

HODGE CYCLES AND THE LERAY FILTRATION

DONU ARAPURA

In [A2], we checked the Hodge and Tate conjectures for the universal family of genus two curves with level structure by showing the absence of these cycles in a critical piece of the Leray spectral sequence. In this article, we will take this idea further. Given a surjective map of smooth projective varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$, let $U \subseteq Y$ be the complement of the discriminant and $V = f^{-1}U$. Then we define a filtration on the rationalized Chow group such that we have a cycle map

$$\square^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(V) \rightarrow H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})$$

By [A1], the right side carries a mixed Hodge structure. We have that $\square^{p,i}$ lands within the space of Hodge cycles. Let $m = \dim Y$ and $r = \dim X - \dim Y$. Our first theorem is that the Hodge conjecture, in Jannsen's sense, holds for V , if $\square^{p,i}$ surjects onto the space of Hodge cycles for all (p, i) in the parallelogram bounded by the lines $i = 0$, $i = m$, $i = 2p$, and $i = 2p - r$. An analogous statement holds for the Tate conjecture. As a corollary, we show that when X is a fourfold, the Hodge conjecture holds for X if $\square^{2,m}$ surjects onto the space of Hodge cycles. A special case of this was used in [A2].

In the rest of this article, we turn our attention to particular classes of examples. Suppose that $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ is a nonisotrivial semistable elliptic surface. Theorem 2.1 shows that the Hodge conjecture holds for a toroidal desingularization X of a fibre product $\mathcal{E} \times_Y \dots \times_Y \mathcal{E}$. When \mathcal{E} is elliptic modular, this was proved by Gordon [G]. His proof does not generalize. Our proof is completely different: it consists of checking that $\square^{p,i}$ surjects onto the space of Hodge cycles. We outline this in the first nontrivial case, when $\dim X = 4$. In this case, we need to check surjectivity of

$$\square^{2,1} : Gr_L^1 CH^2(V) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^1(U, R^3 F_* \mathbb{Q})(2)),$$

where $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is the structure map and $\text{Hodge}(\dots)$ denotes the space of Hodge cycles. The variation of Hodge structure $R^3 F_* \mathbb{Q}$ can be decomposed into a sum of $S^3 R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}$ and some other factors. A suitable algebraic correspondence induces an injective map

$$\text{Hodge}(H^1(U, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q})(1)) \xrightarrow{s} \text{Hodge}(H^1(U, S^3 R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q})(2)) \subset Gr_F^2 H^2(U, S^3 R^1 f_* \mathbb{C})$$

On the other hand, using Zucker's work [Z], we can calculate the dimension on the right, and conclude s is an isomorphism. It follows that the space in the middle is spanned by algebraic cycles. Similar arguments, hold for the other factors.

Suppose that Y is a Shimura curve associated to a quaternion division algebra split at exactly one real place over a totally real field. The curve Y can be viewed as a moduli space of abelian varieties with some extra structure. In particular, for sufficiently high level, Y carries a natural family of abelian varieties $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$. Theorem 3.2 shows that the Hodge and Tate conjectures holds for a fibre product

Partially supported by a grant from the Simons foundation.

$X = \mathcal{A} \times_Y \dots \times_Y \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} Y$. The proof again involves checking surjectivity of $\square^{p,i}$ onto the space of Hodge cycles. When $i = 0$ or 2 , this follows from invariant theory. When $i = 1$, work of Viehweg and Zuo [VZ] is used to show that in fact

$$Gr_F^p H^1(Y, R^{2p-1} F_* \mathbb{C}) = 0$$

Therefore $\square^{p,1}$ is trivially surjective.

1. HODGE CYCLES AND THE LERAY FILTRATION

Let MHS denote the category of rational mixed Hodge structures, and MHS^p the full subcategory of polarizable Hodge structures. We note that mixed Hodge structures of geometric origin in fact lie in MHS^p , and the category of pure polarizable Hodge structures is semisimple [B]. Given a mixed Hodge structure H , set

$$\text{Hodge}(H) := \text{Hom}_{\text{MHS}}(\mathbb{Q}(0), H)$$

The following facts will often be used without comment below.

Lemma 1.1.

- (1) *There is an injection*

$$\text{Hodge}(H) \hookrightarrow \text{Hodge}(Gr_0^W H)$$

It is an isomorphism if H has nonnegative weight, i.e. $W_{-1}H = 0$.

- (2) *Hodge($-$) is an exact functor on the category of polarizable mixed Hodge structures of nonnegative weight.*

- (3) *If*

$$H_1 \rightarrow H_2 \rightarrow H_3$$

is an exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures, such that H_1 is polarizable with nonnegative weight, then

$$\text{Hodge}(H_1) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_2) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_3)$$

is exact.

- (4) *If $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a morphism of polarizable Hodge structures, such that $Gr_F^0 H_1 \rightarrow Gr_F^0 H_2$ is surjective (resp. injective) then $\text{Hodge}(H_1) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_2)$ is surjective (resp. injective).*

Proof. The first item follows from strictness of the weight filtration [D3, thm 2.3.5]. The second follows from (1) and the semisimplicity of the category of pure polarizable Hodge structures. Statement (3) follows from (1) and (2), and the observation that subobjects and quotients of H_1 are also polarizable with nonnegative weight. Given $\phi : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ inducing a surjective map $Gr_F^0 H_1 \rightarrow Gr_F^0 H_2$, let $H_3 = \text{coker } \phi$. Then

$$\text{coker}(\text{Hodge}(H_1) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_2)) = \text{Hodge}(H_3) \subseteq Gr_F^0 H_3 = 0$$

The injectivity part of (4) is similar. \square

If X is an algebraic variety, let $CH_p(X)$ (resp. $CH^p(X)$) denote the Chow group of p dimensional (resp. codimension p) cycles tensored with \mathbb{Q} . When X is defined over \mathbb{C} , Borel-Moore homology $H_i(X, \mathbb{Q})$ carries a mixed Hodge structure dual to the one on compactly supported cohomology $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$. We have a cycle map

$$\square_p : CH_p(X) \rightarrow H_{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

such that the image lands in $\text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})(-p))$, c.f. [F1, chap 19], [J, §5, §7]. We will usually write $[Z]$ instead of $\square_p(Z)$. Following Jannsen [J], we say that the Hodge conjecture holds for X if the cycle map

$$CH_p(X) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})(-p))$$

is surjective for all p . When X is smooth, we can apply Poincaré duality to identify this with the cycle map

$$\square^p : CH^p(X) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})(p))$$

We recall that \square^* is a graded ring homomorphism.

Let us now suppose that K is a finitely generated field of characteristic 0, and let $G_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Suppose that X is defined over K , and let $\bar{X} = X \times_{\text{Spec } K} \bar{K}$. Given a G_K -module H , define the space of Tate cycles in H by

$$\text{Tate}(H) = \sum_{L/K \text{ finite}} H^{G_L}$$

Fix a prime ℓ . Again following Jannsen, we say that Tate's conjecture holds for X if the cycle map

$$\square_{p,\ell} : CH_p(\bar{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Tate}(H_{2p}(\bar{X}_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(-p))$$

is surjective for each p . When X is smooth, this is equivalent to the more familiar statement that the cycle map

$$\square_\ell^p : CH^p(\bar{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Tate}(H^{2p}(\bar{X}_{et}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(p))$$

is surjective for each p . We note that \square^p and \square_ℓ^p are compatible under the Artin comparison isomorphism.

