

DEGENERATION OF NATURAL LAGRANGIANS AND PRYMIAN INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

EMILIO FRANCO

ABSTRACT. Starting from an anti-symplectic involution on a K3 surface, one can consider a natural Lagrangian subvariety inside the moduli space of sheaves over the K3. One can also construct a Prymian integrable system following a construction of Markushevich–Tikhomirov, extended by Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti, Matteini and Sawon–Chen. In this article we address a question of Sawon, showing that these integrable systems and their associated natural Lagrangians degenerate, respectively, into fix loci of involutions considered by Heller–Schaposnik, Garcia-Prada–Wilkins and Basu–Garcia-Prada.

Along the way we find interesting results such as the proof that the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degeneration is a degeneration of symplectic varieties, a generalization of this degeneration, originally described for K3 surfaces, to the case of an arbitrary smooth projective surface, and a description of the behaviour of certain involutions under this degeneration.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Context and motivation	1
1.2. Main results	3
1.3. Outline of the paper	4
2. Pure dimension 1 sheaves on surfaces and involutions on their moduli	5
2.1. Generalities on the moduli space of sheaves on surfaces	5
2.2. Natural involutions from involutions on the surface	7
2.3. The dualizing involution and Prymian fibrations	9
2.4. Natural Lagrangian and Prymian integrable systems	11
2.5. Ruled surfaces	14
2.6. Twisted Higgs bundles and their spectral data	15
3. Involutions on Higgs moduli spaces from involutions on ruled surfaces	16
3.1. Some involutions on ruled surfaces	16
3.2. Natural involutions under the spectral correspondence	17
4. Non-linear degeneration of integrable systems	20
4.1. Extending the non-linear degeneration of Donagi, Ein and Lazarsfeld	20
4.2. Deforming the symplectic structure	23
4.3. Degenerating the fixed locus of involutions	24
4.4. Degenerations of natural Lagrangians and Prymian integrable systems	28
5. Branes and duality	31
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Context and motivation.** By means of non-abelian Hodge theory [Hi2, Si1, Si2, Do, Co], the moduli space of Higgs bundles carries a hyperKähler structure which naturally defines a triple of symplectic structures on it, each holomorphic with respect to one of the three complex structures. For one of this complex structures, the Higgs moduli space is a quasiprojective

Date: January 2, 2022.

EF holds an FCT Investigator grant supported by the Scientific Employment Stimulus program, fellowship reference CEECIND/04153/2017, funded by FCT (Portugal).

variety, further equipped with a proper fibration onto a vector space whose generic fibres are abelian Lagrangians with respect to the corresponding holomorphic symplectic form. These data define the Hitchin integrable system [Hi1].

The moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on a symplectic surface (*i.e.* K3 or abelian) can be equipped with the Mukai [Mu2] holomorphic symplectic form and with the Le Potier (support) fibration [LP], whose generic fibres are Jacobians. After Beauville [Be3], these fibres are also Lagrangians. On the case of K3 surfaces, the base of the Le Potier morphism is a linear system, hence the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on a K3 becomes a projective integrable system, named the Beauville–Mukai integrable system. Putting aside that it is projective, the Beauville–Mukai integrable system has a similar description to that of the Hitchin system obtained via the spectral correspondence. These similarities become even more explicit with the construction of the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld [DEL] degeneration of the first integrable system into the later.

The cohomological structure of the Hitchin system is very rich and many surprising identities occur within this framework, giving rise to a great number of conjectures. One of them is topological Mirror symmetry conjecture [HT], predicting the equality between the stringy E-polynomials of Higgs moduli spaces for a pair of Langlands dual groups, proven for $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ [HT, GWZ]. Another conjectural cohomological identity is the P=W conjecture [dCHM], predicting that the morphism in cohomology induced by the non-abelian Hodge correspondence exchanges the perverse filtration associated to the Hitchin fibration with the weight filtration on the associated character variety. This was proven by de Cataldo–Hausel–Migliorini [dCHM] in the rank 2 case, and by de Cataldo–Maulik–Shen [dCMS1, dCMS2] in the case of base curves of genus 2. The Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degeneration in the case of abelian surfaces was a key element in the work of de Cataldo–Maulik–Shen. Using this degeneration, they constructed a specialization morphism in cohomology, and, so, they could apply the powerful machinery developed by Markman [Ma2, Ma3, Ma4] for the study the cohomology of the moduli of sheaves on a K3, to the Higgs moduli space.

The cohomological χ -independence is another astonishing property of the moduli spaces of (twisted) Higgs bundles and pure dimension 1 sheaves on del Pezzo surfaces. It states that the intersection cohomology is independent from the Euler characteristic χ of the classified objects and was recently proven by Maulik–Shen [MS].

Making use of the hyperKähler structure, [KW] Kapustin and Witten introduced branes in the Higgs moduli space, setting that a (BBB)-brane is a hyperholomorphic subvariety supporting a hyperholomorphic sheaf, while a (BAA)-brane is a flat bundle over a complex Lagrangian subvariety for the holomorphic symplectic form associated to the first complex structure. Substituting the first by the second and third complex structures in this definition, we obtain (ABA) and (AAB)-branes, respectively. As indicated in [KW], the Mirror symmetry conjecture predicts a duality between (BBB) and (BAA)-branes. This conjecture has motivated many authors to construct and study branes on Higgs moduli spaces. Most of the previous constructions are obtained by considering fixed loci of involutions on the moduli space, we highlight the early construction of (ABA)-branes by Baraglia and Schaposnik [BS] who considered those involutions obtained out of a pair of anti-holomorphic involutions on the base curve and on the group, the (BBB) and (BAA)-branes obtained from natural involutions considered by Heller–Schaposnik [HS] and Garcia-Prada–Wilkins [GW] out of holomorphic involutions on the base curve, the work of Garcia-Prada and Ramanan [GR] who classified the involutions obtained out of a combination of outer automorphisms of the group and tensorization, and the recent work [BG], where Basu and Garcia-Prada extended this study to include the action of holomorphic involution of the base curve, a set-up who already appeared in [BCFG] for the case of elliptic curves. As indicated by Gukov in [Gu], Mirror duality between (BBB) and (BAA)-branes would imply certain cohomological relations between their support, a direction that was taken by Hausel–Mellit–Pei [HMP] to provide strong evidence for the pair of branes considered by Hitchin in [Hi3] which are constructed out of the pair of Nadler–Langlads groups [Na] given by $Sp(2m, \mathbb{C})$ and $U(m, m)$.

Kapustin–Witten’s definition of branes extends naturally to hyperKähler varieties other than the Higgs moduli space. The case of the moduli space of sheaves over a symplectic surface was considered by the author, Jardim and Menet in [FJM], where they constructed branes of any type arising as fixed loci of natural involutions on the moduli induced by involutions on the surface, and studied the behaviour of these natural branes under some correspondences.

Within this setting, the natural involution associated to an anti-symplectic involution on a K3 surface is again anti-symplectic, and its fixed locus defines a complex Lagrangian subvariety of the moduli, which we call natural Lagrangian subvariety. One obtains a symplectic involution by composing this natural anti-symplectic involution with the dualizing involution on the fibres (perhaps tensoring with a line bundle), which is also anti-symplectic. Hence, considering the fixed locus of the symplectic involution constructed out of anti-symplectic involution on a K3 surface, one obtains a class of integrable systems whose Lagrangian fibres are Prym varieties. These Prymian integrable systems on K3 surfaces were first considered by Markushevich–Tikhomirov [MT], and extended by Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti [ASF], Matteini [Mn] and Sawon–Shen [SS1, Sh]. In [Sw], Sawon conjectured that these Prymian integrable systems degenerate into integrable systems related to the Hitchin system, leaving open the description of these conjectural systems.

Sawon’s conjecture was the motivation for our work as such degeneration of Prymian integrable system and that of the associated natural Lagrangian subvarieties, could open the door for a cohomological study of pairs of (BBB) and (BAA)-branes in the Hitchin system, leading perhaps to strong evidence of their duality, by means of the specialization morphism in cohomology given in [dCMS1, dCMS2].

In their recent paper [SS1], Sawon and Shen provided a degeneration of a particular choice of Prymian integrable system into the $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs moduli space.

1.2. Main results. In this article we extend the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld construction [DEL] to the case of a curve fitting in an arbitrary smooth projective surface, obtaining a degeneration of the moduli of pure dimension 1 sheaves on the surface into the moduli space of Higgs bundles on the curve, twisted by the normal bundle of the curve inside the surface [Theorem 4.1]. We highlight that, in particular, our degeneration connects [Remark 4.2] the two moduli spaces for which the cohomological χ -independence is known to hold [MS]. We also study how certain involutions fit into this degeneration, finding that natural involutions and their composition with the dualizing involution on the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on the surface, degenerate into involutions on the moduli space of twisted Higgs bundles that we describe explicitly [Lemmas 4.6 and 4.8]. When the twist is the canonical bundle of the curve, *i.e.* for Higgs bundles in the usual sense, these involutions coincide with those studied by Heller–Schaposnik [HS], Garcia-Prada–Wilkins [GW] and Basu–Garcia-Prada [BG]. This allow us to construct a degeneration of the subvarieties given by loci fixed by these involutions [Theorems 4.12 and 4.14].

In the case of a K3 surface equipped with an antisymplectic involution the previous results provide degenerations of Prymian integrable system and their associated natural Lagrangian subvarieties of the moduli of pure dimension 1 sheaves on a K3. In particular, this gives a degeneration of the Prymian integrable systems constructed in [ASF] by Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti [Section 4.4.2], and also [Section 4.4.3] by Markushevich–Tikhomirov [MT], Matteini [Mn] and Sawon–Chen [SS2, Sh]. Our work then provides an answer to the question posed by Sawon in [Sw] (which, strictly speaking, refers only to the case of primitive first Chern classes) and to the reformulation of Sawon’s question on the non-primitive case. The later is, perhaps, a more interesting context than the first as Prymian integrable systems associated to primitive first Chern classes degenerate into subvarieties of rank 1 Higgs moduli spaces, while those with non-primitive first Chern class correspond to moduli space of Higgs bundles of higher rank.

We also review the degeneration given by Sawon–Shen [SS1] into the $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs moduli space, studying as well the degeneration of the associated natural Lagrangian [Section 4.4.4]. It is worth noticing that we find that this natural Lagrangian degenerates into the fixed locus of an involution associated to $\mathrm{U}(m, m)$ -Higgs bundles, whose Nadler–Langlads group is $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$.

We also show that the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degenerations in the case of symplectic surfaces is equipped with a deformation of the symplectic structure of the moduli spaces [Theorem 4.4]. This allow us to understand the degenerations of natural Lagrangian subvarieties studied in [FJM] and the Prymian integrable systems as degenerations of (BAA) and (BBB)-branes [Corollary 5.1]. Finally, we prove that these branes are dual under a Fourier–Mukai transform restricted to the locus of pure 1 dimension sheaves with smooth support curves [Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 5.3].

1.3. Outline of the paper. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we review the necessary background for our work. Section 2.1 contains generalities on the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on surfaces. In Section 2.2 we study the involutions on the moduli space naturally induced by pull-back of involutions on the surface. Section 2.3 is dedicated to the study of the involution on the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves induced by dualizing the restriction of a sheaf to its fitting support. We also study the composition of this involution with a natural involution, and study their fixed loci, which is generically a fibration by Prym varieties. In Section 2.4 we consider these involutions starting from an anti-symplectic involution on a K3 surface, revisiting the Markushevich–Tikhomirov construction of Prymian integrable systems. Since we are interested on moduli spaces of sheaves with a non-primitive first Chern class, we treat this case in detail. Also, we study the Lagrangian subvarieties that arise from the fixed point locus of the natural involutions on the moduli constructed out of our anti-symplectic involution on the K3 surface. In Section 2.5 we collect the necessary facts about ruled surfaces which will be used in Section 2.6 to study twisted Higgs bundles, whose spectral data provide a particularly relevant example of pure dimension 1 sheaves.

We describe in Section 3 certain involutions on moduli spaces of twisted Higgs bundles. In Section 3.1 we describe some involutions on a ruled surface and study their relation with the Poisson structure. We construct the corresponding involutions on the moduli spaces of spectral data of twisted Higgs bundles in Section 3.2 and study their behaviour under the spectral correspondence. We obtain involutions on the moduli space of L -Higgs bundles, which in the particular case of the twisting by the canonical bundle, are involutions that have been widely studied by Heller–Schaposnik, Garcia-Prada–Wilkins and Basu–Garcia-Prada.

The main results of the paper are contained in Section 4. In Section 4.1 we provide a generalization to the case of an arbitrary smooth projective surface of the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degeneration, originally described for K3 surfaces. Hence, we obtain a degeneration of the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on a surface into the moduli spaces of Higgs bundles twisted by the normal bundle of a curve inside our surface. In Section 4.2 we provide a deformation of the symplectic structure of the moduli spaces involved in the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degeneration in the case of symplectic surfaces, showing that it provides a degeneration of symplectic varieties. In Section 4.3, we study the behaviour under the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degeneration of the involutions considered in Sections 2.2 and 2.3. We then obtain a degeneration of the subvarieties described by their fixed loci. In Section 4.4 we provide an explicit description of such degenerations in the context of a K3 surface equipped with an anti-symplectic involution. We describe the non-linear degenerations of the Prymian integrable systems constructed by Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti, Markushevich–Tikhomirov, Matteini and Sawon–Shen, showing that they degenerate into integrable systems related to the Hitchin system. We also describe the degeneration of the associated natural Lagrangian subvarieties.

Finally, in Section 5, we consider the natural Lagrangian subvarieties and the Prymian integrable systems in the context of branes, and provide some evidence for their duality.

Acknowledgments. The author is thankful to Marcos Jardim for his encouragement to address this project, and specially to Gregoire Menet and Justin Sawon, for their comments, questions and suggestions. The author wants to thank Justin Sawon also for having shared a draft of the article [SS1], which was useful for detecting some previous errors.

2. PURE DIMENSION 1 SHEAVES ON SURFACES AND INVOLUTIONS ON THEIR MODULI

2.1. Generalities on the moduli space of sheaves on surfaces. Let S be a projective surface and take H to be a polarization on it. We say that a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on S is pure dimension d if its schematic support has dimension d and every subsheaf is also supported on dimension d subschemes. In that case, its Hilbert polynomial $P(\mathcal{F}, H)$ has degree d and we define its H -polarized rank $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}, H)$ to be the leading term of $P(\mathcal{F}, H)$ multiplied by $d!$. A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} is H -stable (*resp.* H -semistable) if it is of pure dimension, and for every proper subsheaf $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have that its Hilbert polynomial satisfy $P(\mathcal{F}', H)/\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}', H) < P(\mathcal{F}, H)/\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}, H)$ (*resp.* $P(\mathcal{F}', H)/\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}', H) \leq P(\mathcal{F}, H)/\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}, H)$) when $n \gg 0$. A semistable sheaf is polystable if it decomposes as a direct sum of stable sheaves. Simpson provided in [Si1] the existence of the moduli space $M_S^H(P)$ of pure dimension H -semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P , whose closed points represent polystable sheaves.

The topological invariants of a sheaf over a smooth surface S determined by the Hilbert polynomial are the H -polarized rank $\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}, H)$, the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{F})$, and the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{F})$. To simplify the computations, we introduce the *Mukai vector*, $v(\mathcal{F}) := \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \sqrt{\text{Todd}(S)}$. Note that $v(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and the cup product in cohomology provides the *Mukai pairing* which endows $H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ with a lattice structure. We abbreviate by $M_S^H(v_a)$ the moduli space of H -semistable sheaves S with Hilbert polynomial determined by the Mukai vector $v \in H^{2*}(S, \mathbb{Z})$.

It follows from deformation theory that the smooth locus of $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ is the locus defined by those sheaves that are simple and that the tangent space to $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ at the point determined by the simple coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} corresponds with

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}M_{S,H}(v_a) = \text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}),$$

and its cotangent space is

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}^*M_{S,H}(v_a) = \text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes K_S).$$

Another consequence of deformation theory is that $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ is smooth at those points corresponding with simple sheaves.

If, further, S is a K3 or abelian surface, its canonical bundle K_S is trivial and a choice of a (non-vanishing) section Ω_S provides a symplectic form on S . In both cases we say that S is a *symplectic surface*. Thanks to Serre duality, $H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is dual to $H^0(S, K_S) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([Mu2]). *Let S be a symplectic surface. Then, $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ is equipped with a holomorphic 2-form Ω_M defined by taking the trace of the Yoneda product composed with Ω_S ,*

$$\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \wedge \text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Ext}_S^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \xrightarrow{\Omega_S(\cdot)} \mathbb{C}.$$

Furthermore, Ω_M is non-degenerate on the smooth locus of $M_{S,H}(v_a)$, defining a symplectic form there.

Bottaccin [Bo1] and Markman [Ma1] generalized this construction to the case of Poisson surfaces, *i.e.* those equipped with Poisson bi-vector, a non-zero section $\Theta_S \in H^0(S, K_S^{-1})$.

