

NONMINIMAL SOLUTIONS TO THE GINZBURG–LANDAU EQUATIONS

ÁKOS NAGY AND GONÇALO OLIVEIRA

ABSTRACT. We use two different methods to prove the existence of novel, nonminimal and irreducible solutions to the Ginzburg–Landau equations on closed manifolds. To our knowledge these are the first such examples on nontrivial line bundles, that is, with nonzero total magnetic flux.

The first method works with the 2-dimensional, critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau theory and uses the topology of the moduli space. This method is nonconstructive, but works for all values of the remaining coupling constant. We also prove the instability of these solutions.

The second method uses bifurcation theory to construct solutions, and is applicable in higher dimensions and for noncritical couplings, but only when the remaining coupling constant is close to the “bifurcation points”, which are characterized by the eigenvalues of a Laplace-type operator.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Ginzburg–Landau theory on closed manifolds	5
3. Nonminimal solutions through the topology of the configuration space	5
4. Instability of nonminimal solutions	13
5. Solutions in higher dimensions	17
Appendix A. The smoothness of $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$	26
Appendix B. \mathcal{E}_τ is Morse–Bott near $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$ when $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$	27
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Ginzburg–Landau theory is one of the oldest gauge theoretic models of spontaneous symmetry breaking through the Higgs mechanism. The theory can be summarized briefly as follows: Let (X, g) be an N -dimensional, closed, oriented, Riemannian manifold whose

Date: February 3, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q56, 53C07, 58E15, 58J55, 70S15.

Key words and phrases. Ginzburg–Landau equations, nonminimal solutions, bifurcation theory.

Riemannian volume form we denote by vol_g , and fix a Hermitian line bundle (\mathcal{L}, h) over X and two positive coupling constants $\tau, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We follow the physicists' convention that h is complex linear in the second entry. For each smooth unitary connection ∇ and smooth section ϕ the *Ginzburg–Landau energy* is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa}(\nabla, \phi) = \int_X \left(|F_\nabla|^2 + |\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} (\tau - |\phi|^2)^2 \right) \text{vol}_g.$$

The $\kappa = \kappa_c := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ case is called the *critically coupled* case, as it separates theories that are qualitatively different both mathematically and physically (Type I/II superconductors).

The (classical) Ginzburg–Landau theory is the variational theory of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1). The corresponding Euler–Lagrange equations, called the *Ginzburg–Landau equations*, are

$$(1.2a) \quad d^*F_\nabla + i \text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi)) = 0,$$

$$(1.2b) \quad \nabla^*\nabla\phi - \kappa^2(\tau - |\phi|^2)\phi = 0.$$

These are nonlinear, second order, elliptic partial differential equations which are invariant by the action of the group of automorphisms of (\mathcal{L}, h) , also known as the gauge group. If a unitary connection ∇^0 satisfies the abelian Yang–Mills equation (also known as the source-free Maxwell's equation)

$$(1.3) \quad d^*F_{\nabla^0} = 0,$$

then the pair $(\nabla^0, 0)$ solves the Ginzburg–Landau equations; such a pair is said to be a *normal phase solution*. Notice that equation (1.3) is independent of τ and κ . As is common in abelian gauge theories, we call a pair (∇, ϕ) *reducible* if ϕ vanishes identically, and *irreducible* otherwise. A solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations is reducible if and only if it is a normal phase solution.

On closed manifolds, the Ginzburg–Landau free energy is Palais–Smale (cf; [12, 16]) and thus has absolute minimizers which are automatically solutions to the Ginzburg–Landau equations. The minimizers, often called vortices, are well-understood, especially on Kähler manifolds and for critical coupling; cf. [2, 8, 10] and more recently [5, 12]. The critically coupled case has special properties that the others lack, for example “self-duality” via a Bogomolny-type trick.

Much less is known about nonminimal solutions. In [16], Pigati and Stern constructed irreducible solutions on (topologically) trivial line bundles over closed Riemannian manifolds. As these solutions have positive energy, they cannot be the absolute minima of Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1).

In this paper, motivated by, and building on, works of [5, 12, 14, 15], we construct new, nonminimal and irreducible solutions to the Ginzburg–Landau equations. To the best of our knowledge, on nontrivial bundles these solutions are the only known nonminimal and irreducible solutions so far, and together with the solutions of Pigati and Stern, these solutions are the only known nonminimal and irreducible solutions on any line bundle. Furthermore, we prove the instability of these solutions, which extends the results of [4].

Summary of main results. Let now Σ be an oriented and closed Riemannian surface and let \mathcal{L} be a Hermitian line bundle over Σ with degree $d := c_1(\mathcal{L})[\Sigma] \in \mathbb{Z}$. Without any loss of generality we can assume that $d \geq 0$. Let $\kappa = \kappa_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and let us define for the rest of the paper

$$\tau_{\text{Bradlow}} := \frac{4\pi d}{\text{Area}(\Sigma, g)}.$$

Our first main theorem shows the existence of such solutions in the situation above.

Main Theorem 1. *Assume that $d \geq \text{genus}(\Sigma)$. Then, for any positive integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is $\tau_k > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$, such that for all $\tau > \tau_k$, the associated, critically coupled, Ginzburg–Landau energy*

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}(\nabla, \phi) = \int_{\Sigma} \left(|F_{\nabla}|^2 + |\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\tau - |\phi|^2)^2 \right) \text{vol}_g.$$

has at least k critical points which are neither vortices nor normal phase solutions.

Our second main theorem completely classifies the local minima of the 2-dimensional critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau energy. This is an extension of the results of [4] to all closed surfaces, metrics, and degrees.

Main Theorem 2. *Under the hypothesis above, let (∇, ϕ) be a stable critical point of the 2-dimensional, critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau energy. Then either:*

- (1) $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$ and $(\nabla, \phi) = (\nabla^0, 0)$ is a normal phase solution.
- (2) $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$ and (∇, ϕ) is a vortex field.

Equivalently, if (∇, ϕ) is an irreducible critical point that is not a vortex field, then (∇, ϕ) is unstable and $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$.

In our last main result we construct solutions on closed manifolds satisfying certain topological/geometric conditions. As opposed to Main Theorem 1, this result is also valid in real dimensions greater than 2. The proof uses a technique inspired by Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction; cf. [9, Chapter 5].

Main Theorem 3. *Let X be a closed, oriented, Riemannian manifold with a Hermitian line bundle \mathcal{L} , and $(\nabla^0, 0)$ be a normal phase solution on \mathcal{L} . Let $\Delta_0 := (\nabla^0)^* \nabla^0$ acting on square integrable sections of \mathcal{L} and $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_0)$. Assume X has trivial first de Rham cohomology.*

Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ and for each $t \in (0, t_0)$ an element $\Phi_t \in \ker(\Delta_0 - \lambda \mathbb{1})$ with unit L^2 -norm such that there is a (possibly discontinuous) branch of triples

$$\left\{ (A_t, \Phi_t, \tau_t) \in \Omega^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \mid t \in (0, t_0) \right\},$$

of the form

$$(A_t, \Phi_t, \tau_t) = \left(\mathcal{A}_t t^2 + O(t^4), t\Phi_t + \Psi_t t^3 + O(t^5), \frac{1}{\kappa^2} + \epsilon_t t^2 + O(t^4) \right),$$

such that the family

$$\left\{ (\mathcal{A}_t, \Psi_t, \epsilon_t) \mid t \in (0, t_0) \right\},$$

is determined by Φ_t and is bounded in $L_1^2 \times (L_1^2 \cap L^N) \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and for each $t \in (0, t_0)$ the pair $(\nabla^0 + A_t, \Phi_t)$ is an irreducible solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) with τ_t .

Remark 1.1. *In Theorem 5.6 we consider a similar case, where we get a weaker result. Namely, we remove the assumption that X has trivial first de Rham cohomology, and replace it with the conditions that $\kappa^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, X is Kähler, ∇^0 is Hermitian Yang–Mills, and \mathcal{L} carries nontrivial holomorphic sections with respect to ∇^0 , and $\lambda = \min(\text{Spec}(\Delta_0))$. This result is a generalization of the main result of [5], which only covered closed surfaces of high genus with line bundles of high degree. Our result extends this to all closed Kähler manifolds and line bundles.*

Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we give a brief introduction to the important geometric analytic aspects of the Ginzburg–Landau theory that are needed to prove our results. In Section 3 we study the topology of the configuration space and prove the existence of irreducible, nonvortex solutions in the 2-dimensional critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau theory (Main Theorem 1). In Section 4 we prove the instability of irreducible, nonvortex solutions in the 2-dimensional critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau theory (Main Theorem 2). In Section 5, we use bifurcation theory to construct novel solutions to the Ginzburg–Landau equations, in any dimensions (Main Theorem 3).

Acknowledgment. *We are extremely thankful for the anonymous Referee’s help and patience.*

We are also grateful for Casey Kelleher for her help during the early stages of the project and for Da Rong Cheng for teaching us the proof of Theorem 4.1.

The first author is thankful for Israel Michael Sigal and Steve Rayan for their insights on the topics of the paper.

The second author was supported by Fundação Serrapilheira 1812-27395, by CNPq grants 428959/2018-0 and 307475/2018-2, and FAPERJ through the program Jovem Cientista do Nosso Estado E-26/202.793/2019.

2. GINZBURG–LANDAU THEORY ON CLOSED MANIFOLDS

Let (X, g) be an oriented, Riemannian manifold of dimension N . Let us fix a connection ∇^0 that satisfies equation (1.3). We define the Sobolev norms of \mathcal{L} -valued forms via the Levi-Civita connection of (X, g) and the connection ∇^0 . Note that the induced topologies are the same for any choice of ∇^0 and since the moduli of normal phase solutions is compact (modulo gauge), and if a Coulomb-type gauge fixing condition is chosen (with respect to a reference connection), then the family of norms are in fact uniformly equivalent, that is, for all k and p , there exists a number $C_{k,p} \geq 1$, such that for any two Sobolev L_k^p -norms, $\|\cdot\|_{L_k^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|'_{L_k^p}$, given by two connections satisfying equation (1.3) and the Coulomb condition, we have

$$\frac{1}{C_{k,p}} \|\cdot\|'_{k,p} \leq \|\cdot\|_{k,p} \leq C_{k,p} \|\cdot\|'_{k,p}.$$

Let Ω^k be the space of smooth k -forms. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$ be space of smooth unitary connections on \mathcal{L} , which is an affine space over the space of imaginary-valued 1-forms, that is, $i\Omega^1$, and thus the tangent bundle, $T\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}}$, is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}} \times i\Omega^1$. Let $\Omega_{d^*}^1 := \ker(d^* : i\Omega^1 \rightarrow i\Omega^0)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*} := \nabla^0 + \Omega_{d^*}^1$. Finally, let $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^k$ be the space of smooth \mathcal{L} -valued k -form. Similarly, we define $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^{p,q}$ when X is a Kähler manifold.

