

LOCAL EULER OBSTRUCTIONS OF REFLECTIVE PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

XIPING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this note we introduce the concept of reflective projective varieties. These are stratified projective varieties with certain dimension constraints on their dual varieties. We prove that for such varieties, the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of the strata completely determine the local Euler obstructions and the polar degrees. We also propose an algorithm to compute the local Euler obstructions when such varieties are formed by group orbits. As examples we compute the local Euler obstructions of quadratic hypersurfaces and ordinary determinantal varieties to illustrate our method.

1. INTRODUCTION

The local geometric invariants around singular points are essential objects to study in algebraic geometry and singularity theory. Among all sorts of invariants, the local Euler obstructions are of central importance in the study of singular spaces. Defined as the obstruction to extend the distance 1-form after lifting to the Nash transform; it is the key ingredient in MacPherson's proof of the existence and uniqueness of Chern class on singular spaces, which was conjectured by Deligne and Grothendieck. For more about local Euler obstructions we refer to the nice papers [2] and [5]. Such Chern class is equivalent to a previous construction of Schwartz (Cf. [3] [24] [25]), thus is called Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class.

In [7] the authors proved that the local Euler obstruction is equal to the local characteristics defined by Kashiwara in [14], in which he introduced the famous index theorem for holonomic D-modules. As the key ingredients in both singularity theory and Kashiwara's index theorem, the local Euler obstructions of stratified spaces have been deeply studied in singularity theory. In particular when such stratification is induced by a group action, following the work of Kazhdan-Luzstig [15] the local Euler obstructions are closely related to the coefficients of the Kazhdan-Luzstig polynomials. Thus they are also intensely studied in geometric representation theory. Despite the great importance, the local Euler obstructions are in general very hard to compute. Many authors have been working on formulas that make the computation easier. We refer to [4] [6] [12] [13] [19] [27] for many different approaches.

In this note we consider a special type of projective varieties: the reflective projective varieties. These are stratified varieties with certain dimension constraints on the dual varieties of the strata. The precise definition is given in Definition 3.1. A common example of reflective projective varieties is the projectivizations of orbital closures of a G -representation V , where G is a connected algebraic group acting on

Date: January 6, 2022.

MSC Classification: 14C17 32S25 32S05 32S50.

V by finite orbits. We call such orbits reflective group orbits. We take advantage of Aluffi's duality result in [1], which relates the Chern-Mather classes between dual varieties, to prove the main result of this paper:

Theorem (Theorem3.1, Corollary1). *Let V be a vector space of dimension N . Let $X = \sqcup_{i=1}^n X_i \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a reflective projective variety, with its dual variety $Y = X^\vee$ stratified by $Y = \sqcup_{j=1}^s Y_j$. The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of the strata*

$$\{c_{sm}^{X_1}(H), \dots, c_{sm}^{X_n}(H); c_{sm}^{Y_1}(H), \dots, c_{sm}^{Y_s}(H)\}$$

completely determine the local Euler obstruction functions Eu_X and Eu_Y , and thus the Chern-Mather classes $c_M^X(H)$, $c_M^Y(H)$, or equivalently the polar degrees $\{P_k(X), P_r(Y)|k, r\}$.

As pointed out in [8] [22], for certain group orbits the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes are precisely the solutions of some constraint equations coming from localizations and specializations/restrictions of the group action. These solutions are certain weight functions corresponding to the K -theoretic stable envelops studied in [18]. This provides a way to obtain the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes directly from representation theory, besides the classical intersection method. This allows more flexible on computing in practice. Thus in Corollary 2 and Algorithm 1 we prove an effective algorithm to compute the local Euler obstructions for reflective group orbits, using the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes. As applications we consider quadratic hypersurfaces and (ordinary) determinantal varieties. They are natural examples of reflective projective varieties and reflective group orbits, and in Corollary 3 and Corollary 4 we show that how to apply this duality method on effectively computing their local Euler obstructions. We also compute the Milnor classes for quadratic hypersurfaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we briefly recall the definitions and the tools we will use, namely the theory of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes and Aluffi's projective duality. Then in §3 we state and prove the main result of the paper. In sections §4 and §5 we show that our method can effectively compute the local Euler obstructions for quadratic hypersurfaces and (ordinary) determinantal varieties.

2. PRELIMINARY

2.1. Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson Classes. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective variety. The group of constructible function is defined as the abelian group generated by indicator functions $\mathbb{1}_V$ for all irreducible subvarieties $V \subset X$. We define the pushforward for a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ as follows. For any closed subvariety $V \subset X$, the pushforward $F(f)(\mathbb{1}_V)(y)$ evaluates $\chi(f^{-1}(y) \cap V)$ for any $y \in Y$. This makes F a functor from projective complex varieties to the Abelian group category. The group $F(X)$ has $\{\mathbb{1}_V\}$ as a natural base.

When the base field is \mathbb{C} , in 1974 MacPherson defined a local measurement for singularities and names it the local Euler obstruction. He proved that the local Euler obstruction functions $\{Eu_V|V \text{ is a subvariety of } X\}$ also form a base for $F(X)$. He also constructed a natural transformation c_* by sending the local Euler obstruction function Eu_V to the Chern-Mather class $c_M(V)$. The precise definition is left to the next subsection. The following theorem answered a conjecture by Deligne and Grothendieck:

Theorem 2.1 ([17]). *The map c_* defined above is the unique natural transform from F to the homology functor H_* satisfying the following normalization property: $c_*(\mathbb{1}_X) = c(TX) \cap [X]$ when X is smooth.*

Remark 1. *Another definition of Chern classes on singular varieties is due to M.-H. Schwartz, who uses obstruction theory and radial frames to construct such classes. Details of the construction can be found in [25] [24] [3]. Also in [3] it is shown that these classes correspond, by Alexander isomorphism, to the classes defined by MacPherson in the above theorem.*

The local Euler obstruction was originally defined via obstruction theory over \mathbb{C} , as the obstruction to extend the lift of the distance 1 form on the Nash transform. In [12] the author gave an algebraic formula using the Nash blowup that works for arbitrary algebraically closed field. An equivalent formula using conormal spaces was given by Sabbah in [23]. In 1990 Kennedy modified Sabbah's Lagrangian intersections and proved the following generalization.

