

The c-map as a functor on certain variations of Hodge structure

Mauro Mantegazza,* Arpan Saha†

September 28, 2021

Abstract

We give a new manifestly natural presentation of the supergravity c-map. We achieve this by giving a more explicit description of the correspondence between projective special Kähler manifolds and variations of Hodge structure, and by demonstrating that the twist construction of Swann, for a certain kind of twist data, reduces to a quotient by a discrete group. We combine these two ideas by showing that variations of Hodge structure give rise to the aforementioned kind of twist data and by then applying the twist realisation of the c-map due to Macia and Swann. This extends previous results regarding the lifting of general isomorphisms along the undeformed c-map, and of infinitesimal automorphisms along the deformed c-map. We show in fact that general isomorphisms can be naturally lifted along the deformed c-map.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Quaternionic Kähler manifolds are notable as their holonomy group $\mathrm{Sp}(n)\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is the only entry in Berger's classification of Riemannian holonomy groups that corresponds to Einstein but not Ricci-flat metrics. The construction of (complete) Einstein metrics has been a question of long-standing interest among geometers. The rigidity offered by the special holonomy of the quaternionic Kähler manifolds allows one to import tools from various other areas of mathematics, such as representation theory and algebraic geometry, to construct interesting examples of Einstein manifolds.

This paper is concerned with one such way of constructing families of complete inhomogeneous quaternionic Kähler metrics with negative scalar curvature that has its origin in the literature on supergravity and string theory. The

*Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, Bldg. 8, 602 00, Brno, Czech Republic.

E-mail: mauro.mantegazza.uni@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0001-7938-2950

†Universität Hamburg, Bundesstraße 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany.

E-mail: arpan.saha@uni-hamburg.de, ORCID: 0000-0003-4336-4229

negativity of the scalar curvature is actually crucial, as there is considerable evidence for a conjecture due to LeBrun and Salamon [LS94] that there are no non-symmetric examples of complete quaternionic Kähler manifolds with positive scalar curvature. For example, this was shown to be the case in dimension 4 in [Hit81, FK82], in dimension 8 in [PS91], and in dimensions 12 and 16 in [BWW20]. Moreover, it was shown in [DS99] that there are no cohomogeneity 1 examples in any dimension. In fact, LeBrun and Salamon themselves showed in [LS94] that there are, up to rescaling, only finitely many examples of complete quaternionic Kähler manifolds with positive scalar curvature in each dimension.

In physics, quaternionic Kähler manifolds with negative scalar curvature can be thought of as manifolds parametrising the scalar fields in a 3-dimensional supergravity theory defined on a “spacetime” manifold X of dimension 3. One way to produce such a theory is by *dimensional reduction*. Briefly, one starts with an appropriate 4-dimensional supergravity theory on $X \times \mathbf{S}^1$ and then takes a limit in which the radius of the circle \mathbf{S}^1 goes to zero. The manifold parametrising vector multiplet scalar fields in the 4-dimensional supergravity theory has a natural *projective special Kähler structure*, a notion that will be defined later on. Thus, the result of this physical procedure is a map from the set of projective special Kähler manifolds to the set of quaternionic Kähler manifolds. This is the *undeforbed c-map*.

In the case of supergravity theories arising as low-energy descriptions of string theory, perturbative quantum corrections arising from the genus expansion of string theory give rise to a deformation of the above quaternionic Kähler metric parametrised by a discrete integer parameter k . When $k \neq 0$, the resulting map from the set of projective special Kähler manifolds to the set of families of quaternionic Kähler manifolds N_{2k} is the *deformed c-map*. These two cases will be collectively referred to as the *supergravity c-map*. The adjective “supergravity” is to distinguish it from a similar construction in the absence of gravity called the *rigid c-map*, that we shall also be encountering later.

The supergravity c-map was described in geometric terms in [CHM12] and [CDS17] as a bundle construction over the projective special Kähler manifold. Note that, in these works, the deformation parameter was continuous, as the authors work only up to local isometry. In fact, up to local isometry, there are only three cases, characterised by the sign of the parameter. When the given projective special Kähler metric is complete, it was proven in [CDS17], that the resulting quaternionic Kähler metric is also complete for $k \geq 0$. This is in fact false for $k < 0$ (see [ACDM15, Proposition 4 (p. 287)]), and so we henceforth exclude this case from our discussion of the supergravity c-map.

Projective special Kähler manifolds and (families of) quaternionic Kähler manifolds have natural notions of isomorphism, giving rise to groupoids (i.e. categories with only invertible arrows) of projective special Kähler and (families of) quaternionic Kähler manifolds. So, one may ask whether the map of sets underlying the supergravity c-map actually descends to a map of isomorphism classes. It was shown in [CDS17] that this is indeed the case. However, the construction was still formulated in terms of non-canonical data, so it is not clear from this approach whether the supergravity c-map is a functor between

the relevant groupoids. In other words, what we are asking is whether isomorphisms of projective special Kähler manifolds *canonically* lift to isomorphisms (i.e. isometries [ACDV03, (p. 529)]) of quaternionic Kähler manifolds.

Partial results had been previously established. It had been shown by independent methods in [CHM12], [MS15] and [MS20] that in the case of the undeformed c-map, the quaternionic Kähler manifold forms a trivial bundle over the projective special Kähler manifold. In particular, the lifting of automorphisms is well-defined [CDJL18, Appendix]. In the case of the deformed c-map, it was shown in [CST21] that there is a well-defined lifting of infinitesimal automorphisms. The question of whether general automorphisms and isomorphisms naturally lift in the deformed case hitherto remained open.

1.2 Main results

In this paper, we fill in the gap and prove that isomorphisms of projective special Kähler manifolds canonically lift to global isometries of the quaternionic Kähler manifolds under the supergravity c-map, for non-negative integer deformation parameters.

There are two main ingredients in our approach. The first is a reformulation of the notion of projective special Kähler structure as a particular case of (abstract) variations of Hodge structure of weight 3. We will define these in greater detail later, but for now, we will just mention that they are an abstraction of the bundle $E \rightarrow M$, whose base M is the moduli space of complex structure deformations of a compact simply connected Calabi–Yau 3-fold $K_{m \in M}$, and whose fibres E_m are the cohomology groups $H_{\text{dR}}^3(K_m)$. Accordingly, we have a Hodge decomposition

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} = E^{3,0} \oplus E^{2,1} \oplus E^{1,2} \oplus E^{0,3}. \quad (1.1)$$

In the case we are interested in, $E^{3,0}$ will be a holomorphic line bundle L with hermitian structure h_L , and $E^{2,1}$ the bundle $L \otimes T^{1,0}M$. The other two summands $E^{1,2}$ and $E^{0,3}$ are defined to be the conjugates of $E^{2,1}$ and $E^{3,0}$ respectively. Moreover, $E^{3,0}$ has a canonical $U(1)$ -action that preserves h_L .

The correspondence between projective special Kähler manifolds and abstract variations of Hodge structure was first noted and proved by Cortés in [Cor98]. A more intrinsic formulation was then given by Freed in [Fre99]. We however give slightly different statements and proofs in Propositions 3.22 and 3.25 that describe the correspondence more explicitly. This correspondence was also addressed in [HHP10] via a more local approach. Our approach differs from theirs in that we give a global description of this structure, and in terms of a Hodge decomposition rather than a filtration. The result is that we get a much clearer description of the relation, including an explicit expression for the Gauß–Manin connection.

The other ingredient is due to Macia and Swann [MS15, MS20], building on a prior work by Haydys [Hay08]. In their work, the c-map is interpreted as a special case of a more general construction called the *twist*. Introduced by Swann in [Swa10], the twist construction takes as its input a manifold S with

certain *twist data*, that includes a $U(1)$ -action, and produces as output another manifold S' with a $U(1)$ -action. In Lemma 4.2, we establish that for certain choices of twist data, the twist construction is equivalent to taking a quotient by a finite subgroup \mathbb{Z}_k of the $U(1)$ acting on S . This observation is new.

The description of projective special Kähler structures in terms of variations of Hodge structure turns out to be particularly well-suited for applying the twist construction. Putting these ingredients together, we obtain the following result.

Theorem. *Let E be the variation of Hodge structure associated to a projective special Kähler manifold M and let $\widetilde{M}_{>2k}$ denote the subset of the line bundle $L := E^{3,0}$ of points u whose fibrewise h_L -norm satisfies $h_L(u, u) > 2k$. Then, the quaternionic manifold N_{2k} given by the deformed c-map is the pullback of the real part of E to the quotient $\widetilde{M}_{>2k}/\mathbb{Z}_k$, where \mathbb{Z}_k is a subgroup of the $U(1)$ whose action preserves h_L .*

In the above, we have omitted the explicit expression for the quaternionic Kähler metric as it requires a large number of prerequisites. The complete statement is given and proved in Theorem 5.4 later on.

Presented in this form, the deformed c-map construction is manifestly functorial, and elucidates the isometric action on the resulting quaternionic Kähler manifold by a semidirect product of the group of automorphisms of the projective special Kähler manifold with a Heisenberg group, cf. Remark 5.14. As it turns out, the functorial lift of automorphisms of projective special Kähler manifolds reproduces the lift of infinitesimal automorphisms constructed in a very different way in [CST21]. We prove this in Proposition 5.12. Furthermore, in Remark 5.13, we provide evidence that our method for canonically lifting isomorphisms through the c-map cannot be extended to non-integer k .

Aside from the manifest functoriality, what is also notable about the above description of the supergravity c-map is that it suggests certain natural generalisations applicable to a much wider class of variations of Hodge structure. The resulting manifold is not quaternionic Kähler in general, as its real dimension may not even be divisible by 4. Interpreting these resulting manifolds is a question we leave for future investigation.

1.3 Outline

Since our major motivation is to prove the naturality of certain constructions, we need to set up some notation. Thus, we start with a detailed preliminary discussion in §2. Here, we introduce our notation and recollect certain elementary constructions in differential geometry that we make use of later.

Following this, in §3, we set up the basic definitions of projective special Kähler geometry, establish useful formulas regarding them, introduce variations of Hodge structure, and realise projective special Kähler structures as certain instances of them.

Next, in §4, we introduce Swann's twist construction and prove a useful lemma regarding it.

In §5, we finally put all of this together to give a new description of the supergravity c -map and discuss some of its properties, such as functoriality and the existence of a Heisenberg action on the quaternionic Kähler manifold.

Acknowledgments

This work was carried out at Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach (MFO) as part of an Oberwolfach Leibniz Fellowship (“From prepotential to deviance”). We are immensely grateful to the MFO for the hospitality and for providing us with such an excellent environment for research. In the later stages of this paper, A.S. was supported by the DFG Emmy Noether grant AL 1407/2-1, and M.M. by Masaryk University in Brno. The collaboration that led to this paper was initiated in Hamburg where M.M. was supported by German Science Foundation (DFG) under Germany’s Excellence Strategy (EXC 2121 “Quantum Universe”-390833306).

We also thank Vicente Cortés, Diego Conti, and Danu Thung for their comments and suggestions regarding an earlier draft of this paper.

2 Preliminaries, notation, and conventions

We begin by first recounting some elementary notions from differential geometry and fixing our notation.

2.1 Complex vector spaces

Let W be a real vector space. We denote the complexification $W \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ as $W_{\mathbb{C}}$. If W is endowed with a *complex structure*, i.e. $I \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(W, W)$ such that $I^2 = -\text{id}_W$, then we have the decomposition $W_{\mathbb{C}} = W^{1,0} \oplus W^{0,1}$ into eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues i and $-i$ respectively. Similarly, we have a decomposition $W_{\mathbb{C}}^* = W_{1,0}^* \oplus W_{0,1}^*$ given by the transpose of I . We define the space $W_{p,q}^*$ to be the subspace of $(W_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^{\otimes(p+q)}$ built out of p copies of $W_{1,0}^*$ and q copies of $W_{0,1}^*$. An element of $W_{p,q}^*$ will be said to be of type (p, q) .

Now let V be a complex vector space. Following [Sal89], we denote by $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ its underlying real vector space and by $[V]$ its real part with respect to an antilinear involution κ , also known as *real structure*, i.e.

$$[V] := \{v \in V \mid \kappa(v) = v\}. \quad (2.1)$$

This is a real vector space whose real dimension is equal to the complex dimension of V .

Notice that for any complex vector space V , not necessarily one equipped with a real structure, we may define another complex vector space \overline{V} , which has the same underlying real vector space $V_{\mathbb{R}}$, but on which the complex scalar multiplication $\mathbb{C} \times V_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by

$$(\lambda, v_{\mathbb{R}}) \longmapsto (\overline{\lambda}v)_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $v_{\mathbb{R}} \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the vector $v \in V$ regarded as a vector in $V_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The identity map on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ then becomes an antilinear map $V \rightarrow \overline{V}$, which in turn gives a canonical real structure on $V \oplus \overline{V}$. In terms of this real structure, we may then define

$$[[V]] := [V \oplus \overline{V}]. \quad (2.3)$$

Putting all of these together, we have the canonical isomorphisms

$$[V]_{\mathbb{C}} \cong V, \quad [[V]]_{\mathbb{C}} \cong V \oplus \overline{V}, \quad [[V]] \cong V_{\mathbb{R}}. \quad (2.4)$$

The first two are isomorphisms of complex vector spaces, whereas the last one is an isomorphism of real vector spaces.

The same notation will be used accordingly for vector bundles.

2.2 Bundles

The data of a fibre bundle consists of a total space A , a base space B , and a submersion $A \rightarrow B$. When there is no ambiguity, or unless otherwise specified, we adopt the notation p_B^A for the submersion that is part of the fibre bundle data. We denote by A_f the fibred product $A \times_B B'$, when interpreted as the pullback bundle of $A \rightarrow B$ along a map $f: B' \rightarrow B$, i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_f & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B' & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} \quad (2.5)$$

Although it is customary to use f^* to denote both the pullback, as well as the codifferential, i.e. the transpose of the differential $f_*: TB' \rightarrow (TB)_f$, we shall reserve f^* only for the latter in order to avoid confusion between the two.

A further motivation for the notation A_f for the pullback of A along f is the following observation. By interpreting points $u \in B$ as maps $u: 1 \rightarrow B$ from the one-point space 1 , we can regard the fibre of A over a point u as the pullback of A along the map u . In this case, the pullback notation A_u agrees with the standard notation for the fibre of A over u .

In case the map f is a generic bundle submersion, we will simplify the notation by denoting the pullback of $A \rightarrow B$ along p_B^C by A_C , when B is clear from the context.

If $s: B \rightarrow A$ is a section of the fibre bundle $A \rightarrow B$, then there is a unique section $s_f: B' \rightarrow A_f$ of the fibre bundle $A_f \rightarrow B'$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_f & \longrightarrow & A \\ s_f \uparrow & & \uparrow s \\ B' & \xrightarrow{f} & B \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

The section s_f is called the *pullback section* of s (along f). Again, for a point $u: 1 \rightarrow B$, the pullback notation $s_u: 1 \rightarrow A_u$ is coherent with the standard notation for the value of s at u .

For the pullback of a section s of $A \rightarrow B$ along p_B^C , we will simplify the notation by writing s_C , as we did for bundles. We shall often denote the pullback section s_f associated to s as simply s when the context makes it clear what f is. So, in particular, if α is a section of T^*B , then $f^*\alpha$ is to be regarded as $f^*: (T^*B)_f \rightarrow T^*B'$ applied to α_f . In fact, we shall often omit the f^* in $f^*\alpha$ altogether when there is no possibility of confusion.

The pullback $A_A \rightarrow A$ of a bundle over itself will be referred to as the *tautological pullback bundle* associated to A . This has a distinguished section called the *tautological section* that we shall be denoting Φ_A . This corresponds to the map $A \rightarrow A_A$ obtained by the pullback universal property on the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A} & A \\ \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow p_B^A \\ A & \xrightarrow{p_B^A} & B \end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

Equivalently, it can be defined by the property that for any section $s: B \rightarrow A$, the pullback section $(\Phi_A)_s$ of $(A_A)_s = A_{p_B^A \circ s} = A$ is s itself.

The tautological section has another significant property. It is a general fact that if $A \rightarrow B$ and $A' \rightarrow B$ are two bundles over the same base, and $f_A: A \rightarrow A$ and $f_{A'}: A' \rightarrow A'$ are weak bundle maps covering the same base map $f_B: B \rightarrow B$, then there is a canonical map $f: A \times_B A' \rightarrow A \times_B A'$ which covers both f_A and $f_{A'}$. In other words, we can complete a commuting cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A & \xrightarrow{f_A} & A \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow f & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ A \times_B A' & \xrightarrow{f} & A \times_B A' & & B \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow f_B & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f_{A'}} & A' & & B \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

When A' is taken to be equal to A , then this construction tells us that any weak bundle map f_A induces a weak bundle map on the tautological pullback bundle A_A covering f_A . In an abuse of notation, we will denote this induced map in the same way as the map f_A downstairs. The tautological section Φ_A commutes with such induced maps.

In the case where $A \rightarrow B$ is a vector bundle, the tautological pullback bundle $A_A \rightarrow A$ is part of the following short exact sequence of vector bundles over A .

$$0 \longrightarrow A_A \xrightarrow{\text{vert}} TA \xrightarrow{(p_B^A)_*} (TB)_A \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.9)$$

The image of vert is the “vertical” subbundle \mathcal{V} of TA , i.e. the subbundle whose sections are vector fields tangent to the fibres.

Although this sequence splits, the splitting is not canonical. In order to have a canonical splitting, we need a connection cf. [Spi79, Exercise 29 (p. 103)].

2.3 Connections

The most general notion of connection is an Ehresmann connection, which is applicable to any (smooth) fibre bundle. This is just a choice of a right inverse to the map $(p_B^A)_* : TA \rightarrow (TB)_A$. The image of such a right inverse is a “horizontal” subbundle \mathcal{H} of TA , complementary to the vertical subbundle and isomorphic to $(TB)_A$. In other words, the data of an Ehresmann connection is precisely the choice of a splitting $TA \cong \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

The right inverse of $(p_B^A)_* : TA \rightarrow (TB)_A$ maps the pullback X_A associated to a vector field X on B , to a vector field $X^{\mathcal{H}}$ on the total space A . We call $X^{\mathcal{H}}$ the *horizontal lift* of X .

