slightly degenerate and contains only two invertible objects: $\mathbf{1}$ and the generator δ of sVec . From $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{A}'_0$, we know \mathcal{A}'_0 also contains a simple object X of dimension 2 or 4. By [9, Proposition 2.6(i)], δ can not appear in the decomposition of $X \otimes X^*$.

If $\text{FPdim}(X) = 2$ then we must have $X \otimes X^* = \mathbf{1} \oplus Y$, where Y is a simple object of dimension 3. By [9, Proposition 7.4], \mathcal{A}'_0 contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory.

If $\text{FPdim}(X) = 4$ then we must have $X \otimes X^* = \mathbf{1} \oplus Y$, where Y is a direct sum of noninvertible simple objects. Since $\text{FPdim}(Y) = 15$, Y at

least contains a simple object of odd dimension. If there is an object of dimension 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 or 13, then we are done by [9, Proposition 7.4]. So it suffices to consider the case when 15 is the only possible dimension. Thus we have $X \otimes X^* = \mathbf{1} \oplus Y$, where Y is a simple object of dimension 15. Then we have $s_{X, X^*} = \alpha + 15\beta$, where α, β are roots of unity. Meanwhile, s_{X, X^*} is divisible by $\text{FPdim}(X) = 4$. Hence $\alpha - \beta$ is divisible by 4 which implies that $\alpha - \beta = 0$ and hence $s_{X, X^*} = 16\alpha$. Thus X projectively centralizes its dual and hence itself. So Y centralizes itself. Then the subcategory generated by Y is a symmetric category which must contain a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let p, q, r be distinct prime numbers, and let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category of dimension p^2qr . Assume that the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory $\mathcal{E} = \text{Rep}(G)$. Then*

- (1) *If $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}) < p^2qr$ then \mathcal{C} is solvable.*
- (2) *If $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}) = p^2qr$ then \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})$ is a power of p . Then G is a solvable group. It follows that G has a quotient group H such that $|H|$ is p . Hence \mathcal{E} has a subcategory $\text{Rep}(H)$. Under the forgetful functor $F : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Rep}(H)$ either maps to Vec , or embeds to \mathcal{C} . Hence \mathcal{C} is an H -extension of some fusion category \mathcal{D}_1 by [9, Proposition 2.9(i)], or \mathcal{C} is an H -equivariantization of some fusion category \mathcal{D}_2 by [9, Proposition 2.10(i)]. In both cases, $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_1) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_2) = pqr$. By Corollary 2.3, \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 are both solvable, hence \mathcal{C} is solvable by [9, Proposition 4.5].

Assume that $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})$ has a prime factor q or r . We consider the de-equivariantization $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})_G$ of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ by \mathcal{E} . Set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})_G$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{D}_g$ is faithfully graded by G , see [6, Proposition 4.56]. Hence $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_e) = \frac{p^4 q^2 r^2}{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})^2}$.

If $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}) < p^2qr$ then either $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}) = pqr$ or $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E})$ has at most 2 distinct prime factors. This implies that G is a solvable group. In this case, $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_e) = pqr$ or $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_e)$ has at most 2 distinct prime factors. By Corollary 2.3 and [9, Theorem 1.6], \mathcal{D}_e is solvable in both cases. Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and hence \mathcal{C} are solvable by [9, Proposition 4.5].

