

INTERSECTION K -THEORY

TUDOR PĂDURARIU

ABSTRACT. For a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ between varieties over \mathbb{C} with X smooth, we introduce increasing filtrations $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq} \subset P_f^{\leq}$ on $\mathrm{gr} K(X)$, the associated graded on K -theory with respect to the codimension filtration, both sent by the cycle map to the perverse filtration on cohomology ${}^p H_f^{\leq}(X)$. The filtrations P_f^{\leq} and \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq} are functorial with respect to proper pushforward and P_f^{\leq} is functorial with respect to pullback.

We use the above filtrations to propose two definitions of (graded) intersection K -theory $\mathrm{gr} IK(S)$ and $\mathrm{gr} \mathbf{IK}(S)$. Both have cycle maps to intersection cohomology $IH(S)$. We conjecture a version of the decomposition theorem for semismall surjective maps and prove it in some particular cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

For a complex variety X , intersection cohomology $IH(X)$ coincides with singular cohomology with rational coefficients $H(X)$ when X is smooth and has better properties than $H(X)$ when X is singular, for example it satisfies Poincaré duality and the Hard Lefschetz theorem. Many applications of intersection cohomology, for example in representation theory [18], [7, Section 4], are through the decomposition theorem of Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne–Gabber [3].

A construction of intersection K -theory is expected to have applications in computations of K -theory via a K -theoretic version of the decomposition theory, and in representation theory, for example in the construction of representations of vertex algebras using (framed) Uhlenbeck spaces [5]. The Goresky–MacPherson construction of intersection cohomology [15] does not generalize in an obvious way to K -theory.

1.1. The perverse filtration and intersection cohomology. For S a variety over \mathbb{C} , intersection cohomology $IH(S)$ is a subquotient of $H(X)$ for any resolution of singularities $f : X \rightarrow S$. The decomposition theorem implies that $IH(S)$ is a (non-canonical) direct summand of $H(X)$. Consider the perverse filtration

$${}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X) := H(S, {}^p \tau^{\leq i} Rf_* IC_X) \hookrightarrow H(S, Rf_* IC_X) = H(X).$$

For $V \hookrightarrow S$, denote by $X_V := f^{-1}(V)$. Let A_V be the set of irreducible components of X_V and let c_V^a be the codimension on $t_V^a : X_V^a \hookrightarrow X$ for $a \in A_V$. Consider a

resolution of singularities $\pi_V^a : Y_V^a \rightarrow X_V^a$. Let $g_V^a := f\pi_V^a : Y_V^a \rightarrow V$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\tilde{H}_{f,V}^{\leq i} &:= \bigoplus_{a \in A_V} \iota_{V*}^a \pi_{V*}^a {}^pH_{g_V^a}^{\leq i - c_V^a}(Y_V^a) \subset {}^pH_f^{\leq i}(X), \\ {}^p\tilde{H}_f^{\leq i} &:= \bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} {}^p\tilde{H}_{f,V}^{\leq i} \subset {}^pH_f^{\leq i}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The decomposition theorem implies that

$$IH^*(S) \cong {}^pH_f^{\leq 0}H^*(X) / {}^p\tilde{H}_f^{\leq 0}H^*(X).$$

1.2. Perverse filtrations in K -theory. Inspired by the above characterization of intersection cohomology via the perverse filtration, we propose two K -theoretic perverse filtrations $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \subset P_f^{\leq i}$ on $\mathrm{gr} K(X)$ for a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ of complex varieties with X smooth. Here, the associated graded $\mathrm{gr} K(X)$ is with respect to the codimension of support filtration on $K(X)$ [13, Definition 3.7, Section 5.4].

The precise definition of the filtration $P_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K(X)$ is given in Subsection 3.3; roughly, it is generated by (subspaces of) images

$$(1) \quad \Phi_\Gamma : \mathrm{gr} K(T) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr} K(X)$$

induced by correspondences Γ on $X \times T$ of restricted dimension, see (5), for T a smooth variety with a generically finite map onto a subvariety of S . These subspaces of the images of Φ_Γ are required to satisfy certain conditions when restricted to the subvarieties Y_V^a from Subsection 1.1.

The definition of the filtration $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K(X) \subset P_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K(X)$ is given in Subsection 3.5. In (1), we further impose that Γ is a quasi-smooth scheme surjective over T . This further restricts the possible dimension of the cycles Γ , see Proposition 3.9, and allows for more computations.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map with X smooth. Then the cycle map $\mathbf{c} : \mathrm{gr} K_0(X)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow H^*(X)$ respects the perverse filtration*

$$\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_0(X)_\mathbb{Q} \subset P_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_0(X)_\mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} {}^pH_f^{\leq i}(X).$$

Perverse filtrations in K -theory have the following functorial properties. Let X and Y be smooth varieties with $c = \dim X - \dim Y$. Consider proper maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

There are induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} h_* &: P_g^{\leq i-c} \mathrm{gr} K(Y) \rightarrow P_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K(X), \\ h_* &: \mathbf{P}_g^{\leq i-c} \mathrm{gr} K(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K(X), \\ h^* &: P_f^{\leq i-c} \mathrm{gr} K(X) \rightarrow P_g^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K(Y). \end{aligned}$$

If h is surjective, then there is also a map

$$h^* : \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K.(Y).$$

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities. We define $\tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X)$ similarly to ${}^p \tilde{H}^{\leq i}(X)$. Inspired by the discussion in cohomology from Subsection 1.1, define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr} \cdot IK.(S) &:= P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X) / \left(\tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \\ \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}.(S) &:= \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X) / \left(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X) \cap \ker f_* \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we do not construct $\text{gr} \cdot IK.(S)$ and $\text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}.(S)$ as associated graded of spaces $IK.(S)$ or $\mathbf{IK}.(S)$, but we hope that such a construction is possible, see Subsection 1.6.

Theorem 1.2. *The definitions of $\text{gr} \cdot IK.(S)$ and $\text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}.(S)$ do not depend on the resolution of singularities $f : X \rightarrow S$ with the properties mentioned above. Further, there are cycle maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} : \text{gr}^j IK_0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow IH^{2j}(S) \\ \mathbf{c} : \text{gr}^j \mathbf{IK}_0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow IH^{2j}(S). \end{aligned}$$

We also propose definitions for $\text{gr} \cdot IK.(S, L)$ and $\text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}.(S, L)$ for L a local system on U open in S of the form $L \cong h_*(\mathbb{Z}_V)$ for an étale map $h : V \rightarrow U$. There are cycle maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} : \text{gr}^j IK_0(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \\ \mathbf{c} : \text{gr}^j \mathbf{IK}_0(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

1.3. Properties of the perverse filtrations and intersection K -theory. The perverse filtrations in K -theory and intersection K -theory have similar properties to their counterparts in cohomology.

For a map $f : X \rightarrow S$, let $s := \dim X \times_S X - \dim X$ be its defect of semismallness. In Theorem 3.11, we show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq -s-1} \text{gr} \cdot K_0(X) &= 0, \\ \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} \cdot K_0(X) &= P_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} \cdot K_0(X) = \text{gr} \cdot K_0(X). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr} \cdot IK.(S) &= \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}.(S) = \text{gr} \cdot K.(S) \text{ for } S \text{ smooth,} \\ \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}_0(S) &= \text{gr} \cdot K_0(X) \text{ if } S \text{ has a small resolution } f : X \rightarrow S. \end{aligned}$$

For more computations of perverse filtrations in K -theory and intersection K -theory, see Subsections 3.7 and 4.4.

Let $d = \dim S$. In cohomology, there are natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(S) &\rightarrow IH^i(S) \rightarrow H_{2d-i}^{\text{BM}}(S) \\ IH^i(S) \otimes IH^j(S) &\rightarrow H_{2d-i-j}^{\text{BM}}(S). \end{aligned}$$

The composition in the first line is the natural map $H^i(S) \rightarrow H_{2d-i}^{\text{BM}}(S)$. The second map is non-degenerate for cycles of complementary dimensions. In Subsection 4.3 we explain that there exist natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}_i IK.(S) &\rightarrow \text{gr}_i G(S) \\ \text{gr}^i IK.(S) \times \text{gr}^j IK.(S) &\rightarrow \text{gr}_{d-i-j} G.(S) \end{aligned}$$

and their analogues for \mathbf{IK} . The above filtration on G -theory is by dimension of supports, see [13, Section 5.4].

1.4. The decomposition theorem for semismall maps. As mentioned above, many applications of intersection cohomology are based on the decomposition theorem. When the map

$$f : X \rightarrow S$$

is semismall, the statement of the decomposition theorem is more explicit, which we now explain. Let $\{S_a \mid a \in I\}$ be a stratification of S such that $f_a : f^{-1}(S_a^o) \rightarrow S_a^o$ is a locally trivial fibration, where $S_a^o = S_a - \bigcup_{b \in I} (S_a \cap S_b)$. Let $A \subset I$ be the set of relevant strata, that is, those strata such that for $x_a \in S_a^o$:

$$\dim f^{-1}(x_a) = \frac{1}{2} (\dim S - \dim S_a).$$

For $x_a \in S_a^o$, the monodromy group $\pi_1(S_a^o, x_a)$ acts on the set of irreducible components of $f^{-1}(x_a)$ of top dimension; let L_a be the corresponding local system. Let c_a be the codimension of $X_a = f^{-1}(S_a)$ in X . The decomposition theorem for the map $f : X \rightarrow S$ says that there exists a canonical decomposition [7, Theorem 4.2.7]:

$$H^j(X) \cong \bigoplus_{a \in A} IH^{j-c_a}(S_a, L_a).$$

We conjecture the analogous statement in K -theory.

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a semismall map and consider $\{S_a \mid a \in I\}$ a stratification as above, and let $A \subset I$ be the set of relevant strata. There is a decomposition for any integer j :*

$$\text{gr}^j K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \bigoplus_{a \in A} \text{gr}^{j-c_a} \mathbf{IK}.(S_a, L_a)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

See Conjecture 5.1 for a more precise statement. In Theorem 5.4, we check the above conjecture for K_0 under the extra condition that for any $a \in A$, there are small maps $\pi_a : T_a \rightarrow S_a$ such that $\pi_a^{-1}(S_a^o) \rightarrow S_a^o$ is étale with associated local system L_a . The proof of the above result is based on a theorem of de Cataldo–Migliorini [6, Section 4]. In Subsection 4.4.4, we prove the statement for K_0 when $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a resolution of singularities of a surface.

