

Quantitative CLT for linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices

Zhigang Bao*
HKUST
mazgbao@ust.hk

Yukun He†
University of Zürich
yukun.he@math.uzh.ch

In this article, we establish a near-optimal convergence rate for the CLT of linear eigenvalue statistics of $N \times N$ Wigner matrices, in Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance. For all test functions $f \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$, we show that the convergence rate is either $N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$ or $N^{-1+\varepsilon}$, depending on the first Chebyshev coefficient of f and the third moment of the diagonal matrix entries. The condition that distinguishes these two rates is necessary and sufficient. For a general class of test functions, we further identify matching lower bounds for the convergence rates. In addition, we identify an explicit, non-universal contribution in the linear eigenvalue statistics, which is responsible for the slow rate $N^{-1/2+\varepsilon}$ for non-Gaussian ensembles. By removing this non-universal part, we show that the shifted linear eigenvalue statistics have the unified convergence rate $N^{-1+\varepsilon}$ for all test functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In Random matrix Theory (RMT), there are various limiting laws about the fluctuations of eigenvalue statistics. However, most of these laws were derived in the limiting form without a quantitative description on the speed of the weak convergence. In this paper, we will establish a near-optimal convergence rate of the CLT for the linear eigenvalue statistics, in Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance, for a fundamental Hermitian random matrix model, Wigner matrix, whose definition is detailed below.

Definition 1.1 (Wigner matrix). *Let h_d be a real random variable, and h_o be a complex random variable. They satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E}h_d = \mathbb{E}h_o = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}|h_o|^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}|h_o|^p + \mathbb{E}|h_d|^p \leq C_p$$

for all fixed $p \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We set

$$a_2 := \mathbb{E}h_d^2, \quad a_3 := \mathbb{E}h_d^3 \quad \text{and} \quad m_4 := \mathbb{E}|h_o|^4.$$

A Wigner matrix is a Hermitian matrix $H = (H_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ with independent upper triangular entries $H_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq j \leq N)$, and

$$H_{ii} \stackrel{d}{=} h_d/\sqrt{N}, \quad H_{ij} \stackrel{d}{=} h_o/\sqrt{N}, \quad \forall i < j.$$

We distinguish the real symmetric case ($\beta = 1$), where $h_o \in \mathbb{R}$ and $H_{ij} = H_{ji}$, from the complex Hermitian case ($\beta = 2$), where $h_o \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{E}h_o^2 = 0$ and $H_{ji} = \overline{H_{ij}}$. Set $s_4 = m_4 + \beta - 4$.

For a test function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the linear eigenvalue statistic (LES) of H is defined as

$$\text{Tr} f(H) = \sum_{i=1}^N f(\lambda_i),$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_N$ are the ordered eigenvalues of H .

*Supported by Hong Kong RGC GRF 16300618, GRF 16301519, GRF 16301520 and NSFC 11871425.

†Supported by ERC Advanced Grant ‘‘Correlations in Large Quantum Systems’’, and UZH Forschungskredit grant FK-20-113.

1.1. Reference review on non-quantitative and quantitative CLTs for LES. The CLT for LES of Wigner matrices is a classical result in RMT; see e.g. [44, 50, 3, 4, 8, 9, 38, 39, 48]. It states that for test functions f satisfying certain regularity assumptions and $\text{Var Tr } f(H) > c > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\text{Tr}f(H) - \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}f(H)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\text{Tr}f(H))}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1). \quad (1.1)$$

Differently from the classical CLT for sum of i.i.d. order 1 random variables, a prominent feature of CLT for LES is that $\text{Var}(\text{Tr}f(H))$ is order 1 for sufficiently regular f . This is essentially due to the strong correlation among eigenvalues. Similar results have been obtained also for sample covariance matrices [2, 37], deformed Wigner matrices [33, 16], random band matrices [1, 25, 49, 43, 31], heavy tailed matrices [6], polynomials in random matrices [45, 46, 14], random matrices on compact groups [34, 19, 17], Hermite beta ensembles [35, 18], and also non-Hermitian random matrices [47, 10]. We also refer to the references in these papers for related study.

The convergence rate is a natural question following the CLT, which provides a quantitative description of the weak convergence. A quantitative CLT is especially important in applications, since in reality the random matrices often have a large but given size N , and the limiting laws may be achieved in a rather slow rate so that it may deviate significantly from the law for the non-asymptotic system of size N . In the context of RMT, most of the references mentioned above provide non-quantitative CLTs only. To the best of our knowledge, the first few works in this direction is on the random matrices on compact groups [19, 51, 34]. Especially, in [34], a super-exponential rate of convergence $O(N^{-cN})$, in Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance, was obtained for the circular unitary ensembles, and an exponential rate $O(e^{-cN})$ was obtained for the circular real and quaternion ensembles. Such fast rates are essentially due to the Gaussian nature of the circular ensembles. We also refer to [20, 36, 15] for related studies on circular ensembles. In contrast, the study of the convergence rate of LES for Hermitian ensembles, emerged only very recently. In [40], the authors considered the LES of the β -ensembles with one-cut potentials and established a convergence rate of CLT, in quadratic Kantorovich distance. In [7], the authors studied the convergence rate of LES for the models GUE/LUE/JUE, in Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance; in particular, they obtained a rate of $O(N^{-1/5})$ for GUE. Both the work [40] and [7] considered the invariant ensembles and the results do not seem to be optimal in general. Furthermore, the approaches used in [40] and [7] are more analytical than probabilistic, which are both based on the explicit formulas for the joint probability density functions of the eigenvalues. In a recent work [12], a CLT for the generalized linear statistics $\text{Tr}f(H)A$ with deterministic matrix A was established for $f \in H_0^2(\mathbb{R})$, on macroscopic and mesoscopic scales. Particularly, on macroscopic scale, the result [12] indicates a $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ convergence rate, in the sense of moment. In this work, we establish a near-optimal rate for the CLT of LES in a much stronger distance, Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance, for Wigner matrices, via a probabilistic approach. Observe that, in two toy cases, $f(x) = x$ and $f(x) = x^2$, the statistic $\text{Tr}f(H)$ is simply the sum of c_1N and c_2N^2 independent random variables, respectively. Therefore, according to the Berry-Esseen bound for the classical CLT of sum of independent random variables with third moments, we can easily conclude that the convergence rate of LES is of order $N^{-1/2}$ or N^{-1} when $f(x) = x$ or $f(x) = x^2$, respectively. From these toy examples, we can raise the following questions

Question 1: Do the rates $O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and $O(N^{-1})$ also apply to general test functions?

Question 2: Are $O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and $O(N^{-1})$ the best possible convergence rates, i.e., can one obtain matching lower bounds?

Question 3: If the answers to the previous questions are positive, what is the necessary and sufficient condition for the rate to be $O(N^{-1})$?

This paper answers these three questions. The main results will be detailed in Section 1.2, and the proof strategy and novelties will be stated in Section 2.

1.2. Main result. Our aim is to provide a quantitative rate for the convergence (1.1), or its variant with $\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}f(H)$ and $\text{Var}(\text{Tr}f(H))$ replaced by their estimates. Recall the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance of two real random variables X and Y

$$\Delta(X, Y) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(Y \leq x)|.$$

We shall always use Z to denote the standard Gaussian random variable $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a real test function g which is integrable w.r.t. to the weight function $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4-x^2}}$ on $[-2, 2]$, we set

$$c_k^g := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(2 \cos \theta) \cos k\theta d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 g(2x) T_k(x) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $T_k(x) = \cos(k \cos^{-1} x)$ is the k -th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. Hence, c_k^g can be regarded as the k -th coefficient of the Fourier-Chebyshev expansion of $g(2x)$, $x \in [-1, 1]$. Further, let μ_f, σ_f^2 be as in (3.5), (3.6) below. For any fixed $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 := \sigma_f^2 + \frac{1}{4} a_2 (\gamma - 1)^2 (c_1^f)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} := \frac{\text{Tr} f(H) - \mu_f - \frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \text{Tr} H}{\sigma_{f,\gamma}}. \quad (1.3)$$

We shall regard $\frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H$ and $\text{Tr} f(H) - \frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H$ as the diagonal part and the off-diagonal part of $\text{Tr} f(H)$, respectively. In the shifted LES $Z_{f,\gamma}$, we subtract γ -portion of the diagonal part from $\text{Tr} f(H)$. In particular, when $\gamma = 0$, we have the original LES, while when $\gamma = 1$, we have the pure off-diagonal part. Our main finding is that the diagonal part and the off-diagonal have different convergence rates towards Gaussian in general, and thus it is expected that the convergence rate of $Z_{f,\gamma}$ depends on $(1-\gamma)$. The dependence is nevertheless more subtle in the sense two more factors will determine the convergence rate together with $1-\gamma$. To state our result, we first introduce the following notation.

$$\mathcal{X} \equiv \mathcal{X}(\gamma, f, H) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (1-\gamma)c_1^f \mathbb{E}h_d^3 = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Since the result for linear function $f(x) = ax + b$ follows from the classical Berry-Esseen bound directly, we exclude this trivial case from our discussion. We may now state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$ be independent of N and suppose that f is not linear. For any fixed $\kappa > 0$, there exists fixed $C_{f,\kappa} > 0$ such that*

$$\Delta(\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}, Z) \leq C_{f,\kappa} (\mathcal{X} N^{-1/2+\kappa} + N^{-1+\kappa}).$$

Remark 1.3. (i) *Theorem 1.2 provides a positive answer for Question 1. It shows that up to an N^κ factor, the rates $O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and $O(N^{-1})$ apply to general test functions.*

(ii) *From the definition of \mathcal{X} in (1.4), the conditions to have the rate $O(N^{-1})$ is three-fold. First, the slow rate $O(N^{-1/2})$ comes from the diagonal part $\frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H$ of the LES. Once we fully subtract this term from $\text{Tr} f(H)$, i.e. when $\gamma = 1$, the remaining part of the LES will have a unified $O(N^{-1+\kappa})$ convergence rate.*

Second, in case $\gamma \neq 1$ but the test function f satisfies $c_1^f = 0$, the rate is again $O(N^{-1+\kappa})$. Especially, it recovers the rate for $\text{Tr} f(H)$ in the toy case $f(x) = x^2$.

Third, if $\gamma \neq 1$ and $c_1^f \neq 0$, the diagonal part $\frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H$ will play a role in the LES. The object $\text{Tr} H$, is simply a sum of i.i.d. random variable, and in general it has a slow convergence rate $O(N^{-1/2})$ towards Gaussian distribution. This is true even if $\mathbb{E}h_d^3 = 0$, as it is easy to check the case when $\mathbb{P}(h_d = 1) = \mathbb{P}(h_d = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. However, Theorem 1.2 shows that if $\mathbb{E}h_d^3 = 0$, the convergence rate of our shifted LES will still degenerate to $O(N^{-1+\kappa})$. This is due to the fact that the Gaussianity of the off-diagonal distribution $\text{Tr} f(H) - \frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H$ can further smooth out the difference between the distribution of $\frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H$ and Gaussian, as long as $\mathbb{E}h_d^3 = 0$. This does not happen in case $f(x) = ax + b$, due to the absence of the off-diagonal part.

(iii) *As we shall see in Remark 1.6 below, the condition $\mathcal{X} = 0$ for the rate to be $O(N^{-1})$ is necessary and sufficient for general test function f . This answers Question 3.*

In order to verify the optimality of our upper bound for the convergence rate. In the sequel, we present a companion result on the lower bound. Let us denote

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma} := \frac{\text{Tr} f(H) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \text{Tr} H - \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} f(H)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\text{Tr} f(H) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \text{Tr} H)}}.$$

For the lower bound of the convergence rate, we study the above quantity with mean 0 and variance 1, instead of $\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}$ in (1.3). Otherwise, one needs to exclude the possibility that the bias of the centralization or the scaling may be responsible for the lower bound of the convergence rate. Since our lower bound is mainly used to confirm that our upper bound is near-optimal, we do not aim for the lightest assumptions for the matrix and the test function in this part. We will further make the following additional assumptions in order to simplify the discussion.

Assumption 1.4. *We make the following additional assumptions on H and f :*

(i) (on H) *In addition to the basic assumptions in Definition 1.1, we further assume that the diagonal entries and off-diagonal entries of H match those of GOE ($\beta = 1$) or GUE ($\beta = 2$) up to the second and fourth moments respectively.*

(ii) (on f) *We assume that $f(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $[-2 - \varepsilon, 2 + \varepsilon]$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, and we further assume that $|f(x)|$ does not grow faster than polynomials when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.*

We remark here that the assumption on the growth of $|f(x)|$ is made to ensure the existence of $\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}f(H)$.

For any test function f , we introduce the notation $f_\gamma(x) := f(x) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma c_1^f x$. Furthermore, define $r_1^{f_\gamma} := \frac{1}{8}(c_1^{f_\gamma})^3 \mathbb{E}h_d^3$, and

$$r_{2,\beta}^{f_\gamma} := \beta^{-2} \sum_{\alpha,\tau,\gamma,\sigma,\psi=0}^{\infty} (\psi+1) \left(c_{\tau-\alpha+\sigma-\gamma+2\psi+2}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha+\tau+1}^{f_\gamma} c_{\gamma+\sigma+1}^{f_\gamma} + c_{\sigma-\gamma}^{f_\gamma} c_{\tau-\alpha}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha+\tau+\gamma+\sigma+2\psi+4}^{f_\gamma} - c_{\tau-\alpha}^{f_\gamma} c_{\gamma+\sigma+1}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha+\tau-\gamma+\sigma+2\psi+3}^{f_\gamma} \right) + \frac{3}{8} c_2^{f_\gamma} (c_1^{f_\gamma})^2 \mathcal{C}_4(h_d),$$

where $\beta \in \{1, 2\}$ represents the symmetric class, c_k^g is defined in (1.2) and here we also set $c_{-k}^g = c_k^g$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that Assumption 1.4 holds. We have*

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}^{\circ 3} = (\text{Var}(\text{Tr} f_\gamma(H)))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(-r_1^{f_\gamma} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} + r_{2,\beta}^{f_\gamma} N^{-1} \right) + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \quad (1.5)$$

As a consequence, for any fixed $\kappa > 0$, we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}^{\circ 3}, Z) \geq C'_{f,\kappa} \left(|r_1^{f_\gamma}| N^{-1/2-\kappa} + |r_{2,\beta}^{f_\gamma}| N^{-1-\kappa} \right) \quad (1.6)$$

for some constant $C'_{f,\kappa}$, when N is sufficiently large.

Remark 1.6. *Observe that $r_1^{f_\gamma} = \frac{1}{8}(1-\gamma)^3 (c_1^f)^3 \mathbb{E}h_d^3$. Apparently, we can replace $r_1^{f_\gamma}$ by \mathcal{X} in (1.4) when f is not linear. Hence, our lower bound matches the upper bound (up to $N^{2\kappa}$) in case $r_1^{f_\gamma} \neq 0$. Further, $r_2^{f_\gamma}$ is nonzero in general. For instance, if $f(x) = T_k(\frac{x}{2})$, the k -th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, it is easy to check $r_1^{f_\gamma} = 0$ and $r_{2,\beta}^{f_\gamma} = r_{2,\beta}^f = \beta^{-2}(\frac{1}{2}k^3 + \frac{1}{6}k)$ if k is even and $k \geq 4$. In this case, our lower bound also matches the upper bound (up to an $N^{2\kappa}$ factor). As a result, Theorem 1.5 gives a positive answer for Question 2, that $O(N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and $O(N^{-1})$ are indeed the best possible convergence rates in general. In addition, it also shows that $\mathcal{X} = 0$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for the general linear statistics $\text{Tr} f(H)$ to converge to Gaussian with speed $O(N^{-1})$, which answers Question 3, up to an $N^{2\kappa}$ factor.*

Remark 1.7. *We remark here that our discussion on lower bound can be extended to general setting without the moment matching condition in Assumption 1.4 (i), and the regularity assumption on f in (ii) can also be largely weakened. However, on one hand, the calculation and presentation under more general assumptions will be much more involved, and on the other hand, the result under more general assumptions will not be too much more informative for the purpose of checking the optimality of our upper bound. Hence, in order to simplify the presentation, we are not trying to optimize the conditions in the lower bound part.*

Organization. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide an outline of our proofs, with a highlight on heuristics and novelties. In Section 3, we state some preliminaries including known estimates or basic notions for the subsequent sections. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main result, Theorem 1.2, based on Proposition 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Proposition 4.4. Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 will be proved in Section A and Appendix C, respectively. The main technical result, Proposition 4.4, will be proved in Sections 5 and 6. In Section 7, we prove the lower bound, i.e., Theorem 1.5. Some other technical results are proved in the appendices.

Notations and Conventions. Throughout this paper, we regard N as our fundamental large parameter. Any quantities that are not explicit constant or fixed may depend on N ; we almost always omit the argument N from our notation. We use $\|A\|$ to denote the operator norm of a matrix A and use $\|\mathbf{u}\|_2$ to denote the L^2 -norm of a vector \mathbf{u} . We use c to denote some generic (small) positive constant, whose value may change from one expression to the next. Similarly, we use C to denote some generic (large) positive constant. For $A \in \mathbb{C}$, $B > 0$ and parameter a , we use $A = O_a(B)$ to denote $|A| \leq C_a B$ with some positive constant C_a which may depend on a .

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Xiao Fang and Gautier Lambert for helpful discussion.

2. HEURISTICS, PROOF STRATEGY, AND NOVELTIES

In this section, we provide an outline of our proofs, with a highlight on the heuristics and novelties. Our starting point is the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula in Lemma 3.13, which allows us to rewrite the LES into

$$\langle \text{Tr} f(H) \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle \text{Tr} G(z) \rangle d^2 z,$$

where $G(z) := (H - z)^{-1}$ is the Green function, $\langle \xi \rangle := \xi - \mathbb{E}\xi$ (c.f. (3.1)), and \tilde{f} is the almost-holomorphic extension of f defined in (4.2) below.

Decomposition into diagonal and off-diagonal parts. The first key observation is that the LES can be decomposed into two parts, which rely on the diagonal and off-diagonal entries of H , respectively. In general, these two parts have different convergence rates towards Gaussian. More precisely, let $\hat{H} = ((1 - \delta_{ij})H_{ij})_{N,N}$ be the off-diagonal part of H and $\hat{G}(z) = (\hat{H} - z)^{-1}$ be its Green function. By Proposition 4.2, one can approximately write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr} f(H) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) (\langle \text{Tr} \hat{G}(z) \rangle + m'(z) \text{Tr} H) d^2 z + \text{Error} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle \text{Tr} \hat{G}(z) \rangle d^2 z + \frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H + \text{Error} = : Z_o + \frac{1}{2} c_1^f \text{Tr} H + \text{Error}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m(z)$ and c_1^f are defined in (3.4) and (1.2) respectively. From the classical Berry-Esseen bound, one knows that $\text{Tr} H$ approaches Gaussian with a rate $O(N^{-1/2})$ in general. On the other hand, Z_o is contributed “equally” by $O(N^2)$ independent random variables, which makes it possible to expect for a convergence rate of $O(N^{-1})$. Heuristically, by Schur complement, the leading part of $\langle \hat{G}_{ii} \rangle$ is proportional to the centered quadratic form $x_i^* \hat{G}^{(i)} x_i - \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \hat{G}^{(i)}$, where x_i is the i -th column of H with H_{ii} removed, and $\hat{G}^{(i)} = (\hat{H}^{(i)} - z)^{-1}$. Here $\hat{H}^{(i)}$ is the minor of \hat{H} with i -th row and column crossed out. It is known from [23, 24] that a single quadratic form itself is already close to Gaussian up to a $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$ Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance. Summing up N such quadratic forms may then further reduce this distance to $O(\frac{1}{N})$. This indicates the faster rate for Z_o .

The above heuristic reasoning motivates us to consider the shifted LES $\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}$ in (1.3). When $\gamma = 1$ or $c_1^f = 0$, the leading contribution of the diagonal part of H to $\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}$ is removed, and one expects the convergence rate $O(N^{-1})$. In case $(1 - \gamma)c_1^f \neq 0$ but $\mathbb{E}h_d^3 = 0$, the mechanism for gaining a N^{-1} rate is a bit more subtle. Nevertheless, one can compare this case with the toy model $Z + \text{Tr} H$, where Z is a Gaussian random variable that can be regarded as a replacement of the off-diagonal part up to a N^{-1} error in distribution. A simple estimate of the characteristic function for this toy model leads to

the dependence of the convergence rate on $\mathbb{E}h_d^3$. For the above reasons, we introduce the parameter \mathcal{X} in (1.4), which identifies that the slow convergence rate comes from the diagonal part of H .

Near-optimal estimate of the off-diagonal part. The previous heuristic reasoning gives the correct prediction, it is nevertheless highly nontrivial to carry out rigorously. Especially, the entries \widehat{G}_{ii} 's are correlated. A priori, there is no obvious evidence that summing up N of them can reduce convergence rate by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$. By Esseen's inequality Lemma 3.14, in order to obtain the fast convergence of the off-diagonal part Z_o , the main step of our proof is to compute the characteristic function $\psi(t) := \mathbb{E} \exp(itZ_o)$ up to a precision of $O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$ for all $t \in [0, N^{1-\varepsilon}]$. More precisely, we need to show that

$$\left| \psi(t) - \exp\left(\frac{-\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| \leq CN^{-1+\varepsilon}$$

for all $t \in [0, N^{1-\varepsilon}]$. In order to do this, we shall show that ψ satisfies the differential equation

$$\psi'(t) = (-\sigma_f^2 t + b(t))\psi(t) + \mathcal{E}(t).$$

The main difficulty lies at obtaining optimal estimate for the error terms $b(t)$, $\mathcal{E}(t)$, namely

$$b(t) \prec \frac{t^2}{N}, \quad \mathcal{E}(t) \prec \frac{t+1}{N} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $t \in [0, N^{1-\varepsilon}]$. Let us define the integration operator $\{\cdot\}_n \equiv \{\cdot\}_{n,f}$ as in (5.2) below, such that $\psi'(t) = \{i\mathbb{E}\langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G} \rangle \exp(itZ_o)\}$. Our starting point is

$$z\mathbb{E}\langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G} \rangle \exp(itZ_o) = \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}[H_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ji} \langle \exp(itZ_o) \rangle],$$

and we expand the RHS to get a self-consistent equation, using the cumulant expansion formula in Lemma 3.2. By doing so, we will arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(t) &= -\sigma_f^2 \psi(t) + \sigma_{f,1}^2 \psi(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 2} i \left\{ \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \frac{1}{z + 2m(z)} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\widehat{G}_{ji} \langle \exp(itZ_o) \rangle)}{\partial H_{ij}^k} \right\} + \text{error} \\ &=: -\sigma_f^2 \psi(t) + \sigma_{f,1}^2 \psi(t) + \sum_{k \geq 2} \mathcal{L}_k + \text{error}, \end{aligned}$$

where s_k 's are defined in (5.1) and

$$\sigma_{f,1}^2 = \frac{s_4}{2\pi^2} \left(\int_{-2}^2 f(x) \frac{2-x^2}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx \right)^2.$$

The term $\sigma_{f,1}^2 \psi(t)$ shall cancel the leading term in \mathcal{L}_3 . From a straightforward computation, it can be proved, as previously in [41] that

$$\mathcal{L}_k + \delta_{k4} \sigma_{f,1}^2 \psi(t) = O_{\prec}(1 + |t|^5) / \sqrt{N},$$

which apparently falls far short of our needs. In order to get the sharp estimate (2.1), we need a much more careful treatment of \mathcal{L}_k . For brevity, in the remaining discussion of this section, for a sequence of parameters z_a 's in \mathbb{C} , we write $\widehat{G}_a = \widehat{G}(z_a)$. By the differential rule (5.4) and local laws on Green function entries (c.f. Theorem 3.7, Lemmas 3.9 and 3.11), it will be seen that one type of the terms in \mathcal{L}_k which is difficult to estimate is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{t^k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} (\widehat{G}_1)_{ij} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} \exp(itZ_o) \right\}_{k+1} \\ &= \frac{t^k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle (\widehat{G}_1)_{ij} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} \rangle \exp(itZ_o) \right\}_{k+1} \\ &\quad + \frac{t^k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} (\widehat{G}_1)_{ij} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} \right\}_{k+1} \psi(t) =: \mathcal{L}_{k,1} + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^k}{N^{k-1}} \right) \cdot \psi(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $\sum_{i,j}^* = \sum_{i \neq j}$ (c.f. (3.2)) and in the last step we used Lemma 3.11 to estimate the off-diagonal entries of the Green functions and Lemma 5.1 for the integral. We emphasize that what we actually need to deal with is a class of more general and complicated variants of (2.2). Nevertheless, for simplicity, we focus on the toy case in (2.2) to illustrate the main mechanism. As $k \geq 2$, and we have the crucial condition $t \leq N^{1-\varepsilon}$, we see that

$$O_{\prec}\left(\frac{t^k}{N^{k-1}}\right) \cdot \psi(t) = O_{\prec}\left(\frac{t^2}{N}\right) \cdot \psi(t)$$

as desired. Hence it remains to show $\mathcal{L}_{k,1} = O_{\prec}(t^k N^{-k}) = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it suffices to prove

$$\mathcal{L}_{k,2} := \mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{i,j}^* \left\langle (\widehat{G}_1)_{ij} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} \right\rangle_{k+1}\right\}^2 = O_{\prec}(N^{-k+1}). \quad (2.3)$$

A direct application of Lemma 3.11 only leads to $\mathcal{L}_{k,2} = O_{\prec}(N^{-k+3})$, and thus we need to gain an additional factor of N^{-2} . In order to achieve this, we need to exploit the smallness induced by the centering operator “ $\langle \cdot \rangle$ ” in $\mathcal{L}_{k,2}$. Such a mechanism of gaining additional smallness will be referred to as *fluctuation averaging of the off-diagonal entries* (of the Green function) in the sequel.

Fluctuation averaging of the off-diagonal entries of the Green function. The fluctuation averaging of the diagonal entries of the Green function is well-understood by the local law. For instance, by Lemma 3.9, one can show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_i^* \left\langle (\widehat{G}_1)_{ii} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ii} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ii} \right\rangle_{k+1}\right\}^2 = O_{\prec}(1). \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, fluctuation averaging for the off-diagonal entries of the Green function has not been fully studied in the literature. To prove (2.3), let us denote

$$Y_{k+1} := \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* (\widehat{G}_1)_{ij} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} \right\}_{k+1},$$

and the leading contribution of $\mathbb{E}\langle Y_{k+1} \rangle^2$ is contained in

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{l \neq i} \sum_{i,j}^* H_{il} (\widehat{G}_1)_{lj} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} \right\}_{k+1} \langle Y_{k+1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{s_{n+1}}{n!} \frac{1}{N^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{l \neq i} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\partial^n ((\widehat{G}_1)_{lj} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji})}{H_{il}^n} \right\}_{k+1} \langle Y_{k+1} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{n}{r} \frac{s_{n+1}}{n!} \frac{1}{N^{(n+1)/2}} \sum_{l \neq i} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\left\{ \frac{\partial^{n-r} ((\widehat{G}_1)_{lj} (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji})}{H_{il}^{n-r}} \frac{\partial^r \langle Y_{k+1} \rangle}{\partial H_{il}^r} \right\}_{k+1} \\ &=: \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_n^{(1)} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_n^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we expand the LHS of above via Lemma 3.2. By repeatedly applying the cumulant expansion formula to $\mathcal{L}_n^{(1)}$, one can express it as a sum of two types of terms. The first type of terms contain enough off-diagonal Green function entries so that they can be neglected directly by applying the local laws in Theorem 3.7, Lemmas 3.9 and 3.11, thanks to the smallness of off-diagonal Green function entries. The other type of terms contain no off-diagonal entry except for those in the second $\langle Y_{k+1} \rangle$ factor. Thanks to the elementary fact $\mathbb{E}\xi \langle Y_{k+1} \rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle \xi \rangle \langle Y_{k+1} \rangle$ for any word ξ in diagonal Green function entries, we can apply the fluctuation averaging of diagonal entries such as (2.4). This mechanism will then be enough to bound $\mathcal{L}_n^{(1)}$.