Morally, a Ehresmann connection gives us a way of parallel-transporting elements of a fibre of $A \rightarrow B$ to other fibres of the bundle. Given a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$, we have an induced map of bundles $\gamma' : A_\gamma \rightarrow A$. The image of the differential $\gamma'_* : T(A_\gamma) \rightarrow (TA)_{\gamma'}$ intersects $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma'}$ in a distribution that has rank at most 1, and thus is integrable. The integral curves of the distribution on A_γ are said to be horizontal lifts of the curve γ . Since each of these curves intersects every fibre of A_γ at exactly one point, they provide a notion of parallel transport on the bundle $A \rightarrow B$ along γ .

Ehresmann connections reduce to the other notions of connection in the presence of additional structures (cf. [KMS93]). For instance, in the case of a G -invariant horizontal bundle \mathcal{H} on a principal G -bundle, there is a unique G -equivariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form φ on the total space that vanishes on the subbundle \mathcal{H} such that the following composition is the identity.

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^\circ} \Gamma(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathfrak{g} \quad (2.10)$$

Here $(\cdot)^\circ$ maps an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ to the corresponding fundamental vector field X° on P , defined by $X_u^\circ := \frac{d}{dt}(u \cdot \exp(tX))|_{t=0}$ at $u \in P$. Thus, a G -invariant Ehresmann connection on a principal G -bundle is equivalent to the choice of a principal connection φ .

Likewise, in the case of a vector bundle $A \rightarrow B$, the Ehresmann connection reduces to the data of a linear connection $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*B \otimes A)$ under certain conditions. Let $s \in \Gamma(A)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$, by the naturality of the Lie bracket, we deduce that $[X^{\mathcal{H}}, \text{vert}(s)]$ is a vertical vector field on A , and thus it can be identified with a section of A_A . If, for every $s \in \Gamma(A)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(B)$, this is the pullback of a (unique) section of $A \rightarrow B$, which we suggestively denote $\nabla_X s$, then it can easily be checked that $(X, s) \mapsto \nabla_X s$ satisfies the defining properties of a linear connection.

Conversely, given a linear connection ∇ on A , we have a pullback connection $\nabla_{p_B^A}$ on the tautological pullback bundle A_A defined by its action on pullback sections s_A associated to sections s of A , namely

$$(\nabla_{p_B^A})_X s_A = (\nabla s)_{p_B^A} ((p_B^A)_* X). \quad (2.11)$$

For clarity, we shall omit p_B^A in $\nabla_{p_B^A}$ and write the pullback connection associated to a connection ∇ as simply ∇ .

With the help of the pullback connection on A_A and the tautological section Φ_A of A_A , we obtain a section $\nabla\Phi_A \in \Gamma(T^*A \otimes A_A)$. This can be interpreted as a map $TA \rightarrow A_A$ that is left-inverse to vert in (2.9) and so induces a splitting $TA \cong \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. This establishes the equivalence.

Since principal connections φ and linear connections ∇ are both special cases of Ehresmann connections, they provide a notion of horizontal lifts, which we shall denote X^φ and X^∇ respectively.

Notice that if φ, φ' are two principal connections, and ∇, ∇' are two linear connections such that $\varphi - \varphi' = (p_B^A)^*\theta$ and $\nabla - \nabla' = C$, then the difference of the horizontal lifts is given by

$$X^\varphi - X^{\varphi'} = -\theta(X)^\circ, \quad X^\nabla - X^{\nabla'} = -\text{vert}(C_X(\Phi_A)). \quad (2.12)$$

A linear connection on a complex vector bundle with a real structure is called *real* when it maps real sections to real sections. Finally, we will denote the Levi-Civita connection on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) as ∇^g .

2.4 Complete lifts

Suppose we are given a vector field X on B . Let ψ_t be its flow. Then, we may define a 1-parameter subgroup of diffeomorphisms on the tangent bundle TB by $(u, X_u) \mapsto (\psi_t(u), (\psi_t)_*X_u)$. This is the flow of a vector field X^T on TB , which is a lift of X . The vector field X^T is said to be the *complete* lift of X cf. [YI66]. We would like to relate it to the horizontal lift with respect to a connection.

To do this, we first make some general remarks about vector fields on the total space of a vector bundle $A \rightarrow B$. These can be regarded as derivations on the ring of smooth functions on A . By Taylor's theorem, a derivation on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(A)$ is completely specified by its action on the ring of functions on A , which are polynomial along the fibres. Such functions may be identified with sections of the symmetrised dual tensor bundle $\text{Sym}_\bullet A^* \rightarrow B$, where

$$\text{Sym}_\bullet A^* := \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}(A^{*\otimes j}). \quad (2.13)$$

A section $\Xi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Xi_j$ of $\text{Sym}_\bullet A^*$ corresponds to the function on the total space A obtained by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\Xi_j)_A(\Phi_A, \dots, \Phi_A). \quad (2.14)$$

A vector field on A can thus be described in terms of its action as a derivation on sections of $\text{Sym}_\bullet A^*$.

Given a section s of A_A , the associated vertical vector field $\text{vert}(s)$ corresponds to the derivation $\Xi_j \mapsto j\iota_s \Xi_j = j\Xi_j(s, \dots)$ for $\Xi_j \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}(A^{*\otimes j}))$. In particular, $\text{vert}(\Phi_A) \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ corresponds to the derivation acting on $\text{Sym}(A^{*\otimes j})$ as the scalar multiplication by j . Note that this is the derivation generated by

the identity map on fibrewise linear functions α , i.e. sections of A^* . More generally, the derivation generated by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha \circ \phi$ for $\phi \in \Gamma(\text{End}(A)_A)$ may be identified with the vertical vector field $\text{vert}(\phi(\Phi_A))$.

Let us now consider the horizontal lift X^∇ of a vector field X on B with respect to a linear connection ∇ . Its flow corresponds to the parallel transport along the flow lines of X . This in turn defines on sections of $\text{Sym}_\bullet(A^*)$ a derivation $\Xi \mapsto \nabla_X \Xi$.

Finally, let us go back to the case where A is the tangent bundle $TB \rightarrow B$. Here, the complete lift X^T of a vector field X on B , acts by Lie derivative on the fibres, and this corresponds to the derivation $\Xi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_X \Xi$.

Now, we see that when ∇ is torsion-free, the difference $X^T - X^\nabla$ acts on a fibrewise linear function on TB , identified with a 1-form α on B , as

$$\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{L}_X \alpha - \nabla_X \alpha = \alpha(\nabla X). \quad (2.15)$$

As we have seen above, the derivation generated by this corresponds to the vector field $\text{vert}((\nabla X)(\Phi_{TB}))$, where ∇X is interpreted as an endomorphism field. Thus, we have

$$X^T = X^\nabla + \text{vert}((\nabla X)(\Phi_{TB})). \quad (2.16)$$

This equation can also be generalised to connections with torsion T^∇ by writing $\nabla X + \iota_X T^\nabla$ instead of ∇X . A similar relation was obtained for cotangent bundles in [CST21, Lemma 3.12 (p. 111)] by working in local coordinates.

3 Special Kähler geometry

In this section, we explicitly describe how to translate the data of a projective special Kähler manifold to that of a variation of Hodge structure of a certain type (Proposition 3.22) and vice versa (Proposition 3.25). In order to accomplish this, we will need to establish a few technical lemmata. We do this in §3.2.

3.1 Basic definitions

We begin by reviewing the definitions of affine special Kähler, conic special Kähler, and projective special Kähler manifolds.

Definition 3.1. An *affine special Kähler* (ASK) manifold is the data of a pseudo-Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, I, \widetilde{\omega})$ with a flat, torsion-free, symplectic connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ such that $(\widetilde{\nabla}_X I)Y = (\widetilde{\nabla}_Y I)X$ for all vector fields X, Y on \widetilde{M} .

Remark 3.2. A consequence of torsion-freeness and $(\widetilde{\nabla}_X I)Y = (\widetilde{\nabla}_Y I)X$ is that $\widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X Y, Z)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X(IY), Z) &= \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla}_X I)Y, Z) + \widetilde{\omega}(I(\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X Y, Z) \\ &= \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla}_X I)Y, Z) - \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X Y, IZ) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

are symmetric under the exchange $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Meanwhile, the symplectic condition $\widetilde{\nabla}\widetilde{\omega} = 0$ implies that $\widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X Y, Z)$ is symmetric also under the exchange $Y \leftrightarrow Z$, and hence fully symmetric. This, in turn, implies full symmetry for $\widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X(IY), Z)$ as well, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X(IY), Z) &= \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_{IY} X, Z) = \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_{IY} Z, X) \\ &= \widetilde{\omega}((\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_Z(IY), X). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, by replacing X with IX in (3.1) and using the symmetries just proved, we deduce

$$(\widetilde{\nabla} - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_X Y = -\frac{1}{2}(\widetilde{\nabla}_{IX} I)Y = -\frac{i}{2}(\widetilde{\nabla}_X^{1,0} I)Y + \frac{i}{2}(\widetilde{\nabla}_X^{0,1} I)Y. \quad (3.3)$$

We shall henceforth denote the $(1, 0)$ part of the above as $\widetilde{\eta}_X Y$. Note that the symmetry property means $\widetilde{\eta}_X Y$ is a section of $\sharp_2 S_{3,0} \widetilde{M}$, where $S_{3,0} \widetilde{M}$ denotes the subbundle of $(T^*M)^{\otimes 3}$ of symmetric tensors of type $(3, 0)$, and \sharp_2 indicates that the second index is raised using the metric \widetilde{g} .

The flatness of $\widetilde{\nabla}$ implies that $\widetilde{\eta}$ satisfies $\overline{\partial}^{\widetilde{g}} \widetilde{\eta} = 0$, where $\overline{\partial}^{\widetilde{g}}$ is the $(0, 1)$ part of the exterior covariant derivative with respect to $\nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$, i.e.

$$\overline{\partial}^{\widetilde{g}} : \Omega^{1,0}(\widetilde{M}, T^{0,1} \widetilde{M} \otimes T_{1,0} \widetilde{M}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1,1}(\widetilde{M}, T^{0,1} \widetilde{M} \otimes T_{1,0} \widetilde{M}). \quad (3.4)$$

Since $\nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$ respects the $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ decomposition, this is a Dolbeault operator. We shall thus refer to $\widetilde{\eta}$ as the *holomorphic difference tensor field*. For later applications, it will be useful to consider $\widetilde{\eta}^\flat := \widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\eta}, \cdot)$, which hence is a holomorphic symmetric tensor of type $(3, 0)$.

It is possible to give a characterisation of ASK manifolds in terms of $\widetilde{\eta}$, [Fre99, Proposition 1.34 (p. 39)].

Definition 3.3. A *conic special Kähler (CSK) manifold* is the data of a pseudo-Kähler manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, I, \widetilde{\omega})$ with a flat, symplectic connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ and a vector field ξ such that

1. ξ is nowhere vanishing;
2. \widetilde{g} is negative definite on $\langle \xi, I\xi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ and positive definite on its orthogonal complement;
3. $\widetilde{\nabla}\xi = \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}\xi = \text{id}$;
4. $\widetilde{\nabla}(I\xi) = \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}(I\xi) = I$.

We adopt the convention $\widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{g}(I\cdot, \cdot)$.

Remark 3.4. Conical special Kähler manifolds are automatically affine special Kähler. Torsion-freeness follows from the computation

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y - \widetilde{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y] = \widetilde{\nabla}_X \widetilde{\nabla}_Y \xi - \widetilde{\nabla}_Y \widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi - \widetilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} \xi = R^{\widetilde{\nabla}}(X, Y)\xi = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

And $(\widetilde{\nabla}_X I)Y = (\widetilde{\nabla}_Y I)X$ follows from the computation

$$\begin{aligned}
(\widetilde{\nabla}_X I)Y - (\widetilde{\nabla}_Y I)X &= \widetilde{\nabla}_X(IY) - I\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y - \widetilde{\nabla}_Y(IX) + I\widetilde{\nabla}_Y X \\
&= \widetilde{\nabla}_X(IY) - \widetilde{\nabla}_Y(IX) - I[X, Y] \\
&= \widetilde{\nabla}_X \nabla_Y(I\xi) - \widetilde{\nabla}_Y \widetilde{\nabla}_X(I\xi) - \widetilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}(I\xi) \\
&= R^{\widetilde{\nabla}}(X, Y)I\xi = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, Definition 3.3 is equivalent to Definition 3 in [CHM12], if we take $-\widetilde{g}$ as the metric.

Remark 3.5. The conic special structure may also be described in terms of the vector fields

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(\xi - iI\xi), \quad \bar{\zeta} = \frac{1}{2}(\xi + iI\xi), \tag{3.7}$$

in the complexified tangent bundle. In particular, the defining properties 3 and 4 of CSK manifolds become

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \zeta = \nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}} \zeta = X^{1,0}, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_X \bar{\zeta} = \nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}} \bar{\zeta} = X^{0,1}. \tag{3.8}$$

Remark 3.6. The holomorphic difference tensor field $\widetilde{\eta}$ of a CSK manifold is horizontal, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\eta}_Y \zeta &= \widetilde{\nabla}_Y^{1,0} \zeta - (\nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_Y^{1,0} \zeta = Y^{1,0} - Y^{1,0} = 0, \\
\widetilde{\eta}_Y \bar{\zeta} &= \widetilde{\nabla}_Y^{1,0} \bar{\zeta} - (\nabla^{\widetilde{g}})_Y^{1,0} \bar{\zeta} = 0 - 0 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

In this paper, we will only consider CSK manifolds for which ξ and $I\xi$ generate a \mathbb{C}^\times -action. This property allows us to take a symplectic reduction with respect to the $U(1)$ -action generated by $I\xi$.

Definition 3.7. A *projective special Kähler* (PSK) manifold is a Kähler manifold M endowed with a principal \mathbb{C}^\times -bundle $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ with $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}, I, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\nabla}, \xi)$ conic special Kähler such that ξ and $I\xi$ are the fundamental vector fields associated to $1, i \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively and M is the Kähler quotient with respect to the induced $U(1)$ -action. In this case, we say that M has a projective special Kähler structure.

Definition 3.8. A *PSK isomorphism* i.e. an isomorphism between PSK manifolds $(\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M, \widetilde{g}, I, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{\nabla}, \xi)$ and $(\pi': \widetilde{M}' \rightarrow M', \widetilde{g}', I', \widetilde{\omega}', \widetilde{\nabla}', \xi')$ is a pair $(\widetilde{\psi}, \psi)$ consisting of (bijective) Kähler isometries $\widetilde{\psi}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}'$ and $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\widetilde{M} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}} & \widetilde{M}' \\
\pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\
M & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M'
\end{array} \tag{3.10}$$

Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}$ is compatible with the connections and the Euler vector fields. Stated in a more explicit manner,

$$\tilde{\nabla}'_{\tilde{\psi}} \circ \tilde{\psi}_* = \tilde{\psi}_* \circ \tilde{\nabla}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_* \xi = \xi'_{\tilde{\psi}}. \quad (3.11)$$

A PSK isomorphism is called a *PSK automorphism* when the PSK data of the two PSK manifolds coincide.

For brevity, we will often denote a PSK manifold as simply $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and suppress the remaining structure.

Remark 3.9. The bundle $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ has a principal \mathbb{C}^\times -connection χ induced by the metric \tilde{g} via

$$\chi = \frac{\tilde{g}(\xi, \cdot) + i\tilde{g}(I\xi, \cdot)}{\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)}. \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, in terms of the principal connection, the conditions $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}\xi = \text{id}$ and $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}(I\xi) = I$ are equivalent to the condition that the metric \tilde{g} is of the form

$$\tilde{g} = -\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)(\pi^*g_M - \bar{\chi}\chi) = -\tilde{h}(\bar{\zeta}, \zeta)(\pi^*g_M - \bar{\chi}\chi), \quad (3.13)$$

where $\tilde{h} = \tilde{g} + i\tilde{\omega}$.

Note that $\tilde{\varphi} := \text{Im}(\chi)$ restricts to a principal connection on the principal $U(1)$ -bundle $S \rightarrow M$ obtained by taking the level set $g(\xi, \xi) = -1$.

If we further let $r^2 = -\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)$, then \tilde{g} is a conical metric on the cone $S \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ over S , that is

$$\tilde{g} = r^2g_M - r^2\tilde{\varphi}^2 - dr^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Remark 3.10. From the bundle $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, we can build the complex line bundle associated to the standard \mathbb{C}^\times -representation on \mathbb{C} , i.e.

$$L := \tilde{M} \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow M. \quad (3.15)$$

Since π is holomorphic, L inherits a holomorphic structure. Moreover, L also has a hermitian structure h_L associated to $r^2\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard hermitian form on \mathbb{C} .

Notice that, using the map $u \in \tilde{M} \mapsto [u, 1] \in L$, we can regard \tilde{M} as L with the zero section removed. In this interpretation, given a local section s of L , the function $h_L(\bar{s}, s)$ is the pullback of r^2 along s .

Finally, the Chern connection for L is precisely the linear connection ∇^χ associated to the principal connection χ , i.e. the one defined by

$$\nabla^\chi \Phi_L = \chi \otimes \Phi_L. \quad (3.16)$$

3.2 Formulas and properties

In this section, we establish certain formulas and properties of PSK manifolds that we shall be making use of later.

Lemma 3.11. *The principal \mathbb{C}^\times -connection on a PSK manifold $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ satisfies*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}\chi = \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}\chi = -\chi^2 - \pi^*h_M, \quad (3.17)$$

where $h_M = g_M + i\omega_M$.