1.5. Intersection Chow groups. When X is smooth, $\mathrm{gr}^i K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH^i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus $\mathrm{gr}^i IK_0(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a candidate for an intersection Chow group of S . Corti–Hanamura already defined (rational) intersection Chow groups (or Chow motives) in [10], [11] inspired by the decomposition theorem. One proposed definition assumes conjectures of Grothendieck and Murre and proves a version of the decomposition theorem for Chow groups; the other approach defines a perverse-type filtration on Chow groups by induction on level i of the filtration and via correspondences involving all varieties $W \rightarrow S$. These correspondences need to satisfy certain vanishings for the perverse filtration in cohomology. The advantage of the definition we propose is that one uses fewer correspondences to define $P_f^{\leq i}$ and $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i}$ and this allows for computations, see Subsection 4.4 and Theorem 5.3.

For varieties S with a semismall resolution of singularities $f : X \rightarrow S$, de Cataldo–Migliorini [6] proposed a definition of Chow motives $ICH(S)$ and proved a version of the decomposition theorem for semismall maps.

1.6. Intersection K -theory. Our approach to define (graded) intersection K -theory uses functoriality of the perverse filtration in an essential way. To obtain this functoriality, it is essential to pass to $\mathrm{gr}^i K(X)$. It is a very interesting problem to find a definition of the perverse filtration on $K(X)$. We hope that such a definition will provide a version of equivariant intersection K -theory with applications to geometric representation theory, for example in understanding the K -theoretic version of [5].

There are proposed definitions of intersection K -theory in some particular cases. Cautis [8], Cautis–Kamnitzer [9] have an approach for categorification of intersection sheaves for certain subvarieties of the affine Grassmannian. Eberhardt defined intersection K -theoretic sheaves for varieties with certain stratifications [12]. In [19], we proposed a definition of intersection K -theoretic for good moduli spaces of smooth Artin stacks which has applications to the structure theory of Hall algebras of Kontsevich–Soibelman [20].

1.7. Outline of the paper. In Section 2, we discuss preliminary material. In Section 3, we introduce the two perverse filtrations $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq} \subset P_f^{\leq}$. In Section 4, we define the two versions of intersection K -theory $\mathrm{gr}^i IK(S)$ and $\mathrm{gr}^i \mathbf{IK}(S)$. In Section 5, we discuss the decomposition theorem for semismall maps.

1.8. Acknowledgements. I thank Jacob Lurie for useful discussions related to the paper. I thank the Institute of Advanced Studies for support during the preparation of the paper. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1926686.

2. PRELIMINARY MATERIAL

2.1. Notations and conventions. All schemes considered in this paper are finite type and defined over \mathbb{C} . The definition of the filtration in Subsection 3.1 works over any field, but to define intersection K -theory we use resolution of singularities. The definition of intersection K -theory works over any field of characteristic

zero. A variety is an irreducible reduced scheme. We use quasi-smooth schemes in Subsections 3.3 and 3.4, which are the natural extension of lci schemes in derived geometry.

For S a scheme, let $D^b\text{Coh}(S)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves and let $\text{Perf}(S)$ be its subcategory of bounded complexes of locally free sheaves on S . For their analogues for quasi-smooth schemes, see [16, Subsections 2.1 and 3.1]. The functors used in the paper are derived; we sometimes drop R or L from notation, for example we write f_* instead of Rf_* . When S is smooth, the two categories coincide. Define

$$\begin{aligned} G.(S) &:= K. \left(D^b\text{Coh}(S) \right), \\ K.(S) &:= K. (\text{Perf}(S)). \end{aligned}$$

For Y a subvariety of X , let $D^b\text{Coh}_Y(X)$ be the subcategory of $D^b\text{Coh}(X)$ of complexes supported on Y , and define

$$G_{Y.}(X) := K. \left(D^b\text{Coh}_Y(X) \right).$$

When X is smooth, we also use the notation $K_{Y.}(X)$ for the above. We will usually drop the subscript \cdot from the notation.

The local systems used in this paper are of the form $L \cong h_*(\mathbb{Z}_V)$ (or $L \cong h_*(\mathbb{Q}_V)$) for an étale morphism $h : V \rightarrow U$. We call these local systems *integer (or rational) finite local systems*.

Singular and intersection cohomology and Borel-Moore homology are used only with coefficients in a rational finite local system, usually \mathbb{Q} .

For f a morphism of varieties, we denote by \mathbb{L}_f its cotangent complex.

2.2. Filtrations in K -theory. A reference for the following is [13], especially Section 5 in loc. cit. Let $F^i G.(S)$ be the filtration on $G.(S)$ by sheaves with support of codimension $\geq i$; it induces a filtration on $K.(S)$. The associated graded will be denoted by $\text{gr}^i G.(S), \text{gr}^i K.(S)$. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of smooth varieties induces maps:

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &: F^i K.(Y) \rightarrow F^i K.(X) \\ f^* &: \text{gr}^i K.(Y) \rightarrow \text{gr}^i K.(X). \end{aligned}$$

Further, let $F_i^{\dim} G.(S)$ be the filtration on $G.(S)$ by sheaves with support of dimension $\leq i$; it induces a filtration on $K.(S)$. The associated graded will be denoted by $\text{gr}_i G.(S), \text{gr}_i K.(S)$. A proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes induces maps:

$$\begin{aligned} f_* &: F_i^{\dim} G.(X) \rightarrow F_i^{\dim} G.(Y) \\ f_* &: \text{gr}_i G.(X) \rightarrow \text{gr}_i G.(Y). \end{aligned}$$

There are similar filtrations and associated graded on $G_Y(X)$ for $Y \hookrightarrow X$ a subvariety. If X is smooth of dimension d , then $\text{gr}_i G_Y(X) = \text{gr}^{d-i} G_Y(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $S \xrightarrow{a} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ be a variety of dimension d . Then*

$$\left(a^*, \bigoplus_{T \subsetneq S} \iota_{T*} \right) : G_0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{T \subsetneq S} gr.G_0(T) \rightarrow gr.G_0(S),$$

where the sum is taken over all proper subvarieties T of S .

Proof. For $i < d$, the map

$$\bigoplus_{T \subsetneq S} \iota_{T*} : \bigoplus_{T \subsetneq S} gr_i G_0(T) \rightarrow gr_i G_0(S)$$

is surjective by definition of the filtration F_{\dim}^i . Finally, the following map is an isomorphism

$$a^* : G_0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} gr_d G_0(S).$$

□

Proposition 2.2. *Let S be a singular variety of dimension d , and let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities. The following map is surjective:*

$$f_* : gr_i G_0(X) \rightarrow gr_i G_0(S).$$

Proof. We use induction on d . By Proposition 2.1, the following is an isomorphism

$$f_* : gr_d G_0(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} gr_d G_0(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_0(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}).$$

For $V \subsetneq S$ a subvariety, consider g a resolution of singularities as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ V & \hookrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

The surjectivity of f_* for $i < d$ follows using Proposition 2.1 and the induction hypothesis. □

2.3. Quasi-smooth schemes.

2.3.1. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of derived schemes is *quasi-smooth* if it is locally of finite presentation and the cotangent complex \mathbb{L}_f has Tor-amplitude ≤ 1 . Alternatively, there is a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{\iota} X' \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$$

with π smooth and ι a quasi-smooth immersion, that is, the complex $\mathbb{L}_\iota[-1]$ is a vector bundle, see [17, Section 2], [2, Subsections 2.1 and 2.2]. A proper map between smooth varieties is quasi-smooth. Any quasi-smooth map has a well defined relative dimension.

A derived scheme X is quasi-smooth if the structure morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ is quasi-smooth. Any quasi-smooth scheme has a well defined dimension.

2.3.2. We list some properties satisfied by quasi-smooth morphisms and schemes. We are using them only in the proof of an excess intersection formula, see (6). Consider a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

If f is quasi-smooth, then f' is quasi-smooth and

$$(2) \quad \text{reldim}(f) = \text{reldim}(f').$$

Composition of quasi-smooth maps is quasi-smooth.

Let Y be a quasi-smooth scheme. Define a filtration $F^i G.(Y) \subset G.(Y)$ generated by images of

$$f_* : G.(Y) \rightarrow G.(X)$$

for $f : Y \rightarrow X$ a quasi-smooth morphism with $\text{reldim}(f) \leq -i$. It induces a filtration on $K.(Y)$. One can define similarly a filtration $F_i^{\dim} G.(Y)$. When Y is a classical scheme, this definitions recover the filtrations introduced in Subsection 2.2, see [16, Theorem 6.21]. By (2), pullback respects the filtrations F^i . Pushforward clearly respects the filtrations F_i^{\dim} .

2.3.3. Let X be a quasi-smooth scheme. There exists an open set $U \subset X$ of codimension ≥ 1 and a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on U^{cl} such that

$$\mathcal{O}_U \cong \mathcal{O}_{U^{\text{cl}}}[\mathcal{E}[1]; d],$$

where $d : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ is the zero map. The bundle \mathcal{E} has rank $\dim X^{\text{cl}} - \dim X$.

2.4. The perverse filtration in cohomology. Let S be a scheme over \mathbb{C} . Let $D_c^b(S)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of rational constructible sheaves [7, Section 2]. Consider the perverse t -structure $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq i}, \mathcal{P}^{\geq i})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on this category. There are functors:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\tau^{\leq i}} : D_c^b(S) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\leq i}, \\ p_{\tau^{\geq i}} : D_c^b(S) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\geq i} \end{aligned}$$

such that for $F \in D_c^b(S)$ there is a distinguished triangle in $D_c^b(S)$:

$$p_{\tau^{\leq i}} F \rightarrow F \rightarrow p_{\tau^{\geq i+1}} F \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

For a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $F \in D_c^b(X)$, the perverse filtration on $H^*(X, F)$ is defined as the image of

$${}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X, F) := H^*(S, {}^p \tau^{\leq i} Rf_* F) \rightarrow H^*(S, Rf_* F) = H^*(X, F).$$

For $F = IC_X$, the decomposition theorem implies that

$${}^p IH_f^{\leq i}(X) \hookrightarrow IH^*(X).$$

Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a generically finite morphism from X smooth, let U be a smooth open subset of S such that $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is étale, and let $L = f_* (\mathbb{Q}_{f^{-1}(U)})$.