We note that Jannsen's form of the Hodge conjecture follows from the usual Hodge conjecture, so it is not stronger. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 1.1 (Jannsen). *If the Hodge conjecture holds for a desingularization of a compactification of X , then it holds for X*

Proof. This follows from the proof of [J, thm 7.9]. □

Lemma 1.2. *Let $U \subset X$ be Zariski open, and $Z = X - U$. If X is projective and the Hodge conjecture holds for U and Z , then it holds for X . If the Hodge conjecture holds for X , then it holds for U .*

Proof. We have a localization sequence

$$H_{2p}(Z) \rightarrow H_{2p}(X) \rightarrow H_{2p}(U)$$

Projectivity of X implies that, after twisting by $\mathbb{Q}(-p)$, the weight of the first term becomes nonnegative. So we deduce from lemma 1.1 that

$$\text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(Z)(-p)) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(X)(-p)) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(U)(-p))$$

is exact. By [F1, §1.8, chap 19], this fits into a larger commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} CH_p(Z) & \longrightarrow & CH_p(X) & \longrightarrow & CH_p(U) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta & & \\ \text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(Z)(-p)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(X)(-p)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Hodge}(H_{2p}(U)(-p)) & & \end{array}$$

The first part of the lemma follows from the surjectivity of α and β , and the five lemma.

We note that $Gr_{-2p}^W H_{2p-1}(Z) = 0$, because $Gr_{2p}^W H_c^{2p-1}(Z) = 0$. Therefore $Gr_{-2p}^W H_{2p}(X) \rightarrow Gr_{-2p}^W H_{2p}(U)$ is surjective. Consequently γ is surjective. This implies last part of the lemma. \square

Now, let us suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective morphism with connected fibres between smooth projective varieties. We suppose also that $\dim Y < \dim X$. We can analyze the Hodge structure $H^i(X)$ in terms of the map by applying the decomposition for Hodge modules [Sa], but we prefer to do this in a more canonical fashion. First, let us choose a nonempty Zariski open subset $U \subset Y$, such that f is smooth over U , and let $V = f^{-1}U$. Then the Leray filtration is defined by

$$L_f^p H^i(V, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{im}[H^i(U, \tau_{\leq i-p} \mathbb{R}f_* \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathbb{R}f_* \mathbb{Q}) = H^i(V, \mathbb{Q})]$$

We usually write $L^\bullet = L_f^\bullet$. By a theorem of Deligne [D1], the Leray spectral sequence degenerates, so that

$$Gr_L^b H^a(V) \cong H^b(U, R^{a-b} f_* \mathbb{Q})$$

Furthermore, the author [A1, cor 2.6] showed that L is a filtration by submixed Hodge structures. Therefore $Gr_L^b H^a(V)$ carry natural mixed Hodge structures. These can be seen to coincide with the ones constructed by Saito [Sa2]. We define a Leray filtration on the Chow group in the most naive way. Let $L^\bullet CH^p(X)$ denote the preimage of $L^\bullet H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ under the cycle map

$$CH^p(V) \rightarrow H^{2p}(V, \mathbb{Q})$$

Then we have an induced cycle map

$$(1.1) \quad \square^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(V) \rightarrow H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})$$

Since $\square^{p,i}(\alpha) \equiv \square(\alpha) \pmod{L^{i+1}}$, it follows that the image lies in

$$\text{Hodge}(H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(p))$$

When $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined over the above field K , one has an entirely parallel story for ℓ -adic cohomology. We have a cycle map

$$\square^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(\bar{V}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \text{Tate}(H^i(\bar{U}_{et}, R^{2p-i} \bar{f}_* \mathbb{Q}_\ell)(p))$$

Lemma 1.3.

- (1) *If $f_i : V_i \rightarrow U_i$ are two smooth projective maps, then the exterior product is compatible with L^\bullet in the sense that*

$$L_{f_1}^p H^i(V_1, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes L_{f_2}^q H^j(V_2, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq L_{f_1 \times f_2}^{p+q} H^{i+j}(V_1 \times V_2, \mathbb{Q})$$

- (2) *Furthermore*

$$L^r H^k(V_1 \times V_2, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k, p+q=r} L^p H^i(V_1, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes L^q H^j(V_2, \mathbb{Q})$$

(3) *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Gr_L^i CH^p(V_1) \otimes Gr_L^j CH^q(V_2) & \longrightarrow & Gr_L^{i+j} CH^{p+q}(V_1 \times V_2) \\
 \downarrow \square^{p,i} \otimes \square^{q,j} & & \downarrow \square^{p+q,i+j} \\
 H^i(U_1, R^{2p-i} f_{1*} \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^j(U_2, R^{2q-j} f_{2*} \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^{i+j}(U_1 \times U_2, R^{2(p+q)-i-j} (f_1 \times f_2)_* \mathbb{Q})
 \end{array}$$

(4) *When $U = U_1 = U_2$, the same statements hold for the fibre product $V_1 \times_U V_2$.*

Proof. The first two statements are formal consequences of the isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{R}f_{1*} \mathbb{Q}, \tau_{\leq}) \boxtimes (\mathbb{R}f_{2*} \mathbb{Q}, \tau_{\leq}) \cong (\mathbb{R}(f_1 \times f_2)_* \mathbb{Q}, \tau_{\leq})$$

in the filtered derived category. The third statement is a formal consequence of the first two statements, and the compatibility of \square with external products. The fourth follows from the previous statements by restricting to the diagonal embedding $U \subset U \times U$. \square

Let $n = \dim X$, $m = \dim Y$, and $r = n - m$. Then the groups on the right of (1.1) will vanish for (p, i) outside the closed parallelogram in the (p, i) -plane bounded by the lines $i = 0$, $i = 2m$, $i = 2p$, and $i = 2p - 2r$. Let $Q \subset P$ be the closed parallelogram bounded by the lines $i = 0$, $i = m$, $i = 2p$, and $i = 2p - r$. This is the lower left quarter of P .

Theorem 1.2. *When f is defined over \mathbb{C} , and the cycle map*

$$\square^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(V) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(p))$$

is surjective for all (p, i) in Q , the Hodge conjecture holds for V . When f is defined over K , and the cycle map

$$\square_{\ell}^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(V) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \rightarrow \text{Tate}(H^i(\bar{U}_{ct}, R^{2p-i} \bar{f}_{ct,*} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})(p))$$

is surjective for all (p, i) in Q , the Tate conjecture holds for V .

Proof. We just prove the first statement for the Hodge conjecture. The proof of the second statement is almost identical. Suppose that we know that $\square^{p,i}$ is surjective for all $(p, i) \in P$. By lemma 1.1, Hodge is exact, so we have a noncanonical isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hodge}(H^{2p}(V, \mathbb{Q})(p)) &= \bigoplus_i \text{Hodge}(Gr_L^i(H^{2p}(V, \mathbb{Q})(p))) \\
 (1.2) \qquad &= \bigoplus_i \text{Hodge}(H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(p)) \\
 &= \bigoplus_i \text{im } Gr_L^i CH^p(V)
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the Hodge conjecture holds for V .