If $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{E}) = p^2qr$ then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}_e) = 1$ and hence \mathcal{D} is pointed. It follows that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, being an equivariantization of a pointed fusion category, is group-theoretical by [18, Theorem 7.2]. So \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical by [5, Theorem 1.5]. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let p, q, r be distinct prime numbers. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a weakly group-theoretical fusion category of dimension p^2qr . Then \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical or solvable.*

Proof. By [9, Proposition 4.2], $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory. The result then follows from Proposition 3.8. \square

4. FUSION CATEGORIES OF DIMENSION 84

For any fusion category \mathcal{C} , we use $\deg(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the set of Frobenius-Perron dimensions of simple objects of \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. Assume $\deg(\mathcal{C}) = \{1, 6, 14, 21, 42\}$ and $G[X]$ is trivial for all $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$. Then \mathcal{C} has at least 6 nontrivial invertible simple objects. Moreover, if \mathcal{C} is the Drinfeld center of a fusion category \mathcal{D} and the universal grading group $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})$ is trivial, then \mathcal{D} also has at least 6 nontrivial invertible simple objects.*

Proof. Let X_6 be a simple object of dimension 6. Then $X_6 \otimes X_6^* = \mathbf{1} + X_{14} + X_{21}$, where X_{14}, X_{21} are simple objects of dimension 14 and 21, respectively. From $m(X_{14}, X_6 \otimes X_6^*) = m(X_6, X_{14} \otimes X_6) = 1$, we can write $X_{14} \otimes X_6 = X_6 + W$, where W is a direct sum of simple objects of dimension 6, 14, 21 or 42 and $\text{FPdim}(W) = 78$. Then we have an equation $6a_1 + 14a_2 + 21a_3 + 42a_4 = 78$ which show that $a_1 = 6$ or 8 . This implies that there are 6 or 8 6-dimensional simple objects in the decomposition of W .

Let X'_6 be a simple object of dimension 6 such that $m(X'_6, X_{14} \otimes X_6) \geq 1$. Then $m(X'_6, X_{14} \otimes X_6) = m(X_{14}, X'_6 \otimes X_6^*) \leq 2$. If $m(X_{14}, X'_6 \otimes X_6^*) = 1$ then $X'_6 \otimes X_6^* = X_{14} + W$ where $\text{FPdim}(W) = 22$. Considering the possible decomposition of W , we get that W at least contains one invertible simple object. If $m(X_{14}, X'_6 \otimes X_6^*) = 2$ then $X'_6 \otimes X_6^* = 2X_{14} + W$ where $\text{FPdim}(W) = 8$. Considering the possible decomposition of W , we get that W at least contains two invertible simple objects. It is easy to check all invertible objects obtained above are pairwise different. It follows that \mathcal{C} has at least 6 nontrivial invertible simple objects.

Assume that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{D})$. Since $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})$ is trivial, the group homomorphism $F_0 : G(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow G(\mathcal{D})$ is injective by Lemma 3.1. Hence \mathcal{D} also has at least 6 nontrivial invertible simple objects. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be an integral fusion category of dimension 84. Assume that \mathcal{C} has a subcategory \mathcal{B} of dimension ≥ 7 . Then the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a subalgebra $D \subset I(\mathbf{1})$ corresponding to the fusion subalgebra \mathcal{B} such that $\text{FPdim}(D) = \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) / \text{FPdim}(\mathcal{B}) \leq 12$. In view of [9, Theorem 2.11], the Frobenius-Perron dimensions of simple objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ divides 84. The possible decompositions of D as an object of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ shows that D either contains nontrivial invertible simple objects, or contains simple objects of prime power dimension. If the former case holds true then \mathcal{C} has a nontrivial grading by Lemma 3.2 and hence $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory by [9, Corollary 7.2]. If the later case holds true then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory by [9, Corollary 7.2]. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an integral fusion category of dimension 84. Then the Drinfeld center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.5, we may assume that the Frobenius-Perron dimensions of simple objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ are 1, 6, 14, 21 or 42. If there exists $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ such that $G[X]$ is not trivial then $G[X] \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{ad} \cap \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})_{pt}$ and hence it is a symmetric subcategory by Lemma 2.5. We are done in this case. This fact also implies that we may assume $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has simple objects of dimension 6, otherwise the order of $G[X]$ is divisible by 7 for any noninvertible simple object $X \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$. This is because the Frobenius-Perron dimensions of noninvertible simple objects have a common prime factor 7.