For $V \hookrightarrow S$, denote by $X_V := f^{-1}(V)$. Let A_V be the set of irreducible components of X_V . Let c_V^a be the codimension on $\iota_V^a : X_V^a \hookrightarrow X$ for $a \in A_V$. Consider a resolution of singularities $\pi_V^a : Y_V^a \rightarrow X_V^a$. Let $g_V^a := f \pi_V^a : Y_V^a \rightarrow V$. Then

$${}^{p\tau \leq 0} Rf_* IC_X \cong \ker \left(Rf_* IC_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_V} ({}^{p\tau > c_V^a} Rg_{V*}^a IC_{Y_V^a}) [c_V^a] \right).$$

Define the subspace

$${}^{p\widetilde{\tau} \leq 0} Rf_* IC_X := \text{image} \left(\bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_V} ({}^{p\tau \leq -c_V^a} Rg_{V*}^a IC_{Y_V^a}) [-c_V^a] \rightarrow {}^{p\tau \leq 0} Rf_* IC_X \right).$$

By a computation of Corti–Hanamura [11, Proposition 1.5, Theorem 2.4], there is an isomorphism:

$$(3) \quad IC_S(L) \cong {}^{p\tau \leq 0} Rf_* IC_X / {}^{p\widetilde{\tau} \leq 0} Rf_* IC_X.$$

Further, consider a more general morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ with X smooth. Let $V \subsetneq S$ be a subvariety. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_* IC_X)_V$ the direct sum of simple summands of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_* IC_X)$ with support equal to V . A computation of Corti–Hanamura [11, Proposition 1.5] shows that:

$$(4) \quad {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_* IC_X)_V \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in A_V} {}^p\mathcal{H}^{i+c_V^a}(Rg_{V*}^a IC_{Y_V^a}).$$

3. THE PERVERSE FILTRATION IN K -THEORY

3.1. Definition of the filtration P'^{\leq} . Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map between varieties. We define an increasing filtration

$$P_f'^{\leq i} \text{gr}^* G.(X) \subset \text{gr}^* G.(X).$$

It induces a filtration on $\text{gr}^* K.(X)$. We use the notations from Subsection 2.4. Let $Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a subvariety and let $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ be a map generically finite onto its image from T smooth. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times X & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S. \end{array}$$

For a correspondence $\Gamma \in \text{gr}_{\dim X - s} G_{T \times_S Y, 0}(T \times X)$, define

$$\Phi_\Gamma := p_*(\Gamma \otimes q^*(-)) : \text{gr}^* K_i(T) \rightarrow \text{gr}^{-s} G_{Y,i}(X).$$

We usually drop the shift by s in the superscript of $\text{gr}^s G_Y(X)$. We define the subspace of $\text{gr}^s G_Y(X)$:

$$P'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i} := \text{span}_{\Gamma} (\Phi_{\Gamma} : \text{gr}^s K.(T) \rightarrow \text{gr}^s G_Y(X))$$

$$P'_f{}^{\leq i} := \text{span} \left(P'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i} \text{ for all maps } \pi \text{ as above} \right),$$

where the dimension of the correspondence satisfies

$$(5) \quad \left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor \geq s.$$

We also define a quotient of $\text{gr}^s G_Y(X)$:

$$P'_f{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}^s G_Y(X) \hookrightarrow \text{gr}^s G_Y(X) \twoheadrightarrow P'_f{}^{> i} \text{gr}^s G_Y(X).$$

3.2. Functoriality of the filtration P'^{\leq} .

Proposition 3.1. *Let X and Y be smooth varieties with $c = \dim X - \dim Y$. Consider proper maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

There are induced maps

$$h^* : P'_f{}^{\leq i-c} \text{gr}^s K.(X) \rightarrow P'_g{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}^s K.(Y).$$

Proof. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a generically finite map onto its image with T smooth. It suffices to show that

$$h^* : P'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i-c} \text{gr}^s K.(X) \rightarrow P'_{g,T}{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}^s K.(Y).$$

Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ p_Y \uparrow & & \uparrow p_X \\ Y \times T & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & X \times T \\ & \searrow q_Y & \swarrow q_X \\ & & T \end{array}$$

Let $\Theta \in \text{gr}_{\dim X - s} G_{T \times_S X, 0}(T \times X)$ be a correspondence such that

$$i \geq 2s - \dim X + \dim T.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{gr}^j K.(T) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Theta}} & \text{gr}^{j-s} K.(X) \\ & \searrow \Phi_{\tilde{h}^* \Theta} & \downarrow h^* \\ & & \text{gr}^{j-s} K.(Y). \end{array}$$

To see this, we compute:

$$h^* \Phi_{\Theta}(F) = h^* p_{X*}(\Theta \otimes q_X^* F) = p_{Y*} \tilde{h}^*(\Theta \otimes q_X^* F) = p_{Y*}(\tilde{h}^* \Theta \otimes q_Y^* F) = \Phi_{\tilde{h}^* \Theta}(F).$$

The correspondence $\tilde{h}^* \Theta \in \text{gr}_{\dim Y - s} G_{T \times_S Y}(T \times Y)$ satisfies

$$i + c \geq 2s - \dim Y + \dim T,$$

and this implies the desired conclusion. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let X and Y be varieties with proper maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S \end{array}$$

Let $c = \dim X - \dim Y$. There are induced maps

$$h_* : P'_g{}^{\leq i-c} \text{gr}.G.(Y) \rightarrow P'_f{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}.G.(X).$$

Proof. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a generically finite map onto its image from T smooth. We first explain that

$$h_* : P'_{g,T}{}^{\leq i-c} \text{gr}.G.(Y) \rightarrow P'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}.G.(X).$$

We use the notation from the proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider a correspondence $\Gamma \in \text{gr}_{\dim Y - s} G_{T \times_S Y, 0}(T \times Y)$ such that

$$i \geq 2s - \dim Y + \dim T.$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have that:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{gr}_{\dim T - j} K.(T) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Gamma}} & \text{gr}_{\dim Y - j + s} G.(Y) \\ & \searrow \Phi_{\tilde{h}_* \Gamma} & \downarrow h_* \\ & & \text{gr}_{\dim Y - j + s} G.(X). \end{array}$$

To see this, we compute:

$$h_* p_{Y*}(\Gamma \otimes q_Y^* F) = p_{X*} \tilde{h}_*(\Gamma \otimes \tilde{h}^* q_X^* F) = p_{X*}(\tilde{h}_* \Gamma \otimes q_X^* F).$$

The correspondence

$$\tilde{h}_* \Gamma \in \text{gr}_{\dim Y - s} G_{T \times_S X}(T \times X) = \text{gr}_{\dim X - (c+s)} G_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$$

satisfies

$$i + c \geq 2(s + c) - \dim X + \dim T,$$

and thus the conclusion follows. \square

We continue with some further properties of the filtration P'^{\leq} . The following is immediate:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map. Let U be an open subset of S , $X_U := f^{-1}(U)$, $\iota : X_U \hookrightarrow X$, and $f_U : X_U \rightarrow U$. Then*

$$\iota^* : P'_f{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}.G.(X) \rightarrow P'_{f_U}{}^{\leq i} \text{gr}.G.(X_U).$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map from X smooth and consider $e \in \text{gr}^j K_0(X)$. Then*

$$e \cdot P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^a K.(X) \subset P_f^{\leq i+2j} \text{gr}^{a+j} K.(X).$$

Proof. Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a generically finite map onto its image with T smooth and let $\Theta \in \text{gr}_a G_{T \times_S X, 0}(T \times X)$. Let $p : T \times X \rightarrow X$ be the natural projection. Then

$$p^*(e) \cdot \Theta \in \text{gr}_{a-j} G_{T \times_S X, 0}(T \times X).$$

For $x \in \text{gr}.K.(T)$, we have that

$$e \cdot \Phi_\Theta(x) = \Phi_{p^*(e) \cdot \Theta}(x),$$

and the conclusion thus follows. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let X and Y be smooth varieties with proper maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S \end{array}$$

such that h is surjective. Let $c = \dim X - \dim Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_* \left(P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}.K.(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \right) &= P_f^{\leq i+c} \text{gr}.K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ h^* \left(\text{gr}.K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \right) \cap P_g^{\leq i+c} \text{gr}.K.(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} &= h^* \left(P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}.K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If there exists $X' \rightarrow Y$ such that the induced map $X' \rightarrow X$ is birational, then the above isomorphisms hold integrally.

Proof. The statement and its proof are similar to [11, Proposition 3.11].

Let $i : X' \rightarrow Y$ be a map such that $hi : X' \rightarrow X$ is generically finite and surjective. Let $f' := fi : X' \rightarrow S$. Then, by Proposition 3.2:

$$P_{f'}^{\leq i+c} \text{gr}.K.(X') \xrightarrow{i_*} P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr}.K.(Y) \xrightarrow{h_*} P_f^{\leq i+c} \text{gr}.K.(X).$$

The map $h_* i_* : \text{gr}.K.(X') \rightarrow \text{gr}.K.(X)$ is multiplication by the degree of the map hi , so it is an isomorphism rationally. It is an isomorphism integrally if $X' \rightarrow X$ has degree 1. The pullback statement is similar. \square

3.3. The filtration $P^{\leq \cdot}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map from X smooth. Let $V \hookrightarrow S$ be a subvariety, and let A_V the set of irreducible components of $f^{-1}(V)$. For an irreducible component X_V^a of $f^{-1}(V)$, consider a resolution of singularities π_V^a as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{X}_V^a & \xrightarrow{\pi_V^a} & X_V^a & \xrightarrow{\iota_V^a} & X \\ & \searrow f_V^a & \downarrow f_V^a & & \downarrow f \\ & & V & \hookrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Let c_V^a be the codimension of X_V^a in X . Denote by $\tau_V^a = \iota_V^a \pi_V^a$. Consider a subvariety $Y \hookrightarrow X$. Define

$$P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot G_Y(X) := \bigcap_{V \subseteq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot G_Y(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^a}^{\leq i+c_V^a} \text{gr} \cdot K. \left(\widetilde{X_V^a} \right) \right).$$

The definition is independent of the resolutions π_V^a chosen. Indeed, consider two different resolutions $\widetilde{X_V^a}, \widetilde{X_V^{a'}}$. There exists W such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \pi' \\ \widetilde{X_V^a} & & \widetilde{X_V^{a'}} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X_V^a & \end{array}$$

where the maps π and π' are successive blow-ups along smooth subvarieties of $\widetilde{X_V^a}$ and $\widetilde{X_V^{a'}}$, respectively. Let $\tau_V^{a'} : \widetilde{X_V^{a'}} \rightarrow X$ as above. Then $\tau_V^a \pi = \tau_V^{a'} \pi'$. By Proposition 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot G_Y(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^a}^{\leq i+c_V^a} \text{gr} \cdot K. \left(\widetilde{X_V^a} \right) \right) &\cong \\ \ker \left(\pi^* \tau_V^{a'*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot G_Y(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^{a'}}^{\leq i+c_V^{a'}} \text{gr} \cdot K.(W) \right) &\cong \\ \ker \left(\tau_V^{a'*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot G_Y(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^{a'}}^{\leq i+c_V^{a'}} \text{gr} \cdot K. \left(\widetilde{X_V^{a'}} \right) \right). & \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let X and Y be smooth varieties with $c = \dim X - \dim Y$. Consider proper maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

There are induced maps

$$\begin{aligned} h^* &: P_f^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X) \rightarrow P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K.(Y) \\ h_* &: P_g^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} \cdot K.(Y) \rightarrow P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K.(X). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The functoriality of h^* follows from Proposition 3.1 and induction on dimension of S .

