

CLARK REPRESENTATION FORMULA FOR THE SOLUTION TO EQUATION WITH INTERACTION ¹

Jasmina Đorđević and Andrey Dorogovtsev

Dedicatory: Jasmina Đorđević is supported by STORM-Stochastics for Time-Space Risk Models, granted by Research Council of Norway – Independent projects: ToppForsk. Project nr. 274410, The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Oslo, Norway.

Abstract. In this paper Clark-Ocone representation for solution to measure-valued equation with interaction is studied. It is proven that the integrand is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

1 Introduction

In this article we consider the measure-valued process, which is the solution to the following one-dimensional equation with interaction

$$\begin{aligned} dx(u, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x(u, t), x(v, t)) \mu_0(dv) dt + b(x(u, t)) dW(t) \\ x(u, 0) &= u, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here μ_0 is a probability measure on \mathbb{R} , which can be treated as an initial mass distribution of the infinite system of particles whose trajectories are $x(u, t), t \geq 0, u \in \mathbb{R}$. In such interpretation the measure

$$\mu_t = \mu_0 \circ x^{-1}(u, t), \quad t \geq 0$$

is the distribution of the mass of particles at the moment $t \geq 0$. Hence, the equation (1) is the partial case of more general equation with interaction

$$\begin{aligned} dx(u, t) &= a(x(u, t), \mu_t) dt + b(x(u, t), \mu_t) dW(t) \\ x(u, 0) &= u, u \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mu_t &= \mu_0 \circ x^{-1}(u, t), t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This type of equation was introduced and studied by A. Dorogovtsev in [2].

Here we consider the measure valued process μ_t as a functional of the noise $W(\cdot)$. It is natural question for one to ask, what would be the Clark representation for the random measure μ_t . Let us recall on Clark representation for random variables.

Theorem 1.1. (Clark [1]) *Let α be square-integrable random variable measurable with respect to a Wiener process $\{W(t); t \in [0; 1]\}$. Then there exists non-anticipating random function $\{\xi(t); t \in [0; 1]\}$ such that*

$$\alpha = E\alpha + \int_0^1 \xi(t) dW(t). \quad (3)$$

The random function ξ is unique up to the stochastic equivalence.

The representation from previous theorem can be transformed into representation of martingales adapted to the Wiener filtration and it has a wide application in construction of backward stochastic differential equations (see [3], [7]). Thus it would be interesting to find Clark representation for measure

¹200 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 60H35; 60H07; 93E03; 393E10.

Key words and phrases. Stochastic differential equations with interaction, Clark representation, Clark-Okone formula.

valued process μ_t . The idea will be developed in following way. For any test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, consider integral

$$\langle \varphi, \mu_t \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(v) \mu_t(dv) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x(u, t)) \mu_0(du).$$

This random variable has Clark representation

$$\langle \varphi, \mu_t \rangle = E \langle \varphi, \mu_t \rangle + \int_0^t \Theta_\varphi(s) dW(s). \quad (4)$$

It is obvious that Θ_φ is linear with respect to φ . The aim is to explore if Θ_φ can be expressed as

$$\Theta_\varphi(s) = \langle \varphi, \varkappa_s^\varphi \rangle,$$

where \varkappa_s^φ is a random signed measure. So we are looking for the Clark representation formula of the following kind

$$\mu_t = E\mu_t + \int_0^t \varkappa_s^\varphi dW(s), \quad (5)$$

which is valid in the sense of action on the test function.

In order to deduce representation (5), we will use Clark-Ocone's formula (see [5]). This formula provides the expression for integrand in Clark representation in terms of stochastic derivative for the random variable α .

Namely, if α has stochastic derivative $D\alpha$, then

$$\alpha = E\alpha + \int_0^t E(D\alpha(s) | \mathcal{F}_s) dW(s),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{W(l), l \leq s\}$.

This is a part of general question. Let \mathcal{B} be a real separable Banach space, and let $\xi \in \mathcal{B}$ be a random element with a finite second moment of the norm. Suppose that ξ is measurable with respect to a Wiener process $W(t), t \in [0, 1]$. Then for arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}^*$ (\mathcal{B}^* is dual space of \mathcal{B}) random variable $\langle \varphi, \xi \rangle$ (scalar product) has Clark representation

$$\langle \varphi, \xi \rangle = \langle \varphi, \bar{\xi} \rangle + \int_0^1 R_\varphi(t) dW(t),$$

where $\bar{\xi}$ is the (Bochner) mean value of ξ and $R_\varphi(\cdot)$ is the square-integrable integrand which linearly depends on ξ . The main question can be formulated in the following way: Can R_φ be represented as

$$R_\varphi = \langle \varphi, \eta(t) \rangle,$$

where $\eta(t), t \in [0, 1]$ is \mathcal{B} -valued random process, which is adapted to the Wiener filtration. Answer to this question depends on the geometry of the space \mathcal{B} .

Definition 1.1. (See [6].) Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space. It has unconditional martingale (shorter UMG) property if for every martingale difference d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n with the finite p -th moment of the norm (for $p > 1$) and arbitrary choice of signs $\varepsilon_k = \pm 1$, next inequality holds

$$E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k d_k \right\|^p \leq C_p E \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n d_k^p \right\|$$

where constant C_p depends only on p .