Theorem 2.2 ([16]). *Replace the homology functor by the Chow functor, MacPherson's natural transformation extends to arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.*

Remark 2. *Let X be a complete variety with constant map $k: X \rightarrow \{p\}$. Then the covariance property of c_* shows that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X c_{sm}(Y) &= \int_{\{p\}} Af c_*(\mathbb{1}_Y) = \int_{\{p\}} c_* Ff(\mathbb{1}_Y) \\ &= \int_{\{p\}} \chi(Y) c_*(\mathbb{1}_{\{p\}}) = \chi(Y). \end{aligned}$$

This observation gives a generalization of the classical Poincaré-Hopf Theorem to possibly singular varieties.

2.2. Local Euler Obstruction. In this subsection we briefly review the definition of local Euler obstruction and Chern-Mather class, which play central role in the construction of c_* . The following algebraic definition is due to González-Sprinberg [12].

Let $\iota: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a (closed) projective subvariety of dimension d , we define the Nash transform of X to be

$$\hat{X} := \text{closure of } \{(x, T_x X) | x \text{ is a smooth point}\} \subset G_d(T\mathbb{P}^N)$$

The projection map $p: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is birational and is isomorphic over the smooth locus X_{sm} . The universal sub-bundle S of $G_d(T\mathbb{P}^N)$ restricts to a rank d vector bundle on \hat{X} , denoted by \mathcal{T}_X .

Definition. The local Euler obstruction of X is defined as follows: for any $x \in X$ we define

$$Eu_X(x) := \int_{p^{-1}(x)} c(\mathcal{T}_X) \cap s(p^{-1}(x), \hat{X}).$$

Here $s(A, B)$ is Fulton's Segre class in [9]. The Chern-Mather class of X is defined as

$$c_M^X := \iota_* p_*(c(\mathcal{T}_X) \cap [\hat{X}]).$$

Recall that the Chow group (ring) of \mathbb{P}^N is $\mathbb{Z}[H]/H^{N+1}$, where H here is the hyperplane class $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1)) \cap [\mathbb{P}^N]$.

Definition. The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class of X , denoted by $c_{sm}^X(H)$ is defined as the pushforward $\iota_* c_*(\mathbb{1}_X)$ in $A_*(\mathbb{P}^N)$.

Notice that when X is smooth, the Chern-Mather class and the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class all equal to the total Chern class $i_*(c(TX) \cap [X])$.

Proposition 2.3. *We have the following properties.*

- (1) $Eu_X(x)$ is a local invariant, thus only depends on an open neighborhood of x in X .
- (2) If $x \notin X$, then $Eu_X(x) = 0$.
- (3) If $x \in X$ is a smooth point, then $Eu_X(x) = 1$. But notice that $Eu_X(x) = 1$ does NOT imply that x is smooth (Cf. [20], [19] and [30]).
- (4) The Euler obstruction has the product property, i.e., $Eu_{X \times Y}(x \times y) = Eu_X(x) \times Eu_Y(y)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a projective variety, and let $\Sigma \subset V$ be its affine cone. Let $q \in V \setminus \{0\}$, and let \bar{q} be its image in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Then we have*

$$Eu_X(\bar{q}) = Eu_\Sigma(q).$$

Proof. This is because that locally around \bar{q} , the affine cone Σ is isomorphic to the product $X \times \mathbb{C}^*$. This result follows from the product property mentioned above. \square

2.3. Involutions of Chern Classes. In this subsection we introduce the key ingredient we will use in the paper: the projective duality involution introduced by Aluffi in [1]. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq d}[x]$ be the vector space of degree $\leq d$ polynomials. For any $d \geq 0$ we define the following transformations $\mathcal{I}_d: \mathbb{Z}_{\leq d}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\leq d}[x]$ by

$$\mathcal{I}_d: f(x) \mapsto f(-1-x) - f(-1)((1+x)^{d+1} - x^{d+1})$$

Proposition 2.5. *The transformations \mathcal{I}_d has the following properties:*

- (1) For any polynomial f with no constant term, $\mathcal{I}_d(\mathcal{I}_d(f)) = f$. Thus \mathcal{I}_d is an involution on the set of polynomials.
- (2) The involution \mathcal{I}_d is linear, i.e., $\mathcal{I}_d(af + bg) = a\mathcal{I}_d(f) + b\mathcal{I}_d(g)$.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a projective variety of dimension n , the dual variety X^\vee is a projective variety in $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$, defined as

$$X^\vee := \overline{\{H \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \mid T_x X \subset H \text{ for some smooth point } x \in X_{sm}\}}$$

Theorem 2.6 (Projective Duality [1]). *The involution $\mathcal{I}_{\dim V - 1}$ takes the signed Chern-Mather class of X to the signed Chern-Mather class of the dual variety X^\vee . More precisely, we have*

$$(-1)^{\dim X^\vee} c_M^{X^\vee}(H) = (-1)^{\dim X} \mathcal{I}_{\dim V - 1}(c_M^X(H)).$$

For more details about projective dual varieties we refer to [9] [26]. We will use the following result.