Theorem 2.2 ([Bo1] and [Ma1]). *Let S be equipped with a Poisson bi-vector Θ_S . Then, one can define a (closed although possibly degenerate) Poisson structure Θ_M on the smooth locus of $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ by taking the trace of the Yoneda product composed with Θ_S ,*

$$\text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes K_S) \wedge \text{Ext}_S^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes K_S) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Ext}_S^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \otimes K_S^2) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} H^2(S, K_S^2) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \Theta_S \rangle} H^2(S, K_S) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that a symplectic surface is also Poisson, and, in that case, the Bottaccin–Markman form coincides with the Mukai form after identifying the tangent and cotangent spaces on the smooth locus of our moduli (via a trivialization of K_S).

Proposition 2.3 ([Bo1]). *A smooth Poisson surface is either a symplectic surface (*i.e.* K3 or abelian) or a ruled surface.*

On a smooth surface S , every pure dimension 1 sheaf \mathcal{F} has a locally free resolution of length 2 and we define the *fitting support*, $\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$, of \mathcal{F} as the determinant associated to this resolution. Note that the first Chern class is the cohomology class of its fitting support

$$c_1(\mathcal{F}) = [\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})].$$

The Mukai vector of a pure dimension 1 sheaf \mathcal{F} on a smooth surface with canonical sheaf K_S takes the form

$$v(\mathcal{F}) = \left(0, [\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})], \chi(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{1}{2} [K_S] \cdot [\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})] \right).$$

and the pairing is simply given by the intersection of the supports,

$$\langle v(\mathcal{F}), v(\mathcal{F}') \rangle = v_2(\mathcal{F}) \cdot v_2(\mathcal{F}') = [\text{supp}(\mathcal{F})] \cdot [\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}')].$$

Pick a smooth curve C of genus g_C in S and consider the associated curve nC which is non-reduced if $n > 1$. For any integer a we define the Mukai vector

$$(2.1) \quad v_a = (0, [nC], a - n^2(C \cdot C)).$$

After Le Poirier [LP], the corresponding moduli space can be equipped with a fibration to the Hilbert scheme classifying dimension 1 subschemes of S with first Chern class equal to the second component of the Mukai vector,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} h_S : M_{S,H}(v_a) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hilb}_S([nC]) \\ & \longmapsto & \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}). \end{array}$$

The fibre of h_S over the curve $A \in \text{Hilb}_S([nC])$ is the Simpson compactified Jacobian classifying $H|_A$ -semistable pure dimension 1 sheaves on A of rank 1 and degree a

$$h_S^{-1}(A) = \overline{\text{Jac}}_A^H(a).$$

Remark 2.4. The Picard group of a smooth projective K3 surface is discrete and embeds into its second integral cohomology space. Hence, in this case, the second component of the Mukai vector fixes the determinant and $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$ is the linear system $|nC|$. After the work of Beauville [Be3] the fibres are Lagrangian with respect to the Mukai form Ω_M . Hence, this is an algebraic completely integrable system called the *Beauville–Mukai system*.

In general $\text{Pic}(S)$ does not embed into $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$, and $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$ can be reducible and even non-connected. Choose a curve A parametrized by $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$, and let us denote by $\{A\}$ the connected component of the Hilbert scheme containing the curve A . Accordingly, we denote by

$$M_{S,H}(v_a, A) = h_S^{-1}(\{A\}),$$

the associated connected component of the moduli.

Remark 2.5. Our notation differs from that of Matteini in [Mn], where, given a smooth curve A , he defined $\{A\}$ to be the irreducible component of $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$ containing A . Since we will allow A to be singular, and even non-reduced, A may be contained in several irreducible components, although it determines uniquely its connected component.

Remark 2.6. When the irregularity of the surface S vanishes, *i.e.* $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, we have that $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$ is a discrete union of connected components. In this case, $\{A_i\} = |A_i|$, each being a linear system.

Let us denote by \mathcal{A} the restriction to $\{A\} \times S$ of the universal subscheme associated to $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$. Note that \mathcal{A} is a family of planar curves parametrized by $\{A\}$. The polarization H on S provides a relative polarization on \mathcal{A} that we still denote by H . By means of the Le Poirier fibration (2.2), one can identify the component $M_{S,H}(v_a, A)$ of our moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on S with the relative compactified Jacobian over \mathcal{A} of relative torsion free sheaves of rank 1 and degree a ,

$$M_{S,H}(v_a, A) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_{\mathcal{A}/\{A\}}^H(a).$$

If $\{A\}^{\text{sm}}$ denotes the open set of smooth curves, and \mathcal{A}^{sm} the restriction of \mathcal{A} there, one can have the following identification of open subsets

$$M_{S,H}(v_a, A)|_{\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} \cong \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}(a),$$

contained in the smooth locus.

Remark 2.7. We have dropped the polarization from the notation on the Jacobian as pure dimension 1 sheaves whose restriction to its support have rank 1, are always stable, regardless of the choice of polarization.

2.2. Natural involutions from involutions on the surface. In this section we study the involutions on the moduli space naturally induced by pull-back of involutions on the surface.

Suppose that our smooth projective surface S is equipped with an involution

$$\zeta_S : S \rightarrow S.$$

Let C be a smooth projective curve in S which is preserved under ζ_S and consider a Mukai vector v_a of the form specified in (2.1) built out the ζ_S -invariant curve C . Then, one trivially has that v_a is ζ_S -invariant as well, so one can construct a birrational involution on the moduli space,

$$\widehat{\zeta}_S : \begin{array}{ccc} M_{S,H}(v_a) & \dashrightarrow & M_{S,H}(v_a) \\ \mathcal{F} & \mapsto & \zeta_S^* \mathcal{F}, \end{array}$$

that we call *natural involution* associated to ζ_S . We denote the closure of its fixed point locus by

$$N_{S,H}(v_a) := \overline{\text{Fix}(\widehat{\zeta}_S)}.$$

If, further, the polarization H is ζ_S -invariant, $\widehat{\zeta}_S$ is a biregular morphism and its fixed locus is already closed. The support morphism (2.2) restricts to the locus $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$ of curves preserved by the involution, which may have several components.

Note that every curve A' in $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$ inherits an involution

$$\zeta_{A'} : A' \rightarrow A',$$

inducing another birrational involution on the compactified Jacobian,

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\zeta}_{A'} : \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Jac}}_{A'}^H(a) & \dashrightarrow & \overline{\text{Jac}}_{A'}^H(a) \\ \mathcal{E} & \mapsto & \zeta_{A'}^* \mathcal{E}. \end{array}$$

As the fibres of (2.2) are identified with the corresponding compactified Jacobian, one has

$$h_S^{-1}(A') \cap N_{S,H}(v_a) = \overline{\text{Fix}(\widehat{\zeta}_{A'})}.$$

It has been shown (see [BS, Lemma 9] or [FJM] for instance) that the involution induced on the moduli space has the same behaviour under the symplectic form as the starting one. The generalization to the case of Poisson surfaces is straight-forward.

Proposition 2.8. *Consider a Poisson surface S and suppose it is equipped with a Poisson involution ζ_S^+ (resp. an anti-Poisson involution ζ_S^-). Then, the natural (birrational) involution $\widehat{\zeta}_S^+$ is also Poisson (resp. $\widehat{\zeta}_S^-$ is anti-Poisson).*

Proof. We have to prove that whenever our involution ζ_S^\pm preserves the (powers of the) canonical bundle K_S and commutes or anti-commutes with Θ_S , so does $\widehat{\zeta}_S^\pm$ with respect to Θ_M over the open subset $U \subset M_S^H(v_a)$ of smooth points where $\widehat{\zeta}_S^\pm$ is biregular.

The proof is very similar to that of [FJM, Theorem 3.4]. Suppose that \mathcal{F} represents a point in U . Then, $(\zeta_S^\pm)^* \mathcal{F}$ lies in U too. For each $i \geq 0$, consider the induced morphism in cohomology $R^i(\zeta_S^\pm)^*$, and note that it commutes with the Yoneda product. Also, one has that $R^i(\zeta_S^\pm)^*$ commutes with the trace morphism and Serre duality, appearing in the definition of the Bottacin–Markman form. The Poisson involution ζ_S^+ commutes with the remaining morphism in that definition, $\langle \cdot, \Theta_S \rangle$, while the anti-Poisson involution ζ_S^- anticommutes with it. Then,

we can see that ζ_S^+ commutes with the composition of all these morphisms, which define the Poisson form Θ_M while ζ_S^- anticommutes with it. Hence, the proof is complete. \square

Suppose now that the quotient surface $T := S/\zeta_S$ is smooth and denote the quotient map by

$$q_S : S \rightarrow T.$$

Suppose as well that

$$C := D \times_T S$$

for some smooth curve $D \subset T$. We have already seen that the Mukai vector v_a is ζ_S -invariant as so is C .

Remark 2.9. A Mukai vector of the form v_{2b} is the pull-back of the Mukai vector in T ,

$$w_b := (0, [nD], b - n^2(D \cdot D)).$$

Pick a curve $B \subset T$ in the class $[nD]$ and consider its lift $A = B \times_T S$ to S , lying in the class $[nC]$. Recall that we denote by $\{B\}$ and $\{A\}$ the connected components of $\text{Hilb}_T([nD])$ and $\text{Hilb}_S([nC])$ containing B and A , respectively. Note that $\widehat{\zeta}_S$ restricts to $\{A\}$ although the fixed locus $\{A\}^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$ might be disconnected. We shall denote by $q_S^*\{B\}$ the connected subset of $\{A\}^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$ given by those curves obtained by lifting curves in $\{B\}$. In principle, $q_S^*\{B\}$ is not a connected component of $\{A\}^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$, only a union of some irreducible components.

Remark 2.10. We have seen Remark 2.6 whenever the surface S has vanishing irregularity, the $\{A_i\}$ are the linear systems $|A_i|$. In this case, the locus $|A_i|^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$ of curves preserved by ζ_S is disconnected and amounts to the union of $|A_i|_+^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$ and $|A_i|_-^{\widehat{\zeta}_S}$, each being the projectivization of the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces for the action of $\widehat{\zeta}_S$ on $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(A_i))$. In this context,

$$|A|_+^{\widehat{\zeta}_S} = q_S^*|B|.$$

We consider the subvariety

$$(2.4) \quad N_{S,H}(v, B) := N_{S,H}(v_a) \cap h_S^{-1}(q_S^*\{B\}).$$

By restriction of (2.2), $N_{S,H}(v, B)$ is equipped with a fibration,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N_{S,H}(v, B) & \hookrightarrow & M_{S,H}(v, A) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_{\mathcal{A}/\{A\}}^H(a) \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow h_S \\ q_S^*\{B\} & \hookrightarrow & \{A\}, \end{array}$$

whose fibres are

$$\nu^{-1}(A') = \overline{\text{Fix}(\widehat{\zeta}_{A'})}.$$

Consider over T the moduli space $M_{T,I}(w_b)$ of sheaves with a Mukai vector w_b and a polarization I . As before, we denote by $\{B\}$ the connected component of the Hilbert scheme in T containing B , and by \mathcal{B} the family of curves parametrized by $\{B\}$ that we obtain from restricting there the universal subscheme associated to $\text{Hilb}([nD])$. Recall that one has the identification

$$M_{T,I}(w_b, B) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_{\mathcal{B}/\{B\}}^I(b),$$

Denote the pull-back of the polarization by

$$\widetilde{I} = q_S^*I.$$

For these choices, the involution $\widehat{\zeta}_S$ is biregular and the image of the pull-back morphism under the corresponding quotient map lies in its fixed locus,

$$(2.6) \quad \widehat{q}_S : \begin{array}{ccc} M_{T,I}(w_b, B) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_{\mathcal{B}/\{B\}}^I(b) & \longrightarrow & N_{S,\widetilde{I}}(v_{2b}, B) \subset M_{S,\widetilde{I}}(v_{2b}, B) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_{\mathcal{A}/\{A\}}^{\widetilde{I}}(2b) \\ \mathcal{F} & \longmapsto & q_S^*\mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

Given the curves $B \subset T$ and $A \subset S$ as before, denote by

$$q_A : A \rightarrow B,$$

the projection induced by q_S . Observe that the restriction of (2.6) to the Hitchin fibres gives,

$$\widehat{q}_A : h_T^{-1}(B) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_B^I(b) \rightarrow \nu^{-1}(A) \subset h_S^{-1}(A) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_A^I(2b),$$

where $\widehat{q}_A := q_A^*$.

Let us denote by $\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$ the locus of $\{B\}$ parametrizing smooth curves B' whose lift $A' = B' \times_T S \subset \{A\}$ is also smooth. On the contrary, in particular when ζ_S is without fixed points, $q_{A^{\text{ssl}}}$ is unramified. The notation stands for *smooth and smooth lift*. Recall the family of curves $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \{B\}$ and denote by \mathcal{B}^{ssl} its restriction to $\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$. Set also \mathcal{A}^{ssl} to be the restriction to $q_S^*\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$ of the family of curves $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{A\}$, and observe that it comes equipped with a projection induced by q_S ,

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}} & \xrightarrow{q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}} & \mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \{B\}^{\text{ssl}} & \end{array}$$

One can see that $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is ramified whenever the intersection of the branching locus Δ of q_S with generic elements of $\{B\}$ is non-empty. Let us denote by $\widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ the restriction of \widehat{q}_S to $q_S^*\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$. Recall from Remark 2.7, that the sheaves supported on smooth (hence irreducible) curves are always stable,

$$(2.8) \quad \widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}} : \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}/\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}(b) \longrightarrow N_{S,H}(v_{2b}, B) \subset \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}/q_S^*\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}(2b).$$

This provides a description of an open subset of $N_{S,H}(v_{2b}, B)$.

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose that $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is ramified. Then*

$$(2.9) \quad N_{S,H}(v_{2b}, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}} \cong \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}/\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}(b)$$

and (2.6) is, generically, a 1:1 morphism.

If, on the contrary, $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is an unramified 2 : 1 cover associated to the relative 2-torsion line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}$, one has that $\widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ factors through the quotient by \mathbb{Z}_2 acting by tensor product with \mathcal{L} , giving

$$(2.10) \quad N_{S,H}(v_{2b}, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}} \cong \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}/\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}(b)/\mathbb{Z}_2$$

and (2.6) is, generically, a 2:1 morphism.

Proof. In the first case, by Kempf descent lemma, $\text{Im}(\widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}})$ coincides with the left-hand side of (2.9). Since, by hypothesis, $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is ramified, $\widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is an embedding. As we find ourselves within the smooth locus of $M_S^H(v_{2b}, A)$, $\widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ provides an isomorphism of its source with its image. As $\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$ is a dense open subset of $\{B\}$, this is, generically, 1 : 1 morphism.

The second case follows since the pull-back of \mathcal{L} is trivial over \mathcal{A}^{ssl} . \square

2.3. The dualizing involution and Prymian fibrations. In this section we study the involution on the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves induced by dualizing the restriction of a sheaf to its fitting support. We also study the composition of this involution with a natural involution, and study their fixed loci, which is generically a fibration by Prym varieties.

Given a Mukai vector v_a on S as defined in (2.1), whose second component is the class $[nC]$, let us choose a line bundle $J \in \text{Pic}(S)$ satisfying whose intersection with the second component of the Mukai satisfies

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{2a}{n} = J \cdot C + n(C \cdot C).$$

Note that not every value of a and n allow for such a J . It is discussed in [ASF, Sections 3.3 and 3.5], that the moduli space $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ is equipped with an involution

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \xi_{J,S} : M_{S,H}(v_a) & \longrightarrow & M_{S,H}(v_a) \\ \mathcal{F} & \longmapsto & \mathcal{E}xt_S^1(\mathcal{F}, J). \end{array}$$

Pick $A = \text{supp}(\mathcal{F})$, which is Gorenstein with canonical line bundle $K_S(A)|_A$, Grothendieck–Verdier duality implies that,

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_S^1(\mathcal{F}, J) \cong \mathcal{H}om_A(\mathcal{F}|_A, J(A)|_A).$$

Since A belongs to the class $[nC]$, equation (2.11) implies $2a = \deg(J(A)|_A)$ and this ensures that $\xi_{J,S}$ preserves the Mukai vector. Observe that $\xi_{J,S}^2 = \mathbf{1}_M$ holds as every pure dimension 1 sheaf in a smooth surface is reflexive. Also, $\xi_{J,S}$ restricts to the fibres of the Mukai fibration, $h_S^{-1}(A) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_H^a(A)$, giving the *dualizing* involution composed with an appropriate tensor product,

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \xi_{J,A} : \overline{\text{Jac}}_A^H(a) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\text{Jac}}_A^H(a) \\ \mathcal{E} & \longmapsto & \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes J(A)|_A, \end{array}$$

where we recall that the dual of a rank 1 torsion-free sheaf on a Gorenstein curve is always well defined and H-stability is preserved.

Remark 2.12. Condition (2.11) is always satisfied when we choose v_0 and $J_0 = \mathcal{O}_S(-nC)$, for instance. In that case $\xi_{J_0,A}$ is just the dualizing involution $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}^\vee$.

