

BOUNDED SECTIONAL CURVATURE AND ESSENTIAL MINIMAL VOLUME

ANTOINE SONG

ABSTRACT. For a closed smooth manifold M , we consider a closure of the set of metrics on M with sectional curvature bounded between -1 and 1 . We introduce a variant of Gromov’s minimal volume, called essential minimal volume, defined as the infimum of the volume over this closure. We study metrics achieving the essential minimal volume, and prove estimates for negatively curved manifolds, Einstein 4-manifolds and complex surfaces with nonnegative Kodaira dimension.

INTRODUCTION

Given a closed smooth n -dimensional manifold M , we study the behavior of the volume functional on a natural closure of the set of metrics on M with bounded sectional curvature. We are interested in the infimum of the volume and the Riemannian metrics inside the closure realizing that infimum. In particular, the study of those minimizing metrics is motivated by questions of Hopf [58, page 220], Thom [15, Chapter 11], Yau [91, Problem 1] etc, about the existence of special metrics on general closed manifolds. For previous results related to Hopf-Thom-Yau’s question, see Nabutovsky [71], Nabutovsky-Weinberger [72], [6], Ontaneda [74].

Let $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ be the set of smooth metrics on M with sectional curvature between -1 and 1 . Analysing different closures of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ is tractable thanks to the works of Cheeger, Gromov, Fukaya and others, who developed a far-reaching theory for Riemannian manifolds with bounded sectional curvature [25, 55, 32, 33, 47, 48, 49, 30]. On the other hand, Gromov introduced in [54] the notion of *minimal volume*, which is simply defined as

$$\text{MinVol}(M) := \inf\{\text{Vol}(M, g); \quad (M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)\},$$

as a way to measure the complexity of the manifold M . Such a rough definition makes MinVol in general impossible to compute, however a beautiful theorem of Besson-Courtois-Gallot [19, 20] implies the following:

Theorem 1 ([19]). *If X is a closed manifold of dimension at least 3, which admits a metric g_X with sectional curvature at most -1 . Then*

$$\text{MinVol}(X) \geq \text{Vol}(X, g_X)$$

with equality if and only if g_X is a hyperbolic metric. In that case g_X is, up to isometries, the unique metric in $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ with volume $\text{MinVol}(X)$.

If $\delta > 0$ and g is a metric on M , let $M_{>\delta}^{(g)}$ be the subset of M where the injectivity radius of g is larger than δ . We define the *essential minimal volume* of M as

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \inf \{ \text{Vol}(M_{>\delta}^{(g)}, g); \quad (M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M) \}.$$

This functional, which by definition is bounded above by MinVol , captures the minimal size of the “thick” part of M for a metric with $|\text{sec}| \leq 1$. The name for ess-MinVol partly comes from the notion of ϵ -essential volume introduced in Ballmann-Gromov-Schroeder [9, Subsection 10.4] in the context of negatively curved manifolds.

Before continuing, we consider a weak closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$, defined using the following notion of weak convergence. Roughly speaking, a sequence $g_i \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ weakly converges if for any $\delta > 0$, $M_{>\delta}^{(g_i)}$ converges in a multi-pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense. The limit of g_i is then defined to be the direct limit of these multi-pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limits as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Such limits usually have infinitely many connected components. It turns out that we have (see Section 2):

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) = \inf \{ \text{Vol}(N, h); \quad (N, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M) \}.$$

Moreover, $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ is always achieved by the volume of a complete Riemannian n -manifold (M_∞, g_∞) in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ by earlier works [25, 53, 80]. For that reason, the functional $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ is relevant to the generalized Hopf-Thom-Yau problem; we want to better understand Riemannian manifolds in the weak closure achieving $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ and the behavior of ess-MinVol for closed manifolds.

An instructive example is provided by closed prime oriented 3-manifolds (see Subsection 4.5): if M is such a manifold endowed with any metric g , the rescaled Ricci flow starting from g converges as time goes to infinity to its hyperbolic part, in the sense of convergence considered above, and this hyperbolic part is precisely a minimizer for the essential minimal volume of M .

Main results. After a systematic study of properties of the essential minimal volume in Sections 2,3 4, we prove the following (see Theorem 19):

Theorem 2. *Let M be a closed manifold of dimension at least 3, which admits a metric g_M with sectional curvature at most -1 . Then, for any $(M_\infty, g_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ with volume $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$, there exists a connected component N of M_∞ such that*

$$\text{Vol}(N, g_\infty) \geq \text{Vol}(M, g_M)$$

with equality if and only if g_M is a hyperbolic metric and (M_∞, g_∞) is isometric to (M, g_M) .

The above theorem shows that there exist manifolds for which the Riemannian manifolds realizing their essential minimal volume have arbitrarily large connected components. Since in the above statement, $\text{ess-MinVol}(M) \geq \text{Vol}(N, g_\infty)$, Theorem 2 extends Theorem 1 to the essential minimal volume.

In our next result, we estimate the essential minimal volume for closed Einstein 4-manifolds and most complex surfaces (see Theorem 23 and Theorem 32):

Theorem 3. *There is a constant $C > 0$ such that the following holds. If M is a closed 4-manifold admitting an Einstein metric, or a closed complex surface with nonnegative Kodaira dimension, then*

$$C^{-1} e(M) \leq \text{ess-MinVol}(M) \leq C e(M),$$

where $e(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M .

Comments. In light of the generalized Hopf-Thom-Yau problem, the essential minimal volume gives a uniform procedure such that

- it associates to any closed manifold M a complete Riemannian manifold (M_∞, g_∞) with finitely many connected components and obtained from M by removing regions with collapsed geometry (see Sections 2 and 4);
- if M is hyperbolic of dimension at least 3, then (M_∞, g_∞) is isometric to M endowed with its hyperbolic metric by Theorem 2.

Previously in a series of papers [66, 67, 68], LeBrun used gauge theoretic methods to compute certain integral minimal volumes for complex surfaces involving the scalar and Ricci curvatures, and also studied some minimal volumes defined with the sectional curvature. Theorem 3 provides new information for complex surfaces, related to sectional curvature. As for Einstein 4-manifolds, Anderson [4], Bando-Kasue-Nakajima [10], Anderson-Cheeger [7], Cheeger-Naber [36] showed various finiteness results under non-collapsing and diameter bound assumptions. Theorem 3 can be understood as a quantitative statement inspired by those earlier results, which is free of non-collapsing and diameter bound assumptions. We conjecture that it should also hold for the standard minimal volume.

An advantage of working with the condition $|\text{sec}| \leq 1$ is that minimizers of ess-MinVol retain information about the smooth structure of the original manifold: indeed regions with collapsed geometry that disappear are described by the N -structures of Cheeger-Fukaya-Gromov [30]. Moreover, for a family of closed manifolds for which the essential minimal volume is uniformly bounded, these manifolds are diffeomorphic to each other modulo regions. Theorem 3 is thus related to a question of Kotschick [63] (see also Ishida-LeBrun [59]) which asks whether or not a given closed manifold can only support finitely many smooth structures with an Einstein metric. In fact, one can ask the following question, see Subsection 6.2: for any closed topological 4-manifold, are the (essential) minimal volumes of its smooth structures uniformly bounded? Finally in Subsection 7.8 we discuss how Theorem 3 could be connected to potential new topological obstructions for Einstein 4-manifolds.

Outline of proofs. When studying ess-MinVol and the Riemannian metrics achieving it, we encounter a recurring “uniform collapsing” issue, which can be summarized as follows: given a closed Riemannian manifold (X, g) with a regular

metric g and $|\sec_g| \leq 1$, suppose that the injectivity radius is less than a small dimensional constant ϵ_n , is there a smooth family of metrics $\{g_t\}_{t \in (0,1]}$ starting at $g_1 = g$ such that the injectivity radius of g_t remains small and goes uniformly to 0 as t goes to 0, and $|\sec_{g_t}| \leq C$ for a constant C not depending on g ? By the work of Cheeger-Gromov [33, 32], when ϵ_n is small, X carries an F -structure of positive rank so one can collapse g with bounded curvature. However this is not enough for our purposes: indeed by the nature of their proof which relies on a blow-up argument, the curvature bound for that family of collapsing metrics depends on the original metric g . Instead we crucially need the N -structures described by Cheeger-Fukaya-Gromov in [30], which generalize F -structures. The idea is to show that the N -structure on X induces an F -structure which enjoys enough uniform control (depending on the dimension and the C^k regularity of the metric) so that the procedure of [32] yields a family of collapsing metrics with a curvature bound not depending on the metric g . This uniform collapsing result and its variants constitute one of the main technical ingredients of the paper and are proved in Theorem 11 and Corollary 12.

Theorem 11 is essentially enough to derive the main general properties of *ess*-MinVol, see Section 4.

As for Theorem 2, we build on the natural maps of Besson-Courtois-Gallot [19, 21]. Since the properties of these maps are related to the volume, we need a version of the uniform collapsing result for the volume: this time we want to collapse the volume and in turn we only require that a uniform lower curvature bound is preserved, see Theorem 11 (B). For that part, the work of Paternain-Petean [76] proves to be useful.

To show the quantitative estimates for the essential minimal volume of Einstein 4-manifolds, we study their thick-thin decompositions. The thick part is controlled by exploiting the work of Cheeger-Naber [36] while the thin part is treated using yet another uniform collapsing lemma that works in dimension 4, see Theorem 11 (C). Finally for complex surfaces, we use the classification theory of complex surfaces: for instance for surfaces of general type, their canonical models carry an Kähler-Einstein orbifold metric so we can adapt the bounds proved for smooth Einstein metrics.

Organization. In Section 1, we start with some preliminaries on manifolds with bounded sectional curvature.

In Section 2, we define the essential minimal volume and two different closures of $\mathcal{M}_{|\sec| \leq 1}(M)$ are introduced. Basic properties of *ess*-MinVol are listed.

In Section 3, we show Theorem 11 which enables to collapse certain metrics with a uniform curvature bound independent of those metrics.

In Section 4 we prove further properties for *ess*-MinVol. We also illustrate previous results by several examples in low dimensions.

In Section 5, we show the “large component” theorem for manifolds with negative curvature, Theorem 2.

In Section 6, we restrict ourselves to dimension 4 and we describe families of 4-manifolds with bounded essential minimal volume.

In Section 7, that characterization is used to estimate the essential minimal volume of Einstein 4-manifolds.

In Section 8, the case of complex surfaces is treated.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to John Lott for numerous discussions that improved the results. I would also like to thank Song Sun, Aaron Naber, Xiaochun Rong, Ruobing Zhang, Ben Lowe for helpful conversations, and Claude LeBrun, Zoltán Szabó for comments.

This research was conducted during the period the author served as a Clay Research Fellow.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be a connected smooth closed n -dimensional manifold (in this paper we will only work with smooth manifolds). Recall that

$$\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M) := \{(M, g); \quad g \text{ is a smooth Riemannian metric on } M \\ \text{which satisfies } |\text{sec}_g| \leq 1\}.$$

1.1. Thick/thin decomposition. In a manifold with bounded sectional curvature, neighborhoods of points with a lower bound on the injectivity radius (denoted by injrad) have well controlled geometry. More precisely, the work of Cheeger [25], Gromov [56], Peters [80], Greene and Wu [53] imply the following. Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If (M_i, g_i) is a sequence in $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ and $p_i \in M_i$, if moreover $\text{injrad}(p_i) \geq 1$ then (M_i, g_i, p_i) subsequentially converges in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a complete pointed Riemannian manifold $(M_\infty, g_\infty, p_\infty)$, where g_∞ is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metric.

On the other hand, in a manifold with bounded sectional curvature, neighborhoods of points with small injectivity radius injrad are described by the collapsing theory developed by Cheeger-Gromov [32, 33], Fukaya [47, 48, 49], Cheeger-Fukaya-Gromov [30]. Given $(M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$, there is a dimensional constant ϵ_n such that a neighborhood of the ϵ_n -thin part

$$M_{\leq \epsilon_n}^{(g)} := \{q \in M; \quad \text{injrad}_g(q) \leq \epsilon_n\}$$

carries an F -structure of positive rank and also an N -structure consistent with the F -structure. The complement of the ϵ_n -thin part is called the ϵ_n -thick part. More details on F -structures and N -structures are given below.

1.2. F-structures and N-structures. If \mathfrak{g} is a sheaf of Lie group on a manifold N with associated sheaf of Lie algebras $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$, an action h of \mathfrak{g} on N is by definition a homomorphism from $\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ to the sheaf of smooth vector fields. Recall that a subset S of N is said to be saturated (or invariant) for the action h if the following holds: for any $x \in S$ and any open set $U \subset N$ containing x , for any curve c that starts at a point of S and that is everywhere tangent to the image $h(\mathcal{X})$ of some section $\mathcal{X} \in \underline{\mathfrak{g}}(U)$, c remains included inside S . The unique minimal saturated subset containing a point x is called the orbit of x and will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}_x^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

F -structures. An F -structure is a generalization of a torus action [32]. An F -structure on a manifold N is determined by the following data. We start with a

sheaf of tori, \mathfrak{t} , and the associated sheaf of Lie algebras $\underline{\mathfrak{t}}$, together with an action h of \mathfrak{t} on N . We then suppose that for each point $x \in N$, there is a saturated open neighborhood $V(x)$ and a finite normal covering $\pi : \tilde{V}(x) \rightarrow V(x)$ such that

- the action h of \mathfrak{t} induces an action $\pi^*(h)$ of the pullback sheaf $\pi^*(\mathfrak{t})$, which is the infinitesimal generator of an effective action of the torus $\pi^*(\mathfrak{t})(\tilde{V}(x))$ on $\tilde{V}(x)$,
- for all open set $W \subset \tilde{V}(x)$ such that $W \cap \pi^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, the structure homomorphism $\pi^*(\mathfrak{t})(\tilde{V}(x)) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathfrak{t})(W)$ is an isomorphism,
- the neighborhood $V(x)$ and covering $\tilde{V}(x)$ can be chosen independent of x , for $x \in \mathcal{O}_x^{\mathfrak{t}} \subset N$.

From the above definition of F -structures, we see that the orbits are given by the projected images in $V(x)$ of the orbits of the local torus action in $\tilde{V}(x)$, which are quotients of tori embedded in $V(x) \subset N$. An F -structure has positive rank if all its orbits have positive dimensions. It is polarized if in each chart V_α as above, the dimension of the orbits is constant.

There is an equivalent and more practical way of defining F -structures by using the notion of atlas. An atlas for an F -structure is given by a locally finite cover $\{V_\alpha\}$ by open sets, and for each α there is a finite normal covering $\pi_\alpha : \tilde{V}_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$ with covering group Γ , together with an effective action of $\Gamma \ltimes_\rho T^{k_\alpha}$ on \tilde{V}_α , for some representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T^{k_\alpha})$. The local torus actions are required to satisfy the following compatibility conditions: if $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, then $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta)$ and $\pi_\beta^{-1}(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta)$ have a common covering space on which the torus actions lift to actions of T^{k_α} and T^{k_β} , so that T^{k_α} is a subgroup of T^{k_β} or vice versa.

If a Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) has sectional curvature between -1 and 1 , then a neighborhood of the ϵ_n -thin part is a union of orbits of an F -structure of positive rank. Conversely, a manifold endowed with an F -structure of positive rank has a sequence of smooth metrics with bounded sectional curvature and injectivity radius going to 0 . These results are proved in [32, 33]. Paternain and Petean [76] later showed that when there is an F -structure (non-trivial, but not necessarily of positive rank), one can collapse the manifold with a uniform lower bound on the sectional curvature.

When M carries an F -structure of positive rank which is polarized, one can collapse the volume of M while keeping the curvature bounded, but the converse is probably not true (see [32, Remark 4.1]). In dimensions at most 4 however, volume collapsing with bounded curvature does imply the existence of a polarized F -structure; in dimension 4 this is due to Rong [82]. In higher dimensions, Cheeger-Rong [24] showed that for a closed manifold (M, g) such that the diameter is bounded by D and the sectional curvature is bounded by 1 , then it carries a polarized F -structure if the volume is smaller than a constant depending on D and the dimension.

N -structures. An F -structure as above describes collapsed manifolds on the scale of the injectivity radius. More generally, the thin part of a manifold with bounded curvature has an N -structure [30], which generalizes the notion of F -structures, and which captures all the collapsed directions. N -structures on a manifold N are determined by data similar to that defining F -structures. We

start with a sheaf \mathfrak{n} of simply connected nilpotent Lie group on N , with an action h . We suppose that for each $x \in N$, there is an open neighborhood $U(x)$ saturated for h and a normal covering $\pi : \tilde{U}(p) \rightarrow U(p)$ such that

- the action h of \mathfrak{n} induces an action $\pi^*(h)$ of the pullback sheaf $\pi^*(\mathfrak{n})$, which is the infinitesimal generator of an action of the nilpotent group $\pi^*(\mathfrak{t})(\tilde{U}(p))$ on $\tilde{U}(p)$ whose kernel K_p is discrete,
- for all open set $W \subset \tilde{U}(p)$ such that $W \cap \pi^{-1}(x) \neq \emptyset$, the structure homomorphism $\mathfrak{n}(\tilde{U}(p)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}(W)$ is an isomorphism,
- the neighborhood $U(p)$ and covering $\tilde{U}(p)$ can be chosen independent of p , for $p \in \mathcal{O}_x^n \subset N$.

Cheeger, Fukaya and Gromov [30] showed that given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\rho > 0$ and $k > 0$ so that if a complete Riemannian n -manifold (M, g) has sectional curvature between -1 and 1 , then any open set Y' inside the $\epsilon(n, \epsilon)$ -thin part of (M, g) is contained in an open set Y carrying an N -structure \mathfrak{n} with the following special properties. There is a metric g_ϵ on M with

- $e^{-\epsilon}g < g_\epsilon < e^\epsilon g$,
- $|\nabla^g - \nabla^{g_\epsilon}| < \epsilon$,
- $|(\nabla^{g_\epsilon})^i \text{Rm}_{g_\epsilon}| < C(n, i, \epsilon)$.

Moreover, for any point p in Y , there is an open neighborhood $V(p)$ and a normal covering $\pi : \tilde{V}(p) \rightarrow V(p)$ with covering group Λ such that $\tilde{U}(p) \subset \tilde{V}(p)$ (see notations used in definition of N -structures above) and

- (1) there is a Lie group H generated by Λ and its identity component $N_0 := \pi^*(\mathfrak{t})(\tilde{U}(p))/K_p$ (see notations used in definition of N -structures above), such that the natural action of H is isometric with respect to the metric induced by g_ϵ (the metric g_ϵ is called “invariant”),
- (2) $V(p)$ contains the geodesic ball $B_{g_\epsilon}(p, \rho)$,
- (3) the g_ϵ -injectivity radius on $\tilde{V}(p)$ is larger than ρ ,
- (4) $\sharp(\Lambda/\Lambda \cap N_0) \leq k$,
- (5) the orbits of the N -structure are compact and have g_ϵ -diameter less than ϵ .

Moreover, any orbit \mathcal{O} of \mathfrak{n} is finitely covered by a nilmanifold of the form $\Gamma \backslash N'$ where Γ is a cocompact discrete subgroup (i.e. a lattice) in a simply connected nilpotent Lie group N' . Note that if $C(N')$ is the center of N' , then $\Gamma \cap C(N')$ is a lattice inside $C(N')$ and $\Gamma \backslash C(N')$ is a torus which projects to a torus quotient embedded inside \mathcal{O} . In fact, as noted in [30, Remarque 1.9], by considering the “center” of the N -structure \mathfrak{n} associated to a collapsed region Y , we obtain an F -structure which is necessarily of positive rank, and which will be called the canonical F -structure \mathfrak{t} coming from \mathfrak{n} . In this case, each N -orbit is then a disjoint union of F -orbits. [22, 41] are also useful references.

2. DEFINITIONS AND FIRST PROPERTIES

In this section, we define the essential minimal volume ess-MinVol and present some properties of ess-MinVol following rather directly from previous works. In order to express ess-MinVol as an infimum of the volume, it will be useful to

introduce a weak closure and a strong closure of the set of bounded sectional curvature metrics.

Remark 2.1. *Before writing down formal definitions, let us make our motivations more explicit. For low dimensional closed manifolds, the Uniformization theorem for surfaces and the Geometrization theorem for 3-manifolds [88, 77, 79, 78] provide an almost explicit answer to the generalized Hopf-Thom-Yau problem, since they yield natural maps from the set of manifolds to the set of Riemannian metrics. Even if such a map may not be uniquely defined, the answer can typically be interpreted as the result of a variational procedure, for instance by minimizing the volume among metrics with scalar curvature between -1 and 1 . Moreover in higher dimensions, the solution of the Yamabe problem suggests that this minimization procedure should yield generalized Einstein metrics in a sense to be defined (when non-empty). The essential minimal volume of a manifold M can be thought of as a version of MinVol which is actually realized by the volume of a Riemannian manifold; the latter is obtained from M by degenerating some regions with controlled geometry. In that sense, it is a sectional curvature approach to the generalized Hopf-Thom-Yau problem.*

2.1. Definition of the essential minimal volume. M is a closed manifold. For $\delta > 0$ and for a metric g on M , set

$$M_{>\delta}^{(g)} := \{x \in M; \text{inrad}_g(x) > \delta\},$$

$$\text{MinVol}_{>\delta}(M) := \inf\{\text{Vol}(M_{>\delta}^{(g)}, g); (M, g) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}\}.$$

Note that the quantity $\text{MinVol}_{>\delta}(M)$ is non-increasing with respect to δ and is bounded, so it converges as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Definition 2.1. *The essential minimal volume of M is*

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{MinVol}_{>\delta}(M).$$

The difference between MinVol and ess-MinVol can be arbitrarily large, see Subsection 4.5. The name ess-MinVol partly comes from the notion of ϵ -essential volume defined in [9] in the context of negatively curved manifolds. In some sense, $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ measures the smallest possible size of the thick part of a metric on M with bounded sectional curvature. Since for any $\delta > 0$, a neighborhood of the δ -thick part of a manifold with bounded sectional curvature admits a smooth triangulation with a number of vertices bounded linearly by its volume and for which the degree of each vertex is uniformly bounded (all the constants depend on δ), any upper bound on $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ in fact yields a controlled triangulation of M outside of regions covered by F -structures (see Sections 7 and 8 for examples of such bounds).