Proposition 2.7 ([26], §2.2). *Let G be a connected algebraic group, and let V be a G -representation. Let V^* be the dual representation of G . If there are only finitely orbits, and all the orbits are cones, then the projectivized orbits in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ are projective dual to each other,*

For the rest of the paper we consider projective varieties over algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0.

3. LOCAL EULER OBSTRUCTIONS OF REFLECTIVE PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

In this section we consider a special type of projective varieties: the reflective varieties.

3.1. Main Result.

Definition. Let V be a vector space of dimension N . We say a projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ is *reflective* if X admits a finite stratification $X = \cup_{i=1}^n X_i$, such that

- (1) $X_n = \bar{X}_n \subset \bar{X}_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset \bar{X}_2 \subset \bar{X}_1 = X$;
- (2) The dimension of the dual varieties \bar{X}_i^\vee satisfy the following inequalities:
 $\dim X^\vee = \dim \bar{X}_0^\vee < \dim \bar{X}_1^\vee < \cdots < \dim \bar{X}_n^\vee$.
- (3) $Y = X^\vee = \cup_{j=1}^m Y_j$ form a finite stratification.

Example 1. Let $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a quadratic hypersurface defined by $x^t A x = 0$ for some symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A . The hypersurface X_A is smooth if and only if $|A| \neq 0$, and the dual variety X_A^\vee is also a smooth hypersurface. When A is singular of rank $k < n$, as shown in [11], the dual variety X_A^\vee is a smooth quadratic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{r-1} \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$. The singularity locus S_A of X_A is given by the solution of the linear system $AX = 0$, thus is a dimension $n - r - 1$ linear space. The dual space of S_A is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^r . This shows that the singular quadratic hypersurfaces are reflective.

Theorem 3.1. We denote $I = I_{N-1}$ to be Aluffi's projective duality involution. Assume that there are not-all-zero integers $\{\alpha_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{\beta_j | j = 0, \dots, m\}$ such that the following involution equality holds.

$$I \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_r \cdot c_{sm}^{X_r} \right) = \sum_{s=1}^m \beta_s \cdot c_{sm}^{Y_s}; \quad \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1.$$

Then we have

$$\alpha_i = Eu_X(X_i); \quad \beta_i = Eu_Y(Y_i).$$

Proof. We define $\hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i}(H) := (-1)^{\dim X_i} c_M^{\bar{X}_i}(H)$ to be the signed Chern-Mather polynomials. Since the local Euler obstructions form a basis for the constructible function group, there are unique integers $\{E_{r,i}\}$ and $\{D_{r,j}\}$ such that $E_{r,r} = (-1)^{\dim X_r}$, $D_{s,s} = (-1)^{\dim Y_s}$ and

$$c_{sm}^{X_r}(H) = \sum_{i=r}^n E_{r,i} \cdot \hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i}(H); \quad c_{sm}^{Y_s}(H) = \sum_{j=s}^m D_{s,j} \cdot \hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_j}(H).$$

The involution equality can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{s=1}^j \beta_s D_{s,j} \right) \hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_j} &= \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{j=s}^m \beta_s D_{s,j} \cdot \hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_j} = \sum_{s \geq m} \beta_s \cdot c_{sm}^{Y_s} \\
&= I \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \alpha_r \cdot c_{sm}^{X_r} \right) = I \left(\sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{i=r}^n \alpha_r E_{r,i} \cdot \hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i} \right) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{i=r}^n \alpha_r E_{r,i} \cdot I(\hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i}) = \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{i=r}^n \alpha_r E_{r,i} \cdot \hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i^\vee} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^i \alpha_r E_{r,i} \right) \hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i^\vee}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the following equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{s=1}^j \beta_s D_{s,j} \right) \hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{r=1}^i \alpha_r E_{r,i} \right) \hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i^\vee}.$$

Recall that we have an increasing chain of dimensions from the definition of reflective projective variety:

$$\dim \bar{Y}_m < \dim \bar{Y}_{m-1} < \dots < \dim \bar{Y}_1 = \dim \bar{X}_1^\vee < \dim \bar{X}_2^\vee < \dots < \dim \bar{X}_n^\vee$$

First we look at the highest dimension term \bar{Y}_m . Among all the polynomials $\{\hat{c}_M^{\bar{X}_i^\vee}; \hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_j}\}$, the polynomial $\hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_m}$ is the only polynomial that contains $[\mathbb{P}^{\dim Y_m}]$. Thus we have $\sum_{s=1}^m \beta_s D_{s,m} = 0$. Since the coefficient of $\hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_m}$ is 0, then the polynomial $\hat{c}_M^{\bar{Y}_{m-1}}$ is the only polynomial that contains $[\mathbb{P}^{\dim Y_{m-1}}]$. This forces $\sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \beta_s D_{s,m-1} = 0$.

