

A note on linear stacks

Ludovic MONIER

December 13, 2021

Abstract

In this brief note, we investigate graded functions of linear stacks in derived geometry. In particular, we show that under mild assumptions, we can recover a quasi-coherent sheaf on a derived stack from the data of the \mathbb{G}_m -graded linear stack associated to it. Then we generalize this result in null characteristic by describing graded functions on linear stacks.

Contents

1	Notions of derived geometry	3
1.1	\mathcal{O} -modules and quasi-coherent sheaves	4
1.2	Graded stacks	4
1.3	Line bundles and graded modules	5
2	Main theorem	6
2.1	Adjoint of the linear stack functor	6
2.2	The equivalence	6
2.3	General weights	9

Introduction 0.1. The construction of linear stacks associated to a quasi-coherent module has an important role in algebraic geometry and even more in derived geometry. Linear stacks naturally arise as cotangent spaces and shifted cotangent spaces in HKR theorems (see [TV08], [MRT20], [BZN12]), in shifted symplectic structures ([PTVV13]) and in foliations ([TV20]). The concept of linear stacks was introduced, with the notation $\mathbb{V}(-)$, by Grothendieck in [EGAIV, 1.7.8], later developed in [PS83] where they were called "champs d'Eilenberg-MacLane" (Eilenberg-MacLane stacks), and their definition for derived stacks appears in [TV08], [HS98] and [T14]. Grothendieck computed functions on classical linear stacks, but the computations in derived geometry are not as easy. This note aims at computing weight 1 graded functions of linear stacks. Informally, given a derived stack X and a quasi-coherent complex of sheaves E on X , $\mathbb{V}(E)$ is the derived stack sending an affine derived X -scheme $u : \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow X$ to the simplicial set of maps $\text{Map}_{N(B)-\text{Mod}}(u^*E, N(B))$. Here N denotes the Dold-Kan normalization complex functor.

In the article, we investigate global functions on linear stacks. As the description of usual functions on linear stacks can be complicated (see Example 2.14), we are led to introduce a graded version of them denoted \mathcal{O}_{gr} , which behaves better in this context. In the classical case of a scheme X , the linear stack associated to a quasi-coherent sheaf E is affine over X , it is given by $\text{Spec}_X(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X} E)$ and its graded functions over X are simply given by $(\text{Sym}^i(E))_{i \geq 0}$. Furthermore, taking usual functions recovers $\text{Sym}(E)$. However, in derived geometry the extension of these results is non-trivial and it is the goal of this paper. We construct in Construction 2.3 a natural morphism $E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(1)$ of quasi-coherent complexes. It is in fact the unit morphism of an adjunction between the linear stack functor and the "weight 1 graded functions" functor. Our main theorem is then :

Theorem 0.2. (Theorem 2.5) For $E \in \text{QCoh}^-(X)$

$$E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(1)$$

is an equivalence.

In the theorem, $\text{QCoh}^-(X)$ denotes the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent complexes on X that are bounded above, ie eventually connective. From which we deduce the following :

Corollary 0.3. (Corollary 2.9) The functor $\mathbb{V} : \text{QCoh}(X)^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m - d\text{St}_{/X}$ is fully faithful when restricted to $\text{QCoh}^-(X)$.

For the full faithfulness to hold, the functor \mathbb{V} must land in derived stacks endowed with a \mathbb{G}_m -action, which can be thought of as graded stacks, see [Mou19]. Knowing that the function $\mathbb{V}(-)$ is fully faithful, when considered landing in graded stacks also makes the definition 1.2.1 given in [TV20] reasonable, as one can recover the cotangent complex of a foliation from the data of its total space as a graded stack, which is expected.

A description of graded functions on linear stacks for any weight can be obtained in characteristic zero :

Proposition 0.4. (Proposition 2.11) If k is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra and E is perfect on X , $\mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(p)$ is canonically identified with $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}^p(E)$, the monad $\text{Sym}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}$ is here the one associated to the formation of free \mathbb{E}_∞ - k -algebras, or equivalently k -cdga's.

The claim that functions on a linear stack associated with a quasi-coherent complex E are given by $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E)$ in characteristic zero is stated in [PTVV13]. This claim is untrue (see Example 2.14) and merely the graded version of the statement is used. Therefore 0.4 bridges the gap in the Proposition [PTVV13, 1.14].