Proof. We first write χ as

$$\chi = \frac{1}{r^2} (\widetilde{\omega}(I\xi, \cdot) - i\widetilde{\omega}(\xi, \cdot)). \quad (3.18)$$

Taking its covariant derivative, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}\chi &= \widetilde{\nabla} \left(\frac{\widetilde{\omega}(I\xi, \cdot) - i\widetilde{\omega}(\xi, \cdot)}{r^2} \right) = -2 \frac{dr}{r} \otimes \chi + \frac{\widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{\nabla}(I\xi), \cdot) - i\widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{\nabla}\xi, \cdot)}{r^2} \\ &= -(\chi + \bar{\chi}) \otimes \chi + \frac{\widetilde{\omega}(I, \cdot) - i\widetilde{\omega}}{r^2} = -(\chi + \bar{\chi}) \otimes \chi - \frac{\widetilde{h}}{r^2} \\ &= -\chi^2 - \frac{\widetilde{h} + r^2\bar{\chi} \otimes \chi}{r^2} = -\chi^2 - \pi^*h_M. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The formula for $\nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$ is deduced similarly. \square

Since $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is torsion-free, the alternating part of $2\widetilde{\nabla}\chi$ is $d\chi$. Thus, as a corollary of the above computation we have $d\chi = -2i\pi^*\omega_M$. The factor of $-2i$ may seem strange when this is compared to the curvature of a principal $U(1)$ -connection, but the i is due to the fact that the (infinitesimal) $U(1)$ -action is given by the imaginary part of the (infinitesimal) \mathbb{C}^\times -action, while the -2 is due to the choice of level set in the Kähler quotient by which ω_M is defined.

Lemma 3.12. *The principal \mathbb{C}^\times -connection on a PSK manifold $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta\chi = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}\chi = \mathcal{L}_\zeta\bar{\chi} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}\bar{\chi} = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. The formulas for χ follow from the Cartan formula, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\zeta\chi &= d(\iota_\zeta\chi) + \iota_\zeta d\chi = d(1) - 2i\iota_\zeta\pi^*\omega = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}\chi &= d(\iota_{\bar{\zeta}}\chi) + \iota_{\bar{\zeta}} d\chi = d(0) - 2i\iota_{\bar{\zeta}}\pi^*\omega = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

The formulas for $\bar{\chi}$ follow similarly. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Given a PSK manifold $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$, the Lie derivatives of the connections $\nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ on \widetilde{M} satisfy*

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_\zeta\widetilde{\nabla} = -\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}\widetilde{\nabla} = -\frac{i}{2}\widetilde{\nabla}I. \quad (3.22)$$

Proof. First of all, we derive an expression for the Lie derivative of a torsion-free connection:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_X\nabla)_Y Z &= \mathcal{L}_X(\nabla_Y Z) - \nabla_{\mathcal{L}_X Y} Z - \nabla_Y(\mathcal{L}_X Z) \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z + \nabla_Y \nabla_Z X \\ &= R^\nabla(X, Y)Z + \nabla_{Y, Z}^2 X. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

In particular, the Lie derivative of a torsion-free connection is tensorial.

In the case of $\widetilde{\nabla}$, the curvature $R^{\widetilde{\nabla}}$ vanishes, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{\nabla} &= \widetilde{\nabla}(\widetilde{\nabla}\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}(\text{id} - \text{i}I) = -\frac{\text{i}}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}I, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \widetilde{\nabla} &= \widetilde{\nabla}(\widetilde{\nabla}\bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}(\text{id} + \text{i}I) = \frac{\text{i}}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}I.\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

In the case of $\widetilde{\nabla}$, we instead make use of the fact that ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$ are conformal Killing vector fields, as we can see by computing

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{g} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \widetilde{g} = \widetilde{g}.\tag{3.25}$$

We may now use the fact that the Levi-Civita connection preserves the metric to deduce that for any vector field X such that $\mathcal{L}_X \widetilde{g} = c\widetilde{g}$ for some constant c , we have

$$(\mathcal{L}_X \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}) \widetilde{g} = \mathcal{L}_X(\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} \widetilde{g}) - \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}(\mathcal{L}_X \widetilde{g}) = \mathcal{L}_X(0) - c\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} \widetilde{g} = 0.\tag{3.26}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_X \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$ is a tensor field and \widetilde{g} is non-degenerate, it then follows that $\mathcal{L}_X \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$ vanishes for any conformal Killing vector field X . \square

As a corollary of the above result, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{\eta} = -\frac{\text{i}}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}^{1,0} I = \widetilde{\eta}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \widetilde{\eta} = \frac{\text{i}}{2} \widetilde{\nabla}^{1,0} I = -\widetilde{\eta}.\tag{3.27}$$

In particular, we can compute the Lie derivatives of the tensor field $\widetilde{\eta}^\flat$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\zeta(\widetilde{\eta}^\flat) &= \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\eta}, \cdot)) = (\mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{g})(\widetilde{\eta}, \cdot) + \widetilde{g}(\mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{\eta}, \cdot) = 2\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\eta}, \cdot) = 2\widetilde{\eta}^\flat, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}(\widetilde{\eta}^\flat) &= \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}(\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{\eta}, \cdot)) = (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \widetilde{g})(\widetilde{\eta}, \cdot) + \widetilde{g}(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \widetilde{\eta}, \cdot) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Thus $\widetilde{\eta}^\flat$ varies quadratically with respect to the \mathbb{C}^\times -action on \widetilde{M} . We have already seen that it is horizontal. As a consequence, we get a (linear) bundle map $L \otimes L \rightarrow S_{3,0}M$. Raising the second index using the metric g_M on M then gives us a map

$$\eta: L \otimes L \longrightarrow \sharp_2 S_{3,0}M.\tag{3.29}$$

This map was constructed in [Man21, Proposition 6.5 (p. 16)], where it was denoted by $\widehat{\eta}$, and referred to as the *intrinsic deviance*. Explicitly, we have

$$\widetilde{\eta}^\flat = \pi^*(g_M(\eta(\Phi_L, \Phi_L), \cdot)).\tag{3.30}$$

Lemma 3.14. *Given a PSK manifold $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and vector fields X, Y on \widetilde{M} , we have*

$$\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}} Y) - \nabla_X^g \pi_* Y = \pi_*(\chi(X)Y^{1,0} + \bar{\chi}(X)Y^{0,1} + \chi(Y)X^{1,0} + \bar{\chi}(Y)X^{0,1}).\tag{3.31}$$

Proof. Notice that both sides of (3.31) are $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\widetilde{M})$ -linear in X and Y , so it is enough to verify the formula pointwise. Consider thus the tensor

$$2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z'), \quad (3.32)$$

for a vector field Z' on M . Let $Z = (Z')^x$ be the horizontal lift of Z' , then

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') &= 2\pi^*g(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y, Z) - 2g(\nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') \\ &= 2(r^{-2}\widetilde{g} + \overline{\chi}\chi)(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y, Z) - 2g(\nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') \\ &= \frac{2}{r^2}\widetilde{g}(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y, Z) - 2g(\nabla_{\pi_*X}^g\pi_*Y, Z'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Being tensorial, X and Y can be assumed to be π -related to vector fields on M . So let $X', Y' \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be such that $\pi_*X = X'_\pi$ and $\pi_*Y = Y'_\pi$. We now obtain

$$2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') = \frac{2}{r^2}\widetilde{g}(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y, Z) - 2g(\nabla_{X'}^gY', Z')_\pi. \quad (3.34)$$

By the Koszul formula for \widetilde{g} and g , this expression can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2}{r^2}(X(\widetilde{g}(Y, Z)) + Y(\widetilde{g}(Z, X)) - Z(\widetilde{g}(X, Y))) \\ &+ \widetilde{g}([Z, X], Y) + \widetilde{g}([X, Y], Z) - \widetilde{g}([Y, Z], X) \\ &- X'(g(Y', Z'))_\pi - Y'(g(Z', X'))_\pi + Z(g(X', Y'))_\pi \\ &- g([Z', X'], Y')_\pi - g([X', Y'], Z')_\pi + g([Y', Z'], X')_\pi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

The last terms can be simplified thanks to the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} X'(g(Y', Z'))_\pi &= d(g(Y', Z'))_\pi(X'_\pi) = \pi^*d(g(Y', Z'))(X) \\ &= d(g(Y', Z'))_\pi(X) = d(\pi^*g(Y, Z))(X) = X(\pi^*g(Y, Z)), \\ g([X', Y'], Z')_\pi &= g_\pi(\pi_*[X, Y], \pi_*Z) = \pi^*g([X, Y], Z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

and similarly for the other terms. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\widetilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') \\ &= 2\frac{X(\widetilde{g}(Y, Z)) + Y(\widetilde{g}(Z, X)) - Z(\widetilde{g}(X, Y))}{r^2} + \left(\frac{\widetilde{g}}{r^2} - \pi^*g\right)([Z, X], Y) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\widetilde{g}}{r^2} - \pi^*g\right)([X, Y], Z) - \left(\frac{\widetilde{g}}{r^2} - \pi^*g\right)([Y, Z], X) \\ &- X(\pi^*g(Y, Z)) - Y(\pi^*g(Z, X)) + Z(\pi^*g(X, Y)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Using the expression $\tilde{g} = r^2(\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)$, and the χ -horizontalness of Z , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\tilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') \\
&= 2\frac{dr}{r}(X)(\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(Y, Z) + 2\frac{dr}{r}(Y)(\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(Z, X) \\
&\quad - 2\frac{dr}{r}(Z)(\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(X, Y) + X((\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(Y, Z)) \\
&\quad + Y((\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(Z, X)) - Z((\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(X, Y)) \\
&\quad - \bar{\chi}\chi([Z, X], Y) - \bar{\chi}\chi([X, Y], Z) + \bar{\chi}\chi([Y, Z], X) \\
&\quad - X(\pi^*g(Y, Z)) - Y(\pi^*g(Z, X)) + Z(\pi^*g(X, Y)) \\
&= 2\frac{dr}{r}(X)\pi^*g(Y, Z) + 2\frac{dr}{r}(Y)\pi^*g(Z, X) - 2\frac{dr}{r}(Z)(\pi^*g - \bar{\chi}\chi)(X, Y) \\
&\quad + Z(\bar{\chi}\chi(X, Y)) - \bar{\chi}\chi([Z, X], Y) + \bar{\chi}\chi([Y, Z], X).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

We know that dr/r is the real part of χ , thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\tilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') \\
&= (\chi + \bar{\chi})(X)\pi^*g(Y, Z) + (\chi + \bar{\chi})(Y)\pi^*g(Z, X) + 0 \\
&\quad + Z(\bar{\chi}\chi(X, Y)) - \bar{\chi}\chi([Z, X], Y) + \bar{\chi}\chi([Y, Z], X) \\
&= (\chi + \bar{\chi})(X)\pi^*g(Y, Z) + (\chi + \bar{\chi})(Y)\pi^*g(Z, X) + \mathcal{L}_Z(\bar{\chi}\chi)(X, Y).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

We now need the Lie derivatives of χ , $\bar{\chi}$, and $\bar{\chi}\chi$. By the Cartan formula,

$$\mathcal{L}_Z\chi = \iota_Z d\chi + d\iota_Z\chi = -2i\iota_Z\pi^*\omega, \quad \mathcal{L}_Z\bar{\chi} = \iota_Z d\bar{\chi} + d\iota_Z\bar{\chi} = 2i\iota_Z\pi^*\omega. \tag{3.40}$$

The Lie derivative for $\bar{\chi}\chi$ then follows from a short computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_Z(\bar{\chi}\chi)(X, Y) &= (\mathcal{L}_Z\bar{\chi}\chi + \bar{\chi}\mathcal{L}_Z\chi)(X, Y) \\
&= (2i(\iota_Z\pi^*\omega)\chi - 2i\bar{\chi}(\iota_Z\pi^*\omega))(X, Y) \\
&= i\pi^*\omega(Z, X)\chi(Y) + i\pi^*\omega(Z, Y)\chi(X) \\
&\quad - i\bar{\chi}(X)\pi^*\omega(Z, Y) - i\bar{\chi}(Y)\pi^*\omega(Z, X) \\
&= -i(\chi - \bar{\chi})(X)\pi^*g(IY, Z) - i(\chi - \bar{\chi})(Y)\pi^*g(IX, Z).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Substituting the Lie derivatives we just computed into (3.39), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2g(\pi_*(\nabla_X^{\tilde{g}}Y) - \nabla_X^g\pi_*Y, Z') \\
&= (\chi + \bar{\chi})(X)\pi^*g(Y, Z) + (\chi + \bar{\chi})(Y)\pi^*g(X, Z) \\
&\quad - i(\chi - \bar{\chi})(X)\pi^*g(IY, Z) - i(\chi - \bar{\chi})(Y)\pi^*g(IX, Z) \\
&= \chi(X)\pi^*g(Y - iIY, Z) + \bar{\chi}(X)\pi^*g(Y + iIY, Z) \\
&\quad + \chi(Y)\pi^*g(X - iIX, Z) + \bar{\chi}(Y)\pi^*g(X + iIX, Z) \\
&= 2\pi^*g(\chi(X)Y^{1,0} + \bar{\chi}(X)Y^{0,1} + \chi(Y)X^{1,0} + \bar{\chi}(Y)X^{0,1}, Z).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\pi_*(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X) - \nabla_{\pi_* Y}^g \pi_* X, Z') \\ &= g(\pi_*(\chi(X)Y^{1,0} + \bar{\chi}(X)Y^{0,1} + \chi(Y)X^{1,0} + \bar{\chi}(Y)X^{0,1}), Z'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

This proves the lemma, since g is non-degenerate and Z' arbitrary. \square

Lemma 3.15. *Let $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a PSK manifold with special connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Then, the $\widetilde{\nabla}$ -horizontal lifts of the fundamental vector fields ξ and $I\xi$ to $T\widetilde{M}$ generate a \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $T\widetilde{M}$. Moreover, a vector field X on \widetilde{M} is invariant under this action if and only if*

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_\xi X = \widetilde{\nabla}_{I\xi} X = 0. \quad (3.44)$$

Proof. Let us denote the principal \mathbb{C}^\times -action on \widetilde{M} as

$$\rho_\lambda(u) := u \cdot \lambda, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \quad (3.45)$$

Now consider the following principal \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $T\widetilde{M}$:

$$X_u \mapsto \lambda^{-1}(\rho_\lambda)_* X_u \in (TM)_{\rho_\lambda(u)}. \quad (3.46)$$

This action is generated by vector fields Y^1 and Y^i given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{X_u}^1 &= \left. \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-t}(\rho_{e^t})_* X_u) \right|_{t=0} = \left((\rho_{e^t})_* \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-t} X_u) + e^{-t} \frac{d}{dt}((\rho_{e^t})_* X_u) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= -\text{vert}(\Phi_{T\widetilde{M}})_{X_u} + \xi_{X_u}^T, \\ Y_{X_u}^i &= \left. \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-it}(\rho_{e^{it}})_* X_u) \right|_{t=0} = \left((\rho_{e^{it}})_* \frac{d}{dt}(e^{-it} X_u) + e^{-it} \frac{d}{dt}((\rho_{e^{it}})_* X_u) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= -\text{vert}(I\Phi_{T\widetilde{M}})_{X_u} + (I\xi)_{X_u}^T. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

In the previous equation, we implicitly exploited the fact that (3.46) is the composition of two commuting actions: a scalar multiplication and a pushforward. Meanwhile, by the splitting of the complete lift in (2.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^T &= \xi^{\widetilde{\nabla}} + \text{vert}((\widetilde{\nabla}\xi)\Phi_{T\widetilde{M}}) = \xi^{\widetilde{\nabla}} + \text{vert}(\Phi_{T\widetilde{M}}), \\ (I\xi)^T &= (I\xi)^{\widetilde{\nabla}} + \text{vert}((\widetilde{\nabla}(I\xi))\Phi_{T\widetilde{M}}) = (I\xi)^{\widetilde{\nabla}} + \text{vert}(I\Phi_{T\widetilde{M}}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Thus, we find that $Y^1 = \xi^{\widetilde{\nabla}}$ and $Y^i = (I\xi)^{\widetilde{\nabla}}$, so the horizontal lifts indeed generate a \mathbb{C}^\times -action on $T\widetilde{M}$.

Moreover, from our earlier discussion on connections in §2.3, we know that the flows of the horizontal lifts of ξ and $I\xi$ are precisely the parallel transport along the integral curves of ξ and $I\xi$. A section X of TM is invariant under such parallel transport if and only if $\widetilde{\nabla}_\xi X$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}_{I\xi} X$ vanish. \square

3.3 Variations of Hodge structure

We will now build up the definition of variation of polarised Hodge structure in several steps. Although the definitions are motivated by the cohomology groups of a non-singular complex variety and their deformation theory, we take an abstract differential-geometric approach along the lines of [Sim88]. In particular, we relax the integrality condition that is often included as part of the definition of Hodge structures.

Definition 3.16 (Hodge structure). A Hodge structure on a real vector space V of weight $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a decomposition of its complexification

$$V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=d} V^{p,q} \quad (3.49)$$

satisfying $\overline{V^{p,q}} = V^{q,p}$.

We will only be considering Hodge structures of positive weight such that $V^{p,q} = 0$ whenever either p or q is negative.

Example 3.17. Let K be a compact Kähler manifold. Then the Dolbeault theorem tells us that the d -th de Rham cohomology $H_{\text{dR}}^d(K)$ admits a Hodge structure of weight d , namely

$$H_{\text{dR}}^d(K; \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=d} H^q(K; \Omega^p) = \bigoplus_{p+q=d} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(K), \quad (3.50)$$

where Ω^p denotes the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms and $\bar{\partial}$ denotes the Dolbeault operator.

Definition 3.18 (Polarised Hodge structure). A polarised Hodge structure of weight d on a real vector space V , denoted by $(V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}, Q)$, is the data of a Hodge structure $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V^{p,q}$ of weight d on V together with a \mathbb{C} -bilinear form Q on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} Q(v_1, v_2) &= (-1)^d Q(v_2, v_1) && \text{for } v_1, v_2 \in V_{\mathbb{C}}; \\ Q(v_1, v_2) &= 0 && \text{for } v_1 \in V^{p,q}, v_2 \in V^{p',q'}, p \neq q'; \\ i^{p-q} Q(\bar{v}, v) &> 0 && \text{for } v \in V^{p,q}, v \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Example 3.19. Let the compact Kähler manifold K considered in Example 3.17 be of complex dimension n . Then the cohomology group $H_{\text{dR}}^d(K)$, with $d \leq n$, has a natural bilinear pairing given by

$$Q(\alpha, \beta) = \int_K \omega^{n-d} \wedge \beta \wedge \alpha. \quad (3.52)$$

The Lefschetz operator is compatible with this pairing, and therefore it induces a bilinear pairing on the subspace of primitive cohomology. Notice that the primitive cohomology inherits a Hodge decomposition, and the induced bilinear

pairing Q on it satisfies all three conditions in (3.51) (cf. §7.1.2 of [Voi07]), the last one being a consequence of the Hodge–Riemann bilinear relations.