We are interested in realizing $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ by the volume of certain manifolds so we need to study possible closures of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}$. A weak and a strong closures are introduced in the next subsections.

2.2. A weak closure for $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$. We now introduce a natural weak closure of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$, which was considered by other authors in similar contexts ([6] etc.). In what follows, given a metric g on M , any subset of M is endowed with the distance induced by g and denoted by dist_g . Let us first define the notion of *weak convergence* used throughout this paper.

Definition 2.2. *A sequence $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ is said to weakly converge if there is a sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ converging to 0, so that the following holds for any $\delta \in \{\delta_m\}_{m \geq 0}$: there are an integer Q_δ and sequences of points $x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{Q_\delta,i} \in M_{>\delta}^{(g_i)}$ such that*

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{g_i}(x_{k,i}, x_{l,i}) = \infty$ for all pairs $k \neq l$ with $k, l \in \{1, \dots, Q_\delta\}$,
- $(M_{>\delta}^{(g_i)}, \text{dist}_{g_i}, x_{k,i})$ converges to a limit $(Y_k^\delta, h_k^\delta, y_k^\delta)$ in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense for each $k \in \{1, \dots, Q_\delta\}$,
- for any sequence of points $p_i \in M_{>\delta}^{(g_i)}$, $\text{dist}_{g_i}(p_i, \{x_{k,i}\}_{k=1}^{Q_\delta})$ remains uniformly bounded as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

In that case, the weak limit of (M, g_i) is then defined to be the natural direct limit of the family of metric spaces $\bigcup_{k=1}^{Q_\delta} (Y_k^\delta, h_k^\delta)$ where $\delta \in \{\delta_m\}_{m \geq 0}$.

We define the weak closure as

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M) := \{(Y, h); \text{ a sequence of elements in } \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M) \text{ weakly converges to } (Y, h)\}.$$

Fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ once and for all. Any element of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ is a smooth n -dimensional manifold with possibly infinitely many connected components, endowed with a complete $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metric by [80, 53]. Note that MinVol is not achieved by a smooth metric in general [12], so $C^{1,\alpha}$ is the best regularity one can expect for minimizing metrics. The volume of weak limits may not be finite. The volume functional on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ is lower semi-continuous but not continuous with respect to weak convergence.

As its name indicates, weak convergence holds under mild assumptions (see [25, 56, 80, 53]):

Lemma 4. *Let $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ be a sequence such that for a sequence of positive numbers $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$, $\text{Vol}(M_{>\delta_i}^{(g_i)}, g_i)$ is uniformly bounded above by a constant C as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then a subsequence (M, g_{i_q}) weakly converges and the weak limit has finite volume at most C .*

Proof. The proof uses elementary properties of bounded sectional curvature metrics: the volume of balls is lower bounded in terms of the injectivity radius, and there are good compactness properties for regions with a lower bound for the injectivity radius and upper bound for the volume, see Section 1. \square

In the next lemma, we show that the essential minimal volume can be characterized as an infimum, and is always achieved by a metric:

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$(4) \quad \text{ess-MinVol}(M) = \inf\{\text{Vol}(Y, h); \quad (Y, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)\}.$$

Moreover, there exists $(M_\infty, g_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ such that

$$\text{Vol}(M_\infty, g_\infty) = \text{ess-MinVol}(M).$$

Proof. Inequality \geq in (4) follows from the definition and Lemma 4. Inequality \leq in (4) comes from the following fact: given an element $(N_\infty, h_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$, and a sequence of metrics $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ weakly converging to (N_∞, h_∞) , then for any $\delta > 0$ the limsup of the volumes of the δ -thick parts of (M, g_i) is at most $\text{Vol}(N_\infty, h_\infty)$.

As for the existence of a metric in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ with volume $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$, this follows from (4) and Lemma 4. \square

We will call any (M_∞, g_∞) given in Lemma 5 a *minimizer* for the essential minimal volume ess-MinVol . Such a metric g_∞ may not satisfy any PDE, but it follows from the construction of minimizers (M_∞, g_∞) that they satisfy a local minimizing property. We formalize it with the following definition, which is closely related to the notion of “extremal metric” considered by Gromov in [54, Subsection 4.4].

Definition 2.3. *Let (N, h) be a connected Riemannian manifold endowed with a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metric h .*

Given $\epsilon > 0$ and a compact subset $K \subset N$, we say that (N, h) is (ϵ, K) -minimizing if the following is true: there exists a relatively compact open subset U containing K , such that for any $\delta > 0$, there is a smooth metric g on U with

$$|\text{sec}_g| \leq 1 \text{ on } U,$$

$$e^{-\delta}h \leq g \leq e^\delta h \text{ on } U,$$

and for any metric g' such that $g' = g$ on $U \setminus K$ and $|\text{sec}_{g'}| \leq 1$, we have

$$\text{Vol}(U, g') \geq \text{Vol}(U, g) - \epsilon.$$

A Riemannian manifold (W, h) endowed with a $C^{1,\alpha}$ -metric h is called a minimizing Riemannian manifold, if for any connected component N of W , for every $\epsilon > 0$ and compact subset $K \subset N$, (N, h) is (ϵ, K) -minimizing.

In conclusion, the (M_∞, g_∞) in Lemma 5 is a minimizing Riemannian manifold in the sense of Definition 2.3.

2.3. A strong closure for $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$. We also introduce the notion of *strong convergence* and a more restrictive closure of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$. Versions of this were considered among other places in [5, 6, 37]

Definition 2.4. *A sequence $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ is said to strongly converge if there are an integer Q and sequences of points $x_{k,i} \in M$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$) such that*

- $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{g_i}(x_{k,i}, x_{l,i}) = \infty$ for all pairs $k \neq l$ with $k, l \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$,
- $(X_i, \text{dist}_{g_i}, x_{k,i})$ converges to a limit (Y_k, h_k, y_k) in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$,
- for any sequence of points $z_i \in M$, if $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}_{g_i}(z_i, x_{k,i}) = \infty$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$, then (M, g_i, z_i) subsequentially converges in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a limit metric space with dimension strictly less than $\dim(M)$.

In that case, $\bigcup_{k=1}^Q (Y_k, h_k, y_k)$ is called the strong limit of (M, g_i) .

This is a much more restrictive notion of convergence. Its relation to weak convergence is given by the following lemma:

Lemma 6. *The following are equivalent:*

- a sequence $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}$ subsequentially strongly converges to a strong limit (M_∞, g_∞) ;
- the sequence $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}$ subsequentially weakly converges to (M_∞, g_∞) and M_∞ has finitely many connected components.

Proof. If $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}$ strongly converges to a strong limit (M_∞, g_∞) , then it clearly subsequentially weakly converges to (M_∞, g_∞) . By definition of strong limits, M_∞ has finitely many connected components.

Conversely, suppose $(M, g_i) \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}$ weakly converges to a weak limit (M_∞, g_∞) and M_∞ has Q connected components. Recall that (M_∞, g_∞) is the direct limit (as $\delta_m \rightarrow 0$) of Gromov-Hausdorff limits of the δ_m -thick parts of (M, g_i) . Thus there are sequences $x_{k,i} \in M$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$) of points such that the sequences $(M, g_i, x_{k,i})$ subsequentially converge in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense to those Q different components. Necessarily the distance between two points $x_{k,i}$ and $x_{l,i}$ goes to ∞ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and any sequence $y_i \in (M, g_i)$ whose distance to $\{x_{k,i}\}_{k=1}^Q$ goes to infinity has its injectivity radius going to 0. In other words, (M, g_i) subsequentially strongly converges to (M_∞, g_∞) . □

We can define the following strong closure:

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^s(M) := \{(Y, h); \text{ a sequence of elements in } \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M) \text{ strongly converges to } (Y, h) \}.$$

The previous lemma implies that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^s(M) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$. The strong closure is not sequentially compact with respect to strong or weak convergence, under a volume upper bound for instance.

2.4. First properties. Thereafter, M will be a connected closed smooth n -manifold. In general, (M_∞, g_∞) will denote any minimizer for ess-MinVol of M as in Lemma 5.

The following is a gap property for ess-MinVol (for MinVol , it is conjectured; it is indeed true in dimensions at most 4 but is much more delicate to prove, see [82]).

Lemma 7. *There is a dimensional constant $\delta_n > 0$ such that the following are equivalent:*

- $\text{ess-MinVol}(M) < \delta_n$,
- $\text{ess-MinVol}(M) = 0$,
- M admits an F -structure of positive rank.

Proof. This is an immediate corollary of [32] and the fact that when $|\text{sec}| \leq 1$, small volume implies small injectivity radius. \square

In particular, vanishing of the essential minimal volume can be characterized in non-Riemannian terms.

We continue this subsection by comparing the essential minimal volume to topological quantities. Note that we get immediately from the definitions that

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) \leq \text{MinVol}(M).$$

Not many topological lower bounds for MinVol or ess-MinVol are known. In the next lemma, M_∞ is the increasing union of compact manifolds $M_{\infty,j}$ ($j \geq 0$) whose Euler characteristic is constant as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and whose complement is saturated by F -structures, see [34, Theorem 0.8]; the “Euler characteristic” $e(M_\infty)$ of the possibly non-compact manifold M_∞ is then defined as the Euler characteristic of $M_{\infty,j}$ for any j . There is a simple bound for ess-MinVol in terms of the Euler characteristic (see [54] for similar bounds for the minimal volume):

Lemma 8. *For any minimizing Riemannian manifold (M_∞, g_∞) corresponding to M , we have*

$$e(M) = e(M_\infty),$$

where e denotes the Euler characteristic. Hence, there is a dimensional constant C_n such that

$$e(M) \leq C_n \text{ess-MinVol}(M).$$

Proof. The lemma follows from the fact that a region saturated by an F -structure of positive rank has vanishing Euler characteristic [32, Lemma 1.5]: indeed large compact regions of M_∞ are obtained from M by removing some regions saturated by an F -structure of positive rank. The bound is then a corollary of the equivariant chopping theorem [34] and the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula for compact manifolds with boundary, applied to a sequence of bounded curvature smooth metrics on M converging to (M_∞, g_∞) . \square

However in Subsection 4.5, we will see that contrarily to MinVol , characteristic numbers in general do not yield lower bounds for ess-MinVol .

In [54], Gromov actually proved an injectivity radius estimate which translates into the following lower bound for the essential minimal volume in terms of the simplicial volume defined in [54]:

Theorem 9 ([54]). *There is a dimensional constant C_n so that for any closed connected smooth n -manifold M ,*

$$\|M\| \leq C_n \text{ess-MinVol}(M),$$

where $\|M\|$ is the simplicial volume of M .

In particular, minimizers for ess-MinVol of closed manifolds with negative curvature (whose simplicial volume is always positive [54]) have a definite volume lower bound; this will be improved in Section 5 based on the work of Besson-Courtois-Gallot.

3. UNIFORM LOCAL COLLAPSING

In order to obtain more advanced properties for the essential minimal volume, we investigate the flexibility of the condition $|\sec| \leq 1$. The main result of this subsection is Theorem 11, which improves results of [32] and enables to locally collapse manifolds with a uniform bound on the curvature. In what follows, if S is a subset of a manifold (V, g) and $r > 0$, set

$$T_{g,r}(S) := \{x \in M; \text{dist}_g(x, S) < r\}.$$

Recall that if $\mathbf{A} := \{A_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of positive numbers, a metric g is said to be \mathbf{A} -regular if

$$\forall l \geq 0, \quad |\nabla^l \text{Rm}_g|_g \leq A_l.$$

We start with a chopping lemma:

Lemma 10. *Let (M, g) be an \mathbf{A} -regular complete Riemannian n -manifold, let S be a compact subset of M and suppose that $|\sec_g| \leq 1$ on $T_{g,1}(S)$. Then there exist a constant $\kappa = \kappa(n, \mathbf{A}) > 0$, a sequence of positive numbers $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(n, \mathbf{A})$, and a new complete metric \tilde{g} on M such that*

- $\tilde{g} = g$ on S and $\kappa g < \tilde{g} < \kappa^{-1}g$ on M ,
- $|\sec_{\tilde{g}}| \leq \kappa^{-1}$ on $T_{g,1}(S)$ and \tilde{g} is \mathbf{B} -regular,
- there is a compact subset U such that $S \subset U \subset T_{g,1}(S)$ and if $\partial U \neq \emptyset$, then with respect to \tilde{g} , the κ -tubular neighborhood of ∂U is isometric to the product metric

$$(\partial U \times (-\kappa, \kappa), \tilde{g}|_{\partial U} \oplus dt^2)$$

where dt^2 is the standard metric on $(-\kappa, \kappa)$.

Proof. By the proof of the main result in [34], there is a neighborhood U of S such that $S \subset U \subset T_{g,1}(S)$ and if $\partial U \neq \emptyset$, it satisfies

$$|\text{II}_{\partial U}|_g < C \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\rho}_g(\partial U) > C^{-1}$$

for some $C = C(n)$. Here $\text{II}_{\partial U}$ denotes the second fundamental form of ∂U and $\underline{\rho}_g(\partial U)$ is the normal injectivity radius of ∂U , all with respect to g . (See [34, (1.11)] where f_X should read ∇f_X). Hence in a normal exponential coordinate chart of a neighborhood W of ∂U , the metric g takes the form

$$(\partial U \times (-2\kappa_1, 2\kappa_1), h(t) \oplus dt^2)$$

where $h(t)$ is a metric on $\partial U \times \{t\}$ and κ_1 only depends on n and \mathbf{A} . Consider a cut-off function

$$\varphi : (-2\kappa_1, 2\kappa_1) \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ near $t = -2\kappa_1$ and $t = 2\kappa_1$, $\varphi(t) = 1$ if $t \in (-\kappa_1, \kappa_1)$, and the i -th derivative of φ is bounded in terms of i and κ_1 . Since g is \mathbf{A} -regular, the i -th covariant derivatives of $h(t)$ on $\partial U \times \{t\}$ and the i -th t -derivatives of $h(t)$ are bounded in terms of i , n and \mathbf{A} . Consider the new metric \tilde{g} equal to g outside the neighborhood W of ∂U , and given by the following expression in the chart $\partial U \times (-2\kappa_1, 2\kappa_1)$:

$$\tilde{g} = ((1 - \varphi(t))h(t) + \varphi(t)h(0)) \oplus dt^2.$$

It follows that

$$\kappa_2 g < \tilde{g} < \kappa_2^{-1} g \quad \text{on } M$$

and that the sectional curvature of \tilde{g} is bounded in absolute value by κ_2^{-1} , for a constant κ_2 only depending on n and \mathbf{A} , and that \tilde{g} is \mathbf{B} -regular for \mathbf{B} only depending on n and \mathbf{A} (to see this, one can consider covering spaces of bounded geometry for neighborhoods of points in ∂U). By taking κ small enough compared to κ_1, κ_2 , this metric \tilde{g} satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. \square

The next theorem extends the collapsing construction of Cheeger-Gromov [32] and is one of the main technical results in this paper; it enables to locally collapse already collapsed compact regions with a uniform curvature bound and without changing the metric near their boundaries. Its proof also implies that given an already collapsed closed manifold, one can further collapse the whole metric in a continuous fashion with a uniform curvature bound, see Corollary 12. It is crucial for applications that the curvature bounds are ‘‘uniform’’, which means that they do not depend on the specific metric we start with, but only on its regularity and the dimension. The crux of the matter is to apply the collapsing construction of [32] to the canonical F -structure induced by the N -structure found in [30], and take advantage of the fact that this N -structure is uniformly controlled [48, Sections 6 and 7] [30, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem 11. *Let $\epsilon_n > 0$ be a small dimensional constant. Then for any $\mathbf{A} := \{A_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$, there is $C = C(n, \mathbf{A}) > 0$, such that the following holds. Let (M, g) be an \mathbf{A} -regular complete Riemannian n -manifold with $|\sec_g| \leq 1$ and let $X \subset M$ be a compact domain with boundary such that $\text{inrad}_g \leq \epsilon_n$ on X . Then the following holds.*

(A) *There is a metric \hat{g}_1 on X such that*

- $\hat{g}_1 = g$ in a neighborhood of ∂X ,
- $|\sec_{\hat{g}_1}| \leq C$,
-

$$\text{inrad}_{\hat{g}_1}(p) \leq \xi(\sup\{\text{inrad}_g(x); \quad x \in T_{g,1}(\partial X)\})$$

for all $p \in X$, for a function

$$\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \xi(t) = 0$$

depending only on n and \mathbf{A} .

(B) There is also another metric \hat{g}_2 on X such that

- $\hat{g}_2 = g$ in a neighborhood of ∂X ,
- $\sec_{\hat{g}_2} \geq -C$,
- $\text{Vol}(X, \hat{g}_2) \leq C \text{Vol}(T_{g,1}(\partial X), g)$.

(C) If moreover $\dim(X) \leq 4$, then for any $\mu > 0$ there is a metric \hat{g}_3 on X with

- $\hat{g}_3 = g$ in a neighborhood of ∂X ,
- $|\sec_{\hat{g}_3}| \leq C$,
- $\text{Vol}(\{x \in X; \text{inrad}_{\hat{g}_3}(x) \geq \mu\}, \hat{g}_3) \leq C \text{Vol}(T_{g,1}(\partial X), g)$.

Proof. In this proof, we will use the words “uniform” or “uniformly” whenever a bound only depends on n and \mathbf{A} . For instance a metric is uniformly regular if it is \mathbf{B} -regular for some $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(n, \mathbf{A})$.

By a rescaling argument, and by applying Lemma 10 to a 1-tubular neighborhood S of ∂X , it clearly suffices to prove the proposition in the special case where there is a $\kappa = \kappa(n, \mathbf{A})$ such that the κ -tubular neighborhood of ∂X isometric to

$$(\partial X \times [0, \kappa), g|_{\partial X} \oplus dt^2).$$

In that situation, ∂X is a totally geodesic hypersurface, on which the induced metric has sectional curvature bounded between -1 and 1 . If ϵ_n is a small dimensional constant, the set $Y := X \setminus T_{g, \kappa/2}(\partial X)$ has an F -structure by [33] and our assumptions, so of course by [32, Section 4] it admits a metric \mathbf{g} of curvature bounded between -1 and 1 , and with arbitrarily small injectivity radius everywhere. The issue is to make such a metric \mathbf{g} coincide with the original metric near $(T_{g, \kappa/2}(\partial X), g)$ while keeping the curvature *uniformly* bounded and the injectivity radius small. The proofs below are technical but can be simply summarized as follows: we will apply the collapsing constructions of [32, Section 4] to a specific F -structure, the canonical one given by the N -structure of [30], and show that this F -structure enjoys uniform estimates.

Proof of (A): By [30] (see previous Section 1) if ϵ_n is a small dimensional constant and if ϵ' another small dimensional constant chosen in a moment, there is an N -structure \mathbf{n} on Y which can be assumed to restrict to an N -structure on each slice $\partial X \times \{t\}$ in $T_{g, \kappa}(\partial X) \cap Y$ and a corresponding “invariant” \mathbf{C} -regular metric $g_{\epsilon'}$ close to g and with bounded curvature on X , for some $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(n, \mathbf{A}, \epsilon')$. Because g (resp. $g_{\epsilon'}$) is \mathbf{A} -regular (resp. \mathbf{C} -regular) and $e^{-\epsilon'}g < g_{\epsilon'} < e^{\epsilon'}g$, if the dimensional constant ϵ' is small enough, we can construct a metric that interpolates between g on ∂X and $g_{\epsilon'}$ on Y while keeping the curvature uniformly bounded. This is done by generalizing [31, Lemma 5.3]: using a convolution and center of mass argument, we first construct a map from $(\partial Y, g)$ to $(\partial Y, g_{\epsilon'})$ with uniformly bounded derivatives and we interpolate between these two metrics using a cut-off function with uniformly bounded Hessian. (The smallness of ϵ' is to guarantee that the center of mass is well defined.) We will still call such a metric g , and we can assume that it is uniformly regular.

As explained previously, this N -structure \mathfrak{n} gives rise to a canonical F -structure \mathfrak{t} on Y . We can find a finite covering of X by open sets, called $\{V_\alpha\}$, with covering multiplicity bounded by a dimensional constant c'_n (that is, any point is contained in at most c'_n different V_α), where each open set V_α is of the form $V(p_\alpha)$ for some point $p_\alpha \in Y$ as in the definition of N -structures given in the previous subsection. Moreover, we can assume that near ∂Y , the open sets V_α are of the form $W_\alpha \times I$ where W_α are open subsets of ∂X and I are intervals of length $\kappa/2$.