The terms in $\mathcal{L}_n^{(2)}$ are more complicated, as the centering of Y_{k+1} is destroyed by the derivatives. We are then forced to deal with the joint behavior of two Y_{k+1} . For example, one of the leading terms in

$\mathcal{L}_1^{(2)}$ is of the form

$$-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} \{ (\widehat{G}_2^2)_{ji} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{k+1}^2)_{ji} (\widehat{G}_1 \widehat{G}_{k+2})_{uj} (\widehat{G}_{k+2})_{iv} (\widehat{G}_{k+3}^2)_{uv} \cdots (\widehat{G}_{2k+2}^2)_{uv} \}_{2k+2}.$$

By Lemma 3.11, the above can only be estimated by $O_{\prec}(N^{-k+2})$, which means we are still in short by a factor N^{-1} . In order to exploit extra smallness for this types of terms, we identify several special cases for the monomials of the Green functions in Section 6.1 below. More specifically, we will introduce the notion of *lone factor*, which is an off-diagonal Green function entry \widehat{G}_{ij} whose index (i, j) is not shared by the other entries in the monomial. Heuristically, a lone factor is weakly correlated with the other Green function entries in the monomial and thus it can bring additional smallness when one apply expectation to the monomial. We then further discuss the case when there are two lone factors $\widehat{G}_{ij}, \widehat{G}_{uv}$ with $\{i, j\} \cap \{u, v\} = \emptyset$ or the case there is a trio $\widehat{G}_{ij}, \widehat{G}_{iu}, \widehat{G}_{iv}$ with distinct j, u, v . We prove the improved estimates for these cases, which finally enable us to conclude (2.3). The heuristics of the lone factor and related notions are explained in Remark 6.4 below.

Observe that the size of a sum of monomials in Green function entries essentially depends on a few key parameters. For instance, first, if an index shows up exactly twice in the monomial, the sum over such an index will reduce the number of terms by N . Second, each off-diagonal Green function entry can contribute an $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ factor due to the local law. Third, since we will need to work in the regime $\eta = \text{Im } z \gtrsim N^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ due to the assumption $f \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$, we also need to monitor the power of η^{-1} , which may contribute additional N -factors. There are several other factors crucial for the estimate, such as the t -factor which may be large since we are working with $t \in [0, N^{1-\varepsilon}]$. Therefore, we will introduce a uniform bookkeeping system to keep tracking on the evolution of the above key parameters during the cumulant expansions. We refer to the notion *abstract polynomial* and its key parameters in Section 5.2 for details.

The lower bound. Finally, in order to obtain a matching lower bound of the convergence rate, we turn to estimate the third moment of the centered LES, i.e. $\mathbb{E}[(\text{Tr} f(H) - \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} f(H) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \text{Tr} H)]^3$. It turns out the third moment has precise leading term $r_1 \mathcal{X} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} + r_2 N^{-1}$, where r_1 and r_2 are constants depending on f and they are nonzero in general. This suggests that in general, we cannot approximate the LES with any Gaussian random variable, with a precision better than $O(\mathcal{X} N^{-1/2} + N^{-1})$. A simple argument using the eigenvalue rigidity implies that the convergence rate has a lower bound $|r_1| \mathcal{X} N^{-\frac{1}{2}-\kappa} + |r_2| N^{-1-\kappa}$ in Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance.

The computation of the third moment of the LES boils down to that of the three point function of Green functions, which is also derived by the cumulant expansion. Using a naive estimate by Theorem 3.7, the third moment can only be bounded by $O(1)$. In order to reach the true scale $O((\mathcal{X} N^{-1/2} + N^{-1}))$, we shall discover several nontrivial cancellations to obtain a more precise estimate than what has been done in previous works.

3. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, for an $N \times N$ matrix M , we write $\underline{M} := \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr } M$, and we abbreviate $M^{*n} := (M^*)^n$, $M_{ij}^* := (M^*)_{ij} = \overline{M_{ji}}$, $M_{ij}^n := (M_{ij})^n$. We emphasize here that M_{ij}^n is different from $(M^n)_{ij}$ in general, where the latter apparently means the (i, j) entry of M^n . We use

$$\langle X \rangle := X - \mathbb{E} X \tag{3.1}$$

to denote the centering of the random variable X . For any positive integer n , we use the notation $\llbracket 1, n \rrbracket := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For indices $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$, we use

$$\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^* \tag{3.2}$$

to denote the sum over all k -tuples $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket^k$ with distinct indices. We further introduce the following notations for the conditional expectations

$$\mathbb{E}_o(\cdot) := \mathbb{E}(\cdot | H_{ii}, i = 1, \dots, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_d(\cdot) := \mathbb{E}(\cdot | H_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, N, i \neq j). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. We denote the *Green function of H* and its Stieltjes transform by

$$G \equiv G(z) := (H - z)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad s(z) := \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} G(z) = \underline{G(z)}.$$

Let ρ_{sc} be the semicircle density, i.e. $\rho_{sc}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{(4-x^2)_+}$. The Stieltjes transform m of the semicircle density ρ_{sc} is given by

$$m(z) := \int \frac{\rho_{sc}(x)}{x-z} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 \frac{\sqrt{4-x^2}}{x-z} dx, \quad (3.4)$$

so that $m(z) = \frac{-z + \sqrt{z^2 - 4}}{2}$. Here the square root $\sqrt{z^2 - 4}$ is chosen with a branch cut in the segment $[-2, 2]$ so that $\sqrt{z^2 - 4} \sim z$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. For $z = E + i\eta$, $\eta > 0$, it is easy to check

$$m(z) = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad m'(z) = O(|E^2 - 4| + \eta)^{-1/2}.$$

For $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, we recall the parameters defined in Definition 1.1 and further set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f &:= N \int_{-2}^2 f(x) \rho_{sc}(x) dx - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\beta} - 1 \right) \int_{-2}^2 \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx + \frac{1}{2\beta} (f(2) + f(-2)) \\ &+ \frac{a_2 - 2\beta^{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 f(x) \frac{2-x^2}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx + \frac{s_4}{2\pi} \int_{-2}^2 f(x) \frac{x^4 - 4x^2 + 2}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx \\ &+ \frac{a_3}{4\pi\sqrt{N}} \int_{-2}^2 f(x) \frac{x^3 - 2x}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_f^2 &:= \frac{1}{2\beta\pi^2} \int_{-2}^2 \int_{-2}^2 \frac{(f(y) - f(x))^2}{(x-y)^2} \frac{4-xy}{\sqrt{4-x^2}\sqrt{4-y^2}} dx dy \\ &- \frac{1}{2\beta\pi^2} \left(\int_{-2}^2 f(x) \frac{x}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx \right)^2 + \frac{s_4}{2\pi^2} \left(\int_{-2}^2 f(x) \frac{2-x^2}{\sqrt{4-x^2}} dx \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note that by [4, Equation (1.5)], we can rewrite the variance into

$$\sigma_f^2 = \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k (c_k^f)^2 + \frac{s_4}{2} (c_2^f)^2,$$

which implies that $\sigma_f^2 \geq 0$, and $\sigma_f^2 = 0$ if and only if f is linear.

Let h be a real-valued random variable with finite moments of all order. We use $\mathcal{C}_n(h)$ to denote the n th cumulant of h , i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}_n(h) := (-i)^n \cdot (\partial_\lambda^n \log \mathbb{E} e^{i\lambda h}) \Big|_{\lambda=0}.$$

The following is a simple consequence of Definition 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *For every fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{C}_n(H_{ij}) = O_n(N^{-n/2})$$

uniformly for all i, j .

We will need the following expansion formula, due to Andrew Barbour [5]. It was first applied to random matrix theory in [39], and it has been widely used by the random matrix community in recent works, e.g. [27, 42, 29, 21, 30, 28, 26]. A proof of a slightly different version can be found in [29, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 3.2 (Barbour's cumulant expansion formula). *Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function, and denote by $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ its n th derivative. Then, for every fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[h \cdot \mathcal{F}(h)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{n!} \mathcal{C}_{n+1}(h) \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}^{(n)}(h)] + \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}, \quad (3.7)$$

assuming that all expectations in (3.7) exist, where $\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}$ is a remainder term (depending on \mathcal{F} and h), such that for any $s > 0$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1} = O(1) \cdot \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{|x| \leq |h|} |\mathcal{F}^{(\ell+1)}(x)|^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} |h^{2\ell+4} \mathbf{1}_{|h| > s}| \right)^{1/2} + O(1) \cdot \mathbb{E} |h|^{\ell+2} \cdot \sup_{|x| \leq s} |\mathcal{F}^{(\ell+1)}(x)|.$$

Next we introduce the notions of stochastic domination.

Definition 3.3 (Stochastic domination). *Let*

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}^{(N)}(u) : N \in \mathbb{N}, u \in \mathbf{U}^{(N)}), \quad \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}^{(N)}(u) : N \in \mathbb{N}, u \in \mathbf{U}^{(N)}),$$

be two families of random variables, where \mathbf{Y} is nonnegative, and $\mathbf{U}^{(N)}$ is a possibly N -dependent parameter set.

We say that \mathbf{X} is stochastically dominated by \mathbf{Y} , uniformly in u , if for all small $\varepsilon > 0$ and large $D > 0$, we have

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbf{U}^{(N)}} \mathbb{P} \left(|\mathbf{X}^{(N)}(u)| > N^\varepsilon \mathbf{Y}^{(N)}(u) \right) \leq N^{-D},$$

for large enough $N \geq N_0(\varepsilon, D)$. If \mathbf{X} is stochastically dominated by \mathbf{Y} , uniformly in u , we use the notation $\mathbf{X} \prec \mathbf{Y}$, or equivalently $\mathbf{X} = O_{\prec}(\mathbf{Y})$. Note that in the special case when \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are deterministic, $\mathbf{X} \prec \mathbf{Y}$ means that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, $|\mathbf{X}^{(N)}(u)| \leq N^\varepsilon \mathbf{Y}^{(N)}(u)$ uniformly in u , for all sufficiently large $N \geq N_0(\varepsilon)$.

Throughout this paper, the stochastic domination will always be uniform in all parameters (mostly are matrix indices and the spectral parameter z) that are not explicitly fixed.

We have the following elementary result about stochastic domination.

Lemma 3.4. *Let*

$$\mathbf{X}_i = (\mathbf{X}_i^{(N)}(u) : N \in \mathbb{N}, u \in \mathbf{U}^{(N)}), \quad \mathbf{Y}_i = (\mathbf{Y}_i^{(N)}(u) : N \in \mathbb{N}, u \in \mathbf{U}^{(N)}), \quad i = 1, 2$$

be families of random variables, where $\mathbf{Y}_i, i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative, and $\mathbf{U}^{(N)}$ is a possibly N -dependent parameter set. Let

$$\Phi = (\Phi^{(N)}(u) : N \in \mathbb{N}, u \in \mathbf{U}^{(N)})$$

be a family of deterministic nonnegative quantities. We have the following results:

- (i) If $\mathbf{X}_1 \prec \mathbf{Y}_1$ and $\mathbf{X}_2 \prec \mathbf{Y}_2$ then $\mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 \prec \mathbf{Y}_1 + \mathbf{Y}_2$ and $\mathbf{X}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 \prec \mathbf{Y}_1 \mathbf{Y}_2$.
- (ii) Suppose $\mathbf{X}_1 \prec \Phi$, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|\mathbf{X}_1^{(N)}(u)| \leq N^C \Phi^{(N)}(u)$ a.s. uniformly in u for all sufficiently large N . Then $\mathbb{E} \mathbf{X}_1 \prec \Phi$.

We further define the following relation between random variables that will facilitate our discussion.

Definition 3.5 (Equivalent class in the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance). *Fix $M > 0$. Two families of real random variables $X = (X_N), Y = (Y_N)$ are said to be equivalent, with parameter M , if*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_N - Y_N| \geq CN^{-M}) \leq CN^{-M}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. We denote it by $X \sim_M Y$.

It is easy to check that “ \sim_M ” defines an equivalent relation. The next lemma shows a relation between \sim_M and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance.

Lemma 3.6. *Recall that $Z \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Suppose two families of real random variables $X = (X_N), Y = (Y_N)$ satisfy $X \sim_M Y$ for some fixed $M > 0$. Then*

$$\Delta(X, Z) = \Delta(Y, Z) + O(N^{-M}).$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $X \sim_M Y$, we see that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \leq x - |\varepsilon|) + O(N^{-M}) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \leq \mathbb{P}(Y \leq x + |\varepsilon|) + O(N^{-M})$$

for some $\varepsilon = O(N^{-M})$ independent of x . The proof then follows by using the triangle inequality and the fact that the distribution function of Z is Lipschitz continuous. \square

We now state the local semicircle law for Wigner matrices from [22, 32].

Theorem 3.7 (Isotropic local semicircle law). *Let H be a Wigner matrix satisfying Definition 1.1, and define the spectral domains*

$$\mathbf{S} := \{E + i\eta : |E| \leq 10, 0 < \eta \leq 10\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}^o(c) := \{E + i\eta \in \mathbf{S} : |E| \geq 2 + N^{-2/3+c}\}$$

for fixed $c > 0$. Then for deterministic $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = 1$, we have the bounds

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, G(z)\mathbf{v} \rangle - m(z)\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \prec \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} m(z)}{N\eta}} + \frac{1}{N\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad |s(z) - m(z)| \prec \frac{1}{N\eta},$$

uniformly in $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{S}$. Moreover, outside the bulk of the spectrum, we have the stronger estimates

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, G(z)\mathbf{v} \rangle - m(z)\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \prec \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}(\eta + |E^2 - 4|)^{1/4}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\underline{G}(z) - m(z)| \prec \frac{1}{N(\eta + |E^2 - 4|)}$$

uniformly for $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{S}^o(c)$.

One standard consequence of the above local law is the following bound on the spectral norm of H .

Corollary 3.8. *We have*

$$\|H\| - 2 \prec N^{-2/3}.$$

For any fixed $c > 0$, we define

$$\mathbf{S}_c := \{E + i\eta : |E| \leq 10, |\eta| \geq N^{-1+c}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{S}_c^+ := \{E + i\eta : |E| \leq 10, \eta \geq N^{-1+c}\}. \quad (3.8)$$

The following lemma is a preliminary estimate on G . It provides a priori bounds on entries of powers of G which are significantly better than those obtained by a direct application of the local semicircle law. The proof is postponed to Appendix D.

Lemma 3.9. *Let H be a Wigner matrix satisfying Definition 1.1. Fix $c > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$. For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$, we define $G_j \equiv G(z_j) = (H - z_j)^{-1}$, where $z_j = E_j + i\eta_j \in \mathbf{S}_c$. Suppose $|\eta_1| \leq |\eta_2| \leq \dots \leq |\eta_l|$. We have*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_l^{k_l}) - \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr}(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_l^{k_l}) \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_1^{k_1} \dots \eta_l^{k_l}|} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\sum_i (G_1^{k_1})_{ii} \dots (G_l^{k_l})_{ii} - Nm^{(k_1-1)}(z_1) \dots m^{(k_l-1)}(z_l) \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_1^{k_1} \eta_2^{k_2-1} \dots \eta_l^{k_l-1}|} \quad (3.10)$$

as well as

$$(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_l^{k_l})_{ij} - \delta_{ij} m_l((z_1, k_1), \dots, (z_l, k_l)) \prec \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}|\eta_1|} \frac{1}{|\eta_1^{k_1-1} \eta_2^{k_2} \dots \eta_l^{k_l}|} \quad (3.11)$$

for any fixed $k_1, \dots, k_l \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Here

$$m_l((z_1, k_1), \dots, (z_l, k_l)) := \int \frac{\varrho_{sc}(x)}{(x - z_1)^{k_1} \dots (x - z_l)^{k_l}} dx \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_1^{k_1-1} \eta_2^{k_2} \dots \eta_l^{k_l}|}. \quad (3.12)$$

Remark 3.10. *We emphasize that (3.9)-(3.11) are particular cases of equations (52) and (54) in [12]; also see Theorem 4.1 of [11] and Theorem 3.4 of [13] for more general versions, which are called multi-resolvent local laws therein. Further, we also emphasize that the quantity in (3.12) is a special case of the one considered in equation (3.8) of [13] (also see (3.25) therein). But due the simplicity of the special forms in Lemma 3.9, we state a short proof in Appendix D for readers' convenience.*

Next we use \widehat{H} to denote the Wigner matrix with zero diagonal entries, i.e. $\widehat{H}_{ij} = (1 - \delta_{ij})H_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. We define the corresponding Green function and Stieltjes transform by

$$\widehat{G} \equiv \widehat{G}(z) := (\widehat{H} - z)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{s}(z) := \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Tr} \widehat{G}(z) = \underline{\widehat{G}}(z). \quad (3.13)$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 3.11. *Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.9 remain valid when we replace H and G by \widehat{H} and \widehat{G} , respectively.*

Proof. The statement is trivially true, as by Definition 1.1, \widehat{H} is again a Wigner matrix, with $a_2 = 0$. \square

Set the matrix

$$H_d := H - \widehat{H}, \quad (3.14)$$

which consists of the diagonal entries of H . We have the following estimate that can be easily deduced from Lemma 3.11.

Lemma 3.12. *Fix $\omega \in \mathbb{N}$. We have*

$$(\widehat{G}(z)H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega}(z))_{ij} \prec \left(\frac{1}{N|\eta|} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{N|\eta|}} \right) \frac{1}{|\eta|^\omega}$$

uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{S}_c$.

We conclude this section with two classical results.

Lemma 3.13 (Helffer-Sjöstrand formula). *Let $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\phi(z) = 0$ for large enough $|\operatorname{Re} z|$. Then for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\phi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi(z)}{\lambda - z} d^2 z,$$

where $\partial_{\bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)$ is the antiholomorphic derivative and $d^2 z$ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 3.14 (Esseen inequality). *Let Y be a real random variable with characteristic function $\psi(t) = \mathbb{E}e^{itY}$. Let $\Phi(x)$ be the distribution function of $Z \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\sigma, T > 0$, we have*

$$\Delta(Y\sigma^{-1}, Z) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(Y\sigma^{-1} \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq C \int_0^T \frac{|\psi(t\sigma^{-1}) - \exp(-t^2/2)|}{t} dt + \frac{C}{T}$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For the rest of this paper we fix $\kappa > 0$ as in Theorem 1.2. Let f be as in Theorem 1.2. It suffices to assume that f has compact support, since we can construct $\widehat{f} \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } |x| \leq 3, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Note that $f = \widehat{f}$ on $[-3, 3]$, and by Corollary 3.8 we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Tr} f(H) \neq \operatorname{Tr} \widehat{f}(H)) \leq \mathbb{P}(\|H\| \geq 3) \leq CN^{-10}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} = \mathcal{Z}_{\widehat{f},\gamma}$ with probability at least $1 - CN^{-10}$, which together with Lemma 3.6 implies

$$\Delta(\mathcal{Z}_f, Z) = \Delta(\mathcal{Z}_{\widehat{f}}, Z) + O(N^{-10}).$$

From now on we shall always assume $\operatorname{supp} f \subset [-4, 4]$, and as a consequence f is bounded. We have the following result, whose proof is postponed to Appendix B.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f \in C_c^5(\mathbb{R})$ and μ_f be as in (3.5). We have*

$$\mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} f(H) - \mu_f = O_{\prec}(N^{-1}).$$

By Lemma 4.1 we see that

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} \rangle = \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} - \mathbb{E} \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr} f(H) - \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} f(H) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \operatorname{Tr} H}{\sigma_{f,\gamma}}$$

satisfies $\langle \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} \rangle \sim_{1-\kappa} \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}$. Hence, Lemma 3.6 implies

$$\Delta(\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}, Z) = \Delta(\langle \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} \rangle, Z) + O(N^{-1+\kappa}). \quad (4.1)$$

By Lemma 3.13, we can write the LES as

$$\mathrm{Tr} f(H) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \mathrm{Tr} G(z) d^2 z, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{f}(z) := \sum_{k=0}^4 \frac{(iy)^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) \chi(y), \quad (4.2)$$

and $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\chi(y) = 1$ for $|y| \leq 1$ and $\chi(y) = 0$ for $|y| \geq 2$. Define the region

$$\mathbf{D} := \{x + iy : |x| \leq 10, |y| \geq N^{-1/4}\}. \quad (4.3)$$

By Theorem 3.7, we see that $\langle \mathrm{Tr} G(z) \rangle \prec |y|^{-1}$ uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{S}$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle \mathrm{Tr} G(z) \rangle d^2 z = -\frac{i}{48\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbf{D}} y^4 f^{(5)}(x) \langle \mathrm{Tr} G(z) \rangle d^2 z \prec N^{-1}, \quad (4.4)$$

where we used the fact that $\mathrm{supp} f \subset [-4, 4]$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma} := \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_{f,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle \mathrm{Tr} G(z) \rangle d^2 z - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \mathrm{Tr} H}{\sigma_{f,\gamma}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that by the symmetry of the domain \mathbf{D} , the random variable $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}$ is real. From (4.4) we know that $|\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma} - \langle \mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma} \rangle| \prec N^{-1}$. By Lemma 3.6 and (4.1) we have

$$\Delta(\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}, Z) = \Delta(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}, Z) + O(N^{-1+\kappa}). \quad (4.6)$$

The first key step of our proof is the following decomposition, which splits off the contribution of the diagonal entries. The proof is postponed to Appendix A.

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

$$\langle \mathrm{Tr} G(z) \rangle - \langle \mathrm{Tr} \hat{G}(z) \rangle + \sum_i \langle (\hat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} + m'(z) \mathrm{Tr} H - m'(z) m(z) \left(\sum_i H_{ii}^2 - a_2 \right) \prec \frac{1}{N|\eta|^3}$$

uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{S}_c$.

By Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_{f,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \left(\langle \mathrm{Tr} \hat{G}(z) \rangle - \sum_i \langle (\hat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} - m'(z) \mathrm{Tr} H + m'(z) m(z) \left(\sum_i H_{ii}^2 - a_2 \right) \right) d^2 z \\ & - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \gamma c_1^f \mathrm{Tr} H}{\sigma_{f,\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_{f,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle \mathrm{Tr} \hat{G}(z) \rangle d^2 z - \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_{f,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \sum_i \langle (\hat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} d^2 z \\ & + \frac{1}{\sigma_{f,\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1-\gamma) c_1^f \mathrm{Tr} H + \frac{1}{2} c_2^f \left(\sum_i H_{ii}^2 - a_2 \right) \right) =: \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma,1} + \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma,2} + \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma,3} =: \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) = -\frac{iy^3}{12} f^{(4)}(x)$ for $|y| \leq 1$ and f is compactly supported, we can easily see from (4.5) and Proposition 4.2 that $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma} \sim_{1-\kappa} \tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}$. By Lemma 3.6 and (4.6) we have

$$\Delta(\hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}, Z) = \Delta(\mathcal{Z}_{f,\gamma}, Z) + O(N^{-1+\kappa}). \quad (4.7)$$

In the sequel, we denote $\varphi_k(t) := \exp(it\sigma_{f,\gamma} \hat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma,k})$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$, and

$$X_i := \left(-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle (\hat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle d^2 z H_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} c_2^f (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) \right) \quad (4.8)$$

for $i \in \llbracket 1, N \rrbracket$. Let

$$\varphi_4(t) := \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d X_i^2 + \frac{(it)^3}{6} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d X_i^3 \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Note that by the definition of \mathbf{D} , X_i 's are real random variables, and as a result $|\varphi_4(t)| \leq 1$. We have the following result concerning the diagonal entries of H .

Lemma 4.3. Fix $c > 0$. Recall the definition of \mathcal{X} from (1.4), and the definition of \mathbb{E}_d from (3.3). We have

$$\mathbb{E}_d[\varphi_2(t)\varphi_3(t)] = \exp\left(-\frac{a_2(1-\gamma)^2(c_1^f)^2 t^2}{8}\right)\varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1} + \mathcal{X}N^{-1/2}) \quad (4.10)$$

uniformly for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$.

Proof. See Appendix C. \square

The second key step of our proof is the following estimate of the characteristic function, especially when t gets large. The proof will be our major technical task, and it will be postponed to Section 5 below.

Proposition 4.4. Fix $c > 0$, and recall the definition of \mathbb{E}_o from (3.3). Let us denote

$$\phi_{\xi}(t) := \mathbb{E}_o[\varphi_1(t)(\xi\varphi_2(t) + (1-\xi)\varphi_4(t))]$$

with parameter $\xi \in \{0, 1\}$. We have

$$\phi'_{\xi}(t) = (-\sigma_f^2 t + b_{\xi}(t))\phi_{\xi}(t) + \mathcal{E}_{\xi}(t), \quad (4.11)$$

where $b_{\xi}(t)$ is deterministic satisfying

$$b_{\xi}(t) \prec \frac{t^2}{N}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{E}_{\xi}(t)| \prec \frac{t+1}{N} + \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{N}} \quad (4.12)$$

uniformly for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/(2-\xi)}]$.

Proposition 4.4 leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. Fix $c > 0$. There exists a constant $C \equiv C(f, c) > 0$ such that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| \leq CN^{-1+c} \quad (4.13)$$

uniformly for all $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$, and

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi_1(t)\varphi_2(t)\varphi_3(t)] \right| \leq CN^{-1+c} \quad (4.14)$$

uniformly for all $t \in [N^{(1-c)/2}, N^{1-c}]$.

Proof. Let $B_{\xi}(t) := \int_0^t b_{\xi}(u)du$, and it is easy to see that

$$B_{\xi}(t) - B_{\xi}(s) = O_{\prec}((t-s)t^2 N^{-1}), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq N^{(1-c)/(2-\xi)}. \quad (4.15)$$

The ODE (4.11) is solved by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\xi}(t) &= \phi_{\xi}(t_0) \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - t_0^2)}{2} + B_{\xi}(t) - B_{\xi}(t_0)\right) \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{2} + B_{\xi}(t) - B_{\xi}(s)\right) \mathcal{E}_{\xi}(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

for $0 \leq t_0 \leq t \leq N^{(1-c)/(2-\xi)}$.

First, we prove (4.13). Note that when $\xi = 0$, the error term $\mathcal{E}_0(t)$ in (4.11) is deterministic. Using (4.16) for $\xi = 0$ and $t_0 = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t) = \phi_0(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2} + B_0(t)\right) + \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{2} + B_0(t) - B_0(s)\right) \mathcal{E}_0(s) ds$$

for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$. By (4.15) and $\sigma_f > c_* > 0$, we have

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2} + B_0(t)\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}),$$

and

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{2} + B_0(t) - B_0(s)\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{4}\right)$$

for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq N^{(1-c)/2}$. Together with (4.12) we get

$$\mathbb{E}\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \cdot \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{4}\right)(s+1) ds.$$

When $t \in [0, \log N]$, it is easy to see from the above that

$$\mathbb{E}\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}). \quad (4.17)$$

When $t \in [\log N, N^{(1-c)/2}]$, we have $\exp(-\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)/4) = O(N^{-10})$ for $s \in [0, t - (\log N)^2 t^{-1}]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t) &= \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \cdot \int_{t - (\log N)^2 t^{-1}}^t \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{4}\right)(s+1) ds + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \cdot (\log N)^2 t^{-1} \cdot (t+1) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2 t^2}{2}\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

This finishes the proof of (4.13).