It remains to show that surjectivity of $\square^{p,i}$ for $(p, i) \in Q$ implies surjectivity for all points in P . We do this in two steps. We first assume $2p - i \leq r$, and then we show that the condition of surjectivity of $\square^{p,i}$ is stable under the reflection $(p, i) \mapsto (q, i)$, where $q = r + i - p$. Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be ample divisors on Y and X respectively. Cup product with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{r-2p+i}$ induces an isomorphism of local systems

$$\xi : R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{2q-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}$$

Together with lemma 1.3, this gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Gr_L^i CH^p(V) & \xrightarrow{\square^{p,i}} & \text{Hodge}(H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(p)) \\ \downarrow \xi' & & \downarrow \xi'' \\ Gr_L^i CH^q(V) & \xrightarrow{\square^{q,i}} & \text{Hodge}(H^i(U, R^{2q-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(q)) \end{array}$$

where ξ' and ξ'' are products with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^{r-2p+i}$. The map ξ'' is an isomorphism because ξ is. If $\square^{p,i}$ is surjective, then $\square^{q,i}$ must also be surjective. This allows to extend surjectivity of $\square^{p,i}$ from Q to the parallelogram P' bounded by $i = 0$, $i = m$, $i = 2p$, and $i = 2p - 2r$.

To finish the proof, we have to show that if $\square^{p,i}$ is surjective for $(p, i) \in P'$, then this holds for P . Let $i \leq m$, $\iota = 2m - i$, and $q = p + m - i$. The transformation $(p, i) \mapsto (q, \iota)$ is a reflection about $i = m$, which preserves lines of slope 2. Therefore P is the union of P' and the image of P' under this reflection. If L is a local system defined on U , let $IH^i(Y, L) = H^i(Y, (j_{!*} L[m])[-m])$ denote intersection cohomology (with the naive indexing convention). We have a commutative diagram of vector spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} IH^i(Y, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Gr_{2p}^W H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow \eta' & & \downarrow \eta \\ IH^\iota(Y, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Gr_{2q}^W H^\iota(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are given by the product with $c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1))^{m-i}$. The map η' is an isomorphism by the hard Lefschetz for intersection cohomology [BBD, 6.2.10]. The maps labelled by π are the natural ones; these are surjective by [PS] (plus the comparison theorem in ℓ -adic case). These facts imply that η is surjective. Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Gr_L^i CH^p(V) & \xrightarrow{\square^{p,i}} & \text{Hodge}(H^i(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(p)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\ Gr_L^\iota CH^q(V) & \xrightarrow{\square^{q,\iota}} & \text{Hodge}(H^\iota(U, R^{2p-i} f_* \mathbb{Q})(p + m - i)) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are again products with $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^{m-i}$. Since $\square^{p,i}$ is surjective by assumption, and η is surjective by what we just proved, we can conclude that $\square^{q,\iota}$ is surjective. Therefore the surjectivity condition is preserved by the reflection $(p, i) \mapsto (q, \iota)$, and this completes the proof. \square

Remark 1.3. *It is easy to see using a modification of (1.2) that conversely, if the Hodge conjecture holds for V , then the $\square^{p,i}$ must surject onto the space of Hodge cycles for all $(p, i) \in P$.*

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that $\dim X = 4$, and $\dim Y = m$. If the cycle map*

$$\square^{2,m} : Gr_L^m CH^2(V) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^m(U, R^{4-m} f_* \mathbb{Q})(2))$$

is surjective, then the Hodge conjecture holds for X . In particular, this is the case if $\text{Hodge}(H^m(U, R^{4-m} f_ \mathbb{Q})(2)) = 0$.*

Proof. It is well known that the Hodge conjecture holds for smooth projective varieties of dimension at most three (by the Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem, and the hard Lefschetz theorem). Therefore by theorem 1.1, it holds for $X - V$. So by lemma 1.2, it suffices to prove the conjecture for V . Applying theorem 1.2, we have to check the surjectivity for $\square^{p,i}$ for $(p, i) \in Q$. For $p = 0$, this is trivial, and for $p = 1$, this follows from the Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem. It is easy to check by plotting Q , that in each of the cases $m = 1, 2, 3$, there is exactly one point in Q with $p > 1$, namely $(2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3)$ respectively. □

When U is the moduli space of genus two curves with fine level structure, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the universal family of curves, then [A2, cor 3.2] shows that

$$\text{Hodge}(H^3(U, R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q})(2)) \subset Gr_F^2 H^3(U, R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}) = 0$$

Therefore the Hodge conjecture holds for X . The corollary also implies a result of Conte and Murre [CM] that the Hodge conjecture holds for uniruled fourfolds. Similarly, the conjecture holds for fourfolds fibred by surfaces with trivial geometric genus:

Corollary 1.5. *Suppose that $\dim X = 4$, and $\dim Y = 2$, and the general fibre of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surface with $p_g = 0$. Then the Hodge conjecture holds for X .*

Proof. Given an irreducible component T of the relative Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_{X/Y}$ [Gr, chap IV], let $\text{supp}(T) \subseteq Y$ denote its image in Y . Let

$$C = \bigcup_{\text{supp}(T) \subsetneq Y} \text{supp}(T)$$

as T ranges over components of $\text{Hilb}_{X/Y}$. Since C is a countable union of proper subvarieties $U - C \neq \emptyset$. Choose $y \in U - C$. Since $p_g(X_y) = 0$, by the Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem, there exists irreducible divisors $Z_1, \dots, Z_N \subset X_y$ which span $H^2(X_y, \mathbb{Q})$. Let T_i be a component of $\text{Hilb}_{X/Y}$ containing Z_i . Then $T_i \rightarrow Y$ is surjective. After replacing T_i by an intersection of ample divisors we can assume that $T_i \rightarrow Y$ is generically finite. Let \mathcal{Z}_i denote the restriction of the universal family over $\text{Hilb}_{X/Y}$ to T_i . Then \mathcal{Z}_i is a relative divisor on X/Y such that $[\mathcal{Z}_i]$ is equal to a multiple of $[Z_i]$. It follows that $[\mathcal{Z}_1], \dots, [\mathcal{Z}_N]$ gives a basis of $R^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}$, i.e. an isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}(-1)^N \cong R^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, we can identify

$$H^2(U, R^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_i H^2(U, \mathbb{Q}) \cup [\mathcal{Z}_i]$$

The Lefschetz (1, 1) theorem now shows that

$$\text{Hodge}(H^2(U, R^2 f_* \mathbb{Q})(2)) = \bigoplus_i \text{Hodge}(H^2(U, \mathbb{Q})(1)) \cup [\mathcal{Z}_i]$$

is spanned by algebraic cycles. □

Remark 1.6. *Define the transcendental part $T \subseteq R^2 f_* \mathbb{Q}|_U$ to be the smallest sub-variation of Hodge structure such $T_y^{20} = H^{20}(X_y)$ for some (hence all) $y \in U$. By essentially the same argument, the Hodge conjecture holds for X , if $\text{Hodge}(H^2(U, T)(2)) = 0$.*

Corollary 1.7. *Suppose that f is defined over $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, and that $U = Y$. Let $X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \times_{\text{Spec } K} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ etc. If*

$$\square^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Gr_F^p H^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, R^{2p-i} f_{\mathbb{C},*} \mathbb{C})$$

is surjective for all (p, i) in Q , then the Hodge and Tate conjectures holds for $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and X respectively.