Recall that orbits of \mathfrak{n} contain torus quotients corresponding to the F -structure \mathfrak{t} . From the proofs in [30], one checks that the $\{V_\alpha\}$ can be chosen so that each V_α is saturated by orbits of \mathfrak{n} and so that $\{V_\alpha\}$ defines an atlas for the F -structure \mathfrak{t} (the definition of atlas for an F -structure is recalled in Section 1). Since each V_α contains a g -geodesic ball of radius ρ independent of (X, g) (see Section 1), a consequence important for our proofs is that \mathfrak{t} has an atlas with bounded covering multiplicity, such that each chart has a definite size depending on n, \mathbf{A} .

Next we fix a chart V_α . From its construction in [30, Sections 7 and 8], V_α carries a pure F -structure \mathfrak{t}_α and we can consider as in [32, Section 4] the stratification of V_α into maximal strata of the F -structure \mathfrak{t}_α , that we call Σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), defined as follows:

$$\Sigma_i := \{x \in V_\alpha; \quad \text{the } \mathfrak{t}_\alpha\text{-orbit passing through } x \text{ is } i\text{-dimensional}\}.$$

Similarly there is naturally a pure N -structure \mathfrak{n}_α on V_α , and there is a stratification into maximal strata of \mathfrak{n}_α , that we call Σ'_i :

$$\Sigma'_i = \{x \in V_\alpha; \quad \text{the } \mathfrak{n}_\alpha\text{-orbit passing through } x \text{ is } i\text{-dimensional}\}.$$

Note that by the construction in [30, Section 7], the metric g can be assumed to be invariant for \mathfrak{n}_α in each V_α .

From [47, 48] (see also introduction of [30]), the orthonormal frame bundle of V_α , denoted by FV_α , has a fibration structure with fiber a compact nilmanifold $\Gamma \backslash N_\alpha$, where N_α is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group and Γ is a discrete subgroup of N_α . The fiber $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{x}}$ passing through a point $\hat{x} \in FV_\alpha$ contains a torus $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\hat{x}}$ passing through \hat{x} , which corresponds to the quotient of the center of N_α . The fiber $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{x}}$ is in fact a disjoint union of such tori. It descends to an orbit $\mathcal{F}_x (= \mathcal{O}_x^{\mathfrak{n}_\alpha})$ of the N -structure \mathfrak{n}_α , passing through a point $x \in V_\alpha$. The orbit \mathcal{F}_x is the quotient of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{x}}$ by a subgroup H_x of $O(n)$ which has to be a finite extension of a torus [48]. Similarly the orbit of the F -structure \mathfrak{t}_α passing through x is given by the quotient of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\hat{x}}$ by H_x , and more generally the tori in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\hat{x}}$ descend to disjoint torus quotients covering \mathcal{F}_x . These observations imply that for a given α , there is actually a fixed nonnegative integer l_α such that for all i :

$$\Sigma_i = \Sigma'_{i+l_\alpha}.$$

We recall some notations of [32, Section 4]. For each i , take an $\epsilon_i > 0$ and define Σ_{ϵ_i} to be the points in Σ_i at distance more than ϵ_i from $\partial\Sigma_i$. For some small $r_i > 0$, the exponential map of the normal bundle $\nu(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i})$ of Σ_{ϵ_i} restricted to the subset $S_{\epsilon_i, r_i} := \{v \in \nu(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i}); |v| < r_i\}$ is a diffeomorphism onto a set called Σ_{ϵ_i, r_i} . Let $\pi_i := \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i} \rightarrow \Sigma_{\epsilon_i}$ denote the corresponding projection map. As argued in [32, Lemma 4.1], ϵ_i and r_i can be chosen depending on g so that by slightly

enlarging V_α and by perturbing the metric g if necessary,

$$(7) \quad \bigcup_i \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i} = V_\alpha \quad \text{and} \\ \text{if } i_1 < i_2 \text{ then } \pi_{i_1} = \pi_{i_1} \pi_{i_2} \text{ on } \Sigma_{\epsilon_{i_1}, r_{i_1}} \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_{i_2}, r_{i_2}}.$$

In the next paragraph we show that these numbers ϵ_i, r_i can actually be chosen uniformly and that the change of metric can also be controlled uniformly.

By the local description of N -structures in [30, Appendix 1], V_α is covered by open subsets of the form

$$T_R(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha}),$$

the tubular R -neighborhood of an orbit $\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha}$ with normal injectivity radius at least R , where the radius $R \in (0, \kappa)$ depends only on n, \mathbf{A} . The metric g on that tubular neighborhood is uniformly close to the natural metric coming from the normal exponential map [30]. Let $\exp^{(n)}$ be the normal exponential map defined on the normal R -disk bundle

$$(8) \quad \exp^{(n)} : \nu^R(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha}) \rightarrow X$$

and let \mathcal{N}_y^R (resp. \mathcal{N}_y) be the fiber of $\nu^R(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha})$ (resp. of the normal bundle $\nu(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha})$) over y . The structure of the normal bundle $\nu(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha})$ is described in [30, Proposition A.15], and there are natural isometric local group actions on $\exp^{(n)}(\nu^R(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha}))$ (i.e. action of the sheaf \mathbf{n}_α). Recall that each Σ_i is totally geodesic with respect to g because g is invariant for \mathbf{n}_α . Since the isotropy groups at points of $\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{\mathbf{n}_\alpha}$ are finite extensions of tori [48, Theorem 0.6], for any j , there is a union of coordinate vector subspaces with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis independent of j and called P_j , inside the vector space \mathcal{N}_y , such that if $i_1 < i_2$ then $P_{i_1} \subset P_{i_2}$. Moreover

$$\Sigma_j \cap \exp^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}_y^R) = \exp^{(n)}((P_j \setminus P_{j-1}) \cap \mathcal{N}_y^R).$$

Putting these elements together, we conclude that one can find ϵ_i, r_i and a perturbation of g depending only on n, \mathbf{A} , so that the properties of the previous paragraph are satisfied. From now on, we work with this new metric, that we continue to call g .

For $x \in V_\alpha$, let us denote by G_x^N (resp. G_x^F) the stalk of \mathbf{n}_α (resp. \mathfrak{t}_α) at x , and g_x^N (resp. g_x^F) its Lie algebra. For $q \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i}$, any element $v_q \in g_q^F$ determines a local Killing vector field \mathbf{V} near q . If $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ and γ is a continuous embedded path from x to $q := \pi_i(x) \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i}$ included in $\pi_i^{-1}(q) \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$, the local Killing field \mathbf{V} near $\pi_i(x)$ yields a local Killing field along γ , and in particular a local Killing field near x , which induces an isomorphism $g_x^F \rightarrow g_q^F$ denoted by $(\pi_i)_*$. This isomorphism is independent of γ since $\pi_i^{-1}(q) \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ is diffeomorphic to a ball.

Similarly to [32, Section 4], in the next paragraphs we define certain subspaces Z_i, W_i of TX which will yield ‘‘slice polarizations’’. It is helpful to look at the orthonormal frame bundle where the situation is simpler:

$$\tilde{\Pi} : FV_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha.$$

This frame bundle is endowed with a metric \tilde{g} naturally coming from g , making $\tilde{\Pi}$ a Riemannian submersion. The sheaf \mathbf{n}_α on V_α and its action can be lifted to FV_α via $\tilde{\Pi}$. Lifted objects from V_α to FV_α will be denoted with a tilde, for instance \tilde{G}_x^F denotes a lift of G_x^F . Remembering that local Killing fields of the base V_α

naturally lift to local Killing fields of the frame bundle FV_α , we identify the Lie algebra $\tilde{g}_{\tilde{x}}^F$ with the corresponding local Killing fields \mathbf{V} near \tilde{x} , which in turn we identify with $\mathbf{V}_{\tilde{x}}$ (the value of \mathbf{V} at \tilde{x}). Recall that since the local group actions are effective, the local Killing fields in FV_α are nowhere vanishing whenever there are non-trivial, so this identification is an isomorphism.

A fibration map Φ capturing the collapsed geometry of (X, g) is constructed in [47]:

$$(9) \quad \Phi : FV_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\alpha.$$

The manifold \mathcal{Y}_α comes with a uniformly regular metric [48, Sections 6 and 7], and the $O(n)$ -invariant fibration map Φ has uniformly bounded derivatives [30, Theorem 2.6]. As already explained previously, the fibers of Φ are orbits of the lifted N -structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\alpha$ and projects via $\tilde{\Pi}$ to orbits of \mathfrak{n}_α .

Note that if $x := \tilde{\Pi}(\tilde{x}) \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i} \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_j, r_j}$ with $i \leq j$, then a local Killing field $v \in g_x^F$ which is mapped via $(\pi_i)_*$ to a local Killing field fixing $\pi_i(x)$ is also mapped via $(\pi_j)_*$ to a local Killing field fixing $\pi_j(x)$. For $q \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i}$, let H_q be the isotropy subgroup of G_q^F (which by definition fixes q). This subgroup lifts to a subgroup $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{q}} \subset \tilde{G}_{\tilde{q}}^F$. Now let $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{q}}^i$ denote the connected (not necessarily closed) subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{q}}^F$ whose Lie algebra, denoted by $\theta_{\tilde{q}}^i$, is the \tilde{g} -orthogonal complement of that of $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{q}}$, using the previous identification. Then for $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i})$, we define $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^i$ by induction on i as follows. For $i = 1$, set $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^1$ to be the connected subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{x}}^F$ whose Lie algebra is defined by

$$\theta_{\tilde{x}}^1 := (\tilde{\pi}_1)_*^{-1}(\theta_{\tilde{\pi}_1(\tilde{x})}^1)$$

with the notations above. At this point, if $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_1, r_1} \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_2})$ we do not necessarily have

$$\theta_{\tilde{q}}^1 \subset \theta_{\tilde{q}}^2$$

but this is uniformly close to be true, so we can equivariantly perturb $\theta_{\tilde{q}}^2$ so that the above inclusion becomes true; this can be performed in a uniformly controlled way because of the uniform control on \mathcal{Y}_α , Φ , \tilde{g} , [30, Proposition 4.7]. Then for $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_2, r_2})$, set $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^2$ to be the connected subgroup of $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{x}}^F$ whose Lie algebra is defined by

$$\theta_{\tilde{x}}^2 := (\tilde{\pi}_2)_*^{-1}(\theta_{\tilde{\pi}_2(\tilde{x})}^2).$$

We continue this procedure step by step to define $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^i$ for all i and $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i})$, modifying a bit $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{q}}^i$ according to the already constructed $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{q}}^{i-1}$. By construction, the assignment $x \mapsto \tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^i$ is invariant under both the local action of the whole group $\tilde{G}_{\tilde{x}}^N$ and the group $O(n)$. Moreover, if $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i} \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_j, r_j})$ with $i \leq j$, then

$$(10) \quad \tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^i \subset \tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^j.$$

That can be seen from our construction by induction, using (7) and the fact that each identification $(\pi_i)_* : g_x^F \rightarrow g_{\pi_i(x)}^F$ does not depend on the choice of path $\gamma \subset \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(x)) \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ joining x to $\pi_i(x)$.

If $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i})$, we denote by \tilde{Z}_i the subspace of the tangent space $T_{\tilde{x}}FV_\alpha$ which is tangent to the orbit of $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^i$. By (10), if $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i} \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_j, r_j})$ with $i < j$,

then at \tilde{x} :

$$\tilde{Z}_i \subset \tilde{Z}_j.$$

An important property of \tilde{Z}_i is the following: the angle at \tilde{x} between \tilde{Z}_i and the $O(n)$ -fiber is uniformly bounded away from 0. To check that, we can use the following facts:

- ϵ_i, r_i are uniformly bounded from above,
- each point $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ is contained in a tubular R -neighborhood $T_R(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{n_\alpha})$ ([30, Appendix 1]) such that the metric and the local group actions are uniformly close respectively to the natural bundle metric induced by the exponential map (8) and the corresponding natural local group actions,
- a lifted local Killing field that is close to being tangent to the $O(n)$ -fiber at \tilde{x} descends to a local Killing field that is almost vanishing at x .

Now if $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$, define Z_i to be the subspace of $T_x X$ which is the projected image of \tilde{Z}_i at any $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(x)$. Define W_i to be the tangent space of $\pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\pi_i(x)}^{n_\alpha})$ at x . (Compared to [32], we find it clearer to work on the frame bundle because of the regularity of the fibration (9) and to define $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{x}}^i$ directly without invoking invariant inner products on Lie algebras, and here W_i is defined with orbits of the N -structure.) If $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i} \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_j, r_j}$ with $i < j$:

$$Z_i \subset Z_j \subset W_j \subset W_i.$$

The key point, which justifies our use of the notion of N -structures, is that the subspaces Z_i, W_i vary in a uniformly controlled way as $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ varies. Let d_i be the dimension of Z_i (or W_i), which is independent of $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$. What we mean by saying that the subspaces Z_i (or W_i) vary in a uniformly controlled way is the following. Locally at any point x of Σ_{ϵ_i, r_i} , in a neighborhood which needs not be uniformly large, there is a smooth family of vectors $\{\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_{d_i}\}$ forming a basis of Z_i (or W_i) and such that the $d_i \times d_i$ matrix $g(\mathcal{V}_a, \mathcal{V}_b)$ is uniformly bounded and has eigenvalues uniformly bounded away from zero. Moreover, the derivatives of the vector fields $\mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_{d_i}$ are uniformly bounded. To check this for Z_i , first \tilde{Z}_i varies in a uniformly controlled way in the frame bundle thanks to the uniform control on \mathcal{Y}_α, Φ and the metric \tilde{g} , and also [30, Proposition 4.7]. Then since at any $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Pi}^{-1}(\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i})$, the angle between the $O(n)$ -fiber and \tilde{Z}_i is uniformly bounded away from 0 (see explanation above), it follows that the projected subspace Z_i also varies in a uniformly controlled way. As for why W_i varies in a uniformly controlled way, this follows from the fact that each point $x \in \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ is contained in a tubular R -neighborhood $T_R(\mathcal{O}_{x_0}^{n_\alpha})$ ([30, Appendix 1]) such that the metric and the local group actions are close respectively to the natural bundle metric induced by the exponential map (8) and the natural local group actions.

Note that each Σ_{ϵ_i, r_i} and each V_α has uniform size, and we can make sure the above constructions are coherent on the intersections of different V_α, V_β . As in [32, Section 4], we can find a one-parameter family of metrics g_δ on Y , with $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and $g_1 = g$ such that g_δ has injectivity radius converging to 0 as δ goes to 0. Concretely, we first multiply the metric by $\log(\delta)^2$, then on each Σ_{ϵ_i, r_i} we multiply the metric by δ^2 (resp. δ^{-2}) in the direction of Z_i (resp. in the directions orthogonal to W_i in TX), and finally we use invariant cut-off functions associated to $\Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}, V_\alpha$. By [32, Section 4], this change of metric gives a collapse

with bounded curvature. It follows from all the uniform controls obtained in the above paragraphs (size of Σ_{ϵ_i, r_i} , V_α and control on Z_i , W_i) that the curvature bound on g_δ is uniform, depending on n , \mathbf{A} but independent of δ , (X, g) . As for the injectivity radius, we can argue as follows. With the notation used above, let q be in $\Sigma_{\epsilon_i} \subset V_\alpha$; to lighten the discussion we will ignore the effects of cut-off functions which are easy to deal with. Then during the collapse-expansion of the metrics g_δ , the slice $\pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_q^{n_\alpha}) \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ is expanded by $\log(\delta)^2$ but is collapsed by δ^2 in the Z_i -directions. The second fundamental form of the slice $\pi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_q^{n_\alpha}) \cap \Sigma_{\epsilon_i, r_i}$ is uniformly bounded as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Next, as a toy example, consider a k -torus T with a flat metric h and Z a collection of T -invariant positive dimensional subspaces of the tangent bundle of T , then if we expand h by $\log(\delta)^2$ followed by a collapse of h by δ^2 in the Z -directions, the volume of the new metric is at most $\delta \log(\delta)^k$ times the initial volume of T . As a consequence, it becomes clear by applying the example more generally that the volume of unit balls for g_δ converge to 0 at a fixed rate and that

$$(11) \quad \text{injr}_{g_\delta} \leq \hat{\xi}(\sup\{\text{injr}_g(x); x \in Y\}, \delta) \leq \xi(\sup\{\text{injr}_g(x); x \in Y\})$$

for fonctions $\hat{\xi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ depending only on n , \mathbf{A} such that

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \hat{\xi}(a, b) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \xi(b) = 0.$$

Fix $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(12) \quad \hat{\xi}(\sup\{\text{injr}_g(x); x \in Y\}, \delta) < \xi(\sup\{\text{injr}_g(x); x \in T_{g,1}(\partial X)\}).$$

To glue g_δ to $(\partial X, g)$, we consider the manifold $(\partial X, g)$, with the charts $\{W_\alpha\}$. By the same arguments as previously, there is a one-parameter family of metrics $h_{\delta'}$ ($\delta' \in (0, 1]$) on ∂X such that $h_1 = g|_{\partial X}$, the injectivity radius of $h_{\delta'}$ satisfies

$$(13) \quad \text{injr}_{h_{\delta'}} \leq \hat{\xi}(\sup\{\text{injr}_g(x); x \in X \setminus Y\}, \delta') \leq \xi(\sup\{\text{injr}_g(x); x \in X \setminus Y\})$$

for fonctions $\hat{\xi}$ and ξ as in (11), and the sectional curvature of $h_{\delta'}$ is bounded from above and below by a constant $C = C(n, \mathbf{A})$ independent of δ' . Set \bar{g}_δ to be the metric on $X \setminus Y$ defined by

$$\bar{g}_\delta := h_{e^{-r}} \oplus dr^2$$

on $\partial X \times [0, -\log(\delta)]$ (which is diffeomorphic to $X \setminus Y$). One can check that the curvature is still bounded only in terms of n and \mathbf{A} . It is possible to slightly change the metric \bar{g}_δ so that near $\partial X \times \{0\}$ and $\partial X \times \{-\log(\delta)\}$, it is the product metric $\bar{g}_\delta \oplus dr^2$ while preserving the relevant bounds. In addition, by the way g_δ and \bar{g}_δ are constructed using F -structures, they coincide on $\partial Y = \partial X \times \{-\log(\delta)\}$. The first part of the proposition, (A), is then proved by defining the metric \hat{g}_1 on X to be \bar{g}_δ on $\partial X \times [0, -\log(\delta)]$ and g_δ on Y , since the desired bound on the injectivity radius of \hat{g}_1 can be checked using (11), (12), (13).

Proof of (B): The proof of the second point of the proposition, (B), is similar to (A). The new ingredient is an idea of [76]. which replaces the computations of [32]. We still assume that the κ -neighborhood of ∂X has a product metric. Let \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{t} be the N -structure and the corresponding canonical F -structure as

previously. For K large enough, there is a polarized F -structure \mathfrak{t}^* on $X \times T^K$, the product of (X, g) with the flat torus T^K obtained by taking the product of K unit circles, see [76]. If $\{V_\alpha\}$ is the atlas of \mathfrak{t} coming from \mathfrak{n} as before, then $\{V_\alpha \times T^K\}$ is an atlas for \mathfrak{t}^* . If \mathcal{O}_x is the orbit of \mathfrak{t} passing through x , then for $q \in T^K$, there is a sub-torus $\mathcal{T}_{x,q} \subset T^K$ and a function $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_{x,q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x$ such that the orbit of \mathfrak{t}^* passing through $(x, q) \in X \times T^K$ is given by $\{(\varphi(q'), q'); q' \in \mathcal{T}_{x,q}\}$.

We verify the two following properties:

- the polarization [32, Section 3] is uniformly controlled in terms of n and \mathbf{A} ,
- the volume is bounded in terms of n , \mathbf{A} and $\text{Vol}(T_{g,1}(\partial X))$.

Because of the uniform control on the fibration maps in [47, 48, 49] (see proof of (A)), the lift of \mathfrak{t}^* to the frame bundle of $X \times T^K$ has orbits which are uniformly controlled. Moreover, the angle between those orbits and any $O(n+K)$ -orbit is uniformly bounded away from zero. Therefore the tangent spaces to the orbits of \mathfrak{t}^* vary in a uniformly controlled way (in the sense of the proof of (A)) and the first point thus follows.

As in [76, Section 6], we can construct metrics with bounded sectional curvature \bar{g}_δ'' on $(X \setminus Y) \times T^K$ and g_δ'' on $Y \times T^K$ that descend respectively to \bar{g}_δ on $X \setminus Y$ and g_δ on Y . The bound on $|\text{sec}_{\bar{g}_\delta''}|$ and $|\text{sec}_{g_\delta''}|$ is uniform by the uniform control on the polarization, the uniform size of the charts and [32], as in the proof of (A). Then by O'Neill's formula (see [76]), \hat{g}_2 has sectional curvature bounded from below by a constant C depending only on n and \mathbf{A} . The new metric \hat{g}_2 on X is constructed by gluing those two metrics, \bar{g}_δ and g_δ .

For the second point, as δ is small, the volume of (Y, g_δ) is smaller than $C(\delta)^{l_1} \log(\delta)^{l_2} \text{Vol}(Y, g_1)$ for some uniform constants $C, l_1, l_2 > 0$, see [76, Section 6, Theorem A] (here the F -structure we start with is of positive rank, which simplifies the computations of [76]). As for $X \setminus Y$, the metric \bar{g}_δ is of the form

$$\bar{g}_\delta := \tilde{h}_{e^{-\phi(r)}} \oplus dr^2$$

on $\partial X \times [0, -\log(\delta)]$ (a chart for $X \setminus Y$) for a function ϕ which is a small perturbation of the identity constant near 0 and $-\log(\delta)$. The volume of $(\partial X, \tilde{h}_{\delta'})$ is also smaller than $C(\delta')^{l_1} \log(\delta')^{l_2} \text{Vol}(\partial X, \tilde{h}_1)$. This is enough to conclude.