Next, we prove (4.14). Note that $|\varphi_3|, |\phi_\xi| \leq 1$. We have, using (4.16) with $\xi = 1$ and $t_0 = N^{1/2-3c/4}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi_1(t)\varphi_2(t)\varphi_3(t)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}|\phi_1(t)| \\ & \leq \left| \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - t_0^2)}{2} + B_1(t) - B_1(t_0)\right) \right| + \int_{t_0}^t \left| \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{2} + B_1(t) - B_1(s)\right) \right| \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{E}_1(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.15), $\sigma_f > c_* > 0$, and $N^{1-c} \geq t \geq N^{(1-c)/2} = t_0 N^{c/4}$, we have

$$\left| \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - t_0^2)}{2} + B_1(t) - B_1(t_0)\right) \right| = O(N^{-10}).$$

By (4.12), $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{E}_1(s)| \prec \frac{s+1}{N} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \leq \frac{3s}{N^{1-3c/4}}$ for $s \geq t_0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^t \left| \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{2} + B_1(t) - B_1(s)\right) \right| \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{E}_1(s)| ds \\ & \prec \frac{1}{N^{1-3c/4}} \int_{t_0}^t \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{4}\right) s ds \\ & = O_{\prec}(N^{-10}) + \frac{1}{N^{1-3c/4}} \int_{t - (\log N)^2 t^{-1}}^t \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_f^2(t^2 - s^2)}{4}\right) s ds \prec N^{-1+3c/4}. \end{aligned}$$

The above three relations imply the desired result (4.14). \square

Now, with the above estimates on characteristic functions, we can prove Theorem 1.2 in the sequel.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us denote

$$\psi_f(t) := \mathbb{E} \exp(it\sigma_{f,\gamma} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}).$$

Setting $c = \kappa/2$ in Lemma 4.3 and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \psi_f(t) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| = \left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi_1(t)\mathbb{E}_d[\varphi_2(t)\varphi_3(t)]] - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \mathbb{E}[\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t)] \exp\left(-\frac{a_2(1-\gamma)^2(c_1^f)^2 t^2}{8}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \left| \mathbb{E}_d[\varphi_2(t)\varphi_3(t)] - \exp\left(-\frac{a_2(1-\gamma)^2(c_1^f)^2 t^2}{8}\right) \varphi_4(t) \right| \leq C(N^{-1+\kappa/2} + \mathcal{X}N^{-1/2+\kappa/2}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

uniformly for all $t \in [0, N^{1/2-\kappa/4}]$. By (4.14) and $\sigma_f > c_* > 0$, we have

$$\left| \psi_f(t) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| \leq CN^{-1+\kappa/2} \quad (4.20)$$

uniformly for $t \in [N^{1/2-\kappa/4}, N^{1-\kappa/2}]$. By the boundness of f and Definition 1.1 we have

$$\left| \psi_f(t) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 t^2}{2}\right) \right| \leq |\psi_f(t) - 1| + \left| \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma_{f,\gamma}^2 t^2}{2}\right) - 1 \right| \leq CtN \quad (4.21)$$

uniformly in N and $t \in [0, N^{-2}]$. Let us apply Lemma 3.14 for $Y = \sigma_{f,\gamma} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}$ and $T = N^{1-\kappa/2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{f,\gamma}, Z) &\leq C \int_0^{N^{1-\kappa/2}} \frac{|\psi_f(t\sigma_{f,\gamma}^{-1}) - \exp(-t^2/2)|}{t} dt + CN^{-1+\kappa/2} \\ &= C \int_0^{N^{-10}} \frac{|\psi_f(t\sigma_{f,\gamma}^{-1}) - \exp(-t^2/2)|}{t} dt + C \int_{N^{-10}}^{N^{1-\kappa/2}} \frac{|\psi_f(t\sigma_{f,\gamma}^{-1}) - \exp(-t^2/2)|}{t} dt \\ &\quad + CN^{-1+\kappa/2} \leq C(N^{-1+\kappa} + \mathcal{X}N^{-1/2+\kappa}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

where in the last step we used (4.19) – (4.21). Combining (4.7) and (4.22) we finish the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.4

For the rest of this paper we shall always assume H is a real symmetric Wigner matrix. Using the complex cumulant expansion formula [27, Lemma 7.1], our argument can be easily extended to the complex Hermitian case, and for conciseness we shall omit the details.

In Sections 5.1 – 5.6 we prove (4.11) for $\xi = 1$, i.e. computing $\phi_1(t) = \mathbb{E}_o \varphi_1(t) \varphi_2(t)$; the case $\xi = 0$ will be proved in 5.7. In Sections 5 and 6, with certain abuse of notations, we will simply write

$$\mathbb{E} \equiv \mathbb{E}_o, \quad \langle X \rangle \equiv X - \mathbb{E}_o X$$

and we denote $\zeta(t) := \varphi_1(t) \varphi_2(t)$, $\widehat{\zeta}(t) := \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t)$. Further, set

$$s_n := \mathcal{C}_n(\sqrt{N}H_{12}) \quad \text{and} \quad a_n := \mathbb{E}(\sqrt{N}H_{11})^n \quad (5.1)$$

for all fixed $n \geq 3$. Note that this definition is coherent with Definition 1.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, we shall use the integration operator $\{\cdot\}_n \equiv \{\cdot\}_{n,f}$, defined by

$$\{g\}_n \equiv \{g(z_1, \dots, z_n)\}_n := \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\mathbf{D}^n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \tilde{f}(z_1) \right) \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \tilde{f}(z_n) \right) g(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) d^2 z_1 \cdots d^2 z_n \quad (5.2)$$

for $g : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We also abbreviate $\{\cdot\} \equiv \{\cdot\}_1$. Note that we also conventionally use $\{\cdot\}$ to group elements of a generic set. But this abuse of notation is harmless since it is easy to tell the difference between a set and an integral in the following context. The following is an elementary estimate, which is essentially due to the fact that $f \in C^5(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $g(z) \prec |\eta|^{-5}$ uniformly for $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{D}$, then we have $\{g\} \prec 1$.*

5.1. The first expansion. We start with

$$\phi_1'(t) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \mathbb{E}[\langle (\text{Tr} \widehat{G}(z)) \rangle - \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2(z))_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} \rangle \zeta(t)] d^2 z.$$

It is easy to see from Lemma 3.11 that

$$\sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2(z))_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} \prec \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}|\eta|^3}$$

uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{D}$, and together with Lemma 5.1 we get

$$\phi_1'(t) = i\mathbb{E}\{\langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G} \rangle\} \zeta(t) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1/2}).$$

It suffices to check the first term on RHS of the above. By the resolvent identity $z\widehat{G}(z) = \widehat{H}\widehat{G} - I$, we have

$$z\mathbb{E}\langle \text{Tr } \widehat{G} \rangle \zeta(t) = \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} H_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ji} \langle \zeta(t) \rangle,$$

where we recall the notation of distinct summation \sum^* from (3.2). We compute the RHS of the above using Lemma 3.2, with $h = H_{ij}$ and $\mathcal{F}(h) \equiv \mathcal{F}_{ij}(\widehat{H}) = \widehat{G}_{ji} \langle \zeta(t) \rangle$, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathbb{E}\langle \text{Tr } \widehat{G} \rangle \zeta(t) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}} \langle \zeta(t) \rangle + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ji} \frac{\partial \langle \zeta(t) \rangle}{\partial H_{ij}} + \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k + \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}^{(ji)} \\ &=: (a) + (b) + \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k + \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}^{(ji)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_k = \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(1+k)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \frac{\partial^k (\widehat{G}_{ji} \langle \zeta(t) \rangle)}{\partial H_{ij}^k}. \quad (5.3)$$

Here l is a fixed positive integer to be chosen later, and $\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}^{(ji)}$ is a remainder term defined analogously to $\mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}$ in (3.7). Using the differential rule

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ab}}{\partial H_{ij}} = -\widehat{G}_{ai} \widehat{G}_{jb} - \widehat{G}_{aj} \widehat{G}_{ib}, \quad i \neq j, \quad (5.4)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} (a) &= N^{-1} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} (-\widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ij} - \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{jj}) \langle \zeta(t) \rangle \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E} (-\widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ij} - \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{jj}) \langle \zeta(t) \rangle + 2N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \langle \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle \zeta(t) \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \langle \widehat{G}^2 \rangle \zeta(t) - N \mathbb{E} \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 \zeta(t) - 2\mathbb{E} \widehat{G} \mathbb{E} \langle \text{Tr } \widehat{G} \rangle \zeta(t) + N \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) \mathbb{E} \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 + 2N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \langle \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle \zeta(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(b) = -\frac{2it}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ij} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \} \zeta(t) + \frac{4it}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_k \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ij} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} \widehat{G}_{kj} \} H_{kk} \zeta(t).$$

Altogether we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1'(t) &= i\mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G}^2 \rangle \} \zeta(t) + iN \mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 \} \zeta(t) - iN \mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 \} \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) \\ &\quad + 2iN^{-1} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle \} \zeta(t) - \frac{2t}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \{ T \widehat{G}_{ij} \} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \} \zeta(t) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1/2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{4t}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_k \mathbb{E} \{ T \widehat{G}_{ij} \} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} \widehat{G}_{kj} \} H_{kk} \zeta(t) - i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} \{ T L_k \} - i \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \{ T \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}^{(ji)} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where $T \equiv T(z) := (-z - 2\mathbb{E} \widehat{G})^{-1}$. It is easy to check, from Theorem 3.7 that

$$|T(z)| = O(|\eta|^{-1/2}) \quad (5.6)$$

uniformly for $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{D}$. Let us estimate the terms in (5.5). By Lemma 3.9 and (5.6), we see that

$$T \langle \widehat{G}^2 \rangle \prec \frac{1}{N|\eta|^{5/2}}.$$

Since $|\zeta(t)| = |\varphi_1(t)\varphi_2(t)| \leq 1$, we can use Lemma 5.1 to show that

$$\mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G}^2 \rangle \} \zeta(t) \prec N^{-1}. \quad (5.7)$$

Similarly, Theorem 3.7 and (5.6) imply $T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 \prec N^{-2} |\eta|^{-5/2}$, which leads to

$$N \mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 \} \zeta(t) - N \mathbb{E} \{ T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2 \} \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) \prec N^{-1}. \quad (5.8)$$

From Lemma 3.9 we also know that

$$\sum_i \langle \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle = \sum_i \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 - Nm(z)^2 + \mathbb{E} \left(Nm(z)^2 - \sum_i \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \right) \prec |\eta|^{-1},$$

and thus

$$2N^{-1} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \{ \langle \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle \} \zeta(t) \prec N^{-1}. \quad (5.9)$$

Let us abbreviate $\widehat{G} := \widehat{G}(z_1)$, $T \equiv T(z_1)$ and $\widehat{F} \equiv G(z_2)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} T \widehat{G}_{ij} (\widehat{F}^2)_{ij} \zeta(t) = T \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ij} (\widehat{F}^2)_{ij} \zeta(t) - T \sum_i \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ii} (\widehat{F}^2)_{ii} \zeta(t) \\ & = T \mathbb{E} \operatorname{Tr} \widehat{G} \widehat{F}^2 \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) - NTm(z_1)m'(z_2) \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta_1 \eta_2|^2} \right) \\ & = \left(-\frac{N}{z_1 + 2m(z_1)} \left(\partial_{z_2} \frac{m(z_1) - m(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} \right) - Nm'(z_1)m'(z_2) \right) \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta_1 \eta_2|^2} \right) \\ & = : Ng_1(z_1, z_2) \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta_1 \eta_2|^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where in the second step we used Lemma 3.9, while in the third step we used Theorem 3.7 and the basic fact $z + 2m(z) = -m(z)/m'(z)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{2t}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \{ T \widehat{G}_{ij} \} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \} \zeta(t) \\ & = -\frac{2t}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \tilde{f}(z_1) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \tilde{f}(z_2) \right) g_1(z_1, z_2) d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 \mathbb{E} \zeta(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

By Lemma 3.9, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_k \mathbb{E} T \widehat{G}_{ij} (\widehat{F}^2)_{ik} \widehat{F}_{kj} H_{kk} \zeta(t) \\ & = T \sum_k \mathbb{E} (\widehat{G} \widehat{F}^3)_{kk} H_{kk} \zeta(t) - T \sum_{i,k}^* \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ii} (\widehat{F}^2)_{ik} \widehat{F}_{ki} H_{kk} \zeta(t) - T \sum_k \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{kk} (\widehat{F}^2)_{kk} \widehat{F}_{kk} H_{kk} \zeta(t), \end{aligned}$$

which can be estimated by $O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{|\eta_1 \eta_2|^2} \right)$. As a result

$$\frac{4t}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_k \mathbb{E} \{ T \widehat{G}_{ij} \} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} \widehat{G}_{kj} \} H_{kk} \zeta(t) = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \quad (5.12)$$

The estimate for the remainder term can be done routinely. One can follow, e.g. the proof of Lemma 3.4 (iii) in [29], and readily check that

$$\sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \{ T \mathcal{R}_{\ell+1}^{(ji)} \} \prec N^{-1} \quad (5.13)$$

for some fixed (large) $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$. From now on, we shall always assume the remainder term in cumulant expansion is negligible. Inserting (5.7) – (5.9), (5.11) – (5.13) into (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1'(t) & = -\frac{2t}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \tilde{f}(z_1) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \tilde{f}(z_2) \right) g_1(z_1, z_2) d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 \phi_1(t) \\ & \quad - i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} \{ TL_k \} + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Therefore, what remains is the analysis of $\mathbb{E} \{ TL_k \}$, $k \geq 2$. This requires very precise preliminary bounds. To this end, we introduce the notion of abstract polynomials.

5.2. Abstract polynomials. We now define a notion of formal monomials in a set of formal variables. Here the word *formal* refers to the fact that these definitions are purely algebraic and we do not assign any values to variables or monomials. We start with the definition of the variables in our monomials.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathcal{I}_* = \{i_1, i_2, \dots\}$ be an infinite set of formal indices. For $z \in \mathbf{D}$, we define

$$\mathbf{G}(z) := \{T^\alpha(z)(\widehat{G}(z)H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega}(z))_{x_0y_0}^d (\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{x_1y_1}(z)) \widehat{G}_{x_2y_2}(z) \cdots \widehat{G}_{x_ny_n}(z) : \\ \alpha, \omega, d, \delta \in \{0, 1\}, \alpha + \omega + \delta \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_+, x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n \in \mathcal{I}_*\},$$

and $\mathbf{G} := \cup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \mathbf{G}(z)$. For $\mathcal{G} = T^\alpha(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega})_{x_0y_0}^d (\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{x_1y_1}) \widehat{G}_{x_2y_2} \cdots \widehat{G}_{x_ny_n} \in \mathbf{G}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) = \{x_0, y_0, \dots, x_n, y_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\mathcal{G}) = d.$$

The collection of off-diagonal indices of \mathcal{G} is denoted by

$$\mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{G}) = \{x_j, y_j : 0 \leq j \leq n, x_j \neq y_j\}.$$

Accordingly, when $x_i \neq y_i$, the corresponding $(\widehat{G}(z)H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega}(z))_{x_iy_i}$, $\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{x_iy_i}(z)$, or $\widehat{G}_{x_iy_i}$ is called an off-diagonal factor in \mathcal{G} .

Now we define the monomials we use.

Definition 5.3. To $\sigma, \mu \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we assign a formal monomial

$$\mathcal{P} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma\}, \quad (5.15)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_1 \equiv \mathcal{G}(z_1) \in \mathbf{G}(z_1), \dots, \mathcal{G}_\sigma \equiv \mathcal{G}(z_\sigma) \in \mathbf{G}(z_\sigma)$. We denote $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \sigma$, $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = \mu$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = \theta$, and

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) := \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}_1) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}_\sigma), \quad \nu(\mathcal{P}) := |\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P})|,$$

as well as

$$d(\mathcal{P}) := d(\mathcal{G}_1) + \cdots + d(\mathcal{G}_\sigma).$$

The collection of off-diagonal indices of \mathcal{P} is denoted by

$$\mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{P}) := \mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{G}_1) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma),$$

and accordingly the definition of off-diagonal factors is also naturally extended to \mathcal{P} . In addition, we define

$$\mathring{\mathcal{P}} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_1\} \cdots \{\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_\sigma\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \mathring{\mathcal{G}}_1 \cdots \mathring{\mathcal{G}}_\sigma. \quad (5.16)$$

We denote by \mathbf{P} the set of formal monomials \mathcal{P} of the form (5.15), and denote by $\mathring{\mathbf{P}}$ the set of formal monomials $\mathring{\mathcal{P}}$ of the form (5.16).

The next definition concerns the evaluation of \mathcal{P} .

Definition 5.4. (i) For each monomial $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{P}$ with $\nu = \nu(\mathcal{P})$, its evaluation is a random variable depending on an ν -tuple $(i_1, \dots, i_\nu) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}^\nu$. It is obtained by replacing, in the formal monomial \mathcal{P} , the formal indices i_1, \dots, i_ν with the integers i_1, \dots, i_ν and the formal variables \widehat{G}, H_d with the random variables defined in (3.13) and (3.14). The evaluation of $\mathring{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathring{\mathbf{P}}$ is defined accordingly.

(ii) Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{P}$, and set

$$\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P}) := \{i \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) : i \text{ appears twice in } \mathcal{P}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = |\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})|.$$

W.O.L.G., let us assume $\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P}) = \{i_1, \dots, i_{\nu_2}\}$ for some nonnegative integer $\nu_2 \leq \nu_1$. We define the sums

$$\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P}) := \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{\nu_2}} \mathcal{P}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) := \sum_{i_{\nu_2+1}, \dots, i_\nu}^* \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P}).$$

The definitions of $\mathcal{S}(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{S}_2(\cdot)$ can be extended to any family of random variables that is labeled with indices in \mathcal{I}_* , in particular for $\mathring{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

Observe that, after summing over the indices $i_1, \dots, i_{\nu_2} \in \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})$, $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ is again a monomial, where each variable might now contain several Green functions $\widehat{G}(z)$ at different $z \in \mathbf{D}$; accordingly, we extend the term *off-diagonal factors* to include those entries of the form $(W(H_d, G))_{xy}$ with $x \neq y$, where $W(H_d, G)$ can be any word in H_d and $\widehat{G}(z_1), \dots, \widehat{G}(z_\sigma)$ or their derivatives w.r.t. z_i 's. Next, we define parameters that characterize smallness in our estimates of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$.

Definition 5.5. Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{P}$. We use $\nu_1(\mathcal{P})$ to denote number of off-diagonal factors in $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$, and $\nu_{3,0}(\mathcal{P})$ denotes the number of traces in $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$. We also set

$$\nu_3(\mathcal{P}) := |\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})| - \nu_{3,0}(\mathcal{P}), \quad \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P}) := \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P}).$$

In addition, for a factor $\mathcal{G} = T^\alpha (\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G}^{1+\omega})_{x_0 y_0}^d (\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{x_1 y_1}) \widehat{G}_{x_2 y_2} \cdots \widehat{G}_{x_n y_n} \in \mathbf{G}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, we use $\nu_1(\mathcal{G})$ to denote number of off-diagonal factors in $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ that only contain terms in \mathcal{G} , and $\nu_{3,0}(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the number of traces in $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ that only contain terms in \mathcal{G} . We further set

$$\nu_3(\mathcal{G}) := |\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{G}) \cap \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})| - \nu_{3,0}(\mathcal{G})$$

and

$$\nu_0(\mathcal{G}) := \nu_1(\mathcal{G}) + 2\nu_3(\mathcal{G}) + \alpha + 2\delta + (1 + 2\omega)d.$$

Finally, we set

$$\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) := \nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1) \vee \cdots \vee \nu_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma), \quad \nu_*(\mathcal{P}) := (\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1) - 10)_+ + \cdots + (\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma) - 10)_+,$$

and note that $\nu_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ for $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 10$.

Example 5.6. Let us consider

$$\mathcal{P} := 6t^{10}N^{-2}\{\mathcal{G}_1\}\{\mathcal{G}_2\}\{\mathcal{G}_3\} := 6t^{10}N^{-2}\{T\widehat{G}_{ij}\widehat{G}_{kx}\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ll})\widehat{G}_{xj}\widehat{G}_{ik}\}\{(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^2)_{kj}\widehat{G}_{iy}\widehat{G}_{jk}^4\}.$$

(i) Let us first illustrate Definitions 5.2 and 5.3. We have $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = 3$, $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 10$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) = \{i, j, k, l, x, y\}$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 6$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 1$. We also see that $\mathcal{I}_0(\mathcal{P}) = \{i, j, k, x, y\}$.

(ii) Regarding Definition 5.4, we have $\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P}) = \{l, x\}$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P}) &= \sum_{l,x} \mathcal{P} \\ &= 6t^{10}N^{-2}\{T(z_1)\widehat{G}_{ij}(z_1)(\widehat{G}(z_1)\widehat{G}(z_2))_{kj}(\partial_{z_2}\text{Tr}\widehat{G}(z_2))\widehat{G}_{ik}(z_2)\}_2\{(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^2)_{kj}\widehat{G}_{iy}\widehat{G}_{jk}^4\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Regarding Definition 5.5, we see that $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 3 + 6 = 9$, $\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P}) = \{x, l\}$, $\nu_{3,0}(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 2 - 1 = 1$, $\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P}) = \{i, j, k, y\}$. In addition, $\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_1) = |\{x\}| - 0 = 1$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1) = 1 + 2 + 1 + 0 + 0 = 4$. Similarly, $\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_2) = 1 + 2 + 0 + 2 + 0 = 5$ and $\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_3) = 6 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 3 = 9$. As a result, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 4\vee 5\vee 9 = 9$, $\nu_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$.

Remark 5.7. Let us illustrate how the above parameters determine the size of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$. First of all, trivially, there are $N^{|\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})|}$ terms in the sum over indices in $\mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})$, but summing over these indices gains an $N^{-\nu_3(\mathcal{P})}$ improvement from the non-tracial quantities produced in this summation. Then, the $\nu_1(\mathcal{P})$ off-diagonal entries in the resulting sum $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ further contributes an $N^{-\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2}$ factor thanks to Lemma 3.9. However, we shall also monitor the power of each η_i^{-1} which is bounded by $\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_i)/2$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, \sigma\}$. Notice that an η_i^{-5} can be killed in the integral $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}$ due to Lemma 5.1. But any higher power of η_i^{-1} will contribute additional factor to $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$. This additional contribution from high power of η_i 's is then determined by $\nu_*(\mathcal{P})$.

The following is an elementary consequence of Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12.

Lemma 5.8. For any $\mathcal{P} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma\} \in \mathbf{P}$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}) \prec t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2} |\eta_1|^{-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1)/2} \cdots |\eta_\sigma|^{-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)/2}.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the estimate of $\mathcal{S}_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}})$. The power of t in the estimate is obviously $\mu(\mathcal{P})$. The trivial power of N in the estimate of $\mathcal{S}_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}})$ is $\nu_2(\mathcal{P})$, and it can be further improved by Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12. In fact, through the definitions of $\nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P})$ and $d(\mathcal{P})$, we see that they improve the power of N in the estimate by $-\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2$. Finally, again by Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12, the power of η_i in the estimate of $\mathcal{S}_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}})$ is $-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_i)/2$. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{S}_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}) \prec t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2} |\eta_1|^{-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1)/2} \cdots |\eta_\sigma|^{-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)/2},$$

and together with

$$\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}) \prec N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_2(\mathcal{P})} |\mathcal{S}_2(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}})|$$

we get the desired result. \square

For $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{P}$, let

$$\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}) := t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2 + \nu_*(\mathcal{P})/8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P}) := t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - 1}.$$

By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.8, together with the fact that $|\eta| \geq N^{-1/4}$ for $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{D}$, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 5.9. *For any $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{P}$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})).$$

In addition, for any complex random variable Y satisfying $|Y| \leq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})Y = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})) \cdot \mathbb{E}Y + \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})Y,$$

where $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})Y = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}))$.

We have the following improved estimate for a special class of \mathcal{P} .

Lemma 5.10. *Let $t \in [0, N^{1-c}]$ for some fixed $c > 0$, and let $\mathcal{P} = at^{\mu} N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}\} \in \mathbf{P}$ with $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $d(\mathcal{P}) \leq 1$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 3 + d(\mathcal{P})$. Moreover, each \mathcal{G}_i , $i = 1, \dots, \sigma$ contains at most one off-diagonal factor. For any complex random variable Y satisfying $|Y| \leq 1$, we have the following estimates.*

(i) *When $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})Y = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})).$$

Moreover, under the additional assumption $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, we have the stronger estimate

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})Y = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})).$$

(ii) *When $d(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})Y = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})).$$

Proof. See Section 6. □

Armed with the above lemmas, we are now ready to deal with $\mathbb{E}\{TL_k\}$ in (5.14).

5.3. The estimate of $\mathbb{E}\{TL_2\}$. By (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{TL_2\} &= \frac{s_3}{2N^{3/2}} \cdot \left\{ T \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2 (\widehat{G}_{ji}(\zeta(t)))}{\partial H_{ji}^2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{3s_3}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle \{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\widehat{G}_{ij}\} \zeta(t) \rangle + \frac{s_3}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle \{T\widehat{G}_{ij}^3\} \zeta(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2s_3 it}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle \{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\} \{\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \zeta(t) \rangle - \frac{4s_3 it}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle \{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\} \{(\widehat{G}_d \widehat{G}^2)_{ij}\} \zeta(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2s_3 it}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle \{T\widehat{G}_{ij}^2\} \{\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \zeta(t) \rangle - \frac{4s_3 it}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \langle \{T\widehat{G}_{ij}^2\} \{(\widehat{G}_d \widehat{G}^2)_{ij}\} \zeta(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{s_3}{2N^{3/2}} \cdot \left\{ T \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \widehat{G}_{ji} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \zeta(t)}{\partial H_{ji}^2} \right) \right\} =: \sum_{p=1}^7 \mathbb{E}L_{2,p}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

The terms on RHS of (5.17) can be estimated by Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10. It is easy to see that $L_{2,1} = \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})\zeta(t)$, where $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 3/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 2$. Thus we can apply Lemma 5.10 and show that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{2,1} = O_{\prec}(N^{-1}).$$

In addition, $L_{2,4} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})\zeta(t)$, where $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 3/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 4$. Thus Lemma 5.10 implies that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{2,4} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$$

By a similar argument, we can show that $\mathbb{E}L_{2,3} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$. Using the trivial estimate from Lemma 5.9, one easily sees that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{2,2} = O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}L_{2,5} + \mathbb{E}L_{2,6} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}).$$

By applying the differentials in $\mathbb{E}L_{2,7}$ carefully and using a similar argument as above, one can show that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{2,7} = O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1} + t^2N^{-2}).$$

Combining the above estimates, we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}\{TL_2\} = O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}((t+1)N^{-1}). \quad (5.18)$$

5.4. The estimate of $\mathbb{E}\{TL_3\}$. By (5.3) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{TL_3\} &= \frac{s_4}{6N^2} \left\{ T \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^3(\widehat{G}_{ji}\langle\zeta(t)\rangle)}{\partial H_{ji}^3} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{s_4}{6N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^3} \right\} \langle\zeta(t)\rangle \right) - \frac{is_4t}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^2} \right\} \{(\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij}) - 2(\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G}^2)_{ji}\} \zeta(t) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{is_4t}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial(\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij})}{\partial H_{ji}} \right\} \zeta(t) \right) + \frac{2is_4t}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial(\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G}^2)_{ij}}{\partial H_{ji}} \right\} \zeta(t) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2s_4t^2}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}} \right\} \{(\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij}) - 2(\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G}^2)_{ij}\}^2 \zeta(t) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{s_4}{6N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \widehat{G}_{ij} \right\} \frac{\partial^3 \zeta(t)}{\partial H_{ji}^3} \right) =: \sum_{p=1}^6 \mathbb{E}L_{3,p}. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we estimate each term on the above separately. We claim, except for $\mathbb{E}L_{3,3}$ that contains the leading contribution, all the other terms are errors. In the sequel, we do not state the estimates for each single terms generated by the derivatives. Instead, for brevity, we pick those terms which have largest bounds by using Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10 and show the details of their estimates only. We will informally call these terms as the *worst* terms. The estimates of other terms are either similar or simpler, and thus we omit the details.