Acknowledgements 0.5. I would like to thank Bertrand Toën for his tremendous help, without which this paper would not exist. I am also very grateful to Marco Robalo, Benjamin Hennion and Pavel Safronov for helpful suggestions regarding the article and to Mauro Porta for noticing some gaps in the proof of a proposition which were filled in the second version of the paper.

Notation 0.6. In this note, all higher categorical notations are borrowed from [Lur09, Lur17]. We fix k to be a discrete commutative ring. We will use cohomological conventions.

- " ∞ -category" will always mean $(\infty, 1)$ -categories, modeled for example by quasicategories developed in [Lur09]. Everything is assumed to be inf-categorical, e.g "category" will mean ∞ -category, "stacks" will mean higher stacks etc.
- Mod_k is the ∞ -category of chain complexes of k -modules, $Mod_k^{\leq 0}$ is its full sub- ∞ -category of connective complexes. Similarly, for A a simplicial commutative k -algebra, Mod_A will denote the usual ∞ -category of dg-modules $N(A) - dg - Mod$ on the normalization of A .
- $Calg(\mathcal{C})$ is the ∞ -category of commutative algebra objects in a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, see [Lur17].
- SCR_k is the ∞ -category of simplicial commutative rings over k , using [Lur18, 25.1.1.5] and [Lur09, 5.5.9.3], it is the sifted completion of the 1-category of classical polynomial algebras $Poly_k$.
- Sym denotes the monad on $Mod_k^{\leq 0}$ associated with the forgetful functor $SCR_k \rightarrow Mod_k^{\leq 0}$
- St_k and dSt_k will denote respectively the ∞ -category of stacks over the étale site of discrete commutative k -algebras and the ∞ -category of derived stacks.
- Following [Lur18, 6.2.2.1, 6.2.2.7, 6.2.3.4], $QCoh(X)$ will be the ∞ -category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a derived stack X , ie $QCoh(X)$ is informally given as the limit of stable ∞ -categories

$$QCoh(X) := \lim_{Spec A \rightarrow X} Mod_A$$

- θ denotes the normalization functor $SCR_k \rightarrow Calg_k^{cn}$, $Calg_k^{cn} = Calg(Mod_k^{cn})$ being the ∞ -category of connective \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra on k

1 Notions of derived geometry

Throughout this note, $X = Spec(A)$ is a fixed affine derived scheme, with A a simplicial algebra over k .

Definition 1.1. Let E be a quasi-coherent complex on X , the linear stack associated with E is the stack over X given by

$$u : Spec(B) \rightarrow X \mapsto Map_{N(B)-mod}(u^*E, N(B)) \in SSet$$

with N the normalization functor. It is denoted $\mathbb{V}(E)$.

For example, if E is connective, $\mathbb{V}(E)$ is simply the relative affine scheme $Spec_X Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E)$.

Definition 1.2. We define the derived stack \mathbb{G}_m associating to a simplicial commutative algebra B the simplicial set of autoequivalences of $N(B) : Map_{N(B)-mod}^{eq}(N(B), N(B))$.

In fact, \mathbb{G}_m is representable by the discrete ring $k[X, X^{-1}]$, and is equivalent to the usual derived stack classifying the group of units of a simplicial algebra : $B \mapsto B^*$.

1.1 \mathcal{O} -modules and quasi-coherent sheaves

Definition 1.3. For a derived stack X , we introduce the ∞ -category of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. We first define the structure stack \mathcal{O}_X of X as the stack over the big étale site over X sending $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow X$ to the \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring $\theta(B)$. It is a stack of \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings. Then the category $\mathcal{O}_X - \text{Mod}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is the category of modules over the commutative ring object in derived stack \mathcal{O}_X .

Remark 1.4. Intuitively an \mathcal{O}_X -module is given by a $\theta(B)$ -module for every simplicial commutative algebra B , functorially in B and satisfying descent as a presheaf on the étale site over X . In this description the full subcategory $QCoh(X) \subset \mathcal{O}_X - \text{Mod}$ consists of \mathcal{O}_X -modules where transition maps between modules are equivalences.

