

# On testing mean proportionality of multivariate normal variables

Etaash Katiyar and Qingyuan Zhao

Centre for Mathematical Sciences  
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB  
United Kingdom

e-mail: [ek493@cam.ac.uk](mailto:ek493@cam.ac.uk); [qyzhao@statslab.cam.ac.uk](mailto:qyzhao@statslab.cam.ac.uk)

**Abstract:** This short note considers the problem of testing the null hypothesis that the mean values of two multivariate normal variables are proportional. We show that the usual likelihood ratio  $\chi^2$ -test is valid non-asymptotically. Our proof relies on expressing the test statistic as the minimum eigenvalue of a Wishart variable and using a representation of its distribution using Legendre polynomials.

**MSC2020 subject classifications:** 62H15.

**Keywords and phrases:** Likelihood ratio test, Fieller's theorem, Wishart distribution, Minimum eigenvalue, Legendre polynomials.

## 1. Introduction

Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $p$ -dimensional random vectors such that  $X \sim N(\mu_1, I_p)$

and  $Y \sim N(\mu_2, I_p)$ . This is equivalent to

$$H_0 : \mu_1 \propto \mu_2. \quad \text{This can be written as } \mu_2 = \beta \mu_1. \quad \text{The parameter } \eta$$

is

defined by

$\mu_2 = \eta \mu_1$

and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . In [1]

it is shown

that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent

if and only if

$\mu_1^T \mu_2 = 0$

and  $\mu_1^T \mu_1 = \mu_2^T \mu_2$

and  $\mu_1^T \mu_2 = 0$ .

The test statistic

is given by

$R(\beta)$

$$H_0 : \beta = 1$$

$$R(\beta) = (Y - \beta X)^T(Y - \beta X)/(1 + \beta^2) \sim \chi_p^2. \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) is

valid

if and

only if  $\mu_1^T \mu_2 = 0$

and

$$\beta, \text{ and } \chi_p^2. \text{ Here } \beta$$



Ob

$$\begin{aligned} R(\hat{\beta}) &= \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\beta} R(\beta) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\beta} (Y - \beta X)^T (Y - \beta X) / (1 + \beta^2) \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\beta} c(\beta)^T (X Y)^T (X Y) c(\beta) \\ &= \lambda_2(S), \end{aligned}$$

by  $\lambda_1(S) \geq \lambda_2(S) \geq 0$  and  $\mu_1 \neq 0$ , it follows that  $S$  is  $2 \times 2$ , is

Theorem 3.2.19] in [10], p. 219. It follows that

$$f_c(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{(p-3)/2} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), \quad \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0.$$

Theorem 3.68] in [10], p. 68. It follows that

$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto {}_0F_1 \left( \frac{p}{2}; \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \beta^2) \mu_1^T \mu_1 / 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2} (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{(p-3)/2} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2), \quad (2)$$

by  ${}_0F_1$  in [10], p. 113. The function  $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is  $f_c(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  if  $\mu_1 = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_0F_1 \left( \frac{p}{2}; \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \beta^2) \mu_1^T \mu_1 / 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{((1 + \beta^2) \mu_1^T \mu_1)^j (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{j/2}}{4^j (p/2)_j j!} P_j \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

by  $P_j$  in [10], p. 113. The function  $P_j(x)$  is  $P_0(x) = 0, P_1(x) = x$ , and

$$(j + 1)P_{j+1}(x) = (2j + 1)xP_j(x) - jP_{j-1}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

The Legendre

$$\frac{d}{dx}P_j(x) = \frac{jxP_j(x) - jP_{j-1}(x)}{x^2 - 1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

The Heine

The Heine

for positive integers

for positive integers

4] The Heine

Heine

Heine

Heine

Heine

Heine

Heine

$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \propto \lambda_1$

$\lambda_2(S)$

$\chi_{p-1}^2$   
 $\lambda_2(S)$

### 3. Proof of stochastic dominance

Let

Let

Let

Let

Let

Let  $x \in [0, \infty)$

Let

Let

Let

$F(x)$  and  $G(x)$ . Suppose

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$f(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$F(x)$

$f(x)/g(x)$  is

$\lambda_2(S)$

$\lambda_2(S)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_c(\lambda_2) &\propto \int_{\lambda_2}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)/2} (\lambda_1\lambda_2)^{(p-3)/2} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) d\lambda_1 \\ &\propto e^{-\lambda_2/2} \lambda_2^{(p-3)/2} \left[ \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\right) - \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

Let

Let

Let

Let

Let

$\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ . For

$\chi_{p-1}^2$ , it is

$e^{-\lambda_2/2} \lambda_2^{(p-3)/2}$ , it is

$$g_c(\lambda_2) = \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\right) - \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{2}\right)$$

Let

Let

Let

Let

$\lambda_2$ . This is

$-\Gamma((p-1)/2, \lambda_2/2) < 0$ , it

$\partial/\partial x \Gamma(s, x) = -x^{s-1} e^{-x}$ .