Recall that we have chosen the Mukai vector v_a to be ζ_S -invariant. If further the line bundle J on S satisfying (2.11) is ζ_S -invariant too, $\widehat{\zeta}_S$ and $\xi_{J,S}$ commute and, following [ASF], we consider their composition

$$\lambda_{J,S} := \widehat{\zeta}_S \circ \xi_{J,S} : M_{S,H}(v_a) \dashrightarrow M_{S,H}(v_a),$$

which defines a birrational involution on $M_{S,H}(v_a)$. We denote the closure of its fixed locus by

$$P_{S,H}(v_a, J) := \overline{\text{Fix}(\lambda_{J,S})}.$$

We now study the relation of these involutions with the Poisson (and symplectic) structure. It was proved in [ASF, Proposition 3.11] that $\xi_{J,S}$ is anti-symplectic under the assumptions of S being a K3 surface, v_a a Mukai vector with $n = 1$ and $J = \mathcal{O}_S(-C)$.

Proposition 2.13. *Given a Poisson surface S , for any choice of Mukai vector v_a and $J \in \text{Pic}(S)$ satisfying (2.11), the involution $\xi_{J,S}$ is anti-Poisson with respect to the Bottacin–Markman Poisson form Θ_M on $M_{S,H}(v_a)$,*

$$\xi_{J,S}^* \Theta_M = -\Theta_M.$$

Proof. The proof of [ASF, Proposition 3.11] extends to the Poisson case with minor changes. \square

As an immediate consequence of Propositions 2.8 and 2.13 one derives the following corollary which will be used in Section 4.4.

Corollary 2.14. *Let S be a Poisson surface and ζ_S^+ a Poisson involution on it (resp. ζ_S^- an anti-Poisson involution). For any choice of Mukai vector v_a and a ζ_S^+ -invariant (resp. ζ_S^- -invariant) $J \in \text{Pic}(S)$ satisfying (2.11), the involution $\lambda_{J,S}^+ = \widehat{\zeta}_S^+ \circ \xi_{J,S}$ is a birrational anti-Poisson involution (resp. $\lambda_{J,S}^- = \widehat{\zeta}_S^- \circ \xi_{J,S}$ is a birrational Poisson involution) on $M_{S,H}(v_a)$.*

Let us now assume that the quotient surface T is smooth, and recall the notation introduced in Section 2.2. Consider the closed subvariety

$$(2.15) \quad P_{S,H}(v_a, J, B) := P_{S,H}(v_a, J) \cap h_S^{-1}(q_S^*\{B\}).$$

Whenever H is ζ_S -invariant, $\lambda_{J,S}$ is well defined as a regular involution and its fixed locus is already closed.

By construction, one has the following commuting diagram

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P_{S,H}(v_a, J, B) & \hookrightarrow & M_{S,H}(v_a, A) \cong \overline{\text{Jac}}_{A/\{A\}}^H(a) \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow h_S \\ q_S^*\{B\} & \hookrightarrow & \{A\}. \end{array}$$

Observe that, over $A' \in q_S^*\{B\}$,

$$\mu^{-1}(A') = \overline{\text{Fix}(\lambda_{J,A'})},$$

where

$$\lambda_{J,A'} := \widehat{\zeta}_{A'} \circ \xi_{J,A'} : \begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Jac}}_{A'}^H(a) & \dashrightarrow & \overline{\text{Jac}}_{A'}^H(a) \\ \mathcal{E} & \mapsto & \zeta_{A'}^* \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes J(A')|_{A'}, \end{array}$$

is the induced birrational involution on $h_S^{-1}(A')$. If A' is irreducible, in particular when it is smooth and connected, every pure dimension sheaf is stable so $\widehat{\zeta}_{J,A'}$ is a biregular involution and its fixed locus is already closed.

Recall that we denoted by $\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$, the open subset of $\{B\}$ parametrizing smooth curves, whose lift to S is smooth too.

Remark 2.15. For $B' \in \{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$ lifting to A' smooth one has that $h_S^{-1}(A') = \text{Jac}_{A'}(a)$ is a torsor for the abelian variety $\text{Jac}_{A'}(0)$. After (2.14), one has that the fibre of μ is

$$\mu^{-1}(A') = \ker \left(J(A')|_{A'} + \widehat{\zeta}_{A'} \right),$$

whose connected components are torsors for the Prym variety

$$\text{Prym}(q_{A'}) = \ker \left(\mathbf{1}_{\text{Jac}} + \widehat{\zeta}_{A'} \right)_0.$$

Recall from (2.7) the morphism $q_{A^{\text{ssl}}}$. If q_S is ramified and its branching locus Δ intersects B non-trivially, then $q_{A^{\text{ssl}}}$ is a ramified morphism. Let consider the case described in Remark 2.12.

Remark 2.16. Consider the Mukai vector v_0 associated to $a = 0$ and $J_0 = \mathcal{O}_S(-nC)$. Recall that for ramified maps, the kernel $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Jac}} + \widehat{\zeta}_{A'}$ is connected. It follows immediately from Remark 2.15 and the fact that $q_{A^{\text{ssl}}}$ is ramified, that

$$(2.17) \quad P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}} \cong \text{Prym} \left(q_{A^{\text{ssl}}}/\{B\}^{\text{ssl}} \right).$$

Since the kernel $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Jac}} + \widehat{\zeta}_{A'}$ has two connected components if $q_{A'}$ is an unramified $2 : 1$ cover. Then, in that case, $P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ has 2 connected components and (2.17) holds after restricting ourselves to the connected component of the identity.

Whenever $\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}$ is a dense open subset of $\{B\}$, by Remark 2.16 the generic fibres of $\mu : P_{S,H}(v_a, J, B) \rightarrow q_S^*\{B\}$ are (non-canonically) isomorphic to Prym varieties. In that case, we refer to it as the *Prymian fibration* associated to the involution ζ_S .

2.4. Natural Lagrangian and Prymian integrable systems. Following Sawon–Shen [SS1] (see also [Sh]), we provide a straight-forward adaptation of the Markushevich–Tikhomirov construction of Prymian integrable systems to the case of non-primitive first Chern classes.

Prymian integrable systems are integrable systems fibered by Prym varieties. The class that we consider here arises from an anti-symplectic involution on a K3 surface. These systems were first constructed by Markushevich and Tikhomirov [MT] and later generalized by Arbarello, Saccà and Ferretti [ASF], Matteini [Mn], Sawon and Shen [SS2, Sh] and others. The case considered in [MT] corresponds to an anti-symplectic involution on a smooth K3 surface, whose associated quotient is a del Pezzo surface of degree 2. In [ASF], Arbarello, Saccà and Ferretti constructed a Prymian integrable system from an anti-symplectic involution on a K3 giving an Enriques surface. Matteini [Mn] generalized the construction of [MT] to the case of anti-symplectic involutions on K3 associated to a del Pezzo surface of degree 3. Sawon and Shen

[SS2, Sh] studied the case of an antisymplectic involution on a K3 whose quotient is a del Pezzo surface of degree 1.

Let X denote a smooth K3 surface, ζ_X^- an antisymplectic involution on it, and Y the quotient $Y = X/\zeta_X^-$ which is either a smooth rational surface or an Enriques surface. Over Y , pick a smooth connected curve $D \subset Y$ of genus $g_D \geq 2$ and consider

$$(2.18) \quad C = D \times_Y X = q_S^{-1}(D),$$

which is smooth, and it is equipped with a double cover $q_C : C \rightarrow D$ ramified at $K_Y \cdot D$ and with a Galois involution $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C$ induced by ζ_X^- . Similarly, consider curves nD and $nC = nD \times_Y X$ which are non-reduced for $n > 1$, and denote by g_{nD} and g_{nC} the genus of the smooth curves in the linear systems $|nD|$ and $|nC|$. We have for all $n > 0$, that

$$(2.19) \quad g_{nC} = 2g_{nD} - 1 - nD \cdot K_Y,$$

where,

$$g_{nD} = 1 + \frac{nD \cdot (nD + K_Y)}{2},$$

by the genus formula. Since $\chi(Y) = 1$ in all possible cases,

$$\chi(nD) = 1 + \frac{nD \cdot (nD - K_Y)}{2},$$

so

$$\chi(nD) = g_{nD} - nD \cdot K_Y.$$

Combining this with (2.19) one has

$$(2.20) \quad g_{nC} - g_{nD} = \chi(nD) - 1.$$

Since the irregularity of Y vanishes, $h^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$, $\text{Hilb}_Y([nD])$ consists on a disjoint union of linear systems, for instance $\{nD\} = |nD|$.

Consider the natural (birational) anti-symplectic involution $\widehat{\zeta}_X^-$ and, having picked J for which (2.11) holds with respect to v_a , the (birational) involution $\lambda_{J,X}^- = \widehat{\zeta}_X^- \circ \xi_{J,X}$, which is symplectic. Pick the subvarieties $N_{X,H}^-(v_a, nD)$ and $P_{X,H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ defined as the closure of the fixed locus of $\widehat{\zeta}_X^-$ and $\lambda_{J,X}^-$ respectively, given by those sheaves supported on curves parametrized by $q_X^*|nD|$.

Recall that we denoted by $|nD|^{\text{ssl}}$ the locus of smooth curves with smooth lift in $|nD|$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let D be a smooth curve in a smooth surface Y and let $q_X : X \rightarrow Y$ be a $2 : 1$ covering by the smooth surface X . Then, $|nD|^{\text{ssl}}$ is an open subset in $|nD|$.*

Proof. By Bertini's theorem, the locus of smooth curves, $|nD|^{\text{sm}}$, is an open subset in $|nD|$. If the curve B' is smooth and does not intersect tangentially the branch locus Δ of q_X , the lifted curve $A' = B' \times_Y X$ is smooth by construction. The later is an open condition, so $|nD|^{\text{ssl}}$ is an open subset of $|nD|$. \square

Hence $q_X^*|nD|^{\text{ssl}}$ is open in $q_X^*|nD|$, and so are $N_{X,H}^-(v_a, nD)|_{q_S^*|nD|^{\text{ssl}}}$ in $N_{X,H}^-(v_a, nD)$ and $P_{X,H}^-(v_a, J, nD)|_{q_S^*|nD|^{\text{ssl}}}$ in $P_{X,H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$, respectively. In that case, $h_X^{-1}(A)$ classifies line bundles over A , being stable and smooth as points in $M_{X,H}(v_a)$. Then, both $\widehat{\zeta}_X^-$ and $\lambda_{J,X}^-$ restrict to biregular involutions over the locus of smooth curves in $q_X^*|nD|$. This allow us to prove the following.

Proposition 2.18. *The projective subvariety $N_{X,H}^-(v_a, nD) \subset M_{X,H}(v_a)$ is Lagrangian with respect to Ω_M , in particular,*

$$\dim \left(N_{X,H}^-(v_a, nD) \right) = n^2(g_C - 1) + 1.$$

Proof. We have seen that $M_{X,H}(v_a)$ is smooth over $q_X^*|nD|^{\text{ssl}}$. Also, $\widehat{\zeta}_X^-$ is a biregular anti-symplectic involution there. It then follows that its fixed point locus $N_{\bar{X},H}(v_a, nD)|_{q_X^*|nD|^{\text{ssl}}}$ is smooth and Lagrangian. As it is an open subset of $N_{\bar{X},H}(v_a, nD)$, it follows that the latter is a Lagrangian subvariety as well. \square

In view of Proposition 2.18, we refer to $N_{\bar{X},H}(v_a, nD)$ as the *natural Lagrangian* subvariety of $M_{X,H}(v_a)$ associated to ζ_X^- .

We study now the fixed locus of $\lambda_{J,X}^-$.

Proposition 2.19. *The projective subvariety $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD) \subset M_{X,H}(v_a)$ has dimension*

$$\dim\left(P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)\right) = \chi(nD) + h^0(Y, nD) - 2 = 2h^0(Y, nD) - 2 + (h^2(Y, nD) - h^1(Y, nD)).$$

Proof. Following Remark 2.16 one has a description of the open subset $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)|_{q_X^*|nD|^{\text{ssl}}}$ of $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ in terms of a Prymian fibration constructed with a ramified projection of curves with genus g_{nC} , to curves of genus g_{nD} , having fibres of dimension $g_{nC} - g_{nD}$. After (2.20), the statement follows. \square

Remark 2.20. Following Proposition 2.19, whenever

$$(2.21) \quad h^1(Y, nD) = h^2(Y, nD),$$

the dimension of $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ is $2\chi(nD) - 2$ and the generic fibres of μ are half-dimensional.

We next see that the Prymian fibration

$$\mu : P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD) \rightarrow q_X^*|nD|$$

endows $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ with the structure of an integrable system, that we call the *Prymian integrable system* associated to the anti-symplectic involution ζ_X^- . This construction has been considered by several authors [MT, ASF, Mn, SS2], although in all these cases the first Chern class is chosen to be primitive. As we are interested in the non-primitive case, we include a proof of it instead of just citing the previous articles.

Theorem 2.21. *Let X be a smooth K3 surface X equipped with an anti-symplectic involution ζ_X^- giving the quotient $Y = X/\zeta_X^-$. Pick a smooth curve $D \subset Y$ of genus $g_D \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$ such that (2.21) holds. Take C as in (2.18), a Mukai vector v_a as in (2.1) and $J \in \text{Pic}(X)$ satisfying (2.11) with respect to v_a . Then, one has that*

- (1) $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ is a projective variety of dimension $2\chi(nD) - 2 = n^2D^2 - nD \cdot K_Y$;
- (2) the smooth locus of $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ carries a holomorphic 2-form Ω_P which is symplectic on a dense open subset where the fibres of μ are Lagrangian; and
- (3) the generic fibre of μ is a torsor over a smooth abelian variety of dimension $\chi(nD) - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(n^2D^2 - nD \cdot K_Y)$, being the Prym of a double cover of smooth curves.

Proof. (1) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.19 and Remark 2.20.

Over the smooth locus of $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$, its tangent space embeds into the tangent space of $M_{X,H}(v_a)$ and one can define the holomorphic 2-form by restricting the Mukai form Ω_M there. Also, $\lambda_{J,X}^-$ restricts to a biregular symplectic involution over the locus of smooth curves in $q_X^*|nD|$, where the symplectic form Ω_M is well defined. There, the open subset of $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$ given by the fixed locus of $\lambda_{J,X}^-$ inherits a symplectic form which obviously coincides with the restriction of Ω_P whenever we find ourselves in the smooth locus of $P_{\bar{X},H}^-(v_a, J, nD)$. By [Be3], Ω_M vanishes on the fibres of h_X as we have seen in Remark 2.4. As Ω_P is obtained from restricting Ω_M , it follows that Ω_P vanishes on the fibres of μ over smooth curves. Equivalently, for every $A \in q_X^*|nD|$ smooth, $\mu^{-1}(A)$ is isotropic with respect to Ω_P . Recalling from Remark 2.20 that these fibres are half-dimensional, we conclude the proof of (2).

Finally (3) follows from Remark 2.15. \square

2.5. Ruled surfaces. Let us recall in this section some facts about ruled surfaces that will be used in Section 2.6.

Given a smooth projective curve C of genus g_C and a line bundle L on C , consider the total space of our line bundle $\text{Tot}(L)$ and the obvious projection $p : \text{Tot}(L) \rightarrow C$. Set $\ell := \deg(L)$, assuming $\ell \geq 0$, and consider the projective compactification of $\text{Tot}(L)$, namely the ruled surface with topological invariant ℓ ,

$$(2.22) \quad \mathbb{L} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus L),$$

naturally equipped with the projection that we still denote by $p : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$.