3. NONMINIMAL SOLUTIONS THROUGH THE TOPOLOGY OF THE CONFIGURATION SPACE

In this section we prove Main Theorem 1. As the underlying manifold is 2-dimensional, we write $X = \Sigma$, but otherwise use the notations of Section 2. Without any loss of generality, assume that $d := \deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$.

Let $\kappa = \kappa_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, that is, we are working with the critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.4). In this section we omit κ from the subscript of the Ginzburg–Landau energy.

On the one hand, when $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{Bradlow}} = \frac{4\pi d}{\text{Area}(\Sigma, g)}$, then by [12, Main Theorem 2], the only critical points are the normal phase solutions, thus we also assume that $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$. On the other hand, when $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$, then Bradlow showed in [2] that the moduli space of the absolute minimizers of the critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau energy (called vortices) is diffeomorphic to $\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$, which is a smooth, complex d -dimensional Kähler manifold.

3.1. Analytic setup. Let \mathcal{X} be the L^2_1 -closure of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L},d^*} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. In dimension 2, the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1) extends as an analytic functional onto \mathcal{X} . Critical points, $(\nabla, \phi) \in \mathcal{X}$, of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1) satisfy the *weak Ginzburg–Landau equations*:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall b \in i\Omega^1: \quad & \langle F_{\nabla}|db \rangle + \langle i \operatorname{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi))|b \rangle = 0, \\ \forall \psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0: \quad & \langle \nabla\phi|\nabla\psi \rangle + \langle \kappa^2(|\phi|^2 - \tau)\phi|\psi \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By [16, Proposition A.1] every critical point is gauge equivalent to a smooth one, which in turn is a solution to equations (1.2a) and (1.2b). Thus gauge equivalence classes of critical points of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1) are in one-to-one correspondence with gauge equivalence classes of smooth solutions of the equations (1.2a) and (1.2b).

Let the gauge group, \mathcal{G} , be the L^2_2 -completion of $C^\infty(X; \mathbb{U}(1))$. Elements $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ act on pairs $(\nabla, \phi) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, via

$$\gamma(\nabla, \phi) = (\gamma \circ \nabla \circ \gamma^{-1}, \gamma\phi) = (\nabla + \gamma d\gamma^{-1}, \gamma\phi).$$

Constant gauge transformations form a subgroup of \mathcal{G} . We denote this subgroup, by an abuse of notation, by $\mathbb{U}(1)$. Notice that \mathcal{G} does not act freely on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ because the constant gauge transformations preserve configurations of the form $(\nabla, 0)$. To remedy this situation we fix $x \in X$ and set \mathcal{G}_0 to be the gauge transformations which are the identity at x . This induces a (noncanonical) splitting $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \times \mathbb{U}(1)$ and we define

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{B} := (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0) / \mathcal{G}_0.$$

Since the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1) is gauge invariant, it descends to a functional on \mathcal{B} , which we denote the same way.

Note that $\mathcal{G}_0 \cong H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \times i\Omega^0$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} := \{ (\nabla^0 + A, 0) \mid dA = 0 \} / \mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{B},$$

the moduli space of normal phase solutions. One can easily see that

$$\mathcal{M} \cong H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) / H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

via sending $[(\nabla^0 + A, 0)] \in \mathcal{M}$ to $[A] \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) / H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus \mathcal{M} is a torus of (real) dimension $2 \operatorname{genus}(\Sigma)$.

3.2. The Hessian at a normal phase solution. Let $(\nabla^0, 0)$ be a normal phase solution and define $\Delta_0 := (\nabla^0)^* \nabla^0$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. The Hessian of the Ginzburg–Landau energy at $(\nabla^0, 0)$ is

$$\operatorname{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla^0, 0)}(a, \psi) = 2\langle (a, \psi) | Q(a, \psi) \rangle_{L^2},$$

where

$$Q(a, \psi) := \begin{pmatrix} Q_1(a, \psi) \\ Q_2(a, \psi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d^* da \\ \Delta_0 \psi - \frac{\tau}{2} \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Considered as a densely defined, self-adjoint operator on the L^2 -completion of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{d}^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, the spectrum of the operator Q is bounded from below, contains only countably many eigenvalues (without accumulation points), and each eigenspace is finite dimensional. With that in mind, we define the (Morse-)index of the Hessian to be the dimension of the negative eigenspace of Q , that is

$$\text{The (Morse-)index of } \text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla^0, 0)} = \sum_{\lambda \in (-\infty, 0)} \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\ker(Q - \lambda \mathbb{1})).$$

We now bound this index from below by looking for eigensections of the operator Q with negative eigenvalues. This leads to the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a τ_N such that for all $\tau > \tau_N$ the Hessian of \mathcal{E}_τ at $(\nabla^0, 0)$ has index at least N .*

Proof. We can construct eigensections of Q with a negative eigenvalues of the form $(0, \psi)$ with ψ being an eigensection of $\Delta_0 - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{1}$. Let $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots$ be the eigenvalues of Δ_0 . Then the eigenvalues of $\Delta_0 - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{1}$ are

$$\mu_1 - \frac{\tau}{2} \leq \mu_2 - \frac{\tau}{2} \leq \dots \leq \mu_N - \frac{\tau}{2} \leq \dots$$

Thus setting $\tau_N := 2\mu_N$ concludes the proof. \square

3.3. Perturbing the Ginzburg–Landau energy. Recall that we are working with the critically coupled Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.4), and assuming that $d = \deg(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ and $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}} = \frac{4\pi d}{\text{Area}(\Sigma)}$. Then we have two special submanifolds of \mathcal{B} : the moduli space of normal phase solutions, \mathcal{M} , and the framed moduli space of vortices, which we call \mathcal{V} . Recall from Section 3.1 that \mathcal{M} is always isomorphic to the Jacobian of Σ , which is a torus of dimension $2 \text{genus}(\Sigma)$. For completeness, we prove that $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a smooth and closed submanifold in Appendix A. Furthermore, in Appendix B, we prove that \mathcal{E}_τ is a Morse–Bott function around \mathcal{V} . As all elements of \mathcal{V} are irreducible, the remaining gauge action of $U(1)$ acts freely on \mathcal{V} . Thus \mathcal{V} is a principal $U(1)$ -bundle over the moduli space of vortices, which in turn is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Sym}^d(\Sigma)$; cf. [2].

In this section we are going to perturb the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.4) so that it becomes a Morse function near \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{V} , but all other critical points are unchanged.

Let us make a few definitions first. Pick $\delta > 0$ small enough so that the δ -neighborhoods of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{B} , which we call $U_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $U_{\mathcal{V}}$, respectively, are tubular neighborhoods. By

[12, Main Theorem 4], we can assume that \mathcal{E}_τ has no critical points in $(U_{\mathcal{M}} \cap U_{\mathcal{V}}) - (\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{V})$. Let $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : U_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{V}} : U_{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be the respective projections and let $\chi_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{V}}$ are bump functions supported on $U_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $U_{\mathcal{V}}$, respectively, and which take value 1 on the $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -neighborhoods $\widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{M}} \subset U_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset U_{\mathcal{V}}$ of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{V} , respectively.

Pick perfect Morse functions $f_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathcal{M}$ be the critical points of $f_{\mathcal{M}}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, pick $\nabla_i \in x_i$, let χ_i be a further bump function on \mathcal{M} around x_i . The support of χ_i can be identified with a closed neighborhood of the origin in \mathcal{X} . In that sense let Π_i be the L^2 -orthogonal projection from $\text{supp}(\chi_i)$ onto $\ker(\nabla_i^* \nabla_i - \frac{\tau}{2} \mathbb{1})$. Finally, for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, let

$$\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon := \mathcal{E}_\tau + \epsilon \left(\chi_{\mathcal{M}} \left(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_i \|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \chi_{\mathcal{V}}(f_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}) \right).$$

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 3.2. *After potentially shrinking $\delta > 0$, there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$, such that for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ is a Morse function on $U_{\mathcal{M}} \cup U_{\mathcal{V}}$ and away from $\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{V}$ the critical points of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\tau$ coincide with those of \mathcal{E}_τ .*

Proof. First of all, for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the function $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ is smooth on \mathcal{B} .

Note that being a critical point is a local property. Since

$$\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B} - (U_{\mathcal{M}} \cup U_{\mathcal{V}})) \cup \left((U_{\mathcal{M}} \cup U_{\mathcal{V}}) - (\widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{V}}) \right) \cup (\widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{V}}),$$

and this decomposition is disjoint, it is enough to prove the claim by considering the critical points in the three components separately.

On $\mathcal{B} - (U_{\mathcal{M}} \cup U_{\mathcal{V}})$, for any Morse function we have $\mathcal{E}_\tau = \mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$, so the claim holds.

Let us treat the vicinity of \mathcal{M} , that is, $\widetilde{U}_{\mathcal{M}}$, first. For all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, x_i is a critical point of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$, since on $\chi_i^{-1}(1)$ (which x_i is an element of), we have

$$(D\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)_{x_i} = (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{x_i} + \epsilon \left((D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}))_{x_i} + D\left(\|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2\right)_{x_i} \right) = 0 + 0 + 2\langle \Pi_i(x_i) | \cdot \rangle = 0,$$

since $\Pi_i(x_i) = 0$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}_{x_i}(\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon) &= \text{Hess}_{x_i}(\mathcal{E}_\tau) + \epsilon \text{Hess}_{x_i}(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}) + \epsilon \text{Hess}_{x_i}(\|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= \text{Hess}_{x_i}(\mathcal{E}_\tau) + \epsilon \text{Hess}_{x_i}(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}) + 2\epsilon \langle \Pi_i(\cdot) | \Pi_i(\cdot) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For ϵ small, but nonzero, this is nondegenerate, in fact, $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ satisfies the conditions of [7, Definition 1.9], and thus we can apply [7, Theorem 2.14] to get a neighborhood, B_i , of x_i in \mathcal{B} in which the only critical point of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ is x_i .