Continue this process until we meet $\bar{Y}_1 = \bar{X}_1^\vee$. At this level we obtain $\beta_1 D_{1,1} = \beta_1 = \alpha_1 E_{1,1} = \alpha_1$. Then we repeat the above procedure on the dimension of X_r 's, eventually we obtain the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j D_{j,r} = 0 & s = 2, 3, \dots, m \\ \beta_1 D_{1,1} = \alpha_1 E_{1,1} = 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i E_{i,r} = 0 & r = 2, 3, \dots, m \end{cases}$$

Written into matrix form we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_{1,m} & D_{2,m} & \cdots & D_{m-1,m} & D_{m,m} \\ D_{1,m-1} & D_{2,m-1} & \cdots & D_{m-1,m-1} & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ D_{1,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \cdots \\ \beta_{m-1} \\ \beta_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{1,n} & E_{2,n} & \cdots & E_{n-1,n} & E_{n,n} \\ E_{1,n-1} & E_{2,n-1} & \cdots & E_{n-1,n-1} & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ E_{1,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \cdots \\ \alpha_{m-1} \\ \alpha_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The two matrices are both of full rank, since that have diagonal entries $D_{s,s} = E_{r,r} = 1$ for any r, s , thus the solution of $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$ is unique. Meanwhile, notice that $\{\alpha_i = Eu_X(X_i); \beta_j = Eu_Y(Y_j)\}$ is indeed a solution: the involution does interchanges the signed Chern-Mather classes between dual varieties. Thus by the uniqueness of the solution we completes the proof. \square

This theorem shows that for reflective projective varieties, the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of the strata completely determine the local Euler obstructions. Since the Chern-Mather classes are linear sums of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes with weights being local Euler obstructions, they also determine the Chern-Mather classes. Moreover, as shown in [21], the coefficients of the Chern-Mather classes are equivalent to the polar degrees, i.e., degrees of the polar varieties. This gives us the following.

Corollary 1. *For a reflective projective variety $X = \sqcup X_i$ with dual variety $X^\vee = Y = \sqcup Y_j$, the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of the strata $\{X_i\}$ and $\{Y_j\}$ completely determine the local Euler obstructions $Eu_X(X_i)$ and $Eu_Y(Y_j)$. Moreover, they completely determine the Chern-Mather classes c_M^X and c_M^Y , and thus the polar degrees $P_k(X)$ and $P_k(Y)$.*

3.2. On Reflective Group Orbits. A common example of reflective projective varieties comes from group actions. Let G be a connected algebraic group and V be a linear G representation of dimension N with finite orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_s$. From Proposition 2.7 we can label the dual orbits by the duality correspondence: $\mathbb{P}(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_k)$ is dual to $\mathbb{P}(\bar{\mathcal{O}}'_{s-k})$.

Definition. We call such representation V *reflective* if the following assumption is satisfied.

- (1) The G action contains the scalar multiplication: the orbits are k^* cones.
- (2) For any i we have $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_i = \cup_{j \geq i} \mathcal{O}_j$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}'_i = \cup_{j \geq i} \mathcal{O}'_j$.
- (3) All the orbits \mathcal{O}_i and \mathcal{O}'_i are purely dimensional.

The assumptions forces $\mathcal{O}_s = \mathcal{O}'_s = \{0\}$. We call such group orbits *Reflective Orbits*.

Corollary 2. *For any i we denote $S_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ and $S'_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}'_i)$ to be the projectivized orbits in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$. Let $I = I_{N-1}$ be Aluffi's projective duality involution. Let $c_{sm}^{S_i} = c_{sm}^{S_i}(H)$ and $c_{sm}^{S'_i} = c_{sm}^{S'_i}(H)$ be the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson polynomials in H . For any r , assume that there are not-all-zeros integers $\{\alpha_i^r | i = r, \dots, s-1\}$ and $\{\beta_j^r | j = s-r, \dots, s-1\}$ such that*

$$I_{N-1} \left(\sum_{i \geq r} \alpha_i^r \cdot c_{sm}^{S_i} \right) = \sum_{k \geq s-r} \beta_k^r \cdot c_{sm}^{S'_k}; \quad \alpha_r^r = \beta_{s-r}^r = 1.$$

Then we have

$$\alpha_i^r = Eu_{\bar{S}_r}(S_i); \quad \beta_i^r = Eu_{\bar{S}'_{s-r}}(S'_i).$$

Proof. Denote m_i and m'_j to be the signed Chern-Mather class polynomials: $m_i := (-1)^{\dim S_i} c_M^{\bar{S}_i}(H)$ and $m'_j := (-1)^{\dim S'_j} c_M^{\bar{S}'_j}(H)$. For any r there are unique integers

$E_{r,i}$ and $E'_{s-r,j}$ such that $E_{r,r} = (-1)^{\dim S_r}$, $E'_{s-r,s-r} = (-1)^{\dim S'_{s-r}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{sm}^{S_r}(H) &= \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} E_{r,i} \cdot (-1)^{\dim S_i} c_M^{\bar{S}_i}(H) = \sum_{i=r}^{s-1} E_{r,i} m_i; \\ c_{sm}^{S'_{s-r}}(H) &= \sum_{j=s-r}^{s-1} E'_{s-r,j} \cdot (-1)^{\dim S'_i} c_M^{\bar{S}'_i}(H) = \sum_{j=s-r}^{s-1} E'_{r,i} m'_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{S}_k is dual to \bar{S}'_{s-k} , recall from Proposition 2.3 that the involution I_{N-1} then takes m_k to m'_{s-k} . Thus the involution equality can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq s-r} \beta_k^r \cdot c_{sm}^{S_k}(H) &= \sum_{k=s-r}^{s-1} \sum_{j=s-r}^k \beta_j^r E'_{j,k} m'_k \\ &= I_{N-1} \left(\sum_{k \geq r} \alpha_k^r \cdot c_{sm}^{S_k}(H) \right) = I_{N-1} \left(\sum_{k=r}^{s-1} \alpha_k^r \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{s-1} E_{k,i} m_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=r}^{s-1} \alpha_k^r \cdot \sum_{i=k}^{s-1} E_{k,i} m'_{s-i} = \sum_{k=1}^{s-r} \sum_{i=r}^{s-k} \alpha_i^r E_{i,s-k} m'_k \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_r^r = \beta_{s-r}^r = 1$, we have

$$m'_{s-r} + \sum_{k=s-r+1}^s \sum_{i=s-r}^k \beta_j^r E'_{j,k} m'_k = \sum_{k=1}^{s-r-1} \sum_{i=r}^{s-k} \alpha_i^r E_{i,s-k} m'_k + m'_{s-r}.$$