We discuss the statement for h_* . We use induction on the dimension of S . The case of S a point is clear as $P_f^{\leq i} = P_f^{\leq i}$. We use the notation from the beginning of Subsection 3.3. Let V be a subvariety of S . Let X_V^a be an irreducible component of $f^{-1}(V)$ with a resolution of singularities $\widetilde{X_V^a} \rightarrow X_V^a$. Let B be the set of irreducible

component of Y_V over X_V^a . For $b \in B$, consider a resolution of singularities $\widetilde{Y}_V^b \rightarrow Y_V^b$ and maps such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{b \in B} \widetilde{Y}_V^b & \xrightarrow{\oplus_B h_V^b} & \widetilde{X}_V^a \\ \downarrow \oplus_B \tau_V^b & & \downarrow \tau_V^a \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X. \end{array}$$

Consider the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_V^{\text{der}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \widetilde{X}_V^a \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau_V^a \\ Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X. \end{array}$$

The scheme Y_V^{der} is quasi-smooth, see Subsection (2.3), and $\text{reldim } \tilde{h} = \text{reldim } h$. For $b \in B$, there is a map $p_b : \widetilde{Y}_V^b \rightarrow Y_V^{\text{der}}$. Let $d_b = \dim \widetilde{Y}_V^b - \dim Y_V^{\text{der}}$ and define

$$e_b = \det \left(\mathbb{L}_{\tau_V^b} / h_V^{b*} \mathbb{L}_{\tau_V^a} \right) \in \text{gr}^{d_b} K_0 \left(\widetilde{Y}_V^b \right).$$

By a version of the excess intersection formula, the following diagram commutes:

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{gr}.K.(Y) & \xrightarrow{h_*} & \text{gr}.K.(X) \\ \downarrow \oplus_B \tau_V^{b*} & & \downarrow \tau_V^{a*} \\ \oplus_B \text{gr}.K.(\widetilde{Y}_V^b) & & \\ \downarrow \oplus_B e_b & & \\ \oplus_B \text{gr}.K.(\widetilde{Y}_V^b) & \xrightarrow{\oplus_B h_V^{b*}} & K.(\widetilde{X}_V^a), \end{array}$$

where we have ignored shifts in the above gradings. We now explain that (6) commutes. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bigsqcup_B \widetilde{Y}_V^b & & \oplus_B h_V^b & & \\ & \searrow \sqcup_B p_b & & \searrow & \\ & & Y_V^{\text{der}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}} & \widetilde{X}_V^a \\ & \searrow \oplus_B \tau_V^b & \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \tau_V^a \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\sum_{b \in B} h_V^{b*} (e_b \cdot \tau_V^{b*}) = \sum_{b \in B} \tilde{h}_* p_{b*} (e_b \cdot p_b^* \tau^*) = \tilde{h}_* \left(\left(\sum_{b \in B} p_{b*} e_b \right) \cdot \tau^* \right).$$

For M a quasi-smooth scheme, denote by $1 := [\mathcal{O}_M] \in \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(M)$. It suffices to show that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{b \in B} p_{b*}(e_b) = 1 \in \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(Y_V^{\mathrm{der}}).$$

The underlying scheme Y_V^{cl} has irreducible components indexed by B and these components are birational to \widetilde{Y}_V^b . Recall the discussion in Subsection 2.3.3. There exist open sets

$$W = \bigsqcup_{b \in B} W^b \subset Y_V^{\mathrm{der}},$$

$$U^b \subset \widetilde{Y}_V^b$$

whose complements have codimension ≥ 1 and such that for any $b \in B$:

$$W^{b, \mathrm{cl}} \cong U^b,$$

$$W^b \times_{Y_V^{\mathrm{der}}} \widetilde{Y}_V^b \cong U^b,$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{W^b} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U^b}[\mathcal{E}^b[1]; d],$$

where \mathcal{E}^b is a vector bundle on U^b of rank d_b and the differential $\mathcal{E}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U^b}$ is zero. Let $\varepsilon_b \in \mathrm{gr}^{d_b} K_0(U^b)$ be the Euler class of \mathcal{E}^b . Then $p_{b*}(\varepsilon_b) = 1 \in \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(W^b)$ and the restriction map sends

$$\mathrm{res} : \mathrm{gr}^{d_b} K_0(\widetilde{Y}_V^b) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{d_b} K_0(U^b)$$

$$e_b \mapsto \varepsilon_b.$$

Back to proving (7), we have that $\mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(Y_V^{\mathrm{der}}) \cong \bigoplus_{b \in B} \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(W^b)$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{gr}^{d_b} K_0(\widetilde{Y}_V^b) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} & \mathrm{gr}^{d_b} K_0(U^b) \\ \downarrow p_{b*} & & \downarrow p_{b*} \\ \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(Y_V^{\mathrm{der}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} & \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(W^b), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are restriction to open sets maps. Then

$$\mathrm{res} p_{b*}(e_b) = p_{b*}(\varepsilon_b) = 1 \text{ in } \mathrm{gr}^0 K_0(W^b).$$

The diagram (6) thus commutes. The conclusion now follows from Propositions 3.2 and 3.4. \square

3.4. Towards the filtration $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i}$. We continue with the notation from Subsection 3.1. Let X be a smooth variety with a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$. Let $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ be a generically finite map onto its image from T smooth.

We say that Γ is a (f, π) -quasi-smooth scheme if Γ is a derived scheme with maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X' \\ & \nearrow \iota & \downarrow t \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

such that ι is a quasi-smooth immersion in a smooth variety X' (i.e. the cotangent complex $\mathbb{L}_\iota[-1]$ is a vector bundle on Γ), t is smooth, and q^{cl} is surjective. The conditions on the maps ι and t imply that Γ is quasi-smooth. Let

$$\text{gr}^* K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X) \subset \text{gr}^* K_{T \times_S X}(T \times X)$$

be the subspace generated by classes $[\Gamma]$ for (f, π) -quasi-smooth schemes as above.

Proposition 3.7. *Let h be a proper map:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

There are induced maps

$$h_* : \text{gr}^* K_{T \times_S Y}^q(T \times Y) \rightarrow \text{gr}^* K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X).$$

If h is surjective, then there are induced maps

$$h^* : \text{gr}^* K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X) \rightarrow \text{gr}^* K_{T \times_S Y}^q(T \times Y).$$

Proof. We discuss the statement about pullback. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Theta & \hookrightarrow & Y' & \xrightarrow{t_Y} & Y \\ \downarrow r & & \downarrow h' & & \downarrow h \\ \Gamma & \hookrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{t_X} & X \\ \downarrow q & & & \swarrow f & \\ T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S, & & \end{array}$$

where Γ is a (f, π) -quasi-smooth scheme with q^{cl} is surjective, t_X is smooth, and the upper squares are cartesian. Then the map $\Theta \hookrightarrow Y'$ is a quasi-smooth immersion and t_Y is smooth. The map h is surjective, so $r^{\text{cl}} : \Theta^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\text{cl}}$ is surjective, and thus $(qr)^{\text{cl}} : \Theta^{\text{cl}} \rightarrow T$ is surjective as well, so Θ is a (g, π) -quasi-smooth scheme.

We next discuss the statement about pushforward. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Y' \\ & \nearrow \iota & \downarrow t \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow g \\ T & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

such that ι is a closed immersion, t is smooth, and q^{cl} is surjective. The map $Y' \rightarrow X$ is a proper map of smooth quasi-projective varieties, so we can choose X' with maps

$$Y' \xrightarrow{\iota'} X' \xrightarrow{t'} X$$

such that ι' is a closed immersion and t' is smooth. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X' \\ & \nearrow \iota'\iota & \downarrow t' \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{hp} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow f \\ T & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

such that $\iota'\iota$ is a closed immersion, t' is smooth, and q^{cl} is surjective. □

Consider a diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & X' \\ & \nearrow \iota & \downarrow t \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

as above, with t a smooth map and with ι a closed immersion. Let

$$T \times_S X = Z_1 \cup Z_2,$$

where Z_1 is the union of irreducible components of $T \times_S X$ dominant over T and Z_2 is the union of the other irreducible components. Denote by $Z_1^o := Z_1 - (Z_1 \cap Z_2)$. Similarly define Z_1' and Z_2' for $T \times_S X'$. Let $b = \text{reldim } q$ and $a = b + \dim T = \dim \Gamma$.

Proposition 3.8. *The class $[\Gamma] \in \text{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X')$ is not supported on Z_2' .*

Proof. Let ℓ be an ft -ample divisor. Denote by $\text{pr}_1 : T \times X' \rightarrow T$. Then

$$(9) \quad \text{pr}_{1*}([\Gamma] \cdot \ell^b) = d[T] \in \text{gr}_{\dim T} K_*(T)$$

for d a non-zero integer. Indeed, let η be the generic point of T . By abuse of notation, we denote by η its image in S . It suffices to show (9) after restricting to η . In this case, d is the intersection number $[\Gamma_\eta] \cdot \ell^b$ in X'_η .

Further, let $x \in \mathrm{gr}_a K_{Z_2'}(T \times X')$. We have that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{1*} \left(x \cdot \ell^b \right) = 0 \in \mathrm{gr}_{\dim T} K.(T)$$

because the support on $x \cdot \ell^b$ is not dominant over T . The conclusion thus follows. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $T \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ be a generically finite map from T smooth with image V . Let $a > \dim X_V$. Then $\mathrm{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X) = 0$. Further, $\mathrm{gr}_{\dim X_V} K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$ is generated by irreducible components of $T \times_S X$ dominant over T of dimension X_V .*

Proof. Suppose we are in the setting of (8) and let $s : X \rightarrow X'$ be a section of t . We write $\tilde{p} : \Gamma \rightarrow T \times X$, $\tilde{t} : \Gamma \rightarrow T \times X'$ etc. Assume that

$$\tilde{t}_* \tilde{t}_*[\Gamma] = \tilde{p}_*[\Gamma] \neq 0 \in \mathrm{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X).$$

Then there exists a non-zero $x \in \mathrm{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$ such that

$$\tilde{p}_*[\Gamma] = \tilde{s}_*(x) \in \mathrm{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X'}^q(T \times X').$$

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} & \mathrm{gr}_a K_{Z_1^o}(T \times X' \setminus Z_2') \\ \tilde{s}_* \uparrow & & \tilde{s}_* \uparrow \\ \mathrm{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X}(T \times X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} & \mathrm{gr}_a K_{Z_1^o}(T \times X \setminus Z_2). \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.8, we have that $\mathrm{res}(x) \neq 0 \in \mathrm{gr}_a K_{Z_1^o}(T \times X \setminus Z_2)$. We have that $\dim Z_1^o = \dim X_V$, and the conclusion follows from here. \square

3.5. The perverse filtration $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i}$. We now define a smaller filtration $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \subset P_f^{\leq i}$. We use the notation from Subsection 3.1.