Furthermore, for all $x \in \mathcal{M} - \cup_{i=1}^k B_i$ we can choose a small neighborhood of x in \mathcal{B} on which $D\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ is nonzero for the following reason: If this claim was not true, we could pick a sequence of field configurations $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{B} such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x, \quad \& \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (D\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)_{y_n} = 0.$$

For n large enough, we can assume that $\chi_{\mathcal{V}}(y_n) = 0$, thus we omit that term. Similarly, we can assume that $\chi_{\mathcal{M}}(y_n) = 1$, and $(D\chi_{\mathcal{M}})_{y_n} = 0$. Thus, for $n \gg 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{y_n} &= -\epsilon D \left(\chi_{\mathcal{M}} \left(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}} + \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_i \|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \chi_{\mathcal{V}}(f_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}) \right)_{y_n} \\ &= -\epsilon \left((D\chi_{\mathcal{M}})_{y_n} f_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}(y_n)) + \chi_{\mathcal{M}}(y_n) (D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}))_{y_n} \right) \\ &\quad - \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^k \left((D\chi_i)_{y_n} \|\Pi_i(y_n)\|_{L^2}^2 + \chi_i(y_n) D(\|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2)_{y_n} \right) \\ &= -\epsilon \left((D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}))_{y_n} - \sum_{i=1}^k \left((D\chi_i)_{y_n} \|\Pi_i(y_n)\|_{L^2}^2 + \chi_i(y_n) D(\|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2)_{y_n} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit, and using that $\|\Pi_i(x)\|_{L^2} = 0$, we get

$$0 = (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)_x = -\epsilon \left((D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}}))_x + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i(x) D(\|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2)_x \right).$$

Since $x \in \mathcal{M}$ is not a critical point of $f_{\mathcal{M}}$, we can pick $v \in T_x \mathcal{M}$ is such that $D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}})(v) \neq 0$, and thus we get

$$0 = (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)(v) = -\epsilon \left(D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}})(v) + \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i(x) D(\|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2)(v) \right) = -\epsilon D(f_{\mathcal{M}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{M}})(v) \neq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Using these neighborhoods together with the ones we got for x_1, \dots, x_k via [7, Theorem 2.4], we get an open cover of the compact set \mathcal{M} . We can thus pick a finite cover and then be able to shrink δ so that $\tilde{U}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is contained in this neighborhood.

Let us investigate $\tilde{U}_{\mathcal{V}}$ now. For all $x \in \mathcal{V}$, we get

$$(D\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)_x = (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)_x + \epsilon (D(f_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}))_x = \epsilon (Df_{\mathcal{V}})_x.$$

Thus the critical points of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ on \mathcal{V} are the same as those of $f_{\mathcal{V}}$. If $x \in \text{Crit}(f_{\mathcal{V}})$, then

$$\text{Hess}_x(\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon) = \text{Hess}_x(\mathcal{E}_\tau) + \epsilon \text{Hess}_x(f_{\mathcal{V}} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

Using Corollary B.2, we have that the kernel of the first term is exactly the image of the second, we get that $\text{Hess}_x(\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)$ is nondegenerate. Since x is an isolated critical point of f_ν , we get that $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ is a Morse function near x . If $x \in \mathcal{V}$ is away from the critical set of f_ν , then we can find small neighborhoods, as in the case of \mathcal{M} , so that $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ has no critical points in them. Thus again we are able to shrink δ so that $\widetilde{U}_\nu - \text{Crit}(f_\nu)$ contains no critical points of \mathcal{E}_τ .

The only region left to investigate is $(U_\mathcal{M} \cup U_\nu) - (\widetilde{U}_\mathcal{M} \cup \widetilde{U}_\nu)$. Given that $(U_\mathcal{M} \cup U_\nu) - (\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{V})$ contains no critical point of \mathcal{E}_τ and \mathcal{E}_τ is Palais–Smale, we can assume that $|D\mathcal{E}_\tau|$ is uniformly bounded below by a positive number on $(U_\mathcal{M} \cup U_\nu) - (\widetilde{U}_\mathcal{M} \cup \widetilde{U}_\nu)$. Thus if

$$\epsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \left\{ \inf_{U_\mathcal{M} - \widetilde{U}_\mathcal{M}} \frac{|D\mathcal{E}_\tau|}{\left| D\left(\chi_\mathcal{M}(f_\mathcal{M} \circ \pi_\mathcal{M} + \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_i \|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2) \right) \right|}, \inf_{U_\nu - \widetilde{U}_\nu} \frac{|D\mathcal{E}_\tau|}{\left| D(\chi_\nu \pi_\nu^* f_\nu) \right|} \right\} \right\},$$

then for any $x \in U_\mathcal{M} - \widetilde{U}_\mathcal{M}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, we have

$$\left| (D\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)_x \right| \geq \left| (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)_x \right| - \epsilon \left| D\left(\chi_\mathcal{M}\left(f_\mathcal{M} \circ \pi_\mathcal{M} + \sum_{i=1}^k \chi_i \|\Pi_i(\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right) \right|_x \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{U_\mathcal{M} - \widetilde{U}_\mathcal{M}} |D\mathcal{E}_\tau| > 0.$$

Similarly, for any $x \in U_\nu - \widetilde{U}_\nu$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, we have

$$\left| (D\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)_x \right| \geq \left| (D\mathcal{E}_\tau)_x \right| - \epsilon \left| D(\chi_\nu f_\nu \circ \pi_\nu)_x \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf_{U_\nu - \widetilde{U}_\nu} |D\mathcal{E}_\tau| > 0.$$

Thus $(U_\mathcal{M} \cup U_\nu) - (\widetilde{U}_\mathcal{M} \cup \widetilde{U}_\nu)$ contains no critical point of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$. This concludes the proof. \square

3.4. The topology of the configuration space. In order to be able to use Morse Theory using $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$, in this section, we study the (weak) homotopy type of \mathcal{B} .

Recall that \mathcal{B} is defined in equation (3.2) as the quotient of \mathcal{X} by \mathcal{G}_0 , which consists of the gauge transformations that are the identity at an initially chosen base point $x_0 \in \Sigma$. In particular, $H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ as harmonic, $U(1)$ -valued functions (that vanish at x_0). Moreover $\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{G}_0 \times U(1)$. Fix another base point $[\ast] \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ and let $\text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)_\mathcal{L}$ be the space of base point preserving maps that pullback the generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty, \mathbb{Z})$ to $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ equipped with the compact open topology. The following result from [6, Proposition 5.1.4] computes the weak rational homotopy type of \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 3.3. *There is a weak rational homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{B} \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)_\mathcal{L}$.*

Thus we have the following result as well.

Corollary 3.4. *There is a rational weak homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{B} \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} K(H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 1)$.*

Proof. The strategy we follow uses the long exact sequence of homotopy groups induced by the fibration

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) & \rightarrow & \text{Map}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty, \end{array}$$

and a theorem of René Thom to compute $\text{Map}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$. This says that for $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Map}(\Sigma, K(\mathbb{Z}, m)) \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} \prod_{j=0}^m K(H^j(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), m-j).$$

As $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$, when applied to the case at hand we find

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Map}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} K(H^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 2) \times K(H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 1) \times K(H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 0).$$

Then the long exact sequence in rational homotopy groups induced by the fibration (3.3) gives $\pi_k^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)) = \pi_k^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty))$ for $k \neq 1, 2$. On the other hand, for these values of k we find instead that

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}^0) \xrightarrow{i} \pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} \pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \rightarrow \pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}^0) \xrightarrow{j} \pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{Map} := \text{Map}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ and $\text{Map}^0 := \text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$. From this we now prove that ev is an isomorphism. Indeed, if the map $g : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty$ generates $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$, we can consider the map $\tilde{g} : S^2 \rightarrow \text{Map}(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)$ which for $s \in S^2$ yields the constant map

$$\tilde{g}_s : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty,$$

with $\tilde{g}_s(x) = g(s)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$. Then $\text{ev}(\tilde{g}) := \text{ev} \circ \tilde{g} = g$ and so

$$\text{ev} : \pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}) \rightarrow \pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty) \cong \mathbb{Q},$$

is surjective. Given that $\pi_n(X \times Y) \cong \pi_n(X) \times \pi_n(Y)$ for any topological spaces X, Y , we find from equation (3.4) that $\pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Hence,

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}) \cong \mathbb{Q} \cong \pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty),$$

ev is therefore also injective and so

$$\pi_2^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}^0) = 0.$$

Finally, we conclude that $\pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map}^0) \cong \pi_1^{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Map})$ which together with the above gives

$$\text{Map}^0 \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} K(H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 1) \times K(H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 0).$$

Notice that the implied fact that $\pi_0(\text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)) = H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$, constitutes the statement that \mathcal{L} is topologically determined by $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ and so

$$\mathcal{B} \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Map}^0(\Sigma, \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^\infty)_{\mathcal{L}} \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} K(H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 1),$$

as claimed in the statement. □

3.5. New Ginzburg–Landau fields. In this subsection we complete the argument showing the existence of other Ginzburg–Landau fields than those in \mathcal{M} or \mathcal{V} .