One has, of course,

$$\text{Tot}(L) = \mathbb{L} - \{\sigma_\infty\},$$

where $\sigma_\infty \cong C$ is the curve in \mathbb{L} associated to the 0 section of \mathcal{O}_C . Let us briefly recall some properties of \mathbb{L} following [Ha, Section 2, Chapter V]. The curve σ_∞ has negative self-intersection, hence

$$(2.23) \quad H^0(\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(m\sigma_\infty)) = 1$$

for $m \geq 0$. Along with $\text{Pic}(C)$, σ_∞ generates the Picard group of \mathbb{L} ,

$$(2.24) \quad \text{Pic}(\mathbb{L}) = (\mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma_\infty) \oplus p^*\text{Pic}(C),$$

so any divisor D on \mathbb{L} is numerically equivalent to $b_1\sigma_\infty + b_2F$, where F denotes the fibre and $\sigma_\infty \cdot \sigma_\infty = -\ell$, $\sigma_\infty \cdot F = 1$ and $F \cdot F = 0$. A divisor is ample if and only if $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 > \ell b_1$, and only exist irreducible curves in those classes with $b_1 > 0$ and $b_2 \geq \ell b_1$, with the exception of the infinity section σ_∞ . The zero section of L defines $\sigma_0 : C \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$ whose image is linearly equivalent to $\sigma_\infty + \ell F$ and its normal bundle returns

$$(2.25) \quad L \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(\sigma_0)|_{\sigma_0}.$$

Observe that any divisor D with null intersection with σ_∞ is numerically equivalent to a multiple of σ_0 . Also, it is linearly equivalent to $n\sigma_0$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(D)$ has a non-zero section, as by scaling the fibres of $\text{Tot}(L)$, D deforms linearly to a multiple curve supported on σ_0 . Hence, the linear system $|n\sigma_0|$ has an open subset classified by linear deformations of the curve, which coincides with those curves not intersecting σ_∞ . Linear deformations of a curve are classified by the sections of the normal bundle restricted to the curve. Hence, one has the identification

$$|n\sigma_0|_{\text{supp} \cap \sigma_\infty = \emptyset} = H^0(n\sigma_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(n\sigma_0)|_{n\sigma_0}).$$

Let r denote the obvious projection of the multiple curve onto its reduced support and observe that $r_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(n\sigma_0)|_{n\sigma_0}$ amounts to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(n\sigma_0)|_{\sigma_0} \otimes r_*\mathcal{O}_{n\sigma_0}$ by the projection formula. The structural sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{n\sigma_0} \cong \mathcal{O}_A/\mathcal{I}_C^n$ decomposes, as an \mathcal{O}_C -module, into $\mathcal{O}_C \oplus (\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2) \oplus \cdots \oplus (\mathcal{I}_C^{n-1}/\mathcal{I}_C^n)$. The intersection of σ_0 with the canonical divisor is zero, so $\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-\sigma_0)|_{\sigma_0} \cong L^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$(2.26) \quad |n\sigma_0|_{\text{supp} \cap \sigma_\infty = \emptyset} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, L^{\otimes i}).$$

The canonical line bundle of \mathbb{L} is

$$(2.27) \quad K_{\mathbb{L}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-2\sigma_\infty) \otimes p^*K_C \otimes p^*L^{-1},$$

with canonical class

$$[K_{\mathbb{L}}] = -2\sigma_\infty + (2g - 2 - \ell)F.$$

If $\ell > 2g_C - 2$ or $L = K_C$, the inverse of the canonical $K_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1} \cong \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{L}}$ always has non-zero sections and we can equip \mathbb{L} with a Poisson structure by picking a Poisson bi-vector given by a non-zero section $\Theta_{\mathbb{L}} \in H^0(\mathbb{L}, K_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1})$. In general, the Poisson structure of \mathbb{L} is not uniquely defined.

2.6. Twisted Higgs bundles and their spectral data. In our last preliminary section we introduce (L -twisted) Higgs bundles, whose spectral data are pure dimension 1 sheaves on ruled surfaces.

As before, C denotes a smooth projective curve and L a line bundle on it. An L -twisted Higgs bundle over C is a pair (E, φ) , where E is a holomorphic vector bundle on C , and $\varphi \in H^0(C, \text{End}(E) \otimes L)$ is a holomorphic section of the endomorphisms bundle, twisted by L . When L is the canonical bundle K_C of the curve, we refer to K_C -Higgs bundles, simply, as Higgs bundles. An L -twisted Higgs bundle (E, φ) is (*semi*)*stable* if every φ -invariant subbundle $F \subset E$ satisfies

$$\frac{\deg F}{\text{rk} F} < (\leq) \frac{\deg E}{\text{rk} E}.$$

A semistable L -twisted Higgs bundle (E, φ) is *polystable* if it is a direct sum of stable bundles $(E, \varphi) = \bigoplus (E_i, \varphi_i)$ (all with the same slope $\deg E_i / \text{rk} E_i$). It is possible to construct [Ni] the moduli space of rank n and degree d semistable (resp. stable) L -twisted Higgs bundles on C which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d)^{\text{st}}$). When $L = K_C$, we will write $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)^{\text{st}}$) for the moduli space of semistable (resp. stable) Higgs bundles whose construction follows from [Hi2, Si2], being a connected, normal and irreducible variety of dimension $2n^2(g_C - 1) + 2$ [Si2]. When $\deg(L) > \deg(K)$ or $\deg(L) = \deg(K_C)$ but $L \not\cong K_C$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d)$ is a quasi-projective variety of dimension $2n^2 \deg(L) + 1$ [Ni].

Given a Higgs bundle (E, φ) , we see that $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes L$ determines uniquely an action of $\text{Sym}^\bullet(L^*)$ on E , the Higgs bundle (E, φ) can be seen as a $\text{Sym}^\bullet(L^*)$ -module. Since p is an affine morphism and $\text{Sym}^\bullet(L^*) \cong p_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(L)}$, the push-forward under p provides an equivalence of categories between $\text{Sym}^\bullet(L^*)$ -modules (including Higgs bundles) and $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(L)}$ -modules, called the *spectral correspondence* [Hi1, BNR] where the Higgs bundle (E, φ) is sent to the pure dimension 1 sheaf \mathcal{E} on $\text{Tot}(L)$ having rank 1 on each irreducible component of its support, called the *spectral datum* of (E, φ) , and defined by $\ker(p^* \varphi - \tau)$, where τ denotes the tautological section of the pullback bundle $p^* L \rightarrow \text{Tot}(L)$. One can observe that the spectral datum \mathcal{E} associated to any Higgs bundle (E, φ) is supported on a curve in the linear system $|n\sigma_0|$, that we call the *spectral curve* of (E, φ) , and the restriction of \mathcal{E} to any irreducible component of the spectral curve has rank 1, and over the whole spectral curve is a sheaf with degree

$$(2.28) \quad a = d + \delta,$$

where we denote

$$(2.29) \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)\ell,$$

denoting by ℓ the topological invariant ℓ of the ruled surface \mathbb{L} . It then follows from (2.28) that the spectral datum of a L -Higgs bundle of rank n and degree d is a sheaf over \mathbb{L} with Mukai vector $v_{d+\delta}$, where $C = \sigma_0$ on its definition. Starting from such \mathcal{E} , one obtains a Higgs bundle (E, φ) , by setting $E = p_* \mathcal{E}$ and $\varphi = p_*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \tau)$, where we have considered the morphism given by tensor product with the tautological bundle,

$$\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes \tau : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes p^* L.$$

After [Sb] one has that the stability notions of a Higgs bundle and the corresponding spectral data coincide. Therefore, the Higgs moduli space is the closed and dense subset of the moduli space of sheaves on \mathbb{L} with Mukai vector $v_{d+\delta}$, where we recall (2.28), given by those sheaves whose restriction to its associated spectral curve has rank 1 on each irreducible component of its support,

$$(2.30) \quad \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \subset M_{\mathbb{L}, H_0}(v_{d+\delta})|_{\text{supp} \cap \sigma_\infty = \emptyset}.$$

We have seen that \mathbb{L} is equipped with a Poisson structure $\Theta_{\mathbb{L}}$ when $\ell > 2g_C - 2$ or $L = K_C$. In that case, one can define the Bottaccin–Markman Poisson structure on $M_{\mathbb{L}, H_0}(v_{d+\delta})$, as we saw in Theorem 2.2, which restricts to a Poisson structure Θ_0 on $\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d)$. When $L = K_C$, Θ_0 defines a symplectic form Ω_0 on $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$. In the recent work [BBG], Biswas–Bottaccin–Gomez showed that this restriction coincides (up to scaling) with that obtained by extending

the canonical symplectic form defined on the cotangent of the moduli space of stable vector bundles.

Since any divisor with null intersection with σ_∞ is a multiple of σ_0 , the restriction of (2.2) provides a morphism,

$$(2.31) \quad \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \longrightarrow |n\sigma_0|_{\text{supp}\sigma_\infty=\emptyset},$$

known as the *L-Hitchin fibration*, which takes the form

$$(2.32) \quad \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^L := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, L^{\otimes i}),$$

after the identification 2.26. When $L = K_C$, this provides an algebraic completely integrable system [Hi1] called the *Hitchin system*.

3. INVOLUTIONS ON HIGGS MODULI SPACES FROM INVOLUTIONS ON RULED SURFACES

3.1. Some involutions on ruled surfaces. We say that an involution on a variety equipped with a Poisson structure is Poisson if it preserves the Poisson structure under pull-back, and we call it anti-Poisson if the pull-back returns the inverse of the Poisson structure. In this section we study some involutions on \mathbb{L} and their relation with the Poisson structure.

The first involution we consider is

$$(3.1) \quad \iota : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L},$$

defined by multiplying by -1 the fibres of L . Note that its fixed locus is the disjoint union of the zero and infinity sections,

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Fix}(\iota) = \sigma_\infty \sqcup \sigma_0.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Every line bundle $J \in \text{Pic}(\mathbb{L})$ is preserved by the involution ι , i.e.*

$$\iota^* J \cong J.$$

Suppose further that $\ell \geq 2g_C - 2$, then ι is an anti-Poisson involution with respect to any Poisson structure $\Theta_{\mathbb{L}}$ defined on the ruled surface.

Proof. One naturally has that $\iota^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(\sigma_\infty) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(\iota(\sigma_\infty))$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(\sigma_\infty)$ is preserved by ι after (3.2). As ι preserves the fibres of $p : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$, every line bundle in $p^* \text{Pic}(C)$ is ι -invariant and the first statement follows from (2.24). In particular, $K_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}$ is invariant.

As ι is an automorphism of \mathbb{L} , we have that $d\iota \wedge d\iota$ provides an isomorphism between $\iota^* K_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}$ and $K_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}$. Since the eigenvalues of $d\iota$ are constantly 1 and -1 , one has

$$d\iota \wedge d\iota = -1,$$

and all the sections of $K_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}$ are ι -anti-invariant. This proves the second statement. \square

Consider an involution on the base curve

$$\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C.$$

We say that a line bundle L on C is ζ_C -invariant if there exists an isomorphism

$$f : \zeta_C^* L \xrightarrow{\cong} L.$$

In this case, the action of ζ_C lifts, via f , to an action on L that can be easily extended to its completion \mathbb{L} ,

$$(3.3) \quad \zeta_f : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}.$$

Observe that the involution associated to $-f$ coincides with the composition $\iota \circ \zeta_f$. Denote the associated quotient map by

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{q}_f : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} / \zeta_f.$$

Remark 3.2. Suppose that $q_C : C \rightarrow D := C/\zeta_C$ gives a smooth curve. By Kempf's descent lemma [Ke], if ζ_f acts trivially on the fibres of the points fixed under ζ_C , the line bundle L descends to a line bundle W on D . Let us denote the associated ruled surface by $\mathbb{W} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_D \oplus W)$. Under these conditions, the quotient is

$$\mathbb{L}/\zeta_f \cong \mathbb{W}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The involutions ι and ζ_f preserve cohomology class of every line bundle on \mathbb{L} . Therefore, every Mukai vector on \mathbb{L} is ι -invariant and ζ_f -invariant.*

Proof. After Lemma 3.1 it is enough to prove the lemma for ζ_f . Pull-back under ζ_f preserves the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(\sigma_\infty)$ and those line bundles in $p^*\text{Pic}(\mathbb{L})$ coming from ζ_C -invariant line bundles. Even if not every line bundle in $p^*\text{Pic}(\mathbb{L})$ is preserved by ζ_f , the involution preserves the class of a fibre, F . Then, the first statement follows from (2.24). The second follows immediately from the first. \square

As ζ_C is an automorphism of C , $\partial\zeta_C$ provides a natural isomorphism between K_C and $\zeta_C^*K_C$. Its compactification, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus K_C)$ is a ruled surface of topological invariant $2g_C - 2$. Let us denote by

$$(3.5) \quad \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+ := \zeta_{\partial\zeta_C},$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^- := \zeta_{-\partial\zeta_C}.$$

After (2.27) the canonical bundle of \mathbb{K} is

$$K_{\mathbb{K}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-2\sigma_\infty),$$

which trivializes on $\text{Tot}(K_C)$, the complement of σ_∞ . Recalling (2.23), one has a unique (up to scaling) Poisson structure $\Theta_{\mathbb{K}}$ on \mathbb{K} defining a canonical symplectic structure on $\text{Tot}(K_C)$.

Lemma 3.4. *The involution $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ is Poisson while $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ is anti-Poisson. Their restriction to $\text{Tot}(K_C)$ provide, respectively, a symplectic and an anti-symplectic involution.*

Suppose that $q_C : C \rightarrow D := C/\zeta_C$ gives a smooth curve. Then, the quotient $\mathbb{K}/\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ is smooth.

Proof. For the first statement, thanks to Lemma 3.1, it is enough to prove that $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ is a Poisson involution as $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ is the composition of $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ with the anti-Poisson involution ι . Suppose first that q_C is unramified, one can easily show that the eigenvalues of $d\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+$ are $d\zeta_C$ and $\partial\zeta_C$, hence, $d\zeta_C \wedge \partial\zeta_C = 1$ and $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+ = \zeta_{\partial\zeta_C}$ is Poisson.

After Remark 3.2, the second statement follows from the observation that $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ acts with eigenvalues $d\zeta_C$ and $-\partial\zeta_C$. On the points of $\text{Fix}(\zeta_C)$, one has that $d\zeta_C = -1$ so $-\partial\zeta_C = 1$ and $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ acts trivially on the fibres of the line bundle K_C over $\text{Fix}(\zeta_C)$. The statement then follows from Remark 3.2. \square

3.2. Natural involutions under the spectral correspondence. Starting from the involutions ι and ζ_f on \mathbb{L} defined in Section 2.5, one can consider the natural involutions $\hat{\iota}$ and $\hat{\zeta}_f$ on the moduli space of sheaves $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{L}, H_0}(v_{d+\delta})$, as defined in Section 2.2. Also, we recall the involutions $\xi_{J, \mathbb{L}}$ and $\lambda_{J, f} = \xi_{J, \mathbb{L}} \circ \hat{\zeta}_f$ defined in full generality in Section 2.3. In this section we describe the counterpart of these involutions on the moduli space of L -Higgs bundles. We finish this section studying the particular case of $L = K_C$, associated to Higgs bundles (with no twisting). We find in this case that the previous involutions give rise to well known involutions that have been widely studied [HS, GR, BG, GW].

Lemma 3.5. *Under the spectral correspondence, the involution $\hat{\iota}$ corresponds to the biregular involution*

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\iota} : \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longmapsto (E, -\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

If, given the involution $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C$, f provides an isomorphism between L and ζ_C^*L , then $\widehat{\zeta}_f$ corresponds under the spectral correspondence to the involution

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{\zeta}_f : \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) & \longmapsto & (\zeta_C^*E, (\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_C^*E} \otimes f) \circ \zeta_C^*\varphi), \end{array}$$

which is biregular.

Proof. We begin by observing that $\check{\imath}$ and $\check{\zeta}_f$ preserve (semi)stability of Higgs bundles, so both a regular morphisms within the moduli of Higgs bundles. Recall that the spectral correspondence is realized by taking the push-forward under $p : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow C$, obtaining the Higgs field by pushing-forward the morphism given by tensoring by the tautological section τ , $\varphi = p_*(\mathbf{1}_E \otimes \tau)$. In the first case, observe that $p \circ \iota = p$, so $\check{\imath}(E) = E$ and

$$\check{\imath}(\varphi) = p_*(\mathbf{1}_{(\iota_{\mathbb{L}})^*\mathcal{E}} \otimes \tau) = p_{*\iota_{\mathbb{L},*}}(\mathbf{1}_{(\iota_{\mathbb{L}})^*\mathcal{E}} \otimes \tau) = p_*(\mathbf{1}_E \otimes (-\tau)) = -\varphi,$$

what concludes the proof of the first statement. For the second statement note that $p \circ \zeta_f = \zeta_C \circ p$, which implies that $\check{\zeta}_f(E) = \zeta_C^*E$. Then,

$$\zeta_{C,*}\check{\zeta}_f(\varphi) = \zeta_{C,*}p_*(\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_f^*\mathcal{E}} \otimes \tau) = p_*\zeta_{f,*}(\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_f^*\mathcal{E}} \otimes \tau) = p_*(\mathbf{1}_E \otimes \zeta_f^*\tau)$$

Note also that the tautological section satisfies that

$$\tau = p^*f^{-1} \circ \zeta_f^*\tau,$$

so,

$$\zeta_{C,*}\check{\zeta}_f(\varphi) = p_*((\mathbf{1}_E \otimes p^*f) \circ (\mathbf{1}_E \otimes \tau)) = (\mathbf{1}_E \otimes f) \circ \varphi,$$

from which the proof follows. \square

Let us denote the fixed point locus of $\check{\zeta}_f$ by

$$\mathcal{N}_C^L(n, d) := \text{Fix}(\check{\zeta}_f),$$

which is, naturally, a closed subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d)$.

As we saw in Remark 3.2, Kempf descent lemma says that the line bundle L descends to a line bundle W on D if the action of f is trivial on the ramification locus of $q_C : C \rightarrow D$. In that case, the quotient \mathbb{L}/ζ_f is the ruled surface \mathbb{W} . Observe, as well, that in that case $L \cong q_C^*W$.