Now we can assume that $\deg(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) = \{1, 6, 14, 21, 42\}$ and $G[X]$ is trivial for any noninvertible simple object X . In addition, we also assume that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C})$ is trivial, otherwise [9, Proposition 2.9(ii)] shows that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory. Therefore, we match the assumption of Lemma 4.1. Hence $G(\mathcal{C})$ has at least 7 invertible simple objects. It follows from Lemma 4.2 that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category of dimension 84. Then \mathcal{C} is solvable or group-theoretical.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is not integral then \mathcal{C} is a G -extension of an integral fusion subcategory \mathcal{D} with lower dimension by [12, Theorem 3.10], where G is an elementary abelian 2-group. Then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D}) = 21$ or 42. By Corollary 2.3 and [9, Theorems 1.6], \mathcal{D} is solvable. Hence \mathcal{C} is solvable by [9, Proposition 4.5].

We therefore assume that \mathcal{C} is integral. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has a nontrivial symmetric subcategory. In view of Lemma 2.4, we may assume that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has a unique nontrivial symmetric subcategory \mathcal{E} which is equivalent to the category of super vectors. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ be the Müger centralizer of \mathcal{E} in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Then the Müger center $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{B})$ of \mathcal{B} is \mathcal{E} and hence \mathcal{B} is a slightly degenerate fusion category.

Let \mathcal{A} be the category spanned by the invertible objects of \mathcal{B} . By [9, Proposition 2.6(ii)], $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}_0$, where \mathcal{A}_0 is a non-degenerate pointed category. Then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_0 \boxtimes \mathcal{A}'_0$ by Theorem 2.6. It is known that \mathcal{A}'_0 is slightly degenerate fusion category and contains only two invertible objects: $\mathbf{1}$ and the generator δ of \mathcal{E} .

We claim that \mathcal{A}'_0 contains a simple object X of prime power dimension. In fact, if \mathcal{A}'_0 does not contain simple objects of prime dimension then $\deg(\mathcal{A}'_0) = \{1, 16, 14, 21, 42\}$. Then \mathcal{A}'_0 contains at least 7 invertible simple objects by Lemma 4.1 which contradicts the fact $G(\mathcal{A}'_0) = \{\mathbf{1}, \delta\}$. If $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is odd then \mathcal{A}'_0 has a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory by [9, Proposition 7.4]. If $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is even then \mathcal{A}'_0 has a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory by Proposition 3.7. In both case, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ has a nontrivial Tannakian subcategory. The result then follows from Lemma 3.8. \square

5. FUSION CATEGORIES OF DIMENSION 90

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be an integral fusion category of dimension 90. If \mathcal{C} has a fusion subcategory \mathcal{D} of dimension ≥ 6 . Then one of following holds true.*

(1) \mathcal{C} is faithfully graded by a nontrivial finite group.

(2) $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory. In particular, \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical.

Proof. Let $B \subseteq A = I(\mathbf{1})$ be the subalgebra of A corresponding to the fusion subcategory \mathcal{D} . Then $\text{FPdim}(B) = \frac{90}{\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{D})} \leq 15$. In view of [6, Theorem 2.11], the Frobenius-Perron dimension of simple object of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ divide 90. Hence the possible decomposition of B as an object of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ shows that B (and hence A) contains nontrivial invertible simple object or simple object of prime power dimension. If the former possibility holds then \mathcal{C} is faithfully graded by a nontrivial finite group by Lemma 3.2. In this case, the trivial component has dimension ≤ 45 and hence is weakly group-theoretical. Thus \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical.

If the later possibility holds then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ contains a nontrivial symmetric subcategory by [9, Corollary 7.2]. In this case, \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical by Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.8. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category of dimension 90. Then \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical.*

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is not integral then \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -extension of an integral fusion subcategory \mathcal{D} with dimension 45, by [12, Theorem 3.10]. By [9, Theorem 1.6], \mathcal{D} is solvable. Hence \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical by [9, Proposition 4.1].