Proposition 1.5. *The inclusion $QCoh(X) \subset \mathcal{O}_X - \text{Mod}$ has a right adjoint denoted Q .*

Proof. The source and target categories are both presentable, and the inclusion preserves limits. We conclude using [Lur17, 5.5.2.9]. □

Proposition 1.6 (Functoriality of modules and quasi-coherent sheaves). *A morphism of derived stack $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ induces an adjunction between their category of \mathcal{O} -modules :*

$$\tilde{\pi}^* : \mathcal{O}_Y - \text{Mod} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{O}_X - \text{Mod} : \tilde{\pi}_*$$

and between their category of quasi-coherent sheaves :

$$\pi^* : QCoh(Y) \rightleftarrows QCoh(X) : \pi_*$$

We have compatibility between pullbacks and the inclusion of quasi-coherent sheaves into \mathcal{O} -module :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QCoh(Y) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & QCoh(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_Y - \text{Mod} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^*} & \mathcal{O}_X - \text{Mod}, \end{array}$$

which means that the \mathcal{O} -module pullback of a quasi-coherent sheaf is still quasi-coherent. However, the analogous statement for pushforwards is incorrect, the adjunctions allow the calculation of quasi-coherent pushforwards as the composition of \mathcal{O} -module pushforward with the adjoint of the inclusion $QCoh(Y) \subset \mathcal{O}_Y - \text{Mod}$, ie

$$\pi_* = Q \circ \tilde{\pi}_*.$$

Proof. See [Lur11] 2.5.1. □

1.2 Graded stacks

Definition 1.7 (Graded derived stack). A graded derived stack is defined as a derived stack endowed with an action of \mathbb{G}_m , a morphism of graded derived stack is one compatible with the actions. The category of derived affine schemes endowed with a \mathbb{G}_m -action is naturally equivalent to the category of graded simplicial algebras over k , therefore the definition is reasonable, see [Mou19]. The category of graded derived stacks is denoted $\mathbb{G}_m\text{-dSt}$.

Remark 1.8. A \mathbb{G}_m -action on a derived stack X is equivalent to a morphism of derived stacks $Y \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$ and an identification of $Y \times_{B\mathbb{G}_m} *$ with X , see [TV08] for details. We call X the total space associated to $Y \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$.

Theorem 1.9. From [Mou19], there is a symmetric monoidal equivalence of categories

$$\text{Mod}_B^{\text{gr}} \simeq \text{QCoh}(B\mathbb{G}_m \times \text{Spec}(B))$$

We will call graded quasi-coherent complex over X an object of either category.

Definition 1.10. For E a quasi-coherent complex of X , and n an integer, $E((n))$ is defined to be the graded A -module with E in pure weight n .

Definition 1.11 (Relative global functions on graded derived stacks). Given a morphism of graded stacks $Y \rightarrow X$, we define graded functions on Y relative to X as :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr},X}(Y) := \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{[Y/\mathbb{G}_m]}) \in \text{CAlg}(\text{QCoh}([X/\mathbb{G}_m]))$$

with π the canonical morphism $[Y/\mathbb{G}_m] \rightarrow [X/\mathbb{G}_m]$.

Definition 1.12. We introduce graded function as \mathcal{O} -module similarly to (quasi-coherent) graded functions :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{gr},\mathcal{O}}(Y) := \tilde{\pi}_*(\mathcal{O}_{[Y/\mathbb{G}_m]}) \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{O}_{[X/\mathbb{G}_m]} - \text{Mod})$$

with the pushforward $\tilde{\pi}_* : \mathcal{O}_{[Y/\mathbb{G}_m]} - \text{Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{[X/\mathbb{G}_m]} - \text{Mod}$. It is lax monoidal, as left adjoint of a symmetric monoidal functor, therefore induces a canonical morphism on commutative algebras in the respective symmetric monoidal categories. We deduce that quasi-coherent graded functions are simply \mathcal{O} -module graded functions after applying the left adjoint of the forgetful functor from quasi-coherent complexes to \mathcal{O} -modules.

Definition 1.13 (Graded stacks over a base). Let X be a graded derived stack, the ∞ -category of graded derived stacks over X is the undercategory $\mathbb{G}_m - dSt_X$, with the trivial grading on X .