Lemma 3.6. *Under the spectral correspondence, $\mathcal{N}_C^L(n, d)$ embeds into $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{L}, H_0}(v_{d+\delta}, nD)$. Furthermore, the Hitchin fibration restricts there to*

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{N}_C^L(n, d) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n q_C^*H^0(D, W^{\otimes i}).$$

Proof. We recall from (2.31) that the image of the support (Hitchin) morphism is contained in (an open subset of) a linear system $|n\sigma_0|$ in \mathbb{L} . The fixed locus under the action of $\widehat{\zeta}_f$ on a linear system is disconnected and given by the union of the projectivization of the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of the associated space of sections. Observe that the component associated to $+1$ coincides with $q_{\mathbb{L}}^*[nD]$, containing the open subset $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n q_C^*H^0(D, W^{\otimes i})$. The rest of the proof follows easily from this observation. \square

One can consider the morphism

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{q}_C : \mathcal{M}_D^W(n, d') & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_C^L(n, 2d') \subset \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, 2d') \\ (E, \varphi) & \longmapsto & (q_C^*E, q_C^*\varphi), \end{array}$$

which corresponds to (2.6) under the spectral correspondence. If the spectral curves in $\text{Tot}(L)$ are ramified over the spectral curves in $\text{Tot}(W)$, then, Proposition 2.11 implies that \widehat{q}_C is generically 1:1, being an isomorphism when we restrict to the locus of smooth curves over

smooth curves (corresponding to the locus indexed by ssl). If, on the contrary, ζ_C is unramified, Proposition 2.11 says that \widehat{q}_C factors through

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_D^W(n, d') & \xrightarrow{\widehat{q}_s} & \mathcal{N}_C^L(n, 2d') \subset \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, 2d') \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \text{generically 1:1} \\ & & \mathcal{M}_D^W(n, d')/\mathbb{Z}_2, \end{array}$$

where \mathbb{Z}_2 acts under tensorization by the 2-torsion line bundle on D associated to the unramified $2:1$ cover $q_C: C \rightarrow D$.

Suppose one can pick an ζ_f -invariant line bundle J such that condition (2.11) holds with respect to $v_{d+\delta}$. Observe that, after (2.24) and since $\sigma_\infty \cdot \sigma_0 = 0$, one has that the restriction of J to any curve A on $|n\sigma_0|$ is

$$(3.11) \quad J|_A \cong p^* J_C,$$

for some $J_C \in \text{Pic}(C)$.

We now consider the involution $\xi_{J,\mathbb{L}}$ and the composition $\lambda_{J_0,f} = \xi_{J,\mathbb{L}} \circ \widehat{\zeta}_f$ which is another involution as $\xi_{J,\mathbb{L}}$ and $\widehat{\zeta}_f$ commute due to the ζ_f -invariance of J . Let us also describe the counterpart of these involutions in $\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Under the spectral correspondence, the involution $\xi_{J,\mathbb{L}}$ corresponds to*

$$(3.12) \quad \check{\xi}_{J_C,L}: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) & \longmapsto & (E^* \otimes J_C L, \varphi^t). \end{array}$$

Also, $\lambda_{J,f}$ corresponds to

$$(3.13) \quad \check{\lambda}_{J_C,f}: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) & \longmapsto & (\zeta_C^* E^* \otimes J_C L, (\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_C^* E} \otimes f)^t \circ \zeta_C^* \varphi^t), \end{array}$$

both being biregular involutions.

Proof. After (2.13),

$$\check{\xi}_{J_C,L}(E) = p_* \mathcal{H}om_A(\mathcal{F}|_A, J(A)|_A) \cong p_* \mathcal{H}om_A(\mathcal{F}|_A, p^*(J_C L^n)),$$

where, in this identification, we have made use of (2.25) and (3.11). Thanks to (2.27), we observe that the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_{A/C}$ is $p^* L^{n-1}$. Hence,

$$\check{\xi}_{J,\mathbb{L}}(E) \cong p_* \mathcal{H}om_A(\mathcal{F}|_A, p^*(J_C L) \otimes \omega_{A/C}) = p_* \mathcal{H}om_A(\mathcal{F}|_A, p^!(J_C L)) \cong E^* \otimes J_C L,$$

and the first statement follows. The second statement is a direct consequence of the first and Lemma 3.5. \square

Remark 3.8. Recall from Remark 2.12 that, choosing v_0 as Mukai vector and $J_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-n\sigma_0)$, one gets $\xi_{J_0,L}$ is the dualizing involution and

$$\check{\xi}_{J_0,L}: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, -\delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, -\delta) \\ (E, \varphi) & \longmapsto & (E^* \otimes L^{1-n}, \varphi^t). \end{array}$$

Remark 3.9. For δ as in (2.29), the pair of Mukai vector v_δ and line bundle $J_\delta = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(-\sigma_0)$ satisfies condition (2.11). In that case, one gets

$$\check{\xi}_{J_\delta,C,L}: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, 0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_C^L(n, 0) \\ (E, \varphi) & \longmapsto & (E^*, \varphi^t). \end{array}$$

Let us denote the fixed point locus of $\check{\lambda}_{J_C,L}$ by

$$\mathcal{P}_C^L(n, d, J_C) := \text{Fix}(\check{\lambda}_{J_C,L}).$$

Observe that $\mathcal{N}_C^L(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C^L(n, d, J)$ are given by the restriction of $N_{\mathbb{L},H_0}(v_{d+\delta})$ and $P_{\mathbb{L},H_0}(v_{d+\delta}, J)$ in (2.30). Recalling the subvarieties $N_{\mathbb{L},H_0}(v_{d+\delta}, nD)$ and $P_{\mathbb{L},H_0}(v_{d+\delta}, J, nD)$ from (2.4) and (2.15), respectively, we study their relation with $\mathcal{N}_C^L(n, d)$ and $\mathcal{P}_C^L(n, d, J)$.

Lemma 3.10. *Under the spectral correspondence, $\mathcal{P}_C^L(n, d, J_C)$ embeds into $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}, H_0}(v_{d+\delta}, J, nD)$. Furthermore, the Hitchin fibration restricts to*

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{P}_C^L(n, d, J_C) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{q}_C^* H^0(D, W^{\otimes i}),$$

where W is described in Remark 3.2.

Proof. Mutatis mutandis, the proof follows as the proof of Lemma 3.6. \square

Sometimes in the literature, in the particular case of $L = K_C$ and $f = \partial\zeta_C$, one abbreviates $(\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_C^* E} \otimes \partial\zeta_C) \circ \zeta_C^* \varphi$ simply by $\zeta_C^* \varphi$. Therefore, the natural involution associated to $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+ = \zeta_{\partial\zeta_C}$, as described in (3.5) and (3.6), would be expressed as

$$(3.15) \quad \check{\zeta}_K^{\pm} : \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) \longmapsto (\zeta_C^* E, \pm \zeta_C^* \varphi),$$

under the spectral correspondence. This immediately implies that the counterpart of $\lambda_{J, \mathbb{K}}^{\pm} = \xi_{J, \mathbb{K}} \circ \widehat{\zeta}_K^{\pm}$ on the moduli space of Higgs bundles is

$$(3.16) \quad \check{\lambda}_{J_C, K}^{\pm} : \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) \longmapsto (\zeta_C^* E^* \otimes J_C K_C, \pm \zeta_C^* \varphi^t).$$

The involutions $\check{\zeta}_K^{\pm}$, along with their fixed point locus, have been studied by Heller–Schaposnik [HS] and Garcia-Prada–Wilkins [GW]. The involutions $\check{\lambda}_{J, K}^{\pm}$ are covered by the general study of Basu and Garcia-Prada [BG] of automorphisms of the moduli space of Higgs bundles. We combine their results in the following corollary, that could also be derived from Propositions 2.8 and 2.13.

Corollary 3.11. *The involution $\check{\zeta}_K^+$ is symplectic and its fixed locus $\mathcal{N}_C^+(n, d)$ is a holomorphic symplectic subvariety of the Higgs moduli space $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$, while $\check{\zeta}_K^-$ is anti-symplectic, and defines a Lagrangian subvariety $\mathcal{N}_C^-(n, d)$ of $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$.*

Similarly, $\check{\lambda}_{J_C, K}^+$ is an anti-symplectic involution and its fixed locus $\mathcal{P}_C^+(n, d, J_C)$ a Lagrangian subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$, while $\check{\lambda}_{J_C, K}^-$ is symplectic and fixes a holomorphic symplectic subvariety $\mathcal{P}_C^-(n, d, J_C)$.

4. NON-LINEAR DEGENERATION OF INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

4.1. Extending the non-linear degeneration of Donagi, Ein and Lazarsfeld. In this section we generalize the Donagi, Ein and Lazarsfeld [DEL] non-linear degeneration of the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves on a K3 surface into the moduli space of Higgs bundles over a curve inside the K3. Such degeneration was recently generalized to the case of curves in abelian surfaces by de Cataldo, Maulik and Shen [dCMS1], being a central step on their proof of the P=W conjecture over genus 2 curves. In this section we provide a degeneration of the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves over any smooth surface, into the moduli space of L -Higgs bundles over a smooth subcurve, being L the normal bundle of our curve inside the surface.

Take a smooth surface S and consider a smooth curve $C \subset S$ inside it of genus g_C . From now on, let us denote its normal bundle by

$$(4.1) \quad L := \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C,$$

that coincides with $K_C \otimes (K_S|_C)^{-1}$ by adjunction. The projective completion of the normal cone is isomorphic to the ruled surface \mathbb{L} defined in (2.22). This implies that the canonical divisor of \mathbb{L} is

$$K_{\mathbb{L}} = -2\sigma_{\infty} + p^* K_C - p^* \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C,$$

By the genus formula, and recalling that σ_0 does not intersect with σ_{∞} , we get that

$$(4.2) \quad \sigma_0^2 = \ell = C^2$$

and

$$\sigma_0 \cdot K_{\mathbb{L}} = C \cdot K_S.$$

Hence, the linear systems $|nC|$ and $|n\sigma_0|$ contain generic curves of equal genus,

$$(4.3) \quad g_{nC} = g_{n\sigma_0},$$

and the topological invariants of the ideal sheaves describing these curves coincide.

Take the trivial family $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and consider the blow-up at $C \times \{0\}$,

$$(4.4) \quad \overline{\mathbf{S}} := \text{Blow}_{C \times \{0\}}(S \times \mathbb{P}^1),$$

which is non-singular as we are blowing-up a non-singular subvariety. Composing the structural morphism of the blow-up with the projection $S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, one gets the structural morphism

$$\pi : \overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1.$$

This map is flat and its fibres outside $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are not affected by our construction, so for the generic fibre, at $t \neq 0$, we have

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t \cong S.$$

Meanwhile, the central fibre, at $t = 0$, is the union

$$(4.5) \quad \overline{\mathbf{S}}_0 = \mathbb{L} \cup S,$$

where both irreducible components meet transversely at the image of infinity section $\sigma_\infty \subset \mathbb{L}$ identified with the curve $C \subset S$. Note that $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$ is a complete intersection subvariety. One also consider the open subset

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{S} := \overline{\mathbf{S}} - (S \times \{0\}),$$

and observe that the restriction there of the structural morphism gives, again, a flat family of surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 , but now the central fibre $\mathbf{S}|_{t=0} = \text{Tot}(L)$ is not projective.

Denote by p_S the composition of the structural morphism of the blow-up with the projection of $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ onto S . Being $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ projective, one may choose a relative polarization

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbf{H} = p_S^* \mathbf{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{L}).$$

For all $t \neq 0$ in \mathbb{P}^1 , one trivially has that $\mathbf{H}|_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t} = \mathbf{H}$ while $H_0 := \mathbf{H}|_{\mathbb{L}}$ corresponds to $p^*(\mathbf{H}|_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}(\sigma_\infty)$.

Since $C \times \{0\}$ is a Cartier divisor of $C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, one has that

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{C} := \text{Blow}_{C \times \{0\}}(C \times \mathbb{P}^1) \cong C \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

embeds naturally into $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$. One can consider the fibration $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, whose generic fibres, at $t \neq 0$, are naturally identified with C ,

$$\mathbf{C}_t \cong C \subset S = \overline{\mathbf{S}}_t.$$

Furthermore, the restriction of \mathbf{C} to $t = 0$ coincides with the image of the zero section,

$$\mathbf{C}_0 \cong \sigma_0 \cong C,$$

inside $\mathbb{L} \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$. Note that the restriction to every point of \mathbb{P}^1 gives $\mathbf{C}_t \in H^2(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t, \mathbb{Z})$. Using \mathbf{C} , consider the Mukai vector

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{v}_a = (0, [nC], a - n^2\ell).$$

Let us consider the relative Hilbert scheme parametrizing ideal sheaves of curves in $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ with first Chern class $[nC]$ and let us denote by $\{nC\}$ the connected component containing the family of curves nC . Let us consider, inside it, the open subset given by those curves $A \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}_t$ contained in the $\mathbf{S} \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}$,

$$(4.10) \quad \{nC\}_{\mathbf{S}} := \{nC\}|_{A \subset \mathbf{S}}.$$

Take a point of $\{nC\}_{\mathbf{S}}$ representing the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{S}_t}$ of a curve $A \subset \mathbf{S}_t$. We see that \mathcal{I}_A is of rank 1 and pure dimension 2 over a smooth surface \mathbf{S}_t which either is projective

(for $t \neq 0$) or an affine subset of a smooth projective surface \mathbb{L} (for $t = 0$) where \mathcal{I}_A extends naturally. It follows that the determinant in cohomology is well define and one can consider

$$(4.11) \quad \text{dets}_{\mathbf{S}} : \{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \text{Jac}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\overline{\mathbf{S}}).$$

For $t \neq 0$, the fibre of (4.11) over $L \in \text{Jac}(S)$ corresponds to the linear system $|L|$ in S . We recall once again that every irreducible projective curve contained in $\text{Tot}(L)$ can be deformed linearly to a multiple curve supported on σ_0 . Then, the image of $\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}|_{t=0}$ under (4.11) is $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}(n\sigma_0)$ and $\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}|_{t=0}$ is the locus of $|n\sigma_0|$ inside \mathbb{L} which does not intersect σ_∞ . After (2.26), this is

$$(4.12) \quad \{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}|_{t=0} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, L^{\otimes i}),$$

coinciding with the Hitchin base in (2.32).

Following Simpson [Si1, Theorem 1.21] (see [HL, Theorem 4.3.7] for a specific treatment of the relative case), let us consider the moduli space $\mathbf{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ of relatively pure dimension 1 \mathbf{H} -semistable relative sheaves over the \mathbb{P}^1 scheme $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ having Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a . By construction, $\mathbf{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ comes equipped with the structural flat morphism to \mathbb{P}^1 , which trivializes over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$ having generic fibres equal to $\mathbf{M}_{S, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$, and whose central fibre over $t = 0$ is $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{L} \cup S}^{\mathbf{H}_0}(\mathbf{v}_a)$. By specifying the relative (Fitting) support, one has the following surjective morphism

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{h} : \mathbf{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hilb}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}([n\mathbf{C}]) \\ & \longmapsto & \text{supp}(\mathcal{F}), \end{array}$$

commuting with both structural morphisms. Consider the restriction $\mathbf{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)|_{\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}}$ having generic fibres $\mathbf{M}_{S, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, nC)$ over $t \neq 0$ and central fibre $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{L}}^{H_0}(\mathbf{v}_a)|_{\text{supp} \cap \sigma_\infty = \emptyset}$ at $t = 0$. For the description of the central fibre, note that a sheaf in $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0 = \mathbb{L} \cup S$ whose support fits in $\text{Tot}(L) \subset \mathbb{L}$ is H_0 -semistable (resp. H_0 -stable) as a sheaf in \mathbb{L} if and only if it is \mathbf{H}_0 -semistable (resp. \mathbf{H}_0 -stable) as a sheaf in the whole $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$. Recalling (2.30), we define the open subset

$$(4.14) \quad \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{S}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) \subset \mathbf{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)|_{\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}},$$

given by the complement of those sheaves at $t = 0$ having rank different from 1 on some irreducible component of their support.

We summarize all of the above in the following theorem. This is a generalization to arbitrary smooth surfaces of the non-linear deformation that appeared first in [DEL] for K3 surfaces and in [dCMS1] for abelian surfaces.

Theorem 4.1 ([DEL]). *Consider a smooth surface S and a genus $g \geq 2$ smooth projective curve C inside S . Denote the normal bundle of C in S by L . Choose a positive integer n and a Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a as in (2.1) constructed out of n and C . One can construct $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{S}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$ and $\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}$ flat over \mathbb{P}^1 , and a morphism between them commuting with the structural morphisms,*

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{S}/\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) & \longrightarrow & \{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array}$$

The horizontal arrow is a surjective fibration, trivial over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$, and the generic fibre over $t \neq 0$ is the Le Poitier morphism (2.2),

$$\mathbf{M}_{S, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \{n\mathbf{C}\},$$

while the central fibre at $t = 0$ gives the Hitchin morphism (2.32),

$$\mathcal{M}_C^L(n, d) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^0(C, L^{\otimes i}),$$

where $d = a - \delta$.

Remark 4.2. Since the normal bundle L of a curve C in a del Pezzo surface has $\deg(L) > \deg(K_C)$, we observe that the degeneration given in Theorem 4.1 connects the two moduli spaces considered in the work of Maulik and Shen [MS], and for which cohomological χ -independence is proven.

4.2. Deforming the symplectic structure. In this section we restrict ourselves to the case where S is a symplectic surface. In this context we study the symplectic structure on the moduli spaces involved in the construction obtained in Section 4.1, showing that it provides a deformation of symplectic varieties.