If \mathcal{C} is integral then it suffices to prove that \mathcal{C} has a fusion subcategory of dimension ≥ 6 , by Lemma 5.1.

By [Theorem 4.2], \mathcal{C} has the following possible types:

(1, 2; 2, 4; 3, 8), (1, 2; 2, 4; 6, 2), (1, 2; 2, 4; 3, 4; 6, 1), (1, 2; 2, 22),
 (1, 6; 2, 3; 3, 8), (1, 6; 2, 3; 6, 2), (1, 6; 2, 3; 3, 4; 6, 1), (1, 6; 2, 21),
 (1, 9; 3, 9), (1, 9; 3, 1; 6, 2), (1, 9; 3, 5; 6, 1), (1, 9; 9, 1), (1, 10; 2, 20), (1, 15; 5, 3),
 (1, 18; 2, 18), (1, 18; 3, 8), (1, 18; 3, 4; 6, 1), (1, 18; 6, 2), (1, 30; 2, 15), (1, 45; 3, 5).

Assume that \mathcal{C} has the first 3 types. Then $G[X] = G(\mathcal{C})$ for any simple object X of dimension 2. In fact, if there exists 2-dimensional simple object X such that $G[X] = \{1\}$ then Theorem 2.1 shows that \mathcal{C} has a fusion subcategory of dimension 12, 24 or 60. It is impossible since they can not divide 90. It follows from [4, Lemma 3.2] that all simple object of dimension 1 and 2 generate a fusion subcategory \mathcal{D} of dimension 18.

Assume that \mathcal{C} has the forth type. Then $X \otimes X^* = 1 + g + X_2$ for every 2-dimensional simple object X , where $G(\mathcal{C}) = \{1, g\}$ and X_2 is 2-dimensional simple object. Hence X_2 is a self-dual simple object. Let $\mathcal{C}(X_2)$ be the fusion subcategory generated by X_2 . If $\mathcal{C}(X_2)$ is a proper subcategory of \mathcal{C} then $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}(X_2)) \geq 6$. If $\mathcal{C}(X_2) = \mathcal{C}$ then \mathcal{C} is Grothendieck equivalent to the category $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{D}_{45})$ by [22, Theorem 1.2], where \mathcal{D}_{45} is the dihedral group of order 90. Hence \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical by [19, Proposition 4.7(1)].

For the remaining types, the largest pointed fusion subcategory \mathcal{C}_{pt} has dimension ≥ 6 . This completes the proof. \square

Combing Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 5.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category of dimension 90. Then \mathcal{C} is solvable.*

6. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 6.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a weakly integral fusion category of dimension less than 120. Then \mathcal{C} is either group-theoretical or solvable.*

Proof. Suppose $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = n$ is a natural number and $n < 120$.

If $n = p^a q^b$, where p, q are prime numbers, $a, b \geq 0$, then \mathcal{C} is solvable by [9, Theorem 1.6].

If $n = 84$ or 90 then \mathcal{C} is solvable by Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 5.3.

If $n = pqr$, where p, q and r are distinct prime numbers, then \mathcal{C} is solvable by Corollary 2.3.

It remains to consider the case when $n = 60$. We shall follow the line of proof of Proposition 2.2. By [9, Theorems 9.16], \mathcal{C} is weakly group-theoretical and hence it is Morita equivalent to a nilpotent fusion category \mathcal{D} . If \mathcal{D} is pointed then \mathcal{C} is group-theoretical.

We then assume that \mathcal{D} is not pointed and hence if there is a sequence of fusion subcategories

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 = \text{Vec}, \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{D}$$

and a sequence of finite groups G_1, \dots, G_n such that \mathcal{D}_i is obtained from \mathcal{D}_{i-1} by a G_i -extension.