The corollary then follows from the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

An advantage of reflective group orbits is that, as pointed out in [8] [22], besides the classical approach from intersection theory the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes of such group orbits can also be obtained from representation theory. This provides more choices in practice. In this case we have the following algorithm to compute the local Euler obstructions:

Algorithm 1 (Algorithm for Reflective Group Orbits). *The following algorithm computed the local Euler obstructions for Reflective Group Orbits.*

Step 0 For each pairs of orbits \mathcal{O}_i and \mathcal{O}_j , notice that $Eu_{\mathcal{O}_i}(\mathcal{O}_j) = Eu_{\bar{S}_i}(S_j)$ passes to the projective setting for $j \leq s$.

Step 1 For each projectivized orbit S_i we compute its Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class $c_{sm}^{S_i}(H)$.

Step 2 For each projectivized orbit S'_i we compute its Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class $c_{sm}^{S'_i}(H)$.

Step 3 For each r we set up the following linear system

$$\sum_{k=r}^{s-1} x_k^r c_{sm}^{S_k}(H) - \sum_{k=s-r}^{s-1} y_k^r c_{sm}^{S'_k}(H) = 0; x_r^r = y_{s-r}^r = 1.$$

This is a linear system since $c_{sm}^{S_k}(H)$ and $c_{sm}^{S'_k}(H)$ are polynomials in H , and the equality gives at least $s+1$ linear equations concerning the coefficients of powers of H . As proved in the previous Proposition, the solution $\{x_k^r, y_k^r\}$ are the local Euler obstructions of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_r$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}'_{s-r}$ at each stratum.

Step 4 The local Euler obstruction of $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_r$ at $\mathcal{O}_s = \{0\}$ come from the algebraic Brasselet-Lê-Seade type formula proved in [30].

$$Eu_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}_r}(0) = \sum_{k \geq r} (-1)^{\dim S_k} c_{sm}^{S_k}(-1) \cdot Eu_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}_r}(\mathcal{O}_k).$$

Same argument applies to $Eu_{\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{s-r}}(0)$.

Remark 3. More precisely, in [8] [22] they proved that the equivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes are characterized by certain Axioms. The equivariant classes are expressed as polynomials in the weights of the G action, the axioms then provide constraint equations the Chern polynomials have to satisfy by studying localizations and specializations/restrictions. The solutions are certain weight functions from representation theory. In fact, in [8] they proved that for such orbits the solutions correspond to the K -theoretic stable envelopes studied in [18]. Thus this algorithm provides a way to study the singularities of orbits closures directly from representation theory.

Example 2. We use the following example to illustrate the algorithm. We consider the symmetric rank stratification $M_3^S = \cup_{i=0}^3 \Sigma_{3,i}^{S^\circ}$. From the computation in [29] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{3,0}^{S^\circ} &= 3H^4 + 6H^3 + 6H^2 + 3H + 1 \\ \tau_{3,1}^{S^\circ} &= 3H^5 + 6H^4 + 10H^3 + 9H^2 + 3H; \quad c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,1}^{S^\circ}}(-1) = -1 \\ \tau_{3,2}^S &= 3H^5 + 6H^4 + 4H^3; \quad c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,2}^S}(-1) = -1 \end{aligned}$$

The orbits $\tau_{3,1}^{S^\circ}$ is dual to $\tau_{3,2}^S$, with dimension 7 and 4 respectively. Then we have

$$c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,1}^{S^\circ}}(-1-H) + ((1+H)^6 - H^6) + x \cdot c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,2}^S}(-1-H) + x \cdot ((1+H)^6 - H^6) = c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,2}^S}(H).$$

Expand the polynomials we get

$$3H^5 + 6H^4 + 4H^3 + x \cdot (3H^5 + 6H^4 + 10H^3 + 9H^2 + 3H) = 3H^5 + 6H^4 + 4H^3.$$

There is a unique solution $x = 0$. This shows that $Eu_{\Sigma_{3,1}^S}(\Sigma_{3,2}^{S^\circ}) = 0$. The Brasselet-Lê-Seade formula then gives

$$Eu_{\Sigma_{3,1}^S}(0) = Eu_{\Sigma_{3,1}^S}(\Sigma_{3,1}^{S^\circ}) \cdot c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,1}^{S^\circ}}(-1) + Eu_{\Sigma_{3,1}^S}(\Sigma_{3,2}^{S^\circ}) \cdot c_{sm}^{\tau_{3,2}^S}(-1) = (-1) \cdot (-1) + (-1) \cdot 0 = 1.$$

4. APPLICATION I: QUADRATIC HYPERSURFACES

Let $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a quadratic hypersurface defined by $x^t A x = 0$ for some symmetric $n+1 \times n+1$ matrix A . Here $\dim V = n+1$. The hypersurface $X_A \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ is smooth if and only if $|A| \neq 0$, and the dual variety X_A^\vee is also a smooth hypersurface. When A is singular of rank $r \leq n$, the singular locus S_A is the projective subspace $\mathbb{P}(K) = \mathbb{P}^{n-r}$, where $K = \ker A \subset V$.