Proof of Main Theorem 1: Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the only critical points of \mathcal{E}_τ are those in $\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{V}$. In particular, Theorem 3.2 applies. Then we have that $\mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{V}) < \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{M})$ and there is a perturbation $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ as above with

$$\sup_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon < \inf_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon,$$

and whose critical points coincide with those of the perturbations $f_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{V}}$ on \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{V} , respectively. Furthermore, by construction the function $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ is Morse and we can perturb the metric so that the resulting pair is Morse–Smale (that is, the descending flow lines intersect transversely, cf. [1, Section 2.12], and the function is Palais–Smale). Hence, its Morse–Witten complex must compute the singular cohomology of \mathcal{B} . However, by Lemma 3.1, we know that for any integer N , there is $\tau_N > 0$ such that for all $\tau > \tau_N$ the index of \mathcal{M} is at least N which then implies that the index of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ at any of the critical points in \mathcal{M} is at least N . Indeed, the index does not decrease under sufficiently small perturbations and so we can choose ϵ small enough so that the number of negative eigenvalues of $\text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)$ and $\text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon)$ at the critical points of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ are the same. On the other hand, as \mathcal{E} attains its absolute minimum at \mathcal{V} , the index of any of the critical points of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^\epsilon$ in \mathcal{V} coincides with the index of $f_{\mathcal{M}}$ which is at most $2d + 1 = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{V})$. Given that for any positive $\delta < \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{M}) - \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{V})$ we have a retraction

$$\mathcal{E}_\tau^{-1}(-\infty, \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{V}) + \delta] \cong \mathcal{V}.$$

Thus, the top degree cohomology class of \mathcal{V} induces a nonzero class in the degree $2d + 1$ Morse–Witten cohomology of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^{-1}(-\infty, \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{V}) + \delta]$. Thus, there is a closed $c_{2d+1} \in C_{MW}^*(\mathcal{E}_\tau)$ of the Morse–Witten complex of $\mathcal{E}_\tau^{-1}(-\infty, \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{V}) + \delta]$ which does not vanish in cohomology and so defines a nontrivial class

$$[c_{2d+1}] \in H_{MW}^{2d+1}(\mathcal{E}_\tau, \mathcal{E}_\tau^{-1}(-\infty, \mathcal{E}_\tau(\mathcal{V}) + \delta]).$$

However, by Corollary 3.4, $\mathcal{B} \cong_{\mathbb{Q}} K(H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}), 1)$, which has trivial cohomology in degrees above $2 \text{ genus}(\Sigma)$. Hence, if $d \geq \text{genus}(\Sigma)$, the class $[c_{2d+1}]$ must vanish in the Morse–Witten cohomology of \mathcal{B} , that is

$$[c_{2d+1}] = 0 \in H_{MW}^{2d+1}(\mathcal{E}_\tau, \mathcal{B}).$$

Hence, there must exist $c_{2d+2} \in C^{2d+2}(\mathcal{E}_\tau, \mathcal{B})$ such that $\partial c_{2d+2} = c_{2d+1}$ which is impossible if $N > 2d + 2$. This contradicts the hypothesis that there are no other critical points of \mathcal{E}_τ other than those in $\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{V}$. Iterating this procedure we deduce the existence of at least $k = N - (2d + 2)$ other critical points of \mathcal{B} . \square

Remark 3.5. *An argument, similar to the one above, can be carried out to prove the existence of nonminimal and irreducible Ginzburg–Landau fields on higher dimensional Kähler manifolds (X, ω, g) and for certain line bundles. Indeed the higher dimensional setting, one can introduce a modified Ginzburg–Landau energy (see equation (5.13)) that is Palais–Smale and has the same critical set as the original function (cf. [13, Section 5.1]). Thus what is required for the same proof to hold is simply that the moduli spaces of vortices be smooth finite dimensional manifolds. This is the case, for example, when $\pi_1(X)$ is finite or $\mathcal{L} \otimes K_X^{-1}$ is a positive bundle (and thus X is projective). In both cases it is due to the fact that $h^0(\mathcal{L})$ is constant on the Picard variety; in the first case it is due to the triviality of $H^1(X; \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ and the second case is a corollary of the Kobayashi Vanishing Theorem.*

4. INSTABILITY OF NONMINIMAL SOLUTIONS

In this section we prove Main Theorem 2.

The underlying manifold is again 2-dimensional, thus we write $X = \Sigma$, but otherwise use the notations of Section 2. Let $\kappa = \kappa_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $d = c_1(\mathcal{L})[\Sigma] \in \mathbb{Z}$ which (without any loss of generality) we assume to be nonnegative. Recall that there is a *critical coupling*, $\tau_{\text{Bradlow}} = \frac{4\pi d}{\text{Area}(\Sigma, g)}$, such that when $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$, then the absolute minimizers of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1), called vortex fields, are characterized by the *vortex equations*

$$(4.1a) \quad i * F_{\nabla} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2),$$

$$(4.1b) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi = 0.$$

In [12, Main Theorem 2] the first author showed that when $\tau \leq \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$, then the only critical points of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1) are the normal phase solutions, which in this case are also absolute minimizers.

Absolute minimizers are necessarily *stable*. We now show that when $\tau > 0$, then other critical points (for example, the ones given in Main Theorems 1 and 3) are necessarily *unstable*. Recall that we call a critical point *irreducible*, if ϕ is not (identically) zero.

Proof of Main Theorem 2: The first claim was proved in [12, Main Theorem 2].

Let us assume that $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$. By [2, Proposition 2.1], we have the following ‘‘Bogomolny trick’’ for all (∇, ϕ) :

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa_c}(\nabla, \phi) = \int_{\Sigma} \left(2|\bar{\partial}_{\nabla}\phi|^2 + \left| i * F_{\nabla} - \frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2) \right|^2 \right) \text{vol}_g + 2\pi\tau d.$$

This equality proves that solutions of the vortex equations (4.1a) and (4.1b) are, in fact, absolute minimizers of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1).

Let (∇, ϕ) now be an irreducible critical point that is not a solution to the vortex equations (4.1a) and (4.1b). We now construct energy-decreasing directions for (∇, ϕ) . In order to do that let us investigate the following linear, elliptic PDE for $(a, \psi) \in i\Omega^1 \times \Omega_{\mathbb{C}}^0$:

$$(4.3a) \quad (i * d + d^*)a + h(\psi, \phi) = 0,$$

$$(4.3b) \quad \bar{\partial}_{\nabla}\psi + a^{0,1}\phi = 0.$$

Let the space of solutions of equations (4.3a) and (4.3b) be $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$. We remark that when (∇, ϕ) is a vortex field, then equations (4.3a) and (4.3b) are exactly the linearizations of the vortex equations (4.1a) and (4.1b) with the Coulomb-type gauge fixing condition that (a, ψ) is L^2 -orthogonal to the gauge orbit through (∇, ϕ) .

We show three things to complete the proof:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ has (real) dimension at least $2d + 2$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is nontrivial.
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ has a natural complex vector space structure.
- (3) Each complex line in $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ has a real line that is a (strictly) energy-decreasing direction, meaning that (for t small enough):

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa_c}(\nabla + ta, \phi + t\psi) < \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa_c}(\nabla, \phi).$$

Let us write equations (4.3a) and (4.3b) as a single equation of the form

$$\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}(a, \psi) = 0,$$

where $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is a Dirac-type (that is, first order and elliptic) differential operator. The (real) Fredholm index of $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is exactly $2d$, which can be seen as follows: $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is a compact perturbation of the Fredholm operator $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, 0)} = (i * d + d^*, \bar{\partial}_{\nabla})$. As $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, 0)}$ is a direct sum of two Fredholm operators, its Fredholm-index is the sum of the Fredholm-indices

of

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= i * d + d^* : i\Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \otimes \mathbb{C}, \\ L_2 &:= \bar{\partial}_\nabla : \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^1. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the kernel of L_1 consists of harmonic 1-form, while its cokernel consists of constant, complex functions only, thus

$$\text{index}_{\mathbb{R}}(L_1) = 2 \text{index}_{\mathbb{C}}(L_1) = 2(\text{genus}(\Sigma) - 1).$$

Finally, the kernel of L_2 consists of holomorphic sections of \mathcal{L} , while its cokernel consists of anti-holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L} \otimes K_\Sigma^{-1}$, thus has complex dimension $h^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K_\Sigma)$. Hence the (complex) index of L_2 is $h^0(\mathcal{L}) - h^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K_\Sigma)$, and thus, by the Riemann–Roch Theorem, we get

$$\text{index}_{\mathbb{R}}(L_2) = 2(h^0(\mathcal{L}) - h^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K_\Sigma)) = 2(d + 1 - \text{genus}(\Sigma)).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{index}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}) &= \text{index}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, 0)}) \\ &= \text{index}_{\mathbb{R}}(L_1) + \text{index}_{\mathbb{R}}(L_2) \\ &= (2 \text{genus}(\Sigma) - 2) + 2(d + 1 - \text{genus}(\Sigma)) \\ &= 2d. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is necessarily nontrivial if $d > 0$. Moreover, the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) imply that the pair $(f, \chi) = (i * F_\nabla - \frac{\tau}{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|^2, 2\bar{\partial}_\nabla \phi)$ is in the cokernel of $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$. Furthermore, this pair is not zero, since (∇, ϕ) is not a vortex field, so the cokernel of $\mathbb{L}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is also nontrivial. Hence $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ has (real) dimension at least $2d + 1 > 0$.

Next we define the map I acting on $(a, \psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ as

$$I(a, \psi) := (*a, i\psi).$$

Using that $*^2 a = -a$, $(*a)^{0,1} = ia^{0,1}$, $d^* a = - * d * a$, $*da = *da$, and $h(i\psi, \phi) = -ih(\psi, \phi)$, we see that I preserves $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, and $I^2 = -\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}}$. Thus I is a complex structure on $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is complex and has real dimension at least $2d + 1$, it, in fact, has real dimension at least $2d + 2$. This proves the first two bullet points.

For any $(a, \psi) \in i\Omega^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ let us inspect the difference

$$\delta\mathcal{E}(t) := \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa_c}(\nabla + ta, \phi + t\psi) - \mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa_c}(\nabla, \phi).$$

The $O(t)$ term vanishes, because (∇, ϕ) is a critical point. The $O(t^2)$ term can be computed using equation (4.2) as

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}(t)}{t^2} = \int_{\Sigma} \left(2 \left| \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \psi + a^{0,1} \phi \right|^2 + 4 \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi | a^{0,1} \psi \rangle \right) \right) \operatorname{vol}_g \\ + \int_{\Sigma} \left((i * da + \operatorname{Re}(h(\psi, \phi)))^2 + \left(i * F_{\nabla} - \frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2) \right) |\psi|^2 \right) \operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Let us assume now that $(a, \psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)} - \{(0, 0)\}$. Then we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}(t)}{t^2} = 4 \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} \operatorname{Re} \left(\langle \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi | a^{0,1} \psi \rangle \right) \operatorname{vol}_g}_{\mathcal{I}_1} + \underbrace{\int_{\Sigma} \left(i * F_{\nabla} - \frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2) \right) |\psi|^2 \operatorname{vol}_g}_{\mathcal{I}_2}.$$

The first term, \mathcal{I}_1 , is not invariant under the action of $U(1)$ on $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, instead if $\mu \in U(1)$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\langle \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi | (\mu a)^{0,1} (\mu \psi) \rangle \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\mu^2 \langle \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi | a^{0,1} \psi \rangle \right).$$

Thus if $\int_{\Sigma} \langle \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi | a^{0,1} \psi \rangle \operatorname{vol}_g = r \exp(i\theta)$, with $r \geq 0$, then let $\mu = \exp(i(\pi - \theta)/2)$, and change (a, ψ) to $\mu(a, \psi)$. With this $\mathcal{I}_1 = -r \leq 0$.