Example 1.14. Linear stacks are naturally \mathbb{G}_m -graded, we can construct the strict action

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{V}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)$$

as follows. For a given simplicial commutative k -algebra B , $\mathbb{G}_m(B)$ is $\text{Map}_{N(B)-\text{mod}}^{\text{eq}}(N(B), N(B))$, it naturally operates on $\mathbb{V}(E)(B) = \text{Map}_{N(B)-\text{Mod}}(E, N(B))$ through the composition map

$$\text{Map}_{N(B)-\text{Mod}}(N(B), N(B)) \times \text{Map}_{N(B)-\text{Mod}}(E, N(B)) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{N(B)-\text{Mod}}(E, N(B))$$

1.3 Line bundles and graded modules

In this section we discuss the relationship between \mathbb{G}_m -torsors and invertible modules.

Definition 1.15. If B is a simplicial k -algebra, a morphism $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$ is the data of an invertible B -module, we will denote both the morphism and the module by \mathcal{L} . There is an ambiguity in the choice, the invertible module associated to the morphism could be defined to be \mathcal{L}^\vee , we choose \mathcal{L} in such a way that the total space of the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor associated to $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$ is $\text{Spec}((\text{Sym}_B \mathcal{L}^\vee)[(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{-1}])$, it is the linear stack associated to \mathcal{L}^\vee where we removed the zero section, as a B -module. The function algebra $(\text{Sym}_B \mathcal{L}^\vee)[(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{-1}]$ is simply $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{\otimes n}$. With this convention \mathcal{L} is functorial in the morphism $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$.

Remark 1.16. We can try and understand $[\mathbb{V}(E)/\mathbb{G}_m]$ over $B\mathbb{G}_m \times X$ through its functor of points. A morphism $Y = \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow [\mathbb{V}(E)/\mathbb{G}_m]$ over $B\mathbb{G}_m \times X$ is the data of a morphism $Y \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m \times X$, ie a \mathbb{G}_m -torsor $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ with a map $Y \rightarrow X$, and a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant morphism $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)$ over X . The torsor has total space $\tilde{Y} = \text{Spec}((\text{Sym}_B \mathcal{L}^\vee)[(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{-1}])$, therefore the \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant map $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)$ corresponds to a morphism of graded $N(B)$ -modules $u^*E((1)) \rightarrow (\text{Sym}_B \mathcal{L}^\vee)[(\mathcal{L}^\vee)^{-1}]$ ie a morphism of $N(B)$ -module $u^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\vee$, with u^* the pullback morphism induced by the morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ associated with $Y \rightarrow X$. This is equivalent to having a morphism of $N(B)$ -modules $\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E \rightarrow N(B)$, which is a B -point of $\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E$.

Remark 1.17. We deduce an equivalence of B -modules

$$[\mathbb{V}(E)/\mathbb{G}_m] \times_{B\mathbb{G}_m \times X} \text{Spec}(B) \simeq \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E). \quad (\star)$$

The equivalence between graded complexes and quasi-coherent modules on $B\mathbb{G}_m$ is given informally by sending a graded complex $\bigoplus_i E_i$ to the \mathcal{O} -module stack $\text{Spec}(B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} B\mathbb{G}_m \mapsto \bigoplus_i E_i \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}$.

Therefore, for any integer k , $E((k))$ is the \mathcal{O} -module sending $\text{Spec}(B) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}, u)} B\mathbb{G}_m \times X$ to $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes u^*E$.

2 Main theorem

2.1 Adjoint of the linear stack functor

Proposition 2.1. $\mathbb{V} : QCoh(X)^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m - dSt/X$ has a left adjoint given by $\mathcal{O}_{gr}(-)(1)$.

Proof. Let us consider the two functors

$$Map_{\mathbb{G}_m - dSt/X}(-, \mathbb{V}(E)), Map_{QCoh(X)}(E, \mathcal{O}_{gr}(-)(1)) : \mathbb{G}_m - dSt/X^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

landing in the category of spaces. Both functors send all small colimits to limits and are canonically identified on the subcategory of \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant derived stack of the form $\text{Spec}(B) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ with \mathbb{G}_m acting by multiplication on the right side : any \mathbb{G}_m -stack Y can be recovered as a colimit of objects of the form $Y \times \mathbb{G}_m$ with the action being multiplication on the right side since Y is canonically the colimit of a diagram on $B\mathbb{G}_m$ sending the point to $Y \times \mathbb{G}_m$ and sending elements of \mathbb{G}_m to their diagonal action on $Y \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Therefore the two functors are equivalent. The equivalence being functorial in E , we deduce the adjunction. □