Proof of (C): Under additional assumptions, (C) was proved in [82, Theorem 4.1]. For the general case, first note the fact that, if $d \leq 3$, an F -structure \mathfrak{t}_1 on a d -manifold has a polarized substructure \mathfrak{t}'_1 , see [83, Section 3]. Moreover if $\{V_\alpha\}$ is an atlas of \mathfrak{t}_1 , then $\{V_\alpha\}$ also naturally furnishes an atlas for \mathfrak{t}'_1 . Hence, in case $\dim(X) \leq 4$, the F -structure considered previously restricts to an F -structure on ∂X which has a polarized substructure \mathfrak{t}' . The latter is uniformly controlled, by the same arguments as in (A) and (B). In particular, by the computations of [32, Section 3] and the uniform control of \mathfrak{t}' in our situation, there is a family of metrics $h_{\delta'}$ on ∂X so that $h_1 = g|_{\partial X}$, the volume of $(\partial X, h_{\delta'})$ is smaller than $C(\delta')^{l_1} \log(\delta')^{l_2} \text{Vol}(\partial X, h_1)$ for some uniform constants $C, l_1, l_2 > 0$ and the sectional curvature is bounded in absolute value by a constant $C = C(n, \mathbf{A})$. There is also as in the proof of (A) a metric g_δ on Y with injectivity radius smaller than

μ if δ is chosen small enough. Define \hat{g}_3 on X to be g_δ on Y and

$$\bar{g}_\delta := h_{e^{-\phi(r)}} \oplus dr^2$$

on $\partial X \times [0, -\log(\delta)]$ (i.e. on $X \setminus Y$), where ϕ is a small perturbation of the identity which is constant near 0 and $-\log(\delta)$. The volume of \bar{g}_δ is bounded as wanted. \square

In the proof of Theorem 11, we actually showed the following corollary, which improves [32, Theorem 4.1] in that the curvature bound does not depend on the particular metric which is collapsed.

Corollary 12. *Let ϵ_n be as in Theorem 11. For any $\mathbf{A} := \{A_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$, there is $C = C(n, \mathbf{A}) > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (Y, g) be an \mathbf{A} -regular closed Riemannian n -manifold with $|\sec_g| \leq 1$ and $\text{inrad}_g \leq \epsilon_n$. Then there is a continuous family of metrics $\{g_\delta\}_{\delta \in (0,1]}$ on Y such that*

- $g_1 = g$,
- $|\sec_{g_\delta}| \leq C$ for all $\delta \in (0, 1]$,
-

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{inrad}_{g_\delta} = 0$$

and

$$\text{inrad}_{g_\delta} \leq \xi(\text{inrad}_g)$$

for all $\delta \in (0, 1]$, where $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function depending only on n and \mathbf{A} , such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \xi(t) = 0.$$

4. FURTHER PROPERTIES OF THE ESSENTIAL MINIMAL VOLUME AND ITS MINIMIZERS

In this section we derive several general consequences of Section 3 concerning the essential minimal volume and its minimizers.

4.1. On the thickness of minimizers for ess-MinVol. Before stating the main theorem, we prove a Lemma which says that we can inflate compact regions of manifolds with bounded sectional curvature with a controlled curvature bound.

Lemma 13. *For any $\theta > 0$ and $\Lambda > 1$, there exists $\hat{R} > 1$ such that for any compact manifold (V, g) and subset $S \subset V$ satisfying*

- $|\sec_g| \leq 1$,
- $T_{g, \hat{R}}(S) \subset V \setminus \partial V$.

Then there is a metric \hat{g} on V such that

- $\hat{g} = g$ in a neighborhood of $V \setminus T_{g, \hat{R}}(S)$,
- $\hat{g} = \Lambda^2 g$ on S ,
- $g \leq \hat{g} \leq \Lambda^2 g$ on V ,
- $|\sec_{\hat{g}}| \leq 1 + \theta$.

Proof. Let $\theta_1 > 0$. If \hat{R} is large enough, then for (V, g) as in the statement, there is a Lipschitz function

$$f : V \rightarrow [1, \Lambda]$$

such that $f = 1$ on $V \setminus T_{g, \hat{R}-1}(S)$, $f = \Lambda$ on a 1-neighborhood of S , and whose Lipschitz constant satisfies

$$\text{Lip}_f \leq \frac{\theta_1}{C_n}$$

where C_n is a dimensional constant. By [31, Lemma 5.3], we can mollify f to get a new smooth function $f^\#$ such that

$$|f^\# - f| \leq \theta_1, \quad |\nabla_g f^\#| \leq \theta_1, \quad |\text{Hess}_g f^\#| \leq \theta_1,$$

and $f^\# = 1$ on a neighborhood of $V \setminus T_{g, \hat{R}}(S)$, $f^\# = \Lambda$ on S . Then consider the conformal metric

$$\hat{g} := (f^\#)^2 g.$$

By the formula for the Riemann curvature tensor under conformal change [17, Theorem 1.159]

$$(14) \quad \text{Rm}_{\hat{g}} = e^{2v} (\text{Rm}_g - g \oslash (\text{Hess}_g v - dv \otimes dv + \frac{1}{2}|dv|^2 g))$$

where $v := \log(f^\#)$ and \oslash is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product. if θ_1 is small enough, the sectional curvature of \hat{g} lies between $-1 - \theta$ and $1 + \theta$. The other bullets in the conclusion of the lemma are simple to check. \square

Given a connected Riemannian manifold (P, h) and a constant δ , recall that P is said to be δ -collapsed if at any point $p \in P$

$$(15) \quad \text{Vol}(B_h(p, 1), h) \leq \delta.$$

One of the main reasons to study the essential minimal volume instead of the minimal volume is that corresponding minimizing metrics always exist at least in the weak closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$, see Lemma 5. Our main result in this section, Theorem 14, states that these minimizers are “thick”. This in turn implies that these minimizers exist in fact in the much smaller strong closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^s(M)$, see Corollary 15.

Theorem 14. *For each dimension n there is a constant $\delta_n > 0$ such that the following holds. For any closed n -manifold M , and any minimizer (M_∞, g_∞) for the essential minimal volume, M_∞ has no δ_n -collapsed connected components.*

Proof. Let $(M_\infty, g_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$ be a minimizer for the essential minimal volume of M , in the sense of Lemma 5. Let us show that for some dimensional constant $\delta_n > 0$ small enough, any component N of M_∞ contains a point q satisfying:

$$(16) \quad \text{Vol}(B_{g_\infty}(q, 1)) > \delta_n.$$

We proceed by contradiction and suppose that there is a component N for which (16) is not true: for any $q \in N$,

$$(17) \quad \text{Vol}(B_{g_\infty}(q, 1)) \leq \delta_n.$$

By definition of weak convergence, there are metrics h_j such that (M, h_j) weakly converges to (M_∞, g_∞) and there is a pointed sequence (M, h_j, y_j) converging in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff topology to (N, g_∞, y_∞) where $y_\infty \in N$. It is enough to show that for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ small enough, there is $j_1 > 1/\theta$, $R_1 > 1/\theta$ and a smooth metric g_1 on M such that

- (1) $e^{-\theta}h_{j_1} \leq g_1 \leq e^\theta h_{j_1}$ outside of $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1)$,
- (2) $\text{injr}_{g_1} \leq \theta$ on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1)$,
- (3) $|\text{sec}_{g_1}| \leq 1 + \theta$.

So let $\theta > 0$ be a small fixed constant. Applying Abresch's approximation result [1], we perturb each metric h_j to a metric \tilde{h}_j which satisfies:

- $e^{-\theta}h_j \leq \tilde{h}_j \leq e^\theta h_j$,
- $|\text{sec}_{\tilde{h}_j}| \leq 1$,
- \tilde{h}_j is \mathbf{A} -regular for some $\mathbf{A} := \{A_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$ depending only on the dimension and θ .

If δ_n and θ are small enough, then (17) implies that the injectivity radius of \tilde{h}_j satisfies the following: for any R_1 there is j_1 such that if $j \geq j_1$ then

$$\forall x \in B_{h_j}(R_1), \quad \text{injr}_{\tilde{h}_j}(x) \leq \epsilon_n$$

where ϵ_n is as in Theorem 11 .

Let the constant $C = C(n, \mathbf{A})$ and the function ξ be those given by Theorem 11 (A). Take $\Lambda = \sqrt{C}$ and let \hat{R} be given by Lemma 13. Choose $R_1 > \max\{1/\theta, 2\hat{R}\}$, $j_1 > 1/\theta$. Applying Lemma 13 to $V = M$ and $S = B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$, we get a new (smooth) metric \hat{g} on M such that

- $\hat{g} = \tilde{h}_{j_1}$ in a neighborhood of $M \setminus B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1)$,
- $\hat{g} = C\tilde{h}_{j_1}$ on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$,
- $\tilde{h}_{j_1} \leq \hat{g} \leq C\tilde{h}_{j_1}$ on M ,
- $|\text{sec}_{\hat{g}}| \leq 1 + \theta$.

Moreover if R_1 and j_1 were chosen large enough (recall that (N, g_∞) has finite volume), \tilde{h}_{j_1} satisfies

- $|\text{sec}_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}}| \leq 1$ on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$,
- $\text{injr}_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}} \leq \epsilon_n$ everywhere on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$,
- $\text{injr}_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}} \leq \theta/C$ on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1) \setminus B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$ and

$$\xi(\sup\{\text{injr}_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}}(x); \quad x \in T_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}, 1}(\partial B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R}))\}) \leq \theta/C.$$

Applying Theorem 11 (A) to the metric \tilde{h}_{j_1} on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$, we obtain a metric on M which after being scaled up by C , is denoted by g_1 and has the following properties:

- $g_1 = \hat{g}$ in a neighborhood of $M \setminus B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1 - \hat{R})$,
- $|\text{sec}_{g_1}| \leq 1 + \theta$ on M ,
- $\text{injr}_{g_1} \leq \theta$ on $B_{h_{j_1}}(y_{j_1}, R_1)$.

This finishes the proof of bullets (1), (2) and (3) above, which concludes the proof of the theorem.

□

Given a manifold P , let $\#P$ be the number of connected components of P . Theorem 14 implies the following:

Corollary 15. *Let M, M_∞, δ_n be as in Theorem 14. Then we have*

- (1) $\#M_\infty \leq \frac{\text{ess-MinVol}(M)}{\delta_n}$.
- (2) $\text{ess-MinVol}(M) = \inf\{\text{Vol}(Y, h); (Y, h) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^s(M)\}$ and there always exists $(M_\infty, g_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^s(M)$ such that $\text{Vol}(M_\infty, g_\infty) = \text{ess-MinVol}(M)$.

Proof. The first bullet clearly follows from Theorem 14. For the last bullet, we use Lemma 6. □

This Corollary means that in characterizing ess-MinVol as an infimum of the volume, one could take either the weak or the strong closure of $\mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$, and in both case minimizers exist.

4.2. Sectional curvature bounded from below, minimal volume entropy.

Set

$$V_{\text{sec} \geq -1}(M) := \inf\{\text{Vol}(M, g); \text{sec}_g \geq -1\},$$

$$V_{|\text{Scal}| \leq n(n-1)}(M) := \inf\{\text{Vol}(M, g); |\text{Scal}_g| \leq n(n-1)\}.$$

Before stating the next result, note that it is known since the solution of the Yamabe problem that for closed manifolds M of dimension at least 3:

$$(18) \quad V_{\text{Scal} \geq -n(n-1)}(M) = V_{|\text{Scal}| \leq n(n-1)}(M)$$

(see Proposition 7.1 in [76]), so in particular

$$V_{|\text{Scal}| \leq n(n-1)}(M) \leq V_{\text{sec} \geq -1}(M).$$

The following bound follows from Theorem 11 :

Theorem 16. *We have*

$$V_{\text{sec} \geq -1}(M) \leq \text{ess-MinVol}(M).$$

Proof. The reasoning is close to the proof of Theorem 14. Let (M_∞, g_∞) be a minimizer for $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$ and let A_1, \dots, A_Q be the (finitely many) connected components of M_∞ , and a_1, \dots, a_Q points in respectively A_1, \dots, A_Q . There is a sequence of metrics h_i on M with bounded sectional curvature $|\text{sec}_{h_i}| \leq 1$, and sequences of points $\{p_{i,l}\}$ ($l \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$) such that for each l , $(M, h_i, p_{i,l})$ converges in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense to (A_l, g_∞, a_l) . In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show the following: for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$ small enough, there is $j_1 > 1/\theta$, $R_1 > 1/\theta$ and a smooth metric g_1 on M such that

- (1) $e^{-\theta} h_{j_1} \leq g_1 \leq e^\theta h_{j_1}$ on the set $\mathcal{U} := \bigcup_{l=1}^Q B_{h_{j_1}}(p_{j_1,l}, R_1)$,
- (2) $\text{Vol}(M \setminus \mathcal{U}, g_1) \leq \theta$,
- (3) $\text{sec}_{g_1} \geq -1 - \theta$.

Let $\theta > 0$ be a small constant and apply [1] to get metrics \tilde{h}_i which satisfy:

- $e^{-\theta}h_i \leq \tilde{h}_i \leq e^{\theta}h_i$,
- $|\sec_{\tilde{h}_i}| \leq 1$,
- \tilde{h}_i is \mathbf{A} -regular for some \mathbf{A} depending only on n and θ .

Let $C = C(n, \mathbf{A})$ be given by Theorem 11 . Take $\Lambda = \sqrt{C}$ and let \hat{R} be given by Lemma 13. Choose $R_1 > \max\{1/\theta, 2\hat{R}\}$, $j_1 > 1/\theta$. Applying Lemma 13 to $V = M$, $S = M \setminus T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}}(\mathcal{U})$, we get a new metric \hat{g} on M such that

- $\hat{g} = \tilde{h}_{j_1}$ in a neighborhood of \mathcal{U} ,
- $\hat{g} = C\tilde{h}_{j_1}$ on $M \setminus T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}}(\mathcal{U})$,
- $\tilde{h}_{j_1} \leq \hat{g} \leq C\tilde{h}_{j_1}$ on M ,
- $|\sec_{\hat{g}}| \leq 1 + \theta$.

Moreover, since (M_∞, g_∞) has finite volume, if R_1 and j_1 are chosen large enough, \tilde{h}_{j_1} satisfies

- $|\sec_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}}| \leq 1$ on $M \setminus T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}}(\mathcal{U})$,
- $\text{inrad}_{\tilde{h}_{j_1}} \leq \epsilon_n$ on $M \setminus T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ where ϵ_n is as in Theorem 11 ,
- $\text{Vol}(T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}+1}(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \mathcal{U}, \hat{g}) \leq \theta/C$.

Applying Theorem 11 (B) to the metric \tilde{h}_{j_1} on $M \setminus T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}}(\mathcal{U})$, we obtain a metric which we scale up by a factor C : that new metric, denoted by g_1 , can be glued to the metric \hat{g} on $T_{h_{j_1}, \hat{R}}(\mathcal{U})$ along the boundary. That final metric has the desired properties (1), (2), (3). □

The quantity $V_{\sec \geq -1}(M)$ is naturally related to the minimal volume entropy $\lambda(M)$ by Bishop-Gromov inequality and Theorem 16 implies the following chain of inequalities:

$$(19) \quad C_n \|M\| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)^n} \lambda^n(M) \leq \text{ess-MinVol}(M) \leq \text{MinVol}.$$

where C_n is a dimensional constant, see for instance [76, Section 2.4] for the definition of $\lambda(M)$. The first inequality involving the simplicial volume $\|M\|$ comes from [54].

4.3. Compactness of the set of minimizers. Thereafter, M will be a connected closed smooth n -manifold. In general, (M_∞, g_∞) will denote any minimizer for ess-MinVol of M as in Lemma 5. The notion of strong and weak convergences (Definitions 2.4 and 2.2) extend naturally to sequences of elements in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\sec| \leq 1}^s(M)$ since those have finitely many connected components (replace the δ -thick part by the set of points where the volume of the metric 1-ball is greater than δ). With this in mind we readily have the following:

Lemma 17. *The space of minimizers for ess-MinVol of M is compact with respect to strong convergence: any sequence of minimizers admits a strongly converging subsequence.*

Proof. Let (N_i, h_i) be a sequence of minimizers for the essential minimal volume of M , which by Corollary 15 lie in the strong closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^s(M)$. By applying arguments of Lemma 4, the sequence weakly converges to a weak limit $(N_\infty, h_\infty) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}^w(M)$. By lower semi-continuity of the volume and Lemma 5, (N_∞, h_∞) is a minimizer:

$$\text{Vol}(N_\infty, h_\infty) = \text{ess-MinVol}(M)$$

so by Corollary 15, N_∞ has only finitely many connected components. It means by Lemma 6 that (N_i, h_i) is subsequentially strongly converging to (N_∞, h_∞) . \square

4.4. An estimate for connected sums. The next lemma gives an elementary estimate of the essential minimal volume of connected sums.

Lemma 18. *Let M_1, M_2 be two closed connected manifolds of same dimension n , and let k, l be positive integers. Then the connected sum $kM_1 \# lM_2$ satisfies*

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(kM_1 \# lM_2) \leq C_n (k \text{ess-MinVol}(M_1) + l \text{ess-MinVol}(M_2) + k + l)$$

where $C_n > 1$ is a dimensional constant.

Proof. It suffices to show the following observation: for any closed manifold M there is a sequence of metrics g_i with sectional curvature bounded by 1, such that (M, g_i) strongly converges to a Riemannian manifold (N, h) with a smooth metric h , such that

$$\text{Vol}(N, h) \leq C_n (\text{ess-MinVol}(M) + 1)$$

for some large enough dimensional constant C_n , and such that there is a point $x \in N$ where the injectivity radius is larger than 1. Indeed if this observation is true then the lemma follows from a metric gluing argument.

By Theorem 14, the observation is only non-trivial when $\text{ess-MinVol}(M) = 0$. But then M admits an F -structure of positive rank by Lemma 7, so there is a fiber of the F -structure, i.e. a k -dimensional torus quotient K embedded in M , and a tubular neighborhood \mathcal{N} of K diffeomorphic to $K \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, such that both \mathcal{N} and $M \setminus \mathcal{N}$ are saturated by the F -structure in a natural way. Let g' be a flat metric on \mathcal{N} with injectivity radius at least 1 and sectional curvature bounded by $1/2$. From the computations of [32], we can construct a sequence of metrics g_i on M coinciding with g' on \mathcal{N} , with sectional curvature bounded by 1, such that (M, g_i) strongly converges to

$$(\mathcal{N} \sqcup (\partial\mathcal{N} \times [0, \infty)), h)$$

where $\partial\mathcal{N} \times [0, \infty)$ is naturally glued to \mathcal{N} along the boundary, and h is a smooth metric of volume at most $C_n \text{Vol}(\mathcal{N}, g')$. Since the number of diffeomorphism types of torus quotients in each dimension is finite, $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{N}, g')$ can be bounded from above by a dimensional constant and this is enough to prove the observation. \square

4.5. Examples in low dimensions. In this subsection we discuss some examples and questions in dimensions 2, 3, 4. Note that in dimensions 2 and 3, MinVol and ess-MinVol coincide (one can check it for instance with the fact that 3-dimensional F -structures contain polarized substructures [83, Section 3] and using the local uniform collapsing techniques of the previous subsections).

Dimension 2:

For surfaces, the Gauss-Bonnet formula immediately implies that if Σ_γ is a closed surface of genus γ , then the essential minimal volume is given by

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(\Sigma_\gamma) = \begin{cases} 4\pi & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma = 1 \\ 4\pi(\gamma - 1) & \text{if } \gamma > 1 \end{cases}$$

and if $\gamma = 0$, the unique minimizer is the round unique metric on $\Sigma_0 = S^2$, while if $\gamma > 1$, the minimizers are exactly the Riemannian manifolds in the closure of the set of hyperbolic metrics on Σ_γ , in the sense of strong convergence. In particular, note that a minimizer for the essential minimal volume for a large genus surface can be a union of hyperbolic pair of pants of volume 2π (surfaces of genus 0 with 3 cusps), a phenomenon that does not occur in higher dimension, see Theorem 19.

Dimension 3:

First we recall that by the Geometrization theorem and its proof [88, 77, 79, 78, 61, 69, 23], given an oriented closed 3-manifold M , the correctly normalized Ricci flow with surgery starting from any metric on M eventually converges to the union of hyperbolic pieces of M endowed with a hyperbolic metric. As we will see next, by relying on the Geometrization theorem, it is possible to compute the essential minimal volumes of closed prime 3-manifolds and obtain the hyperbolic pieces from the minimizers. If M is a closed oriented prime 3-manifold, then by Thurston, Perelman, etc [88, 77, 79, 78, 61, 69, 23] either it has vanishing (essential) minimal volume, or M is the result of gluing a non-empty union H of finite volume hyperbolic manifolds with a union G of graph manifolds along incompressible tori:

$$M = H \sqcup G.$$

We assume that M has non-vanishing essential minimal volume. Recall that the Yamabe invariant $\sigma(M)$ of M is defined as

$$\sigma(M) := \sup_{[g]} \inf_{g' \in [g]} \frac{\int_M \text{Scal}_{g'} d\text{vol}_{g'}}{\text{Vol}(M, g')^{1/3}}$$

where the supremum is taken over all conformal classes $[g]$ of metrics on M . Anderson observed in [6] that Perelman's work implies the following:

$$(20) \quad |\sigma(M)| = 6 \text{Vol}(H, g_{\text{hyp}})^{2/3}$$

where g_{hyp} is the hyperbolic metric on H . Since here the Yamabe invariant is negative, it is a general fact that

$$(21) \quad |\sigma(M)|^{3/2} = \inf \left\{ \int_M |\text{Scal}|^{3/2} d\text{vol}_g; \quad g \text{ is a metric on } M \right\}$$

(see [67, Proposition 1] for example). Combined with Theorem 16, (21) and (20) imply that $\text{ess-MinVol}(M) \geq \left(\frac{|\sigma(M)|}{6}\right)^{3/2}$. But (H, g_{hyp}) belongs to the closure $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}$ so in fact

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) = \text{Vol}(H, g_{\text{hyp}}) = \left(\frac{|\sigma(M)|}{6}\right)^{3/2}.$$

Actually with more work, one should be able to show that the only minimizer for the essential minimal volume of M is (H, g_{hyp}) up to isometry. See [86, 81] for related results.

