

# COMPLEX TORI AND AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF CERTAIN $\mathbb{P}^1$ -BUNDLES

TATIANA BANDMAN AND YURI G. ZARHIN

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is a continuation of our article [BZ20]. The notion of a poor complex compact manifold was introduced there and the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  for a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over such a manifold was proven to be very Jordan. We call a group  $G$  very Jordan if it contains a normal abelian subgroup  $G_0$  such that the orders of finite subgroups of the quotient  $G/G_0$  are bounded by a constant depending on  $G$  only.

In this paper we provide examples of infinite families of poor manifolds of any complex dimension, namely simple tori of algebraic dimension zero. Then we consider a non-trivial holomorphic  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, Y)$  over a non-uniruled complex compact Kähler manifold  $Y$ . We prove that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is very Jordan provided some additional conditions on the set of sections of  $p$  are met. Applications to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over non-algebraic complex tori are given.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is a continuation of our paper [BZ20]. Let  $X$  be a compact complex connected manifold. We denote by  $\text{Aut}(X)$  and  $\text{Bim}(X)$  the groups of biholomorphic and of bimeromorphic automorphisms of  $X$ , respectively. As usual,  $\mathbb{P}^n$  stands for the  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space;  $a(X)$  stands for the algebraic dimension of  $X$ . All manifolds in this paper are assumed to be **complex compact and connected** unless otherwise stated.

In [BZ20] we introduced the notion of **poor** manifolds as complex compact connected manifold containing neither rational curves nor analytic subsets of codimension 1 (and, *a fortiori*, having algebraic dimension 0). It was proved in [BZ20] that for a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $X$  over a poor manifold  $Y$  the group  $\text{Bim}(X) = \text{Aut}(X)$  has the commutative identity connected components  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  and the order of any finite subgroup of the quotient  $\text{Aut}(X)/\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is bounded by a constant depending on  $X$  only. The detailed description of the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  for such an  $X$  is given in [BZ20].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32M05, 32M18, 32L05, 32J18, 32J27, 14J50, 57S25,

*Key words and phrases.* Automorphism groups of compact complex manifolds, algebraic dimension 0, complex tori, conic bundles, Jordan properties of groups.

The second named author (Y.Z.) was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grant # 585711.

The goal of this paper is twofold.

**Goal 1.** We want to provide explicit examples of poor varieties. As it was mentioned in [BZ20] any complex torus  $T$  of algebraic dimension  $a(T) = 0$  is poor and for a generic torus  $a(T) = 0$ . There exists an explicit example of  $K3$  surface that is poor ([McM]). Explicit examples of two-dimensional complex tori with algebraic dimension zero are given in [BL, Example 7.4].

In the first part of this paper we give explicit examples of such tori, for every complex dimension  $\geq 2$ . These examples provide a set that is dense in complex topology in the moduli space of tori of a given dimension.

**Goal 2.** We analyse what is the property of a poor manifold  $Y$  that makes the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  so special, where  $X$  a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $Y$ . It appears that the crucial feature is the scarceness of the sections, namely:

*If  $Y$  is poor, sections in  $X$  do not meet; hence there are at most two sections, if  $X$  is not  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .*

In this paper, instead of imposing the restrictions on manifold  $Y$ , we consider a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $X$  over a non-uniruled  $Y$  with the following additional property:  $X$  admits only "scarce" set of sections. The analagous algebraic situation is, may be, a conic bundle  $(X, p, Y)$  whose generic fiber is pointless.

To state the results precisely we need to recall the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that a compact connected complex manifold  $Y$  of positive dimension is poor if it enjoys the following properties.*

- *The algebraic dimension  $a(Y)$  of  $Y$  is 0.*
- *$Y$  does not contain analytic subspaces of codimension 1.*
- *$Y$  contains no rational curve, i.e., an image of a non-constant holomorphic map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ . (In other words, every holomorphic map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow Y$  is constant.)*

**Definition 1.2.** *A group  $G$  is called bounded if the orders of its finite subgroups are bounded by an universal constant that depends only on  $G$  ([Po11, Definition 2.9]).*

**Definition 1.3.** ([BZ20]) *We call a group  $G$  very Jordan if there exist a commutative normal subgroup  $G_0$  of  $G$  and a bounded group  $F$  that sit in a short exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

**Remark 1.4.** • *The name "very Jordan" reflects that this property is connected to the notion of a Jordan group ([Po11, Definition 2.1], [Se09, 6.1]). The group  $G$  is called **Jordan** if every finite subgroup  $A \subset G$  has a normal commutative subgroup  $B \subset A$  such that the index  $[A : B] \leq J(G)$ , where the number*

$J(G)$  depends only on  $G$ . It is known that  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan if  $X$  is projective variety ([MZ]), compact complex Kähler manifold ([Kim]) or of Fujiki class  $\mathcal{C}$  ([MPZ]).