Remark 2.2. In the adjunction above, if we restrict to \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant derived stack of the form $\text{Spec}(B) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ with \mathbb{G}_m acting by multiplication on the right side, we deduce another adjunction :

$$Map_{dSt}(Y, \mathbb{V}(E)) \simeq Map_{QCoh(X)}(E, \mathcal{O}(Y)).$$

2.2 The equivalence

Construction 2.3. We now construct a natural arrow $E((1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))$ in $QCoh([X/\mathbb{G}_m])$, ie a map of graded complexes on X a derived stack. By adjunction, it just means we have to construct a map of graded \mathcal{O} -modules $E((1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr, \mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{V}(E))$. For the construction, we go back and forth between quasi-coherent complexes and \mathcal{O} -modules, exploiting the facts that quasi-coherent complexes have better categorical properties and \mathcal{O} -modules are more computable.

We assume E to be cofibrant, we take B a simplicial commutative k -algebra and u a morphism from $\text{Spec}(B)$ to $B\mathbb{G}_m \times X$. We want to construct a map

$$E((1))(B) \simeq \mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr, \mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{V}(E))(B).$$

However, using (\star) , we have :

$$\mathcal{O}_{gr, \mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{V}(E))(B) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}([\mathbb{V}(E)/\mathbb{G}_m] \times_{B\mathbb{G}_m \times X} \text{Spec}(B)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E)).$$

Therefore we are reduced to constructing a functorial map $\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E))$. By the adjunction property of quasi-coherent sheaves, it is equivalent to constructing a functorial map

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L} \otimes u^*E)).$$

We can use the unit of the adjunction in Remark 2.2 to construct this map. From this map we deduce in weight 1 a map :

$$E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(1)$$

□

Proposition 2.4. *If E is connective, the natural map constructed above*

$$E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(1)$$

is an equivalence

Proof. Since E is connective, $\mathbb{V}(E)$ is simply the relative affine scheme $Spec_X Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E)$. The grading corresponds to having weight p of $Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E)$ to be $Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}^p(E)$. The morphism

$$E((1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(Spec_X Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E((1)))) \simeq Sym_{\mathcal{O}_X}(E((1)))$$

corresponds to the inclusion of E in weight 1. Therefore it is an equivalence when restricting to weight 1.

□

Theorem 2.5. *For $E \in QCoh^-(X)$, ie a bounded above complex over X , the natural map constructed above*

$$E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(1)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Let us proceed by induction on the Tor amplitude of E . Let E be a complex of Tor amplitude in $]-\infty, b]$, b a positive integer. The case $b = 0$ has already been dealt with, so we assume $b > 0$.

We know, as X is assumed to be affine, there is a non canonical triangle

$$V[-b] \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'$$

with V of tor amplitude 0, ie a classical vector bundle on X , and E' concentrated of Tor amplitude in $]-\infty, b-1]$. To construct E' , we can take a model of E concentrated in degree smaller than b and cellular as an $N(A)$ -module, then define E' as its naive truncation in degree smaller than $b-1$. We deduce the morphism $E \rightarrow E'$.

Let us introduce the notation R denoting $\mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(-))(1)$. By naturality of the construction above, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & Tot(coN_{\bullet}(E \rightarrow E')) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R(E) & \longrightarrow & Tot(R(coN_{\bullet}(E \rightarrow E'))) \end{array}$$

with coN the conerve construction and Tot the totalization of a cosimplicial object. We will show these four maps are all equivalences.

The top arrow is an equivalence as E and E' live in the stable category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X , see [Lur17] Proposition 1.2.4.13.

We now show that the right arrow is an equivalence, in fact $coN_{\bullet}(E \rightarrow E') \rightarrow R(coN_{\bullet}(E \rightarrow E'))$ is a levelwise equivalence. We compute the conerve in the following lemma :

Lemma 2.6. *For any natural integer n , we have a canonical equivalence*

$$\text{coN}_n(E \rightarrow E') \simeq E' \oplus V[-b+1]^{\oplus n}$$

Proof. The computation is standard, we proceed by induction on the degree, in zero degree it is obvious. Now $E' \oplus_E E'$ has a canonical split projection to E' , its kernel is the fiber of $E' \rightarrow K$, which is $V[-b]$. Therefore $E' \oplus_E E'$ is identified with $E' \oplus V[-b+1]$. The general case follows. □

We are reduced to showing that the natural map

$$E' \oplus V[-b+1]^{\oplus n} \rightarrow R(E' \oplus V[-b+1]^{\oplus n})$$

is an equivalence. Since E' and $V[-b+1]$ are in Tor amplitude in $] -\infty, b-1]$, it follows from the induction hypothesis.