Proof. The statement for the Hodge conjecture is an immediate consequence of the theorem. The assumption implies that

$$Gr_F^p H^{2p}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}) = H^p(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}^p) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is generated by a finite number of algebraic cycles on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$, and therefore a finite number of cycles on \bar{X} . Tate's conjecture is now a known consequence of Faltings' theorem on Hodge-Tate decompositions [F], which implies that

$$\dim \text{Tate}(H^{2p}(\bar{X}_{\text{et}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})(p)) \leq \dim H^p(\bar{X}, \Omega_{\bar{X}}^p)$$

c.f. [T, pp 81-82]. □

2. FIBRE PRODUCTS OF ELLIPTIC SURFACES

Let $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ be a nonisotrivial elliptic surface. Let $U \subset Y$ be the complement of the discriminant. Let V be the preimage of U in the n -fold fibre product $T = \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \dots \times_Y \mathcal{E}$. Suppose that f is semistable, which, in the relatively minimal case, means that the singular fibres have Kodaira type ${}_1I_b$, i.e. they are reduced polygons of rational curves. Then T has singularities which are local analytically given by

$$x_1 y_1 = x_2 y_2 = \dots$$

This can be resolved by blowing up an explicit monomial ideal [D2, lem 5.5] to obtain a nonsingular variety X . This is a special case of Mumford's toroidal resolution [KKMS, p 94]. Our goal is to prove that:

Theorem 2.1. *The Hodge conjecture holds for V . When f is semistable, the Hodge conjecture holds for the toroidal resolution X .*

The proof of the theorem will be deferred to the end, after we have established some preliminary results. First, we introduce some additional notation. Let $S = Y - U$, and $\Omega = \Omega_Y^1(\log S)$. Let $F : V \rightarrow U$ denote the projection, and also in the semistable case the projection $F : X \rightarrow Y$. Let $Z = F^{-1}S = X - V$ in the semistable case. Define $\mathcal{E}^o = f^{-1}U$ and

$$P^N = \underbrace{\mathcal{E}^o \times_U \mathcal{E}^o \times_U \dots \times_U \mathcal{E}^o}_{N \text{ times}}$$

So $V = P^n$. Let $p_i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and $p_{ij} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E}$ denote the projections onto i th and ij th factors. We also use p_i, p_{ij} for the various projections $P^N \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^o$ and $P^N \rightarrow P^2$.

Note that $S \neq \emptyset$ because \mathcal{E} is not isotrivial. Therefore the second cohomology of U with coefficients in a local system is zero. Thus the Leray filtration satisfies $L^2 H^i(V) = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *The Hodge conjecture holds for fibres of the map $F : V \rightarrow U$. The conjecture also holds for Z when it is defined, i.e. when f is semistable.*

Proof. The fibres of $F : V \rightarrow U$ are given by E^n , where E is an elliptic curve. The validity of the Hodge conjecture for E^n is due to Tate. A proof can be found in [L, appendix B, §3]. In the semistable case, any irreducible component Z_1 of Z can be seen to be a toric variety. The variety Z_1 admits a toric resolution of singularities \tilde{Z}_1 . The Hodge conjecture holds for \tilde{Z}_1 by [F2, §2.6, 5.2]. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $U_1 \subseteq U$ be a nonempty Zariski open subset. The Hodge conjecture holds for V if and only if it holds for $F^{-1}U_1$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the proposition and lemma 1.2. \square

Proposition 2.2. *The cycle map*

$$\square^{p,0} : Gr_L^0 CH^p(V) \rightarrow H^0(U, R^{2p}F_*\mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let us write $L = \mathbb{Q}^2$ with the standard action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$. The local system $R^1f_*\mathbb{Q}$ can be identified with L , with monodromy given by a homomorphism $\mu : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Non-isotriviality implies that $\text{im } \mu$ is Zariski dense. The Künneth formula, allows us to identify

$$(2.1) \quad R^kF_*\mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} R^{i_1}f_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes \dots \otimes R^{i_n}f_*\mathbb{Q}$$

Let us start with the case of $n = k = 2$. Then the decomposition becomes

$$R^2F_*\mathbb{Q} = L^{\otimes 2} \oplus (f_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes R^2f_*\mathbb{Q}) \oplus (R^2f_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes f_*\mathbb{Q})$$

So

$$H^0(U, R^2F_*\mathbb{Q}) = H^0(L^{\otimes 2}) \oplus H^0(f_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes R^2f_*\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^0(R^2f_*\mathbb{Q} \otimes f_*\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^3$$

Let $D \subset \mathcal{E}$ denote a smooth fibre of f , and let $\Delta \subset \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E}$ the image of \mathcal{E} under the diagonal embedding. Since $[\Delta], p_2^*[D], p_1^*[D] \in H^0(R^2F_*\mathbb{Q})$ are easily seen to be linearly independent, they necessarily span this space. A linear combination of these classes give a generator

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_0 = [\Delta] - p_1^*[D] - p_2^*[D] \in H^0(L^{\otimes 2}) = H^0(\wedge^2 L) \cong \mathbb{Q}$$

We now turn to the general case. Let $p_i : T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, and $p_{ij} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E}$ also denote the projections onto the i th and ij th factors. When $k = 2p$, equation (2.1) can be written as

$$R^{2p}F_*\mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_{q \leq p} \bigoplus_{\sigma} \sigma((f_*\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes n-2q-(p-q)} \otimes L^{\otimes 2q} \otimes (R^2f_*\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes (p-q)})$$

where σ runs over a suitable set of permutations depending on q . It follows that

$$(2.3) \quad H^0(U, R^{2p}F_*\mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_q \bigoplus_{\sigma} H^0(U, L^{\otimes 2q}) \otimes H^0(U, R^2f_*\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes (p-q)}$$

Invariant theory [FH, prop F.13] tells us that $H^0(L^{\otimes 2q})$ is generated by products of pullbacks of classes from $H^0(L^{\otimes 2})$ under various projections p_{ij} . This means that $H^0(U, L^{\otimes 2p})$ is spanned by products of $p_{ij}^*\Delta_0$. Therefore the summands on the right of (2.3) are generated by classes of the form $p_{ij}^*\Delta_0$ and p_k^*D . This proves that $H^0(U, R^{2p}F_*\mathbb{Q})$ is spanned by algebraic cycles. \square

Let \mathcal{L} be a local system on U with unipotent monodromy around the punctures. Assume that \mathcal{L} underlies a polarizable variation of Hodge structure. Then Zucker [Z] constructed a mixed Hodge structure on $H^i(U, \mathcal{L})$. We summarize the facts that we need about it below. Let $M^o = \mathcal{O}_U \otimes \mathcal{L}$. This carries a Gauss-Manin connection ∇ . Let M be Deligne's canonical extension to Y . The Hodge bundles $F^p M^o$ extend to subbundles of M by [Sc]. Let $G^p = F^p M / F^{p+1} M$. By Griffiths transversality the connection ∇ induces an \mathcal{O} -linear map $\kappa : G^p \rightarrow G^{p-1} \otimes \Omega$. This can be identified with cup product with the Kodaira-Spencer class in the geometric case.