- The quotient group  $F = \text{Aut}(X)/\text{Aut}_0(X)$ , where  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is the connected identity component of  $\text{Aut}(X)$ , is bounded provided  $X$  be a connected compact complex Kähler manifold ([BZ20, Proposition 2.1]).
- If, in addition,  $X$  has non-negative Kodaira dimension then the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is very Jordan ([Fu78, Proposition 5.11], [Lie], and [BZ20, Corollary 2.3]).

In the first part of this paper we give explicit examples of poor (even simple) tori, for every dimension. To this end proven is

**Theorem 1.5.** (see Theorem 2.3). Let  $g \geq 2$  be an integer and  $E$  a degree  $2g$  number field that enjoys the following properties.

- $E$  is purely imaginary;
- $E$  has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Choose any isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras

$$\Psi : E_{\mathbb{R}} = E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}^g \quad (2)$$

and a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\Lambda$  of rank  $2g$  in  $E \subset E_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Isomorphism  $\Psi$  provides  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$  with the structure of a  $g$ -dimensional complex vector space.

Then the complex torus  $T = T_{E, \Psi, \Lambda} := E_{\mathbb{R}}/\Lambda$  has algebraic dimension zero.

We present explicit examples of such fields. These examples provide for any dimension  $g \geq 2$  a subset of the moduli space of complex tori of dimension  $g$  such that

- this set is dense in complex topology;
- every point of this set corresponds to a poor torus.

In the second part of the paper we consider  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over non-uniruled manifolds. Let  $X, Y$  be compact connected complex manifolds endowed with a holomorphic map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ . If  $X$  is a holomorphically locally trivial fiber bundle over  $Y$  with fiber  $\mathbb{P}^1$  we call it a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle.

We prove

**Theorem 1.6.** (See Theorem 9.2.) Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are complex compact connected manifolds,  $X$  is not biholomorphic to the direct product  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $Y$  is Kähler and non-uniruled. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:

- (1)  $p$  admits no holomorphic sections;
- (2)  $p$  admits only a finite number of holomorphic sections;
- (3) there are two disjoint holomorphic sections, such that any third one meets both of them.

Then:

- a) The connected identity component  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  of complex Lie group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is **commutative** ;  
 b) Group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is very Jordan. Namely, there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1, \quad (3)$$

where  $F$  is a bounded group ;

- c) Commutative group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  sits in a short exact sequence of complex Lie groups

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1, \quad (4)$$

where  $H$  is a complex torus and one of the following conditions holds:

- $\Gamma = \{id\}$ , the trivial group;
- $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{C}^+)^n$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^+$  is the additive group of complex numbers and  $n$  is a positive integer;
- $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ , the multiplicative group of complex numbers.

**Remark 1.7.** In [BZ20] we have proven an analogous Theorem (see Theorem 5.1) for the case when  $Y$  is Kähler and poor, but without any assumptions on the set of sections of  $p$ . The proof of Theorem 1.6 is a modification of that proof.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we describe a plenty of explicit examples of poor complex tori. In Section 4, the proof of Theorem 1.5 is given. In Section 5 we provide a digest of facts from [BZ20]. Section 6, Section 7 and Section 8 contain the main part of proof of Theorem 1.6. In Section 9 we finish the proof of this theorem. In Section 10 we apply the obtained results to the study of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ –bundles over non-algebraic complex tori.

## 2. POOR TORI

In this section we provide examples of poor tori of any dimension. Tori described below are not only poor, they are even simple. But taking a direct product of such tori (Lemma 2.1), one easily gets a poor but not simple torus. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, all isogeneous tori to a poor one are poor as well. Thus, our examples fill up a dense (in complex topology) set in moduli space of complex tori of a given dimension.