We now show the bottom arrow is an equivalence. We will use a lemma to compute $\mathbb{V}(E)$:

Lemma 2.7. *$\mathbb{V}(E') \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)$ is an epimorphism of derived stacks.*

Proof. Let us fix B is a simplicial commutative k -algebra and u a B -point of X . We want to show that $\mathbb{V}(E')(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)(B)$ is surjective after applying π_0 . Let us fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}(E)(B)$ ie $\alpha : u^*E \rightarrow N(B)$ a morphism of B -module.

Since there is an exact triangle

$$V[-b] \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'$$

therefore an exact triangle

$$u^*V[-b] \rightarrow u^*E \rightarrow u^*E'$$

we deduce that α lifts to $u^*E' \rightarrow N(B)$ if and only if composition $u^*V[-b] \rightarrow N(B)$ is zero. Since $u^*V[-b]$ is strictly coconnective and $u^*N(B)$ is connective, the lift exists.

This concludes that $\mathbb{V}(E') \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)$ is an epimorphism of presheaves, hence is an epimorphism of derived stacks. □

Remark 2.8. The proof of the above gives a slightly stronger result, indeed we have shown that the morphism

$$\mathbb{V}(E') \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E)$$

is a epimorphism of functors.

Using this lemma, we have an equivalence

$$|N(\mathbb{V}(E') \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(E))| \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{V}(E)$$

with $|-|$ the geometric realization. N is the nerve construction.

Since \mathbb{V} and \mathcal{O}_{gr} send colimits to limits, between their categories of definition, we deduce

$$\mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tot}(\mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(\text{coN}(E \rightarrow E'))))$$

We can then apply the weight 1 functor $(-)(1)$, which commutes with limits since limits can be computed levelwise for graded objects. Therefore

$$R(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} Tot(R(\text{coN}_\bullet(E \rightarrow E'))).$$

We conclude that $E \rightarrow R(E)$ is an equivalence. □

Corollary 2.9. *The functor $\mathbb{V} : QCoh(X)^{op} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m - dSt/X$ is fully faithful when restricted to $QCoh^-(X)$.*

Remark 2.10. These claims are still correct for X a general derived stack. We can check it using a descent argument.

2.3 General weights

Proposition 2.11. *If k is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra and E is perfect complex on X , $\mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(p)$ is canonically identified with $Sym_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}^p(E)$, the monad here $Sym_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}$ is the one associated to the formation of free \mathbb{E}_∞ - k -algebras, or equivalently k -cdga's.*

Before the proof of the proposition, we will establish a preliminary lemma.

Lemma 2.12. *If k is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra, E is perfect complex on X and E^\bullet is a cosimplicial object in perfect complexes on X . An equivalence $E \xrightarrow{\sim} Tot(E^\bullet)$ induces a canonical map $Sym^p(E) \rightarrow Tot(Sym^p(E^\bullet))$, which is an equivalence. Therefore $Tot(Sym^p(E^\bullet))$ is perfect.*

Proof. Starting from the equivalence $E \xrightarrow{\sim} Tot(E^\bullet)$, we deduce by taking duals an equivalence of perfect complexes :

$$|(E^\bullet)^\vee| \xrightarrow{\sim} E^\vee$$

since dualization induces an equivalence of ∞ -category on perfect complexes. Knowing Sym^p commutes with sifted colimits, we apply it on both sides

$$|Sym^p((E^\bullet)^\vee)| \xrightarrow{\sim} Sym^p(E^\vee).$$

We can then dualize again and use the fact that Sym^p and dualization commute since we are in zero characteristic.

$$Sym^p(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} Tot(Sym^p(E^\bullet)).$$

And it concludes the proof.