Since the canonical bundle of S is trivial, one gets, by adjunction, that the normal bundle of $C \subset S$ is its canonical bundle K . Recall then that the family of surfaces $\overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has central fibre $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0 = \mathbb{K} \cup S$ meeting at the identification of $\sigma_\infty \subset \mathbb{K}$ with $C \subset S$. We start by studying $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let S be a symplectic surface and pick $C \subset S$ smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$. One has the following*

- (1) $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$ is the line bundle given by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-\sigma_\infty)$ and $\mathcal{O}_S(C)$ identified along $\sigma_\infty \cong C$ by a natural isomorphism between $K \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-\sigma_\infty)|_{\sigma_\infty}$ and $K \cong \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C$;
- (2) $H^0(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0, K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}) = \mathbf{C}$ and every non-trivial section of $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$ vanishes on \mathbb{K} and only on \mathbb{K} ;
- (3) $H^0(\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0, \omega_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}^{-1}) = \mathbf{C}$ and every non-trivial section of $\omega_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}^{-1}$ vanishes on S and only on S ;
- (4) $\pi_* K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$;
- (5) $\pi_* \omega_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$.

Proof. We first observe that $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ is constructed by blowing-up a smooth subvariety of $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which is also smooth. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ is smooth and has canonical bundle $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}} = r^* K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{K})$, where we denote by r the structural morphism of the blow-up and we recall that \mathbb{K} is the exceptional divisor. One can deduce that the singular central fibre $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0 = \mathbb{K} \cup S$, where both components meet transversally, is a complete intersection variety, so it is Gorenstein and its dualizing sheaf $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$ is a line bundle. This implies that the relative dualizing sheaf $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is a line bundle too, indeed it is $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{K})$, as K_S is trivial.

Since $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$ is a complete intersection divisor in $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$, one has that $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0} = K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{K} + S)|_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$, where we abuse of notation by denoting the total transform of $S \times \{0\}$ simply by S . Then,

$$K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}|_{\mathbb{K}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(2\mathbb{K})|_{\mathbb{K}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(S)|_{\mathbb{K}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-2\sigma_\infty) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(\sigma_\infty) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-\sigma_\infty),$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(-\mathbb{K})|_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the linearization $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(\sigma_\infty)$. Similarly, the restriction of $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$ to S gives

$$K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(2\mathbb{K})|_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(S)|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(2C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-C) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(C),$$

where we recall that $K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{K} + S)|_S \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{K})|_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(S)|_S$ is trivial, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(S)|_S \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{K})|_S)^{-1}$. Recalling that $K_{\mathbb{K}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-2\sigma_\infty)$ and $K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$, applying adjunction, one gets that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-\sigma_\infty)|_{\sigma_\infty}$ and $\mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C$ both being isomorphic to K , the canonical bundle of $\sigma_\infty \cong C$, so the restriction of the line bundle $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$ provides a natural identification. This finishes the proof of (1).

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(-\sigma_\infty)$ has no non-zero sections, every non-zero section of $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}$ vanishes completely on \mathbb{K} , hence it is given by a section of $\mathcal{O}_S(C)$ vanishing identically at C . The set of those sections determines a 1-dimensional subspace of $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$ and (2) follows.

We proof (3) by observing that $h^0(\mathbb{K}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(\sigma_\infty)) = 1$ and that $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-C)) = 0$. It follows that every non-zero section of $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}^{-1}$ vanishes on S , so it is given by a section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}(\sigma_\infty)$ which vanishes identically at σ_∞ .

Recall that $\overline{\mathbf{S}}|_{\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}}$ is the trivial fibration $S \times (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\})$, so the restriction there of $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ is the trivial line bundle. After (2) and (3) and upper semicontinuity of cohomology, one has that $\pi_* K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ and $\pi_* \omega_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}^{-1}$ are line bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 , inverse to each other. Note that $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{K})$

comes naturally equipped with a section. Furthermore, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}}(\mathbb{K})) = 1$ by the properties of blow-up. It follows that $\pi_* K_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{P}^1}$ has a single non-zero section (up to scaling), so (4) follows.

Finally, (5) follows from (4). \square

In view of (2) and (3) of Proposition 4.3 we shall first construct a Poisson structure on $M_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ and, then, derive the symplectic structure on $\mathbf{M}_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$. Recall that $\ell = 2g_C - 2$ in this case, so we fix $d = a - (n^2 - n)(g_C - 1)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Consider a smooth symplectic surface S and a genus $g \geq 2$ smooth projective curve C inside S . Then, there exists a relative Poisson structure Θ on $M_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ which defines a relative symplectic form Ω on $\mathbf{M}_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ coinciding (up to scaling) with the Mukai form Ω over the generic fibre $M_{S, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ over $t \neq 0$, and, on the central fibre $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ at $t = 0$, with Ω_0 obtained by extending the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent of the moduli space of stable vector bundles.*

Proof. Starting from (5) of Proposition 4.3, we pick $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ a trivialization of $\pi_* K_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{P}^1}^{-1}$ containing $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and choose a section $\vartheta \in H^0(\mathbb{A}^1, \pi_* K_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1}^{-1})$. Following Theorem 2.2 one can construct a relative Bottacin–Markman Poisson structure Θ on $M_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$,

$$(4.16) \quad \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}_t}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes K_{\overline{S}_t}) \wedge \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}_t}}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes K_{\overline{S}_t}) \xrightarrow{\circ} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{S}_t}}^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \otimes K_{\overline{S}_t}^2) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}} \\ H^2(\overline{S}_t, K_{\overline{S}_t}^2) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \vartheta \rangle} H^2(\overline{S}_t, K_{\overline{S}_t}) \cong \mathbf{C}.$$

Thanks to (3) of Proposition 4.3, we can check that the restriction of Θ to the subset $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ of the central fibre is non-degenerate, hence defines a symplectic form there, as the tangent and the cotangent spaces to the moduli can be identified thanks to a trivialization of $K_{\mathbb{K}_C} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}_C}(-2\sigma_\infty)$ over $\text{Tot}(K_C) = \mathbb{K}_C - \{\sigma_\infty\}$. Furthermore, the canonical bundle is trivial over the generic fibres, $K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$, so in this case the cotangent space of $M_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)|_t = M_S^{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ is identified with the tangent space. Then, the Poisson form Θ_t over $t \neq 0$ is non-degenerate and defines a symplectic form which coincides with the Mukai form up to scaling. Hence, the restriction of Θ to $\mathbf{M}_{\overline{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$ defines a relative symplectic form Ω . \square

4.3. Degenerating the fixed locus of involutions. In this section, we study the behaviour under the Donagi–Ein–Lazarsfeld degeneration of the involutions considered in Sections 2.2 and 2.3. By doing so, we generalize to the case of an arbitrary smooth surface equipped with an involution, the construction of Sawon and Shen [SS1] who treated the case described in Section 4.4.4.

Consider a smooth surface S equipped with an arbitrary involution $\zeta_S : S \rightarrow S$. Pick a smooth curve C preserved by ζ_S and denote by $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C$ the restriction of the involution to it. As in (4.1), denote the normal bundle by $L = \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C$, and note that $d\zeta_S : \zeta_S^* \mathcal{T}S \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{T}S$ sends $\zeta_C^* \mathcal{T}C$ to $\mathcal{T}C$ inducing the isomorphism

$$(4.17) \quad f_0 := [d\zeta_S]_{\mathcal{T}C} : \zeta_C^* L = \zeta_S^*(\mathcal{T}S/\mathcal{T}C) \longrightarrow L = \mathcal{T}S/\mathcal{T}C.$$

Let us also recall from (3.3) the associated involution

$$\zeta_{f_0} : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}.$$

One can provide an explicit description of ζ_{f_0} in the particular case of symplectic surfaces.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that S is a symplectic surface and ζ_S^+ a symplectic (resp. ζ_S^- an antisymplectic) involution on it. Pick a smooth curve C preserved by ζ_C and denote by L its normal bundle inside S . Then $L = K_C$ and $\zeta_{f_0} = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^+$, as defined in (3.5) (resp. $\zeta_{f_0} = \zeta_{\mathbb{K}}^-$, as defined in (3.6)).*

Proof. By adjunction formula and the triviality of the canonical bundle $K_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ of a symplectic surface, one gets $L = K_C$ in this case.

Note that the isomorphism $\partial\zeta_C : \zeta_C^* K_C \rightarrow K_C$ that lifts the action of ζ_C to the canonical bundle K_C , is the inverse of $d\zeta_C$.

Since ζ_S^+ is symplectic (*resp.* ζ_S^- is antisymplectic), $d\zeta_S : \mathcal{T}S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}S$ has eigenvalues whose product is 1 (*resp.* -1). Then, over C , $d\zeta_S$ has eigenvalues $d\zeta_C$ and $f_0 = \partial\zeta_C$ (*resp.* $f_0 = -\partial\zeta_C$). This completes the proof after recalling (3.5) and (3.6). \square

We defined $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ in (4.4) and \mathbf{S} in (4.6), having generic fibres $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t = \mathbf{S}_t = S$ and central fibres $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0 = S \cup \mathbb{L}$ and $\mathbf{S}_0 = \text{Tot}(L)$. As our involution ζ_S preserves C , $\zeta_S \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ lifts to the blow-up $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ giving another involution $\zeta_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}} : \overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}$ which preserves \mathbf{C} as defined in (4.8). Furthermore, at $t = 0$, $\zeta_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}$ preserves the irreducible component $S \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$ and we denote by $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}} : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ the restriction of this involution to \mathbf{S} . One has commutativity with the structural morphisms,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}} & \mathbf{S} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array}$$

giving $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}$ on every generic fibre at $t \neq 0$. We now examine the behaviour of $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}$ at the central fibre \mathbf{S}_0 .

Lemma 4.6. *With the notation as above, $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}$ coincides with ζ_S on the generic fibres at $t \neq 0$, and, over $t = 0$, with the restriction of ζ_{f_0} to $\text{Tot}(L)$.*

Proof. As $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ is defined by blowing-up $C \times \{0\}$ inside $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the involution given by $\zeta_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}$ is ζ_S on a generic fibre, and on the exceptional divisor \mathbb{L} is the involution induced by $d\zeta_S$ on L , *i.e.* ζ_{f_0} . \square

Given $C \subset S$, we construct \mathbf{C} as in (4.8) and we define $\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}$ as in (4.10). Consider a Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a as in (4.9). Pick a polarization \mathbf{H} on S , inducing \mathbf{H} as described in (4.7), and consider $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$ as defined in (4.14), classifying \mathbf{H} -semistable relative sheaves on $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ with Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a , and whose support is parametrized by $\{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}$. In particular, their support restricts to \mathbf{S} , so, taking pull-back under $\eta_{\mathbf{S}}$, one obtains a birrational involution

$$\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) & \dashrightarrow & \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) \\ \mathcal{F} & \mapsto & \zeta_{\mathbf{S}}^* \mathcal{F}, \end{array}$$

compatible with the structural morphism to \mathbb{A}^1 .

Consider an ζ_S -invariant line bundle $J \rightarrow S$ which satisfies condition (2.11) with respect to \mathbf{v}_a . Starting from such J , we construct a line bundle on $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$

$$(4.18) \quad \mathbf{J} = p_S^* J,$$

where p_S is obtained from the structural morphism of the blow-up $\overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ composed with the projection of $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ onto S . Note as well that, over $t \neq 0$, it restricts to the original line bundle,

$$\mathbf{J}|_t \cong J,$$

while, at $t = 0$, one gets

$$\mathbf{J}|_0 \cong p^* J_C,$$

where p is the structural projection $\text{Tot}(L) \rightarrow C$, and

$$J_C := J|_C.$$

We then observe that (2.11) holds fibrewise for \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{v}_a .

The following is inspired by [SS1, Lemma 4].

Proposition-definition 4.7. *There exists a birregular involution*

$$(4.19) \quad \xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}} : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}).$$

given by sending a sheaf \mathcal{F} supported on a curve inside $\mathbf{S}|_t$ to $\mathcal{E}xt_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{J}(-\mathbb{L}))|_{\mathbf{S}}$.

The restriction of $\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ to a generic fibre over $t \neq 0$ is $\xi_{J, S}$, while at the central fibre on $t = 0$ it restricts to $\check{\xi}_{J_C, L}$.

Proof. Recall that $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ is smooth with canonical bundle $K_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}} = r^*K_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathbb{L})$. Consider the embedding $j_t : \overline{\mathbf{S}}_t \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{S}}$. The canonical bundle for this embedding is

$$\omega_{j_t} = \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbb{L})|_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t},$$

being trivial over a generic fibre over $t \neq 0$. Then, given a sheaf \mathcal{F} supported on \mathbf{S}_t with $t \neq 0$, Grothendieck-Verdier duality implies that

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}^2(j_{t,*}\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{J}) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_t}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{J}|_t),$$

so $\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ restricts to $\xi_{J, S}$ there.

Also, observe that over for a sheaf supporte on the open subset of the central fibre $\text{Tot}(L) = \mathbf{S}_0 \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$, one also has that

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{P}^1}^2(j_{0,*}\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{J}|_0) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{J}|_0) \cong \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{L}}^1(\mathcal{F}, p^*J_C),$$

so $\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ restricts to $\xi_{J_0, \mathbb{L}}$ which further corresponds to $\check{\xi}_{J_C, L}$ after Lemma 3.5.

Finally, since $\xi_{J, S}$ and $\check{\xi}_{J_C, L}$ preserves stability, so does $\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ fibrewise, defining a biregular involution of the moduli space. \square

Observe that \mathbf{J} is $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}$ -invariant. Then, $\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ commute and one can consider the composition

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}} := \widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}} \circ \xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}} : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}) \dashrightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C}).$$

Lemma 4.8. *With the notation as above, $\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ coincides with $\check{\lambda}_{J_C, f_0}$ over $t = 0$, and with $\lambda_{J, S}$ over a generic fibre at $t \neq 0$.*

Proof. The lemma is a consequence of Proposition-definition 4.7 and the fibrewise description of \mathbf{J} and \mathbf{v}_a . \square

We now study the closure of their fixed points and their structure morphism.

Proposition 4.9. *The restriction of the structural morphism to \mathbb{A}^1 of the closed subvarieties $\text{Fix}(\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}})$, $\text{Fix}(\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}})$ and $\overline{\text{Fix}(\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}})}$ is flat.*

Proof. Since $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$ is flat over \mathbb{A}^1 , which is an irreducible and one dimensional base scheme, and the structural morphism trivializes (hence, it is flat) over $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$, one only needs to check that the fibre at $t = 0$ arises as the closure of $\overline{\text{Fix}(\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}})}|_{\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}}$, $\text{Fix}(\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}})|_{\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}}$ and $\overline{\text{Fix}(\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}})}|_{\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}}$ inside $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$. Note that this follows trivially for $\xi_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ as it is birregular, but also for $\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$, which are biregular at the central fibre. \square

Suppose now that S denotes a smooth surface equipped with involution $\zeta_S : S \rightarrow S$ whose quotient map $q_S : S \rightarrow T := S/\zeta_S$ gives a smooth surface. Pick a smooth curve $D \subset T$ and consider C as in (2.18), which is again smooth. Denote by $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C$ the restriction of ζ_S to C , and by $q_C : C \rightarrow D = C/\zeta_C$ the restriction there of q_S . As in (4.1), denote the associated normal bundles by $L = \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C$, as well as $W = \mathcal{O}_T(D)|_D$. Consider as well the ruled surfaces \mathbb{L} and \mathbb{W} associated to L and W , as defined in (2.22). Note that the composition with $d\zeta_S : \zeta_S^*\mathcal{T}S \rightarrow \mathcal{T}S$ provides an isomorphism $f_0 : \zeta_C^*L \xrightarrow{\cong} L$ and recall from (3.3) the associated involution ζ_{f_0} , as well as the projection q_{f_0} from (3.4).

Lemma 4.10. *Lift, via f_0 as above, the action of ζ_C to the normal line bundle L of C inside S . Then, L descends under this action to the normal bundle W of D inside T . Hence,*

$$\mathbb{W} \cong \mathbb{L} / \zeta_{f_0}.$$

Proof. The first statement follows easily as the tangent bundles $\mathcal{T}T$ and $\mathcal{T}D$ coincide, respectively, with the ζ_S -invariant and ζ_C -invariant subbundles of $\mathcal{T}S$ and $\mathcal{T}C$. The second statement follows from the first and Remark 3.2. \square

Following (4.4) and (4.6), define $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ as well as \mathbf{T} , having generic fibres $\overline{\mathbf{T}}_t = \mathbf{T}_t = T$, over $t \neq 0$, and central fibre $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = T \cup \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathbf{T}_0 = \text{Tot}(W)$. Since the preimage of $D \times \{0\}$ under the projection $q_S \times \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1} : S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the divisor $\mathbb{L} \subset \overline{\mathbf{S}}$, one obtains the projection $q_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}} : \overline{\mathbf{S}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{T}}$ commuting with the structural morphisms to \mathbb{P}^1 . At $t = 0$, $q_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}$ sends the irreducible component S of $\overline{\mathbf{S}}_0$ to the irreducible component T of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}_0$. Then, by restricting $q_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}$ to \mathbf{S} , we can define $q_{\mathbf{S}} : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ commuting with the structural morphisms,

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S} & \xrightarrow{q_{\mathbf{S}}} & \mathbf{T} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.11. *With the notation as above, $q_{\mathbf{S}}$ coincide with q_S on the generic fibres at $t \neq 0$, and, with the restriction of q_{f_0} to $\text{Tot}(L)$ over $t = 0$. Hence, one has that $q_{\mathbf{S}}$ is the quotient map of the action of $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}$.*

Proof. After Lemmas 4.6 and 4.10, one has that the restriction of $q_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}}$ to \mathbb{L} coincides with q_{f_0} , which is the quotient map associated to ζ_{f_0} . This concludes the proof, as we knew already that $q_{\mathbf{S}}$ is the quotient map of $\zeta_{\mathbf{S}}$ over the complement of the central fibre. \square

We construct \mathbf{D} as in (4.8) starting from the smooth curve $D \subset T$. As defined in (4.10), denote the connected components of the corresponding relative Hilbert schemes containing the family of curves $n\mathbf{D}$, once we restrict to \mathbf{T} . Denote also $q_{\mathbf{S}}^*\{n\mathbf{D}\}_{\mathbf{T}} \subset \{n\mathbf{C}\}_{\mathbf{S}}$ the locus of curves lifted from T . \mathbf{S}

Let us consider the restriction to $q_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\{n\mathbf{D}\}_{\mathbf{T}}$ of the closure of the locus fixed by $\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}}$,

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{D}) := \overline{\text{Fix}(\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}})} \cap \mathbf{h}^{-1}(q_{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\{n\mathbf{D}\}_{\mathbf{T}}).$$

This provides a degeneration of the fixed locus of a natural involution on the moduli space of sheaves over S .