As usual,  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  stand for the ring of integers and fields of rational, real, and complex numbers respectively. We write  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  for an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $Y$  be a poor manifold. Obviously,  $\dim(Y) \geq 2$ . For a surface, *poor* means the absence of any curve  $C \subset Y$ . An explicit example of a K3 surface having this property may be found in [McM] and in [BHPV, Proposition 3.6, Chapter VIII]). Explicit examples of complex

2-dimensional tori  $Y$  with  $a(Y) = 0$  are given in [BL, Example 7.4]. It is proven in [CDV, Theorem 1.2] that if a compact Kähler 3-dimensional manifold has no nontrivial subvariety then it is a complex torus.

On the other hand, a complex torus  $T$  with  $\dim(T) \geq 2$  and  $a(T) = 0$  is a poor Kähler manifold. Indeed, a complex torus  $T$  is a Kähler manifold that does not contain rational curves. If  $a(T) = 0$ , it contains no analytic subsets of codimension 1 [BL, Corollary 6.4, Chapter 2].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X, Y$  be two complex compact connected manifolds and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism. Assume that  $Y$  is poor. Then*

- (1) *if  $F_y := f^{-1}(y)$  is finite for every  $y \in Y$  then  $X$  is poor;*
- (2) *if  $F_y := f^{-1}(y)$  is a poor manifold with  $\dim(F_y) = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$  for every  $y \in Y$  then  $X$  is poor.*

*In particular, the direct product of two poor manifolds is a poor manifold.*

*Proof.* For proving (1), let us note that  $f$  is an unramified cover of  $Y$ . Indeed, the image under  $f$  of a ramification locus is either empty or has pure codimension 1 in  $Y$  ([DG, Section 1, 9], [Pe, Theorem 1.6], [Re]). Since  $Y$  is poor, it is empty. Now statement (1) follows from [BZ20, Lemma 3.1].

Let us prove (2). Assume that  $C \subset Y$  is a rational curve. If  $C \subset F_y$  for some  $y \in Y$  then  $F_y$  is not poor, excluded case. Thus  $f(C)$  is a rational curve in  $Y$  which is also impossible, since  $Y$  is poor. Assume that  $D \subset Y$  is an analytic irreducible subspace of codimension 1 and  $y \in Y$ . If  $D \cap F_y \neq \emptyset$ , then  $D \supset F_y$ , since otherwise  $D \cap F_y$  would have codimension one in  $F_y$ . Thus  $f(D)$  is an analytic subspace of  $Y$  ([Re], [Nar, Theorem 2, Chapter VII]) and  $\dim(f(D)) = \dim(D) - \dim(F_y) = \dim(Y) - 1$ , which is impossible since  $Y$  is poor.

Thus,  $X$  is poor: it contains neither rational curves nor analytic subspaces of codimension 1.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** *A positive-dimensional complex torus  $X$  is called simple if  $0$  and  $X$  are the only complex subtori of  $X$  (see, e.g., [BL, Chapter I, Section 7]).*

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $g \geq 2$  be an integer and  $E$  a degree  $2g$  number field that enjoys the following properties.*

- *$E$  is purely imaginary;*
- *$E$  has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*

*Choose any isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras*

$$\Psi : E_{\mathbb{R}} := E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}^g \tag{5}$$

*and a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $\Lambda$  of rank  $2g$  in  $E \subset E_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Isomorphism  $\Psi$  provides  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$  with the structure of a  $g$ -dimensional complex vector space.*

Then the complex torus  $T = T_{E,\Psi,\Lambda} := E_{\mathbb{R}}/\Lambda$  is simple and has algebraic dimension 0. In addition, its endomorphism algebra  $\text{End}(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is isomorphic to  $E$  and the Picard number  $\rho(T)$  of  $T$  is 0.

**Remark 2.4.** Let us recall the properties of the purely imaginary field  $E$ . We may view it as  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha \in E$  and there is an irreducible polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$  of degree  $2g$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Field  $E$  is purely imaginary if and only if  $f(x)$  has no real roots in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \bar{\alpha}_g$  be roots of  $f$  (here  $\bar{\alpha}_j$  stands for the complex conjugate of  $\alpha_j$ ). There are  $2g$  embeddings  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , namely 2 for every  $j, 1 \leq j \leq g$ .

$$\sigma_j : 1 \rightarrow 1, \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_j$$

and

$$\bar{\sigma}_j : 1 \rightarrow 1, \alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}_j.$$

For every choice of  $g$ -tuple  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_g)$ , where each  $\tau_j$  is either  $\sigma_j$  or  $\bar{\sigma}_j$  we define a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\Psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g, E \ni e \mapsto (\tau_1(e), \dots, \tau_g(e)) \in \mathbb{C}^g$$

that extends to an isomorphism  $\Psi : E_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras. There are precisely  $2^g$  isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^g$ .