Proof. The proof of the proposition follows the same structure as the main theorem. The canonical map

$$E((1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))$$

gives by adjunction a morphism of \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebras over X :

$$Sym(E((1))) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))$$

which is in degree p :

$$Sym^p(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(p).$$

We obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Sym^p E & \longrightarrow & Tot(Sym^p(\text{coN}_\bullet(E \rightarrow E'))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_p(E) & \longrightarrow & Tot(R_p(\text{coN}_\bullet(E \rightarrow E'))) \end{array}$$

with $R_p = \mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(-))(p)$

The right arrow is similarly shown to be an equivalence by induction and the bottom one is an equivalence by the same reasoning above.

We now need to show the top arrow is an equivalence. Using the previous lemma with

$$E^\bullet := \text{coN}_\bullet(E \rightarrow E')$$

concludes the proof. □

Remark 2.13. In general characteristic, and general weight, $\mathcal{O}_{gr}(\mathbb{V}(E))(p)$ seems to correspond to $Sym_M^p(E)$, the weight p part of the symmetric algebra over a monad on complexes generalizing simplicial algebras to non-necessarily connective complexes. This monad is currently being investigated by Bhatt-Mathew, see [Rak20]. Hopefully, this connection will be made explicit in a following paper.

Remark 2.14. We provide an example of a situation where functions on a linear stack are not easily expressed in terms of the complex of sheaves. Working over a field of characteristic zero, we can define a quasi-coherent sheaf on the point by $E = k[2] \oplus k[-2]$. Then $Sym(E) \simeq Sym(k[2]) \otimes Sym(k[-2])$ is a free commutative differential graded k -algebra on two generators, one of degree 2, the other of degree -2 , the differential is identically zero.

On the other hand $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{V}(E)) = H^*(K(\mathbb{G}_a, 2), \mathcal{O}[u])$ and it identifies with the ring $k[u][[v]]$ with v a generator of $H^2(K(\mathbb{G}_a, 2), \mathcal{O})$: u is in degree -2 and v is a degree 2. In particular, in degree 0, we get for $Sym(E)$ an infinite product $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} k$ generated by the elements of the form $u^n v^n$. Similarly, in degree 0, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{V}(E))$ only gives a direct sum $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} k$, also generated by the elements $u^n v^n$. Intuitively, the connective part of E tends to give a contribution by a free algebra (polynomial algebras) and the coconnective part of E gives a contribution by a completed free algebra (power series algebras).

References

- [BZN12] David Ben-Zvi and David Nadler. *Loop spaces and connections*. *J. Topol.*, 5(2):377–430, 2012, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1002.3636>.
- [EGAIV] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV. Etude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas*. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **20**, **24**, **28**, **32** (1967), http://www.numdam.org/article/PMIHES_1961__8__5_0.pdf.
- [HS98] A. Hirschowitz, C. Simpson, *Descente pour les n -champs*, 1998, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9807049>.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf>.
- [Lur11] Jacob Lurie. *Derived Algebraic Geometry VIII: Quasi-Coherent Sheaves and Tannaka Duality Theorems*. November 2011, <http://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-VIII.pdf>.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. September 2017, <http://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*. February 2018, <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>.
- [MRT20] Tasos Moulinos, Marco Robalo and Bertrand Toën. *A Universal HKR Theorem*. 2020, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.00118>.
- [Mou19] Tasos Moulinos. *The geometry of filtrations*. 2019, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.13562>.

- [PS83] Alexandre Grothendieck. *Persuing Stacks*. Unpublished, 1983, <https://grothendieck.umontpellier.fr/archives-grothendieck>.
- [PTVV13] Tony Pantev, Bertrand Toën, Michel Vaquié, and Gabriele Vezzosi. *Shifted symplectic structures*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 117:271–328, 2013, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1111.3209>.
- [Rak20] Arpon Raksit. *Hochschild homology and the derived de Rham complex revisited*. 2020, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.02576>.
- [TV08] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi. *Homotopical algebraic geometry. II. Geometric stacks and applications*. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 193(902):x+224, 2008, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00772955/document>.
- [TV11] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi. *Algèbres simpliciales S^1 -équivariantes, théorie de de Rham et théorèmes HFP*. *Compos. Math.*, 147(6):1979–2000, 2011, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/0904.3256.pdf>.
- [T14] Bertrand Toën. *Derived algebraic geometry*. EMS Surv. Math. Sci. 1, No. 2, 153-240 (2014), <https://perso.math.univ-toulouse.fr/btoen/files/2012/04/dag-ems.pdf>.
- [TV20] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi. *Algebraic foliations and derived geometry: the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence*. 2020, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.05450>.