Question: What do the minimizers look like if M is the connected sum of closed hyperbolic manifolds? Are they necessarily hyperbolic?

Dimension 4:

By an example of Januszkiewicz [32, Example 1.9] there are examples of $4l$ -dimensional closed manifold M_F^{4l} with positive signature and hence positive minimal volume, but carrying an F -structure of positive rank, so that the essential minimal volume vanishes, see Lemma 7. Those examples can be slightly modified so that the minimal volume is arbitrarily large while the essential minimal volume remains 0. Fix the dimension $4l$, take K copies N_1, \dots, N_K of M_F^{4l} , then there are in each N_k disjoint embedded curves $\gamma_{k,1}, \gamma_{k,2}$ with tubular neighborhoods $\mathcal{N}_{k,i}$ diffeomorphic to $\gamma_{k,i} \times \mathbb{R}^{4l-1}$, such that the complement set $N_k \setminus (\mathcal{N}_{k,1} \cup \mathcal{N}_{k,2})$ is saturated for an F -structure of positive rank. Then it is possible to glue all the $N_k \setminus (\mathcal{N}_{k,1} \cup \mathcal{N}_{k,2})$ together along $\partial\mathcal{N}_{k,1} \cup \partial\mathcal{N}_{k,2}$ and obtain a connected closed manifold W_K , which still has an F -structure of positive rank and vanishing essential minimal volume. By additivity of the signature and because the signature of the product of a ball with a circle is 0, W_K has positive signature proportional to the integer K . Since the signature can be expressed as the integral of a polynomial from the curvature tensor, the minimal volume of W_K can be made arbitrarily large as $K \rightarrow \infty$.

Question: What are the minimizers of the standard 4-sphere? of closed complex hyperbolic surfaces? By Lemma 8, their minimizers are non-empty. Note that according to [60] there are embedded 2-tori inside S^4 such that their complement admits a hyperbolic metric with volume equal to that of the round unit S^4 , so if the unit round metric on S^4 is a minimizer for the essential minimal volume of S^4 , then it is non-unique. For other questions, see Subsection 6.2.

5. NEGATIVE CURVATURE AND LARGE MINIMIZERS

In the main result of this section, we explain why minimizers for ess-MinVol can be interpreted as generalizations of hyperbolic metrics. This result extends Besson-Courtois-Gallot's volume bound for negatively curved manifolds to the essential minimal volume. It is also a "large component theorem", which roughly speaking says that starting from a closed manifold satisfying some topological conditions, any minimizer for the essential minimal volume will have a connected component with large volume.

Before stating the theorem, we briefly recall the main properties of the natural maps of Besson-Courtois-Gallot [19, 20, 21]. Let (X, g_X) be a connected closed

n -manifold ($n \geq 3$) with negative sectional curvature $\sec_{g_X} \leq -1$. Let (M, g) be a connected closed n -manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq -(n-1)$ and admitting a non-zero degree continuous map

$$f : M \rightarrow X.$$

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a naturally defined Lipschitz map

$$F_\varepsilon^{(g)} : M \rightarrow X$$

depending on the metric g and homotopic to f such that for (almost) all $q \in M$,

$$|\text{Jac } F_\varepsilon^{(g)}(q)| \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

The definition of F_ε we are using is spelled out in (2.15)-(2.17) on page 155 of [21]. Furthermore if (M, g_i, p_i) is a sequence with $|\sec_{g_i}| \leq 1$ converging in the pointed Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a finite volume complete manifold (N, h, p_∞) and if for a sequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(F_{\varepsilon_i}^{(g_i)}(B_{g_i}(p_i, R)), g_X) = \text{Vol}(N, h)$$

then the maps $F_{\varepsilon_i}^{(g_i)}$ subsequentially converge to a global isometry F_∞ from (N, h) to its image by F_∞ inside (X, g_X) . In that situation, necessarily g_X is hyperbolic, N is closed and F_∞ is an isometry between (N, h) and (X, g_X) . These properties follow for instance from the arguments in [18] which do not essentially depend on the fact that they suppose a priori the target space to be hyperbolic (see page 157 of [21] for related explanations).

Theorem 19. *Assume $n \geq 3$ and let (X, g_X) be a connected closed n -manifold with negative sectional curvature $\sec_{g_X} \leq -1$. Let M be a connected closed n -manifold admitting a non-zero degree continuous map*

$$f : M \rightarrow X.$$

Then given any minimizer (M_∞, g_∞) for the essential minimal volume of M , there is a connected component N of M_∞ such that

$$\text{Vol}(N, g_\infty) \geq \text{Vol}(X, g_X).$$

Moreover equality holds if and only if $M = X$, g_X is a hyperbolic metric on X and (M_∞, g_∞) is isometric to (X, g_X) .

Proof. Let (M, g_i) be a sequence of metrics in $\mathcal{M}_{|\sec| \leq 1}(M)$ strongly converging to a minimizer (M_∞, g_∞) . Denote by N_1, \dots, N_K its connected components. By Theorem 16 and its proof, there are new metrics \tilde{g}_i on M such that $\sec_{\tilde{g}_i} \geq -1$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(M, \tilde{g}_i) = \text{Vol}(M_\infty, g_\infty)$ and (M, \tilde{g}_i) still strongly converges to (M_∞, g_∞) . Already from that and [19], we have

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) \geq \text{Vol}(X, g_X).$$

We want to improve that bound to a component N_{j_0} of M_∞ . Note that if M_∞ has more than one connected components, all of them are non-compact. The proof is divided into two cases: $n = 3$ and $n > 3$.

Let $U_{i,1}, \dots, U_{i,K}$ be compact domains of (M, \tilde{g}_i) which get larger and larger as i tends to infinity, and roughly corresponding to N_1, \dots, N_K respectively, such that

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(U_{i,j}, \tilde{g}_i) &= \text{Vol}(N_j, g_\infty) \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, K\}, \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(M \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^K U_{i,j}, \tilde{g}_i) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{g}_i are the new metrics obtained as explained above. By the way \tilde{g}_i are constructed, we can assume that on $U_{i,j}$ the sectional curvature of \tilde{g}_i is bounded between -1 and 1 , that the boundary $\partial U_{i,j}$ is smooth totally geodesic with a product metric in a neighborhood, and that

$$(23) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(\partial U_{i,j}, \tilde{g}_i) = 0.$$

The last two points are possible by the chopping theorem [34] and by Lemma 10.

When $n = 3$, then X is a hyperbolic 3-manifold by Geometrization. Each component of $\partial U_{i,j}$ is totally geodesic with small volume (24) and $|\sec_{\tilde{g}_i}| \leq 1$ near it, so necessarily it is a 2-torus or a Klein bottle. Here is a known topological fact: let Σ be either a 2-torus or a Klein bottle, then any continuous map

$$f : \Sigma \rightarrow X$$

admits a continuous extension

$$\tilde{f} : T \rightarrow X, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{f}|_{\partial T} = f,$$

where $\partial T = \Sigma$ and T is the solid torus $D^2 \times S^1$ if Σ is a torus, or the non-orientable disk bundle T over the circle S^1 if Σ is a Klein bottle. This topological extension property can be checked using the classification of normal subgroups of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and the fact that $\pi_1(X)$ contains no subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^2 and has no torsion elements. First, these facts imply that there is a simple closed loop γ in Σ that lies in the kernel of the induced map $\pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. The complement of γ in Σ is a cylinder, even when Σ is a Klein bottle, and γ is the boundary of a disk fiber F in T . Then the restricted map $f|_\gamma : \gamma \rightarrow X$ extends to a continuous map from the disk F to X . Next the complement of the fiber F in T is just a 3-ball, and recall that a continuous map from a 2-sphere to X continuously extends to a map from the 3-ball because hyperbolic manifolds are aspherical. Those observations above prove the topological fact and allow us to perform Dehn fillings (i.e. glue solid tori or non-orientable disk bundles over S^1) along boundary components of each $U_{i,j}$ and produce closed 3-manifolds $\tilde{U}_{i,j}$ together with continuous maps

$$\varphi_{i,j} : \tilde{U}_{i,j} \rightarrow X$$

where $\varphi_{i,j}$ is equal to f on $U_{i,j} \subset \tilde{U}_{i,j}$. Disk bundles over the circle are naturally saturated by polarized F -structures of positive rank, so it is possible to put metrics $h_{i,j}$ on $\tilde{U}_{i,j}$ that coincide with \tilde{g}_i on $U_{i,j}$, with $\sec_{h_{i,j}} \geq -1$, and

$$(24) \quad \text{Vol}(\tilde{U}_{i,j}, h_{i,j}) \leq \text{Vol}(U_{i,j}, \tilde{g}_i) + \delta_i$$

where $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i = 0$. Similarly we get a closed 3-manifold Y_i obtained from the same Dehn fillings as above (with the reverse orientation) on $M \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^K U_{i,j}$, and a

map

$$\phi_i : Y_i \rightarrow X$$

which coincides with f on $M \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^K U_{i,j}$. By (22) there is also a metric h_i on Y_i with $\sec_{h_i} \geq -1$, and

$$(25) \quad \text{Vol}(Y_i, h_i) \leq \delta_i$$

where $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i = 0$. By elementary consideration on the degrees of f , $\varphi_{i,j}$ and ϕ_i , at least one of the maps $\varphi_{i,j}$, ϕ_i has nonzero degree. It then follows from (22), (24), (25) and [19] that subsequently, this map has to be φ_{i,j_0} for some fixed $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ and that

$$\text{Vol}(N_{j_0}, g_\infty) \geq \text{Vol}(X, g_X).$$

In the case equality is achieved, by relying on the rigidity part of the proofs in [19, 21, 18] as mentioned right before the theorem, we get from the sequence φ_{i,j_0} a non trivial limit map from (N_{j_0}, h) to (X, g_X) that turns out to be an isometry. This forces $X = N_{j_0} = N = M$ and $g_X = h$ is hyperbolic.

In the case where $n > 3$, we use $U_{i,j}$ as in (22) and (24) above. Let ε_i be a sequence converging to 0. Consider the the natural maps constructed in [19, 21], i.e. the Lipschitz ε_i -almost volume non-increasing map $f_i := F_{\varepsilon_i}^{(g_i)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_i : (M, \tilde{g}_i) &\rightarrow (X, g_X), \\ |\text{Jac } f_i| &\leq 1 + \varepsilon_i, \end{aligned}$$

coming from f , as explained before the statement of the theorem. An important additional property for these maps in higher dimensions is [21, Theorem 1.10, (i)], so for each $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, $f_i(\partial U_{i,j})$ has $(n-1)$ -volume going to zero as i gets large:

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(f_i(\partial U_{i,j}), \tilde{g}_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, \dots, K\}.$$

In particular, one connected component of $X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^K f_i(\partial U_{i,j})$, that we will call \mathcal{C}_i , has volume close to $\text{Vol}(X, g_X)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(26) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{C}_i, \tilde{g}_i) = \text{Vol}(X, g_X).$$

One way to see that is to reduce to a Euclidean cube and make an induction argument on the dimension, by applying the coarea formula. Since the volume of $f_i(M \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^K U_{i,j})$ also goes to zero, for at least one $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, the map $f_i : U_{i,j_0} \rightarrow X$ is almost of nonzero degree in the following sense:

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{C}_i \subset f_i(U_{i,j_0})$$

so that the set $f_i(U_{i,j_0})$ has volume converging to $\text{Vol}(X, g_X)$. Since f_i is ε_i -almost volume non-increasing, we conclude from (26) and (27) that for the component N_{j_0} of M_∞ ,

$$\text{Vol}(N_{j_0}, g_\infty) \geq \text{Vol}(X, g_X).$$

The rigidity part then follows similarly as before. □

Theorem 2 is now proved. We also obtain the following corollary (which was suggested by John Lott):

Corollary 20. *If $(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}})$ is a closed hyperbolic manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, there exists $\delta > 0$ depending on the dimension n and the diameter of $(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}})$, such that for any metric g on X_{hyp} with sectional curvature between -1 and 1 , we have*

$$\text{Vol}(\{x \in X_{\text{hyp}}; \text{injr}_{g}(x) \geq \delta\}, g_{\text{hyp}}) \geq \text{Vol}(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}})$$

with equality if and only if g is isometric to g_{hyp} .

Proof. First there are finitely many diffeomorphism types of closed hyperbolic manifolds with uniform bound on the diameter so it suffices to consider a given closed hyperbolic manifold X_{hyp} . Suppose towards a contradiction that there are positive numbers $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ and metrics g_k on X_{hyp} with sectional curvature between -1 and 1 , such that

$$(28) \quad \text{Vol}(\{x \in X_{\text{hyp}}; \text{injr}_{g_k}(x) \geq \delta_k\}) < \text{Vol}(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}}) \text{ for all } k.$$

By Lemma 4, subsequentially the sequence (X_{hyp}, g_k) weakly converges to a weak limit (L, h) of total volume at most $\text{Vol}(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}})$. Since by Theorem 19, $\text{ess-MinVol}(X_{\text{hyp}}) = \text{Vol}(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}})$, we necessarily have

$$\text{Vol}(L, h) = \text{Vol}(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}}).$$

The inequalities in 28 are strict, so (L, h) cannot be isometric to $(X_{\text{hyp}}, g_{\text{hyp}})$ but this contradicts the rigidity part of Theorem 19.

Rigidity follows from similar arguments. □

In Corollary 20 (ii), δ necessarily depends on the size of the hyperbolic manifold X_{hyp} since there are closed hyperbolic manifolds whose hyperbolic metrics have arbitrarily small injectivity radius [2, 14].

We end this subsection with some further remarks. Theorem 19 ensures the existence of large volume connected minimizing metrics, which are not closed hyperbolic. However we do not know how to rule out the improbable situation that all connected minimizing Riemannian manifolds with large enough volumes are finite volume hyperbolic manifolds. Note that in higher dimensions, hyperbolic metrics are rare while there are lots of non-hyperbolic closed manifolds with negative sectional curvature [70, 57, 74].

The proof of Theorem 19 above relies on the work of Besson-Courtois-Gallot, which naturally applies in the context of a lower bound on the Ricci curvature. Moreover, the definitions of ess-MinVol and closures in Section 2 also seem well-adapted to this condition on the Ricci curvature, in light of the Bishop-Gromov bound (with lower volume bounds on 1-balls replacing lower bounds on injectivity radius). Nevertheless, it is unclear if there always exist minimizers with finitely many connected components in the situation of a lower Ricci bound, and similarly we do not know if the statements analogous to Theorem 16, Theorem 19 and Corollary 12 hold. These issues have to do with the following question: is it true that any metric with $\text{Ric} \geq -(n-1)$ which is sufficiently collapsed (depending on the dimension) can be further collapsed to an arbitrary extent while preserving a lower bound $\text{Ric} \geq -C_n$?

6. BOUNDED FAMILIES IN DIMENSION 4

In the second half of this paper, we will focus on dimension 4. In this short section, we give a useful description of 4-manifolds with bounded essential minimal volume ess-MinVol , and discuss boundedness properties for known constructions of infinitely many homeomorphic but non-diffeomorphic smooth structures.

6.1. Characterization in terms of the thick-thin decomposition. We would like to describe families of 4-manifolds with uniformly bounded ess-MinVol in a simple quantitative way. Theorem 11 will again play a useful role. If (M, h) is a Riemannian 4-manifold and $\hat{\epsilon}$ a dimensional constant, denote by $M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(h)}$ the $\hat{\epsilon}$ -thick part of M , that is:

$$M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(h)} := \{x \in M; \text{inrad}_h(x) > \hat{\epsilon}\}.$$

Proposition 21. *There are constants $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that the following holds for any $T > 0$ and any closed 4-manifold M . If there is a metric $g \in \mathcal{M}_{|\text{sec}| \leq 1}(M)$ such that*

$$\text{Vol}(M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}, g) \leq T,$$

then

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) \leq CT.$$

Proof. This proposition is a corollary of (C) in Theorem 11. Let $\hat{\epsilon} = \epsilon_4/2$, where ϵ_4 is as in Theorem 11. Let (M, g) be as in the statement with

$$(29) \quad \text{Vol}(M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}, g) \leq T.$$

By [1], we can suppose that g is \mathbf{A} -regular for some universal sequence \mathbf{A} (we may need to replace T by $2T$ in the inequality above). In what follows, C is a universal constant. Choose an arbitrarily small constant $\mu > 0$. Fix $\kappa \in (0, 1)$. Let $\Omega \subset M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}$ be an open subset with smooth boundary which is contained inside and closely approximates the region

$$\{x \in M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}; \text{dist}_g(x, M_{\leq \hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}) > \kappa\}$$

and set

$$X := M \setminus \Omega.$$

By [38, 35] we can and do fix κ small enough (independently of (M, g)) so that

$$X \subset M_{\leq \epsilon_4}^{(g)}.$$

We apply Theorem 11 (C) to X to obtain a metric g_μ''' on M coinciding with g on $\Omega = M \setminus X$, with $|\text{sec}_{g_\mu'''}| \leq C$ and controlled volume in the sense that

$$\text{Vol}(\{x \in X; \text{inrad}_{g_\mu'''}(x) > \mu\}, g_\mu''') \leq C \text{Vol}(T_{g,1}(\partial X), g).$$

But by Bishop-Gromov inequality, given a point $p \in \partial X = \partial\Omega$, the volume of $B_g(p, 1)$ is controlled by the volume of $B_g(p, \kappa)$ which is contained inside $M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}$ by definition of Ω . So (29) implies

$$\text{Vol}(T_{g,1}(\partial X), g) \leq C \text{Vol}(M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(g)}, g) \leq CT,$$

so we get

$$\text{Vol}(M_{>\mu}^{(g_\mu''')}, g_\mu''') \leq \text{Vol}(\{x \in X; \text{inrad}_{g_\mu'''}(x) > \mu\}, g_\mu''') + \text{Vol}(\Omega, g) \leq CT.$$

We conclude by using the definition of $\text{ess-MinVol}(M)$. □

We continue with a characterization of manifolds with bounded essential minimal volume in terms of F -structures, which essentially follows from [32, 33]. If M is a closed 4-manifold, N a compact 4-manifold with boundary, and $\mathfrak{t}_{\partial N}$ an F -structure of positive rank on ∂N , then $(N, \mathfrak{t}_{\partial N})$ is called an F -representative of M if there is a compact 4-submanifold $M_1 \subset M$ covered by orbits of an F -structure \mathfrak{t}_1 of positive rank, and a diffeomorphism

$$\Phi : \overline{M \setminus M_1} \rightarrow N$$

from the closure of the complement of M_1 to N , such that Φ carries $\mathfrak{t}_1|_{\partial M_1}$ on $\partial(\overline{M \setminus M_1})$ to $\mathfrak{t}_{\partial N}$ on ∂N . A family \mathcal{A} of smooth closed 4-manifolds is said to admit a finite set of F -representatives, if there are finitely many F -pairs

$$(Y_1, \mathfrak{t}_{\partial Y_1}), \dots, (Y_K, \mathfrak{t}_{\partial Y_K})$$

such that for any $M \in \mathcal{A}$, one of the $(Y_i, \mathfrak{t}_{\partial Y_i})$ is an F -representative of M .

Lemma 22. *Let \mathcal{A} be a family of smooth closed 4-manifolds. Then ess-MinVol is bounded on \mathcal{A} if and only if \mathcal{A} admits a finite set of F -representatives.*

Hence in dimension 4, the essential minimal volume has a direct interpretation as a functional quantifying the minimal number of F -representatives, whose definition does not involve Riemannian geometry. According to the work of [82], a description of the structures quantified by MinVol would be much less simple. We could also have used N -structures instead of F -structures without much difference.

6.2. Gauge theory and boundedness of MinVol and ess-MinVol . It is well known that some topological 4-manifolds admit an infinite number of distinct pairwise homeomorphic smooth structures. Are there geometric functionals that are controlled on such families of homeomorphic manifolds? For instance, what do the values of MinVol or ess-MinVol on the set of smooth structures of a closed topological 4-manifold look like? Are MinVol and ess-MinVol always bounded by a constant depending only on the underlying topological structure? Can the essential minimal volume of an exotic \mathbb{R}^4 be zero or at least finite?