We prove Theorem 2.3 in Section 4; the proof is based on results of [OZ].

In Section 3 we construct explicit examples of such fields  $E$  and, consequently, get in every complex dimension  $\geq 2$  explicit examples of tori of algebraic dimension 0 with Picard number 0. To this end we need the following construction from Galois theory.

Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  a degree  $n$  irreducible polynomial. This means that the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra

$$K_f = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$$

is a degree  $n$  number field. Let  $\mathcal{R}_f \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the  $n$ -element set of roots  $f(x)$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_f$  then there is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras

$$\Phi_\alpha : K_f = \mathbb{Q}[x]/f(x)\mathbb{Q}[x] \cong \mathbb{Q}(\alpha), x \mapsto \alpha \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is the subfield of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  generated by  $\alpha$ . Clearly  $K_f$  (and hence,  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ) is *purely imaginary* if and only if  $f(x)$  has *no* real roots.

Let  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f) \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the splitting field of  $f(x)$ , i.e., the subfield of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}_f$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f) \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a finite Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  containing  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . We write  $G = \text{Gal}(f)$  for the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)/\mathbb{Q})$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)/\mathbb{Q}$ , which may be viewed as a certain subgroup of the group  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_f)$  of permutations of  $\mathcal{R}_f$ . The irreducibility of  $f(x)$  means that  $\text{Gal}(f)$  is a *transitive* permutation subgroup of  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_f)$ . Let us consider the stabilizer subgroup

$$G_\alpha = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha\} \subset G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}(f). \quad (7)$$

Clearly,  $G_\alpha$  coincides with the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha))$  of Galois extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . If one starts to vary  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{R}_f$  then all the subgroups  $G_\alpha$  constitute a conjugacy class in  $G$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $K_f$  has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (iii)  $G_\alpha$  is a maximal subgroup in  $G$ .
- (iv)  $G$  is a primitive permutation subgroup of  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_f)$ .

**Remark 2.6.**

- (1) A transitive permutation group  $G$  is primitive if and only if the stabilizer of a point is a maximal subgroup of  $G$  [Pa, Prop. 3.4 on p. 15].
- (2) Every 2-transitive permutation group is primitive [Pa, Prop. 3.8 on p. 18].

*Proof of Proposition 2.5.* It follows from (6) that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. It follows from Remark 2.6(i) that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent.

Let us prove that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  that contains  $G_\alpha$ . Let us consider the subfield of  $H$ -invariants

$$F := \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)^H = \{e \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f) \mid \sigma(e) = e \ \forall e \in H\} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f).$$

Clearly  $F$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)^{G_\alpha} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

If  $H$  is neither  $G_\alpha$  nor  $G$  then  $G_\alpha$  is *not* maximal and  $F$  is neither  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  nor  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)^G = \mathbb{Q}$ . This means if (iii) does not hold then (ii) does not hold as well.

Conversely, let  $F$  be a field that lies strictly between  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the Galois group  $H := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_f)/F)$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  that contains  $G_\alpha$  but does *not* coincide with it. Hence  $G_\alpha$  is *not* maximal. This means that if (ii) does not hold then (iii) does not hold as well. This ends the proof.  $\square$

**Example 2.7.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $\text{Alt}(\mathcal{R}_f)$  be the only index two subgroup of  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_f)$ , which is isomorphic to the **alternating group**  $\mathbf{A}_n$ . Then both  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_f)$  and  $\text{Alt}(\mathcal{R}_f)$  are doubly transitive permutation groups and therefore are primitive. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that if  $\text{Gal}(f)$  coincides with either  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_f)$  or  $\text{Alt}(\mathcal{R}_f)$  then  $K_f$  does not contain a proper subfield except  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In other words,  $K_f$  does not contain a proper subfield except  $\mathbb{Q}$  if  $\text{Gal}(f)$  is isomorphic either to the full symmetric group  $\mathbf{S}_n$  or to the alternating group  $\mathbf{A}_n$ .*

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $T$  be a complex torus of dimension  $g \geq 2$ . Suppose that the endomorphism algebra*

$$E = \text{End}^0(T) = \text{End}(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

*is a degree  $2g$  number field that does not contain a subfield of degree  $g$ .*

Then  $T$  is a simple complex torus of algebraic dimension 0. In addition, its Picard number  $\rho(T)$  of  $T$  is 0, i.e., its Néron-Severi group  $\text{NS}(T) = \{0\}$ .