Theorem 2.3 (Zucker). *The space $H^i(U, \mathcal{L})$ carries a mixed Hodge structure, which coincides with the Leray subquotient of $H^{i+k}(W, \mathbb{Q})$ described in the last section, when $\mathcal{L} = R^k g_* \mathbb{Q}$ for a smooth projective map $g : W \rightarrow U$. The associated graded*

$$G_F^p H^i(U, \mathcal{L}) \cong H^i(G^p \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^{p-1} \otimes \Omega)$$

Proof. See [Z, §13]. □

A convenient way to package the above information is in terms of the so called Higgs bundle, consisting of the vector bundle $E = \bigoplus G^p$, with twisted endomorphism $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega$ induced by κ . The bundle E is also graded, and θ has degree -1 with respect to it. Although we will not actually use it, it may be useful to recall that Higgs bundles arise in Simpson's non abelian Hodge theorem. This gives a correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of semisimple local systems and Higgs bundles satisfying appropriate stability conditions. Under this correspondence, unitary local systems correspond to Higgs bundles of the form $(E, 0)$. We view such bundles as graded by $E = E^0$, or equivalently as complex variations of Hodge structure of type $(0, 0)$.

Now let us assume that the elliptic surface $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ is semistable. This assumption will be in force, until we reach proposition 2.4. The local system $L = \mathcal{L} = R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}$ underlies a polarizable variation of Hodge structures of type $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$. The corresponding graded Higgs bundle $(E, \theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega)$, is given by $E = G^0 \oplus G^1$ and

$$\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \kappa & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since f is assumed to be nonisotrivial, κ is nonzero, and therefore injective. Therefore

$$\text{coker}(G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega)$$

is a skyscraper sheaf. We can view the divisor

$$\Sigma = \sum_p \text{length}(\text{coker}(G_p^1 \rightarrow G_p^0 \otimes \Omega_p)) \cdot p$$

as a subscheme of Y . Then $\text{coker}(\kappa)$ is an \mathcal{O}_Σ -module.

Let us analyze the tensor power $L^{\otimes N}$. The corresponding graded Higgs bundle is $(E, \theta)^{\otimes N}$. In more explicit terms, the underlying vector bundle is a sum of

$$G^I = G^{i_1} \otimes G^{i_2} \dots$$

where $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots) \in \{0, 1\}^N$. If $J = (i_1, \dots, i_k - 1, \dots, i_N) \in \{0, 1\}^N$, then let

$$\kappa_{IJ} : G^I \rightarrow G^J \otimes \Omega$$

be given by the tensor product of the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$G^{i_k} \rightarrow G^{i_k-1} \otimes \Omega$$

and the identity on the other factors. Given two vectors $v = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$, and $w = (b_1, b_2, \dots)$ in $\{0, 1\}^N$, their Hamming distance $d(v, w)$ is the cardinality of the set $\{i \mid a_i \neq b_i\}$. We define $\kappa_{IJ} = 0$ if $d(I, J) > 1$. The Higgs bundle

$$(2.4) \quad (E, \theta)^{\otimes N} = \left(\bigoplus_{I \in \{0, 1\}^N} G^I, \bigoplus_{I, J} \kappa_{IJ} \right)$$

We set $d(v) = d(v, 0)$, and $T_k(N) = \{v \in \{0, 1\}^N \mid d(v) = k\}$. Then by theorem 2.3

$$(2.5) \quad Gr^p H^1(U, L^{\otimes 2p-1}) \cong H^1 \left(\bigoplus_{I \in T_p(2p-1)} G^I \xrightarrow{K} \bigoplus_{J \in T_{p-1}(2p-1)} G^J \otimes \Omega \right)$$

where $K = \sum \kappa_{IJ}$.

The formulas simplify on the symmetric powers. We note that the symmetric group on N letters S_N acts on the right of $\{0, 1\}^N$ in the obvious way, and on right on the tensor power by permuting factors. We have that

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} G^I \cdot \sigma &= G^{I \cdot \sigma} \\ \kappa_{I \cdot \sigma, J \cdot \sigma} &= \kappa_{IJ} \cdot \sigma \end{aligned}$$

We define the symmetric power as the invariant part

$$S^N(E, \theta) = [(E, \theta)^{\otimes N}]^{S_N}$$

This corresponds to $S^N L$.

Let $\mathcal{I} = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$, where there are $p-1$ 1's and $p-1$ 0's. Since $G^{\mathcal{I}}$ is a line bundle, and $Pic(\Sigma) = \bigoplus_p Pic(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma, p}) = 0$, $G^{\mathcal{I}}|_{\Sigma}$ is free of rank one.

Lemma 2.1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\tau_t : Gr_F^1 H^1(U, L) \cong Gr_F^p H^1(U, S^{2p-1} L)$$

depending on a trivialization $t : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \cong G^{\mathcal{I}}|_{\Sigma}$. If t is the restriction of a global section $\tilde{t} \in H^0(Y, G^{\mathcal{I}})$, then $\tau_t(\alpha)$ coincides with the image of $\alpha \otimes \tilde{t}$ under suitable cup product

$$(2.7) \quad Gr_F^1 H^1(U, L) \otimes H^0(Y, G^{\mathcal{I}}) \rightarrow Gr_F^p H^1(U, S^{2p-1} L)$$

Proof. By theorem 2.3,

$$Gr_F^1 H^1(U, L) \cong H^1(G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega)$$

and

$$Gr_F^p H^1(U, S^{2p-1} L) \cong H^1([G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega] \otimes G^{\mathcal{I}})$$

These isomorphisms together with the natural product

$$H^1(G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega) \otimes H^0(Y, G^{\mathcal{I}}) \rightarrow H^1([G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega] \otimes G^{\mathcal{I}})$$

yields (2.7). Since κ is injective

$$G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega \cong \text{coker}(\kappa)$$

Therefore

$$Gr_F^1 H^1(U, L) \cong H^0(\text{coker}(\kappa))$$

Since $G^{\mathcal{I}}$ is a line bundle,

$$[G^1 \xrightarrow{\kappa} G^0 \otimes \Omega] \otimes G^{\mathcal{I}} \cong \text{coker}(\kappa) \otimes G^{\mathcal{I}} \cong \text{coker}(\kappa)$$