Let X be a smooth variety with a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ and let $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ be a generically finite map onto its image from T smooth. Consider a subvariety $Y \hookrightarrow X$. Define the subspaces of $\mathrm{gr} G_Y(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i} &:= \mathrm{span}_{\Gamma} (\Phi_{\Gamma} : \mathrm{gr} K.(T) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr} G_Y(X)) \\ \mathbf{P}'_{f,V}{}^{\leq i} &:= \mathrm{span} \left(\mathbf{P}'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i} \text{ for all maps } \pi \text{ as above } V \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma \in \mathrm{gr}_{\dim X - s} K_{T \times_S Y, 0}^q(T \times X)$ and

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor \geq s.$$

Using the notation from Subsection 3.3, define

$$\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} G_Y(X) := \bigcap_{\substack{V \subsetneq S \\ a \in A_V}} \bigcap \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : \mathbf{P}'_{f,T}{}^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} G_Y(X) \rightarrow P'_{f_V}{}^{> i + c_V^a} \mathrm{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{X_V^a} \right) \right).$$

The definition is independent of the resolutions $\widetilde{X_V^a}$ chosen, see Subsection 3.3.

Theorem 3.10. *Let X and Y be smooth varieties with $c = \dim X - \dim Y$. Consider proper maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & X \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

There are induced maps

$$h_* : \mathbf{P}_g^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} K.(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X)$$

$$h_* : \mathbf{P}_g^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} K.(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X).$$

If h is surjective, then there are induced maps

$$h^* : \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(Y)$$

$$h^* : \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i-c} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(Y).$$

Proof. The functoriality follow as in Propositions 3.1, 3.2, and Theorem 3.6, using Proposition 3.7. \square

3.6. Properties of the perverse filtration. Consider a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ with X smooth. Define the defect of semismallness of f by

$$s := s(f) = \dim X \times_S X - \dim X.$$

Further, define $s' = \max(\dim X + \dim S - 4, \dim X)$. The perverse filtration in cohomology satisfies

$${}^p H_f^{\leq -s-1}(X) = 0 \text{ and } {}^p H_f^{\leq s}(X) = H(X),$$

see [7, Section 1.6]. We prove an analogous result in K -theory:

Theorem 3.11. *For f as above,*

$$\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq -s'-1} \text{gr} K.(X) = \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq -s-1} \text{gr} K.(X) = 0$$

$$\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X).$$

Proposition 3.12. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a surjective map from X smooth with $\text{reldim } f > 0$ and consider a subvariety $Z \hookrightarrow X$ of codimension ≥ 2 . Then there exists a subvariety $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow X$ of codimension 1 such that $Z \subset Y$ and $f \iota : Y \rightarrow S$ is surjective.*

Proof. It suffices to pass to the generic point of Z , and we can thus assume that Z is a point and is given by a complete intersection of smooth hypersurfaces H_1, \dots, H_r in X with $r \geq 2$. Localizing at the generic point of Z , we can assume that Z is a point. Further restricting to an open set of X , we can assume that the fibers of f are irreducible. Assume that none of the maps

$$f_i : H_i \rightarrow Z$$

are surjective. Let S_i be the image of f_i . Let $S' := \bigcap_{i=1}^r S_i$. Then S' is not empty because it contains $f(Z)$. We have $\pi^{-1}(S_i) = H_i$ and so $\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_i$ contains $\pi^{-1}(S')$.

This means that $\dim(\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_i) \geq \text{reldim } f$. This bound contradicts that $\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_i$ is a point Z . \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper surjective map from X smooth of relative dimension d . Then*

$$\mathbf{P}'_f^{\leq d} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X).$$

Proof. We use induction on d . Assume that f is generically finite. Consider the correspondence $\Delta \cong X \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{\sim} & X \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & S. \end{array}$$

This implies that $\mathbf{P}'_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X)$.

Consider f with $d > 0$. Let $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a subvariety of codimension ≥ 2 . By Proposition 3.12, there exists $Y \hookrightarrow X$ of codimension 1 such that $Z \subset Y$ and $Y \rightarrow S$ has image W of codimension ≤ 1 in S . Let $Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities and denote the resulting map by $g : Y' \rightarrow W$. By induction,

$$\mathbf{P}'_g^{\leq d-1} \text{gr} K_0(Y') = \text{gr} K_0(Y').$$

By Proposition 2.2,

$$\text{image}(\iota_* : \text{gr} G_0(Z) \rightarrow \text{gr} K_0(X)) \subset \text{image}(g_* : \text{gr} K_0(Y') \rightarrow \text{gr} K_0(X)).$$

Finally, assume that $Z \hookrightarrow Y$ has codimension 1. By Proposition 2.1, it suffices to show that

$$\text{image}(\text{gr}_{\dim Z} G_0(Z) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\dim Z} G_0(X)) \subset \mathbf{P}'_f^{\leq d} \text{gr} K_0(X)$$

because $\text{gr}_i G_0(Z)$ for $i < \dim Z$ is generated by varieties of smaller dimension than Z . If $Z \rightarrow S$ is surjective, then it has relative dimension $d - 1$ and we can treat it as above. If $Z \rightarrow S$ is not surjective, let $W \subset S$ be its image. Choose a resolution of singularities $T \rightarrow W$ and a smooth variety Γ with surjective maps p and q :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma & \xrightarrow{p} & Z & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ T & \longrightarrow & W & \hookrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Then $[\Gamma] \in \text{gr}_{\dim X - 1} K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$ and its image Φ_Γ is in $\mathbf{P}'_f^{\leq d} \text{gr} K_0(X)$. Then

$$\text{image}(\text{gr}_{\dim Z} G_0(Z) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\dim Z} K_0(X)) \subset \text{image} \Phi_\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}'_f^{\leq d} \text{gr} K_0(X).$$

The conclusion now follows from Proposition 2.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.11. We first show that $P_f^{\leq -s'-1} \text{gr} K(X) = 0$. Consider a map $\pi : T \rightarrow X$ generically finite onto its image $V \subset S$ with T smooth and consider a correspondence

$$\Gamma \in \text{gr}_{\dim X - b} G_{T \times_S X}(T \times S).$$

Then $\dim X - b \leq \dim T \times_S X \leq \max(\dim X, \dim X + \dim T - 2)$, and so

$$b \geq \min(0, -\dim T + 2).$$

By the bound (5), it suffices to show that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{-s' - 1 + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor < \min(0, -\dim T + 2),$$

or, alternatively, that

$$\max(\dim X - \dim T - 1, \dim X + \dim T - 5) < s',$$

which is true because $0 \leq \dim T \leq \dim S$.

We next explain that $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq -s-1} \text{gr} K(X) = 0$. We keep the notation from the previous paragraph. Let $[\Gamma] \in \text{gr}_{\dim X - b} K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times S)$. By Proposition 3.9, we have that

$$b \geq \dim X - \dim X_V.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{-s - 1 + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor < \dim X - \dim X_V,$$

or, alternatively, that

$$2 \dim X_V - \dim V \leq s - \dim X = \dim X \times_S X,$$

which is true because $2 \dim X_V - \dim V \leq \dim X_V \times_V X_V \leq \dim X \times_S X$.

We next show that $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X)$. We can assume that f is surjective of relative dimension d . Use the notation from Subsection 3.3. We have that

$$\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) := \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^a}^{\prime > s + c_V^a} \text{gr} K_0(\widetilde{X_V^a}) \right).$$

We claim that

$$\text{reldim}(\widetilde{X_V^a} \rightarrow V) = \text{reldim}(X_V^a \rightarrow V) \leq s + c_V^a.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X_V^a - \dim V &\leq (\dim X \times_S X - \dim X) + (\dim X - \dim X_V^a) \\ 2 \dim X_V^a - \dim V &\leq \dim X_V^a \times_V X_V^a \leq \dim X \times_S X, \end{aligned}$$

which is true. By Proposition 3.13, this implies that $P_{f_V^a}^{\prime > s + c_V^a} \text{gr} K_0(\widetilde{X_V^a}) = 0$. Furthermore, $s \geq d$, so Proposition 3.13 implies that $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X)$, and

thus $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X)$. This also implies that $P_f^{\leq s} \text{gr} K_0(X) = \text{gr} K_0(X)$. \square

3.7. Examples of perverse filtration in K -theory.

3.7.1. Let X be a smooth variety of dimension d , and let $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^j K_*(X) = \begin{cases} \text{gr}^j K_*(X) & \text{if } j \leq \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3.7.2. Let X be a smooth variety and let E be a vector bundle on X of rank $d+1$. Let $Y := \mathbb{P}_X(E)$. Denote by $\hbar := c_1(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) \in \text{gr}^2 K_0(Y)$. Consider the projection map $f : Y \rightarrow X$. We have that $s(f) = d$. For $i \leq d$, there exists an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor} \text{gr}^{a-2j} K_*(X) \cong P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^a K_*(Y)$$

$$(x_0, \dots, x_{\lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor}) \mapsto \sum_{j \leq \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor} \hbar^j f^*(x_j).$$

The condition for $P_f^{\leq i}$ is checked using projective bundles over varieties of smaller dimension, and we obtain that

$$\bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor} \text{gr}^{a-2j} K_*(X) \cong P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^a K_*(Y).$$

3.7.3. Let X be a smooth variety and let Z be a smooth subvariety of codimension $d+1$. Consider the blow-up diagram for $Y = \text{Bl}_Z X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & Y \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow f \\ Z & \xhookrightarrow{j} & X. \end{array}$$

Let $\hbar := c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1)) \in \text{gr}^2 K_0(E)$. We have that $s(f) = d-1$. For $i \leq d-1$, there is an isomorphism:

$$\text{gr}^a K_*(X)^\varepsilon \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor - 1} \text{gr}^{a-2-2j} K_*(Z) \cong P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^a K_*(Y)$$

$$(x, z_0, \dots, z_{\lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor - 1}) \mapsto f^*(x) + \sum_{j \leq \lfloor \frac{i+d}{2} \rfloor - 1} \iota_* (\hbar^j q^*(z_j)).$$

Here ε is 0 if $i < 0$ and is 1 otherwise. This follows from the computation in Subsection 3.7.2 and Proposition 4.4.