Step 1. By (5.4), we see that the worst term in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,1}$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,1,1} := \frac{s_4}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \widehat{G}_{jj}^2\} \langle\zeta(t)\rangle = \frac{s_4}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\langle\{T \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \widehat{G}_{jj}^2\}\rangle \zeta(t).$$

We see that $L_{3,1,1} = \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})\zeta(t)$, where $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 1$. By Lemma 5.10, we see that $\mathbb{E}L_{3,1,1} = O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$. By estimating other terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,1}$ with Lemma 5.9, we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,1} = O_{\prec}(N^{-1}). \quad (5.19)$$

Step 2. By (5.4), we see that the worst term in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,2}$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,2,1} := -\frac{s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{jj} \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \{(\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij})\} \zeta(t).$$

We see that $L_{3,2,1} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})\zeta(t)$, where $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 3$, $\nu_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. By Lemma 5.9, we have $\mathbb{E}L_{3,2,1} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$. Other terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,2}$ can be estimated similarly, and we have

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,2} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \quad (5.20)$$

Step 3. Now let us estimate $\mathbb{E}L_{3,3}$, which contains the leading contribution to $\mathbb{E}(TL_3)$. By (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_{3,3} &= -\frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ii})\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\zeta(t) - \frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ij}^2\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ii})\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\zeta(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ij})\widehat{G}_{ij}\}\zeta(t) - \frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ij}^2\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ij})\widehat{G}_{ij}\}\zeta(t), \end{aligned}$$

and let us define the last sum by $\sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{E}L_{3,3,p}$. The leading term is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_{3,3,1} &= -\frac{2s_4it}{N^2} N(N-1)\{Tm^2\}\{m'm\}\mathbb{E}\zeta(t) - \frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\langle\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ii})\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\rangle\zeta(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \left(\sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ii})\widehat{G}_{jj}\} - N(N-1)\{Tm^2\}\{m'm\}\right)\mathbb{E}\zeta(t). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T(z)m(z) = m'(z) + O_{\prec}(1/(N\eta^2))$, and we can use Lemmas 3.9, 5.9 and 5.10 to estimate the second and third term on RHS of the above. Together with Lemma 5.1, we get

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,3,1} = -2s_4it\{m'm\}^2\phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}).$$

Using Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10, the other three terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,3}$ can be estimated by $O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,3} = -2s_4it\{m'm\}^2\phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \quad (5.21)$$

Step 4. By (5.4), the worst terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,4}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_{3,4,1} + \mathbb{E}L_{3,4,2} &:= \\ &\frac{4s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^2)_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\zeta(t) + \frac{2s_4it}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G})_{ii}(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{jj})\}\zeta(t). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $L_{3,4,1} = S(\mathcal{P})\zeta(t)$, where $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 3$. By Lemma 5.10 we have $\mathbb{E}L_{3,4,1} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$, and similarly the same bound also holds for $\mathbb{E}L_{3,4,2}$. Estimating other terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,4}$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,4} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \quad (5.22)$$

Step 5. Again by (5.4), the worst term in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,5}$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,5,1} := \frac{2s_4t^2}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\}\{(\partial_z\widehat{G}_{ij})\}^2\zeta(t).$$

We see that $L_{3,5,1} = S(\mathcal{P})\zeta(t)$, where $\mu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) = 3$, $\nu_*(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. By Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10, we have

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,5,1} = O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-2}).$$

Other terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{3,5}$ can be estimated by $O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-2})$ using Lemma 5.9. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,5} = O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-2}). \quad (5.23)$$

A similar argument applies to $\mathbb{E}L_{3,6}$, and one can show that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{3,6} = O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-1} + t^3N^{-2}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1} + t^2N^{-2} + t^3N^{-3}). \quad (5.24)$$

Combining (5.19) – (5.24), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{TL_3\} = (-2s_4it\{m'm\}^2 + O_{\prec}(t^2N^{-1}))\phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}((t+1)N^{-1}). \quad (5.25)$$

5.5. **The estimate of $\mathbb{E}\{TL_k\}$, $k \geq 4$.** Let us fix a $k \geq 4$. From (5.3) and (5.4) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}\{TL_k\}| &\leq \frac{C_k}{N^{(1+k)/2}} \cdot \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{\substack{k_0, \dots, k_p \in \mathbb{N} \\ k_0 + \dots + k_p = k-p}} \left| \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial^{k_0} \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_0}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k_1} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_1}} t \right\} \dots \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k_p} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_p}} t \right\} \zeta(t) \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{C_k}{N^{(1+k)/2}} \cdot \left| \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left\{ T \frac{\partial^k \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^k} \right\} \langle \zeta(t) \rangle \right| =: \sum_{p=1}^k A_{k,p} + A_{k,0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B = (\partial_z \widehat{G}) - 2\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G}^2$. For $k = 4$, by (5.4) there is at least one factor of \widehat{G}_{ij} in $A_{4,0}$. Hence, Theorems 3.7 and 5.1 imply $A_{4,0} = O_{\prec}(N^{-5/2} \cdot N^2 \cdot N^{-1/2}) = O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$. We also see that $A_{k,0} = O_{\prec}(N^{-(k+1)/2} \cdot N^2) = O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$ for $k \geq 5$. Hence

$$A_{k,0} = O_{\prec}(N^{-1}). \quad (5.26)$$

A similar argument shows that

$$A_{k,1} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \quad (5.27)$$

Now we fix $p \geq 2$ as well as $k_0, \dots, k_p \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $k_0 + \dots + k_p = k - p$. We consider the following representative term of $A_{k,p}$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \frac{1}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial^{k_0} \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_0}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k_1} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_1}} t \right\} \dots \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k_p} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_p}} t \right\} \zeta(t) \right) \\ &= t^{-1} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left(\left\{ T \frac{\partial^{k_0} \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_0}} t N^{-\frac{k_0+1}{2}} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k_1} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_1}} t N^{-\frac{k_1+1}{2}} \right\} \dots \left\{ \frac{\partial^{k_p} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_p}} t N^{-\frac{k_p+1}{2}} \right\} \zeta(t) \right) \\ &=: t^{-1} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A}_0 \dots \mathcal{A}_p \zeta(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Note that in order to bound $\sum_{p=1}^k A_{k,p}$, it is enough to estimate \mathcal{A} , as k is independent of N . By (5.4), Theorem 3.7, Lemmas 3.12 and 5.1, we have the naive estimates

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial^n \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^n} t N^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \right\} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}), \quad \left\{ \frac{\partial^n B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^n} t N^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \right\} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) \quad (5.28)$$

for all fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now let us split $\mathcal{A}_q = \mathcal{A}_{q,1} + \dots + \mathcal{A}_{q,n_q}$ for all $q \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$, by applying (5.4) to

$$\frac{\partial^{k_0} \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_0}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial^{k_q} B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^{k_q}}$$

such that there is only one term in each $\mathcal{A}_{q,r}$, $1 \leq r \leq n_q$. Suppose some $\mathcal{A}_{q,r}$ contains at least two factors of $(\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G})_{ji}$, $(\widehat{G}H_d \widehat{G}^2)_{ji}$, $\partial_z \widehat{G}_{ij}$ or \widehat{G}_{ij} . Then (5.4) suggests $k_q \geq 1$, and by Theorem 3.7, Lemmas 3.12 and 5.1 we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{q,r} = O_{\prec}(N^{-1} \cdot t \cdot N^{-\frac{k_q+1}{2}}) = O_{\prec}(tN^{-2}).$$

Combining with (5.28) we have

$$t^{-1} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{A}_0 \dots \mathcal{A}_{q-1} \mathcal{A}_{q,r} \mathcal{A}_{q+1} \dots \mathcal{A}_p \zeta(t)) = O_{\prec}(t^{-1} \cdot N^2 \cdot (tN^{-1})^p \cdot tN^{-2}) = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}).$$

Hence, it suffices to consider the case when each $\mathcal{A}_{q,r}$ contains at most one aforementioned off-diagonal factors. Then, according to Definitions 5.2 and 5.3, we can write

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_r \mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_r) \zeta(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) \quad (5.29)$$

where $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_r) \leq 3 + d(\mathcal{P}_r)$ for each $\mathcal{P}_r \in \mathbf{P}$, and \sum_r is over finitely many (independent of N) terms. We also see that $\mu(\mathcal{P}_r) = p$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_r) = 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_r) = (k+1)/2$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}_r) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_r) = \nu_*(\mathcal{P}_r) = 0$. By applying (5.4), we can write

$$\frac{\partial^n B_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^n} =: \sum_s \mathcal{G}_s, \quad \frac{\partial^n \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ji}^n} =: \sum_s \mathcal{G}'_s$$

and note that each $\mathcal{G}_s, \mathcal{G}'_s$ contains at least $1 - n$ many off-diagonal factors. Hence $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_r) \geq p + 1 - (k_0 + \dots + k_p) = 2p + 1 - k$. Thus Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_r)\zeta(t) &= O_{\prec}(t^p \cdot N^{2-(k+1)/2-(2p+1-k)/2}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^p \cdot N^{2-(k+1)/2-(2p+1-k)/2-1}) \\ &= O_{\prec}(t^p N^{-p+1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^p N^{-p}) = O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

for each $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_r)\zeta(t)$ on RHS of (5.29). As a result, $\mathcal{A} = O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-2})$, which implies

$$\sum_{p=2}^k A_{k,p} = O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-2}).$$

Combining the above with (5.26) and (5.27), we get

$$\mathbb{E}\{TL_k\} = O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t N^{-1}) \quad (5.30)$$

for all $k \geq 4$.

5.6. Conclusion. Inserting (5.18), (5.25) and (5.30) into (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1'(t) &= -\frac{2t}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \tilde{f}(z_1) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \tilde{f}(z_2) \right) g_1(z_1, z_2) d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 \cdot \phi_1(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{2s_4 t}{\pi^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) m'(z) m(z) d^2 z \right)^2 \cdot \phi_1(t) \\ &\quad + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}((t+1)N^{-1} + N^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $g_1(z_1, z_2)$ is defined as in (5.10). One can then follow a standard computation, e.g. [41, Section 4.3] to evaluate the first two terms on RHS of the above, and show that

$$\phi_1'(t) = -\sigma_f^2 t \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_1(t) + O_{\prec}((t+1)N^{-1} + N^{-1/2}). \quad (5.31)$$

This finished the proof of Proposition 4.4 for $\xi = 1$.

5.7. Proof of Proposition 4.4 for $\xi = 0$. In this section we compute $\phi_0(t) = \mathbb{E}\varphi_1(t)\varphi_4(t)$. We shall work under the assumption that

$$t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}].$$

Thanks to the much smaller t , the proof is easier than the case $\xi = 1$. Let us denote $\varphi_1(t) = \exp(J(t))$ and $\varphi_4(t) = \exp(K(t))$. We see that

$$\max_{k \neq l} \left| \frac{\partial^n J(t)}{\partial H_{kl}^n} \right| = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t}{N^{1/2}} \cdot (N^{1/2} \mathbf{1}(n \geq 2) + 1) \right) \quad (5.32)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. In addition, from (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned} K(t) &= -\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d X_i^2 + \frac{(it)^3}{6} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d X_i^3 \\ &= -\frac{a_2 t^2}{2N} \sum_i \{ \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle \}^2 + \frac{a_3 c_2^f t^2}{2N^{3/2}} \{ \langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G}^2 \rangle \} + \frac{a_3 t^3 \mathbf{i}}{6N^{3/2}} \sum_i \{ \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle \}^3 \\ &\quad - \frac{(a_4 - a_2^2) c_2^f t^3 \mathbf{i}}{4N^2} \sum_i \{ \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle \}^2 + \frac{(a_5 - 2a_3 a_2) c_2^f t^3 \mathbf{i}}{8N^{5/2}} \{ \langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G}^2 \rangle \} + F(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $F(t)$ is deterministic and satisfies $|F(t)| = O(t^2 N^{-1})$. It is easy to check from Theorem 3.7 that

$$K(t) = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^2}{N} + \frac{t^3}{N^2} \right) = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^2}{N} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad K'(t) = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t}{N} \right). \quad (5.33)$$

In addition, Theorem 3.7 and (5.4) implies that

$$\max_{k \neq l} \left| \frac{\partial K(t)}{\partial H_{kl}} - \frac{2a_2 t^2}{N} \sum_i \{ \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle \} \{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} \widehat{G}_{li} + (\widehat{G}^2)_{il} \widehat{G}_{ki} \} \right| = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^2}{N^2} \right). \quad (5.34)$$

and

$$\max_{k \neq l} \left| \frac{\partial^n K(t)}{\partial H_{kl}^n} \right| = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^2}{N^{3/2}} \cdot (N^{1/2} \mathbf{1}(n \geq 4) + 1) \right) \quad (5.35)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We have

$$\phi'_0(t) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \mathbb{E}[\langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G}(z) \rangle \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t)] d^2 z + \mathbb{E}[K'(t) \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t)].$$

By (5.33) we have $\mathbb{E}[K'(t) \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t)] = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$, and thus

$$\phi'_0(t) = i \mathbb{E}\{\langle \text{Tr} \widehat{G} \rangle\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}).$$

Now we can compute the first term on RHS of the above by Lemma 3.2. Similar to (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_0(t) &= i \mathbb{E}\{T \langle \widehat{G}^2 \rangle\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + iN \mathbb{E}\{T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) - iN \mathbb{E}\{T \langle \widehat{G} \rangle^2\} \phi_0(t) \\ &\quad + 2iN^{-1} \sum_i \mathbb{E}\{T \langle \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) - \frac{2t}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{ij}\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \frac{\partial K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) - i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{L}_k\} + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

where we estimate the remainder term by $O_{\prec}(tN^{-1})$ for some fixed (large) $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and

$$\widehat{L}_k := \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(1+k)/2}} \cdot \sum_{i,j}^* \frac{\partial^k (\widehat{G}_{ji} \langle \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) \rangle)}{\partial H_{ij}^k}.$$

By (5.34), Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \frac{\partial K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) \\ &= \frac{2at^2}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_k \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{kk}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{ki} \widehat{G}_{jk} + (\widehat{G}^2)_{kj} \widehat{G}_{ik}\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-3/2}) \\ &= \frac{2at^2}{N^2} \sum_{i,j} \sum_k \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{G}_{ij}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{kk}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{ki} \widehat{G}_{jk} + (\widehat{G}^2)_{kj} \widehat{G}_{ik}\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-3/2}) \\ &= \frac{4at^2}{N^2} \sum_k \mathbb{E}\{T(z_1) (\widehat{G}(z_1) \widehat{G}^3(z_2))_{kk}\}_2 \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{kk}\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-3/2}) = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$. The first five terms on RHS of (5.36) can be computed as in Section 5.1. Thus we have, as in (5.14) that

$$\phi'_0(t) = -\frac{2t}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{D}^2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} \tilde{f}(z_1) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \tilde{f}(z_2) \right) g_1(z_1, z_2) d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 \phi_0(t) - i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{L}_k\} + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}), \quad (5.37)$$

where $g_1(z_1, z_2)$ is defined as in (5.10). For each $k \geq 2$, we can decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}_k &= L_{k,0} + \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{n=0}^m \widehat{L}_{k,m,n} := \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(1+k)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \frac{\partial^k \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}^k} \langle \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{n=0}^m \binom{k}{m} \binom{m}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(1+k)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \frac{\partial^{k-m} \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}^{k-m}} \frac{\partial^{m-n} \varphi_1(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{m-n}} \frac{\partial^n \varphi_4(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{k,0}\}$ and $\mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{k,m,0}\}$ can be computed exactly as in Sections 5.3 – 5.5, using Lemmas 5.9 and 5.10 for the case $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. We can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{k,0}\} - i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \sum_{m=1}^k \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{k,m,0}\} \\ &= -\frac{2s_4 t}{\pi^2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) m'(z) m(z) d^2 z \right)^2 \cdot \phi_0(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_0(t) + O_{\prec}((t+1)N^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Combining (5.37) and (5.38), and compute the result as in (5.31), we get

$$\phi_0'(t) = -\sigma_f^2 t \cdot \phi_0(t) + O_{\prec}(t^2 N^{-1}) \cdot \phi_0(t) + O_{\prec}((t+1)N^{-1}) - i \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \sum_{m=1}^k \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{k,m,n}\}. \quad (5.39)$$

Hence it remains to estimate the last sum in (5.39).

Let us first consider the case $k = 2$. By (5.4), (5.32) and (5.35), we see that for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$

$$-i \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{2,m,n}\} = \frac{s_3 i}{N^{3/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\} \frac{\partial K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}),$$

and together with (5.34) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -i \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{2,m,n}\} \\ &= \frac{2s_3 a_2 t^2 i}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_k \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{kk}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{ki}\widehat{G}_{jk} + (\widehat{G}^2)_{kj}\widehat{G}_{ik}\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{2s_3 a_2 t^2 i}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{i,j,k} \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{jj}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{kk}\} \{(\widehat{G}^2)_{ki}\widehat{G}_{jk} + (\widehat{G}^2)_{kj}\widehat{G}_{ik}\} \varphi_1(t) \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

Now, we continue the estimate with the isotropic law. By Theorem 3.7 we see that

$$\sum_i \widehat{G}(z_1)_{ii} \widehat{G}(z_2)_{ik} = \sum_i (\widehat{G}(z_1)_{ii} - m(z_1)) \widehat{G}(z_2)_{ik} + \sum_i m(z_1) \widehat{G}(z_2)_{ik} \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_1 \eta_2|^{1/2}}$$

and $\sum_j \widehat{G}(z_1)_{jj} \widehat{G}(z_2)_{jk} \prec |\eta_1 \eta_2|^{-1/2}$ uniformly for $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{D}$. Plug these two estimates into (5.40), together with Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 5.1, we get

$$-i \sum_{m=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{2,m,n}\} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) \quad (5.41)$$

as desired.

Now we consider the case $k \geq 3$. Fix $m, n \geq 1$, and set $a = k - m$, $b = m - n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{T\widehat{L}_{k,m,n}\} &\leq \frac{C_k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left| \left\{ T \frac{\partial^a \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}^a} \right\} \frac{\partial^b \varphi_1(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^b} \frac{\partial^n \varphi_4(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C'_k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \sum_{\substack{b_1, \dots, b_p \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ b_1 + \dots + b_p = b}} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_q \in \mathbb{N}_+ \\ n_1 + \dots + n_q = n}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left| \left\{ T \frac{\partial^a \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}^a} \right\} \frac{\partial^{b_1} J(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{b_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{b_p} J(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{b_p}} \frac{\partial^{n_1} K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{n_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{n_q} K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{n_q}} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

Note that $\{T \partial^a \widehat{G}_{ji} / \partial H_{ij}^a\} = O_{\prec}(N^{(a-1)/2})$ for $a \geq 0$. Together with (5.32) and (5.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C'_k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left| \left\{ T \frac{\partial^a \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}^a} \right\} \frac{\partial^{b_1} J(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{b_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{b_p} J(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{b_p}} \frac{\partial^{n_1} K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{n_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{n_q} K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^{n_q}} \right| \\ &\prec N^{-(k+1)/2} \cdot N^2 \cdot N^{(a-1)/2} \cdot t N^{(b_1-2)/2} \dots t N^{(b_p-2)/2} \cdot t^2 N^{(n_1-4)/2} \dots t^2 N^{(n_p-4)/2} \\ &= N \cdot (tN^{-1})^p \cdot (t^2 N^{-2})^q \leq t N^{-1/2} \cdot N^{-p/2} \cdot N^{1-q} \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

where $q \geq 1$. If $(p, q) \neq (0, 1)$, then we have (5.43) $\prec tN^{-1}$. If $(p, q) = (0, 1)$, then

$$(5.43) = \frac{C'_k}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E} \left| \left\{ T \frac{\partial^{k-n} \widehat{G}_{ji}}{\partial H_{ij}^{k-n}} \right\} \frac{\partial^n K(t)}{\partial H_{ij}^n} \right|.$$

One easily checks that $\{T \partial^{k-n} \widehat{G}_{ji} / \partial H_{ij}^{k-n}\} = O_{\prec}(N^{(k-n-3)/2})$ for $k-n \geq 2$, and $\partial^n K(t) / \partial H_{ij}^n = O(t^2 N^{(n-5)/2})$ for $n \geq 2$. As $(k-n) + n = k \geq 3$, we see that in this case

$$(5.43) \prec N^{-(k+1)/2} \cdot N^2 \cdot (N^{(k-n-3)/2} \cdot t^2 N^{(n-4)/2} + N^{(k-n-1)/2} \cdot t^2 N^{(n-5)/2}) \prec tN^{-1}.$$

Hence we always have (5.43) $\prec tN^{-1}$, and (5.42) shows

$$\mathbb{E}\{T \widehat{L}_{k,m,n}\} = O_{\prec}(tN^{-1}) \quad (5.44)$$

for all $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$. Combining (5.39), (5.41) and (5.44) finishes the proof.

6. PROOF OF THE IMPROVED ESTIMATES FOR ABSTRACT POLYNOMIALS

In this section we prove Lemma 5.10. For notational convenience, throughout the section we make the following convention

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ab}}{\partial H_{ii}} := -\widehat{G}_{ai} \widehat{G}_{ib}. \quad (6.1)$$

Whenever the above partial derivative notation is used, it will always be compensated by a $\widehat{G}_{ai} \widehat{G}_{ib}$ term (with positive sign) since the true derivative of \widehat{G} -entries w.r.t. H_{ii} is apparently 0. In this way, we complete (5.4) to

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{G}_{ab}}{\partial H_{ij}} = -(\widehat{G}_{ai} \widehat{G}_{jb} + \widehat{G}_{aj} \widehat{G}_{ib})(1 + \delta_{ij})^{-1} \quad (6.2)$$

for all i, j . From Jensen's Inequality, we can easily deduce Lemma 5.10 from the following result.

Lemma 6.1. *Let us adopt the assumptions in Lemma 5.10. We have the following estimates.*

(i) *Suppose $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2 = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2).$$

Moreover, under the additional assumption $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, we have the stronger estimate

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2 = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2).$$

(ii) *Suppose $d(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2 = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2).$$

6.1. The lone factor and happy trio. To prove Lemma 6.1, we need some a priori estimate, which is given in Lemma 6.5, after some additional notions are introduced below.

Definition 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{P} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma\} \in \mathbf{P}$, where $\mathcal{G}_r \in \mathbf{G}(z_r)$ for $r = 1, \dots, \sigma$. Let $\mathbf{M} := \{\widehat{G}(z_1), \partial_{z_1} \widehat{G}(z_1), \dots, \widehat{G}(z_\sigma), \partial_{z_\sigma} \widehat{G}(z_\sigma)\}$.*

(i) *If there exists $i, j \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})$, $i \neq j$, and $M_1 \in \mathbf{M}$, such that $(M_1)_{ij}$ is a factor of $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$, and there is no other factors of $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ having both indices i, j , then we say that $(M_1)_{ij}$ is a (first) lone factor of \mathcal{P} , and set $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 1$; otherwise $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 0$.*

(ii) *Suppose $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ with a lone factor $(M_1)_{ij}$. If there exists $u, v \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})$, $u \neq v$, and $M_2, M_3 \in \mathbf{M}$, such that $\{u, v\} \cap \{i, j\} = \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ contains either $(M_2)_{uv}$ or $(M_2 M_3)_{uv}$, and there is no other factor of $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ having both indices u, v , then we say that $(M_2)_{uv}$ or $(M_2 M_3)_{uv}$ is a (second) lone factor of \mathcal{P} , and set $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = 1$; otherwise $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = 0$.*

(iii) *Suppose there exists distinct $i, j, u, v \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \mathcal{I}_2(\mathcal{P})$, such that i appears exactly three times in \mathcal{P} , in the form $(M_1)_{ij}$, $(M_2)_{iu}$, $(M_3)_{iv}$, where $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \mathbf{M}$. We say that $(M_1)_{ij}$, $(M_2)_{iu}$, $(M_3)_{iv}$ are happy trio of \mathcal{P} , and set $\nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 1$; otherwise $\nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. Note that $\nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ implies $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 1$.*

We remark here although $(M_2 M_3)_{uv}$ is called a lone factor of \mathcal{P} in (ii), it is indeed a factor of $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ rather than \mathcal{P} , by definition.

Example 6.3. Let us take \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P})$ as in Example 5.6. We easily see that $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ and the first lone factor can be either $\widehat{G}_{ij}(z_1)$ or $\widehat{G}_{ik}(z_2)$ or $\widehat{G}_{iy}(z_3)$. We also have $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, as we cannot find a second lone factor of \mathcal{P} . In addition, $\widehat{G}_{ij}(z_1)$, $\widehat{G}_{ik}(z_2)$ and $\widehat{G}_{iy}(z_3)$ are happy trio of \mathcal{P} , thus $\nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 1$.

Remark 6.4. The lone factor and happy trio are essential objects that generate additional factors of $N^{-1/2}$ in our estimates. Heuristically, the lone factors are almost mean 0 and “weakly” correlated with other factors in \mathcal{P} , and thus they create additional smallness when one take expectation of \mathcal{P} . Nevertheless, the mechanism to exploit this smallness is more delicate. We roughly illustrate it via the following elementary examples.

(i) Let us take $\mathcal{P}_{1,r} := \{\widehat{G}_{ij}^q\}$ for fixed $q \geq 1$. Naively, by Lemma 5.9, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}) = O_{\prec}(N^{2-q/2}). \quad (6.3)$$

For $q \geq 2$, we can use resolvent identity

$$\widehat{G}_{ij} = \widehat{H}\widehat{G}\widehat{G}_{ij} - (\widehat{H}\widehat{G})_{ij}\widehat{G} + \delta_{ij}\widehat{G} \quad (6.4)$$

and Lemma 3.2 to show that the estimate (6.3) cannot be improved. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{x,y}^* \left\{ \mathbb{E}H_{xy}\widehat{G}_{yx}\widehat{G}_{ij}^q \right\} - \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{x:x \neq i}^* \left\{ \mathbb{E}H_{ix}\widehat{G}_{xj}\widehat{G}_{ij}^{q-1}\widehat{G} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{x,y}^* \left\{ \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\widehat{G}_{yx}\widehat{G}_{ij}^q)}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \right\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+1)/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{x:x \neq i}^* \left\{ \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\widehat{G}_{xj}\widehat{G}_{ij}^{q-1}\widehat{G})}{\partial H_{xi}^k} \right\} \\ &=: \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{1,k} + \sum_{k \geq 1} A_{2,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

When $q = 2$, the leading term is contained in $A_{2,1}$, and we can use (6.2) to see that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\widehat{G}_{ii}(\widehat{G}^2)_{jj}\widehat{G} \right\} + \text{error} \asymp O(N).$$

When $q \geq 3$, the leading term comes from $A_{2,q-1}$ and $x = j$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}) = \frac{(-1)^{q+1}s_q}{N^{q/2}} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left\{ \widehat{G}_{jj}^q \widehat{G}_{ii}^{q-1} \widehat{G} \right\} + \text{error} \asymp O(N^{2-q/2}).$$

When $q = 1$, as $\widehat{G}_{ij}^{q-1} = 1$, we do not have the above leading contributions, and we can show that $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_{1,1}) = O_{\prec}(N)$, which improves Lemma 5.9 by a factor $N^{-1/2}$. In general, the same idea applies whenever we have a first lone factor.