The last isomorphism is induced by the inverse of $\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \cong G^{\mathcal{I}}|_{\Sigma}$. This gives an isomorphism

$$\tau_t : Gr_F^1 H^1(U, L) \cong Gr_F^p H^1(U, S^{2p-1}L)$$

It is clear from construction that $\tau_t(\alpha) = \alpha \cup \tilde{t}$. □

Let us view the cycle Δ_0 , defined in (2.2), as a cycle on P^2 . Define $\beta = p_{23}^* \Delta_0 \cup p_{45}^* \Delta_0 \dots$ on P^{2p-1} . Let us define the morphism of mixed Hodge structures

$$(2.8) \quad s : H^1(U, L)(1-p) \rightarrow H^1(U, S^{2p-1}L)$$

as the composite of

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(U, L)(1-p) &\cong Gr_L^1 H^2(\mathcal{E}^o, \mathbb{Q})(1-p) \\ &Gr_L^1 H^2(\mathcal{E}^o, \mathbb{Q})(1-p) \xrightarrow{p_1^*(-) \cup \beta} Gr_L^1 H^{2p}(P^{2p-1}, \mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

and the natural projection

$$Gr_L^1 H^{2p}(P^{2p-1}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^1(U, L^{\otimes 2p-1}) \rightarrow H^1(U, S^{2p-1}L)$$

Lemma 2.2. *The map s induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hodge}(Gr_L^1 H^2(\mathcal{E}^o, \mathbb{Q})(1)) \cong \text{Hodge}(H^1(U, S^{2p-1}L)(p))$$

Proof. We can view

$$\Delta_0 \in Gr_F^1 H^1(U, \wedge^2 L) \subset H^0(Y, G^{(1,0)})$$

By duality

$$G^{(1,0)} = f_* \omega_{\mathcal{E}/Y} \otimes R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$$

So Δ_0 is a nowhere zero section. Therefore β gives rise to a nowhere zero section

$$b \in H^0(G^{\mathcal{I}})$$

The map $Gr_F^p(s)$ can be identified with $\alpha \cup b = \tau_b(\alpha)$, which by lemma 2.1 is an isomorphism. Therefore by lemma 1.1, s induces an isomorphism on the spaces of Hodge cycles. □

We can view $GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ as the (big) Mumford-Tate group of the variation of Hodge structure L , or equivalently of a very general fibre of L . Let us write $S^n L(i) = S^n L \otimes (\det L)^{-i}$ to be consistent with this interpretation. We can decompose

$$(2.9) \quad L^{\otimes 2p-1} = S^{2p-1}L \oplus S^{2p-3}L(-1)^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \dots$$

into a sum of irreducible GL_2 -modules. The previous lemma gives a description of the Hodge cycles in $H^1(S^{2p-1}L)$. We can give a similar interpretation for the other summands. For concreteness, let us describe the case when $p = 2$. Then

$$(2.10) \quad L^{\otimes 3} = S^3 L \oplus L(-1) \oplus L(-1)$$

The two summands $L(-1)$ are not uniquely determined. It is convenient to choose them as images of the natural maps $\iota_1 : L \otimes \wedge^2 L \rightarrow L^{\otimes 3}$ and $\iota_2 : \wedge^2 L \otimes L \rightarrow L^{\otimes 3}$. Then the image of

$$H^1(U, L)(-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(U, L(-1)) \xrightarrow{\iota_1} H^1(U, L^{\otimes 3})$$

can be identified with $\alpha \mapsto p_1^* \alpha \cup p_{23}^* \Delta_0$. A similar statement holds for ι_2 . We omit the details, because they are special cases of the arguments below.

In general, an irreducible summand of (2.9) can be described explicitly as $L^{\otimes 2p-1} \cdot e$, where $e \in \mathbb{Q}[S_{2p-1}]$ is a suitable idempotent. Up to conjugacy e would be

$$(2.11) \quad e = e_0 e_1 \dots e_k$$

where $k \geq 0$, and for $q = p - k$,

$$e_0 = \frac{1}{(2q-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2q-1}} \sigma$$

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - (2q-2q+1))$$

$$\dots$$

Then

$$L^{\otimes 2p-1} \cdot e = S^{2q-1} L \otimes (\wedge^2 L) \otimes \dots$$

We have the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For any summand $\iota : S^{2(p-k)-1} L(-k) \subset L^{\otimes 2p-1}$ of the form $L^{\otimes 2p-1}$, e , there is a morphism of mixed Hodge structures given as a composite*

$$H^1(U, L)(1-p) \xrightarrow{s} H^1(U, S^{2(p-k)-1} L(-k)) \xrightarrow{\iota} H^1(U, L^{\otimes 2p-1})$$

such that it induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Hodge}(H^1(U, L)(1-p)) \cong \text{Hodge}(\text{im } \iota)$$

Proof. Let $q = p - k$, then, without loss of generality, we may assume that e is as in (2.11). Then ι is given by the inclusion

$$L^{\otimes 2p-1} \cdot e = S^{2q-1} L \otimes (\wedge^2 L)^{\otimes k} \subset L^{\otimes 2p-1}$$

We have a morphism

$$(2.12) \quad H^1(U, L)(1-q) \xrightarrow{s} H^1(U, S^{2q-1} L)$$

constructed as in (2.8). Lemma 2.2 shows that after twisting by $\mathbb{Q}(q)$, this induces an isomorphism of Hodge cycles. We can identify the space on the right of (2.12) with a subspace of

$$Gr_L^1 H^{2q}(P^{2q-1})$$

Similarly, we can identify

$$H^0(U, (\wedge^2 L)^{\otimes k}) \subset H^0(P^{2k})$$

The space on the left of (2.12) is spanned by the algebraic cycle γ by proposition 2.2. Let p_1 and p_2 denote the projections of $P^{2p-1} = P^{2q-1} \times_U P^{2k}$ onto the factors. The image of the map

$$g : H^1(U, S^{2q-1} L)(-k) \xrightarrow{p_1^*(-) \otimes p_2^* \gamma} H^1(U, L^{\otimes 2p-1})$$

is the image of e , which is the image of ι . It follows that the composite

$$H^1(U, L)(1-p) \xrightarrow{s} H^1(U, S^{2q-1} L)(-k) \xrightarrow{g} H^1(U, L^{\otimes 2p-1})$$

has the desired properties. \square

Proposition 2.3. *If $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ is semistable, the map*

$$\square^{p,1} : Gr_L^1 CH^p(V) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^1(U, R^{2p-1}F_*\mathbb{Q})(p))$$

is surjective.