In particular, when K is a simply connected Calabi–Yau manifold of complex dimension 3, all 3-forms are primitive, so the whole of $H_{\text{dR}}^3(K)$ admits a polarised Hodge structure with the aforementioned pairing.

Next we will consider families of such polarised Hodge structures that are parametrised by some complex manifold.

Definition 3.20 (Variation of polarised Hodge structure). A variation of polarised Hodge structure (VPHS) $(E_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus E^{p,q}, Q, \nabla)$ of weight d on a complex manifold M is a real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ together with a flat connection ∇ , a ∇ -parallel bilinear form $Q \in \Gamma(E_{\mathbb{C}}^* \otimes E_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$, and a decomposition of complex vector bundles

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=d} E^{p,q}, \quad (3.53)$$

such that the fibre E_m over a point $m \in M$ carries a polarised Hodge structure $((E_m)_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus E_m^{p,q}, Q_m)$ of weight d and ∇ gives a map

$$\nabla: \Gamma(E^{p,q}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(E^{p+1,q-1}) \oplus \Omega^{1,0}(E^{p,q}) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(E^{p,q}) \oplus \Omega^{1,0}(E^{p-1,q+1}). \quad (3.54)$$

The connection ∇ is called *Gauß–Manin connection*, while (3.54) is called *Griffiths transversality condition*.

Example 3.21. Consider a holomorphic fibre bundle $K \rightarrow M$ such that fibres are (simply connected, compact) Calabi–Yau 3-folds. This can be regarded as a family $\{K_m\}_{m \in M}$ of Calabi–Yau 3-folds holomorphically parametrised by points m of M . The de Rham cohomology groups $H_{\text{dR}}^3(K_m)$, then produce a bundle of polarised Hodge structures of weight 3.

Moreover, for any contractible $U \subseteq M$, we can choose a family of submanifolds $C^i \subseteq K|_U$ such that $C_m^i := C^i \cap K_m$ is a compact submanifold of K_m of real dimension 3, and furthermore, $\{[C_m^i]\}_i$ is a basis of homology classes of the free part of $H_3(K_m)$. This gives a dual basis of 3-forms $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ in $H_{\text{dR}}^3(K_m)$.

Two different choices of basis $\{C_m^i \subset K_m\}_i$ and $\{C_m^{i'} \subset K_m\}_i$ are related by an integral (and hence locally constant) linear transformation. Thus, the associated dual bases of 3-forms $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ and $\{\alpha_{i'}\}_i$ are also related by a locally constant linear transformation. This then defines a flat connection on the bundle $\{H_{\text{dR}}^3(K_m)\}_{m \in M}$ that preserves the natural polarisation Q . Deducing the Griffiths transversality condition requires a bit more work, cf. §10.2.2 of [Voi07].

On a variation of Hodge structure with odd weight, there are two natural complex structures I_G and I_W , respectively called the *Griffiths* and *Weil* complex structures, defined on a section $\sigma \in \Gamma(E^{p,q})$ by

$$I_G X = \text{sign}(p-q)iX, \quad I_W X = i^{p-q}X. \quad (3.55)$$

These, in turn, give rise to two Hermitian structures h_G and h_W respectively called the *Griffiths* and *Weil* hermitian forms defined by

$$h_G = Q(\cdot, I_G \cdot) + iQ, \quad h_W = Q(\cdot, I_W \cdot) + iQ. \quad (3.56)$$

Notice that both forms have Q as imaginary part.

We will now show that the notion of PSK structure has an equivalent reformulation in terms of certain VPHS of weight 3. We mainly follow the chain of reasoning given by Freed in [Fre99, Proposition 4.6 (p. 46)], but work out the correspondence much more explicitly.

We first show that PSK structures $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ give rise to a certain VPHS of weight 3 on M .

Proposition 3.22. *Let $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a PSK manifold and let L be the holomorphic line bundle associated to the principal \mathbb{C}^\times -bundle $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ described in Remark 3.10. Then M carries a VPHS of weight 3 given by the direct sum*

$$L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M) \oplus (\overline{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M) \oplus \overline{L}. \quad (3.57)$$

Proof. A PSK manifold M may be given in terms of a principal \mathbb{C}^\times -bundle $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ which is CSK and so equipped with a flat torsion-free connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$. Let \underline{E} be the quotient of $T\widetilde{M}$ by the $\widetilde{\nabla}$ -horizontal lift of the principal \mathbb{C}^\times -action on \widetilde{M} (cf. Lemma 3.15). The complexification $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is then the corresponding quotient of $T\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and forms a complex vector bundle over M . We shall show that this is equipped with the Hodge decomposition as in (3.57).

In order to do so, we first need to describe the bundle $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ more explicitly. First of all, we prove that there is a bundle isomorphism

$$\phi: T\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow (L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M) \oplus (\overline{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M) \oplus \overline{L})_{\pi}. \quad (3.58)$$

Recall that we can identify \widetilde{M} with $L \setminus \{0\}$, cf. Remark 3.10. With the above identification, it makes sense to talk of the tautological section Φ_L of the pull-back bundle L_{π} . We define ϕ separately on the holomorphic and antiholomorphic part by the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{1,0} &= \chi \otimes \Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_*: T^{1,0}\widetilde{M} \longrightarrow (L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M))_{\pi}, \\ \phi^{0,1} &= \overline{\Phi}_L \otimes \pi_* + \overline{\chi} \otimes \overline{\Phi}_L: T^{0,1}\widetilde{M} \longrightarrow ((\overline{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M) \oplus \overline{L})_{\pi}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

so that $\phi = \phi^{1,0} + \phi^{0,1}$. Explicitly,

$$\phi(X) = \chi(X)\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_*X^{1,0} + \overline{\Phi}_L \otimes \pi_*X^{0,1} + \overline{\chi}(X)\overline{\Phi}_L. \quad (3.60)$$

We will now describe an inverse for $\phi^{1,0}$. The tautological section Φ_L is a global one for the bundle L_{π} , so, in order to describe a map from $(L \oplus L \otimes TM^{1,0})_{\pi}$ to $T^{1,0}\widetilde{M}$, it is enough to see how it acts on $f\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes X$ with $f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M}, \mathbb{C})$ and X a section of $(T^{1,0}M)_{\pi}$. The inverse of $\phi^{1,0}$ is given by

$$f\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes X \longmapsto f\zeta + X^\chi. \quad (3.61)$$

Analogously, we may show that $\phi^{0,1}$ has the inverse

$$f\overline{\Phi}_L + \overline{\Phi}_L \otimes X \longmapsto f\overline{\zeta} + X\overline{\chi}. \quad (3.62)$$

Thus, ϕ is invertible.

We will now prove that ϕ descends through the quotient to an isomorphism

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} \cong L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M) \oplus (\overline{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M) \oplus \overline{L}. \quad (3.63)$$

Let us recall that two vector bundles are isomorphic if and only if their sheaves of sections are. By Lemma 3.15, we know that sections of E are in bijective correspondence with sections $X \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$ such that $\widetilde{\nabla}X$ vanishes on the vertical vector fields ξ and $I\xi$. Equivalently,

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_{\zeta}X = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\overline{\zeta}}X = 0. \quad (3.64)$$

This, in turn, is equivalent to saying that we have the Lie derivatives

$$\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}X = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\zeta}X - \widetilde{\nabla}_X\zeta = -X^{1,0}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\zeta}}X = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\overline{\zeta}}X - \widetilde{\nabla}_X\overline{\zeta} = -X^{0,1}. \quad (3.65)$$

Applying π_* to these equations, we obtain for all $p \in M$, a system of differential equations for π_*X interpreted as a function $\widetilde{M}_p \rightarrow T_pM$. By solving them, we can say that, given $u \in \widetilde{M}_p$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, we have

$$(\pi_*X)_{\lambda u} = \lambda^{-1}(\pi_*X^{1,0})_u + \overline{\lambda}^{-1}(\pi_*X^{0,1})_u. \quad (3.66)$$

The identification of \widetilde{M} as a subbundle of L also gives

$$(\Phi_L)_{\lambda u} = \lambda u = \lambda(\Phi_L)_u. \quad (3.67)$$

If (3.65) holds, then, by Lemma 3.12, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}(\chi(X)) = -\chi(X^{1,0}) = -\chi(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\zeta}}(\chi(X)) = -\chi(X^{0,1}) = 0$, which imply

$$\chi(X)_{\lambda u} = \lambda^{-1}\chi(X)_u. \quad (3.68)$$

Similarly, with $\overline{\chi}$ we obtain

$$\overline{\chi}(X)_{\lambda u} = \overline{\lambda}^{-1}\overline{\chi}(X)_u. \quad (3.69)$$

Combining (3.68), (3.69), (3.67) and (3.66), we get

$$\phi(X)_{\lambda u} = \phi(X)_u. \quad (3.70)$$

The same can be said for $\phi^{1,0}(X)$ and $\phi^{0,1}(X)$. In other words, $\phi(X)$ is the pullback of some section of

$$L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M) \oplus (\overline{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M) \oplus \overline{L}. \quad (3.71)$$

Thus, we may identify this bundle with $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. In particular, we get a Hodge decomposition for $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ given by

$$E^{3,0} = L, \quad E^{2,1} = L \otimes T^{1,0}M, \quad E^{1,2} = \overline{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M, \quad E^{0,3} = \overline{L}. \quad (3.72)$$

Next, we describe in terms of $\tilde{\omega}$ the bilinear form Q , which for a VPHS of degree 3 is skew-symmetric. Note that the symplectic form $\tilde{\omega}$ is $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel, so it descends to a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. We define Q to be this skew-symmetric bilinear form.

Let h_L and h_M be the Hermitian forms defined on L and $TM_{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively. Then, $-h_L + h_L \otimes h_M$ may be regarded as a bilinear form on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & (-h_L + h_L \otimes h_M)(\phi(X), \phi(Y)) \\ &= -\bar{\chi}(X)\chi(Y)h_L(\bar{\Phi}_L, \Phi_L) + h_L(\bar{\Phi}_L, \Phi_L)\pi^*h_M(X^{0,1}, Y^{1,0}) \quad (3.73) \\ &= -r^2\bar{\chi}(X)\chi(Y) + r^2\pi^*h_M(X, Y) = \tilde{h}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\text{Alt}(-h_L + h_L \otimes h_M)$ corresponds to $i\tilde{\omega}$, from which we infer

$$Q = i \text{Alt}(h_L - h_L \otimes h_M). \quad (3.74)$$

Since h_M and h_L are positive-definite, it follows that

$$i^{3-0}Q(\bar{s}_1, s_1) = \frac{1}{2}h_L(\bar{s}_1, s_1) > 0, \quad i^{2-1}Q(\bar{s}_2, s_2) = \frac{1}{2}(h_L \otimes h_M)(\bar{s}_2, s_2) \quad (3.75)$$

for all local non-vanishing sections s_1 and s_2 of L and $L \otimes T^{1,0}M$ respectively.

Finally, we describe the Gauß–Manin connection and show that it satisfies the Griffiths transversality condition. To describe the Gauß–Manin connection ∇ , we first show that any connection ∇' satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_\zeta \nabla' = -\frac{i}{2} \nabla' I, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \nabla' = \frac{i}{2} \nabla' I, \quad (3.76)$$

is the pullback of some connection on E .

Let X be a vector field on \tilde{M} descending to a section of E , and hence satisfying $\mathcal{L}_\zeta X = -X^{1,0}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} X = -X^{0,1}$. Let Y be a vector field on \tilde{M} such that $\pi_* Y$ is the pullback of some section of TM , and thus $\mathcal{L}_\zeta Y = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} Y = 0$. As a consequence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\zeta(\nabla'_Y X) &= (\mathcal{L}_\zeta \nabla')_Y X + \nabla'_{\mathcal{L}_\zeta Y} X + \nabla'_Y(\mathcal{L}_\zeta X) = -\frac{i}{2}(\nabla'_Y I)X - \nabla'_Y X^{1,0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}[\text{id} - iI, \nabla'_Y]X - \frac{1}{2}\nabla'_Y((\text{id} - iI)X) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} - iI)(\nabla'_Y(X)) = -(\nabla'_Y(X))^{1,0}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}}(\nabla'_Y X) &= (\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \nabla')_Y X + \nabla'_{\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} Y} X + \nabla'_Y(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} X) = \frac{i}{2}(\nabla'_Y I)X - \nabla'_Y X^{0,1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}[\text{id} + iI, \nabla'_Y]X - \frac{1}{2}\nabla'_Y((\text{id} + iI)X) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\text{id} + iI)(\nabla'_Y(X)) = -(\nabla'_Y(X))^{0,1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

So, the vector field $\nabla'_Y X$ also descends to a section of E . Thus, ∇' is a pullback connection.

As both the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}$ as well as the special connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ satisfy the property (3.76), they are pullbacks of connections $\widehat{\nabla}$ and ∇ on E . As a local basis of $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel vector fields on \widetilde{M} descends to a local basis of sections of E which are ∇ -parallel, we conclude that ∇ is a flat connection. Moreover, as Q descends from $\tilde{\omega}$, which is $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel, it follows that Q is ∇ -parallel.

The only thing remaining to be checked is that ∇ satisfies the Griffiths transversality condition. For this, we need to obtain an explicit expression for ∇ . In fact, it is more convenient to first obtain an explicit expression for $\widehat{\nabla}$. Note that by Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{1,0}(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X) \\
&= \chi(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X)\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_*(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X)^{1,0} \\
&= d(\chi(X))(Y)\Phi_L - (\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} \chi)(X)\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_*(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X)^{1,0} \\
&= \nabla_Y^\chi(\chi(X)\Phi_L) - \chi(X)\nabla_Y^\chi \Phi_L - (\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} \chi)(X)\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_*(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X^{1,0}) \\
&= \nabla_Y^\chi(\chi(X)\Phi_L) + (-\chi(X)\chi(Y) + \chi(Y)\chi(X) + \pi^* h_M(Y, X))\Phi_L \\
&\quad + \Phi_L \otimes (\nabla_Y^{g_M} \pi_* X^{1,0} + \pi_*(\chi(Y)X^{1,0} + \chi(X)Y^{1,0})) \\
&= \nabla_Y^\chi(\chi(X)\Phi_L) + \pi^* h_M(Y, X)\Phi_L + \nabla_Y^{\chi, g_M}(\Phi_L \otimes X^{1,0}) + \chi(X)\Phi_L \otimes \pi_* Y^{1,0},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

where ∇^{χ, g_M} is the tensor product of ∇^χ and ∇^{g_M} . Thus, if we define a connection $\widehat{\nabla}^{1,0}$ on $L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M)$ to be given by

$$\widehat{\nabla}_Y^{1,0}(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \otimes Z) = \nabla_Y^\chi \sigma_1 + \nabla_Y^{\chi, g_M}(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) + h_M(Y, Z)\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 \otimes Y^{1,0}, \tag{3.79}$$

with $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Gamma(L)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M)$ being holomorphic sections, then we have

$$\phi^{1,0}(\nabla_Y^{\tilde{g}} X) = \widehat{\nabla}_Y^{1,0}(\phi^{1,0}(X)). \tag{3.80}$$

This is therefore the $\phi^{1,0}$ part of the connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ induced on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ by the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\tilde{g}}$. A similar computation on the $\phi^{0,1}$ part tells us that the full connection $\widehat{\nabla}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\nabla}_Y(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \otimes Z + \bar{\sigma}_2 \otimes \bar{W} + \bar{\sigma}_1) \\
&= \nabla_Y^\chi \sigma_1 + h_M(Y, Z)\sigma_2 + \nabla_Y^{\chi, g_M}(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) + \sigma_1 \otimes Y^{1,0} \\
&\quad + \nabla_Y^{\bar{\chi}, g_M}(\bar{\sigma}_2 \otimes \bar{W}) + \bar{\sigma}_1 \otimes Y^{0,1} + \nabla_Y^{\bar{\chi}} \bar{\sigma}_1 + h_M(W, Y)\bar{\sigma}_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.81}$$

We know that the holomorphic difference tensor $\tilde{\eta}$ descends to the intrinsic deviance $\eta: L \otimes L \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2 S_{3,0}M$. Using the Hermitian form h_L^{-1} on L^* to make an identification $L^* \cong \bar{L}$, we obtain maps

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_L^{-1} \circ \eta \in \Omega^{1,0}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L \otimes T^{1,0}M, \bar{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M)), \\
& \bar{h}_L^{-1} \circ \bar{\eta} \in \Omega^{0,1}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M, L \otimes T^{1,0}M)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.82}$$

Thus, the Gauß–Manin connection ∇ on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_Y(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \otimes Z + \bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W} + \bar{\zeta}_1) \\ &= \widehat{\nabla}_Y(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \otimes Z + \bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W} + \bar{\zeta}_1) \\ & \quad + (h_L^{-1} \circ \eta)_Y(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) + (\bar{h}_L^{-1} \circ \bar{\eta})_Y(\bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

The fact that ∇ satisfies the Griffiths transversality condition may now be made manifest by rearranging the terms of the above expression as

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_Y(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \otimes Z + \bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W} + \bar{\zeta}_1) \\ &= \nabla_Y^{\chi} \sigma_1 + h_M(Y^{0,1}, Z)\sigma_2 + \nabla_Y^{\chi, g_M}(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) + \sigma_1 \otimes Y^{1,0} \\ & \quad + \nabla_Y^{\bar{\chi}, g_M}(\bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W}) + \bar{\zeta}_1 \otimes Y^{0,1} + \nabla_Y^{\bar{\chi}} \bar{\zeta}_1 + h_M(W, Y^{1,0})\bar{\zeta}_2 \\ & \quad + (h_L^{-1} \circ \eta)_{Y^{1,0}}(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) + (\bar{h}_L^{-1} \circ \bar{\eta})_{Y^{0,1}}(\bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W}) \\ &= \nabla_Y^{\chi} \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \otimes Y^{1,0} \\ & \quad + h_M(Y^{0,1}, Z)\sigma_2 + \nabla_Y^{\chi, g_M}(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) + (h_L^{-1} \circ \eta)_{Y^{1,0}}(\sigma_2 \otimes Z) \\ & \quad + (\bar{h}_L^{-1} \circ \bar{\eta})_{Y^{0,1}}(\bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W}) + \nabla_Y^{\bar{\chi}, g_M}(\bar{\zeta}_2 \otimes \bar{W}) + h_M(W, Y^{1,0})\bar{\zeta}_2 \\ & \quad + \bar{\zeta}_1 \otimes Y^{0,1} + \nabla_Y^{\bar{\chi}} \bar{\zeta}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

□

A physical interpretation of the decomposition (3.57) was given in [BCOV94, Equation (2.21) (p. 328)]. Moreover, (3.84) is equivalent to the Picard–Fuchs equations described in [CDF⁺97, Equation (1.4) (p. 283)].