(ii) Now we describe the idea behind the second lone factor. Let us compare $\mathcal{P}_2 := \{\widehat{G}_{ij}\widehat{G}_{iv}\widehat{G}_{vj}\widehat{G}_{uu}\}$ with $\mathcal{P}_3 := \{\widehat{G}_{ij}\widehat{G}_{uv}\widehat{G}_{vj}\widehat{G}_{uu}\}$. It is easy to see that $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_2) = \nu_5(\mathcal{P}_3) = 1$, while $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}_2) = 0$, $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}_3) = 1$. A direct application of Lemma 5.9 leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_2) = O_{\prec}(N^{5/2}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_3) = O_{\prec}(N^{5/2}). \quad (6.6)$$

Similar to (6.5), we see that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j,u,v} \mathbb{E}\left\{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{jv}\widehat{G}_{vj}\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{uu}\widehat{G} \right\} + \text{error} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,u,v} \mathbb{E}\left\{ (\widehat{G}^3)_{vv}\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{uu}\widehat{G} \right\} + \text{error}, \quad (6.7)$$

which implies $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_2) \asymp O(N^2)$ and improves (6.6) by a factor of $N^{-1/2}$. Because \mathcal{P}_3 has a second lone factor \widehat{G}_{uv} , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_3) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j,u,v} \mathbb{E}\left\{ (\widehat{G}^2)_{jv}\widehat{G}_{vj}\widehat{G}_{ui}\widehat{G}_{uu}\widehat{G} \right\} + \text{error} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,u,v} \mathbb{E}\left\{ (\widehat{G}^3)_{vv}\widehat{G}_{ui}\widehat{G}_{uu}\widehat{G} \right\} + \text{error},$$

which implies $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_3) = O_{\prec}(N^{3/2})$ and improves (6.6) by a factor of N^{-1} . This suggests that the second lone factor can bring additional smallness.

(iii) Lastly we remark on the happy trio. Let us denote $\mathcal{P}_4 := \{\widehat{G}_{ij}\widehat{G}_{iv}\widehat{G}_{iu}\widehat{G}_{uu}\}$, and note that $\nu_7(\mathcal{P}_4) = 1$, $\nu_7(\mathcal{P}_2) = 0$. Applying lemma 5.9 directly, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_4) = O_{\prec}(N^{5/2}). \quad (6.8)$$

Similar to (6.7), we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_4) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j,u,v} \mathbb{E}\{(\widehat{G}^2)_{jv}\widehat{G}_{iu}\widehat{G}_{ii}\widehat{G}_{uu}\underline{\widehat{G}}\} + \text{error}. \quad (6.9)$$

Comparing with the estimate of $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_2)$ in (6.7), we see that the leading term on RHS of (6.9) contains two lone factors, thus further expansions shall give us better estimates. We can show that $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_4) = O_{\prec}(N^{3/2})$, which improves (6.8) by a factor of N^{-1} .

In light of the above remark, we have the following estimate, which is an improvement of Lemma 5.9.

Lemma 6.5. For any $\mathcal{P} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma\} \in \mathbf{P}$ with $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 7 - 2(\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) \vee \nu_7(\mathcal{P}))$ and $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_5(\mathcal{P})/2 - (\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) \vee \nu_7(\mathcal{P}))/2}) =: O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

Proof. First, note that the case of $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ follows from Lemma 5.9 directly. Hence, it suffices to assume $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ in the following.

Case 1. Suppose $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 7$. Thus the lone factor of \mathcal{P} is of the form $\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij} \equiv \partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij}(z)$, $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, $z \in \{z_1, \dots, z_\sigma\}$. W.O.L.G, we assume $z = z_1$. Note that in the case $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ we have $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}) = N^{1/2} \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})$, and thus we need to improve Lemma 5.9 by a factor of $N^{-1/2}$.

Recall the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ from (5.16), and we have $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma$. Let us abbreviate $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} := \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}/\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij}$. Using (6.4), together with Lemma 3.2 and a routine estimate of the remainder term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} H_{xy} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} - \sum_{x:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} H_{ix} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-\nu(\mathcal{P})}) \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(2)} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-\nu(\mathcal{P})}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

for some fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$. We shall first observe the cancellations between $\mathbb{E} L_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{E} L_1^{(2)}$. By (6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} L_1^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} (1 + \delta_{xy}) \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{xy}} - \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_x \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{xx}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{yy} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{xy} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} (\widehat{G}_{ix} \widehat{G}_{yj} + \widehat{G}_{iy} \widehat{G}_{xj})) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} (1 + \delta_{xy}) \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)}}{\partial H_{xy}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{xx}} - \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{xx}} =: \sum_{p=1}^6 \mathbb{E} L_{1,p}^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

where we applied the convention in (6.1). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_1^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} (\widehat{G}_{xi} \widehat{G}_{yy} + \widehat{G}_{yi} \widehat{G}_{xy} + \widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{iy})) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} (1 + \delta_{xi}) \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)}}{\partial H_{xi}} - \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{ii}} =: \sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{E}L_{1,p}^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note the cancellation between $\mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(2)}$.

The estimates of $\mathbb{E}L_{1,p}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_{1,p}^{(2)}$ for $p \geq 2$ can be handled using Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9. To start with, we consider

$$\mathbb{E}L_{1,2}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{N^2} \mathbb{E} \partial_z^\delta (\text{Tr } \widehat{G}^2) \widehat{G}_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)} - \frac{\delta}{N^2} \text{Tr } \widehat{G}^2 \partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)}.$$

By Lemma 5.8 we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)}) \prec t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2} |\eta_1|^{-7/2} |\eta_2|^{-7/2} \dots |\eta_\sigma|^{-7/2}$$

and together with the bound $N^{-2} \text{Tr } \partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}^2 \prec N^{-1} |\eta_1|^{-1-\delta}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,2}^{(1)}) &\prec t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2 - 1} |\eta_1|^{-9/2} |\eta_2|^{-7/2} \dots |\eta_\sigma|^{-7/2} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-1/2} |\eta_1|^{-9/2} |\eta_2|^{-7/2} \dots |\eta_\sigma|^{-7/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1 we get $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,2}^{(1)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-1/2}$. A similar argument shows that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,3}^{(1)}) \prec \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-3/2} |\eta_1|^{-11/2} |\eta_2|^{-7/2} \dots |\eta_\sigma|^{-7/2} \prec \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-11/8} |\eta_1|^{-5} |\eta_2|^{-7/2} \dots |\eta_\sigma|^{-7/2},$$

where in the second step we used $|\eta| \geq N^{-1/4}$ for $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{D}$. By Lemma 5.1 we get $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,3}^{(1)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-11/8}$. Analogously, we can also show that $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,4}^{(1)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-11/8}$.

Next, we consider $\mathbb{E}L_{1,5}^{(1)}$. By (6.2), one can show that $\mathbb{E}L_{1,5}^{(1)} = \sum_{q=1}^n \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}$ for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P})$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + 2$. In addition, note that by (6.2), when we apply the differential $\partial/\partial H_{xx}$, the indices ν_1, ν_3 will not decrease. That is, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. Moreover, we have $\nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{1,5,q}^{(1)}) = 0$. Thus Lemma 5.9 implies

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,5}^{(1)})\}_\sigma = \sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,5,q}^{(1)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-3/2}).$$

As another illustration, we see that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{1,6}^{(1)} = \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{x: x \notin L_1(\mathcal{P})} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}$$

for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 1$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$ and $\nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{1,6,q}^{(1)}) = 0$. Thus Lemma 5.9 implies

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{1,6}^{(1)})\}_\sigma = \sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,6,q}^{(1)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-1/2}).$$

One can use similar arguments to estimate the remaining terms in $\mathbb{E}L_1^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_1^{(2)}$, and show that

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(1)})\}_\sigma + \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(2)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}) N^{-1}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-1/2}). \quad (6.11)$$

The above suggests that estimate is improved by N^{-1} from the trivial estimate $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})$.

Now let us deal with $\mathbb{E}L_k^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_k^{(2)}$ for $k \geq 2$. We shall check the latter in detail since it is more representative. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_k^{(2)} &= -\frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \\ &\quad - \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x:x \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \{i,j\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \\ &\quad - \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{jj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})}{\partial H_{ij}^k} =: \sum_{p=1}^3 \mathbb{E}L_{k,p}^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

In the sequel we shall repeatedly use the following observation: from (6.2) we see that, when $a \neq b$ and $\{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}$, every term in

$$\frac{\partial^k (\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \partial_z^{\delta_1} G_{a i_1}^{(1)} \partial_z^{\delta_2} G_{i_1 i_2}^{(2)} \dots \partial_z^{\delta_n} G_{i_{n-1} i_n}^{(n)} \partial_z^{\delta_{n+1}} G_{i_n b}^{(n+1)})}{\partial H_{cd}^k}, \quad \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{0, 1\} \quad (6.13)$$

contains at least one off-diagonal factor. As x is distinct from $\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})$ in $\mathbb{E}L_{k,1}^{(2)}$, we see that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{k,1}^{(2)} = \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,1,q}^{(2)},$$

for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + (k+3)/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. Let us fix q , and write $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)} = a^{(2)} t^\mu N^{-\theta^{(2)}} \{\mathcal{G}_1^{(2)}\} \dots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(2)}\}$. Our assumption $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 7$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\sigma (\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - \nu_0(\mathcal{P}) - 3)_+ \leq \sum_{i=1}^\sigma (\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - \nu_0(\mathcal{G}_i) - 3)_+ \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\sigma (\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) + 2\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i) - 2\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i) - 3)_+ \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\sigma ((\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i) - 3)_+ + 2\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - 2\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i)), \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

where in the last step we used $\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i)$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Note that by (6.12), we get $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}$ through k differentials. This motivates us to define for $r = 0, \dots, k$, the term $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r)}$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,0)} = -\frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \partial_z^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,k)} = \mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)},$$

and $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r)}$ is a term in

$$-\frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r-1)}}{\partial H_{ix}}$$

for all $r = 1, \dots, k$. We write $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r)} = a^{(2,r)} t^\mu N^{-\theta^{(2,r)}} \{\mathcal{G}_1^{(2,r)}\} \dots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(2,r)}\}$. By (6.2) and our observation concerning (6.13), it is not hard to check that

$$\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_1^{(2,0)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_1), \dots, \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(2,0)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_\sigma), \quad \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,1)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) \quad (6.15)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\sigma (\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2,r)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2,r-1)}))_+ \leq 1 + \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r-1)}), \quad (6.16)$$

for all $r = 1, \dots, k$. The term $+1$ on RHS of the above comes from the worst case, where a factor of the form $(\widehat{G}(z_1) \widehat{G}(z_2))_{ux}$, $u \neq x$ in $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r-1)}$ was differentiated by H_{ix} , and we get $\widehat{G}(z_1)_{ui}$ and $(\widehat{G}(z_1) \widehat{G}(z_2))_{xx}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r)}$. In this case, $(\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_1^{(2,r)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_1^{(2,r-1)}))_+ + \dots + (\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(2,r)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(2,r-1)}))_+ = 1$, while $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r-1)}) = 1$.

$\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2,r-1)}) = 0$. Thus (6.15) and (6.16) imply $(\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_1^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_1))_+ + \cdots + (\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_\sigma))_+ \leq k + \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, and as a result

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} (\nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{G}_i) - 3)_+ \leq (k + \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 3)_+. \quad (6.17)$$

Similarly, we can also show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} (\nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{G}_i)) \leq 2(\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P})). \quad (6.18)$$

By (6.14), (6.17) and (6.18), we have

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) \leq 4(\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P})) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + k - 3)_+,$$

and as a result

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)})/8 \leq \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + k - 3)_+/2. \quad (6.19)$$

The above relation, together with Lemma 5.9 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,1}^{(2)})\}_\sigma &= \sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,1,q}^{(2)})\}_\sigma \\ &= O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P})+2-(\theta(\mathcal{P})+(k+3)/2)-\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2-\nu_3(\mathcal{P})+(k-3)_+/2}) \\ &= O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})N^{-1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

From (6.2), we also see that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{k,2}^{(2)} = \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_y \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,2,q}^{(2)},$$

for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{k,2,q}^{(2)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k,2,q}^{(2)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{k,2,q}^{(2)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 1$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{k,2,q}^{(2)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + (k + 3)/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,2,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - k$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,2,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. Similarly to (6.19), we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)})/8 &\leq \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + k - 3)_+/2 \\ &\leq \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + k)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 5.9 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,2}^{(2)})\}_\sigma &= \sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,2,q}^{(2)})\}_\sigma \\ &= O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P})+1-(\theta(\mathcal{P})+(k+3)/2)-\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2-\nu_3(\mathcal{P})+k/2}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})). \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

For $\mathbb{E}L_{k,3}^{(2)}$, since $\partial_z^\delta G_{ij}$ is a lone factor of \mathcal{P} , there is no factor with both indices i, j in $\mathcal{S}_2(\partial_z^\delta(\hat{G}_{jj}\hat{G}_{yy})\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(A)})$. Hence we again use our observation about the differential (6.13), and we see that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{k,3}^{(2)} = \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_y \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,3,q}^{(2)},$$

for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(3)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(3)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(3)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 1$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(3)}) \geq \theta(\mathcal{P}) + (k + 3)/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 1$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)})/8 &\leq \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + k - 3)_+/2 \\ &\leq \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,q}^{(2)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + 1)/2 + (k - 4)_+/2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemma 5.9 shows

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,3}^{(2)})\}_\sigma &= \sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,3,q}^{(2)})\}_\sigma \\ &= O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P})+1-(\theta(\mathcal{P})+(k+3)/2)-(\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2+1/2)-\nu_3(\mathcal{P})+(k-4)_+/2}) \\ &= O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})). \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

Note the criticality of the assumption that $\partial_z^\delta G_{ij}$ is a lone factor of \mathcal{P} . Without this condition, we can only have $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,3,q}^{(2)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 1 - k$ for the parameter ν_1 , and in this case (6.22) fails. By (6.20) – (6.22) we have

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(2)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1/2}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) \quad (6.23)$$

for $k \geq 2$. A similar argument shows that

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(1)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1/2}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) \quad (6.24)$$

for $k \geq 2$. Note that in the above two relations, we improve the trivial bound $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})$ by a factor of $N^{-1/2}$. Inserting (6.11), (6.23) and (6.24) into (6.10), together with Lemma 5.1 we arrive at

$$\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}))$$

as desired.

Case 2. Suppose $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 5$, and the lone factors of \mathcal{P} are $\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \hat{G}_{ij} \equiv \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \hat{G}_{ij}(z_r)$ and $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \equiv \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv}(z_s)$, where $z_r, z_s \in \{z_1, \dots, z_\sigma\}$, $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that in the case $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ we have $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}) = N\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})$, and thus we need to improve Lemma 5.9 by a factor of N^{-1} .

Let us abbreviate $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} := \tilde{\mathcal{P}} / (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \hat{G}_{ij} \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv})$. Similar to (6.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} H_{xy} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{yx} \hat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{xj} \hat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{yx} \hat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{xj} \hat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})N^{-\nu(\mathcal{P})}) \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}L_k^{(3)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}L_k^{(4)} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})N^{-\nu(\mathcal{P})}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

for some fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$. By (6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_1^{(3)} &= -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{xx} \hat{G}_{yy} \hat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{yx} (\hat{G}_{xy} \hat{G}_{ij} + \hat{G}_{ix} \hat{G}_{yj} + \hat{G}_{iy} \hat{G}_{xj})) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{yx} \hat{G}_{ij}) \frac{\partial (\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{xy}} (1 + \delta_{xy}) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{xx} \hat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{xx}} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\hat{G}_{xx} \hat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \hat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{xx}} =: \sum_{p=1}^5 \mathbb{E}L_{1,p}^{(3)} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}L_1^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} (\widehat{G}_{xi} \widehat{G}_{yy} + \widehat{G}_{yi} \widehat{G}_{xy} + \widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{iy})) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)} \\
&- \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \frac{\partial(\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{xi}} (1 + \delta_{xi}) \\
&- \frac{2}{N^2} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial(\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ii}} = : \sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{E}L_{1,p}^{(4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We again see that there is a cancellation between $\mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(3)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(4)}$. Similar to (6.11), we can use Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9 to show that the estimate for other terms in $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(3)})\}_\sigma$ and $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(4)})\}_\sigma$ are improved by N^{-1} from the trivial estimate $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})$, i.e.

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(3)})\}_\sigma + \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})N^{-1/2}). \quad (6.26)$$

Next let us deal with $\mathbb{E}L_k^{(3)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_k^{(4)}$ for $k \geq 2$. We shall give a careful treatment of the latter. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}L_k^{(4)} &= -\frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \\
&- \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x:x \in \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P}) \setminus \{i,j,u,v\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \\
&- \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{jj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ij}^k} \\
&- \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x \in \{u,v\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} = : \sum_{p=1}^4 \mathbb{E}L_{k,p}^{(4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We can further split

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}L_{k,1}^{(4)} &= -\frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ix}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n (\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv})}{\partial H_{ix}^n} \\
&- \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(B)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} (\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}) = : \mathbb{E}L_{k,1,1}^{(4)} + \mathbb{E}L_{k,1,2}^{(4)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that when $n \geq 1$, there are at least two off-diagonal entries in each term of $\partial^n (\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}) / \partial H_{ix}^n$. Thus we see that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{k,1,1}^{(4)} = \sum_{q=1}^r \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)},$$

for some fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + (k+3)/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) + 1$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. In addition, similar to (6.19), we have

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)})/8 \leq \nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + (\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,1,1,q}^{(4)}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 1)/2 + (k-2)_+/2.$$

Thus Lemma 5.9 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,1,1}^{(4)})\}_\sigma \\
&= O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P})+2-(\theta(\mathcal{P})+(k+3)/2)-(\nu_1(\mathcal{P})+1)/2-\nu_3(\mathcal{P})+(k-2)_+/2}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}))
\end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

for $k \geq 2$. Similarly, we have

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,1,2}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) \quad (6.28)$$

for $k \geq 3$. To handle $\mathbb{E}L_{2,1,2}^{(4)}$, note that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{2,1,2}^{(4)} = \sum_{q=1}^r \sum_{x: x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}$$

for some fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + 5/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. Let us further split the sum over q such that $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$ for $1 \leq q \leq m \leq r$, and otherwise $q \geq m + 1$. By Lemma 5.9, one has

$$\sum_{q=m+1}^r \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

For $q \leq m$, directly applying Lemma 5.9 only gives a bound $O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})N^{1/2})$. In this case, we use the fact that $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}$ is a lone factor of $\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}$, i.e. $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = 1$. Let us write $\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)} = a^{(4)} t^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1^{(4)}\} \dots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma^{(4)}\}$. Similar to (6.19), the conditions $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$ ensure that $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,2,q}^{(4)}) \leq \nu_0(\mathcal{P}) + 2 \leq 7$. Thus we can use the the argument in Case 1 to get an additional improvement of $N^{-1/2}$. Hence, we have finished estimating all terms in $\mathbb{E}L_{2,1,2}^{(4)}$, and we conclude

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{2,1,2}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

Together with (6.27) and (6.28) we have

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,1}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})). \quad (6.29)$$

A similar argument applies when we show that

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,2}^{(4)})\}_\sigma + \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,3}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})), \quad (6.30)$$

as in both cases we get a lone factor when the derivatives $\partial^k / \partial H_{ix}^k$ do not hit $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}$.

However, we need to be more careful when we deal with $\mathbb{E}L_{k,4}^{(4)}$, since now $x \in \{u, v\}$, and the derivatives $\partial^k / \partial H_{ix}^k$ might destroy the lone factor $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}$. We apply (6.2) and see that

$$\mathbb{E}L_{k,4}^{(4)} = \sum_{q=1}^r \sum_y \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}$$

for some fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where each $\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)} \in \mathbf{P}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 1$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + (k+3)/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) \geq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - k$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) \geq \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. Again, we split the sum over q such that $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - k$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$ for $1 \leq q \leq m \leq n$, and otherwise $q \geq m + 1$. One can use Lemma 5.9 to show that

$$\sum_{q=m+1}^4 \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,4,q}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

For $q \leq m$, directly applying Lemma 5.9 only gives a bound $O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})N^{1/2})$. However, the condition $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - k$ implies that all the k derivatives $\partial^k / \partial H_{ix}^k$ are applied on factors with indices i, x . As $i \notin \{u, v\}$, we see that the derivatives $\partial^k / \partial H_{ix}^k$ cannot create a factor with indices u, v , i.e. $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}$ is still a lone factor for all terms in $\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}$. Thus $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = 1$. In addition, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - k$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$ ensures $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,4,q}^{(4)}) \leq \nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 5$. Thus we can use the argument in Case 1 to get an additional factor of $N^{-1/2}$. In conclusion, we get

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_{k,1}^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

Combining the above with (6.29) and (6.30) we get

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(4)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) \quad (6.31)$$

for all fixed $k \geq 2$. Similar steps can be used to show that

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(3)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) \quad (6.32)$$

for all fixed $k \geq 2$. Inserting (6.26), (6.31) and (6.32) into (6.25), we get $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}))$ as desired.

Case 3. Suppose $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_6(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 5$, and the lone factors of \mathcal{P} are $(\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U})_{ij} \equiv (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}(z_r) \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{G}(z_t))_{ij}$ and $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \equiv \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{uv}(z_s)$, where $z_r, z_s, z_t \in \{z_1, \dots, z_\sigma\}$, $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$. In this case, again we need to improve Lemma 5.9 by a factor of N^{-1} . Using resolvent identity (6.4) we have

$$(\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U})_{ij} = \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} (\widehat{G} \widehat{U})_{ij} = \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} (\widehat{H} \widehat{G} (\widehat{G} \widehat{U})_{uv}) - \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} ((\widehat{H} \widehat{G} \widehat{U})_{ij} \widehat{G}) + \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{U}_{ij}. \quad (6.33)$$

Let us write $(\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U})_{ij} = \sum_w (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G})_{iw} (\partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U})_{wj}$, and note that $(\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U})_{ij}$ is not a factor of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, but a factor of $\sum_x \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(C)}$. We denote $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(C)} := (\sum_w \tilde{\mathcal{P}}) / (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U})_{ij} \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv}$. By (6.33) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_w \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} H_{xy} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} (\widehat{G}_{xy} (\widehat{G} \widehat{U})_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(C)} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} H_{ix} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} ((\widehat{G} \widehat{U})_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(C)} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{G} \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{U}_{ij} \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{uv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(C)} =: \mathbb{E} A_1 + \mathbb{E} A_2 + \mathbb{E} A_3. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

We see that the RHS on the first line of (6.34) is very similar to that of (6.25), the main difference is that two matrices \widehat{G} are replaced by $\widehat{G} \widehat{U}$ in (6.34). By (6.2), we see that after expanding $\mathbb{E} A_1$ and $\mathbb{E} A_2$ using Lemma 3.2, there will be a cancellation between their second-cumulant terms, and other terms can be estimated in a similar fashion as described in Case 2. This allows one to show that

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(A_1)\}_\sigma + \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(A_2)\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

In addition, note that the term $\mathbb{E} A_3$ can be treated as in Case 2. Hence we have $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}))$ as desired.

Case 4. Suppose $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_7(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 5$, and the happy trio are $\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij} \equiv \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij}(z_r)$, $\partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \equiv \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{iu}(z_s)$, and $\partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv} \equiv \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{G}_{iv}(z_t)$, where $z_r, z_s, z_t \in \{z_1, \dots, z_\sigma\}$, $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$. In this case, again we need to improve Lemma 5.9 by a factor of N^{-1} .

We shall proceed in a very similar way as in Case 2: we perform one cumulant expansion, and the resulting terms either gain a factor N^{-1} or $N^{-1/2}$. In the latter the terms contain one lone factor, and we can use our estimate in Case 1 to gain another factor $N^{-1/2}$. Let us denote $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)} := \tilde{\mathcal{P}} / \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij} \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv}$. By (6.4), Lemma 3.2, and a routine estimate of the remainder term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} H_{xy} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)} - \sum_{x:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} H_{ix} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)})}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-\nu(\mathcal{P})}) \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(5)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(6)} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}) N^{-\nu(\mathcal{P})}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

for some fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Similar to (6.11) and (6.26) we have a cancellation between $\mathbb{E}L_1^{(5)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_1^{(6)}$. We can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}L_1^{(5)} + \mathbb{E}L_1^{(6)} &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{yy} \widehat{G}_{xj}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} (\widehat{F}_{xu} \widehat{F}_{ii}) \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} \widehat{U}_{iv} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{yy} \widehat{G}_{xj}) \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} (\widehat{U}_{xv} \widehat{U}_{ii}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} \widehat{F}_{iu} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)} + \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \\ &=: \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,q}^{(5)} + \mathcal{E}^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where it can be checked using Lemma 5.9 that

$$\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(1)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

In addition, each $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,q}^{(5)}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 1$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) + 1$. Moreover, we see that $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = \nu_0(\mathcal{P}) + 1 \leq 6$ and $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{1,q}^{(5)}) = 1$. Thus we can apply the result in Case 1 and show that

$$\sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,q}^{(5)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P})+2-(\theta(\mathcal{P})+2)-(\nu_1(\mathcal{P})-1)/2-(\nu_3(\mathcal{P})+1)-1/2}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

As a result,

$$\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(5)}) + \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_1^{(6)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})) \quad (6.36)$$

Similarly, we can use (6.2) and Lemma 5.9 to show that for fixed $\ell \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(5)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}L_k^{(6)} &= -\frac{s_3}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{x:x \notin \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{P})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \partial_{z_s}^{\delta_2} (\widehat{F}_{xu} \widehat{F}_{ii}) \partial_{z_t}^{\delta_3} (\widehat{U}_{xv} \widehat{U}_{ii}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(D)} + \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \\ &=: \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,q}^{(6)} + \mathcal{E}^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(2)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}))$. In addition, each $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,q}^{(6)}$ satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{P}_{2,q}^{(6)}) = \mu(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{2,q}^{(6)}) = \nu(\mathcal{P}) + 2$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{2,q}^{(6)}) = \theta(\mathcal{P}) + 5/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{2,q}^{(6)}) = \nu_1(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{2,q}^{(6)}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P})$. Moreover, we see that $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,q}^{(6)}) = \nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 5$ and $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{k,q}^{(6)}) = 1$. Thus we can apply the result in Case 1 and show that

$$\sum_{q=1}^n \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,q}^{(6)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P})+2-(\theta(\mathcal{P})+5/2)-\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2-\nu_3(\mathcal{P})-1/2}) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})).$$

As a result, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(5)}) + \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(L_k^{(6)})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P})). \quad (6.37)$$

Inserting (6.36) and (6.37) into (6.35), we have $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_1(\mathcal{P}))$ as desired. This finishes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 6.1. Let $\mathcal{P} = at^\mu N^{-\theta} \{\mathcal{G}_1\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_\sigma\} \in \mathbf{P}$ as in Lemma 6.1, where $\mathcal{G}_r \in \mathbf{G}(z_r)$ for $r = 1, \dots, \sigma$. It suffices to assume $a = 1$ and $\mu = \theta = 0$.