When $r = 1$, the defining equation of X_A is given by l^2 for some linear form l . Thus the reduced structure of X_A is smooth: it is a hyperplane. When $r = 2$, the defining equation of X_A is given by $l_1 \cdot l_2$ for two distinct linear forms l_1, l_2 . Thus the hypersurface X_A is the union of two hyperplanes intersecting transversely at \mathbb{P}^{n-2} . After taking normal slice this case is the nothing but the line arrangement $V(xy = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. So we will assume $r \geq 3$ in this section.

Let $K^\perp \subset V^*$ be the space of linear forms that vanish on K . As shown in [11], the dual variety X_A^\vee is a smooth quadratic hypersurface in the linear subspace $\mathbb{P}(K^\perp) = \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. The dual variety S_A^\vee is isomorphic to the linear subspace \mathbb{P}^{r-1} .

Remark 4. In general, let A be a $m \times n$ matrix with $m \geq n$, we may consider the hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{m+n-1} of the form $X^t A Y = 0$. This hypersurface is exactly the hypersurface X_B , where B is the $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ symmetric matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ A^t & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Corollary 3. Let $r = r(A)$ be the rank of A . We assume that $r \geq 3$.

- (1) Let $\Sigma_A \subset V$ be the affine cone of X_A . The Euler characteristic of the complex link space of Σ_A at 0 is -2 .
- (2) The Milnor number of X_A at any point of S_A equals $(-1)^{n+r}$.
- (3) The Milnor class of X_A is given by

$$\mathcal{M}(X_A) = (-1)^{n+r} \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n-r+1}{k-j} (-2)^j \right) \cdot H^{k+r}$$

- (4) We denote $X_A^\circ := X_A \setminus S_A$. The local Euler obstruction of X_A then equals:

$$Eu_{X_A} = \mathbf{1}_{X_A^\circ} + ((-1)^r + 1) \mathbf{1}_{S_A}.$$

Proof. The Milnor class of X_A can be uniquely written as

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{M}(X_A) = (-1)^{n-1} \left(\frac{2H((1+H)^{n+1} - H^{n+1})}{1+2H} - c_{sm}^{X_A}(H) \right) = \frac{\mu \cdot c_{sm}^{S_A}}{1+2H}.$$

Let $e = Eu_{X_A}(S_A)$ be the local Euler obstruction of X_A at S_A , and we can uniquely write

$$c_{sm}^{X_A} = c_M^{X_A} - (e-1)c_M^{S_A} = c_M^{X_A} - (e-1)c_{sm}^{S_A}.$$

We denote $\alpha := e-1$, $\lambda := c_{sm}^{X_A}(-1)$ and $B_n := (1+H)^{n+1} - H^{n+1}$. Then we consider the following polynomial:

$$P := (-1)^{n-1} \left(2HB_n - (1+2H)(c_M^{X_A} - \alpha \cdot c_M^{S_A}) \right) - \mu c_M^{S_A}.$$

From (1) we know that $P = 0$. Let \mathcal{I}_n be the duality involution, then we have $\mathcal{I}_n(P) = 0$.

On the other hand, we can directly compute $\mathcal{I}_n(P)$. From Proposition 2.5 we have:

$$\mathcal{I}_n \left(2H(c_M^{X_A} - \alpha \cdot c_M^{S_A}) \right) = (-2 - 2H) \mathcal{I}_n(c_M^{X_A} - \alpha \cdot c_M^{S_A}) - \lambda HB_n.$$

Meanwhile, by a direct computation we have $\mathcal{I}_n(HB_n) = (-1)^{n+1} HB_n$. Thus we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n(P) &= (-1)^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_n(2HB_n) - \mathcal{I}_n(c_M^{X_A} - \alpha c_M^{S_A}) - \mathcal{I}_n(2H(c_M^{X_A} - \alpha c_M^{S_A})) - \mathcal{I}_n(\mu c_M^{S_A}) \\ &= 2HB_n - (-1)^{n-1} \left((-1)^{n+r-1} c_M^{X_A^\vee} - (-1)^{n-1} \alpha c_M^{S_A^\vee} \right) + (-1)^{n-1} \lambda HB_n \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} (2+2H) \left((-1)^{n+r-1} c_M^{X_A^\vee} - (-1)^{n-1} \alpha c_M^{S_A^\vee} \right) - (-1)^{n-1} \mu c_M^{S_A^\vee} \\ &= (2 + (-1)^{n-1} \lambda) HB_n + (-1)^r (1+2H) c_M^{X_A^\vee} - (1+2H) \alpha c_M^{S_A^\vee} - (-1)^{n-1} \mu c_M^{S_A^\vee} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that X_A^\vee is a smooth quadratic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{r-1} , and S_A^\vee is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{r-1} . Thus we have

$$c_M(X_A^\vee) = c_{sm}^{X_A^\vee} = \frac{2H^{n-r+2}(1+H)^r}{1+2H}; \quad c_M(S_A^\vee) = c_{sm}^{S_A^\vee} = H^{n-r+1}(1+H)^r.$$

Thus we have

$$0 = (2 + (-1)^{n-1}\lambda)H((1+H)^{n+1} - H^{n+1}) - (-1)^{n-1}\mu H^{n-r+1}(1+H)^r \\ + (-1)^r 2H^{n-r+2}(1+H)^r - (1+2H)\alpha H^{n-r+1}(1+H)^r.$$

This gives the solution

$$\lambda = (-1)^n \cdot 2; \quad e = \alpha + 1 = (-1)^r + 1; \quad \mu = (-1)^{n+r}.$$

□

5. APPLICATION II: GENERIC DETERMINANTAL VARIETIES

The (generic) determinantal varieties are fundamental examples of non-isolated singularities, and are of great interest in singularity theory. For ordinary determinantal varieties the local Euler obstructions were computed in [10] using topological method, and in [28] for arbitrary algebraically closed field using intersection theory. In this section we use Corollary 2 to give a very short proof, from an observation on the Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson class formula.