$\lambda_2$

$g_c(\lambda_2)$

$$f(\lambda_2) = \int_{\lambda_2}^{\infty} f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) d\lambda_1$$

By (2), (3), and (4), we have

(5)

Moreover, it is clear that

$$\lambda_2(S) \leq \chi_{q-1}^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2} \left( \frac{f(\lambda_2)}{e^{-\lambda_2/2} \lambda_2^{(p-3)/2}} \right) \leq 0.$$

By (2), (3), and (4), we have

or

$$\int_{\lambda_2}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_1/2} \lambda_1^{(p-3)/2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \frac{((1 + \beta^2) \mu_1^T \mu_1)^j (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{j/2}}{4^j (p/2)_j j!} P_j \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}} \right) d\lambda_1 \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_2} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \lambda_2^{j/2} P_j \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}} \right) \leq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots$$

For

$$j = 0. \text{ Let } x = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/(2\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}), \text{ then}$$

we

$$j \geq 1:$$

$$\{(\lambda_1/\lambda_2)(j/2) - (j/2 + 1)\} P_j(x) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \frac{d}{dx} P_j(x) \frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda_2} \leq 0.$$

By

$$\partial x / \partial \lambda_2 = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) / (4\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2^3}),$$

$$\{\lambda_1(j/2) - \lambda_2(j/2 + 1)\} P_j(x) \leq \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{4\sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}} \frac{d}{dx} P_j(x).$$

By (5) and

$$x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1} = \sqrt{\lambda_2/\lambda_1},$$

$$\frac{P_{j-1}(x)}{P_j(x)} \leq \frac{j+1}{j} (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \quad \text{for } x > 1. \quad (6)$$

Therefore,

we have

$$\text{By (6) and (6), it is clear that}$$

for

$$j = 1. \text{ Now, (6) is}$$

$$j. \text{ By (4) and}$$

By (6), we

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{j+1}(x)}{P_j(x)} &= \frac{2j+1}{j+1}x - \frac{j}{j+1} \frac{P_{j-1}(x)}{P_j(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{2j+1}{j+1}x - (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \\ &= \frac{j}{j+1} (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) + \frac{1}{j+1} \sqrt{x^2 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

By  $(x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})(x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) = 1$ , it follows

$$\frac{P_{j+1}(x)}{P_j(x)} - \frac{j+1}{j+2} \frac{1}{x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}} \geq \frac{(j+1)\sqrt{x^2 - 1} - x}{(j+1)(j+2)}.$$

Thus, if  $x \geq (j+1)/\sqrt{j(j+2)}$ , then

$$x \geq (j+1)/\sqrt{j(j+2)}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\frac{P_j(x)}{P_{j+1}(x)} \leq \frac{j+2}{j+1} (x - \sqrt{x^2 - 1}) \quad (7)$$

if  $x < (j+1)/\sqrt{j(j+2)}$ . It follows

that  $x \geq 1$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\frac{P_{j+1}(x)}{P_j(x)} = \frac{2j+1}{j+1}x - \frac{j}{j+1} \frac{P_{j-1}(x)}{P_j(x)} \geq \frac{2j+1}{j+1}x - \frac{j}{j+1} \geq \frac{2j+1}{j+1}x - \frac{j}{j+1}x = x,$$

and  $x < (j+1)/\sqrt{j(j+2)}$ , so

$$\frac{\left(\frac{j+1}{j+2}\right) (x + \sqrt{x^2 - 1})}{x} = \left(\frac{j+1}{j+2}\right) \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{x^2}}\right) < 1.$$

It follows (7).

#### 4. Numerical illustration

Figure 1 illustrates

the asymptotic

behavior of  $100,000$  in

the  $\beta$  and  $\mu_1$   $\kappa = (1 + \beta^2)\mu_1^T \mu_1$ , where

is given in Table 1.

Figure 1 shows  $\chi^2_{p-1}$  and  $\kappa$  as a function of

$p$  in Table 1. The  $R(\hat{\beta})$  is

shown in Table 1.

Table

$\kappa$

$p$

$$R(\hat{\beta})$$

$$\chi^2_{p-1},$$

$$R(\hat{\beta})$$

$$\kappa, \chi^2_{p-1}$$




FIG 1. Simulated distribution function of the test statistic  $R(\hat{\beta})$ . The different curves correspond to different values of the noncentrality parameter  $\kappa = (1 + \beta^2)\mu_1^T \mu_1$ ; the rightmost dotted curve in each panel is the distribution function of  $\chi_{p-1}^2$ .

TABLE 1  
 Simulated size (type I error) of the  $\chi^2$ -test for different dimension  $p$ , significance level  $\alpha$ , and noncentrality parameter  $\kappa = (1 + \beta^2)\mu_1^T \mu_1$ .

	$\alpha = 1\%$			$\alpha = 5\%$			$\alpha = 10\%$		
	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 5$	$\kappa = 20$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 5$	$\kappa = 20$	$\kappa = 0$	$\kappa = 5$	$\kappa = 20$
$p = 2$	0%	0.2%	0.7%	0.3%	2.2%	4.3%	1.3%	5.8%	9%
$p = 5$	0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%	1.3%	3.8%	0.8%	3.7%	8.1%
$p = 10$	0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.8%	3.2%	0.7%	2.5%	7.2%
$p = 20$	0%	0%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	2.5%	0.5%	1.7%	6%

## References

- [1] EDELMAN, A. (1991). *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **159** 55-80.
- [2] FIELLER, E. C. (1954). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* **16** 175-185.
- [3] JAMES, A. T. (1964). *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **35** 475-501.
- [4] JOHNSTONE, I. M. (2001). *Annals of Statistics* **29** 295-327.
- [5] MUIRHEAD, R. J. (1975). *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **5** 283 - 293.
- [6] MUIRHEAD, R. J. (1982). *Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons.
- [7] PLAGNOL, V., SMYTH, D. J., TODD, J. A. & CLAYTON, D. G. (2008). *Biostatistics* **10** 327-334.
- [8] WALLACE, C. (2013). *Genetic Epidemiology* **37** 802-813.
- [9] WOLFSTETTER, E. (1999). *Topics in Microeconomics: Industrial Organization, Auctions, and Incentives*. CUP.
- [10] ZHAO, Q., WANG, J., HEMANI, G., BOWDEN, J. & SMALL, D. S. (2020). *Annals of Statistics* **48** 1742-1769.