It was prove [6] that if the property from Definition 1.1 holds for $p > 1$, then it holds dor all $p > 1$. Per example, spaces L^p , for $p > 1$ with respect to σ -finite measure have the UMG property, while L^1 does not have this property. It occurs that UMG property has a crucial role for Clark representation. The answer to introduced problem is positive for Banach spaces which have UMG property. As it can be easily seen the space of finite signed measures on \mathcal{R} with distance in variation contains L^1 as a subspace. But in certain cases Clark representation for random measures still have good properties. Let us consider following example.

Example 1.1. Let $W(t), t \in [0, 1]$ be a Wiener process. Consider a random measure $\delta_{W(1)}$. Then for function $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \delta_{W(1)} \rangle &= f(W(1)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) p_1(u) du + \int_0^1 E(Df(W(1))(t) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) dW(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) p_1(u) du + \int_0^1 E(f'(W(1)) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) dW(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) p_1(u) du + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(W(t) + v) p_{1-t}(v) dv dW(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) p_1(u) du + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(W(t) + v) (-p'_{1-t}(v)) dv dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in the sense of pairing with the test functions, the following formula holds

$$\delta_{W(1)} = p_1 - \int_0^1 p'_{1-t}(-W(t)) dW(t).$$

Remark 1.1. Stochastic derivative for solution of equation with interaction was discussed in [4], where also the probability density was established. For our purpose we need the estimation of the density which will lead to completely different approach than the one which is used in [4].

2 Stochastic derivative of the solution

As it was already mentioned in the Introduction, stochastic derivative of α will be denoted with $D\alpha$. Further, let us suppose that the coefficients $a(\cdot, \cdot), b(\cdot)$, of equation (1) have continuous bounded derivatives up to second order respect to all variables. Then following statement holds.

Theorem 2.1. *The solution $x(u, t)$ of the equation (1) has the stochastic derivative $\eta_s(u, t) := Dx(u, t)(s)$, which satisfies equation*

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta_s(u, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x(u, t), x(v, t)) \mu_0(dv) \eta_s(u, t) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x(u, t), x(v, t)) \mu_0(dv) \eta_s(v, t) dt \\ &\quad + b(x(u, t)) \eta_s(u, t) dW(s) \\ \eta_s(u, s) &= b(x(u, s)). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Moreover, for every $T > 0$ and $p > 0$

$$\sup_{s \leq t \leq T, u \in \mathbb{R}} E |\eta_s(u, t)|^p < +\infty.$$

Proof. We will recall on the iteration sequence for the solution of eq.(1). Let

$$\begin{aligned} x^0(u, t) &= u, u \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0 \\ \mu_t^0 &= \mu_0 \circ x^0(\cdot, t)^{-1} = \mu_0. \end{aligned}$$

For $n > 0$ put

$$dx^{n+1}(u, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x^n(u, t), x^n(v, t)) \mu_0(dv) dt + b(x^n(u, t)) dW(t)$$

$$x^{n+1}(u, 0) = u, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It has been proved in [2], that this sequence converges to a solution $x(\cdot, \cdot)$ of eq. (1), in a sense that for every $K, T, p > 0$

$$E \sup_{|u| \leq K, 0 \leq t \leq T} E |x^n(u, t) - x(u, t)|^p \longrightarrow 0, \quad n \longrightarrow +\infty.$$

Using this, existence of stochastic derivative of process $x(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be proved.

Denote

$$\eta_s^n(u, t) = Dx^n(u, t)(s).$$

It can be checked by induction that $\eta_s^n(u, t)$ exists and satisfies the relation

$$d\eta_s^{n+1}(u, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a'_1(x^n(u, t), x^n(v, t)) \eta_s^n(u, t) \mu_0(dv) dt$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} a'_2(x^n(u, t), x^n(v, t)) \eta_s^n(v, t) \mu_0(dv) dt$$

$$+ b'(x^n(u, t)) \eta_s(u, t) dW(s),$$

$$\eta_s^{n+1}(u, s) = b(x^n(u, s)).$$

We have used the know result that for the continuous, stochastically differentiable adapted process $\beta(r), r \geq 0$, the stochastic derivative of Itô integral

$$D \int_0^t \beta(r) dW(r)(s) = \beta(s) + \int_s^t D\beta(r)(s) dW(r).$$

The proof of the theorem follows from the fact that the stochastic derivative is a closed operator. The estimation of the moments of stochastic derivative follows from the Gronwall Bellman lemma. \square

Remark 2.1. Repeating the same steps, it can be shown that a second stochastic derivative of process $x(\cdot, \cdot)$ exists and that it has bounded moments.

Remark 2.2. It should be noted that in [6] author provided the estimates for stochastic derivative under weaker conditions.

Note that in case $b(x) \equiv 1, x \in \mathbb{R}$ the stochastic derivative satisfies the equation without the stochastic differential and can be bounded from zero and infinity.