5.0.1. *q-Polynomial of Determinantal Varieties.* Let K be a characteristic 0 algebraically closed field. Let $M_{m,n} = \text{Hom}(K^n, K^n)$ be the space of $n \times n$ ordinary matrices. The group $GL_n(K) \times GL_n(K)$ acts on $M_{n,n}$ by $(P, X, Q) \mapsto PXQ^{-1}$. The orbits consist of matrices of fixed rank. For $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ we denote them by

$$\Sigma_{n,k}^\circ := \{X \mid \dim \ker X = k\}; \quad \Sigma_{n,k} := \{X \mid \dim \ker X \geq k\}.$$

They are algebraic varieties of dimension $n^2 - k^2$. We denote the projections $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma_{n,k}^\circ)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\Sigma_{n,k})$ by $\tau_{n,k}^\circ$ and $\tau_{n,k}$ respectively.

As shown in [29], we define the Q classes in the Grassmannian $G(r, n)$:

$$q_{n,r}(d) := \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n(n-r)} (1+d)^{n(n-r)-k} c_k(Q^{\vee n}) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{nr} d^{nr-k} c_k(S^{\vee n}) \right);$$

and the q polynomial in variable $q_{n,r}(H)$ by setting

$$q_{n,r}(d) := \int_{G(r,n)} c(S^\vee \otimes Q) \cdot q_{n,r}(d) \cap [G(r, n)] - d^{n^2} \binom{n}{r}$$

for integers d . The Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes $c_{sm}^{\tau_{n,k}^\circ}$ are then given by

$$c_{sm}^{\tau_{n,k}^\circ}(H) = \sum_{r=k}^{n-1} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \cdot q_{n,r}(H).$$

5.0.2. *Local Euler Obstruction of Determinantal Varieties.* Despite the complicated form, as we will show later, the $q_{n,r}(d)$, enjoys very nice symmetric property: it is symmetric with the $d \mapsto -1-d$ substitution. This induces the following interesting application:

Corollary 4. *The local Euler obstruction function of determinantal varieties are given by*

$$Eu_{\Sigma_{n,k}}(\Sigma_{n,r}^\circ) = \binom{r}{k}.$$

Thus the polynomials $q_{n,r}(H)$ equal the Chern-Mather classes: $q_{n,r}(H) = c_M^{\tau_{n,k}}(H)$.

Proof. Substituting d by $-1-d$ in the expression of $q_{n,r}(d)$ we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{n,r}(-1-d) + (-1-d)^{n^2} \binom{n}{r} \\ &= \int_{G(r,n)} c(S^\vee \otimes Q) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n(n-r)} (-d)^{n(n-r)-k} c_k(Q^{\vee n}) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{nr} (-1-d)^{nr-k} c_k(S^{\vee n}) \right) \\ &= \int_{G(n-r,n)} c(S^\vee \otimes Q) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n(n-r)} (-d)^{n(n-r)-k} c_k(S^n) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{nr} (-1-d)^{nr-k} c_k(Q^n) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{n^2} \int_{G(n-r,n)} c(S^\vee \otimes Q) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n(n-r)} d^{n(n-r)-k} c_k(S^{\vee n}) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{nr} (1+d)^{nr-k} c_k(Q^{\vee n}) \right) \\ &= (-1)^{n^2} q_{n,n-r}(d) + (-1)^{n^2} d^{n^2} \binom{n}{n-r} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the dimension of $\tau_{n,r}$ is $n^2 - r^2 - 1$. Since above computation holds for any integer d , thus as polynomial we have

$$\hat{q}_{n,r}(-1-H) - \hat{q}_{n,r}(-1) \left((1+H)^{n^2} - H^{n^2} \right) = \hat{q}_{n,n-r}(H).$$

Here $\hat{q}_{n,r}(H) = (-1)^{\dim \tau_{n,r}} \cdot q_{n,r}(H)$ is the signed polynomial. Recall from §2.3 that the projective duality operation $I := I_{n^2-1}$ takes the signed Chern-Mather class of $\tau_{n,r}$ to the signed Chern-Mather class of $\tau_{n,n-r}$: the dual variety of $\tau_{n,k}$ is exactly $\tau_{n,n-k}$. Let $c_k := (-1)^{\dim \tau_{n,k}} \cdot c_M^{\tau_{n,k}}$ be the Chern-Mather polynomials, this says that $I(c_i) = c_{n-i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Proved in [29], the q polynomial $q_{n,r}(H)$ equals $c_*(\phi_{n,r})$ for some constructible function $\phi_{n,r} \in F(\tau_{n,r})$, defined by $\phi_{n,r}(p) = \binom{r}{l}$ for $p \in \tau_{n,l}^\circ$. (In fact, $\phi_{n,r}$ is the pushforward $p_*(\mathbb{1}_{\hat{\tau}_{n,r}})$, where $p: \hat{\tau}_{n,r} \rightarrow \tau_{n,r}$ is the Tjurina transform.) Since the local Euler obstructions is a basis for $F(\tau_{n,r})$, there are unique integers β_k^r such that $q_{n,r}(H) = \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \beta_k^r c_M^{\tau_{n,k}}(H)$, $\beta_r^r = 1$. Then the involution equality shows that

$$I(\hat{q}_{n,r}(H)) = I\left(\sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \beta_k^r c_k\right) = \sum_{k=r}^{n-1} \beta_k^r c_{n-k} = \hat{q}_{n,n-r}(H) = \sum_{k=n-r}^{n-1} \beta_k^r c_k.$$