We prove Theorem 2.8 in Section 4.

### 3. EXPLICIT EXAMPLES

Let us describe an explicit construction of complex tori  $T$  with algebraic dimension 0 in all complex dimensions  $\geq 2$ . In light of Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.5, it suffices to find a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

- $f$  has no real roots;
- $\text{Gal}(f)$  is doubly transitive.

Below we present such polynomials for every even degree.

**Truncated exponents.** Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Let us consider the truncated exponent

$$\exp_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{x^j}{j!} \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \subset \mathbb{R}[x].$$

Notice that its derivative

$$\exp'_n(x) = \exp_{n-1}(x) = \exp_n(x) - \frac{x^n}{n!} \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *If  $n \geq 2$  is an even integer then  $\exp_n(x)$  has no real roots.*

*Proof.* Since  $\exp_n(x)$  is an even degree polynomial with positive leading coefficient, it takes on the smallest possible value on  $\mathbb{R}$  at a certain  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$0 = \exp'_n(x_0) = \exp_n(x_0) - \frac{x_0^n}{n!}$$

and therefore

$$\exp_n(x_0) = \frac{x_0^n}{n!}. \tag{8}$$

If  $x_0 = 0$  then  $1 = \exp_n(0) = 0$ , which is not the case. This implies that  $x_0 \neq 0$ . Taking into account that  $n$  is even, we obtain from (8) that  $\exp_n(x_0) > 0$ . Since  $\exp_n(x_0)$  is the smallest value of the function  $\exp_n$  on the whole  $\mathbb{R}$ , the polynomial  $\exp_n$  takes on only positive values on  $\mathbb{R}$  and therefore has no real roots.  $\square$

By a theorem of Schur [Col],  $\text{Gal}(\exp_n(x)) = \mathbf{S}_n$  or  $\mathbf{A}_n$ .

It follows from Example 2.7 combined with Lemma 3.1 that if  $n = 2g$  is even then

$$E = K_g := K_{\exp_{2g}} = \mathbb{Q}[x] / \exp_{2g}(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$$

is a degree  $2g$  purely imaginary field that has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .



Now Theorem 2.3 gives us for all  $g \geq 2$ , for every lattice  $\Lambda$  in  $E$ , and for any isomorphism  $\Psi : K_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2g}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, a simple  $g$ -dimensional complex torus

$$T_{K_g, \Psi, \Lambda} = (K_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) / \Lambda$$

of algebraic dimension 0 with endomorphism algebra  $K_g$  and Picard number 0. Here the complex structure on the  $2g$ -dimensional real vector space  $K_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is provided by a choice of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\Psi : K_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}^g$$

while  $\Lambda$  is any free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of rank  $2g$  in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $K_g$ . For example, one may take as  $\Lambda$  the image of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x] / \exp_{2g} \mathbb{Q}[x] = K_g$ .

**Selmer polynomials.** Another series of examples is provided by polynomials

$$\text{selm}_{2g}(x) = x^{2g} + x + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \subset \mathbb{Q}[x] \subset \mathbb{R}[x]. \quad (9)$$

Notice that  $\text{selm}_{2g}(x)$  takes on only *positive values* on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , hence, it does *not* have real roots. Indeed, if  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|a| \geq 1$  then  $a^{2g} + a \geq 0$  and therefore

$$\text{selm}_{2g}(a) = (a^{2g} + a) + 1 \geq 1 > 0.$$

If  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|a| < 1$  then  $a + 1 > 0$  and therefore

$$\text{selm}_{2g}(a) = a^{2g} + (a + 1) \geq a + 1 > 0.$$

Let us assume that  $g$  is *not* congruent to 1 mod 3. Then  $2g$  is *not* congruent to 2 mod 3 and therefore, by a theorem of Selmer [Sel56, Th. 1],  $\text{selm}_{2g}(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Notice that the coefficient of the trinomial  $\text{selm}_{2g}(x)$  at  $x$  and its constant term are relatively prime, square free and coprime to both  $2g$  and  $2g - 1$ . It follows from [NV] (see also [Os, Cor. 2 on p. 233] applied to  $a_0 = b_0 = c = 1, n = 2g$ ) that  $\text{Gal}(\text{selm}_{2g}(x)) = \mathbf{S}_{2g}$ .