Theorem 4.12. *Let S be a smooth projective surface equipped with an involution ζ_S whose quotient T is smooth, pick a smooth curve $D \subset T$ and consider its lift C to S . Pick as well a positive integer n and, associated to n and C , a Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a as in (2.1). Then, there exists a closed subvariety $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{M}_{\overline{\mathbf{S}}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$, flat over \mathbb{A}^1 , and equipped with a surjective support morphism*

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & q_{\mathbf{S}}^*\{n\mathbf{D}\}_{\mathbf{T}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{A}^1 & \end{array}$$

such that the structural morphism is trivial over $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$, the generic fibre over $t \neq 0$ is the closure of the natural involution on $\mathbf{M}_{S, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ associated to ζ_S equipped with the fibration (2.5),

$$\mathbf{N}_{S, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, nD) \rightarrow q_S^*\{nD\},$$

while the central fibre at $t = 0$ is the fixed locus of the involution $\check{\zeta}_{f_0}$ given in (3.8), being f_0 induced from $d\zeta_S$ as in (4.17), endowed with the Hitchin morphism (3.9),

$$\mathcal{N}_C^L(n, d) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n q_C^* H^0(D, W^{\otimes i})$$

where $d = a - \delta$.

Proof. After Lemma 4.6, the fibrewise description is a straight-forward since the restriction of $\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}}$ to a generic fibre over $t \neq 0$ coincides with ζ_S , while, at the central fibre over $t = 0$, $\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbf{S}}$ restricts to $\check{\zeta}_{f_0}$. Then, the description of the generic fibres is provided by the definitions (2.4) and (2.5), while the description of the central fibre follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 4.10. Finally, recall Proposition 4.9 for the proof of flatness. \square

For T , consider $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{T}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{w}_b, n\mathbf{D})$ of sheaves with a relative Mukai vector \mathbf{w}_b and a polarization \mathbf{I} inducing \mathbf{I} and $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} = q_S^* \mathbf{I}$. In this case, $\hat{\zeta}_S$ is biregular and the image of the pull-back morphism under the corresponding quotient map lies in its fixed locus,

$$(4.22) \quad \hat{q}_S : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{T}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{w}_b, n\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}}(\mathbf{v}_{2b}, n\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \tilde{\mathbf{I}}}(\mathbf{v}_{2b}, n\mathbf{C}) \\ \mathcal{F} & \longmapsto & q_S^* \mathcal{F}. \end{array}$$

Note that over the generic fibre, $t \neq 0$, (4.22) restricts to (2.6), while on the central fibre, $t = 0$, the restriction of (4.22) is (3.10). Both cases are covered by Proposition 2.11 which provides the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13. *Hence, (4.22) is generically 1:1 when the ramification locus of q_S intersects C non-trivially, and, otherwise (in particular when ζ_S is unramified), generically 2 : 1.*

As we did in the case of a natural involution, consider

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{D}) := \overline{\text{Fix}(\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}})} \cap \mathbf{h}^{-1}(q_S^{-1}\{n\mathbf{D}\}_{\mathbf{T}}),$$

which gives a degeneration of the fixed locus of $\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$.

Theorem 4.14. *Let S be a smooth projective surface equipped with an involution ζ_S whose quotient T is smooth, pick a smooth curve $D \subset T$ and consider its lift C to S . Choose a positive integer n and take a Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a as in (2.1) and a line bundle J on S satisfying (2.11).*

Then, there exists a closed subvariety $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, \mathbf{J}, n\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{C})$, flat over \mathbb{A}^1 , and equipped with a surjective support morphism

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}/\mathbb{A}^1, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, \mathbf{J}, n\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & q_S^*\{n\mathbf{D}\}_{\mathbf{T}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathbb{A}^1, & \end{array}$$

such that the structural morphism is trivial over $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$, the generic fibre over $t \neq 0$ is the closure of the involution $\lambda_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a)$ equipped with the fibration (2.16),

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, J, nD) \rightarrow q_S^*\{nD\},$$

while the central fibre at $t = 0$ is the fixed locus of the involution $\check{\lambda}_{J_C, f_0}$ given in (3.13), being f_0 induced from $d\zeta_S$ as in (4.17) and J_C the restriction of J to C , endowed with the Hitchin morphism (3.14),

$$\mathcal{P}_C^L(n, d, J_C) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n q_C^* H^0(D, W^{\otimes i}).$$

where $d = a - \delta$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.12 and follows naturally from Lemmas 3.10, 4.6 and 4.10 and Propositions 4.7 and 4.9. \square

4.4. Degenerations of natural Lagrangians and Prymian integrable systems. Sawon conjectured in [Sw] that the Prymian integrable systems constructed by Markushevich–Tikhomirov [MT], Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti [ASF] and Matteini [Mn], degenerate into integrable systems related to the Hitchin system, leaving open the description of these conjectural systems.

In this section we provide an explicit description of the degenerations of Section 4.3 in the cases studied in Section 2.4, focusing on the cases specified in [MT, ASF, Mn, SS2, Sh], which are constructed using primitive first Chern classes. We also review the degeneration given by Sawon–Shen [SS1] into the $\text{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs moduli space, studying as well the degeneration of the associated natural Lagrangian. It is worth noticing that we find that this natural Lagrangian degenerates into the fixed locus of an involution associated to $\text{U}(m, m)$ -Higgs bundles, whose Nadler–Langlads group is $\text{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$.

Our work provides an answer to the question posed by Sawon in [Sw] (which, strictly speaking, refers only to the case of primitive first Chern classes) and to the reformulation of Sawon’s question on the non-primitive case.

4.4.1. *The general case.* Consider a K3 surface X equipped with an antisymplectic involution $\zeta_X^- : X \rightarrow X$, whose quotient $q_X : X \rightarrow Y := X/\zeta_X^-$ has ramification divisor Δ_X . Let $D \subset Y$ be a smooth curve and C as in (2.18), again smooth. We denote by $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C$ and $q_C : C \rightarrow D = C/\zeta_C$ the restriction of ζ_X^- and q_X to C . Note that the ramification divisor of ζ_C is $\Delta_C = C \cap \Delta_X$. Since K_X is trivial, the normal bundle of C is

$$L = K_C,$$

giving the ruled surface \mathbb{K}_C , and the normal bundle of D is

$$(4.24) \quad W = K_D K_Y^{-1}|_D,$$

associated to the ruled surface \mathbb{W} . Observe that $K_Y^{-1}|_D$ is a square-root of the branching divisor of q_C , and its pull-back is the ramification divisor.

Recall from Proposition 4.5, that $d\zeta_X^- : (\zeta_X^-)^*\mathcal{T}X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}X$ gives the isomorphism $-\partial_C : \zeta_C^*K_C \xrightarrow{\cong} K_C$ giving the associated involution $\zeta_{\mathbb{K}}$ described in (3.6). The associated natural antisymplectic involution $\widehat{\zeta}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is sent to $\check{\zeta}_K^-$ under the spectral correspondence as described in (3.15). As a consequence of Proposition 4.5, Theorems 4.4 and 4.12 and Corollary 4.13 one has the following.

Corollary 4.15. *There exists a non-linear degeneration $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, n\mathbf{D})$ of the natural Lagrangian subvariety $\mathbf{N}_X^{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, nD) \subset \mathbf{M}_{X,\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, nC)$ obtained from the fixed locus of ζ_X^- , into the Lagrangian subvariety of the Higgs moduli space $\mathcal{N}_C(n, d) \subset \mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$, with $d = a - n(n - 1)(g_C - 1)$, given by the fixed locus of the involution*

$$(4.25) \quad \check{\zeta}_K^- : \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) \longmapsto (\zeta_C^*E, -\zeta_C^*\varphi).$$

For $a = 2b$ and a ζ_X^- -invariant polarization $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} = q_X^*\mathbf{I}$, the morphism

$$\hat{q}_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Y}/\mathbb{A}^1}^{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{w}_b, n\mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}}(\mathbf{v}_{2b}, n\mathbf{D}) \subset \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}}(\mathbf{v}_{2b}, n\mathbf{C}),$$

given in (4.22), is generically 1:1 when the ramification locus of q_S intersects C non-trivially, and generically 2 : 1 otherwise, for instance whenever ζ_S is unramified. The generic fibres of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Y}/\mathbb{A}^1}^{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{w}_b, n\mathbf{D})$ are the moduli spaces $\mathbf{M}_{Y,\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{w}_b, nD)$ and the central fibre is $\mathcal{M}_D^{\mathbf{W}}(n, d')$, for W described in (4.24) and $d' = b - n(n - 1)(g_D - 1)$.

As a consequence of Proposition 4.5 and Theorems 4.4 and 4.14 one has the following.

Corollary 4.16. *Suppose that (2.11) holds for the choice of a line bundle J and a Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_a as in (2.1) for some integer a and let d be $a - \delta = a - n(n - 1)(g_C - 1)$.*

There exists a non-linear degeneration $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, \mathbf{J}, n\mathbf{D})$ of the Prymian integrable system $\mathcal{P}_{X,\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, J, nD) \subset \mathbf{M}_{X,\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{v}_a, nC)$ constructed out of ζ_X^- , into the Prymian integrable system $\mathcal{P}_C(n, d, J_C) \subset \mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ given by the fixed locus of the involution

$$(4.26) \quad \check{\lambda}_{J_C, K}^- : \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_C(n, d) \\ (E, \varphi) \longmapsto (\zeta_C^*E^*, -\zeta_C^*\varphi).$$

Remark 4.17. For $n = 1$, $\mathcal{P}_C(n, d, J_C)$ is the cotangent bundle of a Prym abelian variety associated to ζ_C (when ζ_X^- is ramified) or a disjoint union of them (when ζ_X^- unramified).

4.4.2. *The Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti systems and Saccà Calabi–Yau’s.* In this subsection, we consider a K3 surface endowed with an antisymplectic involution ζ_X^- with empty fixed locus and whose quotient $Y = X/\zeta_X^-$ is a smooth Enriques surface. The canonical divisor of an Enriques surface Y is not trivial but satisfies $2K_Y \sim 0$. Any smooth curve $D \subset Y$ with positive self-intersection is big and nef, and so is $D + K_Y$. Then, by Kodaira vanishing theorem,

$$h^1(Y, D) = h^2(Y, D) = 0,$$

hence

$$h^0(Y, D) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}D^2 = g_D,$$

where g_D is the genus of D . The curve $C := D \times_Y X$ is equipped with an unramified $2 : 1$ cover $q_C : C \rightarrow D$ and an involution $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow C$ without fixed points.

Observe that condition (2.21) is satisfied for every smooth curve $D \subset Y$. Then, whenever (2.11) holds for a pair J and v_a , $P_{X,H}(v_a, J, nD)$ has 2 connected components each of them an integrable system of dimension $2n^2(g_D - 1)$ known as the *Arbarello–Saccà–Ferretti* integrable system, as described by these authors in [ASF] when $n = 1$. The Lagrangian subvariety $N_{X,H}(v_a, nD)$ has dimension $n^2(g_C - 1) + 1$. Furthermore, Saccà [Sa] studied the moduli space of pure dimension 1 sheaves over an Enriques surface $M_{Y,I}(w_b, nD)$ showing that it is Calabi–Yau. By Proposition 2.11, $M_{Y,I}(w_b, nD)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is birational to $N_{Y,\tilde{I}}(v_{2b}, nD)$.

Observe that $L \cong K_C$ in this case, and $W \cong K_D L_\gamma$, where $L_\gamma \in H^1(D, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the 2-torsion line bundle obtained by restricting K_Y to D . After Corollary 4.15 $M_{Y,I}(w_b, nD)$ degenerates into $\mathcal{M}_D^{L_\gamma K_D}(n, b - \delta/2)$ and $N_{X,H}(v_a, nD)$ into $\mathcal{N}_C(n, a - \delta)$, which is the fixed point locus of the involution (4.25) constructed out of ζ_C unramified. It follows from Corollary 4.16 that $P_{X,H}(v_a, J, nD)$ degenerates into $\mathcal{P}_C(n, a - \delta, J_C)$, which is the fixed point locus of the involution (4.26), constructed out of ζ_C unramified.

4.4.3. Markusevich–Tikhomirov, Matteini and Sawon–Shen systems. Consider now an anti-symplectic involution ζ_X^- on the K3 surface X whose quotient $Y = X/\zeta_X^-$ is a del Pezzo surface of degree d . In that case, by their defining property, the anti-canonical bundle $-K_Y$ is ample. In particular, $-(m+1)K_Y$ is big and nef for $m \geq 0$ and by Kodaira vanishing theorem,

$$h^1(Y, -mK_Y) = h^2(Y, -mK_Y) = 0,$$

so

$$h^0(Y, -mK_Y) = 1 + \frac{m^2 + m}{2} \cdot d.$$

Note that the genus of a smooth curve in the linear system $-mK_Y$ is $1 + (m^2 - m)d/2$.

We recall that Δ , the branching locus of q_X , lies in $|-2K_Y|$. Picking a smooth curve D in the linear system $|-K_Y|$, hence $g_D = 1$, pulling-back to another smooth curve C on X , we obtain a $2 : 1$ cover, $q_C : C \rightarrow D$, ramified at the intersection with the ramification locus of q_X , that we denote by R . Observe that the length of R coincides with the intersection of D and Δ , so $(-K_Y) \cdot (-2K_Y) = 2d$, from where one can derive that $g_C = 1 + d$.

Markushevich and Tikhomirov [MT] gave the first construction of Prymian integrable system $P_{X,H}(v_a, J, nC)$ starting from a del Pezzo of degree $d = 2$ and for $n = 1$. In this case we have that ζ_C sends a genus 3 curve C , to D , an elliptic curve and ζ_C is ramified at 4 points and $P_{X,H}^H(v_a, J, C)$ is a 4-dimensional symplectic V -manifold.

Matteini extended the construction of [MT], focusing on the cases of del Pezzo surfaces of degree $d = 1$ and $d = 3$. In the case of $d = 1$, $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow D$ is the cover of a genus 2 curve over an elliptic curve, and Matteini found that $P_{X,H}(v_a, J, nC)$ is an elliptic K3 surface. In the $d = 3$ case, $\zeta_C : C \rightarrow D$ is a $2 : 1$ cover of a genus 4 curve onto an elliptic curve and $P_{X,H}(v_a, J, C)$ is a 6-dimensional symplectic V -manifold. Even in both cases only $n = 1$ was considered by the authors, their construction works for general n as indicated by Matteini in [Mn, Section 3.6].

Another 6-dimensional Prym integrable system is considered by Sawon and Shen in [SS2, Sh]. In this case Y is a del Pezzo surface of degree $d = 1$ and $D \subset Y$ lies in $|-2K_Y|$, so $g_D = 2$. The ramification divisor R of the $2 : 1$ cover $q_C : C \rightarrow D$ has length $(-2K_Y) \cdot (-K_Y) = 2$, hence $g_C = 5$.

After Corollary 4.16 that $P_{X,H}(v_a, J, nD)$ degenerates into $\mathcal{P}_C(n, a - \delta, J_C)$, which is the fixed point locus of the involution (4.26), constructed out of ζ_C ramified at $\Delta_C = \Delta_X \cap X$.

One can also consider the natural Lagrangian subvarieties $N_{X,H}(v_a, nD)$ associated to the mentioned Prymian integrable systems. Note that in this case $N_{X,\tilde{I}}(v_{2b}, nD)$ are birational to $M_{Y,I}(v_b, nD)$. From Corollary 4.15 we know that $N_{X,H}(v_a, nD)$ degenerates into $\mathcal{N}_C(n, a - \delta)$, which is the fixed point locus of the involution (4.25), and $M_{Y,I}(v_b, nD)$ degenerates into $\mathcal{M}_D^{K_D Q}(n, b - (n^2 - n)(g_D - 1))$, where Q is a line bundle on D whose square is $\mathcal{O}_D(\Delta_C)$.