For every group G_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, the order $|G_i|$ divides 60 and hence it is solvable. Moreover, the fusion subcategory \mathcal{D}_1 must be pointed and hence $\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{Vec}_{K,\omega}$, for some 3-cocycle $\omega \in H^3(G, k^\times)$ and a solvable group K . Then \mathcal{C} is solvable by the same reason as in the proof of Proposition 2.2. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strictly weakly integral fusion category of dimension less than 120. Then \mathcal{C} is solvable.*

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 6.1 and the fact that a group-theoretical fusion category is integral, see [8, Corollary 8.43]. \square

By Theorem 6.1 and [9, Theorems 1.5], we can recover the main result in [4].

Corollary 6.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a weakly integral fusion category of dimension less than 120. Then \mathcal{C} has the strong Frobenius property.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of the author is partially supported by the startup foundation for introducing talent of NUIST (Grant No. 2018R039) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Providence (Grant No. BK20201390).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bruguières, Catégories prémodulaires, modularisations et invariants des variétés de dimension 3, *Math. Ann.* 316 (2) (2000) 215–236.
- [2] P. Deligne, Catégories Tannakiennes, in: *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Springer, 1990, pp. 111–195.
- [3] J. Dong, S. Natale, H. Sun, A class of prime fusion categories of dimension 2^n , *New York J. Math.* 27 (2021) 141–163.
- [4] J. Dong, S. Natale, L. Vendramin, Frobenius property for fusion categories of small integral dimension, *J. Algebra Appl.* 14 (2) (2015) 1550011[17pages].
- [5] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, Group-theoretical properties of nilpotent modular categories, preprint arXiv:0704.0195.
- [6] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, On braided fusion categories I, *Selecta Math.*, New Ser. 16 (1) (2010) 1–119.
- [7] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Tensor Categories*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, vol. 205, Amer. Math. Soc., 2015.
- [8] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, On fusion categories, *Ann. Math.* 162 (2) (2005) 581–642.
- [9] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories, *Adv. Math.* 226 (1) (2011) 176–205.
- [10] P. Etingof, V. Ostrik, Finite tensor categories, *Mosc. Math. J.* 4 (3) (2004) 627–654.
- [11] J. Fröhlich, T. Kerler, *Quantum Groups, Quantum Categories and Quantum Field Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1542, Berlin: Springer, 1993.
- [12] S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, Nilpotent fusion categories, *Adv. Math.* 217 (3) (2008) 1053–1071.
- [13] I. Kaplansky, *Bialgebras*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1975.
- [14] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, GTM 155 (1995).
- [15] I. M., *Finite group theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2008.
- [16] M. Müger, On the structure of modular categories, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 87 (02) (2003) 291–308.
- [17] M. Müger, Galois extensions of braided tensor categories and braided crossed G-categories, *J. Algebra* 277 (1) (2004) 256–281.
- [18] D. Naidu, D. Nikshych, S. Witherspoon, Fusion subcategories of representation categories of twisted quantum doubles of finite groups, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 2009 (22) (2009) 4183–4219.
- [19] D. Naidu, E. C. Rowell, A finiteness property for braided fusion categories, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 14 (5) (2011) 837–855.
- [20] R. M. B. Nichols W. D., The Grothendieck group of a Hopf algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 106 (1996) 297–306.
- [21] V. Ostrik, Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants, *Transform. Groups* 8 (2) (2003) 177–206.
- [22] Z. Wang, J. Dong, L. Li, Classification of fusion categories generated by a self-dual simple object of FP-dimension 2, *J. Algebra Appl.*, Doi: 10.1142/S0219498822500748.
- [23] Z. Yu, On slightly degenerate fusion categories, *J. Algebra* 559 (2020) 408–431.

(Dong) COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY OF INFORMATION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NANJING 210044, CHINA

Email address: jcdong@nuist.edu.cn

(Sun) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU, JIANGSU 225002, CHINA

Email address: 007731@yzu.edu.cn