It follows from Example 2.7 that if a positive integer  $g$  is *not* congruent to 1 mod 3 then

$$E = M_g := K_{\text{selm}_{2g}} = \mathbb{Q}[x] / \text{selm}_{2g}(x) \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

is a degree  $2g$  purely imaginary field that has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Now Theorem 2.3 gives us for all  $g$  that are not congruent to 1 mod 3, for every lattice  $\Delta$  in  $M$ , and for any isomorphism  $\Psi : M_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, a  $g$ -dimensional simple complex torus

$$T_{M_g, \Psi, \Delta} = (M_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) / \Delta$$

of algebraic dimension 0 with endomorphism algebra  $M_g$  and Picard number 0. Here the complex structure on the  $2g$ -dimensional real vector space  $M_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  is provided by a choice of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\Psi : M_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}^g$$

while  $\Delta$  is any free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of rank  $2g$  in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $M_g$ . For example, one may take as  $\Delta$  the image of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\text{selm}_{2g}\mathbb{Q}[x] = M_g$ .

Notice that if  $g \geq 5$  is *not* congruent to 1 mod 3 then  $g$ -dimensional simple complex tori  $T_{K_g, \Psi, \Lambda}$  (obtained from the truncated exponent) and  $T_{M_g, \Psi, \Delta}$  are *not* isogenous. Indeed, suppose that they are isogenous. Then their endomorphism algebras (which are actually number fields)  $K_g$  and  $M_g$  are isomorphic. It follows from [Os, the last assertion of Cor. 2 on p. 233] (applied to  $a_0 = b_0 = c = 1, n = 2g$ ) that all the ramification indices in the field extension  $M_g/\mathbb{Q}$  do not exceed 2. On the other hand, it is proven in [Zar03, Sect. 5] that there is a prime  $p$  that enjoys the following properties.

- $g + 1 \leq p \leq 2g + 1 := n - 1$ .
- One of ramification indices over  $p$  in the field extension  $K_g/\mathbb{Q}$  is divisible by  $p$ . In particular, this index

$$\geq p \geq g + 1 \geq 5 + 1 = 6 > 2.$$

This implies that number fields  $K_g$  and  $M_g$  are not isomorphic. The obtained contradiction proves that the tori  $T_{K_g, \Psi, \Lambda}$  and  $T_{M_g, \Psi, \Delta}$  are *not* isogenous.

**Polynomials with doubly transitive Galois group.** The following construction was inspired by so called *Mori polynomials* [Mori, Zar16]. As above,  $g \geq 2$  is an integer, hence  $2g - 1 \geq 3$ . Let us fix

- a prime divisor  $l$  of  $2g - 1$ ;
- a prime  $p$  that does *not* divide  $2g - 1$ ;
- an integer  $b$  that is *not* divisible by  $l$  and that is a primitive root mod  $p$ ;
- an integer  $c$  that is *not* divisible by  $l$ .

We call such a quadruple  $(l, p, b, c)$  *g-admissible*. Now let us consider a monic degree  $2g$  polynomial

$$f_g(x) = f_{g,l,p,b,c}(x) = x^{2g} - bx - \frac{pc}{l} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/l][x] \subset \mathbb{Q}[x]. \quad (10)$$

- Lemma 3.2.**
- (i) *The polynomial  $f_g(x) = f_{l,g,p,b,c}(x)$  is irreducible over the field  $\mathbb{Q}_l$  of  $l$ -adic numbers and therefore over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .*
  - (ii) *The polynomial  $f_g(x) \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  is a product  $x(x^{2g-1} - b \bmod p)$  of a linear factor  $x$  and an irreducible (over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ) degree  $2g - 1$  polynomial  $x^{2g-1} - (b \bmod p)$ .*
  - (iii) *Let  $\text{Gal}(f_g)$  be the Galois group of  $f_g(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  viewed as a transitive subgroup of  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_{f_g})$ .*