6.2. Proof of Lemma 6.1 (i). In this section we prove Lemma 6.1 for $d(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. We see that $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})N$, i.e. we need to gain an improvement of factor N^{-1} . Let us recall the assumption from Lemma 5.10 that $\nu(\mathcal{P}) = 2$, $\nu_2(\mathcal{P}) = \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, and the assumption $\mu = \theta = 0$ stated above. In case $\sigma = 1$, some toy examples are $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{G}_1\}$ with $\mathcal{G}_1 = \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \widehat{G}_{jj}^2$, $\widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{jj}$ or $\widehat{G}_{ij}^2 \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{jj}$ (say), which correspond to the cases $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 0, 1$ or 2 , respectively. In the sequel, we separate the discussion for general \mathcal{P} into the cases $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ and $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2$.

Case 1. Let us first consider the case $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, i.e. there is no off-diagonal entries in \mathcal{P} . In this case $\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P}) = t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{2-\theta(\mathcal{P})-1} = N$. By Lemma 3.9, we see that

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \rangle \prec N^{2-1} \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^{\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1)/2+1}} \cdots \frac{1}{|\eta_\sigma|^{\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)/2+1}} \leq N \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^{5/2}} \cdots \frac{1}{|\eta_\sigma|^{5/2}},$$

where in the last step we used $\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_r) \leq \nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 3$ for all $r = 1, \dots, \sigma$. Then Lemma 5.1 implies

$$\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = \{\langle \mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}) \rangle\}_\sigma = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})),$$

and together with Lemma 3.4 we complete the proof.

Case 2. Now we assume $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, i.e. there is exactly one off-diagonal factor in \mathcal{P} . Then $\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P}) = N^{1/2}$. W.O.L.G. we denote it by $\partial_{z_1}^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij} \equiv \partial_{z_1}^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij}(z_1)$, $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(E)} := \tilde{\mathcal{P}} / \partial_{z_1}^\delta \widehat{G}_{ij}$, and note that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(E)}$ only contains diagonal entries. By the definition of \mathbf{D} in (4.3), \mathcal{P} is real, and $\mathbb{E}|S(\mathcal{P})|^2 = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})^2 = \mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma^2$. Let us write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}' = \{\mathcal{G}_{1'}\} \cdots \{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma'}\} \in \mathbf{P}$, where each $\mathcal{G}_{r'}$ is obtained from \mathcal{G}_r by changing z_r into $z_{r'}$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}|S(\mathcal{P})|^2 = \mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})\}_\sigma^2 = \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})S(\tilde{\mathcal{P}}')\}_{2\sigma} =: \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \right\}_{2\sigma}.$$

Similar to (6.10), we have for $i \neq j$ and $u \neq v$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E}H_{xy} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} - \sum_{x:x \neq i} \mathbb{E}H_{ix} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv})}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)})}{\partial H_{xy}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{xy}^n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{yx} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)})}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)})}{\partial H_{ix}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{ix}^n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{ij}) \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}) \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(5)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}L_k^{(6)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(7)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E}L_k^{(8)} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

We emphasize that all the above L -terms is dependent of i, j, u, v , and for convenience we omit the indices. Note that in the above, $\mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(5)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(7)}$ contains the information for the covariance of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}$ terms, and in their treatment we shall use the estimates proved in Lemma 6.5. On the other hand, in $\mathbb{E}L_k^{(6)}$ and $\mathbb{E}L_k^{(8)}$, we see that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}$ is intact and thus one can focus on the estimate of the derivative of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}$, which will be similar to the counterpart in the estimate of $\mathbb{E}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ in (6.25).

We first deal with $\mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(7)}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* &= \sum_{i,j,u,v}^* + \sum_{i,j,u}^* (\mathbf{1}(i=v) + \mathbf{1}(j=v)) + \sum_{i,j,v}^* (\mathbf{1}(i=u) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}(j=u)) + \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbf{1}(\{i,j\} = \{u,v\}) \\ &=: \sum_1 + \cdots + \sum_4, \end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

and $\mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(7)}$ behaves differently when we apply different \sum_w , $w = 1, \dots, 4$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(7)} &= -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{ix}} (1 + \delta_{ix}) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \sum_y \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{ij} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{ii}} \\ &=: \sum_{q=1}^{n_1} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1,1,q}^{(7)} + \sum_{q=1}^{n_2} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1,2,q}^{(7)} \\ &=: \sum_{q=1}^{n_1} \sum_{w=1}^4 \sum_w \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1,1,q,w}^{(7)} + \sum_{q=1}^{n_2} \sum_{w=1}^4 \sum_w \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1,2,q,w}^{(7)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

for some fixed $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, where in the last step we used (6.39). By (6.2), we see that for each q , we have $\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)} \in \mathbf{P}$, with $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = 6$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = 2$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$. Moreover, we see that when an off-diagonal factor in $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}$ is differentiated, each $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)})$ contains two lone factors, which are either in the form $\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_2} (\widehat{G}\widehat{F})_{ju}$ and $\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_3} \widehat{F}_{iv}$, or $\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \partial_{z_r}^{\delta_2} (\widehat{G}\widehat{F})_{jv}$ and $\partial_{z_r}^{\delta_3} \widehat{F}_{iu}$, where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_3 \in \{0, 1\}$, and $\widehat{F} \equiv \widehat{G}(z_{r'})$ for some $r' \in \{1, 2, \dots, \sigma\}$. In this case $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) \geq 2$, $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = \nu_6(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 5 = 7 - 2(\nu_6(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}))$. When a diagonal factor in $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}$, say \widehat{F}_{uu} , was differentiated, each $\mathcal{S}_2(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)})$ contains only one lone factor \widehat{F}_{iu} or \widehat{F}_{xu} . In this case $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) \geq 3$, $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$, $\nu_6(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) = 0$, and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 6 \leq 7 - 2(\nu_6(\mathcal{P}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)}))$. We can then apply Lemma 6.5 and show that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)})\}_{2\sigma} &= \left\{ \sum_1 \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{1,1,1,q,1}^{(7)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec N^{6-2-2/2-1-1/2-1/2} + N^{6-2-3/2-1-1/2-0/2} \\ &= \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The estimate concerning other terms on RHS of (6.40) are easier, as for them $\nu \leq 5$, i.e. there are fewer summations. We can use Lemma 6.5 and show that

$$\left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E}L_{1,1}^{(7)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2. \tag{6.41}$$

Now, we consider $\mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(7)}$ for $k \geq 2$. A generalization of (6.39) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \sum_{x:x \neq i} &= \sum_{i,j,u,v,x}^* + \sum_{i,j,u,v}^* (\mathbf{1}(x=j) + \mathbf{1}(x=u) + \mathbf{1}(x=v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j,u}^* (\mathbf{1}(i=v) + \mathbf{1}(j=v)) (\mathbf{1}(x=j) + \mathbf{1}(x=u) + \mathbf{1}(x=v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j,v}^* (\mathbf{1}(i=u) + \mathbf{1}(j=u)) (\mathbf{1}(x=j) + \mathbf{1}(x=u) + \mathbf{1}(x=v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbf{1}(\{i,j\} = \{u,v\}) (\mathbf{1}(x=j) + \mathbf{1}(x=u) + \mathbf{1}(x=v)) =: \sum_{w=1}^5 \sum_w^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to (6.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(7)} &= - \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{w=1}^5 \sum_w^{(1)} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)})}{\partial H_{ix}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{ix}^n} \\ &=: \sum_{q=1}^r \sum_{w=1}^5 \sum_w^{(1)} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,n,q,w}^{(7)} \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

We first check the case $w = 1$. By (6.2), we see that for each q , we have $\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)} \in \mathbf{P}$, with $\nu(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 6$, $\theta(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = (k+3)/2$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \geq 3$, $\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \in \{0, 1\}$, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 2 + \nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)})$, and it is not hard to show that

$$\nu_*(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 2(2\nu_3(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) + \nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) - 10)_+ \leq 2(\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) - 6)_+.$$

When $k \geq 3$ and $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \geq 4$, it is easy to see from Lemma 5.9 that $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)})\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2)$. When $k \geq 3$ and $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 3$, we have $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$, as $\partial^n \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} / \partial H_{ix}^n$ contains at least one lone factor. In addition, $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 2+3 = 5$, thus we can use Lemma 6.5 and show that $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)})\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2)$. When $k = 2$ and $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \geq 5$, we can use Lemma 5.9 to show that $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)})\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2)$. When $k = 2$ and $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 4$, we have $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 6$ and $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$, thus we can use Lemma 6.5 to show that $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)})\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2)$. When $k = 2$ and $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 3$, we have $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) \leq 5$. In addition, we either have two lone factors or a happy trio, where the happy trio is of the form \widehat{G}_{xj} , \widehat{F}_{xu} , \widehat{F}_{xv} . This means we either have $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = \nu_6(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$, or $\nu_5(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = \nu_7(\mathcal{P}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)}) = 1$. Thus we can use Lemma 6.5 to show that $\{\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{k,n,q,1}^{(7)})\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2)$. This finishes the estimate for $w = 1$. For $w = 2, \dots, 5$, the estimate are easier, as there are fewer summations. We omit the details. Hence, we have showed that

$$\left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(7)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2$$

for $k \geq 2$. Together with (6.41) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(7)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2. \quad (6.42)$$

Similarly, we can also show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(5)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2. \quad (6.43)$$

Now let us estimate $L_k^{(6)}$ and $L_k^{(8)}$. We see that they are essentially about the expectation of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, as the factor $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'$ is not differentiated. Similar to (6.11) and (6.26), we also have a cancellation between the leading terms of $\mathbb{E} L_k^{(6)}$ and $\mathbb{E} L_k^{(8)}$. In addition, note that since $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(E)}$ only contains diagonal entries. Together with Lemma 5.9, we can show that

$$\mathbb{E} L_1^{(6)} + \mathbb{E} L_1^{(8)} = \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(3)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}, \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(6)} = \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(4)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}, \quad \sum_{k=3}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(8)} = \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(5)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}L_2^{(8)} &= -\frac{s_3}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \\ &\quad - \frac{s_3}{2N^{5/2}} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)}}{\partial H_{xi}^2} + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(6)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \\ &=: \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(7,1)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{ij} + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(7,2)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{ij} + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(6)} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}.\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(n)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$ for $n = 3, \dots, 6$. Directly estimating $\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(7,1)}$ by Lemma 5.8 would not be enough for us. By the isotropic semicircle law Theorem 3.7, we have

$$\sum_x \widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{xj} = \sum_x m(z_1) \widehat{G}_{xj} + \sum_x (\widehat{G}_{xx} - m(z_1)) \widehat{G}_{xj} \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_1|}. \quad (6.44)$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(7,1)} = \frac{1}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{x,y} \partial_{z_1}^\delta (\widehat{G}_{xx} \widehat{G}_{ii} \widehat{G}_{xj} \widehat{G}_{yy}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(E)} \prec N^{1-5/2} \frac{1}{|\eta_1|^2} \cdot \frac{1}{|\eta_2|^{3/2}} \cdots \frac{1}{|\eta_\sigma|^{3/2}},$$

and together with Lemma 5.1 we get $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(7,1)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$. The steps for $\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(7,2)}$ are similar, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(E)}$ only contains diagonal entries, we see that the worst terms in $\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(7,2)}$ will contain factors of the form $\widehat{G}_{xj}(z_1) \widehat{G}_{xx}(z_r)$ for some $r \in \{1, \dots, \sigma\}$. We can use an estimate similar to (6.44) and show that $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(7,2)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$. Thus we have proved that

$$\sum_{k=2}^\ell \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* (\mathbb{E}L_k^{(6)} + \mathbb{E}L_k^{(8)}) \right\}_{2\sigma} = O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot \mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})| \leq O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2)^{1/2}. \quad (6.45)$$

Inserting (6.42), (6.43) and (6.45) into (6.38), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2 = O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2) + O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2)^{1/2},$$

which implies $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2 = O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2)$ as desired.

Case 3. We consider the case $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2$, and as a result $\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P}) = N^{1-\nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2}$. The discussion is very similar to Case 2. Let $\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}, \dots, \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)}$ be the off-diagonal factors in \mathcal{P} , where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\nu_1} \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(F)} := \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} / (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)} \cdots \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)})$. Similar to (6.38), we have for $i \neq j$ and $u \neq v$ that

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathbb{E} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij} \rangle \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\ell \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xy}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \cdots \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)}}{\partial H_{xy}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{xy}^n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^\ell \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xy}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \cdots \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)}}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^\ell \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \cdots \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)}}{\partial H_{ix}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{ix}^n} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^\ell \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \cdots \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)}) \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)}}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle + O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}) \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^\ell \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(9)} + \sum_{k=1}^\ell \mathbb{E}L_k^{(10)} + \sum_{k=1}^\ell \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(11)} + \sum_{k=1}^\ell \mathbb{E}L_k^{(12)} + O_\prec(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}).\end{aligned}$$

The treatment of $L_{k,n}^{(9)}$ and $L_{k,n}^{(11)}$ is similar to that of $L_{k,n}^{(7)}$ in Case 2: we use Lemma 6.5 to estimate the worst terms, and the other terms can be estimated by the trivial bound in Lemma 5.9. As a result, we

have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(9)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(11)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2. \quad (6.46)$$

By Lemma 5.9 we can also show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E} L_k^{(10)} \right\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2)^{1/2}. \quad (6.47)$$

The estimates concerning $L_k^{(12)}$ is more complicated than what we saw for $L_k^{(8)}$ in Case 2, and the reason is that we have more than 1 off-diagonal entries in \mathcal{P} . When the derivative $\partial^k / \partial H_{ix}^k$ hits the off-diagonal entries $\partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \dots \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1)}$, direct estimate by Lemma 5.9 is not small enough for all terms. But for these terms the value of ν_1 will decrease. Using the cancellation between the leading terms of $\mathbb{E} L_1^{(10)}$ and $\mathbb{E} L_1^{(12)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} L_1^{(10)} + \mathbb{E} L_1^{(12)} &= \frac{1}{N^2(\nu_1 - 2)!} \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \left(\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_{s(2)}}^{\delta_{s(2)}} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(s(2))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(2))}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \partial_{z_{s(3)}}^{\delta_{s(3)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(3))} \dots \partial_{z_{s(\nu_1)}}^{\delta_{s(\nu_1)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(\nu_1))} \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \right) + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(8)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\ &=: \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_{x,y} \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(12,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(8)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6.48)$$

where $\text{Sym}(M)$ denotes the symmetric group of set M , and we can use Lemma 5.9 to show that $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(8)})\}_{\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} L_2^{(12)} &= \frac{s_3}{N^{5/2}(\nu_1 - 3)!} \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_{x: x \neq \{i,j\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \left(\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_{s(2)}}^{\delta_{s(2)}} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(s(2))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(2))}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \partial_{z_{s(3)}}^{\delta_{s(3)}} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(s(3))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(3))}) \partial_{z_{s(4)}}^{\delta_{s(4)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(4))} \dots \partial_{z_{s(\nu_1)}}^{\delta_{s(\nu_1)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(\nu_1))} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{s_3}{N^{5/2}(\nu_1 - 3)!} \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \left(\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{jj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_{s(2)}}^{\delta_{s(2)}} (\widehat{G}_{jj}^{(s(2))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(2))}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \partial_{z_{s(3)}}^{\delta_{s(3)}} (\widehat{G}_{jj}^{(s(3))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(3))}) \partial_{z_{s(4)}}^{\delta_{s(4)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(4))} \dots \partial_{z_{s(\nu_1)}}^{\delta_{s(\nu_1)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(\nu_1))} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \right) + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(9)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\ &=: \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_{x: x \neq \{i,j\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,1}^{(12,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,2}^{(12,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(9)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.49)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(12)} &= \frac{s_{k+1}}{N^{(k+3)/2}(\nu_1 - k - 1)!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \left(\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{jj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \dots \partial_{z_{s(k+1)}}^{\delta_{s(k+1)}} (\widehat{G}_{jj}^{(s(k+1))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(k+1))}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \partial_{z_{s(k+2)}}^{\delta_{s(k+2)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(k+2))} \dots \partial_{z_{s(\nu_1)}}^{\delta_{s(\nu_1)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(\nu_1))} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \right) + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(10,k)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\ &=: \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}(\{2, \dots, \nu_1\})} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_k^{(12,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(10,k)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

for $3 \leq k \leq \nu_1 - 1$, where we can use Lemma 5.9 and Theorem 3.7 to show that

$$\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(9)})\}_{\sigma} + \sum_{k=3}^{(\nu_1-1) \wedge \ell} \{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}^{(10,k)})\}_{\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P}).$$

We see that for each $s \in \text{Sym}\{2, \dots, \sigma\}$, we have $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}_{2,1}^{(12,s)}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1/2}$, which is not enough. But we can again use $\widehat{G}_{jx} = \widehat{H}\widehat{G}\widehat{G}_{jx} - (\widehat{H}\widehat{G})_{jx}\widehat{G} + \delta_{jx}\widehat{G}$ and Lemma 3.2 to do another expansion. Estimating the result using Lemma 5.9 and (6.44), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x:x \neq \{i,j\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,1}^{(12,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\
&= \frac{s_3^2}{N^4(\nu_1 - 3)!} \sum_{x:x \notin \{i,j\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \left(\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{jj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{yy}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_{s(2)}}^{\delta_{s(2)}} (\widehat{G}_{xx}^{(s(2))} \widehat{G}_{jj}^{(s(2))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(2))}) \right. \\
&\quad \times \partial_{z_{s(3)}}^{\delta_{s(3)}} (\widehat{G}_{xx}^{(s(3))} \widehat{G}_{jj}^{(s(3))} \widehat{G}_{ii}^{(s(3))}) \partial_{z_{s(4)}}^{\delta_{s(4)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(4))} \dots \partial_{z_{s(\nu_1)}}^{\delta_{s(\nu_1)}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(s(\nu_1))} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(F)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \Big) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(11,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle \\
&=: \sum_{x:x \neq \{i,j\}} \sum_y \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{2,1,1}^{(12,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(11,s)} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.51}$$

where $\{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}_{ij}^{(11,s)})\}_\sigma \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$. In addition, we also have

$$\left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} L_k^{(12)} \right\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2)^{1/2} \tag{6.52}$$

for $k \geq \nu_1$. By (6.46) – (6.52), together with the identity $\mathbb{E} X \langle Y \rangle = \mathbb{E} \langle X \rangle \langle Y \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2 &= \sum_{s \in \text{Sym}\{2, \dots, \nu_1\}} \left(\mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(12,s)}) \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}) + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{2,1,1}^{(12,s)}) \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}) + \mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{2,2}^{(12,s)}) \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=3}^{(\nu_1-1) \wedge \ell} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}_k^{(12,s)}) \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}) \right) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.53}$$

We see that $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}_1^{(12,s)}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}_{2,2}^{(12,s)}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}_k^{(12,s)}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})$, $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,1}^{(12,s)}) = \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1/2}$, and $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_1^{(12,s)})$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{2,1,1}^{(12,s)})$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_{2,2}^{(12,s)})$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}_k^{(12,s)}) \leq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 2$ for all $s \in \text{Sym}\{2, \dots, \sigma\}$ and $k \leq \nu_1 - 1$. Rewriting (6.53) in an abstract form, we get

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2 = \sum_{q=1}^n \mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{(q)}) \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2)^{1/2} \tag{6.54}$$

for some fixed n . Each $\mathcal{P}^{(q)}$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \leq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 2$, and each $\mathbb{E} \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{(q)}) \mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})$ can be expanded again using (6.4) and Lemma 3.2. Similar to Cases 1 and 2, one can show that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) \prec \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$ when $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. Hence repeating (6.54) finitely many steps we get

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2 = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{S}(\dot{\mathcal{P}})|^2)^{1/2}.$$

This finishes the proof.

6.3. Proof of Lemma 6.1 (ii). In this section we prove Lemma 6.1 for $d(\mathcal{P}) = 1$. In this case we have $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})N^{1/2}$, thus we need to gain an improvement of $N^{-1/2}$. Comparing with the need of improving N^{-1} in Section 6.2, the estimate in this section is easier.

Case 1. Let us first consider the case $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. Note that we have for $\widehat{G} \equiv \widehat{G}(z)$ that

$$\sum_i (\widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G}^{1+\omega})_{ii} = \sum_k \widehat{G}_{kk}^{2+\omega} H_{kk} \prec \frac{1}{|\eta|^{1+\omega}},$$

uniformly for $z = E + i\eta \in \mathbf{D}$. Together with Theorem 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}) &\prec t^{\mu(\mathcal{P})} N^{\nu(\mathcal{P}) - \theta(\mathcal{P}) - \nu_1(\mathcal{P})/2 - \nu_3(\mathcal{P}) - d(\mathcal{P})/2} |\eta_1|^{-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_1)/2} \dots |\eta_\sigma|^{-\nu_0(\mathcal{G}_\sigma)/2} \\
&\quad \cdot (|N\eta_1|^{-1/2} + \dots + |N\eta_\sigma|^{-1/2}) \\
&\prec N |\eta_1|^{-5/2} \dots N |\eta_\sigma|^{-5/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.55}$$

where in the second step we used $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \leq 4$. By Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) = O_{\prec}(N) = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})),$$

and together with Lemma 3.4 we complete the proof.

Case 2. Now let us consider the case when $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) = 1$. In this situation, the additional factor of $N^{-1/2}$ comes from the isotropic law. If the off-diagonal entry is in the form $\partial_z^\delta G_{ij}$, and we also have $(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega})_{ii}$ in \mathcal{P} , then (6.55) is obviously true from Lemma 3.9 and

$$\sum_j \widehat{G}_{ij} \prec \frac{1}{|\eta|^{1/2}}.$$

If the off-diagonal entry is in the form $(\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega})_{ij}$, then we use the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j (\widehat{G}H_d\widehat{G}^{1+\omega})_{ij} = \sum_k \widehat{G}_{ik} \widehat{G}_{kv} H_{kk} \prec \frac{1}{|N\eta^{1+\omega}|},$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (1, \dots, 1)$. Together with Lemma 3.9 we again obtain (6.55). Following the steps in Case 1 we complete the proof.

Case 3. We consider the case $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2$. We work under the additional assumption that \mathcal{P} contains the off-diagonal factor $(\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij}$, where $\widehat{F} := \widehat{G}(z_{\nu_1})$, $\omega \in \{0, 1\}$; the case where \mathcal{P} contains $(\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ii}$ or $(\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{jj}$ can be proved in a similar way. Let $\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}, \dots, \partial_{z_{\nu_1-1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1-1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1-1)}$ be the other off-diagonal factors in \mathcal{P} , where $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{\nu_1-1} \in \{0, 1\}$. Let

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(G)} := \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} / (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)} \dots \partial_{z_{\nu_1-1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1-1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1-1)} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij}).$$

Note that the condition $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2$ grants the existence of $\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}$ in \mathcal{P} . Similar to (6.38), we have for $i \neq j$ and $u \neq v$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xy}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \dots \partial_{z_{\nu_1-1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1-1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1-1)} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(G)})}{\partial H_{xy}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{xy}^n} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y}^* \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xy}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \dots \partial_{z_{\nu_1-1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1-1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1-1)} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(G)})}{\partial H_{xy}^k} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \binom{k}{n} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^{k-n} (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \dots \partial_{z_{\nu_1-1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1-1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1-1)} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(G)})}{\partial H_{ix}^{k-n}} \frac{\partial^n \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv}}{\partial H_{ix}^n} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{s_{k+1}}{k!} \frac{1}{N^{(k+3)/2}} \sum_{x,y:x \neq i} \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k (\partial_{z_1}^{\delta_1} (\widehat{G}_{xj}^{(1)} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(1)}) \partial_{z_2}^{\delta_2} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(2)} \dots \partial_{z_{\nu_1-1}}^{\delta_{\nu_1-1}} \widehat{G}_{ij}^{(\nu_1-1)} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}^{(G)})}{\partial H_{ix}^k} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'_{uv} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}) \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(13)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(14)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \mathbb{E} L_{k,n}^{(15)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{E} L_k^{(16)} + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 N^{-4}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.56)$$

Note that the entries of $\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega}$ behaves very similar to those of $\partial_z^\delta \widehat{G}$, in the way that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ij}}{\partial H_{kl}} &= -\widehat{F}_{ik} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{lj} - \widehat{F}_{il} (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{kj} - (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{ik} \widehat{F}_{lj} - (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega})_{il} \widehat{F}_{kj} \\ &\quad - \omega (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F})_{ik} \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^\omega \widehat{F}_{lj} - \omega (\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F})_{il} \partial_{z_{\nu_1}}^\omega \widehat{F}_{kj}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.57)$$

Unlike in Cases 2 and 3 of Section 6.2, we cannot use Lemma 6.5 in the estimates of the mixed terms $L_{k,n}^{(13)}$ and $L_{k,n}^{(15)}$. On the other hand, the mixed terms in (6.56) are easier to estimate, as each of them contains two entries of $\widehat{F}H_d\widehat{F}^{1+\omega}$, which gives an additional factor of N^{-1} . By applying the differentials

carefully using (6.2), (6.57), and estimating the result directly by Lemma 5.9, we can show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(13)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{n=1}^k \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E}L_{k,n}^{(15)} \right\}_{2\sigma} \prec \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2.$$

In addition, (6.2), (6.57), and Lemma 5.9 can also be used to show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\ell} \left\{ \sum_{i,j}^* \sum_{u,v}^* \mathbb{E}L_k^{(14)} \right\}_{2\sigma} = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2)^{1/2}.$$

Similar to (6.48) – (6.51), we can show that $L_k^{(16)}$ contains several terms where the parameter \mathcal{E}_0 is unchanged, but ν_1 is reduced by at least 2. Hence similar to (6.54), we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2 = \sum_{q=1}^n \mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2)^{1/2} \quad (6.58)$$

for some fixed n . Each $\mathcal{P}^{(q)}$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \leq \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})$, $\nu_1(\mathcal{P}^{(q)}) \leq \nu_1(\mathcal{P}) - 2$, and each $\mathbb{E}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}^{(q)})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ can be expanded again using (6.4) and Lemma 3.2. Note from Cases 1 and 2 that $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}) \prec \mathcal{E}_0(\mathcal{P})N^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})$ when $\nu_0(\mathcal{P}) \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence repeating (6.58) finitely many steps we get

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2 = O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})^2) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{E}_*(\mathcal{P})) \cdot (\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})|^2)^{1/2}.$$

This concludes the proof.