Remark 3.23. By the isomorphism (3.58), we have also proven that $T\widetilde{M} \cong E_{\pi}$.

Remark 3.24. On this VPHS, the Griffiths and Weil Hermitian forms are

$$h_G = -h_L + h_L \otimes h_M, \quad h_W = \bar{h}_L + h_L \otimes h_M. \quad (3.85)$$

As expected, the imaginary part of the two forms are the same, whereas the real part of h_W corresponds to the real part of h_G via a signature change that makes it positive definite.

Now that we know how to obtain variations of polarised Hodge structure from PSK structures, we next show the converse, i.e. that VPHS of the above type give rise to a PSK structure $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 3.25. *Let M be a Kähler manifold with an integral Kähler form ω_M equipped with a VPHS $(E_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus E^{p,q}, Q, \nabla)$ of weight 3 of the form*

$$E_{\mathbb{C}} = L \oplus (L \otimes T^{1,0}M) \oplus (\bar{L} \otimes T^{0,1}M) \oplus \bar{L}, \quad (3.86)$$

where L is a complex line bundle with curvature $-2i\omega_M$. Furthermore, suppose ∇ is real with respect to the canonical real structure and let the projection of $\nabla_Y \sigma$ onto $L \otimes T^{1,0}M$ be $\sigma \otimes Y^{1,0}$ for any sections σ and Y of L and TM respectively. Then, M admits a PSK structure.

Proof. First of all, notice that by Griffiths transversality and the integrability of I on M , the exterior covariant derivative associated to ∇ splits as

$$d^\nabla : \Omega^{p,q}(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow \Omega^{p+1,q}(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \oplus \Omega^{p,q+1}(E), \quad (3.87)$$

for all p, q . The flatness of ∇ implies that the anti-holomorphic projection $\bar{\partial}_E : \Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(E_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet,\bullet+1}(E_{\mathbb{C}})$ squares to zero, making $\bar{\partial}_E$ a Dolbeault operator on $E_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, again by (3.54), the restriction of $\bar{\partial}_E$ to L -valued forms has image in $\Omega^{\bullet,\bullet}(L)$, and thus, it defines a Dolbeault operator $\bar{\partial}_L$ on L , giving it the structure of a holomorphic line bundle. Furthermore, the bilinear form $-2iQ$ restricted to $\bar{L} \otimes L$ gives a (positive-definite) hermitian form h_L on L .

Let ∇^\times be the linear connection on L induced by restricting ∇ to L . In other words, $\nabla_Y^\times \sigma$ is the restriction of $\nabla_Y \sigma$ to L , for all $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma(L)$. By definition, ∇^\times is compatible with the holomorphic structure on L , and, since ∇ preserves Q , the connection ∇^\times preserves h_L . In fact, for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Gamma(L)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(h_L(\bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma_2)) &= -2i d(Q(\bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma_2)) = -2iQ(\overline{\nabla_Y \sigma_1}, \sigma_2) - 2iQ(\bar{\sigma}_1, \nabla_Y \sigma_2) \\ &= h_L(\overline{\nabla_Y^\times \sigma_1}, \sigma_2) + h_L(\bar{\sigma}_1, \nabla_Y^\times \sigma_2) = h_L(\nabla_Y^\times \bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma_2) + h_L(\bar{\sigma}_1, \nabla_Y^\times \sigma_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

The connection ∇^\times is thus the Chern connection on L . From the hypotheses, we also have

$$\nabla_Y \sigma = \nabla_Y^\times \sigma + \sigma \otimes Y^{1,0}. \quad (3.89)$$

We now take $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ to be $L \rightarrow M$ with the zero section removed and show that it has all the requisite structure. Note that \widetilde{M} can be thought of as the frame bundle for L and so it is a principal \mathbb{C}^\times -bundle associated to the line bundle L . Let ζ be its fundamental vector field. Moreover, the Chern connection ∇^\times on L gives a principal connection χ on the principal \mathbb{C}^\times -bundle \widetilde{M} so that

$$\nabla_Y^\times \Phi_L = \chi(Y) \Phi_L. \quad (3.90)$$

Consider the map $\phi: T\widetilde{M} \rightarrow E_\pi$ given by the explicit formula

$$\phi(X) = \chi(X) \Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_* X^{1,0} + \bar{\Phi}_L \otimes \pi_* X^{0,1} + \bar{\chi}(X) \bar{\Phi}_L. \quad (3.91)$$

This has an inverse $\phi^{-1}: E_\pi \rightarrow T\widetilde{M}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{-1}(f\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes Y^{1,0} + \bar{\Phi}_L \otimes Y^{0,1} + \bar{f}\bar{\Phi}_L) \\ = f\zeta + (Y^{1,0})^\chi + (Y^{0,1})^{\bar{\chi}} + \bar{f}\bar{\zeta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.92)$$

Thus, we have an identification of vector bundles $T\widetilde{M} \cong E_\pi$ over \widetilde{M} . Under this identification, the bilinear form Q and connection ∇ define a 2-form $\widetilde{\omega}$ on \widetilde{M} and a connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ preserving it. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_X \zeta &= \phi^{-1}(\nabla_X \Phi_L) = \phi^{-1}(\nabla_X^\times \Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_* X^{1,0}) \\ &= \phi^{-1}(\chi(X) \Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_* X^{1,0}) = \chi(X) \zeta + (\pi_* X^{1,0})^\chi = X^{1,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.93)$$

A similar argument shows $\widetilde{\nabla}_X \bar{\zeta} = X^{0,1}$.

Finally, \widetilde{M} can be given the following hermitian structure

$$\widetilde{h} = \widetilde{g} + i\widetilde{\omega} = q(\pi^* h_M - \bar{\chi} \otimes \chi), \quad q := h_L(\bar{\Phi}_L, \Phi_L). \quad (3.94)$$

In order to simplify the imminent calculations, we compute

$$dq = h_L(\overline{\nabla^X \Phi_L}, \Phi_L) + h_L(\bar{\Phi}_L, \nabla^X \Phi_L) = h_L(\bar{\Phi}_L, \Phi_L)(\bar{\chi} + \chi) = q(\bar{\chi} + \chi). \quad (3.95)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\widetilde{\omega} &= d(q(\pi^* \omega_M + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\chi} \wedge \chi)) \\ &= q(\bar{\chi} + \chi) \wedge (\pi^* \omega_M + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\chi} \wedge \chi) + q(-\pi^* \omega_M \wedge \chi - \pi^* \omega_M \wedge \chi) = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{g} &= \mathcal{L}_\zeta(q(\pi^* g_M - \bar{\chi} \chi)) = \mathcal{L}_\zeta q(\pi^* g_M - \bar{\chi} \chi) = \iota_\zeta dq(\pi^* g_M - \bar{\chi} \chi) = \widetilde{g}, \\ d\iota_\zeta \widetilde{g} &= -\frac{1}{2} d(q\bar{\chi}) = -\frac{1}{2} (dq \wedge \bar{\chi} + q d\bar{\chi}) = -\frac{1}{2} q(\chi \wedge \bar{\chi} + 2i\pi^* \omega_M) = -i\widetilde{\omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.96)$$

Using the version of the Koszul formula in terms of the Lie derivative, we obtain

$$2\widetilde{g}(\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} \zeta, \cdot) = \mathcal{L}_\zeta \widetilde{g} + d\iota_\zeta \widetilde{g} = \widetilde{g} - i\widetilde{\omega} = \widetilde{g}(\text{id} - iI, \cdot) = 2\widetilde{g}(\pi^{1,0}, \cdot). \quad (3.97)$$

This implies $\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} \zeta = \pi^{1,0}$, and similarly we obtain $\nabla^{\widetilde{g}} \bar{\zeta} = \pi^{0,1}$.

This then gives \widetilde{M} the structure of a CSK manifold. Hence, we have a PSK structure $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$. \square

The VPHS $(E_C = \bigoplus E^{p,q}, Q, \nabla)$ is completely determined by the choice of a Kähler structure (g_M, I, ω_M) on M , a line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ with Hermitian form h_L such that the curvature of its Chern connection is $-2i\omega_M$, and an intrinsic deviance $\eta \in \Gamma(L^* \otimes L^* \otimes \#_2 S_{3,0}M)$. The intrinsic deviance goes into the data of the Gauß–Manin connection ∇ through (3.84). The flatness condition then is equivalent to the following equations:

$$R^{g_M} + R_{\mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}^n} + \langle h_L^{-1} \otimes h_L^{-1}, [\eta \wedge \bar{\eta}] \rangle = 0, \quad d^{X, g_M} \eta = 0. \quad (3.98)$$

Here, $\langle h_L^{-1} \otimes h_L^{-1}, [\eta \wedge \bar{\eta}] \rangle$ is to be interpreted as $(h_L^{-1} \otimes h_L^{-1} \otimes [\cdot \wedge \cdot])(\bar{\eta} \otimes \eta)$,

$$d^{X, g_M} : \Omega^1(M, L^* \otimes L^* \otimes T^{0,1}M \otimes T_{1,0}M) \longrightarrow \Omega^2(M, (L^* \otimes L^* \otimes T^{0,1}M \otimes T_{1,0}M)) \quad (3.99)$$

is the exterior covariant derivative with respect to ∇^{X, g_M} , and $R_{\mathbf{P}_\mathbb{C}^n}$ is formally the Riemann curvature of the complex projective space. This is more or less the characterisation of PSK manifolds given in of [Man21, Theorem 7.6 (p. 21)]. Strictly speaking, the equations **D1** and **D2** appearing there are the pullback of the above equations along some section of L with unit norm.

Example 3.26. Let M be the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ (for a detailed definition see e.g. [Man21, §9]) and let L be its tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ (not to be confused with tautological pullback bundles). The curvature R^{g_M} is then $-R_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n}$, leaving us with the following equation for the intrinsic deviance η :

$$\langle h_L^{-1} \otimes h_L^{-1}, [\eta \wedge \bar{\eta}] \rangle = 0, \quad d^{\chi, g_M} \eta = 0. \quad (3.100)$$

Notice that $\eta = 0$ is a solution of the above (in fact the only one, see e.g. [Man21, Proposition 9.3 (p. 31)]). The resulting special connection ∇ pulls back to $E_{\pi} \cong T\widetilde{M}$ to give a connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ that coincides with the Levi-Civita connection associated to the standard flat indefinite metric of signature $(2n, 2)$ on $\widetilde{M} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

Let us work this out more explicitly in terms of the standard coordinate chart $z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M} &= \{(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid 0 < |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 < |z_0|^2\}, \\ \widetilde{g} &= \sum_{i=1}^n |dz_i|^2 - |dz_0|^2, \quad \zeta = \sum_{i=0}^n z_i \partial_{z_i}, \quad \chi = \frac{\bar{z}_0 dz_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i dz_i}{|z_0|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.101)$$

The special connection $\widetilde{\nabla} = \nabla^{\widetilde{g}}$ on $T\widetilde{M}$ admits a basis of globally defined parallel sections $\{\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{\bar{z}_i}\}_{i=0}^n$. This induces an explicit basis of sections parallel with respect to the connection ∇ on E (which characterises the connection).

First of all, let us note that since z_0 is nowhere vanishing on \widetilde{M} , we can define a global complex coordinate chart $X = (X_i)_{i=1}^n = (z_i/z_0)_{i=1}^n$ on $M = \widetilde{M}/\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Furthermore, the section $z_0^{-1}\Phi_L$ of L_{π} may be seen to be the pullback of some section of L . Let us define a section σ of $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ so that

$$\sigma_{\pi} = \frac{z_0^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|^2}} \Phi_L = \frac{z_0^{-1}}{\sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2}} \Phi_L. \quad (3.102)$$

The point of including the extra factor before Φ_L is so that we have $h_L(\bar{\sigma}, \sigma) = 1$. In terms of the section σ and coordinates X_i , the $\widetilde{\nabla}$ -parallel sections $\{\partial_{z_i}, \partial_{\bar{z}_i}\}_{i=0}^n$ of $T\widetilde{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ correspond to the following ∇ -parallel sections of $E_{\mathbb{C}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2}} \sigma - \sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2} \sigma \otimes \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \partial_{X_j}, \\ s_j &= -\frac{\bar{X}_j}{\sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2}} \sigma + \sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2} \sigma \otimes \partial_{X_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \bar{s}_0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2}} \bar{\sigma} - \sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2} \bar{\sigma} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{X}_j \partial_{\bar{X}_j}, \\ \bar{s}_j &= -\frac{X_j}{\sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2}} \bar{\sigma} + \sqrt{1 - \|X\|^2} \bar{\sigma} \otimes \partial_{\bar{X}_j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.103)$$

Notice that these sections satisfy $Q(\bar{s}_i, s_j) = \frac{1}{2i}(-1)^{\delta_{i,0}}\delta_{ij}$, and for the Griffiths hermitian form we have

$$h_G(\bar{s}_i, s_j) = Q(\bar{s}_i, I_G s_j) + iQ(\bar{s}_i, s_j) = 2iQ(\bar{s}_i, s_j) = (-1)^{\delta_{i,0}}\delta_{ij}. \quad (3.104)$$

We can finally write the Kähler structure on M with respect to the coordinate system $X = (X_i)_{i=1}^n$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} h_M &= \frac{\tilde{h}}{-\tilde{h}(\bar{\zeta}, \zeta)} + \bar{\chi} \otimes \chi \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d\bar{z}_i \otimes dz_i - d\bar{z}_0 \otimes dz_0}{|z_0|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(z_0 d\bar{z}_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i d\bar{z}_i) \otimes (\bar{z}_0 dz_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j dz_j)}{(|z_0|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2)^2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\bar{X}_i \otimes dX_i}{1 - \|X\|^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{X_i d\bar{X}_i \otimes \bar{X}_j dX_j}{(1 - \|X\|^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.105)$$

4 The twist construction

In this section, we will show that under proper circumstances, the twist construction reduces to a quotient by the action of a finite cyclic group (Lemma 4.2). In addition, we explicitly determine how the pushforward of a vector field through the quotient map relates to its twist (Lemma 4.4).

The *twist construction* was introduced by Swann in order to unify and generalise several differential-geometric constructions arising from T-duality in physics. The construction takes as input the following *twist data* on a manifold W : a vector field Z generating a $U(1)$ -action, an integral closed 2-form ω with respect to which Z is Hamiltonian, and a choice of a Hamiltonian function f that is nowhere vanishing. Its output is another manifold W' with a $U(1)$ -action, together with a bijective correspondence between $U(1)$ -invariant tensor fields on W and W' .

Very roughly, the way this is achieved is by building a principal $U(1)$ -bundle $P \rightarrow W$ on top of W , lifting the given $U(1)$ -action on W to a $U(1)$ -action on P (different from the principal $U(1)$ -action), and then quotienting P by this lifted $U(1)$ -action. The principal $U(1)$ -action on P then descends to the quotient W' .

Proposition 4.1 ([Swa10] Propositions 2.1, 2.3, [MS15] §4). *Given twist data (Z, ω, f) , there exists a principal $U(1)$ -bundle $p_W^P: P \rightarrow W$ with principal connection θ_P having curvature ω and principal fundamental vector field X_P such that the lift*

$$Z_P = Z^{\theta_P} + f X_P \quad (4.1)$$

of Z , generates a $U(1)$ -action on P and so gives a well-defined quotient map

$$p_{W'}^P: P \longrightarrow W' := P/\langle Z_P \rangle, \quad (4.2)$$

such that the principal $U(1)$ -action on P descends to a $U(1)$ -action on W' . Furthermore, the θ_P -horizontal lift of any Z -invariant vector field on M and the pullback of any Z -invariant function on W to P descend to a well-defined $U(1)$ -invariant vector field and a well-defined $U(1)$ -invariant function on W' respectively.

The twist correspondence assigns to a Z -invariant vector field X on W the well-defined vector field X' on W' such that $(p_{W'}^P)_* X^{\theta_P} = X'$. The correspondence also assigns to a Z -invariant function h on W a unique function h' on W' such that the pullbacks of h and h' to P agree. We call X' and h' *twists* of X and h respectively. We write this as

$$\text{tw}(X) = X', \quad \text{tw}(h) = h'. \quad (4.3)$$

By stipulating compatibility with tensor products and contractions, this map can be extended to arbitrary $U(1)$ -invariant tensor fields. In particular, for differential forms α on W and α' on W' , we have $\text{tw}(\alpha) = \alpha'$ if and only if $(p_W^P)^* \alpha - (p_{W'}^P)^* \alpha'$ vanishes on θ_P -horizontal vector fields.

Since we aim to show that for a certain class of twist data we can obtain the twisted manifold as a quotient by a discrete group, we will briefly recall some facts on this matter.

Given a principal G -bundle $\pi_S: S \rightarrow B$, and a normal subgroup $H \subseteq G$, the quotient S/H has an induced structure of a principal G/H -bundle.