*Then transitive  $\text{Gal}(f_g)$  contains a permutation  $\sigma$  that is a cycle of length  $2g$ . In particular,  $\text{Gal}(f_g)$  is a doubly transitive permutation subgroup of  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_{f_g})$ .*

(iv) The polynomial  $f_g(x)$  has no real roots if and only if

$$c < \frac{l^l \left(\frac{b}{2g}\right)^{1/(2g-1)} \left(\frac{b}{2g} - 1\right)}{p}. \quad (11)$$

(v) Let  $\ell$  be a prime that divides  $b$ , does not divide  $2glp$ , and such that  $c$  is congruent to  $\ell$  modulo  $\ell^2$ . Then the discriminant of number field  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is divisible by  $\ell$ .

(vi) Let  $\ell$  be a prime that divides  $c$  and does not divide  $(2g-1)pb$ . Then the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is not divisible by  $\ell$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Replacing  $c$  by  $c - Nlp$  (respectively by  $c - Nlp\ell$ ) for sufficiently large positive integer  $N$ , we get a  $g$ -admissible quadruple with the same  $l, p, b$  that satisfies (11) (respectively enjoys property (v) or (vi) with the same  $\ell$  as well).

*Proof of Lemma 3.2.* (i) The  $l$ -adic Newton polygon of  $f_g(x)$  consists of one segment that connects  $(0, -l)$  and  $(2g, 0)$ , which are its only integer points, since prime  $l$  does not divide  $2g$ . Now the irreducibility of  $f_g(x)$  follows from Eisenstein–Dumas Criterion [Mott, Corollary 3.6, p. 316], [Gao, p. 502].

(ii) The conditions on  $b$  imply that for each divisor  $d > 1$  of  $2g-1$  the residue  $b \bmod p$  is not a  $d$ th power in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It follows from theorem 9.1 of [Lang, Ch. VI, Sect. 9] that the polynomial  $x^{2g-1} - (b \bmod p)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and therefore its Galois group over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is a cyclic group of order  $2g-1$ .

(iii) Let us consider the reduction

$$\bar{f}_g(x) = f_g(x) \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$$

of  $f_g(x)$  modulo  $p$ . Clearly,  $\bar{f}_g(x) = x(x^{2g-1} - (b \bmod p))$  is a product in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  of relatively prime linear  $x$  and irreducible  $x^{2g-1} - (b \bmod p)$ . This implies that  $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{f_g})/\mathbb{Q}$  is unramified at  $p$  and a corresponding Frobenius element in

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{f_g})/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}(f_g) \subset \text{Perm}(\mathcal{R}_{f_g})$$

is a cycle of length  $2g$ . This proves (iii).

(iv). Since  $f_g(x)$  has even degree and positive leading coefficient, it reaches its smallest value on  $\mathbb{R}$  at a certain real zero of its derivative  $f'_g(x) = 2gx^{2g-1} - b$ . The only real zero of  $f'_g(x)$  is  $\beta = (b/2g)^{2g-1}$ . Hence,  $f_g(x)$  has no real roots if and only if  $f_g(\beta) > 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_g(\beta) &= \beta^{2g} - b\beta - \frac{pc}{l^l} = \left(\frac{b}{2g}\right)^{2g/2g-1} - b\frac{b^{1/(2g-1)}}{2g} - \frac{pc}{l^l} = \\ &= \frac{b^{1/(2g-1)}}{2g} \left(\frac{b}{2g} - 1\right) - \frac{pc}{l^l}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $f_g(\beta) > 0$  if and only if

$$\left(\frac{b}{2g}\right)^{1/(2g-1)} \left(\frac{b}{2g} - 1\right) > \frac{pc}{l^l},$$

i.e.,

$$c < \frac{l^l}{p} \left(\frac{b}{2g}\right)^{1/(2g-1)} \left(\frac{b}{2g} - 1\right).$$

This proves (iv).