Those questions are prompted by the following observation: for many (all?) known examples of families of infinitely many pairwise homeomorphic non-diffeomorphic smooth closed 4-manifolds, the minimal volume MinVol can be shown to be uniformly bounded depending only on the homeomorphism type (hence this also holds true for the essential minimal volume ess-MinVol). Indeed, such examples of infinite families are constructed mostly using the following procedures: generalized logarithmic transforms along a fixed number of torus fibers, and Fintushel-Stern's surgeries along a fixed number of tori. Roughly speaking these can be described as follows: one starts with a fixed 4-manifold Y , then there is a region R which is

a torus times a disk, and the effect of the generalized logarithmic transforms or the Fintushel-Stern surgeries is to cut-off R and glue back another compact manifold R' , which is an S^1 -bundle (see [84, 52, 45, 13] for more on the definitions). A sequence of such operations corresponds to a sequence of 4-manifolds Y_1, Y_2, \dots . By the computations of [32], the minimal volume of Y_i is uniformly bounded independently of i . Sometimes such surgeries are followed by a symplectic sum [51], or by a rational blow-down [43]. This produces from Y_1, Y_2, \dots another sequence of 4-manifolds X_1, X_2, \dots . Often (at least for the particular examples of [51, Section 3], [44, 75]) the resulting manifold X_i is the union of two compact 4-dimensional domains A and B_i glued along their boundaries, such that A is independent of i , and B_i is always saturated by a polarized F -structure of positive rank. By [32] again, the minimal volume of X_i is uniformly bounded independently of i . Some related questions and answers on the topological side appear in [87] and [13, Corollary 11, Remark 12]. As a side note, it is shown in [64, Theorem 2] that MinVol and ess-MinVol can take different values on homeomorphic smooth 4-manifolds (to see it for ess-MinVol , use additionally Theorem 16).

7. ESSENTIAL MINIMAL VOLUME OF EINSTEIN 4-MANIFOLDS

In this section, we show that in dimension 4, the essential minimal volume of Einstein manifolds can be estimated in terms of the topology. The bound we obtain does not need a non-collapsing condition and leads to a conjectural topological obstruction for the existence of Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds.

7.1. Preliminaries. We review some properties of Einstein 4-manifolds (M, g) . In [4, 10] it was proved that in any dimension n , a bound on the $L^{n/2}$ -norm of the Riemann curvature tensor coupled with a non-collapsing condition imply that any limit space of a sequence of manifolds with bounded Ricci curvature is smooth away from finitely many points, at which the singularities are of orbifold type. Part of the proof hinges on an ϵ -regularity theorem [73, 3, 50]. Given an Einstein 4-manifolds (M, g) , by the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula, the L^2 -norm of the Riemann curvature tensor is given by the following formula:

$$(30) \quad \int_M |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g = 8\pi^2 \mathbf{e}(M)$$

where $\mathbf{e}(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M . In [36], Cheeger-Naber showed that a family of closed 4-manifolds with bounded Ricci curvature, with a uniform bound on the diameter and volume, only admits finitely many diffeomorphism types. Though we will not need it, an ϵ -regularity theorem for Einstein metrics holds even without non-collapsing assumptions by [37].

We will need a slight generalization of Cheeger-Naber's results for 4-orbifolds with isolated singularities, the validity of which was explained to me by Aaron Naber. It states that a family of closed 4-orbifolds with finitely many singularities, with $|\text{Ric}| \leq 3$, uniformly non-collapsed and with a uniform bound on diameter, has only finitely many orbifold diffeomorphism types. In fact, Theorems 1.4 and 1.12 in [36] continue to hold for this class of orbifolds. One can see this thanks to

a few elementary considerations. On a closed 4-orbifold with finitely many singularities, most classical results of analysis are still true, for instance one can solve the Dirichlet problem on domains with the usual estimates on solutions, the heat kernel exists, Bishop-Gromov inequality holds, etc (see [42] for instance). If we consider orbifolds with $|\text{Ric}| \leq 3$ then one checks that properties like continuity of volume, metric cone theorems, stratification theorems for non-collapsed limit spaces are true by inspecting the proofs in [39, 26, 27, 28, 29]. (From a more general point of view, closed n -orbifolds with $\text{Ric} \geq K$ as well as their limit spaces are “non-collapsed” $\text{RCD}(K, n)$ -spaces [16], so Cheeger-Colding’s work generalizes to this setting by [40].) In addition, by Bishop-Gromov’s inequality and continuity of volume, the ϵ -regularity theorem (2.8) in [36] still holds. These observations mean that the proof schemes of [36] carry over to our situation: for instance in order to show the analogue of Theorems 1.4 in [36], it suffices to prove that there is no tangent space in limits spaces that splits off a factor \mathbb{R}^{4-k} but not a factor \mathbb{R}^{4-k+1} , for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Since we assume the singular points to be isolated, for $k = 1$ the proof of [27, Theorem 6.1] works here, and similarly for $k = 2, 3$ the proofs of [36] are still valid.

7.2. The linear bound. Below is the main result of this section, which is in particular an extension of the diffeomorphism finiteness theorems [7, Theorem 0.1], [36, Theorem 1.12] to Einstein 4-manifolds without diameter bound or non-collapsing assumptions.

Theorem 23. *There is a constant $C > 0$ so that for any smooth closed 4-manifold M admitting an Einstein metric,*

$$C^{-1} e(M) \leq \text{ess-MinVol}(M) \leq C e(M)$$

where $e(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M .

7.3. Thick-thin decomposition for Einstein 4-manifolds. This subsection is a preparation for the proof of Theorem 23. We will work with a small constant $v > 0$ that will eventually be chosen independent of other parameters, and C_v will denote a constant depending only on v that can change from line to line. Recall that for a metric $|\text{sec}|$ controls $|\text{Rm}|$ and vice versa.

The lower bound in the statement of Theorem 23 is true generally and follows from Lemma 8.

The proof of the upper bound will be based on a covering argument. Let g be a metric on the closed smooth 4-manifold M with

$$\text{Ric}_g = \lambda g, \quad \lambda \in \{-3, 0, 3\}.$$

Fix $v > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small real numbers; v will be chosen as a universal constant and ϵ depends only on v ; they will be fixed in Subsection 7.6. It is useful to introduce a certain decomposition of (M, g) , similar to the thick-thin decomposition studied in [6] for instance. We define the collapsing scale r_v as follows for any

$p \in M$:

$$(31) \quad r_v(p) := \sup\{r \in (0, 1]; \quad \text{Vol}(B(p, r), g) \geq vr^4\}.$$

The function $r_v(\cdot)$ is a positive continuous function.

The thick-thin decomposition is defined as follows:

$$M = M^{[>\epsilon]} \sqcup M^{[\leq\epsilon]},$$

where

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} M^{[>\epsilon]} &:= \{x \in M; \quad \int_{B_g(x, \frac{r_v(x)}{24})} |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g > \epsilon\}, \\ M^{[\leq\epsilon]} &:= \{x \in M; \quad \int_{B_g(x, \frac{r_v(x)}{24})} |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g \leq \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of factor for the radius $\frac{r_v(x)}{24}$ is for technical convenience. If g is Ricci-flat we *always rescale it* so that its volume is less than v and its diameter less than 1.

Then, regardless of the sign of the Ricci curvature, if we choose first v small enough and ϵ small enough compared to v , one can check that at any point x of the thin part, $M^{[\leq\epsilon]}$, we have $r_v(x) < 1$, which in particular means by (31) that

$$(33) \quad \text{Vol}(B_g(x, r_v(x)), g) = vr_v(x)^n.$$

Moreover the injectivity radius on $M^{[\leq\epsilon]}$ is small compared to the curvature because by the ϵ -regularity theorem [73, 3, 50]:

$$(34) \quad \forall x \in M^{[\leq\epsilon]}, \quad \sup\{|\sec_x|^{1/2}; \quad x \in B_g(x, \frac{r_v(x)}{48})\} \leq \frac{1}{r_v(x)}$$

and so near points of $M^{[\leq\epsilon]}$ the geometry is locally collapsed and carries an N -structure. This is how, given v , the constant ϵ is chosen with respect to v .

Let $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$ be as in Proposition 21. By Chern-Gauss-Bonnet (30) and Proposition 21, in order to prove the upper bound in Theorem 23, it suffices to show the following:

Theorem 24. *The constants v, ϵ can be chosen small enough so that if (M, g) is as above, there is a metric \underline{g} on M with $|\sec_{\underline{g}}| \leq 1$ and domains $\mathcal{W} \subset M$, $\mathcal{V} := M \setminus \mathcal{W}$, such that*

$$M^{[>\epsilon]} \subset \mathcal{W},$$

$$\mathcal{V} \subset M_{\leq \hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{g})},$$

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{W}, \underline{g}) \leq C \int_M |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g$$

for some universal constant C .

In the above statement, as usual,

$$M_{\leq \hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{\mathbf{g}})} := \{x \in M; \text{inrad}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}}(x) \leq \hat{\epsilon}\}.$$

To construct such a metric, we will roughly speaking first find a metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$ on a smooth approximation \mathcal{W} of $M^{[>\epsilon]}$ with controlled volume, then a second metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2$ on the complement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{W} which is very collapsed, and we will glue these two metrics together.

7.4. Some covering lemmas. If B is a geodesic open ball and λ a positive number, denote by λB the ball with same center and radius λ times larger. Given a family of balls \mathcal{F} , we define its ‘‘multiplicity’’ the maximal number of different balls in \mathcal{F} whose intersection is non-empty. For manifolds with bounded Ricci curvature, the usual Besicovitch covering lemma does not hold with a uniform constant, however the next lemma serves as a replacement in our case:

Lemma 25. *There are finitely many points $x_1, \dots, x_{L'} \in M^{[>\epsilon]}$ such that*

$$M^{[>\epsilon]} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{L'} B_g(x_i, \frac{r_v(x_i)}{3}),$$

and the multiplicity of the family $\{B_g(x_i, r_v(x_i))\}_{i=1}^{L'}$ is bounded from above by some C_v depending only on v .

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$ denote the set of balls of the form $B_g(x, \frac{1}{6}r_v(x))$ with

$$x \in M^{[>\epsilon]}$$

and radius $\frac{1}{6}r_v(x)$ in $(2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}]$. For any $k \geq 0$, the set

$$M^{[>\epsilon]} \cap \bigcup_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k} B$$

can be covered by a family of balls $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k$ with uniformly bounded multiplicity by Bishop-Gromov (each point belongs to at most c_{univ} balls of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$ for a universal constant c_{univ}). Consider the family of balls $\bigcup_k \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$, and remove from it any ball B that is contained in $2B'$ for some ball $B' \neq B$ in $\bigcup_k \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k$. By doubling the size of each remaining ball, this yields a new family $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ of balls of the form $B_g(x, \frac{1}{3}r_v(x))$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ be the family of balls $3B$, where $B \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Balls of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ are thus of the form $B_g(x, r_v(x))$.

Claim: $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a covering of $M^{[>\epsilon]}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ has bounded multiplicity.

Let us check this claim, which would conclude the proof. The fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a cover of $M^{[>\epsilon]}$ is clear from its construction and the identity

$$M^{[>\epsilon]} = \bigcup_k \left(M^{[>\epsilon]} \cap \bigcup_{B \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_k} B \right).$$

Suppose that the multiplicity of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ cannot be chosen uniformly bounded, i.e. it cannot be chosen bounded from above independently of (M, g) . Then for any

integer $N > 0$, there is such a (M, g) such that the family $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ constructed above has multiplicity at least N at some point $x \in M^{[>\epsilon]}$, in other words there are different balls $B_1, \dots, B_N \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ containing x . Let y_1, \dots, y_N be the respective centers of B_1, \dots, B_N . We assume without loss of generality that the radii are in non-decreasing order

$$\text{rad } B_1 \leq \text{rad } B_2 \dots \leq \text{rad } B_N.$$

Since by construction, no $\frac{1}{6}B_i$ is contained in another $\frac{1}{3}B_j$ ($i \neq j$), by Bishop-Gromov inequality and by extracting a subsequence of $\{B_i\}$ if necessary, we can assume that

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} 2^{-1} \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_2) &< 2^{-2} \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_3) \dots < 2^{-(N-1)} \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_N), \\ \text{rad } B_1 &< 2^{-1} \text{rad } B_2 \dots < 2^{-(N-1)} \text{rad } B_N, \end{aligned}$$

and moreover

$$C_v^{-1} \text{rad } B_j \leq \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_j) \leq C_v \text{rad } B_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

We can also make sure that $\text{rad } B_{j_N} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. But since

$$r_v(y_j) = \text{rad } B_j,$$

$B_g(y_1, \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_N))$ is not too collapsed:

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B_g(y_1, \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_N)), g)}{\text{dist}_g(y_1, y_N)^4} \geq C_v^{-1} > 0,$$

therefore by applying Bishop-Gromov monotonicity formula again, the difference of the volume ratios

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(B_g(y_1, \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_{N-1}})), g)}{\text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_{N-1}})^4} - \frac{\text{Vol}(B_g(y_1, \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_{N+1}})), g)}{\text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_{N+1}})^4}$$

is arbitrarily small for some $j_N \in \{3, \dots, N-1\}$ if N is chosen large enough. By a blow-up and compactness argument, by the ‘‘volume cone implies metric cone’’ theorem [26, Theorem 4.91], and since in dimension 4 the singular set has codimension 4 [36, Theorem 1.4], the ball

$$\frac{1}{4}B_g(y_{j_N}, \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_N}))$$

endowed with the metric $\text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_N})^{-2}g$ would be arbitrarily close (in the C^∞ topology by the Einstein condition) to a smooth open domain of a metric cone \mathfrak{C} which is smooth outside its tip if N is large enough. The smooth part of this 4-dimensional cone \mathfrak{C} is Ricci flat so actually it is flat. Since $y_{j_N} \in M^{[>\epsilon]}$, we have

$$\int_{B_g(y_{j_N}, \frac{1}{24}r_v(y_{j_N}))} |\text{Rm}_g|^2 > \epsilon.$$

But for large N , since by construction $\frac{1}{6}B_g(y_1, r_v(y_1))$ is not included inside $\frac{1}{3}B_g(y_{j_N}, r_v(y_{j_N}))$ and since (35), we have

$$B_g(y_{j_N}, \frac{1}{24}r_v(y_{j_N})) \subset \frac{1}{4}B_g(y_{j_N}, \text{dist}_g(y_1, y_{j_N}))$$

so that would eventually contradict the flatness of the cone \mathfrak{C} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, by the ϵ -regularity theorem.

□

Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{L'}$ be as in the previous lemma. In following lemma we find annuli with bounded curvature inside $B_g(x_i, r_v(x_i))$.

Lemma 26. *There are constants $\frac{1}{1000} > \delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$ and C_v depending only on v such that one can choose for each $i \in \{1, \dots, L'\}$ a radius*

$$r_i \in \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta_1 \right) r_v(x_i), \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta_1 \right) r_v(x_i) \right)$$

satisfying the following: at any point

$$x \in B_g(x_i, r_i + \delta_2 r_v(x_i)) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \delta_2 r_v(x_i)),$$

we have

$$(36) \quad r_v(x_i)^2 |\text{Rm}_g(x)| \leq C_v,$$

$$(37) \quad \text{inrad}_g(x) \geq \delta_2 r_v(x_i).$$

Proof. We argue by contradiction: assume that there is a sequence of 4-manifolds of the form $B_{g_k}(y_k, R_k)$ such that g_k is a metric with $|\text{Ric}_{g_k}| \leq n - 1$, $R_k \leq 1$ and $\text{Vol}_{g_k}(B_{g_k}(y_k, R_k)) \geq v R_k^4$, such that the conclusion of the lemma fails. By rescaling one can assume that $R_k = 1$, and so we are supposing that one cannot find $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$ and C_v such that for some radius

$$\bar{r} \in \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta_1, \frac{1}{2} + \delta_1 \right),$$

at any point

$$x \in B_{g_k}(y_k, \bar{r} + \delta_2) \setminus B_{g_k}(y_k, \bar{r} - \delta_2),$$

the bounds $|\text{Rm}_{g_k}(x)| \leq C_v$ and $\text{inrad}_{g_k}(x) \geq \delta_2$ hold.

By Cheeger-Naber [36, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 8.6, Corollary 8.85] however, a subsequence of the pointed balls $(B_{g_k}(y_k, \frac{3}{4}), g_k, y_k)$ (which are all v -noncollapsed) converge in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a metric space (X, g_∞, y_∞) smooth outside of finitely many points u_1, \dots, u_K . It is then possible to find $\delta_1 > \delta_2 > 0$ such that for some radius

$$\bar{r} \in \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta_1, \frac{1}{2} + \delta_1 \right),$$

the region

$$B_{g_k}(y_k, \bar{r} + \delta_2) \setminus B_{g_k}(y_k, \bar{r} - \delta_2),$$

is δ_2 -far from $\{u_1, \dots, u_K\}$ as measured with the distance g_∞ . By the ϵ -regularity theorem in [4][36, (2.8)], we get the desired bounds

$$|\text{Rm}_{g_k}(x)| \leq C_v \text{ and } \text{inrad}_{g_k}(x) \geq \delta_2$$

on $B_{g_k}(y_k, \bar{r} + \delta_2) \setminus B_{g_k}(y_k, \bar{r} - \delta_2)$ for k large enough. This contradiction finishes the proof.

□

Let r_i, δ_2 be as in Lemma 26. By Lemma 25, the balls $\{B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i))\}_{i=1}^{L'}$ cover $M^{[>\epsilon]}$ and the covering multiplicity of $\{B_g(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^{L'}$ is bounded by C_v . By removing some balls from this family, we can assume (after renumbering) that there is an integer $L \leq L'$ so that the points x_1, \dots, x_L satisfy:

(38)

$\{B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i))\}_{i=1}^L$ cover $M^{[>\epsilon]}$ with covering multiplicity bounded by C_v ,

and for any $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, $B_g(x_i, r_i)$ is not included inside

$$B_g(x_j, r_j - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_j)).$$

Each x_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$) is a point of $M^{[>\epsilon]}$, so $\int_{B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i))} |\text{Rm}_g|^2 > \epsilon$. Thus, as a consequence of the multiplicity bound on the covering $\{B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i))\}_{i=1}^L$, there is $C_v > 0$ so that

$$(39) \quad L \leq C_v \int_M |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g.$$

The region $\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i + \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i))$ is contained in $M^{[\leq\epsilon]}$ and we want to cover it with nice balls capturing the collapsed geometry around that region:

Lemma 27. *There are finitely many points*

$$y_1, \dots, y_Q \in \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i + \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i))$$

and corresponding radii $\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_Q$ such that for some C_v depending only on v :

(i)

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i + \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2}r_v(x_i)) \subset \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{4}),$$

(ii)

$$\frac{1}{48}r_v(y_q) \leq \bar{r}_q \leq 1,$$

(iii)

$$\sup\{|\sec_x|^{1/2}; \quad x \in B_g(y_q, \bar{r}_q)\} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{r}_q} \text{ with equality if } \bar{r}_q < 1,$$

(iv)

$$Q \leq C_v L.$$

Moreover for a universal constant c_{univ} , if $q, q' \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$ and

$$B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2}) \cap B_g(y_{q'}, \frac{\bar{r}_{q'}}{2}) \neq \emptyset,$$

then a universal Harnack property holds:

$$(40) \quad c_{\text{univ}}^{-1} \bar{r}_q \leq \bar{r}_{q'} \leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q,$$

and the multiplicity of the covering $\{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2})\}_{q=1}^Q$ is bounded by c_{univ} .

Proof. This lemma follows from an argument appearing in [31, Lemma 5.4, Theorem 5.5]. For

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i + \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i))$$

we set

$$\bar{k}(x) := \inf\left\{\frac{1}{R}; R \leq 1, \sup_{x \in B_g(x, R)} |\sec_g(x)|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{R}\right\}.$$

In the statements and proofs of [31, Lemma 5.4, Theorem 5.5] one should replace \bar{k} by \bar{k}^{-1} except in conformally changed metrics of the form “ $\bar{k}^2(\cdot)g$ ”. Then their arguments imply our lemma, and give points y_1, \dots, y_Q with radii

$$\bar{r}_q := \bar{k}(y_q)^{-1} \in (0, 1]$$

so that the family of balls $\{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{4})\}_{q=1}^Q$ covers

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i + \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)).$$

In particular,

$$(41) \quad \sup\{|\sec_x|^{1/2}; x \in B_g(y_q, \bar{r}_q)\} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{r}_q} \text{ with equality if } \bar{r}_q < 1,$$

and the multiplicity of $\{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2})\}_{q=1}^Q$ is universally bounded (see proof of [31, Theorem 5.5]). Moreover we have a Harnack property: the sizes of intersecting balls of the form $B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2})$ are universally comparable. The additional properties we need to check in order to finish the proof are:

$$\frac{1}{48} r_v(y_q) \leq \bar{r}_q \leq 1$$

$$\text{and } Q \leq C_v L.$$

The first line comes from (34). By Lemma 26, by (41) and the fact that $x_i \in M^{[>e]}$, if $B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{4})$ intersects

$$B_g(x_i, r_i + \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i))$$

then $r_v(x_i)$ and \bar{r}_q are comparable up to a factor C_v and so Bishop-Gromov inequality yields the second line. \square

7.5. Metric deformations. Given $s > 0$, given a subset S of a manifold \mathcal{W} and a metric h on \mathcal{W} , we recall the following notation for the s -neighborhood of S :

$$T_{h,s}(S) := \{y \in \mathcal{W}; \text{dist}_h(y, S) < s\}.$$

Given a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary (Ω, h) , we say that h is a product metric near $\partial\Omega \subset \Omega$ if there is a tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ isometric to

$$(\partial\Omega \times [0, 1), h|_{\Omega} \oplus dt^2),$$

in particular $\partial\Omega$ is totally geodesic in that case.