4.4.4. *Sawon–Shen degeneration into the $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -Hitchin system.* When ζ_X^- is an anti-symplectic involution on the K3 surface X giving a del Pezzo surface $Y = X/\zeta_X^-$ of degree d , the ramification divisor of $q_X : X \rightarrow Y$ is a connected smooth projective curve Δ of genus $g_\Delta = d+1$. Trivially, the restriction of ζ_X to Δ is the identity $\zeta_\Delta = \mathbf{1}_\Delta$. Setting $v_\delta = (0, 2m\Delta, 1 - (4m^2 - 2m)(g_\delta - 1))$, $H = \mathcal{O}_X(\ell\Delta)$ and $J = \mathcal{O}_X(-2m\Delta)$, Sawon and Shen [SS1] have constructed a degeneration of the Prymian integrable system $P_{X,H}(v_\delta, J, 2m\Delta)$ into a compactification of the $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -Hitchin system over Δ , given by the fixed locus of the involution

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\lambda}_{K_\Delta^{-2m}, K}^- : \mathcal{M}_\Delta(n, 0) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Delta(n, 0) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longmapsto (E^*, -\varphi^t). \end{aligned}$$

Note that Corollary 4.15 shows that the natural Lagrangian $N_{X,H}(v_\delta)$ degenerates into the fixed locus of the involution

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\zeta}_K^- : \mathcal{M}_\Delta(n, 0) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_\Delta(n, 0) \\ (E, \varphi) &\longmapsto (E, -\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

The morphism obtained by extension of structure group sends the moduli space of $U(m, m)$ -Higgs bundles maps to the fixed locus of $\check{\zeta}_K^-$. It is worth noticing that $U(m, m)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ are related under the Nadler-Langlads correspondence [Na]. In [Hi3] Hitchin proposed that a (BBB)-brane constructed over the moduli space of $\mathrm{Sp}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles is Mirror dual to a (BAA)-brane supported on the moduli space of $U(m, m)$ -Higgs bundles (see Section 5). Evidence for this duality was given by Hitchin himself in [Hi3] and by Hausel, Mellit and Pei in [HMP].

5. BRANES AND DUALITY

Non-abelian Hodge theory [Co, Do, Hi2, Si1, Si2] implies that $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ is equipped with a hyperkähler structure $(g, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3)$, where Γ_1 the complex structure coming from the moduli space of Higgs bundles and Γ_2 from the moduli of flat connections. The associated Kahler forms $\omega_i(\cdot, \cdot) := g(\cdot, \Gamma_i(\cdot))$ combine into a Γ_1 -holomorphic symplectic form $\omega_2 + i\omega_3$, which coincides with Ω_0 up to scaling. Similar constructions can be given for $i = 2$ and $i = 3$.

Following [KW], a (BAA)-brane in $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ is a pair $(N, \mathcal{G}, \nabla_{\mathcal{G}})$ where N is a subvariety which is complex Lagrangian with respect to Ω_0 , and (W, ∇_W) is a flat bundle over N . A (BBB)-brane in $\mathcal{M}_C(n, d)$ is given by a pair $(P, \mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$, where P is a hyperholomorphic subvariety (*i.e.* holomorphic with respect to Γ_1, Γ_2 and Γ_3) and $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_{\mathcal{F}})$ a hyperholomorphic sheaf on P . This means that the connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}}$ on the sheaf \mathcal{F} is of type $(1, 1)$ with respect to all three Kähler structures $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$. It is conjectured in [KW] that mirror symmetry interchanges (BBB)-branes with (BAA)-branes within the Higgs moduli space and in a certain limit, mirror symmetry is enhanced via a Fourier-Mukai transform relative to the Hitchin fibration.

Note that the definition of (BAA) and (BBB)-branes extends naturally to any other hyperkähler varieties. The case of the moduli space $M_{S,H}(v_a)$ of sheaves over a smooth projective symplectic surface S was considered in [FJM] by the author, Jardim and Menet. It is also described in [FJM] the construction of the (BBB)-brane $N_{S,H}^+(v_a)$ arising from the fixed locus of a natural involution associated to a symplectic involution on the surface. Also, the authors studied the behaviour of these natural branes under some correspondences. As a consequence of Propositions 4.5 and 4.9, we can describe these degenerations in the context of branes, extending the description to $P_{S,H}(v_a, J)$.

Corollary 5.1. *The (BBB)-brane $N_{S,H}^+(v_a)$ (resp. the (BAA)-brane $N_{S,H}^-(v_a)$) arising from the fixed locus of a natural involution associated to a symplectic (resp. anti-symplectic) involution on a symplectic surface degenerate into the (BBB)-brane (resp. (BAA)-brane) inside the Hitchin system $\mathcal{N}_C^+(n, d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}_C^-(n, d)$), obtained as the respective fixed locus of the involution $\check{\zeta}_K^+$ (resp. $\check{\zeta}_K^-$), described in (3.15).*

Similarly, the (BBB)-brane obtained from $P_{S,H}(v_a, J)$ degenerates into the (BBB)-brane given by $\mathcal{P}_C(n, d, J_C)$.

We now discuss the duality under Mirror symmetry of the branes associated to a Prymian integrable system and the natural Lagrangian.

Consider the Mukai vector v_0 on S , where we assume $a = 0$, and recall from Remark 2.9 that is the pull-back of the Mukai vector w_0 on T . Following Remark 2.12, choose $J_0 = \mathcal{O}_S(-nC)$. In this section we show that certain open subsets of the subvarieties $N_{S,H}(v_0, B)$ and $P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B)$ are dual under Fourier–Mukai transform.

Observe that the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M_{S,H}(v_0) & \longleftarrow & P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B) & & N_{S,H}(v_0, B) \longrightarrow M_{S,H}(v_0) \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \mu \\
 & & q_S^*\{B\} & & \\
 & \swarrow h_S & \downarrow & & \swarrow h_S \\
 & & \{A\}, & &
 \end{array}$$

restricts, after Proposition 2.11 and Remark 2.16, to

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} & \longleftarrow & \text{Prym}(q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}/\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}) & & \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}/\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}} \xrightarrow{\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}} \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow \nu & & \downarrow \mu \\
 & & q_S^*\{B\}^{\text{ssl}} & & \\
 & \swarrow h_S & \downarrow & & \swarrow h_S \\
 & & \{A\}^{\text{sm}}, & &
 \end{array}$$

where we have dropped the systematic reference to degree 0 in our notation for Jacobians.

Let us recall that one can equip $\text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} \times_{\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}$ with a relative Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}}$. Denoting by π_i the projection from $\text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} \times_{\{A\}^{\text{sm}}} \text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}$ to the i -th factor, one can consider the relative Fourier–Mukai transform [Mu1]

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Psi_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}} : \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}) \\
 \mathcal{F} & \longmapsto & R\pi_{2,*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}}),
 \end{array}$$

and its inverse,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Psi_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}}^{-1} : \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Jac}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}/\{A\}^{\text{sm}}}) \\
 \mathcal{G} & \longmapsto & R\pi_{1,*}(\pi_2^*\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}}^{-1}).
 \end{array}$$

After the choice of J_0 and (2.14) and the properties of Fourier–Mukai transforms (see [Po] or instance), the composition is the auto-equivalence of derived categories

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}}^{-1} = \xi_{J_0, S}[-gA].$$

One can provide a similar construction for \mathcal{B}^{ssl} in T , giving rise to the Fourier–Mukai transform $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}}$. Recalling the morphism $\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ from (2.8), both transforms satisfy (see [Po, (11.3.3)] for instance),

$$(5.1) \quad \Psi_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{sm}}} \circ R\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl},*}} \cong \text{LNm}(q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}})^* \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}},$$

where the norm map $\text{Nm}(q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}})$ is the dual of $\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$.

Proposition 5.2. *Whenever $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ has ramification, the structural sheaves of the subvarieties $N_{S,H}(v_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ and $P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ are dual under a relative Fourier–Mukai transform.*

If $q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is unramified, the structural sheaf on a connected component of $P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ is Fourier–Mukai dual to $N_{S,H}(v_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ equipped with the pair of line bundles obtained from pushing forward the structural sheaf under $\hat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}}$. direct sum of the trivial sheaf and the pull-back of a torsion 2 line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}$.

Proof. We start by the ramified case. Observe that $R\widehat{q}_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}},*}\mathcal{O}_{\text{Jac}_B}$ coincides with the trivial sheaf over $N_{S,H}(v_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ after Proposition 2.11. Also, $\Psi_{\mathcal{B}^{\text{ssl}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Jac}_B})$ is the relative skyscraper sheaf at the identity, so its pull-back under $\text{Nm}(q_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{ssl}}})$ is precisely the trivial sheaf over $P_{S,H}(v_0, J_0, B)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$. Then, the first statement follows easily from (5.1).

The second statement follows from the diagram (2.10) and the fact that the preimage of the Norm map has two connected components. \square

Recalling Proposition 5.2, one immediately deduces the following.

Corollary 5.3. *Whenever q_C has ramification, the structural sheaves of $\mathcal{N}_C(n, d)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_C(n, d, J)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ are dual under a relative Fourier–Mukai transform.*

If q_C is unramified, the latter is Fourier–Mukai dual to the sheaf supported on $\mathcal{N}_C(n, d)|_{\{B\}^{\text{ssl}}}$ given by the direct sum of the trivial sheaf and the line bundle associated to the unramified $2:1$ cover of the family of spectral curves.

In this context, Corollaries 4.15 and 4.16 can be seen, respectively, as a degeneration of (BAA) and (BBB)-branes, from the moduli space of sheaves on symplectic surfaces into the Hitchin system.

REFERENCES

- [ASF] E. Arbarello, G. Saccà and A. Ferretti, *Relative Prym varieties associated to the double cover of an Enriques surface*. J. Differential Geom., **100** (2015) 191–250.
- [BS] D. Baraglia and L. P. Schaposnik, *Higgs bundles and (A, B, A) -branes*, Comm. Math. Phys. 331 (2014), 1271–1300.
- [BG] S. Basu, O. Garcia-Prada *Finite group actions on Higgs bundle moduli spaces and twisted equivariant structures*. arXiv:2011.04017.
- [Be1] A. Beauville, *Variétés kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*. J. Differential Geom., **18** (1983) 755–782.
- [Be2] A. Beauville, *Complex algebraic surfaces*, Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition (1996).
- [Be3] Beauville, *Systèmes hamiltoniens complètement intégrables associés aux surfaces K3*, Symposia Mathematica (1991).
- [Be4] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*, Invent. Math. 139 (2000), 541–549.
- [BNR] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, *Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **398** (1989), 169–179.
- [BBG] I. Biswas, F. Bottacin and T. L. Gomez, *Comparison of Poisson structures on moduli spaces*, preprint arXiv:2102.09723 [math.AG].
- [BR] I. Biswas and S. Ramanan, *An infinitesimal study of the moduli of Hitchin pairs*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 49 (1994) 219–231.
- [BCFG] I. Biswas, L. Calvo, E. Franco, O. Garcia-Prada, *Involutions of Higgs moduli spaces over elliptic curves and pseudo-real Higgs bundles*. J. Geom. Phys. 142: 47–65 (2019).
- [Bo1] F. Bottacin, *Poisson structures on moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces*, Invent. math. 121,421–436 (1995).
- [Bo2] F. Bottacin, *Symplectic geometry on moduli spaces of stable pairs*, 121,421–436 (1995).
- [Co] K. Corlette, *Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics*, J. Diff. Geom., 28(3), 361–382, 1988.
- [dCHM] M.A. de Cataldo, T. Hausel, and L. Migliorini. *Exchange between perverse and weight filtration for the Hilbert schemes of points of two surfaces*, J. Singul., 7:23–38, (2013).
- [dCMS1] M. A. de Cataldo, D. Maulik and J. Shen, *Hitchin fibrations, abelian surfaces and the $P=W$ conjecture*, arXiv:1909.11885.
- [dCMS2] M. A. de Cataldo, D. Maulik and J. Shen, *On the $P=W$ conjecture for SL_n* , arXiv:2002.03336.
- [DEL] R. Donagi, Ein and Lazarsfeld, *Nilpotent cones and sheaves on K3 surfaces*, Contemp. Math. (1997)
- [Do] S. Donaldson, *Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 55(1):127–131, 1987.
- [FJM] E. Franco, G. Menet and M. Jardim, *Brane involutions on irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds*, Kyoto J. Math. (2019).
- [GR] O. Garcia-Prada, S. Ramanan. *Involutions and higher order automorphisms of Higgs moduli spaces*. Proc. London Math. Soc.
- [GW] O. García-Prada and G. Wilkin, *Action of Mapping class group on character varieties and Higgs bundles*, Doc. Math., 5 (2020) 841–868.
- [GWZ] M. Groechenig, D. Wyss, P. Ziegler, *Mirror symmetry for moduli spaces of Higgs bundles via p -adic integration*, Invent. Math. 221, 505–596 (2020).
- [Gu] S. Gukov, *Quantization via Mirror symmetry*, Japan. J. Math. 6, 65–119 (2011).

- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [HMP] T. Hausel, A. Mellit, Du Pei, *Mirror symmetry with branes by equivariant Verlinde formulae*, in *Geometry and Physics: Volume I, A Festschrift in honour of Nigel Hitchin*. Oxford Scholarship Online (2018).
- [HT] T. Hausel, M. Thaddeus, *Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and the Hitchin system*, *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), 197–229.
- [HS] S. Heller and L.P. Schaposnik. *Branes through finite group actions*. *J. Geom. Phys.* 129 (2018), 279–293.
- [Hi1] Hitchin, *Stable bundles and integrable systems*, *Duke Math. J.* (1987).
- [Hi2] N. J. Hitchin, The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), 59–126.
- [Hi3] N. J. Hitchin, *Higgs bundles and characteristic classes*, Arbeitstagung Bonn 2013, *Progr. Math.*, **319**, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016, 247–264.
- [HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Cambridge.
- [KW] A. Kapustin and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality and the geometric Langlands program*, *Comm. Numb. Th. and Phys.* (2007).
- [Ke] G. Kempf, *Hochster-Roberts theorem in invariant theory*. *Mich. Math. J.* 26, 19 (1979)
- [LP] J. Le Potier, *Faisceaux semi-stables de dimension 1 sur le plan projectif*, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 38(7-8):635–678,(1993).
- [Ma1] E. Markman, *Spectral curves and integrable systems*, *Comp. Math.*, 93 (3) (1994), 255–290.
- [Ma2] E. Markman, Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 544 (2002), 61–82.
- [Ma3] E. Markman, On the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces, *J. Algebraic Geom.* 17(2008), 29–99.
- [Ma4] E. Markman, *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties*, *Complex and differential geometry*, 257–322, Springer roc. *Math.*, 8, Springer, Heidelberg 2011.
- [MT] D. Markushevich and A. Tikhomirov, *New symp. v-manifolds of dim. 4 via relative compactified Prymian*, *Int. J. Math.* (2007).
- [Mn] T. Matteini, *Holomorphically symplectic varieties with Prym Lagrangian fibrations*, PhD thesis, University of Lille.
- [MS] D. Maulik and J. Shen, *Cohomological χ -independence for moduli of one-dimensional sheaves and moduli of Higgs bundles*, preprint arXiv: 2012.06627.
- [Mu1] S. Mukai, *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\tilde{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, *Nagoya Math. J.* 81 (1981) 153–175.
- [Mu2] S. Mukai, *Symplectic structure on the module space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*. *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 101–116.
- [Na] D. Nadler, *Perverse sheaves on real loop Grassmannians*, *Invent. math.* 159, 1–73 (2005).
- [Ni] N. Nitsure, Moduli of semistable pairs on a curve. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **62** (1991), 275–300.
- [Po] A. Polishchuk, *Abelian varieties, theta functions and the Fourier transform*.
- [Sa] G. Saccà, *Relative compactified Jacobians of linear systems on Enriques surfaces*, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, Volume 371, Number 11, 1 June 2019, Pages 7791–7843.
- [Sw] J. Sawon, *Lagrangian fibrations by Prym varieties*, *Matemática Contemporânea*, to appear.
- [SS1] J. Sawon and C. Shen, *Deformations of compact Prym fibrations to Hitchin systems*, preprint arXiv 2103.04274.
- [SS2] J. Sawon and C. Shen, *A singular Lagrangian fibration by Prym varieties*, to appear.
- [Sb] D. Schaub. Courbes spectrales et compactifications de Jacobiennes. *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 227, issue 2 (1998) 295–312.
- [Sh] C. Shen, *Lagrangian fibrations by Prym varieties*, PhD thesis, U. North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- [Si1] C.T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.* **79** (1994), 47–129.
- [Si2] C.T. Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety II. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.* **80** (1995), 5–79.

EMILIO FRANCO,
 CENTRO DE ANÁLISE MATEMÁTICA, GEOMETRIA E SISTEMAS DINÂMICOS,
 INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA,
 AV. ROVISCO PAIS S/N, 1049-001 LISBOA, PORTUGAL
Email address: emilio.franco@tecnico.ulisboa.pt