We specialise to $G = U(1)$ and $H = \mathbb{Z}_k$, regarded as the group of k -th roots of unity in $U(1)$. In this case, the quotient $U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_k$ is isomorphic to $U(1)$ itself via the isomorphism $[x] \mapsto x^k$. So, $S_k := S/\mathbb{Z}_k$ is also a principal $U(1)$ -bundle $\pi_k: S_k \rightarrow B$ with the $U(1)$ -action on it given by

$$q(u) \cdot e^{it} = q(u \cdot e^{it/k}). \quad (4.4)$$

Here, u is a point in S and $q: S \rightarrow S_k$ is the quotient map.

Notice also that a principal connection φ on S induces a unique principal connection φ_k on S_k , that is, it has the property that q_* maps horizontal vector fields to horizontal ones. We now want to compare φ and φ_k , and the fundamental vector fields Z and Z_k on these two principal $U(1)$ -bundles using the differential of the quotient map q . Recall that the fundamental vector fields Z on S and Z_k on S_k are given by

$$Z_u = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(u \cdot e^{it}) \right|_{t=0}, \quad (Z_k)_{q(u)} = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(q(u) \cdot e^{it}) \right|_{t=0}. \quad (4.5)$$

Therefore, under the action of the differential q_* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (q_* Z)_u &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} q(u \cdot e^{it}) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} (q(u) \cdot e^{ikt}) \right|_{t=0} = k \left. \frac{d}{dt'} (q(u) \cdot e^{it'}) \right|_{t'=0} \\ &= k(Z_k)_{q(u)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

In conclusion, we get

$$q_* Z = k(Z_k)_q, \quad q^* \varphi_k = k\varphi, \quad q_* Y^\varphi = (Y^{\varphi_k})_q \text{ for } Y \in \mathfrak{X}(B), \quad (4.7)$$

where the second equation follows from $\varphi(Z) = 1 = \varphi_k(Z_k)$, and the third from

$$\varphi_k(q_*(Y^\varphi)) = q^*(\varphi_k)(Y^\varphi) = k\varphi(Y^\varphi) = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

In order to simplify the following statements, we extend the definition of S_k for $k = 0$ by declaring it to be the trivial bundle

$$S_0 := B \times \mathrm{U}(1), \quad \pi_0 = \mathrm{pr}_B := B \times \mathrm{U}(1) \longrightarrow B. \quad (4.9)$$

Moreover, we also extend the objects

$$q(s) = (\pi_S(s), 1) \in S_0, \quad Z_0 = \partial_t, \quad \varphi_0 = dt, \quad (4.10)$$

where $t = t_{\pi_0}$ is (the pullback of) the standard coordinate chart on $\mathrm{U}(1)$ such that the elements of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ are e^{it} . Notice that the equalities (4.7) still hold and q_* still preserves horizontal vector fields.

We now consider the following instance of the twist construction, that we will make use of later.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\pi_S: S \rightarrow B$ be a principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -bundle with connection 1-form φ and principal vector field Z . Let k be a non-negative integer, and let f be a nowhere vanishing function and β be a 1-form, both defined on B . Then the twist of S with respect to the twist data*

$$\begin{aligned} (Z, \omega, \pi_S^* f) &:= (Z, d((\pi_S^* f + k)\varphi + \pi_S^* \beta), \pi_S^* f) \\ &= (Z, d((f + k)\varphi + \pi_S^* \beta), f) \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

is the principal bundle $\pi_k: S_k \rightarrow B$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tw}(\pi_S^* \alpha) &= \pi_k^* \alpha, & \mathrm{tw}(\varphi) &= -\frac{1}{f}(\varphi_k + \pi_k^* \beta), \\ \mathrm{tw}(X^\varphi) &= X^{\varphi_k} - \beta(X)Z_k, & \mathrm{tw}(Z) &= -fZ_k, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for all differential forms α and vector fields X on B .

Proof. As ω is exact, the principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -bundle P may be taken to be the trivial bundle $\mathrm{pr}_S: S \times \mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow S$. We will later see that this choice is good. The connection form θ_P and the principal fundamental vector field X_P may then be taken to be

$$\theta_P = \mathrm{pr}_S^*((f + k)\varphi + \pi_S^* \beta) + d\tau, \quad X_P = \partial_\tau, \quad (4.13)$$

where τ is the standard coordinate chart on $\mathrm{U}(1)$. Since P is a product space, we have an identification

$$TP \cong (TS)_{\mathrm{pr}_S} \oplus (T\mathrm{U}(1))_{\mathrm{pr}_{\mathrm{U}(1)}}. \quad (4.14)$$

In terms of this identification, we may write the horizontal lift Z^{θ_P} of Z as

$$Z^{\theta_P} = Z - \theta_P(Z)\partial_\tau = Z - (f + k)\partial_\tau. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, the twisted lift Z_P is given by

$$Z_P = Z^{\theta_P} + fX_P = Z - k\partial_\tau. \quad (4.16)$$

Now we see that our choice of bundle P was good, since Z_P generates a $U(1)$ -action on $P = S \times U(1)$, namely

$$(s, u) \cdot e^{i\tau} = (s \cdot e^{i\tau}, ue^{-ik\tau}). \quad (4.17)$$

We now define a map $\varpi_k: P \rightarrow S_k$ by $\varpi_k(s, u) := q(s) \cdot u$ and show that it is a quotient map for the $U(1)$ -action generated by Z_P . When $k = 0$, the quotient is a trivial bundle, and its quotient map is $\pi_S \times \text{id}_{U(1)} = \varpi_0$. When $k \neq 0$, we can say that ϖ_k is surjective and $U(1)$ -invariant by the equation

$$\varpi_k((s, u) \cdot e^{i\tau}) = q(s \cdot e^{i\tau}) \cdot ue^{-ik\tau} = q(s) \cdot u = \varpi_k(s, u). \quad (4.18)$$

Moreover, the $U(1)$ -action is transitive on the fibres of ϖ_k . Suppose now that $\varpi_k(s, u) = \varpi_k(s', u')$, and consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \times U(1) & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_S} & S \\ \varpi_k \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_S \\ S_k & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & B \end{array} \quad (4.19)$$

The points s and s' belong to the same fibre of π_S , and so $s' = s \cdot e^{i\tau}$. Hence,

$$q(s) \cdot u = \varpi_k(s, u) = \varpi_k(s \cdot e^{i\tau}, u') = q(s \cdot e^{i\tau}) \cdot u' = q(s) \cdot e^{ik\tau} u', \quad (4.20)$$

and since the $U(1)$ -action on S_k is principal, we infer that $u' = ue^{-ik\tau}$, so

$$(s', u') = (s \cdot e^{i\tau}, ue^{-ik\tau}) = (s, u) \cdot e^{i\tau}. \quad (4.21)$$

Thus, ϖ_k is a quotient map, and $\pi_k: S_k \rightarrow B$ is the twisted bundle.

Next, we describe the twist correspondence explicitly. It will be convenient to do this first for differential forms and then use the compatibility with contractions to obtain the correspondence for vector fields.

By (4.19), we have $\text{pr}_S^* \pi_S^* \alpha = \varpi_k^* \pi_k^* \alpha$ for any form α on B . So, we automatically have $\text{tw}(\pi_S^* \alpha) = \pi_k^* \alpha$.

In order to compute $\text{tw}(\varphi)$, observe that ϖ_k is the composition of $q \times \text{id}_{U(1)}$ with the action map $\rho: S_k \times U(1) \rightarrow S_k$, and moreover $\rho^* \varphi_k = \text{pr}_{S_k}^* \varphi_k + \text{pr}_{U(1)}^* d\tau$ (cf. [Tu17, Example 27.4 (p. 326)]). Therefore, we have

$$\varpi_k^* \varphi_k = \text{pr}_S^* q^* \varphi_k + \text{pr}_{U(1)}^* d\tau = k \text{pr}_S^* \varphi + \text{pr}_{U(1)}^* d\tau. \quad (4.22)$$

Consider now the Z_P -invariant 1-form

$$\text{pr}_S^* \varphi - \frac{1}{f} \theta_P = -\frac{1}{f} (k \text{pr}_S^* \varphi + \text{pr}_{U(1)}^* d\tau + \text{pr}_S^* \pi_S^* \beta) = \varpi_k^* \left(-\frac{1}{f} (\varphi_k + \pi_k^* \beta) \right). \quad (4.23)$$

This agrees with $\text{pr}_S^* \varphi$ on θ_P -horizontal vector fields, yielding

$$\text{tw}(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{f}(\varphi_k + \pi_k^* \beta). \quad (4.24)$$

The twist correspondence for vector fields is determined by the fact that contractions with 1-forms are preserved under the twist correspondence. We may thus check that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_S^* \alpha)(X^\varphi) &= \alpha(X) = (\pi_k^* \alpha)(X^{\varphi_k} - \beta(X)Z_k), \\ \varphi(X^\varphi) &= 0 = -\frac{1}{f}(\varphi_k(X^{\varphi_k} - \beta(X)Z_k) + (\pi_k^* \beta)(X^{\varphi_k} - \beta(X)Z_k)), \\ (\pi_S^* \alpha)(Z) &= 0 = (\pi_k^* \alpha)(-fZ_k), \\ \varphi(Z) &= 1 = -\frac{1}{f}(\varphi_k(-fZ_k) + (\pi_k^* \beta)(-fZ_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

□

Remark 4.3. If $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary, one could still construct the bundle P so that the $\text{U}(1)$ -action generated by Z lifts to a $\text{U}(1)$ -action on P (generated by Z_P), but that bundle wouldn't be trivial in general [Swa10]. In case $k = \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, when $\frac{1}{b}d\varphi$ corresponds to an integral class, we have a $\text{U}(1)$ -bundle $S_{\frac{1}{b}}$ such that S is a \mathbb{Z}_b -quotient of $S_{\frac{1}{b}}$. If we now interpret $S_{\frac{1}{b}}$ as a principal \mathbb{Z}_b -bundle over S , we can define P as the associated bundle $S_{\frac{1}{b}} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_b} \text{U}(1)$. This exhibits P as a principal $\text{U}(1)$ -bundle over S . Furthermore, Z_P generates a $\text{U}(1)$ -action on P given by

$$[s, u] \cdot e^{i\tau} = [se^{i\tau}, ue^{-ia\tau}]. \quad (4.26)$$

With respect to this action, the orbit containing an arbitrary element $[s, e^{i\tau}]$, also contains the elements of the form $[se^{\frac{i\tau}{a}}, 1]$. Notice that we have the embedding

$$S_{\frac{1}{b}} \longrightarrow P, \quad s \longmapsto [s, 1]. \quad (4.27)$$

The image of this embedding then intersects the orbits of the action generated by Z_P in exactly a points, on which the Z_P -action induces a \mathbb{Z}_a -action. Hence, the quotient $P/\langle Z_P \rangle$ can be identified with the \mathbb{Z}_a -quotient of $S_{\frac{1}{b}}$, denoted $S_{\frac{a}{b}} =: S_k$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\pi_S: S \rightarrow B$ be a principal $\text{U}(1)$ -bundle with connection 1-form φ and principal vector field Z , and let (Z, ω, f) be the twist data as in Lemma 4.2 with ω non-degenerate. Let Y be a vector field on S satisfying*

$$\mathcal{L}_Y f = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_Y \varphi = \mathcal{L}_Y \pi_S^* \beta = 0. \quad (4.28)$$

Then, Y is ω -Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function

$$f_Y = ((f + k)\varphi + \pi_S^* \beta)(Y). \quad (4.29)$$

Moreover, Y is Z -invariant and its twist is given by

$$q_* Y = (\text{tw}(Y) + f_Y Z_k)_q. \quad (4.30)$$

Proof. By the Cartan formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\iota_Y\omega &= \iota_Y d((f+k)\varphi + \pi_S^*\beta) = (\mathcal{L}_Y - d \circ \iota_Y)((f+k)\varphi + \pi_S^*\beta) \\ &= -d(((f+k)\varphi + \pi_S^*\beta)(Y)) = -df_Y.\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

Thus, Y is ω -Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function f_Y .

Since the Lie derivative commutes with the exterior derivative, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_Y\omega &= \mathcal{L}_Y d((f+k)\varphi + \pi_S^*\beta) \\ &= d \circ \mathcal{L}_Y((f+k)\varphi + \pi_S^*\beta) = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_Y(\iota_Z\omega) &= -\mathcal{L}_Y df = -d \circ \mathcal{L}_Y f = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

In particular, we get

$$\omega(\mathcal{L}_Y Z, \cdot) = \mathcal{L}_Y(\iota_Z\omega) - (\mathcal{L}_Y\omega)(Z, \cdot) = 0.\tag{4.33}$$

Since ω is assumed to be non-degenerate, this implies $\mathcal{L}_Z Y = -\mathcal{L}_Y Z = 0$.

In order to take its twist, we decompose Y into its vertical and φ -horizontal parts. Since Y is Z -invariant, we can find a vector field Y_B on B such that

$$Y = Y_B^\varphi + \varphi(Y)Z.\tag{4.34}$$

Then, by Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tw}(Y) &= \text{tw}(Y_B^\varphi) + \text{tw}(\varphi(Y)Z) = Y_B^{\varphi k} - \beta(Y_B)Z_k - f\varphi(Y)Z_k \\ &= Y_B^{\varphi k} + k\varphi(Y)Z_k - ((f+k)\varphi + \pi_S^*\beta)(Y)Z_k \\ &= Y_B^{\varphi k} + k\varphi(Y)Z_k - f_Y Z_k.\end{aligned}\tag{4.35}$$

Note that the pullback of the vector field $Y_B^{\varphi k} + k\varphi(Y)Z_k$ along the quotient map q is precisely q_*Y . The statement to be proved thus follows. \square

5 The geometry of the c-map

In this section, we prove the main theorem of this paper (Theorem 5.4), which describes the supergravity c-map as a natural construction on variations of Hodge structure. Using this description, we furthermore construct the vertical Killing vector fields on the resulting quaternionic Kähler manifold (Proposition 5.7), and show that they form the Heisenberg algebra (Corollary 5.10). Finally, in §5.4, we use the naturality of our c-map construction to interpret it as a functor from the category of certain variations of Hodge structure to the one of quaternionic Kähler manifolds. As a last result (Proposition 5.12), we show that our lifting of isomorphisms reproduces the one of infinitesimal automorphisms described in [CST21].

5.1 Rigid c-map

The rigid c-map assigns a hyperkähler manifold to an affine special Kähler manifold \widetilde{M} . The hyperkähler structure may be defined on either the tangent or cotangent bundle. The two descriptions are related by the symplectic form $\widetilde{\omega}$ interpreted as a map $T\widetilde{M} \rightarrow T^*\widetilde{M}$. Note that, since $\widetilde{\omega}$ is $\widetilde{\nabla}$ -parallel, the differential $\widetilde{\omega}_*$ preserves the splitting of $TT\widetilde{M}$ induced by $\widetilde{\nabla}$. In terms of the splitting, we have

$$\widetilde{\omega}_* = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} : (T\widetilde{M} \oplus T\widetilde{M})_{T\widetilde{M}} \longrightarrow (T\widetilde{M} \oplus T^*\widetilde{M})_{T^*\widetilde{M}}. \quad (5.1)$$

On either of the tangent or cotangent bundle, there are several equivalent choices of hyperkähler structure that we may make. In [CST21, Equation 6 (p. 106)], the cotangent bundle $T^*\widetilde{M}$ was used, on which the hyperkähler structure was chosen to be

$$\widehat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{g}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\widetilde{\omega}^{-1} \\ \widetilde{\omega} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_3 = I_1 I_2. \quad (5.2)$$

We will instead be working with the corresponding hyperkähler structure on the tangent bundle $T\widetilde{M}$, that is

$$\widehat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{g} & 0 \\ 0 & \widetilde{g} \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\text{id} \\ \text{id} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_3 = I_1 I_2. \quad (5.3)$$

In fact, it will be convenient to make use of the identification of $T\widetilde{M}$ with E_π , cf. Remark 3.23, which allows us to identify the vertical subbundle $\mathcal{V} \subseteq TT\widetilde{M}$ with the pullback of E to E_π by (2.9). Then, we can define the metric on the total space of E_π to be \widetilde{g} on the horizontal subbundle \mathcal{H} , and to be the real part of the Griffiths hermitian form h_G on the vertical subbundle \mathcal{V} . We can write this in terms of the tautological section Φ_E , using $\nabla\Phi_E : T(E_\pi) \rightarrow E_\pi$ as the projection to the vertical part (cf. §2.3).

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g} &= \widetilde{g} + \text{Re}(h_G)(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) \\ &= r^2 g_M - dr^2 - r^2 \widetilde{\varphi}^2 + (-g_L + g_L \otimes g_M - \omega_L \otimes \omega_M)(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

The hyperkähler complex structures I_1, I_2, I_3 in (5.3) are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 X &= \left(I \left(p_M^{E_\pi} \right)_* X \right)^\nabla - \text{vert}(I_G \nabla_X \Phi_E), \\ I_2 X &= \text{vert} \circ \phi \left(\left(p_M^{E_\pi} \right)_* X \right) - \left(\phi^{-1}(\nabla_X \Phi_E) \right)^\nabla, \\ I_3 X &= I_1 I_2 X. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

5.2 Supergravity c-map

The supergravity c-map assigns to a PSK manifold M a family of quaternionic Kähler manifolds N_{2k} parametrised by an integer k (we write $2k$ instead of k

for consistency with the notation in [CST21]). The $k = 0$ case will be called the *undeformed c-map*, while the $k > 0$ case will be called the *deformed c-map*. One can also define the c-map for $k < 0$, but only the non-negative case is complete (cf. [CDS17, Theorem 13] and [ACDM15, Remark 9 (p. 287)]). Therefore, in this section, we will present an intrinsic formulation of the c-map where k is a non-negative integer. For our description of the c-map, we will adopt the twist approach of [MS15].