Let r_i, δ_2 be as in Lemma 26. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^L$ be as in (38) and let $\{y_q\}_{q=1}^Q, \{\bar{r}_q\}_{q=1}^Q$ be as in Lemma 27. Recall that ϵ only depends on v (see Subsection 7.3).

Lemma 28. *There exists a universal constant c_{univ} such that the following is true.*

For any $\eta > 0$, if $v > 0$ is taken small enough depending on η , then there is an open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i)$$

and compact subdomains $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{K_0} \subset \mathcal{W}$ with smooth boundaries so that the following holds: there is a constant C_v depending only on v and a metric h_0 on \mathcal{W} such that

- (i) $\mathcal{W} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3})$,
- (ii) for each $k \in \{1, \dots, K_0\}$, h_0 is a product metric near $\partial\mathcal{R}_k \subset \mathcal{R}_k$ and there is $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ with $\mathcal{R}_k \subset B_g(x_i, r_i)$,
- (iii) $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{R}_k, h_0) \geq 1/C_v$, $\text{diam}_{h_0} \mathcal{R}_k \leq C_v$ and $\text{injr}_{h_0} \geq 1/C_v$ on $T_{h_0,1}(\partial\mathcal{R}_k)$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, K_0\}$,
- (iv) $|\text{Ric}_{h_0}| \leq 3$ on \mathcal{W} , and $|\text{sec}_{h_0}| \leq C_v$ on $T_{h_0,1}(\mathcal{W} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{K_0} \mathcal{R}_k)$,
- (v) $\text{Vol}(T_{h_0,1}(\mathcal{W} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{K_0} \mathcal{R}_k), h_0) \leq C_v L$,
- (vi) h_0 is a product metric near $\partial\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}$, $|\text{sec}_{h_0}| \leq c_{\text{univ}}$ near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ and $\text{injr}_{h_0}(x) \leq \eta$ for $x \in \partial\mathcal{W}$.

Proof. Let r_i, δ_2 be as in Lemma 26. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^L$ be as in (38) and let $\{y_q\}_{q=1}^Q, \{\bar{r}_q\}_{q=1}^Q$ as in Lemma 27. As before, we denote by C_v a constant depending only on v , and by c_{univ} a universal constant. These constants can change from line to line.

Since g is an Einstein metric and because of Lemma 26, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and for all $x \in B_g(x_i, r_i + \delta_2 r_v(x_i)) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \delta_2 r_v(x_i))$:

$$(42) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{injr}_g(x) &\geq \delta_2 r_v(x_i) \geq \frac{r_i}{C_v}, \\ r_i^{k+1} |D_g^k \text{Rm}_g| &\leq C_v^{(k)} \text{ for all } k \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where D_g denotes the covariant derivative with respect to g . Similarly by Lemma 27, for all $q \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$ and all $y \in B_g(y_q, \bar{r}_q)$:

$$(43) \quad \bar{r}_q^{k+1} |D_g^k \text{Rm}_g| \leq c_{\text{univ}}^{(k)} \text{ for any } k \geq 0.$$

Note the following Harnack type property about the relative size of radii. Consider first $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and suppose that

$$B_g(x_i, r_i) \cap B_g(x_j, r_j) \neq \emptyset.$$

Then by (38), the intersection of

$$B_g(x_i, r_i) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i))$$

and

$$B_g(x_j, r_j) \setminus B_g(x_j, r_j - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_j))$$

is non-empty. By the curvature bound of Lemma 26 and the definition of $M^{>\epsilon}$,

$$C_v^{-1} r_i \leq r_j \leq C_v r_i.$$

The analogous statement for the balls $\{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2})\}_{q=1}^Q$ (with a universal constant) was shown in Lemma 27 (*iv*): if for $q, q' \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$

$$B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2}) \cap B_g(y_{q'}, \frac{\bar{r}_{q'}}{2}) \neq \emptyset,$$

then

$$c_{\text{univ}}^{-1} \bar{r}_q \leq \bar{r}_{q'} \leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q.$$

Finally, if $q \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and if

$$B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2}) \cap B_g(x_i, r_i) \neq \emptyset,$$

then because y_q is not in $\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i))$ by Lemma 27, we have

$$B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2}) \cap (B_g(x_i, r_i) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i))) \neq \emptyset,$$

and so

$$C_v^{-1} r_i \leq \bar{r}_q \leq C_v r_i.$$

Indeed the curvature bound of Lemma 26 and Lemma 27 imply the first inequality. For the second inequality, recall that the curvature inside $B_g(y_q, \bar{r}_q)$ is bounded by $\frac{1}{\bar{r}_q^2}$ (Lemma 27), and that $\int_{B_g(x_i, \frac{r_v(x_i)}{24})} |\text{Rm}_g|^2 > \epsilon$ since $x_i \in M^{>\epsilon}$.

We try to look for a conformal metric of the form “ $h_0 = \rho^2 g$ ” for some function ρ . Let us choose the conformal factor ρ as follows. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^L$ and $\{\phi_q\}_{q=1}^Q$ be functions satisfying the following for some constant $C_v > 0$ and universal constant c_{univ} :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i, \phi_q &: M \rightarrow [0, 1], \\ \varphi_i &= 0 \text{ outside of } B_g(x_i, r_i), \\ \varphi_i &= 1 \text{ inside of } B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)), \\ \phi_q &= 0 \text{ outside of } B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2}), \\ \phi_q &= 1 \text{ inside of } B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3}), \\ (44) \quad r_i |D_g \varphi_i| &\leq C_v, \quad r_i^2 |\text{Hess}_g \varphi_i| \leq C_v, \\ \bar{r}_q |D_g \phi_q| &\leq c_{\text{univ}}, \quad \bar{r}_q^2 |\text{Hess}_g \phi_q| \leq c_{\text{univ}}. \end{aligned}$$

where Hess_g denotes as usual the Hessian with respect to the metric g . The existence of such functions follows from the properties of g listed at the beginning of the proof.

Set for all $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ or $q \in \{1, \dots, Q\}$ and for any

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3})$$

the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(x) &:= \frac{\varphi_i(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^L \varphi_i(x) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \phi_q(x)} \\ \psi'_q(x) &:= \frac{\phi_q(x)}{\sum_{i=1}^L \varphi_i(x) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \phi_q(x)} \\ \rho(x) &:= \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{\psi_i(x)}{r_i} + \sum_{q=1}^Q \frac{\psi'_q(x)}{\bar{r}_q}. \end{aligned}$$

For any point

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3}),$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \varphi_i(x) + \sum_{q=1}^Q \phi_q(x) \geq 1.$$

Moreover, by the bound on the multiplicity of the families

$$\{B_g(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^L, \quad \{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2})\}_{q=1}^Q,$$

(see Lemma 25, Lemma 27), for any

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3}),$$

there is a small neighborhood U_x of x such that there are at most C_v functions φ_i , and c_{univ} functions ϕ_q which are non-vanishing in U_x . The Harnack property of the second paragraph ensures that the radii of nearby balls are comparable. Then observe that by (44), the derivatives of ψ_i and ψ'_q are locally bounded: at any point in $B_g(x_i, r_i)$ or in $B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_g \psi_i| &\leq C_v r_i^{-1}, \quad |D_g^2 \psi_i| \leq C_v r_i^{-2}, \\ \text{or } |D_g \psi'_q| &\leq C_v \bar{r}_q^{-1}, \quad |D_g^2 \psi'_q| \leq C_v \bar{r}_q^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

and in fact

$$|D_g \psi'_q| \leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q^{-1}, \quad |D_g^2 \psi'_q| \leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q^{-2} \text{ at points which are outside of } \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i).$$

Since $r_i \leq 1$, $\bar{r}_q \leq 1$, at any point in $B_g(x_i, r_i)$ or $B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3})$ we have again by the Harnack properties:

$$\begin{aligned} C_v^{-1}r_i^{-1} &\leq \rho \leq C_v r_i^{-1}, \\ |D_g \rho| &\leq C_v r_i^{-2}, \quad |D_g^2 \rho| \leq C_v r_i^{-3} \\ \text{or} \\ C_v^{-1}\bar{r}_q^{-1} &\leq \rho \leq C_v \bar{r}_q^{-1}, \\ (45) \quad |D_g \rho| &\leq C_v \bar{r}_q^{-2}, \quad |D_g^2 \rho| \leq C_v \bar{r}_q^{-3}, \end{aligned}$$

and in fact at points outside of $\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\text{univ}}^{-1}\bar{r}_q^{-1} &\leq \rho \leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q^{-1}, \\ |D_g \rho| &\leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q^{-2}, \quad |D_g^2 \rho| \leq c_{\text{univ}} \bar{r}_q^{-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the metric h_0

$$h_0 := \rho^2 g.$$

By the formula for the Riemann curvature tensor under a conformal change of metric, see (14), and by (45), for any point in the union of

$$\bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3})$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)),$$

the following curvature bound holds:

$$(46) \quad |\sec_{h_0}(x)| \leq C_v.$$

For points in

$$\bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3}) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i)$$

the sectional curvature of h_0 is actually bounded by a universal constant c_{univ} (in particular not depending on v), because the multiplicity bounds and Harnack property for balls in $\{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{2})\}_{q=1}^Q$ are relying on a universal constant, see (45).

Since by Lemma 27 the balls in $\{B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{4})\}_{q=1}^Q$ already covers

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)),$$

and because of (40), with respect to the metric h_0 , the set

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3})$$

contains a complete $\frac{1}{c_{\text{univ}}}$ -neighborhood of $\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i)$. Thus by the chopping theorem [34], we can find a domain \mathcal{W} such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \subset \mathcal{W} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^Q B_g(y_q, \frac{\bar{r}_q}{3}),$$

and the boundary \mathcal{W} has bounded second fundamental form. By Lemma 10, we modify the metric h_0 near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ so that the metric h_0 is now a product metric near $\partial\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and the sectional curvature of h_0 is still universally bounded near $\partial\mathcal{W}$.

By (34), the injectivity radius of h_0 near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ is less than the constant $\eta > 0$ if v is chosen small enough.

Similarly there is a neighborhood \mathbf{U} with smooth boundary of the set of points which are in

$$\mathcal{W} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i))$$

but not in

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^L B_g(x_i, r_i) \setminus B_g(x_i, r_i - \frac{\delta_2}{2} r_v(x_i)),$$

and whose boundary $\partial\mathbf{U}$ has bounded second fundamental form and the curvature is bounded by C_v in a $\frac{1}{C_v}$ -neighborhood neighborhood of it. We can again modify h_0 so that it is a product metric near $\partial\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{U}$. The bound (46) means that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathbf{U}} |\sec_{h_0}(x)|^{1/2} \leq C_v.$$

Recall that the curvature of h_0 near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ is bounded by a *universal* constant, and we chose v small enough so that the injectivity radius of h_0 near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ is less than η . (While the sectional curvature of h_0 near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ is bounded independently of the choice of v , the curvature bound elsewhere is potentially very large if v is chosen small.)

Define $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{K_0}$ to be the connected components of \mathbf{U} . Note that by definition, each \mathcal{R}_k is contained in a subset of the form $B_g(x_i, r_i)$. In our constructions, the constant v is chosen according to η . Once v is fixed, the constants C_v is fixed. The properties in the statement of the lemma then follows from the constructions. For instance the volume bound of $T_{h_0,1}(\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathbf{U})$ comes from the covering multiplicity bounds, the Harnack property and the fact that $Q \leq C_v L$ (Lemma 27). □

Let \mathcal{W} , let $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{K_0} \subset \mathcal{W}$, and let h_0 be given by Lemma 28. Recall that by Lemma 28 (iii) (iv), each (\mathcal{R}_k, h_0) has volume lower bound, diameter upper bound and $|\text{Ric}_{h_0}| \leq 3$. Note that by Lemma 28 (ii) (iii), there is a dimensional constant C_v so that:

$$(47) \quad K_0 \leq C_v L$$

where K_0 is the number of regions \mathcal{R}_k .

Lemma 29. *There is a constant C_v depending only on v , such that for each \mathcal{R}_k ($k \in \{1, \dots, K_0\}$), one can find a metric h_k which coincides with h_0 on a neighborhood of \mathcal{R}_k , such that*

- (i) $|\sec_{h_k}| \leq C_v$ on \mathcal{R}_k ,
- (ii) $\text{injrad}_{h_k} \geq C_v^{-1}$ on \mathcal{R}_k ,
- (iii) $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{R}_k, h_k) \leq C_v$.

Proof. We can argue by contradiction. Consider a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with boundary $\{(\hat{S}_j, g_j)\}_{j \geq 0}$ such that for some $c > 0$,

- $T_{g_j,1}(\partial\hat{S}_j)$ has injectivity radius bounded below by c^{-1} and sectional curvature bounded by c ,
- g_j is a metric product near $\partial\hat{S}_j \subset \hat{S}_j$,
- $\text{diam}_{g_j}(\hat{S}_j) \leq c$, $\text{Vol}_{g_j}(\hat{S}_j) \geq c^{-1}$,
- and $|\text{Ric}_{g_j}| \leq 3$,

but such that the conclusion of the lemma fails. Then a subsequence converges in the Gromov-Hausdorff distance, and the proof of [36, Theorem 1.12] shows that the possible diffeomorphism types of \hat{S}_j is actually finite. Hence, it is possible to put a metric on \hat{S}_j with uniform control on curvature, injectivity radius and volume, which coincides with g_j on $T_{g_j,1}(\partial\hat{S}_j)$. This is the desired contradiction. \square

Let \mathcal{V} be the complement of \mathcal{W} in M . Given η , let v and ϵ be fixed by Lemma 28. We replace the metric h_0 of Lemma 28 by h_i of Lemma 29 on each R_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, K_0\}$), and call the new metric on \mathcal{W} by $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$. Clearly, by Lemmas 29 and 28,

$$\begin{aligned} |\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1}| &\leq C_v, \\ |\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1}| &\leq c_{univ} \text{ near } \partial\mathcal{W} \end{aligned}$$

and the volume of $(\mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1)$ is well controlled:

$$(48) \quad \text{Vol}(\mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1) \leq C_v L.$$

Moreover the injectivity radius of $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$ near $\partial\mathcal{W}$ is at most η

The next lemma produces a metric on \mathcal{V} , the complement of \mathcal{W} in M .

Lemma 30. *There is a universal constant c_{univ} such that the following is true.*

Given $\eta > 0$, there is a metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2$ on a neighborhood of $\mathcal{V} = M \setminus \mathcal{W}$ such that

- (i) $|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2}| \leq c_{univ}$,
- (ii) $\text{injrad}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2} \leq \eta$ everywhere on \mathcal{V} ,
- (iii) $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2 = \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$ near $\partial\mathcal{V}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V} \subset M^{[\leq \epsilon]}$, similarly to the proof of Lemma 27, we get a cover of \mathcal{V} by balls with controlled curvature relative to their size and satisfying a universal Harnack inequality. By a conformal modification of the metric as in the proof of Lemma 28, if v was chosen small enough, we get a metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2$ on \mathcal{V} of curvature bounded by a universal constant c_{univ} and with injectivity radius at most η . In fact, we can make sure that $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2 = \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$ near $\partial\mathcal{V}$ by construction of $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$ near $\partial\mathcal{V} = \partial\mathcal{W}$. \square

7.6. End of the proof.

Proof of Theorem 23. Let $\eta > 0$ and let $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_1$ and $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_2$ be the metrics obtained in the previous lemmas. For a large $A_v > 0$, consider $\mathcal{V} \cup (\partial\mathcal{V} \times [0, A_v]) \cup \mathcal{W}$ where we naturally identify $\partial\mathcal{V} \times \{0\}$ with $\partial\mathcal{V}$ (resp. $\partial\mathcal{V} \times \{A_v\}$ with ∂W). This manifold is nothing else than M . We put the following metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3$ on it:

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3 &= \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1 \text{ on } \mathcal{W}, \\ \underline{\mathbf{g}}_3 &= \underline{\mathbf{g}}_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{V}, \\ \underline{\mathbf{g}}_3 &= \underline{\mathbf{g}}_1|_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \oplus dt^2 \text{ on } [0, A_v].\end{aligned}$$

This smooth metric satisfies on $\mathcal{V} \cup (\partial\mathcal{V} \times [0, A_v])$:

$$\begin{aligned}|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3}| &\leq c_{univ}, \\ \text{injr}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3} &\leq \eta,\end{aligned}$$

and satisfies

$$|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3}| \leq C_v$$

everywhere. Now we can rescale it by the constant $2c_{univ} > 0$ so that $|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3}| \leq 1/2$ and $\text{injr}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_3} \leq \sqrt{2c_{univ}}\eta$ on $\mathcal{V} \cup (\partial\mathcal{V} \times [0, A_v])$. Then if A_v was taken large enough, by conformally changing that new metric with a function with controlled Hessian equal to 1 on \mathcal{V} and equal to a large constant on \mathcal{W} depending only on v , we get a final metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}}| &\leq 1, \\ \text{injr}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}} &\leq \sqrt{2c_{univ}}\eta \text{ on } \mathcal{V}.\end{aligned}$$

From (48), we can also ensure that

$$(49) \quad \text{Vol}((\partial\mathcal{V} \times [0, A_v]) \cup \mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}) \leq C_v L$$

for some C_v depending only on v .

Let $\hat{\epsilon}$ be as in Proposition 21. Set

$$(50) \quad \eta = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{2\sqrt{2c_{univ}}}$$

(now v, ϵ are finally fixed as in Lemma 28). The metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ on M constructed just above then satisfies $|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}}| \leq 1$ and

$$(51) \quad \text{injr}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}} < \hat{\epsilon} \text{ on } \mathcal{V}.$$

From our construction, and by respectively (51), (49) and (39), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Vol}(M_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{\mathbf{g}})}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}) &\leq C_v \text{Vol}((\partial\mathcal{V} \times [0, A_v]) \cup \mathcal{W}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}) \\ &\leq C_v L \\ &\leq C_v \int_M |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g\end{aligned}$$

where C_v is a constant only depending on v , which was fixed by the choice of η in (50), independently of (M, g) . Moreover (if we identify $(\partial\mathcal{V} \times [0, A_v]) \cup \mathcal{W}$ with

\mathcal{W}) by Lemma 25, Lemma 28 we have $M^{[>\epsilon]} \subset \mathcal{W}$ and by (51) $\mathcal{V} \subset M_{\leq \hat{\epsilon}}^{(\mathbf{g})}$. This proves Theorem 24, which finishes the proof of Theorem 23. \square

7.7. An extension to 4-orbifolds with isolated singularities. In the next Section, we will need the following generalization of Theorem 23 for closed 4-orbifolds with isolated singular points. Let M be a closed 4-orbifold with finitely many singular points endowed with a smooth Einstein metric g . Let

$$\{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$$

be the singular points of M , with corresponding groups $\Gamma_i \subset O(4)$, then for each $i = 1, \dots, K$ there is a trivializing neighborhood \hat{B}_i of p_i , that is \hat{B}_i is a small geodesic ball of radius \hat{r}_i centered at p_i such that $(2\hat{B}_i, \frac{1}{\hat{r}_i^2}g)$ is, say, C^2 -close to the quotient of the Euclidean ball $B_{\text{Eucl}}(0, 2)$ by Γ_i . By Chern-Gauss-Bonnet for compact 4-manifolds with boundary, we have

$$\int_M |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g \leq c \mathbf{e}(M)$$

and $K \leq c \mathbf{e}(M)$

for some universal constant c .

Let $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$ be as in Proposition 21.

Theorem 31. *Let M be a closed 4-orbifold with finitely many singular points endowed with a smooth Einstein metric g as above. There is a metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ on*

$$N := M \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$$

such that

- (i) $|\text{sec}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}}| \leq 1$,
- (ii) near the end corresponding to p_i , $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ is a product metric of the form

$$g_{\text{round}} \oplus dt^2$$

on $\partial\hat{B}_i \times [0, 1)$ where g_{round} is the quotient of the round metric on S^3 with volume 8π , and dt^2 is the standard metric on $[0, \infty)$,

- (iii) the volume of the $\hat{\epsilon}$ -thick part satisfies

$$\text{Vol}(N_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{\mathbf{g}})}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}) \leq C \mathbf{e}(M)$$

where C is a universal constant.

Proof. We only sketch the proof, since it is almost identical to that of Theorem 23. Informally we treat the singular points as points with infinite curvature. Take $v, \epsilon > 0$ small constants.

As before, define for all $p \in M$:

$$r_v(p) := \sup\{r \in (0, 1]; \text{Vol}(B(p, r), g) \geq vr^4\}.$$

If for some $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, the limit volume ratio at p_{i_0} satisfies

$$(52) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B(p_{i_0}, r))}{r^4} \leq v,$$

then there is a small region U_{i_0} near p_{i_0} which is a cone over a spherical quotient, and since v is small, the geometry is locally collapsed and there is an N -structure on $U_{i_0} \setminus \{p_{i_0}\}$. We remove from M small disjoint neighborhoods of all points p_i such that (52) holds at p_i . We obtain a compact orbifold with smooth boundary called M' , and we can make sure that a neighborhood of the boundary $\partial M'$ is saturated by an N -structure. At any point $p \in M'$, $r_v(p)$ is strictly positive and we define

$$M'^{[>\epsilon]} := \{x \in M'; \int_{B_g(x, \frac{r_v(x)}{24})} |\text{Rm}_g|^2 d\text{vol}_g > \epsilon \text{ or } B_g(x, \frac{r_v(x)}{24}) \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_K\} \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$M'^{[\leq\epsilon]} := M' \setminus M'^{[>\epsilon]}.$$

By definition and by the ϵ -regularity theorem, at any point x of the thin part $M'^{[\leq\epsilon]}$, the ball $B_g(x, \frac{r_v(x)}{24})$ is smooth and locally collapsed, in particular it is covered by an N -structure.