7. THE LOWER BOUND – PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section, we establish a lower bound for the convergence rate. The key technical step for the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the following proposition on the estimate of the three point function of the Green function. Fix small $\delta > 0$ such that f is analytic in $[-2 - 10\delta, 2 + 10\delta]$. We define the domains for $a = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\mathcal{S}_a \equiv \mathcal{S}_a(\delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{dist}(z, [-2, 2]) = a\delta\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_a^{\geq} \equiv \mathcal{S}_a^{\geq}(\delta) = \{z = E + i\eta \in \mathcal{S}_a : \eta \geq N^{-5}\}.$$

For brevity, we also set

$$b_n := \mathcal{C}_n(\sqrt{N}H_{11})$$

for all fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

Again, for brevity, in the sequel, we will focus on case $\beta = 1$.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that the assumptions in Theorem 1.5 hold and $\beta = 1$. Let $z_a \in \mathcal{S}_a^{\geq}$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\langle \underline{G}(z_1) \rangle \langle \underline{G}(z_2) \rangle \langle \underline{G}(z_3) \rangle \\ &= N^{-\frac{7}{2}} b_3 m'(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) + 8N^{-4} (h(z_1, z_2, z_3) + h(z_3, z_2, z_1) + h(z_2, z_1, z_3)) \\ & \quad + N^{-4} b_4 m'(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) (m(z_1) + m(z_2) + m(z_3)) + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(u, v, w) := \frac{1}{(u^2 - 4)^{\frac{3}{2}} (v^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (w^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (u - v)(w - u)}, \quad u, v, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-2, 2].$$

Remark 7.2. *Note that the parameter $z \in \mathcal{S}_a$ is on global scale since it is away from $[-2, 2]$ by a distance of constant order. It suffices to carry out all the estimates below for Green functions on \mathcal{S}_a thanks to the analyticity of the test function f . Most of the error terms in the estimates concerning Green functions depend on $(|E^2 - 4| + \eta)^{-1}$ in the sequel. But we omit this dependence for simplicity since $|E^2 - 4| + \eta \sim 1$ for $z \in \mathcal{S}_a$ anyway.*

In the sequel, we first prove Theorem 1.5 based on Proposition 7.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By Cauchy integral formula

$$(\text{Var}(\text{Tr} f_\gamma(H)))^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^2 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_a} f_\gamma(z_a) \langle \underline{G}(z_a) \rangle dz \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (7.1)$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Var}(\text{Tr} f_\gamma(H)))^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbb{E} \mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathbb{E} \langle \text{Tr} f_\gamma(H) \rangle^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= N^3 \mathbb{E} \prod_{a=1}^3 \left[\frac{i}{2\pi} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_a} f_\gamma(z_a) \langle \underline{G}(z_a) \rangle dz_a \right] \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= N^3 \frac{i^3}{(2\pi)^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1^\triangleright \times \mathcal{S}_2^\triangleright \times \mathcal{S}_3^\triangleright} f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3) \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G}(z_1) \rangle \langle \underline{G}(z_2) \rangle \langle \underline{G}(z_3) \rangle dz_1 dz_2 dz_3 + O(N^{-2}) \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

Applying Proposition 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & N^3 \frac{i^3}{(2\pi)^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1^\triangleright \times \mathcal{S}_2^\triangleright \times \mathcal{S}_3^\triangleright} f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3) \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G}(z_1) \rangle \langle \underline{G}(z_2) \rangle \langle \underline{G}(z_3) \rangle dz_1 dz_2 dz_3 \\ &= N^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_3 \mathcal{L}_1 + N^{-1} \mathcal{L}_2 + N^{-1} b_4 \mathcal{L}_3 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \frac{i^3}{(2\pi)^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3} f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3) m'(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) dz_1 dz_2 dz_3 \\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= \frac{i^3}{\pi^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3} f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3) (h(z_1, z_2, z_3) + h(z_3, z_2, z_1) + h(z_2, z_1, z_3)) dz_1 dz_2 dz_3, \\ \mathcal{L}_3 &= \frac{i^3}{(2\pi)^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3} f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3) m'(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) (m(z_1) + m(z_2) + m(z_3)) dz_1 dz_2 dz_3. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we estimate \mathcal{L}_1 , \mathcal{L}_2 and \mathcal{L}_3 . To this end, we denote by $m_k \equiv m(z_k)$ for simplicity and apply the identities

$$z_k = -(m_k + m_k^{-1}), \quad \sqrt{z_k^2 - 4} = \frac{m_k^2 - 1}{m_k} = -\frac{m_k}{m_k'}. \quad (7.4)$$

We further write

$$m_k = \rho_k e^{i\theta_k}, \quad 1 > \rho_1 > \rho_2 > \rho_3 > 0. \quad (7.5)$$

Notice that when z goes counterclockwise, $m(z)$ goes clockwise. With the above parameterization, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= -\frac{i^3}{(2\pi)^3} \oint_{|m_1|=\rho_1} \oint_{|m_2|=\rho_2} \oint_{|m_3|=\rho_3} \prod_{a=1}^3 f_\gamma(-m_a - m_a^{-1}) dm_1 dm_2 dm_3 \\ &= -\lim_{\rho_3 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\rho_2 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\rho_1 \rightarrow 1} \frac{i^6}{(2\pi)^3} \prod_{k=1}^3 \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_k e^{i\theta_k} f_\gamma(-\rho_k e^{i\theta_k} - \rho_k^{-1} e^{-i\theta_k}) d\theta_k \\ &= -\frac{i^6}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} f_\gamma(-2 \cos \theta) d\theta \right)^3 = \frac{i^6}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\gamma(2 \cos \psi) \cos \psi d\psi \right)^3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} (c_1^{f_\gamma})^3 = -\frac{1}{8} (1 - \gamma)^3 (c_1^f)^3, \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

where in the first two steps we used Green's formula and the fact that f_γ is analytic. Similarly, for \mathcal{L}_3 , we have

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = -\frac{3i^6}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\gamma(2 \cos \psi_1) \cos(2\psi_1) d\psi_1 \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\gamma(2 \cos \psi_2) \cos(\psi_2) d\psi_2 \right)^2 = \frac{3}{8} c_2^{f_\gamma} (c_1^{f_\gamma})^2. \quad (7.7)$$

Next, we turn to the estimate of \mathcal{L}_2 . We further do the decomposition

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2^{123} + \mathcal{L}_2^{321} + \mathcal{L}_2^{213},$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_2^{abc} = \frac{i^3}{\pi^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3} f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3) h(z_a, z_b, z_c) dz_1 dz_2 dz_3, \quad \{a, b, c\} = \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

We emphasize that the integral with three $h(z_a, z_b, z_c)$'s are not symmetric due to the assumptions in ρ_k 's in (7.5). However, the estimates of \mathcal{L}_2^{abc} 's are similar. We only state the details for \mathcal{L}_2^{123} in the sequel. Applying (7.4) and Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{123} &= \frac{i^3}{\pi^3} \oint_{\mathcal{S}_1 \times \mathcal{S}_2 \times \mathcal{S}_3} \frac{f_\gamma(z_1) f_\gamma(z_2) f_\gamma(z_3)}{(z_1^2 - 4)^{\frac{3}{2}} (z_2^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (z_3^2 - 4)^{\frac{1}{2}} (z_1 - z_2)(z_3 - z_1)} dz_1 dz_2 dz_3 \\ &= - \lim_{\rho_3 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\rho_2 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\rho_1 \rightarrow 1} \frac{i^6}{\pi^3} \int_{[0, 2\pi]^3} \rho_1^2 \rho_2 \rho_3 e^{i(2\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{f_\gamma(-\rho_1 e^{i\theta_1} - \rho_1^{-1} e^{-i\theta_1}) f_\gamma(-\rho_2 e^{i\theta_2} - \rho_2^{-1} e^{-i\theta_2}) f_\gamma(-\rho_3 e^{i\theta_3} - \rho_3^{-1} e^{-i\theta_3}) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3}{(1 - \rho_1^2 e^{2i\theta_1})^2 (1 - \rho_1^{-1} \rho_2 e^{i(\theta_2 - \theta_1)}) (1 - \rho_1 \rho_2 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_2)}) (1 - \rho_1^{-1} \rho_3 e^{i(\theta_3 - \theta_1)}) (1 - \rho_1 \rho_3 e^{i(\theta_1 + \theta_3)})} \\ &= - \lim_{\rho_3 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\rho_2 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{\rho_1 \rightarrow 1} \frac{i^6}{\pi^3} \sum_{\alpha, \tau, \gamma, \sigma, \psi} (\psi + 1) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_1^{\tau - \alpha + \sigma - \gamma + 2\psi + 2} e^{i(\tau - \alpha + \sigma - \gamma + 2\psi + 2)\theta_1} f_\gamma(-\rho_1 e^{i\theta_1} - \rho_1^{-1} e^{-i\theta_1}) d\theta_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_2^{\alpha + \tau + 1} e^{i(\alpha + \tau + 1)\theta_2} f_\gamma(-\rho_2 e^{i\theta_2} - \rho_2^{-1} e^{-i\theta_2}) d\theta_2 \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{2\pi} \rho_3^{\gamma + \sigma + 1} e^{i(\gamma + \sigma + 1)\theta_3} f_\gamma(-\rho_3 e^{i\theta_3} - \rho_3^{-1} e^{-i\theta_3}) d\theta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{123} &= - \frac{i^6}{\pi^3} \sum_{\alpha, \tau, \gamma, \sigma, \psi=0}^{\infty} (\psi + 1) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos((\tau - \alpha + \sigma - \gamma + 2\psi + 2)\theta_1) f_\gamma(2 \cos \theta_1) d\theta_1 \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos((\alpha + \tau + 1)\theta_2) f_\gamma(2 \cos \theta_2) d\theta_2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos((\gamma + \sigma + 1)\theta_3) f_\gamma(2 \cos \theta_3) d\theta_3 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \tau, \gamma, \sigma, \psi=0}^{\infty} (\psi + 1) c_{\tau - \alpha + \sigma - \gamma + 2\psi + 2}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha + \tau + 1}^{f_\gamma} c_{\gamma + \sigma + 1}^{f_\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{321} &= \sum_{\alpha, \tau, \gamma, \sigma, \psi=0}^{\infty} (\psi + 1) c_{\sigma - \gamma}^{f_\gamma} c_{\tau - \alpha}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha + \tau + \gamma + \sigma + 2\psi + 4}^{f_\gamma}, \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{213} &= - \sum_{\alpha, \tau, \gamma, \sigma, \psi}^{\infty} (\psi + 1) c_{\tau - \alpha}^{f_\gamma} c_{\gamma + \sigma + 1}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha + \tau - \gamma + \sigma + 2\psi + 3}^{f_\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2 &= \sum_{\alpha, \tau, \gamma, \sigma, \psi=0}^{\infty} (\psi + 1) \left(c_{\tau - \alpha + \sigma - \gamma + 2\psi + 2}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha + \tau + 1}^{f_\gamma} c_{\gamma + \sigma + 1}^{f_\gamma} + c_{\sigma - \gamma}^{f_\gamma} c_{\tau - \alpha}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha + \tau + \gamma + \sigma + 2\psi + 4}^{f_\gamma} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - c_{\tau - \alpha}^{f_\gamma} c_{\gamma + \sigma + 1}^{f_\gamma} c_{\alpha + \tau - \gamma + \sigma + 2\psi + 3}^{f_\gamma} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

Inserting (7.3), (7.6) – (7.8) into (7.2), we have

$$\mathbb{E} \mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_{f, \gamma}^3 (\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = (\text{Var}(\text{Tr} f_\gamma(H)))^{-\frac{3}{2}} (-r_1^{f_\gamma} N^{-\frac{1}{2}} + r_2^{f_\gamma} N^{-1}) + O(N^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad (7.9)$$

and by another use of Corollary 3.8 we obtain (1.5) as desired. Next, we prove (1.6) by contradiction. Denote by $F_{N, \gamma}(x)$ and $\Phi(x)$ the distribution function of $\mathring{\mathcal{Z}}_{f, \gamma}$ and standard normal, respectively. Further

denote by $\widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}(x)$ the distribution function of $\mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. According to Corollary 3.8, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_{N,\gamma}(x) - \widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}(x)| = O(N^{-2}),$$

thus it suffices to provide a lower bound for the distance between $\widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}$ and Φ . First, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) &= \int x^3 d(\widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}(x) - \Phi(x)) \\ &= \int_{-N^{\frac{3}{4}}}^{N^{\frac{3}{4}}} x^3 d(\widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}(x) - \Phi(x)) + \mathbb{E} \mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |\mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3| > N^{\frac{3}{4}}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

For the second term in the RHS, it is easy to see from (7.1) and Theorem 3.7 that

$$\mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \prec 1.$$

Hence

$$|\mathbb{E} \mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3 \mathbf{1}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |\mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3| > N^{\frac{3}{4}})| \prec \mathbb{P}(\|H\| \leq 2 + N^{-\frac{1}{2}}, |\mathring{Z}_{f,\gamma}^3| > N^{\frac{3}{4}}) \prec N^{-2}.$$

For the first term in the RHS of (7.10), using integration by parts and rigidity, we have

$$\int_{-N^{\frac{3}{4}}}^{N^{\frac{3}{4}}} x^3 d(\widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}(x) - \Phi(x)) = 3 \int_{-N^{\frac{3}{4}}}^{N^{\frac{3}{4}}} x^2 (\widehat{F}_{N,\gamma}(x) - \Phi(x)) dx + O(N^{-2}).$$

Then, apparently, if (1.6) does not hold, (7.9) would not be true. Hence, we conclude (1.6) by contradiction. \square

In the sequel, we prove Proposition 7.1. To facilitate our analysis, we first state an estimate for the two point functions. With certain abuse of notation, we set

$$T_i := (-z_i - 2\mathbb{E}\underline{G}(z_i))^{-1}.$$

Further, for brevity, we will use the following shorthand notations in the sequel

$$G \equiv G(z_1), \quad F \equiv G(z_2), \quad K \equiv G(z_3).$$

The following result is a trivial adaption of [28, (4.11),(4.23)] to our settings, whose proof is omitted.

Lemma 7.3. *Under the assumption of Proposition 7.1, we have for $z_a \in \mathcal{S}_a, a = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle = \frac{2}{N^2} T_2 \mathbb{E} \underline{F} \underline{G}^2 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{5}{2}}),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\langle \underline{G}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle = \frac{4}{N^2} T_2 \mathbb{E} \underline{F} \underline{G}^3 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{5}{2}}).$$

In the following discussion, we fix $z_a = E_a + i\eta_a \in \mathcal{S}_a, a = 1, 2, 3$. We remark here that all the error terms in the following discussion may be proportional to certain fixed power of $(|E_a^2 - 4| + \eta_a)^{-1}$ and $|z_a - z_b|^{-1}$ with $a \neq b$. But we omit this dependence for simplicity of the presentation since they are all order 1 quantities, thanks to our definition of the domain \mathcal{S}_a 's. Applying the identity $zG = HG - I$ and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$z_1 \mathbb{E}\langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle = \mathbb{E} \underline{H} \underline{G} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E} H_{ji} G_{ij} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle = W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} R_{ij}, \quad (7.11)$$

where

$$W_k := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \frac{1}{k!} C_{k+1}(H_{ji}) \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial H_{ji}^k} \left(G_{ij} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right),$$

Analogously to (5.4), we shall use

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial H_{k\ell}} G_{ij} = -(1 + \delta_{k\ell})^{-1} (G_{ik} G_{\ell j} + G_{i\ell} G_{kj}). \quad (7.12)$$

A routine verification of the remainder term shows $N^{-1} \sum_{ij} R_{ij} = O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}})$. In the sequel we deal with W_1, W_2, W_3 .

7.1. The term W_1 . Recall the setting in Assumption 1.4 (i). Using (7.12) repeatedly, it is straightforward to derive

$$W_1 = -\mathbb{E}\langle(\underline{G})^2\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle - \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle - \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{F}^2\langle\underline{K}\rangle - \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{K}^2\langle\underline{F}\rangle. \quad (7.13)$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}\langle(\underline{G})^2\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle = \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle - \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + 2\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle. \quad (7.14)$$

Plugging (7.13) and (7.14) into (7.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{-1}\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle &= \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle - \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{K}^2\langle\underline{F}\rangle + \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{F}^2\langle\underline{K}\rangle - W_2 - W_3 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}) \\ &=: A_1 + \cdots + A_5 - W_2 - W_3 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

Then, we can proceed with a further estimate of the terms A_i 's, by similar calculations. For instance

$$z_1 A_1 = \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{H}\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} \mathbb{E}H_{ji}G_{ij}\langle\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle\rangle = W_{1,1} + W_{1,2} + W_{1,3} + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{11}{2}}),$$

where

$$W_{1,k} = \frac{1}{k!N} \sum_{ij} C_{k+1}(H_{ji})\mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial H_{ji}^k} \left(G_{ij}\langle\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle\rangle \right).$$

Then similarly to (7.15), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^{-1}A_1 &= \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}^3\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{F}^2\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{K}^2\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle - W_{1,2} - W_{1,3} + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^3\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle - \mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}^2\rangle\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle \\ &= A_{1,1} + \cdots + A_{1,3} - W_{1,2} - W_{1,3} + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemmas 3.9, we can easily get

$$A_{1,1} = \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}^3\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}), \quad A_{1,2} = \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{F}^2\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}),$$

and

$$A_{1,3} = \frac{2}{N^2}\mathbb{E}\underline{G}\underline{K}^2\mathbb{E}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}).$$

Furthermore, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} W_{1,2} &= \frac{b_3}{N^{5/2}} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \left[(G_{ii})^3 \langle\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle\rangle + \frac{2}{N}(G_{ii})^2(G^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{F}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \frac{1}{N}(G_{ii})^2(F^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N}(G_{ii})^2(K^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle + \frac{1}{N^2}G_{ii}(G^2)_{ii}(F^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \frac{1}{N^2}G_{ii}(G^2)_{ii}(K^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{F}\rangle \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{N^2}G_{ii}(F^2)_{ii}(K^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{G}\rangle + \frac{1}{N}G_{ii}F_{ii}(F^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{K}\rangle + \frac{1}{N}G_{ii}K_{ii}(K^2)_{ii}\langle\underline{G}\rangle\langle\underline{F}\rangle \right] = O_{\prec}(N^{-9/2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{1,3} = & -\frac{b_4}{N^3} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \left[(G_{ii})^4 \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{3}{N} (G_{ii})^3 (G^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{1}{N} G_{ii} (F_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{N} G_{ii} (K_{ii})^2 (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle + \frac{1}{N} (G_{ii})^3 (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{1}{N} (G_{ii})^3 (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\
& + \frac{1}{N} (G_{ii})^2 F_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{1}{N} (G_{ii})^2 K_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle + \frac{2}{N^2} (G_{ii})^2 (G^2)_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{K} \rangle \\
& + \frac{2}{N^2} (G_{ii})^2 (G^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle + \frac{1}{N^2} G_{ii} (G^2)_{ii} F_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{1}{N^2} G_{ii} (G^2)_{ii} K_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\
& + \frac{1}{N^2} G_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} K_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle + \frac{1}{N^2} G_{ii} F_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle + \frac{1}{N^2} (G_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{N^3} G_{ii} (G^2)_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \right] = O_{\prec}(N^{-5}).
\end{aligned}$$

To sum up, we have

$$A_1 = \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \mathbb{E} \underline{G}^3 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \mathbb{E} \underline{G} \underline{F}^2 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \mathbb{E} \underline{G} \underline{K}^2 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle + O_{\prec}(N^{-9/2}).$$

Similarly, we have

$$A_2 = -\frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \mathbb{E} \underline{G}^3 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}) \quad \text{and} \quad A_3 = O_{\prec}(N^{-5}).$$

Finally, the resolvent identity shows

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 &= \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{K} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{z_1 - z_3} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{K}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle, \\
A_5 &= \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we can get from (7.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1^{-1} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle &= \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \mathbb{E} \underline{G} \underline{F}^2 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \mathbb{E} \underline{G} \underline{K}^2 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\
&+ \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{K} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{z_1 - z_3} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{K}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\
&+ \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \\
&- W_2 - W_3 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}). \tag{7.16}
\end{aligned}$$

7.2. The term W_2 . From the definition and Assumption 1.4 (i), we have

$$W_2 := \frac{b_3}{2N^{5/2}} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial H_{ii}^2} \left(G_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right).$$

By (7.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial H_{ii}^2} \left(G_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right) &= 2(G_{ii})^3 \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N} (G_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N} (G_{ii})^2 (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\
&+ \frac{2}{N} G_{ii} (F_{ii})^3 \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N} G_{ii} (K_{ii})^3 \langle \underline{F} \rangle + \frac{2}{N^2} G_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii}. \tag{7.17}
\end{aligned}$$

We claim all but the last term on RHS of (7.17) are negligible. For instance, one can estimate the contribution from the second term in the RHS of (7.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{b_3}{N^{5/2}} \mathbb{E} \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (G_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} \right\rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \\ &= \frac{b_3}{N^{5/2}} \left(\mathbb{E} \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (G_{ii} - m(z_1))^2 (F^2)_{ii} \right\rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + 2m(z_1) \mathbb{E} \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (G_{ii} - m(z_1)) ((F^2)_{ii} - m'(z_2)) \right\rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 2m(z_1)m'(z_2) \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + m_1^2 \mathbb{E} \langle F^2 \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right) = O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

Similarly, applying Lemma 3.9 to all the other terms, we have

$$W_2 = \frac{b_3}{N^{9/2}} \sum_i \mathbb{E} G_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}) = \frac{b_3}{N^{\frac{7}{2}}} m(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}). \quad (7.19)$$

7.3. The term W_3 . Recall the definition

$$W_3 = \frac{b_4}{6N^3} \sum_i \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial H_{ii}^3} \left(G_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right).$$

By (7.12), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^3}{\partial H_{ii}^3} \left(G_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \right) &= -4(G_{ii})^4 \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{6}{N} (G_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{6}{N} (G_{ii})^2 (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{6}{N} (G_{ii})^2 F_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{6}{N} (G_{ii})^2 K_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{6}{N} G_{ii} (F_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{6}{N} G_{ii} (K_{ii})^2 (K^2)_{ii} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{6}{N^2} (G_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} - \frac{6}{N^2} G_{ii} F_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} - \frac{6}{N^2} G_{ii} K_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} (F^2)_{ii}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

We claim that except for the last three terms, all the other terms on the RHS of (7.20) will have negligible contribution to W_3 . The estimate of these negligible terms is similar to (7.18), and thus we omit the details. Finally, we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} W_3 &= -\frac{b_4}{N^5} \sum_i \left((G_{ii})^2 (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} + G_{ii} F_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} + G_{ii} K_{ii} (K^2)_{ii} (F^2)_{ii} \right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}) \\ &= -\frac{b_4}{N^4} m(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) (m(z_1) + m(z_2) + m(z_3)) + O_{\prec}(N^{-5}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

7.4. Summing up. Combining (7.16), (7.19) and (7.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle &= \frac{2}{N^2} T_1^2 \mathbb{E} \underline{G} \underline{F}^2 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle + \frac{2}{N^2} T_1^2 \mathbb{E} \underline{G} \underline{K}^2 \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_3)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{K} \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \frac{1}{z_1 - z_3} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{K}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{F} \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{G} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \frac{1}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F} \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle - \frac{2}{N^2} T_1 \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2} \mathbb{E} \langle \underline{F}^2 \rangle \langle \underline{K} \rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{b_3}{N^{7/2}} T_1 m(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) + \frac{b_4}{N^4} T_1 m(z_1) m'(z_2) m'(z_3) (m(z_1) + m(z_2) + m(z_3)) + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}) \\ & =: D_2 + D_3 + D_4 + O_{\prec}(N^{-\frac{9}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

where we denote the terms on first three lines on RHS of (7.22) by D_2 . In order to simplify these terms, we need the following elementary identities

$$m'(z_i) = \frac{z_i - \sqrt{z_i^2 - 4}}{2\sqrt{z_i^2 - 4}}, \quad m''(z_i) = -\frac{2}{(z_i^2 - 4)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

and

$$T_i = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{z_i^2 - 4}} + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) = \frac{m'(z_i)}{m(z_i)} + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}). \quad (7.23)$$

Applying the above identities and the local law, we have

$$\mathbb{E}G^2F = \frac{\sqrt{z_1^2 - 4}\sqrt{z_2^2 - 4} - z_1z_2 + 4}{2\sqrt{z_1^2 - 4}(z_1 - z_2)^2} + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}G^3F = \frac{(z_1z_2 - 4)(z_1^2 - 4) - (z_1^2 - 4)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{z_2^2 - 4} - 2(z_1 - z_2)^2}{2(z_1^2 - 4)^{\frac{3}{2}}(z_1 - z_2)^3} + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}).$$

Plugging the above estimates into the the definition of D_2 , we can get via a tedious but elementary calculation that

$$D_2 = \frac{8}{N^4}(h(z_1, z_2, z_3) + h(z_3, z_2, z_1) + h(z_2, z_1, z_3)) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}).$$

Further, by (7.23), it is easy to see

$$D_3 = \frac{b_3}{N^{7/2}}m'(z_1)m'(z_2)m'(z_3) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}),$$

and

$$D_4 = \frac{b_4}{N^4}m'(z_1)m'(z_2)m'(z_3)(m(z_1) + m(z_2) + m(z_3)) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}).$$

Inserting the above three relations into (7.22) we conclude the proof of Proposition 7.1.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.2

By the resolvent identity, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr} G = \sum_{k=0}^3 (-1)^k \mathrm{Tr} \widehat{G}(H_d \widehat{G})^k - \mathrm{Tr} \widehat{G} H_d G (H_d \widehat{G})^3 =: \sum_{k=0}^3 E_k. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let us check each term on RHS of (A.1). We shall repeatedly use the formula

$$AB - \mathbb{E}AB = A(B - \mathbb{E}B) + (A - \mathbb{E}A)\mathbb{E}B - \mathbb{E}(A - \mathbb{E}A)(B - \mathbb{E}B). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

For brevity, we will focus on $z \in \mathbf{S}_c^+$ (c.f. (3.8)). The case of $z \in \mathbf{S}_c \setminus \mathbf{S}_c^+$ is almost the same.