In order to introduce the supergravity c-map metric, we first need to define the following two tensor fields, given in terms of the ∇ -horizontal lift of $-I\xi$, which we call Z :

$$g_{\mathbb{H}Z} := \widehat{g}|_{\langle Z, I_1Z, I_2Z, I_3Z \rangle}, \quad g_{\perp} := \widehat{g}|_{\langle Z, I_1Z, I_2Z, I_3Z \rangle^{\perp}}. \quad (5.6)$$

Lemma 5.1. *In terms of the VPHS data, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mathbb{H}Z} &= -dr^2 - r^2\tilde{\varphi}^2 - \operatorname{Re} h_L(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E), \\ g_{\perp} &= r^2g_M + \operatorname{Re}(h_L \otimes h_M)(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. Using (5.5), we can explicitly describe $\mathbb{H}Z := \langle Z, I_1Z, I_2Z, I_3Z \rangle$, via

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= -(I\xi)^{\nabla}, \\ I_1Z &= \xi^{\nabla} - \operatorname{vert}(I_G\nabla_{-I\xi^{\nabla}}\Phi_E) = \xi^{\nabla}, \\ I_2Z &= -\operatorname{vert} \circ \phi(I\xi) + 0 = \operatorname{vert}(-i\Phi_L + i\overline{\Phi}_L), \\ I_3Z &= I_1\operatorname{vert}(-i\Phi_L + i\overline{\Phi}_L) = -\operatorname{vert}(\Phi_L + \overline{\Phi}_L). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

We can see that Z and I_1Z span the vertical part of $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$, whereas I_2Z and I_3Z span $\llbracket L \rrbracket \subseteq E$. The description of $g_{\mathbb{H}Z}$ and g_{\perp} follows. \square

In order to define the supergravity c-map, we make use of its description in terms of the twist. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we define $\widetilde{M}_{>c} := \{u \in \widetilde{M} \mid -\tilde{g}_u(\xi, \xi) > c\}$.

Proposition 5.2 ([MS15], Lemma 5.2 (p. 1347)). *The supergravity c-map metric is the twist of a constant scalar multiple of the tensor field*

$$g_{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \left(g_{\perp} - \frac{r^2 + 2k}{r^2 - 2k} g_{\mathbb{H}Z} \right). \quad (5.9)$$

with respect to the twist data

$$(Z, \omega_{\mathbb{H}}, f_{\mathbb{H}}) = \left(-(I\xi)^{\nabla}, \begin{pmatrix} -\tilde{\omega} & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix}, -\frac{1}{2}(r^2 + 2k) \right). \quad (5.10)$$

Following [MS15] and [CST21], we will refer to $g_{\mathbb{H}}$ as the *elementary deformation* of the hyperkähler metric \widehat{g} .

Remark 5.3. Note that $\omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ is given in terms of the tautological section Φ_E by

$$\omega_{\mathbb{H}} = -\tilde{\omega} - Q(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) = -\tilde{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}d(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E)). \quad (5.11)$$

Here, the second step follows from the fact that ∇ is flat and preserves Q , so

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E))(X, Y) \\
&= \nabla_X(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E)) - \nabla_Y(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla_X\Phi_E)) \\
&\quad - Q(\Phi_E, \nabla_{[X, Y]}\Phi_E) \\
&= Q(\nabla_X\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E) - Q(\nabla_Y\Phi_E, \nabla_X\Phi_E) \\
&\quad - Q(\Phi_E, ([\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]})\Phi_E) \\
&= 2Q(\nabla_X\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

In order to apply the twist construction to $T\widetilde{M}_{>2k}$, we would like to present it as a principal $U(1)$ -bundle so that we can apply Lemma 4.2. To this end, we consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \widetilde{M}_{>2k} & & \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow (\pi, -\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)) & \searrow & \\
T\widetilde{M}_{>2k} & & M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} & & S \\
\downarrow \text{dashed} & \nearrow & \downarrow \text{pr}_M & \searrow & \downarrow \\
E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} & & E & & M \\
& \searrow & \nearrow \pi_E & & \\
& & E & &
\end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

The diagonal square in (5.13) is a pullback, as we identified E_π with $T\widetilde{M}$, and $T\widetilde{M}_{>2k}$ is its restriction to $\widetilde{M}_{>2k} \subseteq \widetilde{M}$. The bottom square is also a pullback, and all of the undashed arrows in diagram (5.13) commute. So, by the universal property of pullbacks, we infer the existence of a (unique) dashed arrow, which makes the entire diagram commute. Moreover, since the diagonal and bottom squares are pullbacks, it follows by categorical arguments that the diagram on the left side is a pullback as well. Notice that the right diagram is also a pullback (in the category of smooth manifolds), as $\widetilde{M}_{>2k} = S \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$. Consider now the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \widetilde{M}_{>2k} & & \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow (\pi, -\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)) & \searrow & \\
T\widetilde{M}_{>2k} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} & & S \\
\downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \text{pr}_M & \searrow & \downarrow \\
E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} & \xrightarrow{\quad \varpi \quad} & E & & M \\
& \searrow & \nearrow \pi_E & & \\
& & E & &
\end{array} \tag{5.14}$$

The highlighted square is the composition of the left and right ones, and therefore, it is itself a pullback square. It follows that the map $T\widetilde{M}_{>2k} \rightarrow E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$ is a principal $U(1)$ -bundle, since it is the pullback of the principal $U(1)$ -bundle $S \rightarrow M$.

Now we have all the ingredients to prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be non-negative, and let $\pi: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a projective special Kähler manifold, whose associated $U(1)$ -bundle is S and has principal connection $\tilde{\varphi}$. Let $S_k \rightarrow M$ be the \mathbb{Z}_k -quotient of S when $k > 0$, and let $S_0 = M \times U(1) \rightarrow M$. Let φ_k be the principal connection induced on $S_k \rightarrow M$ by $-\tilde{\varphi}$ (cf. (4.7), (4.10)). The supergravity c-map with deformation parameter k is N_{2k} , obtained as the highlighted pullback in the diagram below.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 N_{2k} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S_k & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & & \\
 & & M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_M} & M \\
 E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} & \xrightarrow{\quad \varpi \quad} & & & \\
 & \searrow & E & \xrightarrow{\pi_E} & M
 \end{array} \tag{5.15}$$

The supergravity c-map metric on N_{2k} is a constant multiple of

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{2k} &= \frac{r^2}{r^2 - 2k} g_M + \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \text{Re}(h_L \otimes h_M)(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) \\
 &+ \frac{r^2 + 2k}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} dr^2 + \frac{4r^2}{(r^2 + 2k)(r^2 - 2k)^2} \left(\varphi_k - \frac{1}{2} \pi_k^*(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E)) \right)^2 \\
 &+ \frac{r^2 + 2k}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} \text{Re } h_L(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. In Proposition 5.2, we mentioned that the c-map can be interpreted as the twist of the elementary deformation of the hyperkähler metric, i.e. of

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_H &= \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \left(r^2 g_M + \text{Re}(h_L \otimes h_M)(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{r^2 + 2k}{r^2 - 2k} (dr^2 + r^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 + \text{Re } h_L(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E)) \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

up to scaling. The twist data is (Z, ω_H, f_H) . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_H &= -\tilde{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} d(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E)) \\
 &= d\left((f_H + k) \underbrace{\left(-\tilde{\varphi} \right)}_{=:\varphi} \right) + d\left(\underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} Q(\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E)}_{=:\beta} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Furthermore, β descends to E , as $\beta(Z) = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_Z \beta = \iota_Z d\beta + d\iota_Z \beta = 2\iota_Z Q(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E) + 0 = 0. \quad (5.19)$$

Thus, ω_H is of the form (4.11), and we can apply Lemma 4.2 to regard N_{2k} as the \mathbb{Z}_k -quotient of $T\widetilde{M}_{>2k}$ for $k > 0$ and as $E \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times U(1)$ for $k = 0$. Using Lemma 4.2 we can also explicitly twist g_{2k} , obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2k} &= \text{tw}(g_H) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \left(r^2 g_M + \text{Re}(h_L \otimes h_M)(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E) + \frac{r^2 + 2k}{r^2 - 2k} \left(dr^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{r^2}{f_H^2} \left(\varphi_k - \frac{1}{2} \pi_k^*(Q(\Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E)) \right)^2 + \text{Re} h_L(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

Equation (5.16) follows once we substitute $f_H = -\frac{1}{2}(r^2 + 2k)$. \square

Remark 5.5. If we use the Griffiths hermitian form $h_G = -h_L + h_L \otimes h_M$, since $Q = \text{Im} h_G$, we can rearrange the terms of (5.16) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2k} &= \frac{r^2 + 2k}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{r^2 - 2k} g_M + \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \text{Re} h_G(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E) \\ &\quad + \frac{4r^2}{(r^2 + 2k)(r^2 - 2k)^2} \left(\varphi_k - \frac{1}{2} \pi_k^*(\text{Im} h_G(\Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E)) \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2r^2}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} \text{Re} h_L(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

We can do the same with the Weil hermitian form $h_W = \bar{h}_L + h_L \otimes h_M$. Since we again have $Q = \text{Im} h_W$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2k} &= \frac{r^2 + 2k}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{r^2 - 2k} g_M + \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \text{Re} h_W(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E) \\ &\quad + \frac{4r^2}{(r^2 + 2k)(r^2 - 2k)^2} \left(\varphi_k - \frac{1}{2} \pi_k^*(\text{Im} h_W(\Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E)) \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{4k}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} \text{Re} h_L(\nabla \Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E). \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

This last formulation of the c-map metric echoes the one presented in [CDS17, Equation (7) (p. 90)], once we set $\rho^{\text{CDS}} = r^2 - 2k$.

Example 5.6. We revisit Example 3.26 and construct the supergravity c-map metric associated to $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$. We begin by defining a system of coordinates on N_{2k} .

We already have global complex coordinates $X = (X_i)_{i=1}^n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, and a real coordinate $r > \sqrt{2k}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>2k}$. We can get global coordinates $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$ for the fibres of E by writing the tautological section Φ_E as

$$\Phi_E = \sum_{i=0}^n (w_i s_i + \bar{w}_i \bar{s}_i), \quad (5.23)$$

where $\{s_i, \bar{s}_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is the basis of the ∇ -parallel sections described in Example 3.26. In particular, we have

$$\nabla\Phi_E = \sum_{i=0}^n (dw_i \otimes s_i + d\bar{w}_i \otimes \bar{s}_i). \quad (5.24)$$

Finally, let t be a (local) coordinate function factoring through the \mathbb{Z}_k -quotient $q : S \rightarrow S_k$ such that $e^{-it} = z_0^k / |z_0|^k$. This gives on S_k a global 1-form

$$dt = -k \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{dz_0}{z_0} \right). \quad (5.25)$$

Recall that on the base M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_M &= \frac{\|dX\|^2}{1 - \|X\|^2} + \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i dX_i|^2}{(1 - \|X\|^2)^2}, \\ \omega_M &= \frac{1}{2i} \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n d\bar{X}_j \wedge dX_j}{1 - \|X\|^2} + \frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^n X_i d\bar{X}_i \wedge \bar{X}_j dX_j}{(1 - \|X\|^2)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} d \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{X}_i dX_i}{1 - \|X\|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

On S , we have the principal connection 1-form

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{dz_0}{z_0} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\bar{X}_i dX_i}{1 - \|X\|^2} \right). \quad (5.27)$$

Following the construction in Theorem 5.4, $\varphi = -\tilde{\varphi}$ induces on S_k the principal connection φ_k (cf. §4). By (4.7), we have

$$q^* \varphi_k = -k\tilde{\varphi} = dt + \frac{k}{1 - \|X\|^2} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i dX_i \right). \quad (5.28)$$

Next, we have the vertical forms

$$\begin{aligned} h_G(\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{w}_i dw_i - \bar{w}_0 dw_0, \\ h_G(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) &= \sum_{i=1}^n d\bar{w}_i \otimes dw_i - d\bar{w}_0 \otimes dw_0, \\ h_L(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) &= \frac{(d\bar{w}_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i d\bar{w}_i) \otimes (dw_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{X}_j dw_j)}{1 - \|X\|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

Putting all of the above together according to (5.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{2k} &= \frac{r^2 + 2k}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{r^2 - 2k} \left(\frac{\|dX\|^2}{1 - \|X\|^2} + \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i dX_i|^2}{(1 - \|X\|^2)^2} \right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^2 - 2k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |dw_i|^2 - |dw_0|^2 \right) + \frac{2r^2}{(r^2 - 2k)^2} \frac{|dw_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{X}_j dw_j|^2}{1 - \|X\|^2} \\
&+ \frac{4r^2}{(r^2 + 2k)(r^2 - 2k)^2} \left(dt + \frac{k}{1 - \|X\|^2} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i dX_i \right) \right. \\
&\left. - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{w}_i dw_i - \bar{w}_0 dw_0 \right) \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

This is the deformed Ferrara–Sabharwal metric appearing in [CDS17, Corollary 15 (p. 97)] upon taking

$$\rho^{\text{CDS}} = r^2 - 2k, \quad w_i^{\text{CDS}} = \frac{(-1)^{\delta_{i,0}}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{w}_i, \quad t^{\text{CDS}} = 4t. \tag{5.31}$$

This was originally derived by physicists in [CFG89, FS90, RSV06] as a string-theoretic moduli space metric with perturbative quantum corrections.

When $k = 0$, this is a left invariant metric on (a \mathbb{Z} -quotient of) the non-compact Wolf space $\text{SU}(n+1, 2)/\text{S}(\text{U}(n+1) \times \text{U}(2))$.

5.3 Heisenberg action

While there is always a local basis of ∇ -parallel sections of E , a global basis may fail to exist because of the presence of monodromy. We can however get around this issue by considering the universal cover of M and pulling back E along the covering map. This gives us a VPHS whose Gauß–Manin connection has no monodromy. We will show that in the absence of monodromy, the supergravity c -map admits the action of the Heisenberg group.

In particular, we would like to obtain the Killing vector fields on N_{2k} that generate the Heisenberg action. In order to do this, we begin by constructing vertical Killing vector fields on the *rigid* c -map space. The following proposition will show that the bundle map $\text{vert}: E_\pi \rightarrow T(E_\pi)$ maps pullbacks of parallel sections of E to Killing vector fields of E_π .

Proposition 5.7. *Let $s \in \Gamma(E)$ be such that $\nabla s = 0$. Then, the vertical vector field $v_s \in \Gamma(T(E_\pi))$ corresponding to s is Killing and w_{H} -Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function*

$$f_s = Q(s, \Phi_E). \tag{5.32}$$

Moreover, it preserves Z , f_{H} , I_j , and $\widehat{w}_j = \widehat{g}(I_j \cdot, \cdot)$, for $j = 1, 2, 3$ as defined in (5.10) and (5.5).

Proof. First of all, notice that since s is ∇ -parallel, $\nabla(\nabla_{v_s}\Phi_E) = \nabla s = 0$. We now compute the Lie derivative of \widehat{g} along v_s , obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{v_s}\widehat{g}(X, Y) &= \mathcal{L}_{v_s}(\widetilde{g} + \operatorname{Re} h_G(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E))(X, Y) \\
&= \mathcal{L}_{v_s}(\operatorname{Re} h_G(\nabla\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E))(X, Y) \\
&= v_s(\operatorname{Re} h_G(\nabla_X\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E)) \\
&\quad - \operatorname{Re} h_G(\nabla_{[v_s, X]}\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E) - \operatorname{Re} h_G(\nabla_X\Phi_E, \nabla_{[v_s, Y]}\Phi_E) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}(\nabla_{v_s} h_G)(\nabla_X\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E) \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Re} h_G([\nabla_{v_s}, \nabla_X]\Phi_E - \nabla_{[v_s, X]}\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E) \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Re} h_G([\nabla_{v_s}, \nabla_Y]\Phi_E - \nabla_{[v_s, Y]}\Phi_E, \nabla_X\Phi_E) \\
&= \operatorname{Re}(\nabla_{v_s} h_G)(\nabla_X\Phi_E, \nabla_Y\Phi_E).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

The last expression vanishes because $\nabla_{v_s} h_G$ is to be interpreted as $\nabla_{(\pi_E)_*v_s} h_G$ by the definition of a pullback connection, and v_s is a vertical tangent vector on the total space of the bundle $\pi_E: E \rightarrow M$.

We can now check that f_s is a Hamiltonian function of v_s by computing

$$df_s = \nabla(Q(s, \Phi_E)) = Q(s, \nabla\Phi_E) = Q(\nabla_{v_s}\Phi_E, \nabla\Phi_E) = -\iota_{v_s}\omega_H. \tag{5.34}$$

The function r is defined on the base, and therefore it is invariant along vertical vector fields. In particular $\mathcal{L}_{v_s} f_H = 0$.

The vector field Z is also invariant, as $\widetilde{\varphi} = r^{-2}\widehat{g}(Z, \cdot)$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_{v_s}\widetilde{\varphi} = d\iota_{v_s}\widetilde{\varphi} + \iota_{v_s}d\widetilde{\varphi} = 0 - 2\iota_{v_s}\widetilde{\omega} = 0. \tag{5.35}$$

The invariance of I_k is equivalent to that of $\widehat{\omega}_k$. Note that $\widehat{\omega}_1 = 2\widetilde{\omega} + \omega_H$ is a sum of invariant 2-forms. Their invariance follows from the observation that $\widetilde{\omega}$ is horizontal, and v_s is ω_H -Hamiltonian with ω_H closed.

Meanwhile, for $\widehat{\omega}_2$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{v_s}\widehat{\omega}_2 &= d\iota_{v_s}\widehat{\omega}_2 = d(\widehat{g}(I_2v_s, \cdot)) = -d(\widehat{g}((\phi^{-1}(s))^\nabla, \cdot)) \\
&= -d(\widetilde{g}(\phi^{-1}(s), \cdot)) = -2\operatorname{Alt}(\nabla^{\widetilde{g}}\widetilde{g}(\phi^{-1}(s), \cdot)) \\
&= -2\operatorname{Alt}(\widetilde{g}(\nabla^{\widetilde{g}}(\phi^{-1}(s)), \cdot)) = 4\operatorname{Alt}(\widetilde{g}(\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{\eta}(\phi^{-1}(s)), \cdot)).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

This quantity vanishes because $\operatorname{Re}\widetilde{\eta}$ is symmetric. The invariance of $I_3 = I_1I_2$ follows. \square

Killing vector fields on a hyperkähler manifold satisfying the properties resulting from Proposition 5.7 can be used to obtain Killing vector fields on the corresponding quaternionic Kähler manifold via the following proposition.