We can basically follow the proof of Theorem 23 step by step, applied to M' , $M'^{[>\epsilon]}$, $M'^{[\leq\epsilon]}$. The only difference lies in the treatment of the singular points of M' . For this we can use the generalization of Cheeger-Naber [36] for orbifolds with isolated singularities described in Subsection 7.1, which implies that the analogues of Lemmas 25, 26 and 29 hold. In the proof of Lemma 27, we need to replace the definition of \bar{k} by the following:

$$\bar{k} := \inf\left\{\frac{1}{R}; R \leq 1, \sup_{x \in B_g(x, R)} |\sec_g(x)|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{R} \text{ and } B_g(x, R) \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_K\} = \emptyset\right\}.$$

It follows from the arguments of the previous subsection that we get a metric on M' with bounded curvature, such that the metric has bounded geometry near $\{p_1, \dots, p_K\} \cap M'$. Moreover the volume of the $\hat{\epsilon}$ -thick part of M' is bounded by $C_v \mathbf{e}(M)$. Then after modifying once more that metric near $\{p_1, \dots, p_K\} \cap M'$ and $\partial M'$, we get the desired metric \underline{g} on $N = M' \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$, which proves the theorem. \square

7.8. A conjectural obstruction and further remarks. Since manifolds with finite essential minimal volume have simple descriptions in terms of F -structures (see Subsection 6), Theorem 23 could be relevant in finding new topological obstructions for existence of Einstein manifolds (see [64] for a survey). Indeed, we conjecture that for some constant $C > 0$, for any surface Σ_γ of genus $\gamma > 1$ and any closed oriented 4-manifold N , if M is homeomorphic to the connected sum $(S^2 \times \Sigma_\gamma) \# N$, one should have

$$\text{ess-MinVol}(M) \geq C\gamma.$$

If this is true and if N is chosen so that $\mathbf{e}(M) < C''\gamma$ for a small enough constant C'' , then M would not carry any Einstein metric by Theorem 23.

Theorem 23 is also related to a question of [63], about the number of smooth structures carrying Einstein metrics, on a given topological 4-manifold. What Theorem 23 and Lemma 22 mean is that, at least, the minimal number of F -representatives (see Section 6) for the set of such smooth structures is quantitatively bounded only in terms of the Euler characteristic, and thus those smooth structures are in finite number modulo some F -structures.

We think that Theorem 23 should hold for MinVol instead of ess-MinVol, but a proof would require a better understanding of the thin part of Einstein 4-manifolds.

Some results analogous to Theorem 23 were proved in the context of minimal surfaces [85, Corollary 3, Section 4]. The sheeted/non-sheeted decomposition, the Morse index and curvature bounds for stable surfaces replace respectively the thin-thick decomposition, the L^2 -norm of the curvature and ϵ -regularity theorems. The combinatorial argument of [85] could be adapted to give a proof of Theorem 23 not relying on the codimension 4 theorem of Cheeger-Naber [36].

8. ESSENTIAL MINIMAL VOLUME OF COMPLEX SURFACES

This section is about the asymptotic behavior of the essential minimal volume on complex surfaces. The main theorem gives, for most complex surfaces, an asymptotic bound for ess-MinVol in terms of topology. Before stating and proving this result, we review some elements pertaining to the classification of complex surfaces.

8.1. Preliminaries. Closed complex surfaces are described via the Enriques-Kodaira classification [11, 46], which divides them according to their Kodaira dimension $\kappa \in \{-\infty, 0, 1, 2\}$. For a complex surface S , let K_S be its canonical line bundle. Let $P_m(S)$ be the dimension of the space of holomorphic sections of K_S^m , the m th tensor power of K_S . If $P_m(S) = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$, then set $\kappa := -\infty$. Otherwise, set $\kappa := \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(P_m(S))}{\log(m)}$. A blow-up of S corresponds in terms of diffeomorphism type to performing the connected sum $S \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. The surface S is called minimal if it is not the blow-up of another complex surface.

Surfaces of Kodaira dimension 2 are said to be of general type. In some sense, most surfaces fall into that category (for instance smooth complete intersections of degree at least 5 in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ are of general type). They have positive Euler characteristic.

Surfaces of Kodaira dimension 1 or 0 are all diffeomorphic to elliptic surfaces, and they have nonnegative Euler characteristic. Any minimal elliptic surface of Euler characteristic 0 is diffeomorphic to a torus bundle over a surface on which one performs some logarithmic transforms. Any minimal elliptic surface is diffeomorphic to a fiber sum of an elliptic surface with Euler characteristic 0 and copies of the rational elliptic surface (diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$).

Surfaces of Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ are not completely classified. The Kähler case is understood: these are just blow-ups of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ or of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ -bundles over a Riemann surface. Non-Kähler surfaces of Kodaira dimension $-\infty$ are called surfaces of class VII, and there are examples but no general classification so far.

8.2. The linear bound. Quite similarly to real 2-dimensional surfaces, the essential minimal volume of many complex surfaces is comparable to the Euler characteristic.

Theorem 32. *There is a universal constant $C > 0$ such that for any closed complex surface S of nonnegative Kodaira dimension,*

$$C^{-1} \mathbf{e}(S) \leq \text{ess-MinVol}(S) \leq C \mathbf{e}(S).$$

Proof. The lower bound is always true by Lemma 8.

Before continuing, note that since surfaces with nonnegative Kodaira dimension have nonnegative Euler characteristic, it is enough to prove the theorem for surfaces that are minimal by Lemma 18. The upper bound is proved in two parts, depending on the Kodaira dimension of S .

If S is a minimal surface of Kodaira dimension 0 or 1, then it is diffeomorphic to an elliptic surface. If it has vanishing Euler characteristic, [67, Theorem 5] implies that $\text{MinVol}(S) = \text{ess-MinVol}(S) = 0$. Otherwise, S is the fiber sum of a zero Euler characteristic elliptic surface with some rational elliptic surfaces and elementary considerations about collapsing theory suffice to show the desired linear bound, see proof of [67, Theorem 4].

If S is a minimal surface of general type, then its Euler characteristic is strictly positive. Moreover there is an orbifold Kähler-Einstein metric g' on an orbifold S' obtained from S by contracting finitely many (-2) -curves to points inside S [8, 90, 62, 89]. One recovers S from S' by gluing some Ricci-flat ALE spaces [65]

$$(X_1, h_1), \dots, (X_K, h_K),$$

to the finitely many orbifold singularities $\{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$ of S' (see also proof of Theorem 4.3 in [68]). These spaces are asymptotically conical, with limiting flat cone $(\mathcal{C}_i, g_{\text{Eucl}})$ and conical section a quotient of the round unit 3-sphere. By using the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula and the fact that the L^2 -norm of the Riemann curvature tensor on the non-flat Ricci-flat ALE spaces X_i are lower bounded by a universal positive constant, we have

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} K &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K \mathbf{e}(X_i) \leq C \mathbf{e}(S) \\ \text{and } \mathbf{e}(S') &\leq \mathbf{e}(S) + K \leq C \mathbf{e}(S) \end{aligned}$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$.

By Theorem 31, there is a metric $\underline{\mathbf{g}}$ on $N := S' \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$ with $|\text{sec}_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}}| \leq 1$, which is a nice product metric near each p_i , and whose $\hat{\epsilon}$ -thick part $N_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{\mathbf{g}})}$ has controlled volume:

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(N_{>\hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{\mathbf{g}})}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}) &\leq C \mathbf{e}(S') \\ &\leq C \mathbf{e}(S) \end{aligned}$$

where the universal constant C changes from line to line.

Next, to fix ideas, we can imagine by rescaling that for each i there is $x_i \in X_i$ such that $X_i \setminus B_{h_i}(x_i, 1)$ is C^2 -close to $(\mathcal{C}_i \setminus B_{g_{\text{Eucl}}}(O, 1), g_{\text{Eucl}})$ where O is the tip of \mathcal{C}_i . By the proof of Theorem 23, for each $i = 1, \dots, K$, there is similarly a metric

$\underline{\mathbf{g}}_i$ on $B_{h_i}(x_i, 1) \subset X_i$ with $|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{g}}_i}| \leq 1$, which is a nice product metric near the boundary $\partial B_{h_i}(x_i, 1)$ and whose $\hat{\epsilon}$ -thick part $\mathbf{B}_{i, \geq \hat{\epsilon}}$ satisfies:

$$(55) \quad \text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_{i, \geq \hat{\epsilon}}, \underline{\mathbf{g}}_i) \leq C \mathbf{e}(X_i).$$

By gluing the new metrics $(X_i, \underline{\mathbf{g}}_i)$ back to $(S', \underline{\mathbf{g}})$ along their boundaries, we get the desired metric $\underline{\mathbf{h}}$ on S , since by (53), (54), (55):

- $|\sec_{\underline{\mathbf{h}}}| \leq 1$,
- $\text{Vol}(S_{> \hat{\epsilon}}^{(\underline{\mathbf{h}})}, \underline{\mathbf{h}}) \leq C \mathbf{e}(S)$.

We conclude the proof thanks to Proposition 21. □

Since the essential minimal volume quantifies smooth structures modulo F -structures (see end of Subsection 6.1), Theorem 32 can be compared to the known fact that the set of complex surfaces of general type homeomorphic to a given 4-manifold contains finitely many smooth structures, see [46, Theorem 2.8].

Surfaces of the form

$$(\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \Sigma_\gamma) \# k \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2},$$

where k is an integer and Σ_γ is a Riemann surface of genus $\gamma > 1$, are of Kodaira dimension $-\infty$, and we think that Theorem 32 probably does not generalize to these surfaces even when the Euler characteristic is positive, see Subsection 7.8.

REFERENCES

- [1] Uwe Abresch. Über das glatte Riemannsche Metriken. *Habilitationsschrift, Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn*, 1988.
- [2] Ian Agol. Systoles of hyperbolic 4-manifolds. arXiv:math/0612290 [math.GT], 2006.
- [3] Michael T. Anderson. Ricci curvature bounds and Einstein metrics on compact manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(3):455–490, 1989.
- [4] Michael T. Anderson. Convergence and rigidity of manifolds under Ricci curvature bounds. *Invent. Math.*, 102(2):429–445, 1990.
- [5] Michael T. Anderson. The L^2 structure of moduli spaces of Einstein metrics on 4-manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 2(1):29–89, 1992.
- [6] Michael T. Anderson. Canonical metrics on 3-manifolds and 4-manifolds. *Asian J. Math.*, 10(1):127–163, 2006.
- [7] Michael T. Anderson and Jeff Cheeger. Diffeomorphism finiteness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and $L^{n/2}$ -norm of curvature bounded. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 1(3):231–252, 1991.
- [8] Thierry Aubin. Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 283(3):A119–A121, 1976.
- [9] Werner Ballmann, Mikhael Gromov, and Viktor Schroeder. *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, volume 61 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [10] Shigetoshi Bando, Atsushi Kasue, and Hiraku Nakajima. On a construction of coordinates at infinity on manifolds with fast curvature decay and maximal volume growth. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):313–349, 1989.
- [11] Wolf P. Barth, Klaus Hulek, Chris A. M. Peters, and Antonius Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [12] Christophe Bavard and Pierre Pansu. Sur le volume minimal de \mathbf{R}^2 . *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 19(4):479–490, 1986.

- [13] R. İnanç Baykur and Nathan Sunukjian. Round handles, logarithmic transforms and smooth 4-manifolds. *J. Topol.*, 6:49–63, 2013.
- [14] Mikhail V. Belolipetsky and Scott A. Thomson. Systoles of hyperbolic manifolds. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 11(3):1455–1469, 2011.
- [15] Marcel Berger. *A panoramic view of Riemannian geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [16] Jérôme Bertrand, Christian Ketterer, Ilaria Mondello, and Thomas Richard. Stratified spaces and synthetic ricci curvature bounds. arXiv:1804.08870 [math.DG], 2018.
- [17] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition.
- [18] Laurent Bessières, Gérard Besson, Gilles Courtois, and Sylvestre Gallot. Differentiable rigidity under Ricci curvature lower bound. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(1):29–67, 2012.
- [19] Gérard Besson, Gilles Courtois, and Sylvestre Gallot. Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(5):731–799, 1995.
- [20] Gérard Besson, Gilles Courtois, and Sylvestre Gallot. Minimal entropy and Mostow’s rigidity theorems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 16(4):623–649, 1996.
- [21] Gérard Besson, Gilles Courtois, and Sylvestre Gallot. Lemme de Schwarz réel et applications géométriques. *Acta Math.*, 183(2):145–169, 1999.
- [22] Qingsong Cai and Xiaochun Rong. Collapsing construction with nilpotent structures. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(5):1503–1524, 2009.
- [23] Huai-Dong Cao and Xi-Ping Zhu. A complete proof of the Poincaré and geometrization conjectures—application of the Hamilton-Perelman theory of the Ricci flow. *Asian J. Math.*, 10(2):165–492, 2006.
- [24] J. Cheeger and X. Rong. Existence of polarized F -structures on collapsed manifolds with bounded curvature and diameter. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 6(3):411–429, 1996.
- [25] Jeff Cheeger. Finiteness theorems for Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 92:61–74, 1970.
- [26] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 144(1):189–237, 1996.
- [27] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 46(3):406–480, 1997.
- [28] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(1):13–35, 2000.
- [29] Jeff Cheeger and Tobias H. Colding. On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III. *J. Differential Geom.*, 54(1):37–74, 2000.
- [30] Jeff Cheeger, Kenji Fukaya, and Mikhael Gromov. Nilpotent structures and invariant metrics on collapsed manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5(2):327–372, 1992.
- [31] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov. Bounds on the von Neumann dimension of L^2 -cohomology and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for open manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(1):1–34, 1985.
- [32] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov. Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 23(3):309–346, 1986.
- [33] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov. Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded. II. *J. Differential Geom.*, 32(1):269–298, 1990.
- [34] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov. Chopping Riemannian manifolds. In *Differential geometry*, volume 52 of *Pitman Monogr. Surveys Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 85–94. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1991.
- [35] Jeff Cheeger, Mikhael Gromov, and Michael Taylor. Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geometry*, 17(1):15–53, 1982.
- [36] Jeff Cheeger and Aaron Naber. Regularity of Einstein manifolds and the codimension 4 conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(3):1093–1165, 2015.
- [37] Jeff Cheeger and Gang Tian. Curvature and injectivity radius estimates for Einstein 4-manifolds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(2):487–525, 2006.

- [38] Siu Yuen Cheng, Peter Li, and Shing Tung Yau. On the upper estimate of the heat kernel of a complete Riemannian manifold. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(5):1021–1063, 1981.
- [39] Tobias H. Colding. Ricci curvature and volume convergence. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 145(3):477–501, 1997.
- [40] Guido De Philippis and Nicola Gigli. Non-collapsed spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below. *J. Éc. polytech. Math.*, 5:613–650, 2018.
- [41] Sylvester Eriksson-Bique. Quantitative bi-Lipschitz embeddings of bounded-curvature manifolds and orbifolds. *Geom. Topol.*, 22(4):1961–2026, 2018.
- [42] Carla Farsi. Orbifold spectral theory. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 31(1):215–235, 2001.
- [43] Ronald Fintushel and Ronald J. Stern. Rational blowdowns of smooth 4-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 46(2):181–235, 1997.
- [44] Ronald Fintushel and Ronald J. Stern. Double node neighborhoods and families of simply connected 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$. *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19(1):171–180, 2006.
- [45] Ronald Fintushel and Ronald J. Stern. Six lectures on four 4-manifolds. In *Low dimensional topology*, volume 15 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 265–315. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.
- [46] Robert Friedman and John W. Morgan. *Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces*, volume 27 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [47] Kenji Fukaya. Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones of lower dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 25(1):139–156, 1987.
- [48] Kenji Fukaya. A boundary of the set of the Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvatures and diameters. *J. Differential Geom.*, 28(1):1–21, 1988.
- [49] Kenji Fukaya. Collapsing Riemannian manifolds to ones with lower dimension. II. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 41(2):333–356, 1989.
- [50] L. Zhiyong Gao. Einstein metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 32(1):155–183, 1990.
- [51] Robert E. Gompf. A new construction of symplectic manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 142(3):527–595, 1995.
- [52] Robert E. Gompf and András I. Stipsicz. *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, volume 20 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [53] Robert E. Greene and Hung-Hsi Wu. Lipschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 131(1):119–141, 1988.
- [54] Michael Gromov. Volume and bounded cohomology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (56):5–99 (1983), 1982.
- [55] Mikhael Gromov. Almost flat manifolds. *J. Differential Geometry*, 13(2):231–241, 1978.
- [56] Mikhael Gromov. *Structures métriques pour les variétés riemanniennes*, volume 1 of *Textes Mathématiques [Mathematical Texts]*. CEDIC, Paris, 1981. Edited by J. Lafontaine and P. Pansu.
- [57] Mikhael Gromov and William P. Thurston. Pinching constants for hyperbolic manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 89(1):1–12, 1987.
- [58] Heinz Hopf. Differentialgeometrie und topologische Gestalt. *Jahrbuch der DMV*, 41:209–229, 1932.
- [59] Masashi Ishida and Claude LeBrun. Spin manifolds, Einstein metrics, and differential topology. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 9(2-3):229–240, 2002.
- [60] Dubravko Ivanišić. A topological 4-sphere that is standard. *Adv. Geom.*, 12(3):461–482, 2012.
- [61] Bruce Kleiner and John Lott. Notes on Perelman’s papers. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(5):2587–2855, 2008.
- [62] Ryoichi Kobayashi. Einstein-Kähler V -metrics on open Satake V -surfaces with isolated quotient singularities. *Math. Ann.*, 272(3):385–398, 1985.
- [63] Dieter Kotschick. Einstein metrics and smooth structures. *Geom. Topol.*, 2:1–10, 1998.
- [64] Dieter Kotschick. Entropies, volumes, and Einstein metrics. In *Global differential geometry*, volume 17 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 39–54. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.

- [65] Peter Benedict Kronheimer. Instantons gravitationnels et singularités de Klein. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 303(2):53–55, 1986.
- [66] Claude LeBrun. Four-manifolds without Einstein metrics. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(2):133–147, 1996.
- [67] Claude LeBrun. Kodaira dimension and the Yamabe problem. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 7(1):133–156, 1999.
- [68] Claude LeBrun. Ricci curvature, minimal volumes, and Seiberg-Witten theory. *Invent. Math.*, 145(2):279–316, 2001.
- [69] John Morgan and Gang Tian. *The geometrization conjecture*, volume 5 of *Clay Mathematics Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Clay Mathematics Institute, Cambridge, MA, 2014.
- [70] George D. Mostow and Yum Tong Siu. A compact Kähler surface of negative curvature not covered by the ball. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 112(2):321–360, 1980.
- [71] A. Nabutovsky. Einstein structures: existence versus uniqueness. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 5(1):76–91, 1995.
- [72] Alexander Nabutovsky and Shmuel Weinberger. Variational problems for Riemannian functionals and arithmetic groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (92):5–62 (2001), 2000.
- [73] Hiraku Nakajima. Hausdorff convergence of Einstein 4-manifolds. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 35(2):411–424, 1988.
- [74] Pedro Ontaneda. Riemannian hyperbolization. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 131:1–72, 2020.
- [75] Jongil Park, András I. Stipsicz, and Zoltán Szabó. Exotic smooth structures on $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# 5\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(5-6):701–712, 2005.
- [76] Gabriel P. Paternain and Jimmy Petean. Minimal entropy and collapsing with curvature bounded from below. *Invent. Math.*, 151(2):415–450, 2003.
- [77] Grisha Perelman. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. arXiv:0211159 [math.DG], 2002.
- [78] Grisha Perelman. Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds. arXiv:0307245 [math.DG], 2003.
- [79] Grisha Perelman. Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds. arXiv:0303109 [math.DG], 2003.
- [80] Stefan Peters. Convergence of Riemannian manifolds. *Compositio Math.*, 62(1):3–16, 1987.
- [81] Erika Pieroni. *Minimal entropy of 3-manifolds*. PhD thesis, 2018. PhD Thesis, University of Rome “La Sapienza”.
- [82] Xiaochun Rong. The existence of polarized F -structures on volume collapsed 4-manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 3(5):474–501, 1993.
- [83] Xiaochun Rong. The limiting eta invariants of collapsed three-manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 37(3):535–568, 1993.
- [84] Alexandru Scorpan. *The wild world of 4-manifolds*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [85] Antoine Song. Morse index, Betti numbers and singular set of bounded area minimal hypersurfaces. arXiv:1911.09166 [math.DG], 2019.
- [86] Juan Souto. *Minimal volume and minimal entropy*. PhD thesis, 2001. PhD Thesis - Part II, Bonn University.
- [87] Ronald J. Stern. Will we ever classify simply-connected smooth 4-manifolds. In *Floer homology, gauge theory, and low-dimensional topology*, volume 5, pages 225–239. Clay Math. Proc., Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 2006.
- [88] William P. Thurston. Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 6(3):357–381, 1982.
- [89] Hajime Tsuji. Existence and degeneration of Kähler-Einstein metrics on minimal algebraic varieties of general type. *Math. Ann.*, 281(1):123–133, 1988.
- [90] Shing Tung Yau. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31(3):339–411, 1978.

- [91] Shing-Tung Yau. Open problems in geometry. In *Differential geometry: partial differential equations on manifolds (Los Angeles, CA, 1990)*, volume 54 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 1–28. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, BERKELEY,
CA 94720

Email address: aysong@berkeley.edu