One can check that in the above examples, we have that $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq \cdot} = P_f^{\leq \cdot}$.

3.8. Compatibility with the perverse filtration in cohomology. Consider a proper map $f : X \rightarrow S$ with X smooth. Define filtrations $P_f'^{\leq i}, P_f^{\leq i}, \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i}$ on $H^\cdot(X), H^\cdot(X)_{\text{alg}}$ as in Subsections 3.1 and 3.5. We have that

$$\text{image} \left(\mathbf{c} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow P_f^{\leq i} H^{2j}(X) \right) = P_f^{\leq i} H^{2j}(X)_{\text{alg}}.$$

We use the notation ${}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X)_{\text{full}}$ for the cohomology of summands of ${}^p \tau^{\leq i} Rf_* IC_X$ with support S .

Proposition 3.14. *There exist natural inclusions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) &\subset P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) \subset {}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X) \\ \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X)_{\text{alg}} &\subset P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X)_{\text{alg}} \subset {}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X)_{\text{alg}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the cycle map restricts to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow {}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X)_{\text{alg}} \\ \mathbf{c} : \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow {}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X)_{\text{alg}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ be a generically finite map with T smooth. Consider a correspondence

$$\Gamma \in \text{gr}_{\dim X - s} K_{T \times_S X, 0}(T \times X)$$

such that

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim T}{2} \right\rfloor \geq s.$$

The correspondence Γ induces a map of constructible sheaves on S :

$$\begin{aligned} R\pi_* \mathbb{Q}_T[-2s] &\xrightarrow{\Phi_\Gamma} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X. \\ Rp_* IC_T[\dim X - \dim T - 2s] &\xrightarrow{\Phi_\Gamma} Rf_* IC_X. \end{aligned}$$

If π is not surjective, $R\pi_* IC_T$ has summands with support $W \subsetneq S$. If π is surjective, the complex $R\pi_* IC_T$ has summands $IC_S(\mathcal{L})$ of full support and of perverse degree zero, and other summands with support $W \subsetneq S$. The perverse degree of the sheaf with support S in the image of Φ_Γ is

$$\dim X - \dim T - 2s \leq i.$$

Thus $P_f'^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X)$ contains cohomology of sheaves $IC_S(\mathcal{L})[j]$ with $j \leq i$ which appear as summands of $Rf_* IC_X$ and of other sheaves with support $W \subsetneq S$. Thus

$$P_f'^{> i} H^\cdot(X) \rightarrow {}^p H_f^{> i}(X)_{\text{full}}.$$

Using the notation in Subsection 3.3, we have that

$$P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) := \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} H^\cdot(\widehat{X_V^a}) \right).$$

In particular,

$$P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) \subset \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) \rightarrow {}^p H_{f_V^a}^{>i+c_V^a} \left(\widetilde{X_V^a} \right)_{\text{full}} \right).$$

Using (4), we obtain that $P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X) \subset {}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X)$.

□

Remark. We expect equalities $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X)_{\text{alg}} = P_f^{\leq i} H^\cdot(X)_{\text{alg}} = {}^p H_f^{\leq i}(X)_{\text{alg}}$ in the above Proposition.

4. INTERSECTION K -THEORY

4.1. Definition of intersection K -theory. Let S be a variety, let U be an open subset, let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be such $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is étale, and let $L = f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(U)})$. Recall the notation of Subsection 3.3. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) &:= \text{image} \left(\bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_V} P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K_{X_V^a}(X) \rightarrow P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) \right) \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) &:= \text{image} \left(\bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_V} \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K_{X_V^a}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr} \cdot \text{IK} \cdot (S, L) &:= P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) / \left(\widetilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \\ \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK} \cdot (S, L) &:= \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) / \left(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} \cdot K \cdot (X) \cap \ker f_* \right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *The definitions of $\text{gr} \cdot \text{IK} \cdot (S, L)$ and $\text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK} \cdot (S, L)$ do not depend on the choice of the map $f : X \rightarrow S$ with $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ étale such that $L \cong f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(U)})$. Further, let $U^\circ \subset U$ be an open set and let $L^\circ := L|_{U^\circ}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr} \cdot \text{IK} \cdot (S, L) &\cong \text{gr} \cdot \text{IK} \cdot (S, L^\circ), \\ \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK} \cdot (S, L) &\cong \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK} \cdot (S, L^\circ). \end{aligned}$$

We start with some preliminary results. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper map with X smooth. Let Z be a smooth subvariety of X with normal bundle N , $Y = \text{Bl}_Z X$, and $E = \mathbb{P}_Z(N)$ the exceptional divisor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xleftarrow{\iota} & Y \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z & \xleftarrow{j} & X. \end{array}$$

Consider the proper maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xleftarrow{\iota} & Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow h & \downarrow g & \swarrow f & \\ & & S & & \end{array}$$

Let $X' \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed subset, and denote its preimages in Y, Z, E by Y', Z', E' respectively. Denote by

$$\mathrm{gr}.K_{Y'}(Y)^0 = \ker(\pi_* : \mathrm{gr}.K_{Y'}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}.K_{X'}(X)).$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $T \rightarrow S$ be a map with T smooth which is generically finite onto its image. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S Y'}(T \times Y) &= \pi^* \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X) \oplus \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S E'}(T \times Y)^0 \\ \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S Y'}^q(T \times Y) &= \pi^* \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S X'}^q(T \times X) \oplus \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S E'}^q(T \times Y)^0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $c + 1$ be the codimension of Z in X . Denote by $\mathcal{O}(1)$ the canonical line bundle on E and let $\hbar = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \in \mathrm{gr}^2 K_0(E)$. There is a semi-orthogonal decomposition [4, Theorem 4.2] with π^* fully faithful on $D^b(X)$:

$$D^b(Y) = \left\langle \pi^* D^b(X), \iota_* \left(p^* D^b(Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \right), \dots, \iota_* \left(p^* D^b(Z) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-c) \right) \right\rangle,$$

which implies that

$$\mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y) = \pi^* \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq c-1} \iota_* \left(\hbar^k \cdot p^* \mathrm{gr}^{j-2-2k} K.(Z) \right).$$

Using the analogous decomposition for $Y \setminus Y' = \mathrm{Bl}_{Z \setminus Z'}(X \setminus X')$ and the localization sequence in K-theory [21, V.2.6.2], we obtain that

$$\mathrm{gr}^j K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* \mathrm{gr}^j K_{X'}(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq c-1} \iota_* \left(\hbar^k \cdot p^* \mathrm{gr}^{j-2-2k} K_{Z'}(Z) \right).$$

In particular, we have that

$$\mathrm{gr}^j K_{T \times_S Y'}(T \times Y) = \pi^* \mathrm{gr}^j K_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X) \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq k \leq c-1} \iota_* \left(\hbar^k \cdot p^* \mathrm{gr}^{j-2-2k} K_{T \times_S Z'}(T \times Z) \right)$$

and thus that

$$\mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S Y'}(T \times Y) = \pi^* \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S X'}(T \times X) \oplus \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S E'}(T \times Y)^0.$$

By Proposition 3.7, we also have that

$$\mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S Y'}^q(T \times Y) = \pi^* \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S X'}^q(T \times X) \oplus \mathrm{gr}.K_{T \times_S E'}^q(T \times Y)^0.$$

□

An immediate corollary of Proposition 4.2 is:

Corollary 4.3. *We continue with the notation from Proposition 4.2. There are decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} P_g^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_{Y'}(Y) &= \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_{E'}(Y) \\ P_g^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_{Y'}(Y) &= \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \mathrm{gr} K_{E'}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

We next prove:

Proposition 4.4. *We continue with the notation from Proposition 4.2. There are decompositions*

$$P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{E'}(Y)$$

$$P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{E'}(Y).$$

Proof. We use the notation from Subsection 3.3. For $V \subsetneq S$, let A_V be the set of irreducible components of $f^{-1}(V)$. Let X_V^a be such a component.

If $X_V^a \subset Z$, then there is only one irreducible component $Y_V^a = \mathbb{P}_{X_V^a}(N)$ of $g^{-1}(V)$ above it.

If X_V^a is not in Z , then there is one component Y_V^a of $g^{-1}(V)$ birational to X_V^a . The other components are $\mathbb{P}_{W_V^b}(N)$, where W_V^b is an irreducible component of $X_V^a \cap Z$. Denote by B_a the set of such components. For $a \in A$ and $b \in B_a$, consider resolutions of singularities r such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{Y}_V^a & \xrightarrow{r} & Y_V^a & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{X}_V^a & \xrightarrow{r} & X_V^a & \longrightarrow & X \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & X_V^a \cap Z & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ \widetilde{W}_V^b & \xrightarrow{r} & W_V^b & & \end{array}$$

Denote by τ maps as in Subsection 3.3, for example $\tau_V^a : \widetilde{X}_V^a \rightarrow X$, and by μ the map

$$(10) \quad \tau_V^b : \widetilde{W}_V^b \xrightarrow{\mu} \widetilde{X}_V^a \xrightarrow{\tau_V^a} X.$$

We consider the proper maps

$$\widetilde{f}_V^a : \widetilde{X}_V^a \rightarrow X_V^a \rightarrow V$$

$$\widetilde{g}_V^a : \widetilde{Y}_V^a \rightarrow Y_V^a \rightarrow V$$

$$\widetilde{f}_V^b : \widetilde{W}_V^b \rightarrow W_V^b \rightarrow V$$

$$\widetilde{g}_V^b : \mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{W}_V^b}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{W_V^b}(N) \rightarrow V.$$

Denote by

$$c_V^a = \text{codim}(X_V^a \text{ in } X) = \text{codim}(Y_V^a \text{ in } Y)$$

$$c_V^b = \text{codim}(W_V^b \text{ in } X)$$

$$c_V^b = \text{codim}(\mathbb{P}_{W_V^b}(N) \text{ in } Y)$$

the codimensions as in Subsection 3.3. By Proposition 3.5, we have that

$$(11) \quad \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{g_V^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \text{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{Y}_V^a \right) \right) \cong \\ \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \text{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{X}_V^a \right) \right).$$

By Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.1 for the map μ in (10), we have that

$$(12) \quad \ker \left(\tau_V^{b*} : \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{g_V^b}^{\prime > i + c_V^b} \text{gr} K. \left(\mathbb{P}_{W_V^b} \widetilde{(N)} \right) \right) \cong \\ \ker \left(\tau_V^{b*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^b}^{\prime > i + c_V^b} \text{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{W}_V^b \right) \right) \supset \\ \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \text{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{X}_V^a \right) \right).$$

Let B_V be the set of irreducible components of $g^{-1}(V)$. For $d \in B_V$, denote by $\widetilde{g}_V^d : \widetilde{Y}_V^d \rightarrow V$ and let $c_V^d := \text{codim}(Y_V^d \text{ in } Y)$. We have that $B_V = A \cup \bigcup_{a \in A} B_a$. The statements in (11) and (12) imply that

$$\pi_* : \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{d \in B_V} \ker \left(\tau_V^{d*} : \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{g_V^d}^{\prime > i + c_V^d} \text{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{Y}_V^d \right) \right) \cong \\ \bigcap_{V \subsetneq S} \bigcap_{a \in A_V} \ker \left(\tau_V^{a*} : P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K.(X) \rightarrow P_{f_V^a}^{\prime > i + c_V^a} \text{gr} K. \left(\widetilde{X}_V^a \right) \right).$$

Using Corollary 4.3, we obtain that

$$P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{Y'}(Y) = \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{X'}(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} K_{E'}(Y)^0.$$

The analogous statement for $\mathbf{P}^{\leq i}$ follows similarly. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Any two such varieties $f : X \rightarrow S$ and $f' : X' \rightarrow S$ are birational, so by [1] there is a smooth variety W such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \pi' \\ X & & X' \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow f' \\ & S & \end{array}$$

and the maps π and π' are successive blow-ups along smooth subvarieties of X and X' , respectively. It thus suffices to show that

$$(13) \quad P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K.(X) / \left(\widetilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K.(X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \cong P_g^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K.(Y) / \left(\widetilde{P}_g^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K.(Y) \cap \ker g_* \right),$$

where $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ is the blow up along smooth subvariety $Z \hookrightarrow X$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow f \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 4.4, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K(Y) &= \pi^* P_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K_E(Y)^0 \\ \tilde{P}_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K(Y) &= \pi^* \tilde{P}_f^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K(X) \oplus P_g^{\leq i} \text{gr} \cdot K_E(Y)^0. \end{aligned}$$

The map π^* is injective. Taking the quotients we thus obtain the isomorphism (13). The analogous statement for \mathbf{IK} is similar. \square

4.2. Cycle map for intersection K-theory. Let S be a variety, let U be an open subset, let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be such $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is étale, and let $L = f_* (\mathbb{Z}_{f^{-1}(U)})$.

Proposition 4.5. *The cycle map $ch : gr^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H^{2j}(X)$ induces cycle maps independent of the map $f : X \rightarrow S$ as in Subsection 4.1:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} : gr^j \mathbf{IK}_0(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \\ \mathbf{c} : gr^j \mathbf{IK}_0(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define $P_f^{\leq i} H_{X_V^q}(X)$ as in Subsection 3.1 and denote by

$$\tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} H(X) := \text{image} \left(\bigoplus_{V \subsetneq S} \bigoplus_{a \in A_T} P_f^{\leq i} H_{X_V^q}(X) \rightarrow H(X) \right) \cap P_f^{\leq 0} H(X).$$

Denote by ${}^p \tilde{H}_f^{\leq 0}(X) \subset {}^p H_f^{\leq 0}(X)$ the sum of summands of ${}^p \tau^{\leq 0} Rf_* IC_X$ with support strictly smaller than S . By Proposition 3.14, the cycle map respects the perverse filtrations in K-theory and cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c} : P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow P_f^{\leq 0} H^{2j}(X) \hookrightarrow {}^p H_f^{\leq 0}(X) \\ \mathbf{c} : \tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow \tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} H^{2j}(X) \hookrightarrow {}^p \tilde{H}_f^{\leq 0}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the quotient and using (3), we obtain a map

$$\mathbf{c} : gr^j \mathbf{IK}_0(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow IH^{2j}(S, L \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

The proof that the above cycle map is independent of the map f chosen follows as in Theorem 4.1. The argument for \mathbf{IK} is similar. \square

4.3. Further properties of intersection K-theory. Intersection cohomology satisfies the following properties, the second one explaining its name [10, Motivation]:

- The natural map $H^i(S) \rightarrow H_{2d-i}^{\text{BM}}(S)$ factors through

$$H^i(S) \rightarrow IH^i(S) \rightarrow H_{2d-i}^{\text{BM}}(S).$$

- There is a natural intersection map

$$IH^i(S) \otimes IH^j(S) \rightarrow H_{2d-i-j}^{\text{BM}}(S)$$

which is non-degenerate for $i + j = 2d$.

We prove analogous, but weaker versions of the above properties in K -theory.

Proposition 4.6. (a) *There are natural maps*

$$\text{gr}^i IK.(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{d-i} G.(S)$$

$$\text{gr}^i \mathbf{IK}.(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{d-i} G.(S).$$

(b) *There are natural intersection maps*

$$\text{gr}^i IK.(S) \otimes \text{gr}^j IK.(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{d-i-j} G.(S)$$

$$\text{gr}^i \mathbf{IK}.(S) \otimes \text{gr}^j \mathbf{IK}.(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{d-i-j} G.(S).$$

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities. We discuss the claims for $IK.$, the ones for $\mathbf{IK}.$ are similar. We construct maps as above using f . They are independent by f by an argument as in Theorem 4.1.

(a) There is a natural map $\text{gr}^i K.(X) = \text{gr}_{d-i} G.(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{gr}_{d-i} G.(S)$, and we thus obtain a map

$$\text{gr}^i IK.(S) = P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) / \left(\tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \rightarrow \text{gr}_{d-i} G.(S).$$

(b) Consider the composite map

$$P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) \boxtimes P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^j K.(X) \rightarrow \text{gr}^{i+j} K.(X \times X) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \text{gr}^{i+j} K.(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{gr}_{d-i-j} G.(S).$$

The subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \boxtimes P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) \\ & P_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) \boxtimes \left(\tilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^i K.(X) \cap \ker f_* \right) \end{aligned}$$

are in the kernel of $f_* \Delta^* = \Delta^* (f_* \boxtimes f_*)$. We thus obtain the desired map. \square

4.4. Computations of intersection K -theory.

4.4.1. If S is smooth, then $\text{gr} \cdot IK.(S) = \text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}.(S) = \text{gr} \cdot K.(S)$.

4.4.2. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a small resolution of singularities. Then

$$\text{gr} \cdot \mathbf{IK}_0(S) = \text{gr} \cdot K_0(X).$$

Let $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ be a generically surjective finite map from T smooth. By Proposition 3.9, $\text{gr}_{\dim X} K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$ is generated by the irreducible components of $T \times_S X$ dominant over S . This means that the cycles in $\text{gr}_a K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$ supported on the exceptional locus have $a < \dim X$, and thus they only contribute in perverse degrees ≥ 1 , see (5).

Next, say that $T \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ has image $V \subsetneq S$. Let $[\Gamma] \in \mathrm{gr}_{\dim X - a} K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$. By Proposition 3.9, $a \leq \dim X - \dim X_V$. If it contributes in perverse degree i , then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{i + \dim X - \dim V}{2} \right\rfloor \geq \dim X - \dim X_V,$$

and thus that

$$i \geq \dim X + \dim V - 2 \dim X_V \geq 1.$$

This means that $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr} K.(X) = 0$. By Theorem 3.11, $\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr} K_0(X) = \mathrm{gr} K_0(X)$, and thus $\mathrm{gr} \mathbf{IK}_0(S) = \mathrm{gr} K_0(X)$.

4.4.3. Let S be a surface. Consider a resolution of singularities $f : X \rightarrow S$. Let B be the set of singular points of S . For each p in B , let $A_p = \{C_p^a\}$ be the set of irreducible components of $X_p := f^{-1}(p)$. For each such curve, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_p^a & \xrightarrow{g_p^a} & X \\ h_p^a \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ p & \hookrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Consider the maps

$$\begin{aligned} m_p^a &:= g_{p*}^a h_p^{a*} : K.(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^1 K.(X) \\ \Delta_p^a &:= h_{p*}^a g^{a*} : \mathrm{gr}^1 K.(X) \rightarrow K.(p). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr} K.(X) = \mathrm{image} \left(\bigoplus_{p \in B} \bigoplus_{a \in A_p} m_p^a : K.(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^1 K.(X) \right).$$

The correspondences which contribute to $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0}$ are in $\mathrm{gr}_{2-s} K_{T \times_S X}^q(T \times X)$ for $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ a generically finite map onto its image $V \subsetneq S$ with T smooth. By Proposition 3.9,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{2 - \dim V}{2} \right\rfloor \geq s \geq \dim X - \dim X_V.$$

So the map $T \rightarrow S$ is the inclusion of a point $p \hookrightarrow S$ for $p \in B$ and Γ is in $\mathrm{gr}_1 G_{X_p}(X)$. Further, for $p, q \in B$, $a \in A_p$, $b \in A_q$:

$$\Delta_q^b m_p^a = \delta_{pq} \delta_{ab} \mathrm{id}.$$

This means that:

$$\bigoplus_{p \in B} \bigoplus_{a \in A_p} m_p^a : \bigoplus_{p \in B} K.(p)^{|A_p|} \cong \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^1 K.(X).$$

The map f is semismall, so by Theorem 3.11 we obtain a form of the decomposition theorem for the map f :

$$\mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X) \cong \mathrm{gr}^j \mathbf{IK}_0(S) \oplus \bigoplus_{p \in B} K_0(p)^{|A_p|}.$$

See Section 5 for further discussions of the decomposition theorem for semismall maps.