As opposed to \mathcal{I}_1 , the second term, \mathcal{I}_2 , is invariant under the action of $U(1)$, and since (∇, ϕ) is irreducible, but not a vortex field, we have by [12, Lemma 4.1] that

$$i * F_{\nabla} - \frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2) < 0,$$

holds everywhere on Σ . Finally, note that since both ϕ and (a, ψ) are both smooth and nonzero, then, using equations (4.3a) and (4.3b), we can show that ψ is also nonzero. Thus \mathcal{I}_2 is strictly negative, which completes the proof of inequality (4.4), and hence of the theorem. \square

We learned the proof of the last theorem of this section from Da Rong Cheng, who in turn claims that the key trick in the proof is rather well-known among experts of minimal submanifolds. In any case, we claim no ownership of the following result, but present it for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 4.1. *Under the hypotheses above, let (∇, ϕ) be an irreducible critical point that is not a vortex field. Then the (real) Morse-index of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1) at (∇, ϕ) is at least $d + 1$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be the L^2 -completion of the real pre-Hilbert space of pairs of imaginary-valued 1-forms and section of \mathcal{L} , and let \mathbb{H} be the densely defined, self-adjoint operator

that is the metric dual of the Hessian in equation (4.5). By elliptic regularity, \mathbb{H} has an orthonormal eigenbasis, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. From equation (4.5) it also follows that the spectrum of \mathbb{H} is bounded from below. Let us label the eigenvectors, so that the corresponding eigenvalues satisfy that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \dots$, and let

$$E := \text{span}(x_1, I(x_1), x_2, I(x_2), \dots, x_d, I(x_d)) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2d+2}.$$

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{d+1} &= \inf \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\langle x | \mathbb{H}(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2} \mid x \in (\text{span}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d))^\perp - \{0\} \right\rangle \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\langle x | \mathbb{H}(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}{\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2} \mid x \in E^\perp - \{0\} \right\rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ has real dimension at least $2d + 2$. Thus $E^\perp \cap \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ cannot be trivial. Let $x \in E^\perp \cap \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ have unit norm. Since both E and $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ are invariant under the action of I , which is a unitary transformation, we have that $I(x)$ is also in $E^\perp \cap \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$. Thus, as in the proof of Main Theorem 2, there is unit length complex number $a + bi$, thus that, if we replace x with $(a + bI)x$, then $\langle x | \mathbb{H}(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} < 0$, and hence $\lambda_{d+1} < 0$. Thus \mathbb{H} has at least $d + 1$ negative eigenvalues. This concludes the proof. \square

5. SOLUTIONS IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

In this section we allow the underlying compact manifold to be higher dimensional and prove Main Theorem 3, using bifurcation theory.

Let (X, g) be closed, oriented, Riemannian manifold of dimension N and let (\mathcal{L}, h) be a Hermitian line bundle over X with a unitary connection ∇^0 such that F_{∇^0} is harmonic. In other words, $(\nabla^0, 0)$ is a normal phase solution, as in equation (1.3).

We start this section with a short, technical lemma. We remark that this result has been proven for the 2-dimensional case in [5, Corollary 5.2].

Lemma 5.1. *A smooth pair (∇, ϕ) is a solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) exactly when it is a solution to*

$$(5.1a) \quad d^* F_\nabla + i \Pi_{d^*}(\text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla \phi))) = 0,$$

$$(5.1b) \quad \nabla^* \nabla \phi - \kappa^2 (\tau - |\phi|^2) \phi = 0,$$

where Π_{d^*} is the L^2 -orthogonal projection onto the $\ker(d^* : i\Omega^1 \rightarrow i\Omega^0)$.

Proof. Since equations (1.2b) and (5.1b) are the same, we only need to prove the equivalence of equations (1.2a) and (5.1a), while equation (5.1b) also holds. Since $\Pi_{d^*} \circ d^* = d^*$, equation (1.2a) implies equation (5.1a).

Now let us assume that equations (5.1a) and (5.1b) hold and prove equation (1.2a). For this, let us choose local normal coordinates at a point and compute

$$\begin{aligned}
d^*(\text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi))) &= -\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla_i\phi)) \\
&= -\text{Im}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i h(\phi, \nabla_i\phi)\right) \\
&= -\text{Im}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (h(\nabla_i\phi, \nabla_i\phi) + h(\phi, \nabla_i\nabla_i\phi))\right) \\
&= -\text{Im}(|\nabla\phi|^2) + \text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla^*\nabla\phi)) \\
&= 0 + \text{Im}(h(\phi, \kappa^2(\tau - |\phi|^2)\phi)) \\
&= \kappa^2 \text{Im}(\tau|\phi|^2 - |\phi|^4) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi)) \in \Omega_{d^*}^1$ and hence

$$d^*F_\nabla + i \text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi)) = d^*F_\nabla + i \Pi_{d^*}(\text{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi))) = 0,$$

which concludes the proof. \square

5.1. Proof of Main Theorem 3. Let G_λ be the Green's operator of $\Delta_0 - \lambda\mathbb{1}$ on the L^2 -completion of $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, defined to be zero on the kernel. Now we are ready to prove Main Theorem 3, which we restate here in a more precise form.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that X has trivial first de Rham cohomology and $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(\Delta_0)$. Then there exists $t_0 > 0$ and for each $t \in (0, t_0)$ an element $\Phi_t \in \ker(\Delta_0 - \lambda\mathbb{1})$ with unit L^2 -norm such that there is a (possibly discontinuous in t) branch of triples of the form*

$$(5.2) \quad (\mathcal{A}_t, \phi_t, \tau_t) = \left(\mathcal{A}_t t^2 + O(t^4), t\Phi_t + \Psi_t t^3 + O(t^5), \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2} + \epsilon_t t^2 + O(t^4) \right) \in \Omega_{d^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

such that for each $t \in (0, t_0)$ the pair $(\nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}_t, \phi_t)$ is an irreducible solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) with τ_t , and that the family

$$\{ (\mathcal{A}_t, \Psi_t) \mid t \in (0, t_0) \},$$

is bounded in $L_1^2 \times (L_1^2 \cap L^N)$, and determined by Φ_t via

$$(5.3a) \quad \mathcal{A}_t = i(d^*d)^{-1}(\operatorname{Im}(h(\nabla^0\Phi_t, \Phi_t))) \in \Omega_{d^*}^1,$$

$$(5.3b) \quad \epsilon_t = \|\Phi_t\|_{L^4}^4 - \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\|d\mathcal{A}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(5.3c) \quad \Psi_t = -G_\lambda(\kappa^2|\Phi_t|^2\Phi_t + 2\mathcal{A}_t^*(\nabla^0\Phi_t)) \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{X} be the completion of $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ with respect to the norm

$$\forall \Psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0: \quad \|\Psi\| := \|\nabla^0\Psi\|_{L^2} + \|\Psi\|_{L^N}.$$

Note that \mathcal{X} continuously embeds into the L_1^2 completion of $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$.

By Lemma 5.1, we can replace the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) with the “projected” equations (5.1a) and (5.1b). Furthermore, we use Coulomb gauge, that is $\nabla \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*}$.

Next, we show that the ∇ can be eliminated in the Ginzburg–Landau equations (5.1a) and (5.1b) as follows: Let $\phi \in \mathcal{X}$ and write $A := \nabla - \nabla^0 \in i\Omega_{d^*}^1$. By the first Ginzburg–Landau equation (5.1a), A is a solution to

$$(5.4) \quad d^*dA + \Pi_{d^*}(|\phi|^2A) = i\Pi_{d^*}(\operatorname{Im}(h(\nabla^0\phi, \phi))) =: j(\nabla^0, \phi).$$

Next we study the operator on the right-hand side of equation (5.4) via Gelfand triples as in [3, Theorem 6.3.8]: Let us define H to be the L^2 -completion of $\Omega_{d^*}^1$, V be the L_1^2 -completion of $\Omega_{d^*}^1$, and

$$B: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; \quad (a, b) \mapsto \langle da|db \rangle_{L^2} + \langle \phi a|\phi b \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Following the notation of [3, Theorem 6.3.8], let $c = 0$, $C = O(\tau^2)$, and $\delta > 0$ be such that for all $a \in V$

$$\delta\|a\|_V^2 \leq B(a, a),$$

which exists by [17, Theorem 5.1 part (ii)] and the assumption that the first Betti number vanishes. Then we get that the conditions of [3, Theorem 6.3.8] are met, and thus there is a unique, densely defined, and self-adjoint operator, \mathbb{H}_ϕ , on the L^2 -completion of the spaces of 1-forms, such that

$$\forall a \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathbb{H}_\phi): \quad B(a, a) = \langle a|\mathbb{H}_\phi(a) \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Furthermore, if a is smooth, then $\mathbb{H}_\phi(a) = d^*da + \Pi_{d^*}(|\phi|^2a)$ holds pointwise. Since \mathbb{H}_ϕ is densely defined, self-adjoint, and nondegenerate, we have that \mathbb{H}_ϕ has a continuous inverse that can be (uniquely) extended to the L^2 -completion of the spaces of 1-forms, in

particular, to all of $\Omega_{d^*}^1$. Thus equation (5.4) is equivalent to

$$(5.5) \quad A = \mathbb{H}_\phi^{-1}(j(\nabla^0, \phi)) =: \mathcal{A}(\phi).$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}(\phi)$ depends continuously on ϕ in the $\mathcal{X} - L_1^2$ topology. Using [17, Theorems 5.1 & 5.2] with $(k, p) = (1, 2)$, we can also get

$$(5.6) \quad \|A\|_{L_1^2} = O(\|\nabla^0 \phi\|_{L^2}).$$

Substituting equation (5.5) into equation (1.2b) we get

$$(5.7) \quad (\nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}(\phi))^* (\nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}(\phi)) \phi - \kappa^2 (\tau - |\phi|^2) \phi = 0.$$

Note that equation (5.7) is an equation solely on $\phi \in \mathcal{X}$, albeit a highly nonlinear and even nonlocal one, as \mathbb{H}_ϕ^{-1} is an integral (Green's) operator. Nonetheless, the above argument shows that a pair $(\nabla, \phi) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*} \times \mathcal{X}$ solves the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) exactly when $\nabla = \nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}(\phi)$ and ϕ solves equation (5.7).

Let us define a function $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$ via

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi, \tau)(\psi) = \left\langle (\nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}(\phi)) \phi \left| (\nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}(\phi)) \psi \right\rangle_{L^2} - \kappa^2 \left\langle (\tau - |\phi|^2) \phi \left| \psi \right\rangle_{L^2} \right.$$

Now if $\mathcal{F}(\phi, \tau) = 0$, then ϕ is a weak solution to equation (5.7), and thus $(\nabla^0 + \mathcal{A}(\phi), \phi)$ is a solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b), with coupling τ . Note that we promoted τ to be a variable parameter of the equation, while we kept κ as a constant.