A.1. The estimate of $\langle E_1 \rangle$. By (A.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathrm{Tr} \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G} \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_i (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} H_{ii} \right\rangle = \sum_i (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} H_{ii} \\ &= \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} + \sum_i (\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} - \mathbb{E}\widehat{G}^2) H_{ii} + (\mathbb{E}\widehat{G}^2 - m') \sum_i H_{ii} + m' \sum_i H_{ii}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Note that $\widehat{G}_{ii} = \underline{\widehat{G}} + \widehat{H}\widehat{G}\widehat{G}_{ii} - (\widehat{H}\widehat{G})_{ii}\underline{\widehat{G}}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} - \mathbb{E}\widehat{G}^2 &= \partial_z (\mathbb{E}\widehat{G}_{ii} - \mathbb{E}\underline{\widehat{G}}) = \partial_z (\mathbb{E}\widehat{H}\widehat{G}\widehat{G}_{ii} - \mathbb{E}(\widehat{H}\widehat{G})_{ii}\underline{\widehat{G}}) \\ &= \partial_z \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j}^* \mathbb{E}H_{jk} \widehat{G}_{kj} \widehat{G}_{ii} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,k:j \neq i} \mathbb{E}H_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ji} \widehat{G}_{kk} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding the RHS of the above using Lemma 3.2, we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} - \mathbb{E}\widehat{G}^2 = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N\eta^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In addition, Theorem 3.7 implies

$$\mathbb{E}\widehat{G}^2 - m' = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N\eta^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Inserting (A.4) and (A.5) into (A.3), we have

$$\langle E_1 \rangle = -\langle \mathrm{Tr} \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G} \rangle = -\sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} - m' \mathrm{Tr} H + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N\eta^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

A.2. The estimate of $\langle E_2 \rangle$. We have

$$E_2 = \text{Tr} \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G} = \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ij} H_{ii} H_{jj} = \sum_i (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} H_{ii}^2 + \sum_{i,j}^* (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ij} H_{ii} H_{jj} = :E_{2,1} + E_{2,2}.$$

By Theorem 3.7 we see that

$$(\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \prec \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\eta^3}}, \quad \widehat{G}_{ij} \prec \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\eta}}.$$

Together with the fact that $(\widehat{G}^2)_{ij}, \widehat{G}_{ij}$ are independent from H_d . We can easily get that

$$E_{2,2} \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^2}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

By (A.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_{2,1} \rangle &= \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} \rangle H_{ii}^2 + \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) \mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} \\ &= a_2 N^{-1} \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} \rangle + \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} \rangle (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) + \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) m'(z) m(z) \\ &\quad + \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) (\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} - m'(z) m(z)). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.9, it is easy to check that

$$\sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} \rangle (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^{3/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) (\mathbb{E}(\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} - m'(z) m(z)) \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^{3/2}}.$$

In addition, Lemma 3.9 shows

$$a_2 N^{-1} \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii} \rangle \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^2}.$$

Thus we have

$$\langle E_{2,1} \rangle = \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) m'(z) m(z) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N\eta^2} \right),$$

and together with (A.7) we get

$$\langle E_2 \rangle = \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) m'(z) m(z) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N\eta^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

A.3. The estimate of $\langle E_3 \rangle$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -E_3 &= \sum_{i,j,k} (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \widehat{G}_{jk} \widehat{G}_{ki} H_{ii} H_{jj} H_{kk} = \sum_{i,j,k}^* (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \widehat{G}_{jk} \widehat{G}_{ki} H_{ii} H_{jj} H_{kk} + 2 \sum_{i,j}^* (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ji} \widehat{G}_{ii} H_{ii}^2 H_{jj} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,k}^* (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ik} \widehat{G}_{ki} H_{ii}^2 H_{kk} + \sum_i (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 H_{ii}^3 = :E_{3,1} + \dots + E_{3,4}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.7, it is easy to check that $E_{3,1} \prec N^{-1} \eta^{-5/2}$. We also have

$$\sum_{j:j \neq i} (\widehat{G}^2)_{ij} \widehat{G}_{ji} \widehat{G}_{ii} H_{jj} \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^2},$$

and together with $H_{ii}^2 \prec N^{-1}$ we have $E_{3,2} \prec N^{-1} \eta^{-2}$. Similarly, $E_{3,3} \prec N^{-1} \eta^{-2}$. By (A.2), we have

$$\langle E_{3,4} \rangle = a_3 N^{-3/2} \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \rangle + \sum_i (H_{ii}^3 - a_3 N^{-3/2}) (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \widehat{G}_{ii}^2 \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^2}.$$

Hence we have

$$\langle E_3 \rangle \prec \frac{1}{N\eta^{5/2}}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.4. **The estimate of E_4 .** By Theorem 3.7, we have

$$E_4 = \sum_{i,j} (\widehat{G}^2 H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G})_{ij} (H_d G H_d)_{ji} \prec N^{1/2} \eta^{-1/2} \max_{i,j:i \neq j} |(\widehat{G}^2 H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G})_{ij}| + \max_i |(\widehat{G}^2 H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G})_{ii}|.$$

For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{G}^2 H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G})_{ij} &= \sum_{k,l} (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} H_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kl} H_{ll} \widehat{G}_{lj} = \sum_{k,l}^* (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} H_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kl} H_{ll} \widehat{G}_{lj} + \sum_k (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} H_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kk} H_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{k:k \neq l} \sum_{l:l \neq j} (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} H_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kl} H_{ll} \widehat{G}_{lj} + \sum_{k:k \neq j} (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} H_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kj} H_{jj} \widehat{G}_{jj} + \sum_k (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} (H_{kk}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) \widehat{G}_{kk} \widehat{G}_{kj} \\ &\quad + a_2 N^{-1} \sum_k (\widehat{G}^2)_{ik} (\widehat{G}_{kk} - m(z)) \widehat{G}_{kj} + a_2 N^{-1} m(z) (\widehat{G}^3)_{ij}, \quad (\text{A.10}) \end{aligned}$$

and one can check that each term on RHS of (A.10) is bounded by $O_{\prec}(1/(N^{3/2} \eta^{5/2}))$. Thus

$$\max_{i \neq j} |(\widehat{G}^2 H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G})_{ij}| = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N^{3/2} \eta^{5/2}} \right).$$

Similarly, we can also show that

$$\max_i |(\widehat{G}^2 H_d \widehat{G} H_d \widehat{G})_{ii}| = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N \eta^2} \right).$$

Thus we have

$$E_4 = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N \eta^3} \right). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

A.5. **Conclusion.** Combining (A.1), (A.6), (A.8), (A.9) and (A.11), we have

$$\langle \text{Tr } G \rangle = \langle \text{Tr } \widehat{G} \rangle - \sum_i \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle H_{ii} - m' \text{Tr } H + \sum_i (H_{ii}^2 - a_2 N^{-1}) m'(z) m(z) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N \eta^3} \right)$$

as desired. This finishes the proof.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF LEMMA 4.1

In this section, we estimate $\mathbb{E} \text{Tr } f(H)$. A key technical result is the following expansion of $\mathbb{E} \underline{G}$.

Lemma B.1. *Suppose the assumptions in Definition 1.1 hold, and recall the definition of \mathbf{S}_c^+ in (3.8). For $z \in \mathbf{S}_c^+$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \underline{G} = m - \frac{m'}{m} \left(-\frac{1}{N} m' - \frac{a_2 - 2}{N} m^2 - \frac{s_4}{N} m^4 + \frac{a_3}{N^{3/2}} m^3 \right) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N^2 \eta^2} \right).$$

Proof. By resolvent identity and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$1 + z \mathbb{E} \underline{G} = \mathbb{E} \underline{H} \underline{G} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E} H_{ji} G_{ij} = \widetilde{W}_1 + \widetilde{W}_2 + \widetilde{W}_3 + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{1}{N^2 \eta^{1/2}} \right), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where

$$\widetilde{W}_k := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{C}_{k+1}(H_{ji}) \mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial H_{ji}^k} G_{ij},$$

we used a routine estimate to bound the remainder term by $O_{\prec}(N^{-2} \eta^{-1/2})$. Applying (7.12) repeatedly, we have

$$\widetilde{W}_1 = -\mathbb{E}(\underline{G})^2 - \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} \underline{G}^2 - \frac{a_2 - 2}{N^2} \sum_i \mathbb{E}(G_{ii})^2, \quad \widetilde{W}_2 = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{ij} \frac{\mathcal{C}_3(H_{ji})}{(1 + \delta_{ij})^2} \mathbb{E}(6G_{ii} G_{jj} G_{ij} + 2(G_{ij})^3),$$

and

$$\widetilde{W}_3 = -\frac{1}{6N} \sum_{ij} \frac{\mathcal{C}_4(H_{ji})}{(1 + \delta_{ij})^3} (36G_{ii} G_{jj} (G_{ij})^2 + 6(G_{ii})^2 (G_{jj})^2 + 6(G_{ij})^4).$$

It is easy to see from Theorem 3.7 that

$$\widetilde{W}_1 = -(\mathbb{E}\underline{G})^2 - \frac{1}{N}m' - \frac{a_2 - 2}{N}m^2 + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{W}_3 = -\frac{s_4}{N}m^4 + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta}\right).$$

In addition, we have

$$\widetilde{W}_2 = \frac{a_3}{N^{5/2}} \sum_i \mathbb{E}G_{ii}^3 + \frac{s_3}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}(3G_{ii}G_{jj}G_{ij} + (G_{ij})^3) = \frac{a_3}{N^{3/2}}m^3 + \frac{3s_3}{N^{5/2}} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}G_{ii}G_{jj}G_{ij} + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta^{3/2}}\right).$$

Note that by the isotropic law Theorem 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}G_{ii}G_{jj}G_{ij} &= \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}(G_{ii} - m)(G_{jj} - m)G_{ij} + m \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}(G_{jj} - m)G_{ij} + m \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}(G_{ii} - m)G_{ij} + m^2 \sum_{i,j}^* \mathbb{E}G_{ij} \\ &= O_{\prec}(N^{1/2}\eta^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\widetilde{W}_2 = \frac{a_3}{N^{3/2}}m^3 + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta^{3/2}}\right)$$

Plugging the computations of \widetilde{W}_1 , \widetilde{W}_2 and \widetilde{W}_3 into (B.1), we have

$$1 + z\mathbb{E}\underline{G} + (\mathbb{E}\underline{G})^2 = -\frac{1}{N}m' - \frac{a_2 - 2}{N}m^2 - \frac{s_4}{N}m^4 + \frac{a_3}{N^{3/2}}m^3 + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta^{3/2}}\right).$$

Solving the equation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\underline{G} - m &= \frac{1}{z + 2m} \left(-\frac{1}{N}m' - \frac{a_2 - 2}{N}m^2 - \frac{s_4}{N}m^4 + \frac{a_3}{N^{3/2}}m^3 \right) + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta^2}\right) \\ &= -\frac{m'}{m} \left(-\frac{1}{N}m' - \frac{a_2 - 2}{N}m^2 - \frac{s_4}{N}m^4 + \frac{a_3}{N^{3/2}}m^3 \right) + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{1}{N^2\eta^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

With the aid of Lemma B.1, Lemma 4.1 follows from a standard use of Helffer-Sjöstrand formula Lemma 3.13.

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF LEMMA 4.3

In the sequel, for brevity, we set

$$\phi_d(t) := \varphi_2(t)\varphi_3(t).$$

Case 1. We first consider the case

$$(1 - \gamma)c_1^f \neq 0. \tag{C.1}$$

Further, we set

$$\widehat{f}_i := -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{f}(z) \langle (\widehat{G}^2)_{ii} \rangle d^2z + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma)c_1^f, \tag{C.2}$$

and thus

$$\sigma_{f,\gamma}(\widehat{Z}_{f,\gamma,2} + \widehat{Z}_{f,\gamma,3}) = \sum_i \widehat{f}_i H_{ii} + \frac{1}{2}c_2^f \sum_i \left(H_{ii}^2 - \frac{a_2}{N} \right).$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_d \phi_d'(t) = i \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d H_{ii} \left(\widehat{f}_i + \frac{c_2^f}{2} H_{ii} \right) \phi_d(t) - \frac{i}{2} c_2^f a_2 \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} L_{d,k} + O_{\prec}\left(\frac{t+1}{N}\right) \tag{C.3}$$

for some fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$, where

$$L_{d,k} = i \sum_i \frac{C_{k+1}(H_{ii})}{k!} \mathbb{E}_d \frac{\partial^k}{\partial H_{ii}^k} \left(\left(\widehat{f}_i + \frac{c_2^f}{2} H_{ii} \right) \phi_d(t) \right) - \delta_{k1} \frac{i}{2} c_2^f a_2 \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t).$$

It is straightforward to compute

$$L_{d,1} = -t \frac{a_2}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d(\widehat{f}_i + \frac{c_2^f}{2} H_{ii})(\widehat{f}_i + c_2^f H_{ii}) \phi_d(t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{d,2} = & i \sum_i \frac{C_3(H_{ii})}{2} \mathbb{E}_d \left(it(2c_2^f \widehat{f}_i + \frac{3}{2}(c_2^f)^2 H_{ii}) \right. \\ & \left. + (it)^2 ((\widehat{f}_i)^3 + \frac{5}{2} c_2^f (\widehat{f}_i)^2 H_{ii} + 2(c_2^f)^2 \widehat{f}_i H_{ii}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c_2^f)^3 H_{ii}^3) \right) \phi_d(t), \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} L_{d,3} = & i \sum_i \frac{C_4(H_{ii})}{6} \mathbb{E}_d \left(it \frac{3}{2} (c_2^f)^2 + (it)^2 \left(\frac{9}{2} c_2^f (\widehat{f}_i)^2 + \frac{15}{2} (c_2^f)^2 \widehat{f}_i H_{ii} + 3(c_2^f)^3 H_{ii}^2 \right) \right. \\ & \left. + (it)^3 \left((\widehat{f}_i)^3 + \frac{7}{2} c_2^f (\widehat{f}_i)^3 H_{ii} + \frac{9}{2} (c_2^f)^2 (\widehat{f}_i)^2 H_{ii}^2 + \frac{5}{2} \widehat{f}_i (c_2^f)^3 H_{ii}^3 + \frac{1}{2} (c_2^f)^4 H_{ii}^4 \right) \right) \phi_d(t). \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition in (C.2) and Theorem 3.7, it is easy to check

$$L_{d,1} = -a_2 \frac{t}{4} ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t}{N} \right).$$

Similarly, we have

$$L_{d,2} = i \left[\left(-\frac{t^2}{8} ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^3 + it(1-\gamma)c_1^f c_2^f \right) NC_3(H_{11}) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^2}{N} \right) \right] \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t+1}{N} \right)$$

and

$$L_{d,3} = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^3}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t}{N} \right)$$

for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$. One can similarly show that for any fixed $k \geq 4$,

$$L_{d,k} = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^k}{N^{\frac{k-1}{2}}} \right) \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^k}{N^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \right) = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^3}{N} \right) \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t}{N} \right)$$

for $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$. Plugging the above estimates into (C.3), we get

$$\mathbb{E}_d \phi_d'(t) = \left(-\frac{1}{4} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t + \widehat{b}(t) \right) \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) + \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(t), \quad (\text{C.4})$$

where

$$\widehat{b}(t) := i \left(-\frac{t^2}{8} ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^3 + it(1-\gamma)c_1^f c_2^f \right) NC_3(H_{11}) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^3}{N} \right) = O \left(\mathcal{X} \frac{t^2+1}{\sqrt{N}} \right) + O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t^3}{N} \right),$$

and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(t) = O_{\prec} \left(\frac{t+1}{N} \right)$. Further we denote by $\widetilde{B}(t) := \int_0^t \widehat{b}(t) dt$, and it is easy to see

$$\widetilde{B}(t) - \widetilde{B}(s) = O(\mathcal{X}(t-s)(t^2+1)N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O_{\prec}((t-s)t^3N^{-1}), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq N^{(1-c)/2}.$$

Solving the equation (C.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) = & \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2 + \widehat{B}(t) \right) \\ & + \int_0^t \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 (t^2 - s^2) + \widehat{B}(t) - \widehat{B}(s) \right) \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

for $0 \leq t \leq N^{(1-c)/2}$. By the assumption (C.1), we see that

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2 + \widehat{B}(t) \right) = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2 \right) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{X}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$$

and

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{8} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 (t^2 - s^2) + \widehat{B}(t) - \widehat{B}(s) \right) \leq C \exp \left(-\frac{1}{16} a_2 ((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 (t^2 - s^2) \right)$$

for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq N^{(1-c)/2}$. Plugging the above estimates to (C.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}a_2((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2\right) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{X}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \\ &\quad + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{16}a_2((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 (t^2 - s^2)\right) (s+1) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to (4.17) and (4.18), by discussing the case $t \in [0, \log N]$ and $t \in (\log N, N^{(1-c)/2}]$ separately, one can easily conclude

$$\mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}a_2((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2\right) + O_{\prec}(\mathcal{X}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}).$$

Further, under the assumption (C.1), we have

$$\exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}a_2((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2\right) \varphi_4(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{8}a_2((1-\gamma)c_1^f)^2 t^2\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}),$$

by observing $X_i = O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$ (c.f. (4.8)). This concludes the proof of (4.10) for the case $(1-\gamma)c_1^f \neq 0$.

Case 2. Let us consider the case

$$(1-\gamma)c_1^f = 0.$$

We have

$$\mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) = \mathbb{E}_d \exp\left(it \sum_i X_i\right).$$

For $t \in [0, N^{(1-c)/2}]$, by a simple Taylor expansion and the fact $X_i = O_{\prec}(N^{-1})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_d \phi_d(t) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{t^2}{2} \mathbb{E}_d X_{ii}^2 + \frac{(it)^3}{6} \mathbb{E}_d X_{ii}^3 + O_{\prec}(t^4 N^{-4})\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d X_{ii}^2 + \frac{(it)^3}{6} \sum_i \mathbb{E}_d X_{ii}^3\right) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi_4(t) + O_{\prec}(N^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.

APPENDIX D. FLUCTUATION AVERAGING OF THE GREEN FUNCTIONS

In this section we prove some technical estimates on Green functions that we used in this paper.

D.1. Proof of (3.9). Recall that we have the assumption $|\eta_1| \leq |\eta_2| \leq \dots \leq |\eta_l|$. Let us prove

$$\mathrm{Tr}(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_l^{k_l}) - Nm_l((z_1, k_1), \dots, (z_l, k_l)) \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_1^{k_1} \dots \eta_l^{k_l}|},$$

and the desired result follows from triangle inequality and Lemma 3.4.

Case 1. Suppose $2|\eta_j| \geq |\eta_{j+1}|$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. Then $|\eta_l| \leq 2^{l-1}|\eta_1| \leq 2^{l-1}|\eta_l|$. Then by Lemma 3.13, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_l^{k_l}) - Nm_l((z_1, k_1), \dots, (z_l, k_l)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \partial_{\bar{z}}(\tilde{f}(z)\chi(z/|\eta_l|))(\mathrm{Tr} G(z) - Nm(z)) d^2 z$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(x - z_1)^{k_1} \dots (x - z_l)^{k_l}},$$

\tilde{f} is defined as in (4.2), and $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a cutoff function satisfying $\chi(0) = 1$ and $\chi(y) = 0$ for $|y| \geq 1$. Using Theorem 3.7, the desired estimate then follows from the steps in [27, Lemma 4.4].

Case 2. Suppose we have integers $0 = i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{n-1} < i_n = l$ such that for each $q \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have $2|\eta_j| \geq |\eta_{j+1}|$ for $j = i_q + 1, \dots, i_{q+1} - 1$ and $2|\eta_{i_{q+1}}| < |\eta_{i_{q+1}+1}|$. We have the disjoint union

$$\{1, \dots, l\} = \cup_{q=1}^{n-1} \{i_q + 1, \dots, i_{q+1}\} =: \cup_{q=1}^{n-1} I_q.$$

For z_j and $z_{j'}$, we say that $z_j \sim z_{j'}$ if there exists q such that $j, j' \in I_q$; otherwise $z_j \not\sim z_{j'}$. It is easy to check that this is an equivalent relation. Then we can see from Case 1 that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(G_{j_1}^{k_1} \cdots G_{j_p}^{k_p}) - Nm_p((z_{j_1}, k_1), \dots, (z_{j_p}, k_p)) \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_{j_1}^{k_1} \cdots \eta_{j_p}^{k_p}|} \quad (\text{D.1})$$

whenever $z_{j_1} \sim z_{j_2} \sim \cdots \sim z_{j_p}$. Note that for $z_j \not\sim z_{j'}$, we have the resolvent identity $G_j G_{j'} = \frac{G_j - G_{j'}}{z_j - z_{j'}}$, and

$$\frac{1}{z_j - z_{j'}} \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_j| + |\eta_{j'}|}. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

Repeatedly using the resolvent identity, (D.1) and (D.2), we get the desired result.

D.2. Proof of (3.10). From [27, Lemma 4.4], we see that

$$(G_j^{k_j})_{ii} - m^{k_j-1}(z_j) \prec \frac{1}{\sqrt{N|\eta_j|}} \frac{1}{|\eta_j|^{k_j-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Tr}(G_j^{k_j}) - Nm(z_j) \prec \frac{1}{|\eta_j|^{k_j-1}}. \quad (\text{D.3})$$

The proof follows from (D.3) and the decomposition

$$(G_1^{k_1})_{ii} \cdots (G_l^{k_l})_{ii} = [((G_1^{k_1})_{ii} - m^{k_1-1}(z_1)) + m^{k_1-1}(z_1)] \cdots [((G_l^{k_l})_{ii} - m^{k_l-1}(z_l)) + m^{k_l-1}(z_l)].$$

D.3. Proof of (3.11). The steps are very similar to those of (3.9). We omit the details.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, G. W., and Zeitouni, O.: A CLT for a band matrix model. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 134(2), 283-338 (2006).
- [2] Bai, Z. D., and Silverstein, J. W.: CLT for linear spectral statistics of large-dimensional sample covariance matrices. *The Annals of Probability*, 32(1A), 553-605. (2008).
- [3] Bai, Z. D., Wang, X. Y., and Zhou, W.: CLT for Linear Spectral Statistics of Wigner matrices. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 14(83), 2391-2417, (2009)
- [4] Bai, Z. D., and Yao, J.: On the convergence of the spectral empirical process of Wigner matrices. *Bernoulli*, 11(6), 1059-1092, (2005).
- [5] Barbour, A.D.: Asymptotic expansions based on smooth functions in the central limit theorem. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* 72, 289-303 (1986).
- [6] Benaych-Georges, F., Guionnet, A., and Male, C.: Central limit theorems for linear statistics of heavy tailed random matrices. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 329.2, 641-686 (2014).
- [7] Berezin, S., and Bufetov, A. I.: On the Rate of Convergence in the Central Limit Theorem for Linear Statistics of Gaussian, Laguerre, and Jacobi Ensembles. *Pure and applied functional analysis*, Volume 6, Number 1, 57-99, 2021.
- [8] Cabanal-Duvillard, T.: Fluctuations de la loi empirique de grandes matrices aléatoires. In *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probability and Statistics* 37(3), 373-402 (2001).
- [9] Chatterjee, S.: Fluctuations of eigenvalues and second order Poincaré inequalities. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 143(1-2), 1-40 (2009).
- [10] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., and Schröder, D.: Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of non-Hermitian random matrices. arXiv:1912.04100 (2019).
- [11] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis for Wigner Matrices. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.13215 (2020).
- [12] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Functional Central Limit Theorems for Wigner Matrices. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.13218 (2020).
- [13] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Thermalisation for Wigner matrices. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.09975 (2021).
- [14] Collins, B., Mingo, J. A., Śniady, P., Speicher, R.: Second Order Freeness and Fluctuations of Random Matrices, III. Higher order freeness and free cumulants, *Documenta Mathematica*, 12 (2007).
- [15] Courteaut, K., Johansson, K.: Multivariate normal approximation for traces of orthogonal and symplectic matrices. Preprint arXiv:2103.03791.
- [16] Dallaporta, S., Fevrier, M.: Fluctuations of linear spectral statistics of deformed Wigner matrices. arXiv:1903.11324 (2019).
- [17] Diaconis, P., Evans, S.: Linear functionals of eigenvalues of random matrices. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 353(7), 2615-2633 (2001).
- [18] Dumitriu, I., Edelman, A.: Global spectrum fluctuations for the β -Hermite and β -Laguerre ensembles via matrix models. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 47(6), 063302 (2006).
- [19] Diaconis, P., Shahshahani, M.: On the eigenvalues of random matrices. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 31(A), 49-62 (1994).
- [20] Döbler, C., Stolz, M.: Stein's Method and the Multivariate CLT for Traces of Powers on the Compact Classical Groups. *Electron. J. Probab.* 16: 2375-2405 (2011).

- [21] Erdős, L., Krüger T., Schröder D.: Random matrices with slow correlation decay. Forum of Mathematics, Sigma. Cambridge University Press, 2019, 7.
- [22] Erdős, L., Yau. H.T., Yin, J.: Rigidity of eigenvalues of generalized Wigner matrices. Advances in Mathematics, Volume 229, Issue 3, 2012, Pages 1435-1515.
- [23] Gotze F., Tikhomirov AN.: Asymptotic distribution of quadratic forms. Annals of probability. 1999 Apr 1:1072-1098.
- [24] Gotze, F., Tikhomirov, A.: (2002). Asymptotic distribution of quadratic forms and applications. Journal of Theoretical Probability, 15(2), 423-475.
- [25] Guionnet, A.: Large deviations upper bounds and central limit theorems for non-commutative functionals of Gaussian large random matrices. In Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (B) Probability and Statistics 38(3) 341-384 (2002).
- [26] He, Y.: Bulk eigenvalue fluctuations of sparse random matrices. Annals of Applied Probability, 30 (2020), 2846-2879.
- [27] He, Y., Knowles, A.: Mesoscopic eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices. Annals of Applied Probability, 27 (2017), 1510-1550.
- [28] He, Y., Knowles, A.: Mesoscopic Eigenvalue Density Correlations of Wigner Matrices. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 177 (2020), 147-216.
- [29] He, Y., Knowles, A., Rosenthal R.: Isotropic self-consistent equations for mean-field random matrices. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 171 (2018), 203-249.
- [30] Huang, J., Landon, B., Yau, H.T.: Transition from Tracy-Widom to Gaussian fluctuations of extremal eigenvalues of sparse Erdős-Rényi graphs. Ann. Probab. 48 (2) 916-962.
- [31] Jana, I., Saha, K., Soshnikov, A.: Fluctuations of linear eigenvalue statistics of random band matrices. Theory of Probability and Its Applications. 60(3):407-443 (2016).
- [32] A. Knowles and J. Yin: The isotropic semicircle law and deformation of Wigner matrices. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 66 (2013), 1663-1750.
- [33] Ji, H. C., and Lee, J. O.: Gaussian fluctuations for linear spectral statistics of deformed Wigner matrices. Random Matrices: Theory and Applications, 9(03), 2050011 (2020).
- [34] Johansson, K.: On random matrices from the compact classical groups. Annals of mathematics, 1:519-545 (1997).
- [35] Johansson, K.: On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices. Duke mathematical journal, 91(1), 151-204 (1998).
- [36] Johansson K, Lambert G.: Multivariate normal approximation for traces of random unitary matrices. arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.01879, 2020.
- [37] Jonsson, D.: Some limit theorems for the eigenvalues of a sample covariance matrix. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 12(1), 1-38 (1982).
- [38] Khorunzhy, A., Khoruzhenko, B., Pastur, L.: On the $1/N$ corrections to the Green functions of random matrices with independent entries. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General, 28(1): L31, 1995.
- [39] Khorunzhy, A. M., Khoruzhenko, B. A., and Pastur, L. A.: Asymptotic properties of large random matrices with independent entries. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 37(10), 5033-5060 (1996).
- [40] Lambert, G., Ledoux, M., and Webb, C.: Quantitative normal approximation of linear statistics of β -ensembles. The Annals of Probability, 47(5), 2619-2685 (2019).
- [41] Landon, B., and Sosoë, P.: Applications of mesoscopic CLTs in Random Matrix Theory. Ann. Appl. Probab. 30(6): 2769-2795 (2020).
- [42] Lee J. O., Schnelli K.: Local law and Tracy-Widom limit for sparse random matrices. Probability Theory and Related Fields, 2018, 171(1): 543-616.
- [43] Li, L., Soshnikov, A.: Central limit theorem for linear statistics of eigenvalues of band random matrices, Random Matrices Theory Appl., 2 , 1350009 (2013).
- [44] Lytova, A., Pastur, L.: Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of random matrices with independent entries. Annals of Probability, Volume 37, Number 5 (2009), 1778-1840.
- [45] Mingo, J. A., Speicher, R.: Second order freeness and fluctuations of random matrices: I. Gaussian and Wishart matrices and cyclic Fock spaces. Journal of Functional Analysis 235(1), 226-270 (2006).
- [46] Mingo, J. A., Śniady, P., Speicher, R.: Second order freeness and fluctuations of random matrices: II. Unitary random matrices. Advances in Mathematics 209(1), 212-240 (2007).
- [47] Rider, B., Silverstein, J. W.: Gaussian fluctuations for non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles. The Annals of Probability. 34(6):2118-2143 (2006).
- [48] Shcherbina, M.: Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of the Wigner and sample covariance random matrices. Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry, 7(2), 176-192 (2011).
- [49] Shcherbina, M.: On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random band matrices. Journal of Statistical Physics, 161(1), 73-90 (2015).
- [50] Sosoë, P., Wong, P.: Regularity conditions in the CLT for linear eigenvalue statistics of Wigner matrices. Advances in Mathematics, Vol. 249, (2013), 37-87.
- [51] Stein, C.: The accuracy of the normal approximation to the distribution of the traces of powers of random orthogonal matrices, preprint.