Proof. We can decompose $R^{2p-1}F_*\mathbb{Q}|_U$ using (2.1), and check that the algebraicity of the Hodge cycles of each summand. For the summand $L^{\otimes 2p-1}$, this follows from (2.9) and lemma 2.3. The remaining summands are, up to a permutation, given by

$$L^{\otimes 2q-1} \otimes (R^2 f_*\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes p-q}$$

If D denotes the class of a smooth fibre of f . Then

$$H^1(L^{\otimes 2q-1} \otimes (R^2 f_*\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes p-q}) = H^1(L^{\otimes 2q-1}) \cup [p_{2q}^* D \dots p_{2p-1}^* D]$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *When $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ is not necessarily semistable, there exists a nonempty open $U_1 \subseteq U$, such that the map*

$$\square^{p,1} : Gr_L^1 CH^p(F^{-1}U_1) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^1(U_1, R^{2p-1}F_*\mathbb{Q})(p))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let m_i be the order of quasi-unipotency of the monodromy of L around $s_i \in S$. Then we can construct a Galois cover of Y , which is ramified at each s_i with ramification index m_i , and possibly ramified at some other points. Therefore can find $U_1 \subseteq U$, and a Galois étale cover $\tilde{U} \rightarrow U_1$ such that the pullback of $\mathcal{E} \times_U \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ can be completed to a semistable surface. If Γ denotes the Galois group, then the cycle map

$$Gr_L^1 CH^p(F^{-1}U_1) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^1(U_1, R^{2p-1}F_*\mathbb{Q})(p))$$

is the Γ -invariant part of

$$Gr_L^1 CH^p(\tilde{V}) \rightarrow \text{Hodge}(H^1(\tilde{U}, R^{2p-1}\tilde{F}_*\mathbb{Q})(p))$$

where \tilde{V} and \tilde{F} denote the pullbacks. Therefore this proposition follows from the previous one, and corollary 2.2. □

Proof of theorem 2.1. Since f is nonisotrivial, $U \subsetneq Y$. Therefore $H^i(U, R^j f_*\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ when $i > 1$. The theorem is now a consequence of lemma 1.2, theorem 1.2, propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, and corollary 2.2. □

Corollary 2.4. *If $f : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ is a nonisotrivial elliptic surface, the Hodge conjecture holds for any desingularization of the fourfold $\mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E} \times_Y \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. Apply the theorem, remark 1.3, and corollary 1.4. □

3. FAMILIES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER A SHIMURA CURVE

For our purposes, a Shimura variety Y is a quotient of a Hermitian symmetric domain by an arithmetic group. It can be constructed from the following datum: a semisimple algebraic group G over \mathbb{Q} , a homomorphism (or conjugacy class of homomorphisms) $h : U(1) \rightarrow G^{ad}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the axioms of [Mi, 4.4], and an arithmetic group $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$. (The group Γ is usually required to be a congruence group, but we will not insist on this.) If K is the stabilizer of h in the identity component $G(\mathbb{R})^+$, the corresponding Shimura variety $Y = \Gamma \backslash G(\mathbb{R})^+ / K$. For example, given a rational symplectic vector space (V, Q) of dimension $2g$, let $G = Sp(V, Q)$. We

have a Shimura datum, where the set of homomorphisms h corresponds to the set of Hodge structures of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$ on V , polarized by Q . If we fix a lattice $L \subset V$, such that $Q|_L$ is principal, and let $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$, be the subgroup preserving L , the corresponding Shimura variety is just the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties A_g . We say that a Shimura variety Y is of Hodge type if there exists a faithful representation $G \rightarrow Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$ which extends to a map of Shimura data. Then we get an embedding of Y into the moduli space of abelian varieties with level structure. If level structure is fine, then we can pull back the universal family to get a family of abelian varieties over Y .

We now describe the main example of interest to us. Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a totally real number field of degree d . Denote the d distinct embeddings by $\sigma_i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, d$. Fix a quaternion division algebra D/K such that $D \otimes_{\sigma_1} \mathbb{R} \cong M_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $D \otimes_{\sigma_i} \mathbb{R}$ is the Hamilton quaternions H for $i = 2, \dots, d$. If \bar{x} denotes conjugation in D , then

$$G = D_1^* = \{x \in D \mid x\bar{x} = 1\}$$

is an algebraic group over \mathbb{Q} whose group of real points

$$(3.1) \quad G(\mathbb{R}) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times (SU_2)^{d-1}$$

It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad G(\mathbb{C}) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{C})^d$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad G^{ad}(\mathbb{R}) \cong PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times (PU_2)^{d-1}$$

Let $h : U(1) \rightarrow G^{ad}(\mathbb{R})$ be defined by

$$h(e^{i\theta}) = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2 & \sin \theta/2 \\ -\sin \theta/2 & \cos \theta/2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ mod } \pm I, 1 \right)$$

with respect to (3.3). (For an explanation of $\theta/2$, see [Mi, ex 1.10].) The pair (G, h) defines a connected Shimura datum. The corresponding symmetric space is the upper half plane \mathbb{H} . To complete the description of the Shimura variety, fix a maximal order \mathcal{O} in D , and a torsion free finite index subgroup of $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{O}_1^* = \mathcal{O} \cap D_1^*$. Let $Y = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, where Γ acts through projection to $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. *We fix this notation for the remainder of this section.*

We first observe that Y is a projective algebraic curve, c.f. [Sh, chap 9]. We claim that the curve Y is of Hodge type, so it would carry a universal family of abelian varieties $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$. An explicit construction of \mathcal{A} was given in a paper of Viehweg-Zuo [VZ]. We outline the construction from section 5 of their paper, because we will need to extract certain facts which were not explicitly stated. The correstriiction of D is the central simple \mathbb{Q} -algebra

$$\text{Cor}(D) = \left[\bigotimes_i D \otimes_{\sigma_i} \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \right]^{Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)}$$

of dimension 4^d . In the simplest case, $\text{Cor}(D)$ splits, which means that it is a matrix algebra $M_{2^d}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then via the norm homomorphism $Nm : D^* \rightarrow \text{Cor}(D)^*$, $V = \mathbb{Q}^{2^d}$ is a representation of G . If $\text{Cor}(D)$ does not split, then it will split over a suitable quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b})$. In this case, $V = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b})^{2^d}$ becomes a representation

of G . Under (3.1), $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V'$, where \mathbb{R}^2 is the standard representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and the second factor

$$V' = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^{2^{d-1}} & \text{if } \text{Cor}(D) \text{ splits} \\ \mathbb{R}^{2^d} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a representation of SU_2^{d-1} . The representation V' has no trivial factors, so it carries an SU_2^{d-1} -invariant inner product Q_2 , which is unique up to a scalar factor. The complex representation

$$V' \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \begin{cases} V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{d-1} & \text{if } \text{Cor}(D) \text{ splits} \\ (V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{d-1})^{\oplus 2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where V_i is the standard representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ acting through the i th factor of (3.2). Let Q_1 denote the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^2 . A multiple of $Q_1 \otimes Q_2$ will be a G -invariant \mathbb{Q} or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b})$ -valued symplectic form Q_3 on V . Setting $Q = Q_3$ in the first case, or $Q = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{b})/\mathbb{Q}} \circ Q_3$ in the second gives an invariant \mathbb{Q} -valued form. Hence, we have an injective homomorphism of algebraic groups $\rho : G \rightarrow Sp(V, \mathbb{Q})$. This extends to a map of Shimura data, so Y is of Hodge type. Note that $Sp(V, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $Sp_{2^d}(\mathbb{Q})$ or $Sp_{2^{d+1}}(\mathbb{Q})$ depending on the cases. We summarize this discussion, along with some additional facts from [VZ, lemma 5.8, p 272].