Let $\tau_0 := \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2}$, and $\Pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0 := \ker(\Delta_0 - \lambda \mathbb{1})$ be the L^2 -orthogonal projection. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{X}$, $\Phi := \Pi \phi \in \mathcal{K}_0$, $\Psi := \phi - \Phi \in \mathcal{K}_0^\perp$ (the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{K}_0 in the L^2 -completion), and

$$(5.8a) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^\perp(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon) &:= \mathcal{F}(\Phi + \Psi, \tau_0 + \epsilon) \circ (\mathbb{1} - \Pi), \\ \mathcal{F}^\parallel(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon) &:= \mathcal{F}(\Phi + \Psi, \tau_0 + \epsilon) \circ \Pi, \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{F} = 0$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{F}^\perp, \mathcal{F}^\parallel) = (0, 0)$.

Recall that G_λ is the Green's operator of $\Delta_0 - \lambda \mathbb{1}$ on the L^2 -completion of $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, defined to be zero on \mathcal{K}_0 . Let $A := \mathcal{A}(\Phi + \Psi)$ from equation (5.5). Using the definitions of Φ , Ψ , and G_λ , and the fact that $[\Delta_0, \Pi] = 0$, we can rewrite $\mathcal{F}^\perp(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon) = 0$ as a fixed-point equation:

$$(5.9) \quad \Psi = G_\lambda(\epsilon \Psi - 2A^*(\nabla^0(\Phi + \Psi)) - |A|^2(\Phi + \Psi) - \kappa^2 |\Phi + \Psi|^2(\Phi + \Psi)).$$

The right-hand side of equation (5.9) can be viewed as a map from \mathcal{K}_0^\perp to itself. Moreover, there are positive numbers K and ϵ_0 , such that if for $\|\Phi\|_{L^2} \leq K$ and $|\epsilon| < \epsilon_0$, then this map preserves a neighborhood of the origin and the (closure of the) image of this neighborhood is compact, as G_λ is a compact operator. Thus, by the Schauder fixed-point theorem,

equation (5.9) has a unique solution within that neighborhood. By elliptic regularity, Ψ is smooth, thus in \mathcal{X} . From now on Ψ denotes this solution, which depends continuously on Φ and ϵ in the $(\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}) - \mathcal{X}$ topology. Similarly, A can now be thought of as a function of Φ only. Moreover, inequality (5.6) and equation (5.9) imply that

$$(5.10) \quad \|\Psi\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\Phi\|_{L^2}^3,$$

where C is independent of $\epsilon \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$.

Next we solve for ϵ using equation (5.8a) and that $\mathcal{F}^\perp(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon)(\Psi) = 0$. Assume that $\Phi \neq 0$ and compute

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^\parallel(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon)(\Phi) &= \mathcal{F}(\Phi + \Psi, \tau_0 + \epsilon)(\Phi) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\Phi + \Psi, \tau_0 + \epsilon)(\Phi + \Psi) - \mathcal{F}(\Phi + \Psi, \tau_0 + \epsilon)(\Psi) \\ &= \left\| (\nabla^0 + A)(\Phi + \Psi) \right\|_{L^2}^2 - (\lambda + \kappa^2 \epsilon) \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{L^4}^4 - \mathcal{F}^\perp(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon)(\Psi) \\ &= \left\| (\nabla^0 + A)(\Phi + \Psi) \right\|_{L^2}^2 - (\lambda + \kappa^2 \epsilon) \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{L^4}^4. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{F}^\parallel(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon)(\Phi)$ is real. From inequalities (5.6) and (5.10) we see that for $\|\Phi\|_{L^2}$ small enough

$$\mathcal{F}^\parallel(\Phi, \Psi, -\frac{\epsilon_0}{2})(\Phi) > 0 > \mathcal{F}^\parallel(\Phi, \Psi, \frac{\epsilon_0}{2})(\Phi).$$

Thus there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(\Phi) \in (-\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, -\frac{\epsilon_0}{2})$, such that $\mathcal{F}^\parallel(\Phi, \Psi, \epsilon(\Phi))(\Phi) = 0$, or, equivalently

$$\epsilon(\Phi) = \frac{\left\| (\nabla^0 + A)(\Phi + \Psi) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa^2 \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{L^4}^4}{\kappa^2 \|\Phi + \Psi\|_{L^2}^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2}.$$

Note that $|\epsilon| = O(\|\Phi\|_{L^2}^2)$. Let \mathcal{S} be the unit sphere in \mathcal{K}_0 and for each $t > 0$, small enough let

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_t : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_0^*; \Phi \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\parallel(t\Phi),$$

can be regarded as 1-form on \mathcal{S} . More explicitly, for all $\dot{\Phi} \in T_\Phi \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Upsilon}_t(\Phi)(\dot{\Phi}) &= \left\langle 2A(t\Phi)^*(\nabla^0(t\Phi + \Psi(t\Phi))) \mid \dot{\Phi} \right\rangle_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left\langle (|A(t\Phi)|^2 + \kappa^2 |t\Phi + \Psi(t\Phi)|^2)(t\Phi + \Psi(t\Phi)) - \kappa^2 \epsilon(t\Phi) t\Phi \mid \dot{\Phi} \right\rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We note the following three facts:

- (1) For any $\mu \in U(1)$, if we replace Φ by $\mu\Phi$ in equation (5.9), then Ψ also changes to $\mu\Psi$, and thus $\tilde{\Upsilon}_t(\mu\Phi) = \mu\tilde{\Upsilon}_t(\Phi)$.

(2) By equation (5.11), we have that $i\Phi \in (\tilde{\Upsilon}_t(\Phi))$.

(3) If $\tilde{\Upsilon}_t(\Phi) = 0$, then $(\nabla^0 + A(t\Phi), t\Phi + \Psi(t\Phi))$ is a solution of the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b).

The first point implies that $\tilde{\Upsilon}_t$ descends to a (continuous) 1-form, Υ_t , on $\mathcal{S}/U(1) \cong \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}_0) \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{D-1}$, where $D := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{K}_0) \geq 1$. The second point implies that $\tilde{\Upsilon}_t$ vanishes exactly when Υ_t vanishes. Finally, together with the first two, the third point implies that each zero of Υ_t yields a solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b). Since the Euler characteristic of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{D-1}$ is D , Υ_t must have at least one zero. Thus this proves that for all $t > 0$, small enough, there exists $\Phi_t \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mathcal{F}^{\parallel}(t\Phi_t) = 0$. Let \mathcal{A}_t , ϵ_t , and Ψ_t be as in equations (5.3a) to (5.3c), and let

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &:= A(t\Phi_t), \\ \tau_t &:= \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2} + \epsilon(t\Phi_t), \\ \phi_t &:= t\Phi_t + \Psi(t\Phi_t). \end{aligned}$$

Then for each $t \in (0, t_0)$ the pair $(\nabla^0 + A_t, \phi_t)$ is an irreducible solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) with τ_t , and straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_t &= t^2 \mathcal{A}_t + O(t^4), \\ \tau_t &= \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2} + t^2 \epsilon_t + O(t^4), \\ \phi_t &= t\Phi_t + t^3 \Psi_t + O(t^5), \end{aligned}$$

and by [16, Proposition A.1], we can assume that these fields are all smooth, which concludes the proof of equation (5.2), and the rest of the theorem. \square

Remark 5.3. *When X has nontrivial first de Rham cohomology, then one runs into the following problem: If $\Pi_{H_{\text{dR}}^1}$ is the L^2 -orthogonal projection from $\Omega_{\text{d}^*}^1$ onto $H_{\text{dR}}^1(X, g)$, then the harmonic part of A , which we denote by A_H , needs to satisfy the following equation in the small Φ limit:*

$$\Pi_{H_{\text{dR}}^1}(|\Phi|^2 A_H) = \Pi_{H_{\text{dR}}^1}(i\text{Im}(h(\nabla^0 \Phi, \Phi))) + 2\Pi_{H_{\text{dR}}^1}(i\text{Im}(h(\nabla^0 \Phi, \Psi))) + \dots$$

Since the leading terms on both sides scale quadratically, it is not obvious if A_H can be chosen to be small.

In Section 5.2 we study a case where this issue can be circumvented, albeit at the cost of only having a bifurcating (countable) sequence, as opposed to a (continuum) branch.

5.2. Bifurcation of absolute minimizers on Kähler manifolds. In this section, let us assume that $\kappa^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, X is Kähler of real dimension N , ∇^0 is a Hermitian Yang–Mills connection on \mathcal{L} , and \mathcal{L} carries nontrivial holomorphic sections with respect to holomorphic structure induced by ∇^0 . As before, let $\Delta_0 = (\nabla^0)^* \nabla^0$. First let us recall a standard fact about Hermitian Yang–Mills connection (also known as Maxwell fields) on line bundles over Kähler manifolds that can be proven by making use of the Weitzenböck’s identity. For the rest of the paper, for a holomorphic bundle \mathcal{L} , let $\mathcal{H}^0(X; \mathcal{L})$ be the space of holomorphic sections.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (X, g, ω) be a closed Kähler manifold of complex dimension N and volume $\text{Vol}(X, g)$. Let \mathcal{L} be a Hermitian line bundle over X with first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that ∇^0 is a Hermitian Yang–Mills connection on \mathcal{L} , that is, if Λ is the contraction with the Kähler form, then for some $f_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$i\Lambda F_{\nabla^0} = f_0, \quad \& \quad F_{\nabla^0}^{0,2} = 0.$$

In this case f_0 is given by:

$$f_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\text{Vol}(X, g)} \left(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cup [\omega]^{n-1} \right) [X].$$

Let λ be the smallest eigenvalue of Δ_0 . When \mathcal{L} carries nontrivial holomorphic sections with respect to holomorphic structure induced by ∇^0 , then

$$(5.12) \quad \lambda = 2f_0 \geq 0,$$

and the corresponding eigenvectors are the holomorphic sections on \mathcal{L} .

In particular, when $\lambda = 0$, then the lowest eigenspace is one dimensional (over \mathbb{C}) and is spanned by covariantly constant sections.