Proposition 5.8 ([CST21] Proposition 3.5 (p. 108)). *Let X be a Killing vector field on (E_π, \widehat{g}) which is ω_H -Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function f_X , and*

which preserves the hyperkähler structure I_1, I_2, I_3 and the twist data Z, f_H . Then, the vector field

$$X^Q := \text{tw} \left(X - \frac{f_X}{f_H} Z \right) \quad (5.37)$$

is Killing with respect to g_{2k} .

Let s thus be a section of E such that $\nabla s = 0$, and let v_s be the corresponding vertical vector field on E_π . We obtain a Killing vector field

$$w_s := \text{tw} \left(v_s - \frac{f_s}{f_H} Z \right). \quad (5.38)$$

Notice that $-\tilde{\varphi}(v_s) = 0$, so by Z -invariance, v_s is the $(-\tilde{\varphi})$ -horizontal lift of some vector field on $\mathbb{R}_{>2k} \times M$. Let v'_s be the φ_k -horizontal lift of said vector field on N_{2k} . The twist of v_s is then $v'_s - \beta(v_s)Z_k$, and that of Z is $-f_H Z_k$, where Z_k is the fundamental vector field of the $U(1)$ -bundle $N_{2k} \rightarrow E_{\text{pr}_M}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w_s &= v'_s - \beta(v_s)Z_k + f_s Z_k = v'_s + \frac{1}{2} Q(\Phi_E, \nabla_{v_s} \Phi_E) Z_k + Q(s, \Phi_E) Z_k \\ &= v'_s + \frac{1}{2} Q(\Phi_E, s) Z_k + Q(s, \Phi_E) Z_k = v'_s + \frac{1}{2} Q(s, \Phi_E) Z_k. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

Now, in order to see the Heisenberg action, we make use of the following result relating commutators of Killing vector fields on the quaternionic Kähler manifold to those on the hyperkähler manifold.

Proposition 5.9. *Let X_1, X_2 be Killing vector fields on (E_π, \hat{g}) as in Proposition 5.8 with Hamiltonian functions f_{X_1}, f_{X_2} . Then the corresponding Killing vector fields X_1^Q and X_2^Q on N_{2k} satisfy*

$$[X_1^Q, X_2^Q] = \text{tw}([X_1, X_2]) + \text{tw}(\omega_H(X_1, X_2)) Z_k. \quad (5.40)$$

Proof. See [CST21, Theorem 3.8 (p. 109)] combined with Proposition 5.8. \square

Corollary 5.10. *The vertical Killing vector fields w_s associated to ∇ -parallel sections s of E and the Killing vector field Z_k satisfy the Heisenberg algebra relations*

$$[w_s, Z_k] = 0, \quad [w_{s_1}, w_{s_2}] = Q(s_1, s_2) Z_k. \quad (5.41)$$

Remark 5.11. The vertical Killing vector field w_s associated to a ∇ -parallel section s of E can be readily integrated to yield an isometry ψ_s of N_{2k} , given by

$$\psi_s(p, x_p, u_p) = \left(p, x_p + s_p, u_p \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} Q_p(s_p, x_p) \right) \right). \quad (5.42)$$

Here, p is a point on $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$, while x_p and u_p are points in the fibres over p of the respective pullbacks of $E \rightarrow M$ and $S_k \rightarrow M$ to $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$.

We can now explicitly see that this gives an action of the Heisenberg group:

$$\psi_{s_1} \circ \psi_{s_2}(p, x_p, u_p) = \psi_{s_1+s_2} \left(p, x_p, u_p \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} Q(s_1, s_2)_p \right) \right). \quad (5.43)$$

5.4 Functoriality

The supergravity c-map is a natural construction. This means that isomorphisms of PSK manifolds lift to isometries of quaternionic Kähler manifolds in a natural way. In this subsection, we describe this lifting of isomorphisms explicitly.

Consider the PSK isomorphism $(\tilde{\psi}, \psi)$ from $(\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M, \tilde{g}, I, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\nabla}, \xi)$ to $(\pi': \tilde{M}' \rightarrow M', \tilde{g}', I', \tilde{\omega}', \tilde{\nabla}', \xi')$. In the following discussion, any construction associated to the PSK manifold M will have a primed counterpart associated to the PSK manifold M' . Using the structure of $L := \tilde{M} \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \mathbb{C}$ and L' as associated fibre bundles and the isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}'$, we can produce a complex vector bundle isomorphism $\psi^L := \tilde{\psi} \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}: L \rightarrow L'$ covering ψ . This can be regarded as an extension of $\tilde{\psi}$. Using ψ^L , we can then construct the maps $\psi^{E_C}: E_C \rightarrow E'_C$ and $\psi_{\text{pr}}^E: E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} \rightarrow E' \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$ via

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{E_C} &= \psi^L + \psi^L \otimes (\psi_*^{1,0})_{\psi^{-1}} + \overline{\psi^L} \otimes (\psi_*^{0,1})_{\psi^{-1}} + \overline{\psi^L}, \\ \psi_{\text{pr}}^E &= \psi^{E_C}|_E \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{>2k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

Since $\tilde{\psi}$ is compatible with the Kähler structures on \tilde{M} and \tilde{M}' , the map ψ^L is compatible with the Hermitian forms h_L and $h_{L'}$, and with the Chern connections χ and χ' (whose imaginary parts are $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}'$ respectively). Since $\tilde{\psi}_*$ maps ζ to ζ' , the map ψ^L sends the unit circle bundle $S \subset L$ to $S' \subset L'$. So, we obtain a map $\psi_k^S: S_k \rightarrow S'_k$ pulling back the principal $U(1)$ -connection φ'_k to φ_k via the codifferential $(\psi_k^S)^*$.

By Theorem 5.4, the quaternionic Kähler manifold N_{2k} obtained from M via the supergravity c-map is the fibred product of the bundles $E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} \rightarrow M$ and $S_k \rightarrow M$. An analogous statement holds for N'_{2k} . Therefore, the maps ψ_{pr}^E and ψ_k^S (both of which cover ψ) canonically induce a map $\psi^N: N_{2k} \rightarrow N'_{2k}$ covering ψ .

As the map $\tilde{\psi}$ relates $\tilde{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ to $\tilde{\omega}'$ and $\tilde{\nabla}'$, the induced map ψ_{pr}^E relates the (pullbacks of the) bilinear form Q and the connection ∇ on the bundle $E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$, to Q' and ∇' on $E' \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k} \rightarrow M' \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$. Similarly, the Kähler structure of M and the coordinate r are related to the corresponding counterparts on M' . Therefore, the map ψ^N is an isometry between the quaternionic Kähler manifolds (N_{2k}, g_{2k}) and (N'_{2k}, g'_{2k}) . As a result of naturality, the assignment $(\psi, \tilde{\psi}) \mapsto \psi^N$ is a functor. This provides us with the desired functorial lift of PSK isomorphisms.

In particular, we can consider 1-parameter subgroups of PSK automorphisms and take the differential of the action at the identity to get vector fields that act as infinitesimal automorphisms. Lifts of infinitesimal PSK automorphisms to infinitesimal isometries (i.e. Killing vector fields) of the supergravity c-map metric were constructed in a different way in [CST21, Theorem 3.15 (p. 112)]. Unsurprisingly, the above functorial construction reproduces the same result.

Proposition 5.12. *Let $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a PSK manifold and let \tilde{X} and X be vector fields on \tilde{M} and M respectively, which generate a 1-parameter family of PSK*

automorphisms $(\tilde{\psi}_t, \psi_t)$ on $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Then, the complete lift \tilde{X}^T on $T\tilde{M} \cong E_\pi$ is ω_H -Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function

$$f_X^T := f_{\tilde{X}^T} = -\left(f_H + k\right)\tilde{\varphi}(X) - \frac{1}{2}Q(\Phi_E, \nabla_X \Phi_E). \quad (5.45)$$

Moreover, the functorial lift ψ_t^N of $(\tilde{\psi}_t, \psi_t)$ is generated by the Killing vector field $(\tilde{X}^T)^Q$ on N_{2k} arising from \tilde{X}^T and the choice of ω_H -Hamiltonian function f_X^T , in accordance with (5.37).

Proof. In order to prove the first part, our strategy will be to use Lemma 4.4. We already know ω_H is non-degenerate. We need to show that \tilde{X}^T , interpreted as vector field tangent to E_π , preserves

$$f_H = -\frac{1}{2}(r^2 + 2k), \quad \varphi = -\tilde{\varphi}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2}Q(\Phi_E, \nabla \Phi_E). \quad (5.46)$$

For this purpose, we will describe the flow of \tilde{X}^T on E_π and show that it preserves these objects.

Consider first a PSK automorphism $(\tilde{\psi}, \psi)$. By the universal property of the pullback we get a map $\psi^{(E_C)\pi}: (E_C)_\pi \rightarrow E_\pi$, which in turn restricts to a map $\psi^{E_\pi}: E_\pi \rightarrow E_\pi$, as ψ^{E_C} preserves the real structure. Given $Y \in T\tilde{M}_{>2k}$, we determine the element of E_π corresponding to ψ_*Y to be

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tilde{\psi}_*Y) &= \chi(\tilde{\psi}_*Y)\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \pi_*\tilde{\psi}_*Y^{1,0} + \bar{\Phi}_L \otimes \pi_*\tilde{\psi}_*Y^{0,1} + \bar{\chi}(\psi_*Y)\bar{\Phi}_L \\ &= \chi(Y)\Phi_L + \Phi_L \otimes \psi_*\pi_*Y^{1,0} + \bar{\Phi}_L \otimes \psi_*\pi_*Y^{0,1} + \bar{\chi}(Y)\bar{\Phi}_L. \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

Here, we used the fact that χ and $\bar{\chi}$ are invariant under the action of the diffeomorphism $\tilde{\psi}$, and that $\tilde{\psi}$ is a map of the bundle $\pi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ covering ψ . We know that the tautological section Φ_L is preserved by the map (induced by) ψ^L . Therefore, $\phi(\tilde{\psi}_*Y)$ is actually equal to $\psi^{(E_C)\pi}(\phi(Y))$, implying the commutativity relation $\psi^{E_\pi} \circ \phi = \phi \circ \tilde{\psi}_*$. The vector field \tilde{X}^T , interpreted in E_π , can be written as

$$\phi_*(\tilde{X}^T_Y) = \left. \frac{d}{dt}\phi((\tilde{\psi}_t)_*Y) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt}(\psi_t)^{E_\pi}(\phi(Y)) \right|_{t=0}. \quad (5.48)$$

It follows that $(\psi_t)^{E_\pi}$ is the 1-parameter family of automorphisms induced by \tilde{X}^T in E_π . Since $\tilde{\psi}_t$ preserves $r^2 = -\tilde{g}(\xi, \xi)$, $\tilde{\varphi} = \text{Im}(\chi)$, $\tilde{\omega}$, and $\tilde{\nabla}$, the induced lift $\psi_t^{E_\pi}$ preserves the objects in (5.46), which are then \tilde{X}^T -invariant.

By combining (4.30) and (5.37), we deduce that $(\tilde{X}^T)^Q$ is the pushforward of \tilde{X}^T via the quotient map $T\tilde{M}_{>2k} \rightarrow N_{2k}$. Therefore, in order to prove the last part, it is enough to show that given some PSK automorphism $(\psi, \tilde{\psi})$, the maps induced by $\tilde{\psi}_*: T\tilde{M}_{>2k} \rightarrow T\tilde{M}_{>2k}$ on $E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$ and S via the diagram (5.14), are ψ_{pr}^E and ψ^S respectively. From the fact that $\tilde{\psi}$ preserves r^2 , we infer that it restricts to ψ^S on S and it descends to $\psi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}_{>2k}}$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$. Notice that in the diagram (5.13), for every object, we have defined an associated

automorphism, and that they all commute with the undashed arrows in the diagram. It follows that they also commute with the dashed one, implying that $\tilde{\psi}_*$, descends to ψ_{pr}^E on $E \times \mathbb{R}_{>2k}$. \square

Remark 5.13. The integrality of k is crucial in our functorial lifting of general PSK isomorphisms. For instance, if $k = \frac{1}{2}$, by Remark 4.3, the twist of E_π can be identified with a double cover of itself, and in general, automorphisms cannot be lifted to a double cover in a canonical way. In [CST21], the integrality of k was not assumed, but this is nevertheless consistent with the above, as infinitesimal automorphisms can still be lifted for general k , in a canonical way.

Remark 5.14. The action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_{\text{PSK}}(M)$ of the PSK manifold $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ on the space of parallel sections s of E induces an action on the Heisenberg isometries ψ_s . This action is compatible with the conjugation by the functorial lift of PSK automorphisms. Therefore, the subgroup of isometries generated by the functorial lifts of PSK automorphisms and the Heisenberg isometries is a semidirect product

$$\text{Aut}_{\text{PSK}}(M) \ltimes \text{Heis}_{\text{rk}(E)+1} \leq \text{Isom}(N_{2k}). \quad (5.49)$$

This was shown for $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$ in a different manner in [CRT21, §3]. For the $n = 0$ case, it was shown in [CST21, Theorem 4.5 (p. 116)] that this semidirect product is in fact the full group of isometries. An advantage of our functorial approach is that it makes the action of the semidirect product on N_{2k} transparent and explicit in general.

References

- [ACDM15] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, M. Dyckmanns, and T. Mohaupt. Quaternionic Kähler metrics associated with special Kähler manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 92:271–287, 2015.
- [ACDV03] D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés, C. Devchand, and A. Van Proeyen. Flows on quaternionic-Kähler and very special real manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 238(3):525–543, 2003.
- [BCOV94] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, and C. Vafa. Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 165(2):311–427, 1994.
- [BWW20] J. Buczyński, J. A. Wiśniewski, and A. Weber. Algebraic torus actions on contact manifolds. arXiv:1802.05002, 2020.
- [CDF⁺97] A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara, W. Lerche, J. Louis, and T. Regge. Picard-Fuchs equations, special geometry and target space duality. In *Mirror symmetry, II*, volume 1 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 281–353. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

- [CDJL18] V. Cortés, M. Dyckmanns, M. Jüngling, and D. Lindemann. A class of cubic hypersurfaces and quaternionic Kähler manifolds of co-homogeneity one. *arXiv:1701.7882*, 2018.
- [CDS17] V. Cortés, M. Dyckmanns, and S. Suhr. Completeness of projective special Kähler and quaternionic Kähler manifolds. In *Special metrics and group actions in geometry*, volume 23 of *Springer INdAM Ser.*, pages 81–106. Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [CFG89] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, and L. Girardello. Geometry of type II superstrings and the moduli of superconformal field theories. *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A*, 4(10):2475–2529, 1989.
- [CHM12] V. Cortés, X. Han, and T. Mohaupt. Completeness in supergravity constructions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 311(1):191–213, 2012.
- [Cor98] V. Cortés. On hyper-Kähler manifolds associated to Lagrangian Kähler submanifolds of $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(8):3193–3205, 1998.
- [CRT21] V. Cortés, M. Röser, and D. Thung. Complete quaternionic kähler manifolds with finite volume ends. *arXiv:2105.00727*, 2021.
- [CST21] V. Cortés, A. Saha, and D. Thung. Symmetries of quaternionic kähler manifolds with S^1 -symmetry. *Trans. London Math. Soc.*, 8(1):95–119, 2021.
- [DS99] A. Dancer and A. Swann. Quaternionic Kähler manifolds of cohomogeneity one. *Internat. J. Math.*, 10(5):541–570, 1999.
- [FK82] Th. Friedrich and H. Kurke. Compact four-dimensional self-dual Einstein manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Math. Nachr.*, 106:271–299, 1982.
- [Fre99] D. S. Freed. Special Kähler manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 203(1):31–52, 1999.
- [FS90] S. Ferrara and S. Sabharwal. Quaternionic manifolds for type II superstring vacua of Calabi-Yau spaces. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 332(2):317–332, 1990.
- [Hay08] A. Haydys. HyperKähler and quaternionic Kähler manifolds with S^1 -symmetries. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 58(3):293–306, 2008.
- [HHP10] C. Hertling, L. Hoevenaars, and H. Posthuma. Frobenius manifolds, projective special geometry and Hitchin systems. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 649:117–165, 2010.
- [Hit81] N. J. Hitchin. Kählerian twistor spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 43(1):133–150, 1981.

- [KMS93] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor, and J. Slovák. *Natural operations in differential geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [LS94] C. LeBrun and S. M. Salamon. Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 118(1):109–132, 1994.
- [Man21] M. Mantegazza. Construction of projective special Kähler manifolds. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata (1923 -)*, apr 2021.
- [MS15] O. Macia and A. Swann. Twist geometry of the c-map. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 336(3):1329–1357, 2015.
- [MS20] O. Macia and A. Swann. The c-map on groups. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 37(1):015015, 17, 2020.
- [PS91] Y. S. Poon and S. M. Salamon. Quaternionic Kähler 8-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(2):363–378, 1991.
- [RSV06] D. Robles-Llana, F. Saueressig, and S. Vandoren. String loop corrected hypermultiplet moduli spaces. *J. High Energy Phys.*, (3):081, 35, 2006.
- [Sal89] S. M. Salamon. *Riemannian geometry and holonomy groups*, volume 201 of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series*. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [Sim88] C. T. Simpson. Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(4):867–918, 1988.
- [Spi79] M. Spivak. *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. I*. Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., second edition, 1979.
- [Swa10] A. Swann. Twisting Hermitian and hypercomplex geometries. *Duke Math. J.*, 155(2):403–431, 2010.
- [Tu17] L. W. Tu. *Differential geometry*, volume 275 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2017. Connections, curvature, and characteristic classes.
- [Voi07] C. Voisin. *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*, volume 76 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, english edition, 2007. Translated from the French by Leila Schneps.
- [YI66] K. Yano and S. Ishihara. Differential geometry in tangent bundle. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 18:271–292, 1966.