Since the lowest degree terms all the polynomials c_i have different degrees, expand the above equalities for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ one can see that there is a unique solution

$$\beta_B^A = \begin{cases} 1 & A = B \\ 0 & A \neq B \end{cases}$$

This shows that $\phi_{n,r} = Eu_{\tau_{n,r}}$, and thus $q_{n,r}(H) = c_M^{\tau_{n,r}}$ is the Chern-Mather polynomial. \square

Remark 5. *However we fail to obtain such an easy proof for the Chern-Mather classes of symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties. This is due to the term $(\sum_{k=0}^e d^{e-k} c_k(S^\vee \otimes Q^\vee))$, when substituting d by $-1-d$ we lose the symmetry. The fact that the involution still interchanges the signed Chern-Mather classes indicates that there should be more symmetry and vanishing patterns hide behind the integrations. It would be interesting to use Schubert calculus to give a similar proof to the symmetric and skew-symmetric determinantal varieties.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Paolo Aluffi. Projective duality and a Chern-Mather involution. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(3):1803–1822, 2018.
- [2] J. P. Brasselet. Local Euler obstruction, old and new. In *XI Brazilian Topology Meeting (Rio Claro, 1998)*, pages 140–147. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- [3] J.-P. Brasselet and M.-H. Schwartz. Sur les classes de Chern d’un ensemble analytique complexe. In *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic (French)*, volume 82 of *Astérisque*, pages 93–147. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1981.
- [4] J.-P. Brasselet, Lê Dung Tráng, and J. Seade. Euler obstruction and indices of vector fields. *Topology*, 39(6):1193–1208, 2000.
- [5] Jean-Paul Brasselet and Nivaldo G. Grulha, Jr. Local Euler obstruction, old and new, II. In *Real and complex singularities*, volume 380 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 23–45. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [6] P. Bressler, M. Finkelberg, and V. Lunts. Vanishing cycles on Grassmannians. *Duke Math. J.*, 61(3):763–777, 1990.
- [7] Jean-Luc Brylinski, Alberto S. Dubson, and Masaki Kashiwara. Formule de l’indice pour modules holonomes et obstruction d’Euler locale. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 293(12):573–576, 1981.
- [8] Laszlo M. Feher, Richard Rimanyi, and Andrzej Weber. Motivic Chern classes and K-theoretic stable envelopes. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1802.01503, February 2018.
- [9] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [10] Terence Gaffney, Nivaldo G. Grulha, Jr., and Maria A. S. Ruas. The local Euler obstruction and topology of the stabilization of associated determinantal varieties. *Math. Z.*, 291(3-4):905–930, 2019.
- [11] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [12] Gerardo González-Sprinberg. L’obstruction locale d’Euler et le théorème de MacPherson. In *The Euler-Poincaré characteristic (French)*, volume 83 of *Astérisque*, pages 7–32. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1981.
- [13] Jose Israel Rodriguez and Botong Wang. Computing Euler obstruction functions using maximum likelihood degrees. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1710.04310, October 2017.
- [14] Masaki Kashiwara. Index theorem for a maximally overdetermined system of linear differential equations. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 49:803–804, 1973.
- [15] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig. Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality. In *Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, pages 185–203. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.
- [16] Gary Kennedy. MacPherson’s Chern classes of singular algebraic varieties. *Comm. Algebra*, 18(9):2821–2839, 1990.
- [17] R. D. MacPherson. Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 100:423–432, 1974.
- [18] Daves Maulik and Andrei Okounkov. Quantum groups and quantum cohomology. *Astérisque*, (408):ix+209, 2019.

- [19] Leonardo C. Mihalcea and Rahul Singh. Mather classes and conormal spaces of Schubert varieties in cominuscule spaces. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2006.04842, June 2020.
- [20] Bernt Ivar Utstøl Nødland. Local Euler obstructions of toric varieties. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 222(3):508–533, 2018.
- [21] Ragni Piene. Polar varieties revisited. In *Computer algebra and polynomials*, volume 8942 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 139–150. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [22] Richárd Rimányi and Alexander Varchenko. Equivariant Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes in partial flag varieties: interpolation and formulae. In *Schubert varieties, equivariant cohomology and characteristic classes—IMPANGA 15*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pages 225–235. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2018.
- [23] C. Sabbah. Quelques remarques sur la géométrie des espaces conormaux. Number 130, pages 161–192. 1985. *Differential systems and singularities* (Luminy, 1983).
- [24] Marie-Hélène Schwartz. Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d’une variété analytique complexe. I. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 260:3262–3264, 1965.
- [25] Marie-Hélène Schwartz. Classes caractéristiques définies par une stratification d’une variété analytique complexe. II. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 260:3535–3537, 1965.
- [26] E. A. Tevelev. *Projective duality and homogeneous spaces*, volume 133 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, IV.
- [27] Lê Dũng Tráng and Bernard Teissier. Variétés polaires locales et classes de Chern des variétés singulières. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 114(3):457–491, 1981.
- [28] Xiping Zhang. Local Euler Obstruction and Chern-Mather classes of Determinantal Varieties. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1706.02032, June 2017.
- [29] Xiping Zhang. Characteristic Classes of Homogeneous Essential Isolated Determinantal Varieties. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2011.12578, November 2020.
- [30] Xiping Zhang. Local Geometric Invariants of Recursive Group Orbit Stratification. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2009.09362, September 2020.

Email address: xzhmath@gmail.com