Theorem 3.1 (Viehweg-Zuo). *There is an abelian scheme $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$ such that:*

- (1) *The relative dimension of \mathcal{A} is either 2^{d-1} , if $\text{Cor}(D)$ splits, or 2^d otherwise.*
- (2) *The local system $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}$ corresponds to a representation*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\rho} & GL(V) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ G & & \end{array}$$

such that:

- (a) *The representation of $G(\mathbb{R})$ on $V \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a tensor product $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes V'$, where \mathbb{R}^2 is the standard representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, and V' is an orthogonal representation of the remaining factor of (3.1).*
- (b) *The representation of $G(\mathbb{C})$ on $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to a sum of one (if $\text{Cor}(D)$ splits) or two copies (otherwise) of $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_d$, where V_i is the standard representation of the i th factor of (3.2).*
- (3) *The Zariski closure of $\rho(\Gamma)$ and the special Mumford-Tate group of a very general fibre of f are both equal to G .*
- (4) *Corresponding to the decomposition in (2b), the graded Higgs bundle associated to the variation of Hodge structure $R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}$ is a tensor product*

$$(E_1^0 \oplus E_1^1, \theta) \otimes (E_2^0, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes (E_d^0, 0)$$

Furthermore, $\theta : E_1^1 \rightarrow E_1^0 \otimes \Omega_Y^1$ is an isomorphism.

Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be the n -fold fibre product $\mathcal{A} \times_Y \dots \times_Y \mathcal{A}$. We note that $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow Y$, and therefore F , is defined over a number field k . This follows from the theory of canonical models [Mi], although we should point out that since we are working with connected Shimura varieties, k might be bigger than the reflex field.

Theorem 3.2. *The Hodge and Tate conjectures hold for X .*

The proof follows the same basic strategy as the proof of theorem 2.1. We first establish the preliminary results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V_i be standard representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, and let $V = V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_d$ be viewed as an $H = SL_2(\mathbb{C})^d$ -module. Then invariant part of the tensor algebra*

$$(T^*V)^H$$

is generated by $(V \otimes V)^H$.

Proof. We have an isomorphism

$$(T^*V)^H = (T^*V_1)^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})} \otimes \dots \otimes (T^*V_d)^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}$$

So the result follows from classical invariant theory [FH, prop F.13]. \square

Proposition 3.1. *The cycle maps*

$$\square^{p,i} : Gr_L^i CH^p(X) \rightarrow H^i(Y, R^{2p-i} F_* \mathbb{Q})$$

are surjective for $i = 0, 2$ and all p .

Proof. We first treat the case of $i = 0$. The surjectivity of $\square^{0,0}$ is trivially true, and the surjectivity of $\square^{1,0}$ is a consequence of the Lefschetz (1,1) theorem. We have that $R^i f_* \mathbb{Q} = \wedge^i R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}$. This together with the Künneth formula and lemma 3.1 implies that the product map

$$S^p H^0(Y, R^2 F_* \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, R^{2p} F_* \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective. Therefore

$$S^p Gr_L^0 CH^1(X) \rightarrow H^0(Y, R^{2p} F_* \mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective. This implies the surjectivity of $\square^{p,0}$.

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Gr_L^0 CH^p(X) & \xrightarrow{\square^{p,0}} & H^0(Y, R^{2p} F_* \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ Gr_L^2 CH^{p+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\square^{p+1,2}} & H^2(Y, R^{2p} F_* \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

where the isomorphism on the right is hard Lefschetz. This implies surjectivity of $\square^{p+1,2}$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *For all p ,*

$$Gr_F^p H^1(Y, R^{2p-1} F_* \mathbb{C}) = 0$$

Proof. The local system $R^{2p-1} F_* \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^d$ -module $\wedge^{2p-1}(V^{\oplus n})$. We can decompose it into a sum of irreducible modules, and reduce to the problem of showing that

$$(3.4) \quad H^1(M^q \xrightarrow{\phi} M^{q-1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1) = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (M, \phi) &= S^{2q-1}(E_1, \theta) \otimes S^{r_2}(E_2, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes S^{r_d}(E_d, 0) \\ &= S^{2q-1}(E_1, \theta) \otimes (M', 0) \end{aligned}$$

and q, r_1, \dots are arbitrary. Let $I = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with q 1's followed by q 0's. Then we have an isomorphism of complexes

$$M^q \xrightarrow{\phi} M^{q-1} \otimes \Omega_Y^1 \cong [E_1^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} E_1^0 \otimes \Omega_Y^1] \otimes E_1^I \otimes M'$$

This implies (3.4). □

Proof of theorem 3.2. This is a consequence of corollary 1.7 and the last two propositions. □

REFERENCES

- [A1] D. Arapura, *The Leray spectral sequence is motivic* Invent. Math (2005)
- [A2] D. Arapura, *Algebraic cycles on genus-2 modular fourfolds* Alg. Num. Theory (2019)
- [B] A. Beilinson, *Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology*, Contemp. Math., 55, AMS, (1986)
- [BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque 100 (1982)
- [CM] A. Conte, J. Murre, *The Hodge Conjecture for fourfolds admitting a covering by rational curves*. Math. Ann. 238, 79 - 88 (1978)
- [D1] P. Deligne, *Theoreme de Lefschetz et critères de dégénéscence de suites spectrales*, Pub IHES (1968)
- [D2] P. Deligne, *Formes modulaires et représentations ℓ -adiques* Sem. Bourbaki (1969)
- [D3] P. Deligne *Théorie de Hodge II* Pub. IHES (1971)
- [F] G. Faltings, *p -adic Hodge theory*, JAMS 1, (1988)
- [F1] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer (1984)
- [F2] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 131. Princeton University Press, (1993)
- [FH] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation theory*, Springer (1991)
- [G] B. Gordon, *Algebraic cycles and the Hodge structure of a Kuga fiber variety* TAMS (1993)
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck, *Fondements de géométrie algébrique*, Sem. Bourbaki 1957-1962, (1962)
- [J] U. Jannsen, *Mixed motives and algebraic K-theory*, LNM 1400 Springer (1990)
- [L] J. Lewis, *A survey of the Hodge conjecture. Second edition. Appendix B by B. Brent Gordon*. CRM Monograph Series, 10. AMS (1999)
- [Mi] J. Milne, *Introduction to Shimura varieties*, Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties, 265–378, Clay Math. Proc., 4, AMS (2005)
- [M] D. Mumford, *A note of Shimura's paper "Discontinuous groups and Abelian varieties"*, Math. Ann. 181 (1969) 345–351
- [KKMS] G. Kempf, F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings. I*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 339, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1973)
- [PS] C. Peters, M. Saito, *Lowest weights in cohomology of variations of Hodge structure*, Nagoya Math 206 (2012)
- [Sa] M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24, 849–995 (1988)
- [Sa2] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (1990)
- [Sc] W. Schmid, *Variation of Hodge structure*, Invent. Math. 22 (1972)
- [Sh] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Publ. Math. Soc. of Japan, 11, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press, 1971,
- [T] J. Tate, *Conjectures on algebraic cycles in l -adic cohomology*, pp. 71–83 in Motives (Seattle, 1991), edited by U. Jannsen et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 55, AMS (1994)
- [VZ] E. Viehweg, K. Zuo, *A characterization of certain Shimura curves in the moduli stack of abelian varieties*, J. Diff. Geom 66 (2004)
- [Z] S. Zucker, *Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients*, Annals Math 109 (1979)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907, U.S.A.