4.4.4. Let Y be a smooth projective variety of dimension d and let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Y . Consider the cone $S = C_Y \mathcal{L}$ and its resolution of singularities

$$X := \mathrm{Tot}_Y \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{f} S.$$

Let o be the vertex of the cone X . There is only one fiber with nonzero dimension

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xleftarrow{\iota} & X \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow f \\ o & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S. \end{array}$$

Using the correspondence $X \cong \Delta \hookrightarrow X \times_S X$, we see that

$$P_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X) = \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X).$$

For $V \subsetneq S$, the irreducible components of $f^{-1}(V)$ are $f_V : W \rightarrow V$ birational to V and, if V contains o , the fiber Y . As above, we have that $P_{f_V}^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j G.(W) = \mathrm{gr}^j G.(W)$, so the conditions in defining $P_f^{\leq i}$ are automatically satisfied for these irreducible components W . We thus have that

$$P_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X) = \ker(\iota^* : \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X) \rightarrow P_g^{\geq 1} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y)).$$

By the computation in Subsection 3.7.1,

$$P_g^{\geq 1} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y) & \text{if } j > \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The map $\iota^* : \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y)$ is an isomorphism, so we have that

$$P_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y) & \text{if } j \leq \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further, $\widetilde{P}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(X)$ is generated by the cycles over $X_o \cong Y$ of codimension between 0 and $\lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$. The map

$$\iota_* : \mathrm{gr}^i K.(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{i+2} K.(X) \cong \mathrm{gr}^{i+2} K.(Y)$$

is multiplication by the class $\hbar := c_1(\mathcal{L}|_Y) \in \mathrm{gr}^2 K_0(Y)$. As a vector space, we thus have that

$$\mathrm{gr}^j \mathbf{IK}.(S) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{gr}^j K.(Y) / \hbar \mathrm{gr}^{j-2} K.(Y) & \text{if } j \leq \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The computation in cohomology is similar, see [7, Example 2.2.1].

5. THE DECOMPOSITION THEOREM FOR SEMISMALL MAPS

We will be using the notation from Subsection 1.4. For $a, b \in A$, we write $b < a$ if $S_b \subsetneq S_a$. Denote by $\iota_{ba} : X_b \hookrightarrow X_a$. For $a \in A$, define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K_{X_a}(X) = \text{image} \left(\bigoplus_{b < a} \iota_{ba*} : \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K_{X_b}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr} K_{X_a}(X) \right).$$

First, we state a more precise version of Conjecture 1.3.

Conjecture 5.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a semismall map and consider $\{S_a | a \in I\}$ a stratification as in Subsection 1.4, denote by $A \subset I$ the set of relevant strata. For $a \in A$, consider generically finite maps $\pi_a : T_a \rightarrow S_a$ with T_a is smooth such that $\pi_a^{-1}(S_a^\circ) \rightarrow S_a^\circ$ is smooth and $L_a \cong f_*(\mathbb{Z}_{S_a^\circ})$. For each a , there exists a rational map $X_a \dashrightarrow T_a$, and let Γ_a be the closure of its graph*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma_a & \longrightarrow & X_a & \xleftarrow{\iota_a} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f_a & & \downarrow f \\ T_a & \xrightarrow{\pi_a} & S_a & \hookrightarrow & S, \end{array}$$

The correspondence Γ_a induces an isomorphism

$$(14) \quad \iota_{a*} \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \mathbf{P}_{\pi_a}^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^{j-c_a} K.(T_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{\pi_a}^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^{j-c_a} K.(T_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \iota_{a*} \left(\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^j K_{X_a}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \text{gr}^j K_{X_a}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \right)$$

and a decomposition

$$\bigoplus_{a \in A} \text{gr}^{j-c_a} \mathbf{IK}.(S_a, L_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \text{gr}^j K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$(x_a)_{a \in A} \mapsto \sum_{a \in A} \iota_{a*} \Phi_{\Gamma_a}(x_a).$$

In relation to (14), we propose the following:

Conjecture 5.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a surjective map of relative dimension d with X is smooth. Let U be a smooth open subset of S such that $f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ is smooth. For $y \in U$, $\pi_1(U, y)$ acts on the irreducible components of $f^{-1}(y)$ of top dimension and let L be the associated local system. Then L is an integer finite local system. There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq -d} \text{gr}^j K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq -d} \text{gr}^j K.(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \text{gr}^j \mathbf{IK}.(S, L)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

The analogous statement in cohomology follows from the decomposition theorem. In this section, we prove the following:

Theorem 5.3. *We use the notation from Conjecture 5.1. Assume that the maps $\pi_a : T_a \rightarrow S_a$ are small. Then Conjecture 5.1 holds for K_0 .*

We first note a preliminary result.

Proposition 5.4. *Consider varieties S and X , and a smooth variety Y with surjective maps $f : X \rightarrow S$ of relative dimension d and $g : Y \rightarrow S$ of relative dimension 0. Assume there exists an open subset U of S and a map h such that:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g^{-1}(U) & \xleftarrow{h} & f^{-1}(U) \\ & \searrow g & \swarrow f \\ & & U \end{array}$$

Denote also by h the rational map $h : X \dashrightarrow Y$. Consider a resolution of singularities $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ such that there exists a regular map h' as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X' \\ & \searrow h' & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X \\ & \swarrow h & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & S. \end{array}$$

Let Γ be the closure of the graph of h in $Y \times X$ and let Γ' be the graph of h' in $Y \times X'$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{gr} K.(Y) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\Gamma'}} & \text{gr} K.(X') \\ & \searrow \Phi_{\Gamma} & \downarrow \pi_* \\ & & \text{gr} G.(X). \end{array}$$

Proof. Consider the maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times X' & \xrightarrow{p'} & X' \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y \times X & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & S. \end{array}$$

Let $x \in \text{gr} K.(Y)$. We want to show that:

$$\pi_* p'_*(\Gamma' \otimes \pi'^* q^*(x)) = p_*(\Gamma \otimes q^*(x)).$$

It suffices to show that

$$(15) \quad \pi'_* [\Gamma'] = [\Gamma] \text{ in } \text{gr} G.(X \times Y).$$

Both Γ and Γ' have dimension equal to the dimension of X . The map $\pi' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ is birational, so the cone of

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \pi'_* \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma'}$$

is supported on a proper set of Γ , which implies the equality in (15). \square

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let $a \in A$ and consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Y_a & & \\
 & & \downarrow \tau_a & & \\
 & h_a \swarrow & X_a & \hookrightarrow & X \\
 & & \downarrow f_a & & \downarrow f \\
 T_a & \xrightarrow{\pi_a} & S_a & \hookrightarrow & S,
 \end{array}$$

where the map τ_a is a resolution of singularities. Let Γ_a be the closure of the natural rational map $X_a \dashrightarrow T_a$. By Proposition 5.4 and Theorem 3.10, the map Φ_{Γ_a} factors as:

$$\Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(T_a) \xrightarrow{h_a^*} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(Y_a) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a*}} \mathrm{gr}^j G_0(X_a) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^{j+c_a} K_{X_a}(X).$$

By Theorems 3.6 and 3.11, the map Φ_{Γ_a} factors as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(T_a) &= \mathbf{P}_{h_a}^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(T_a) \xrightarrow{h_a^*} \mathbf{P}_{f_a \tau_a}^{\leq -d_a} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(Y_a) \xrightarrow{\tau_{a*}} \mathbf{P}_{f_a}^{\leq -d_a} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X_a) \rightarrow \\
 &\mathbf{P}^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^{j+c_a} K_{X_a,0}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^{j+c_a} K_0(X) = \mathrm{gr}^{j+c_a} K_0(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain a map of vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad \bigoplus_{a \in A} \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \bigoplus_{a \in A} \mathrm{gr}^{j-c_a} K_0(T_a) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{a \in A} \iota_{a*} \left(\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X) / \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X) \right) \\
 &\rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X).
 \end{aligned}$$

A theorem of de Cataldo–Migliorini [6, Theorem 4.0.4] says that there is an isomorphism:

$$\bigoplus_{a \in A} \Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \bigoplus_{a \in A} \mathrm{gr}^{j-c_a} K_0(T_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}^j K_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Combining with (16), we see that in this case

$$\Phi_{\Gamma_a} : \mathrm{gr}^{j-c_a} K_0(T_a)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \iota_{a*} \left(\mathbf{P}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} / \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_f^{\leq 0} \mathrm{gr}^j K_{X_a,0}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \right).$$

This implies the claim of Theorem 5.3. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, K. Karu, K. Matsuki, J. Włodarczyk. Torification and factorization of birational maps. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), no. 3, 531–572.
- [2] D. Arinkin, D. Gaitsgory. Singular support of coherent sheaves and the geometric Langlands conjecture. *Selecta Math.* (N.S.) 21 (2015), no. 1, 1–199.
- [3] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne. Faisceaux pervers. *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I* (Luminy, 1981), 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [4] A. Bondal, D. Orlov. Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/alg-geom/9506012.pdf>, 1995.
- [5] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, H. Nakajima. Instanton moduli spaces and \mathcal{W} -algebras. *Astérisque*, No. 385 (2016), vii+128 pp.

- [6] M. A. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini. The Chow motive of semismall resolutions. *Math. Res. Lett.* 11 (2004), no. 2-3, 151–170.
- [7] M. A. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini. The decomposition theorem, perverse sheaves and the topology of algebraic maps. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 46 (2009), no. 4, 535–633.
- [8] S. Cautis. Clasp technology to knot homology via the affine Grassmannian. *Math. Ann.* 363 (2015), no. 3-4, 1053–1115.
- [9] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer. Quantum K-theoretic geometric Satake: the SL_n case. *Compos. Math.* 154 (2018), no. 2, 275–327.
- [10] A. Corti, M. Hanamura. Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups. I. *Duke Math. J.* 103 (2000), no. 3, 459–522.
- [11] A. Corti, M. Hanamura. Motivic decomposition and intersection Chow groups. II. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 3 (2007), no. 1, Special Issue: In honor of Robert D. MacPherson. Part 3, 181–203.
- [12] J. Eberhardt. K-motives and Koszul Duality. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1909.11151.pdf>, 2019.
- [13] H. Gillet. K-theory and intersection theory. *Handbook of K-theory*. Vol. 1, 2, 235–293, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [14] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. *Topology* 19 (1980), no. 2, 135–162.
- [15] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson. Intersection homology theory. II. *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983), no. 1, 77–129.
- [16] A. Khan. K-theory and G-theory of derived algebraic stacks <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.07130>, 2020.
- [17] A. Khan, D. Rydh. Virtual Cartier divisors and blow-ups. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.05702v2>, 2018.
- [18] G. Lusztig. Intersection cohomology methods in representation theory. A plenary address presented at the International Congress of Mathematicians held in Kyoto, August 1990.
- [19] T. Pădurariu. Non-commutative resolutions and intersection cohomology of quotient singularities. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.06215.pdf>, 2021.
- [20] T. Pădurariu. K-theoretic Hall algebras for quivers with potential. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.05526>, 2019.
- [21] C. Weibel. The K-book: an introduction to algebraic K-theory. Graduate Studies in Math. vol. 145, AMS, 2013.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027

Email address: tgp2109@columbia.edu