So one can try to apply Clark-Okone formula to μ_1 .

$$\langle \varphi, \mu_1 \rangle = \langle \varphi, E\mu_1 \rangle + \int_0^1 E(D\langle \varphi, \mu_1 \rangle(t) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) dW(t)$$

$$= \langle \varphi, \bar{\mu}_1 \rangle + \int_0^1 E(D\langle \varphi(x(\cdot, 1)), \mu_0 \rangle(t) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) dW(t)$$

$$= \langle \varphi, \bar{\mu}_1 \rangle + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) \eta_t(u, t) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) d\mu_0(u) dW(t).$$

Let us consider expression under the integrals, with the evident notations

$$E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) \eta_t(u, t) | \mathcal{F}_t^W)$$

$$= E\left(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) \left(1 + \int_t^1 \alpha(u, s) \eta_t(u, s) ds + \int_t^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \beta(u, v, s) \eta_t(v, s) \mu_0(dv) ds\right) | \mathcal{F}_t^W\right).$$

Now,

$$E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) = E(\varphi'(x_{t,1}(\mu_t, x(u, t))) | \mathcal{F}_t^W),$$

where $x_{t,1}$ is the solution to our equation with interaction starting at time t from the point v and measure ν . Hence,

$$E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(v) \sigma_{t,1}(\mu_t, x(u, t))(dv),$$

where $\sigma_{t,1}(\nu, v)(\cdot)$ is the distribution of $x_{t,1}(\nu, v)$. Due to the existence of the second stochastic derivative and the properties of the first and second derivatives for process x , measure $\sigma_{t,1}(\nu, v)$ has a differentiable density $p_{t,1}(\nu, v, \cdot)$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(v) p_{t,1}(\mu_t, x(u, t), v) dv \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(v) \frac{\partial p_{t,1}(\mu_t, x(u, t), v)}{\partial v} dv. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the summand:

$$E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) \int_t^1 \alpha(u, s) \eta(u, s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t^W) = \int_t^1 E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) \eta(u, s) \alpha(u, s) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) ds.$$

Similarly to the previous case,

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\varphi'(x(u, 1)) \alpha(u, s) \eta(u, s) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) \\ &= \eta(u, s) \alpha(u, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(v) \sigma_{s,1}(\mu_s, x(u, s), v) dv \\ &= -\alpha(u, s) \eta(u, s) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} p_{s,1}(\mu_s, x(u, s), v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini theorem one can check that

$$E(\varphi(x(u, 1)) \int_t^1 \alpha(u, s) \eta(u, s) ds | \mathcal{F}_t^W) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(v) E(\alpha(u, s) \eta(u, s) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} p_{s,1}(\mu_s, x(u, s), v) | \mathcal{F}_t^W) dv.$$

Similarly to this case last summand can be considered. Consequently the following theorem was proved.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that the coefficients a of equation with interaction*

$$\begin{aligned} dx(u, t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x(u, t), x(v, t)) \mu_0(dv) dt + dW(t), \\ x(u, 0) &= u, u \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mu_t &= \mu_0 \circ x^{-1}(u, t), t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

is bounded, together with two continuous partial derivatives by the positive constant C such that

$$e^{3C} < 1.$$

Then, the measure-valuated solution μ_t has Clark-Ocone's representation which can be written as follows. For the test function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$

$$\langle \varphi, \mu_1 \rangle = E\langle \varphi, \mu_1 \rangle + \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(v) g_t(v) dW(t),$$

for some random function g .

Hence the integrant in Clark representation for measure-valued solution to equation with interaction takes values in the set of absolutely continuous measures.

Jasmina Đorđević

Faculty of Science and Mathematics, University of Niš, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia.
curraddr: The Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Oslo, Blindern 0316 Oslo, Norway,
e-mail: jasmindj@math.uio.no, nina19@pmf.ni.ac.rs, djordjevichristina@gmail.com;

Andrey Dorogovtsev

Institute of Mathematics National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
e-mail: andrey.dorogovtsev@gmail.com.

References

- [1] J. M. C. Clark, *The representation of functionals of Brownian motion by stochastic integrals*, Ann. Math. Statist., **41** (1970), no. 4, 1282–1295.
- [2] A. A. Dorogovtsev, *Meroznachnye protsessy i stokhasticheskie potoki (Russian) [Measure-valued processes and stochastic flows]* Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine. Mathematics and its Applications, **66**, Institut Matematiki, Kiev, 2007.
- [3] J. Đorđević, S. Jankovic, *On a class of backward stochastic Volterra integral equations*, Applied Mathematics Letters, **26** (2013), 1192–1197.
- [4] T. Komatsu, *On the partial hypoellipticity of SDEs on Hilbert spaces*, Theory of Stochastic Processes, **10 (26)**, no 3-4 (2004), 67–73.
- [5] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*, Springer, 2nd ed., 2006.
- [6] G. Pisier, *Martingales in Banach Spaces*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016.
- [7] S. Janković, M. Jovanović, J. Đorđević, *Perturbed backward stochastic differential equations*, Mathematical and Computer Modeling, **55** (2012), 1734–1745.