Next, we introduce a few important analytic tools and results. Following [13, Section 5.1], let $p > N$ be any and let \mathcal{X} be the completion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ with respect to the distance induced by the Finsler structure

$$\forall (a, \psi) \in i\Omega^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \cong T_{(\nabla, \phi)} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 : \quad \|(a, \psi)\|_{\mathcal{X}} := \|a\|_{L^2} + \|da\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2} + \|\psi\|_{L^p}.$$

Note that \mathcal{X} continuously embeds into the L^2_1 completion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. Furthermore, for each $(\nabla, \phi) \in \mathcal{X}$, let the modified Ginzburg–Landau energy be

$$(5.13) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau, \kappa}(\nabla, \phi) = \int_X \left(|F_{\nabla}|^2 + |\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} W_{\tau}(|\phi|) \right) \text{vol}_g,$$

where

$$W_\tau(x) := \begin{cases} (x^2 - \tau)^2, & x^2 \leq \tau, \\ (x^2 - \tau)^p, & x^2 > \tau. \end{cases}$$

Then the techniques of [16, Section 7] can be used (almost verbatim, with trivial modifications) to prove the following (see also [13, Section 5.1]):

Theorem 5.5. *The function $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau,\kappa} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is C^1 .*

If $(\nabla_n, \Phi_n, \tau_n, \kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+^2$ such that

- (1) $(\tau_n, \kappa_n) \rightarrow (\tau, \kappa) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) $\sup\left(\left\{ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau_n, \kappa_n}(\nabla_n, \Phi_n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}\right) < \infty$.
- (3) $(D\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau_n, \kappa_n})_{(\nabla_n, \Phi_n)} \rightarrow 0 \in T^*\mathcal{X}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then (after picking a subsequence and applying an appropriate sequence of (smooth) gauge transformations) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\nabla_n, \Phi_n) &= (\nabla, \Phi) \in \mathcal{X}, \\ (D\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau, \kappa})_{(\nabla, \Phi)} &= 0 \in T^*_{(\nabla, \Phi)}, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau, \kappa}(\nabla_n, \Phi_n) &= \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau, \kappa}(\nabla, \Phi). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the critical points of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\tau, \kappa}$ are the same.

Let $H_{\text{dR}}^1(X, g)$ be the space of harmonic 1-forms on (X, g) which is isomorphic to $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ and thus is finite dimensional and let $\tau_0 := \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2}$. We are ready to prove our last result.

Theorem 5.6. *Let λ be given by equation (5.12) and $\kappa^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then irreducible solutions to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) exists exactly when $\tau > \tau_0$.*

Furthermore, there exists a Hermitian Yang–Mills connection, ∇^0 , on \mathcal{L} , such that $\mathcal{H}^0(X; \mathcal{L})$ is nontrivial, and a sequence of pairs

$$\left((\Phi_n, t_n) \in \mathcal{H}^0(X; \mathcal{L}) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|\Phi_n\|_{L^2} = 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$, and there is sequence of triples

$$\left((A_n, \Phi_n, \tau_n) \in \Omega_{\text{d}^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

of the form

$$(A_n, \Phi_n, \tau_n) = \left(\mathcal{A}_n t_n^2 + O(t_n^4), t_n \Phi_n + \Psi_n t_n^3 + O(t_n^5), \frac{\lambda}{\kappa^2} + \epsilon_n t_n^2 + O(t_n^4) \right),$$

such that the family

$$\left\{ (\mathcal{A}_n, \Psi_n, \epsilon_n) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},$$

is bounded in $L_1^2 \times (L_1^2 \cap L^N) \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the pair $(\nabla^0 + A_n, \phi_n)$ is an irreducible solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) with $\tau = \tau_n > \tau_0$.

Proof. First we show that if $\tau \leq \tau_0$, then all critical points of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa}$ are normal phase solutions. We use proof by contradiction: let $\tau \leq \tau_0$, (∇, ϕ) be a critical point of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa}$ such that $\phi \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} w &:= \frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2), \\ f &:= i\Lambda F_{\nabla}. \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (1.2b), we get

$$(\Delta + 2\kappa^2|\phi|^2)w = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\phi|^2 + 2\kappa^2|\phi|^2w = -\operatorname{Re}(h(\phi, \nabla^*\nabla\phi)) + |\nabla\phi|^2 + 2\kappa^2|\phi|^2w = |\nabla\phi|^2.$$

Maximum principle then yields $w > 0$, or, equivalently $|\phi|^2 < \tau$ everywhere on X . Using equation (1.2a), the Bianchi identity, $dF_{\nabla} = 0$, and the Kähler identities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= d^*di\Lambda F_{\nabla} \\ &= d^*[d, i\Lambda]F_{\nabla} \\ &= (\bar{\partial}^* + \partial^*)(\bar{\partial} - \partial^*)F_{\nabla} \\ &= (\partial^* - \bar{\partial}^*)(\bar{\partial} + \partial^*)F_{\nabla} \\ &= (\partial^* - \bar{\partial}^*)(i \operatorname{Im}(h(\phi, \nabla\phi))) \\ &= 2\operatorname{Re}(\partial^*(i \operatorname{Im}(h(\nabla\phi, \phi)))^{1,0}) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(\partial^*h(\nabla^{0,1}\phi, \phi) - \partial^*h(\phi, \nabla^{1,0}\phi)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(-h(i\Lambda(\nabla^{1,0}\nabla^{0,1}\phi), \phi) - |\nabla^{0,1}\phi|^2 - h(\phi, i\Lambda(\nabla^{0,1}\nabla^{1,0}\phi)) + |\nabla^{1,0}\phi|^2) \\ &= -|\phi|^2f + |\nabla^{1,0}\phi|^2 - |\nabla^{0,1}\phi|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved the equation

$$(\Delta + |\phi|^2)f = |\nabla^{1,0}\phi|^2 - |\nabla^{0,1}\phi|^2.$$

Now we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta + |\phi|^2)\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2\tau - |\phi|^2 \pm f\right) &= \kappa^2|\phi|^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\tau - w\right)(1 \pm 1)|\nabla^{1,0}\phi|^2 + (1 \mp 1)|\nabla^{0,1}\phi|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2|\phi|^4 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus, using the maximum principle again, we get that

$$|i\Lambda F_{\nabla}| = |f| < \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2\tau - |\phi|^2 < \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2\tau.$$

Using the homological invariance of the degree, we get that if there is an irreducible solution to the Ginzburg–Landau equations (1.2a) and (1.2b) on (\mathcal{L}, h) , then

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X, g)} \int_X (2i\Lambda F_\nabla) \text{vol}_g < \frac{2}{\text{Vol}(X, g)} \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \tau \text{Vol}(X, g) = \kappa^2 \tau \leq \kappa^2 \tau_0 = \lambda,$$

hence $\lambda < \lambda$, which is a contradiction. Thus we proved that if $\tau \leq \tau_0$, then all critical points of $\mathcal{E}_{\tau, \kappa}$ are normal phase solutions.

Now, using [16, Section 7.2], we get that for all $\tau > 0$, there are absolute minimizer for $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\tau := \tau_0 + \epsilon$. Let $(\nabla_\epsilon, \phi_\epsilon)$ be an absolute minimizer for $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau}$. There exists $C > 0$, such that for all $\Phi \in \ker(\Delta_0 - \lambda \mathbb{1})$ with unit L^2 -norm, we have $\|\Phi\|_{L^4} \leq C$. Thus for all such Φ and $s > 0$ small enough we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau}(\nabla^0, s\Phi) - \mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau}(\nabla^0, 0) &= s^2 \|\nabla^0 \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 - (\kappa^2 \tau_0 + \kappa^2 \epsilon) \|\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + s^4 \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \|\Phi\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\leq s^2 (\lambda - \kappa^2 \tau_0 - \kappa^2 \epsilon) + \frac{C^4 \kappa^2}{2} s^4 \\ &\leq s^2 \kappa^2 \left(\frac{C^4}{2} s^2 - \epsilon \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $s \in \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{C}\right)$, then $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau}(\nabla^0, s\Phi) < \mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau}(\nabla^0, 0)$. Note that the energy of all normal phase solutions are the same. Thus the absolute minimum is not achieved at a normal phase solution, and hence $\phi_\epsilon \neq 0$. For each \mathbb{N}_+ , let $\epsilon_n := n^{-1}$ and (∇_n, ϕ_n) be the corresponding minimizer. Using Theorem 5.5, we get that (after picking a subsequence and changing gauge) (∇_n, ϕ_n) converges to a critical point of $\mathcal{E}_{\kappa, \tau_0}$ and this that critical point has the form $(\nabla^0, 0)$. Let us write $\phi_n := t_n \Phi_n + \Psi_n$, where $\Phi_n \in \ker\left(\left(\nabla^0\right)^* \nabla^0 - \lambda \mathbb{1}\right)$ has unit L^2 -norm and $\Psi_n \perp_{L^2} \ker\left(\left(\nabla^0\right)^* \nabla^0 - \lambda \mathbb{1}\right)$. \square

APPENDIX A. THE SMOOTHNESS OF $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$

In this appendix, we use the notation and assumptions of Sections 3 and 3.1. In particular $X = \Sigma$ is 2-dimensional, \mathcal{X} is the L^2_1 -completion of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, d^*} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$. Thus $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{X}/H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$, and the action of $H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ is free on irreducible configurations. Then we define the space

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} := \{ (\nabla, \phi) \in \mathcal{X} \mid (\nabla, \phi) \text{ solves the } \tau\text{-vortex equations (4.1a) and (4.1b)} \},$$

which has the property that $\mathcal{V} = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}/H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$. Assuming that τ is above the Bradlow limit, every element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is irreducible, hence if $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is a smooth manifold, then so is \mathcal{V} .

First, we prove that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is a smooth submanifold of \mathcal{X} .

We can view \mathcal{X} as an affine, real Hilbert manifold, and thus it is enough to show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is a zero locus of a Fredholm map for which zero is a regular value. Let us define a

smooth map via

$$\nu : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}) \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^{0,1}; (\nabla, \phi) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau - |\phi|^2) - i * F_{\nabla}, \sqrt{2} \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \phi \right).$$

Clearly, $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is exactly the zero locus of ν . Let us identify $T\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ with $\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, using the identifications of $i\Omega^1$ with $\Omega^{0,1}$ via $a \mapsto \alpha := \sqrt{2}a^{0,1}$, which is a unitary isomorphism. Then the derivative of ν has the form

$$(A.1) \quad (D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}(\alpha, \psi) = \left(\operatorname{Re} \left(\sqrt{2} \bar{\partial}^* \alpha - h(\phi, \psi) \right), \sqrt{2} \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \psi + \alpha \phi \right).$$

The Reader can find details of the computation of equation (A.1) in [11, Lemma 1.2 and 1.3]. Note that $(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is Fredholm of index

$$\operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{R}} \left((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)} \right) = \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{Re} \circ \bar{\partial}^* \right) + \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \right) = \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{Re} \circ \bar{\partial}^* \right) + 2 \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \right)$$

For any $\alpha \in \Omega^{0,1}$, $\operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\partial}^* \alpha \right) = 0$ exactly if α is anti-holomorphic, thus the kernel of $\operatorname{Re} \circ \bar{\partial}^*$ has real dimension $2 \operatorname{genus}(\Sigma)$. Its adjoint is $\bar{\partial}$ on $L^2(\Sigma; \mathbb{R})$, thus the cokernel of $\operatorname{Re} \circ \bar{\partial}^*$ consists of (real) constants only. In the above formula $\bar{\partial}_{\nabla}$ is the Cauchy–Riemann operator from $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^{0,1}$ to $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, thus by the Riemann–Roch Theorem, we get

$$\operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \right) = d + 1 - \operatorname{genus}(\Sigma).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{R}} \left((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)} \right) &= \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\operatorname{Re} \circ \bar{\partial}^* \right) + 2 \operatorname{index}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \right) \\ &= (2 \operatorname{genus}(\Sigma) - 1) + 2(d + 1 - \operatorname{genus}(\Sigma)) \\ &= 2d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The adjoint of $(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ is

$$(A.2) \quad (D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}^*(f, \xi) = \left(\sqrt{2} \bar{\partial} f + h(\phi, \xi), \sqrt{2} \bar{\partial}_{\nabla}^* \xi - f \phi \right).$$

The same operator as in equation (A.2) was studied in [11, Lemma 1.4 and Corollary 1.5], and thus we get that the kernel of $(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}^*$ is trivial, which concludes the proof that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ (and thus \mathcal{V}) is a smooth manifold of dimension $2d + 1$. The compactness of \mathcal{V} follows from the gauged Palais–Smale property of the Ginzburg–Landau energy (1.1); cf. [12, Lemma 3.1] or [16, Proposition 7.6].

APPENDIX B. \mathcal{E}_{τ} IS MORSE–BOTT NEAR $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$ WHEN $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$

We continue to use the notations and assumptions of Appendix A.

Let $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$ and \mathcal{E}_τ be as in equation (1.4). Since \mathcal{E}_τ is gauge invariant, we use the same notation for all of its descendants as well. We prove the following, which implies that \mathcal{E}_τ is Morse–Bott near $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$ when $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$.

Lemma B.1. *Let $(\nabla, \phi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a solution to the τ -vortex equations (4.1a) and (4.1b). Let*

$$\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)} := \left\{ (a, \psi) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{d}^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0 \mid \left(\sqrt{2}a^{0,1}, \psi \right) \in \ker\left((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}\right) \right\}.$$

Then has a (real) dimension $2d + 1$ and for all $(a, \psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, we have

$$(B.1) \quad \text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla, \phi)}(a, \psi) = 0.$$

Furthermore, there is a positive number, λ , such that, if a pair $(a, \psi) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{d}^}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ is L^2 -orthogonal to $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, then*

$$(B.2) \quad \text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla, \phi)}((a, \psi), (a, \psi)) \geq \lambda \|(a, \psi)\|_{\Omega_{\mathbb{d}^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0}^2.$$

Proof. Recall from Appendix A that the kernel of $(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ has a real dimension $2d + 1$. Since the map

$$\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)} \rightarrow \ker\left((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}\right): (a, \psi) \mapsto \left(\sqrt{2}a^{0,1}, \psi \right),$$

is norm-preserving and the target is finite dimensional, we get that $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)} \cong \ker\left((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}\right)$, as real vector spaces.

Using the same computation that gave us equation (4.5) and combining it with the τ -vortex equations (4.1a) and (4.1b), we get for any $(a, \psi) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{d}^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$, we have

$$(B.3) \quad \text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla, \phi)}((a, \psi), (a, \psi)) = \int_{\Sigma} \left(2 \left| \bar{\partial}_{\nabla} \psi + a^{0,1} \phi \right|^2 + (i * da + \text{Re}(h(\psi, \phi)))^2 \right) \text{vol}_g.$$

Using once again $\alpha := \sqrt{2}a^{0,1}$, we can rewrite equation (B.3) as

$$\text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla, \phi)}((a, \psi), (a, \psi)) = \|(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}(\alpha, \psi)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus if $(a, \psi) \in \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, then we get equation (B.1).

We prove inequality (B.2) by contradiction. Assume that inequality (B.2) does not hold and choose a sequence, $(a_n, \psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} (a_n, \psi_n) &\perp_{L^2} \mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}, \\ \|(a_n, \psi_n)\|_{\Omega_{\mathbb{d}^*}^1 \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0} &= 1, \\ \text{Hess}(\mathcal{E}_\tau)_{(\nabla, \phi)}(a_n, \psi_n) &= \frac{1}{n^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha_n := \sqrt{2}a_n^{0,1}$. Then

$$(B.4) \quad (\alpha_n, \psi_n) \perp_{L^2} \ker((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}),$$

$$(B.5) \quad \|(\alpha_n, \psi_n)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0} = 1,$$

$$(B.6) \quad \|(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}(\alpha_n, \psi_n)\|_{L^2} = \frac{1}{n}.$$

But $(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}$ considered as an operator from $\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0$ onto the L^2 -completion of the space of pairs of smooth $(0, 1)$ -forms and sections of \mathcal{L} , and thus by [3, Theorem 4.1.16 (Closed Image Theorem), Ineq. (4.1.7)], we get that there is a positive number C , such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(B.7) \quad \inf\left(\left\{ \|(\alpha_n, \psi_n) - (\alpha, \psi)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0} \mid (\alpha, \psi) \in \ker((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}) \right\}\right) \leq C\|(D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}(\alpha_n, \psi_n)\|_{L^2}.$$

Using conditions (B.4) and (B.5), we get

$$\|(\alpha_n, \psi_n) - (\alpha, \psi)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0}^2 = \|(\alpha_n, \psi_n)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0}^2 + \|(\alpha, \psi)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0}^2 = 1 + \|(\alpha, \psi)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0}^2,$$

and thus

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \inf\left(\left\{ \|(\alpha_n, \psi_n) - (\alpha, \psi)\|_{\Omega^{0,1} \times \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}^0} \mid (\alpha, \psi) \in \ker((D\nu)_{(\nabla, \phi)}) \right\}\right) = 1.$$

Combining this with condition (B.6) and inequality (B.7), we get that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq \frac{C}{n}$, which is a contradiction. \square

Corollary B.2. \mathcal{E}_τ is Morse–Bott function, in the sense of [7, Definition 1.9], near $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{B}$ when $\tau > \tau_{\text{Bradlow}}$.

Proof. Recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is the $H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$ -cover of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{E}_τ is gauge invariant, so it is enough to work on $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$. We have already proved in Appendix A that $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a smooth submanifold. For any $(\nabla, \phi) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$, the kernel of $D\mathcal{E}_\tau$ at (∇, ϕ) is $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, which is finite dimensional and thus has a closed (orthogonal) complement. Considered as a map from $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}\mathcal{X}$ to its dual, the Hessian is a Fredholm operator with index zero (as it is the metric dual of a bilinear map). The only thing left to be proven from [7, Definition 1.9] is that image of the Hessian is exactly the space of metric duals of vectors orthogonal to $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$. Since the kernel of the Hessian is also $\mathcal{T}_{(\nabla, \phi)}$, and the Hessian is Fredholm and symmetric, this is again immediate. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Alberto Abbondandolo and Pietro Majer, *Lectures on the Morse complex for infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology, 2006, pp. 1–74. ↑12
- [2] S. B. Bradlow, *Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990), no. 1, 1–17. MR1086749 (92f:32053) ↑2, 5, 7, 14
- [3] Theo Bühler and Dietmar A. Salamon, *Functional analysis*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 191, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018. MR3823238 ↑19, 29
- [4] D-R. Cheng, *Stable solutions to the abelian Yang–Mills–Higgs equations on S^2 and T^2* , *J. Geom. Anal.* (2021). ↑3
- [5] D. Chouhkov, N. M. Ercolani, S. Rayan, and I. M. Sigal, *Ginzburg–Landau equations on Riemann surfaces of higher genus*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **37** (2020), no. 1, 79–103. MR4049917 ↑2, 3, 4, 17
- [6] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1990. Oxford Science Publications. MR1079726 (92a:57036) ↑10
- [7] Paul MN Feehan, *On the Morse–Bott property of analytic functions on Banach spaces with Łojasiewicz exponent one half*, *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations* **59** (2020), no. 2, 1–50. ↑8, 9, 29
- [8] O. García-Prada, *Invariant connections and vortices*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **156** (1993), no. 3, 527–546. ↑2
- [9] S. Guo and J. Wu, *Bifurcation theory of functional differential equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 184, Springer, New York, 2013. MR3098815 ↑3
- [10] A. Jaffe and C. H. Taubes, *Vortices and Monopoles*, Progress in Physics, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1980. MR614447 (82m:81051) ↑2
- [11] Á. Nagy, *The Berry connection of the Ginzburg–Landau vortices*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **350** (2017), no. 1, 105–128. MR3606471 ↑27
- [12] ———, *Irreducible Ginzburg–Landau fields in dimension 2*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **28** (2018), no. 2, 1853–1868. MR3790522 ↑2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 14, 16, 27
- [13] D. Parise, A. Pigati, and D. Stern, *Convergence of the self-dual $U(1)$ -Yang–Mills–Higgs energies to the $(n - 2)$ -area functional* (2021), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.14615>. ↑13, 23, 24
- [14] Thomas H. Parker, *A Morse theory for equivariant Yang–Mills*, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), no. 2, 337–356. MR1162193 ↑3
- [15] ———, *Nonminimal Yang–Mills fields and dynamics*, *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), no. 2, 397–420. MR1144429 ↑3
- [16] A. Pigati and Stern D., *Minimal submanifolds from the abelian Higgs model*, *Invent. Math.* (2020). ↑2, 6, 22, 24, 26, 27
- [17] K. Wehrheim, *Uhlenbeck Compactness*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2004. MR2030823 ↑19, 20

(Ákos Nagy) UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

URL: akosnagy.com

Email address: contact@akosnagy.com

(Gonçalo Oliveira) UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL FLUMINENSE IME–GMA

URL: sites.google.com/view/goncalo-oliveira-math-webpage/home

Email address: galato97@gmail.com