(v)-(vi). The formula for the discriminant of a trinomial [FS, Example 834] tells us that the discriminant  $\text{Discr}(f_g)$  of  $f_g(x)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Discr}(f_g) &= (-1)^{g(2g-1)}(2g)^{2g} \left(\frac{pc}{l^l}\right)^{2g-1} + (-1)^{(2g-1)(g-1)}(2g-1)^{2g-1}b^{2g} = \\ & \pm \left(\frac{p}{l^l}\right)^{2g-1} (2g)^{2g} c^{2g-1} \mp (2g-1)^{2g-1} b^{2g}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Notice that there is a nonzero rational number  $r$  such that the discriminant  $\text{Discr}(\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g(x)\mathbb{Q}[x])$  of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is given by the formula

$$r^2 \cdot \text{Discr}(\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g\mathbb{Q}[x]) = \text{Discr}(f_g), \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}[1/l]. \quad (13)$$

In the case of (v), there are integers  $c_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$c = \ell(1 + c_1\ell), \quad b = \ell b_1.$$

It follows from (12) that

$$\text{Discr}(f_g) = \ell^{2g-1} \cdot u_1 + \ell^{2g} u_2$$

where  $u_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[1/l]$  is an  $\ell$ -adic unit and  $u_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is an integer. This implies that  $\text{Discr}(f) = \ell^{2g-1}u$  where  $u \in \mathbb{Q}$  is an  $\ell$ -adic unit. Since  $2g-1$  is odd, it follows from (13) that the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is divisible by  $\ell$ . This proves (v).

In the case of (vi), it follows from (12) that

$$\text{Discr}(f_g) = \ell^{2g-1}v_1 + v_2$$

where  $v_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[1/l]$  is an  $\ell$ -adic unit and  $v_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is an integer *not* divisible by  $\ell$ . This implies that  $\text{Discr}(f_g)$  is an  $\ell$ -adic unit. Since  $\ell \neq l$ , it follows from (13) that the discriminant of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$  is *not* divisible by  $\ell$ . This proves (vi).  $\square$

Now assume that we have chosen  $c$  in such a way that inequality (11) holds. It follows from Proposition 2.5 combined with Remark 2.6 and Lemma 3.2 that

$$E = L_g = L_{g,l,p,b,c} := \mathbb{Q}[x]/f_{g,l,p,b,c}(x)\mathbb{Q}[x]$$

is a degree  $2g$  purely imaginary field that has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Now Theorem 2.3 gives us for all  $g \geq 2$ , for every lattice  $\Pi$  in  $E$ , and for any isomorphism  $\Psi : L_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{C}^g$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, a  $g$ -dimensional simple complex torus

$$T_g = T_{g,l,p,b,c} = T_{L_g, \Psi, \Pi} = (L_g \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}) / \Pi \cong \mathbb{C}^g / \Psi(\Pi)$$

of algebraic dimension 0 with endomorphism algebra  $L_{g,l,p,b,c}$  and Picard number 0. For example, one may take as  $\Pi$  the image of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/f_g(x)\mathbb{Q}[x] = L_g$ .

**A construction of a countable set of mutually non-isogenous simple  $g$ -dimensional complex tori of algebraic dimension zero with Picard number 0.**

So, let  $g \geq 2$  be an integer. Let us choose a  $g$ -admissible quadruple  $(l, p, b, c)$  that satisfies (11) and get a simple  $g$ -dimensional complex torus  $T^{(1)} = T_{g,l,p,b,c}$  of algebraic dimension  $g$ . Suppose that  $n$  is a positive integer and we have already constructed  $n$  non-isogenous complex tori

$$T^{(k)} = T_{g,l,p,b_k,c_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n$$

where each  $(l, p, b_k, c_k)$  is a  $g$ -admissible quadruple that satisfies (11). In particular, the endomorphism algebra of  $T^{(k)}$  is isomorphic to number field  $L_{g,l,p,b_k,c_k}$ .

Let us choose

- a prime  $\ell \neq l, p$  that is unramified in all number fields  $L_{g,l,p,b_k,c_k}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), i.e., does not divide the discriminant of any  $L_{g,l,p,b_k,c_k}$ ;
- an integer  $b_{n+1}$  that is *not* divisible by  $l$  and is a primitive root mod  $p$ .

Assume additionally, that  $b_{n+1}$  is divisible by  $\ell$ . Since all three primes  $l, p, \ell$  are distinct, such a  $b_{n+1}$  does exist, thanks to Chinese Remainder Theorem. Now let us choose an integer  $c_{n+1}$  that is not divisible by  $l$ , congruent to  $\ell$  modulo  $\ell^2$ , and satisfies (11). Clearly, such a  $c_{n+1}$  does exist. It is also clear that quadruple  $(l, p, b_{n+1}, c_{n+1})$  is  $g$ -admissible and we get a  $g$ -dimensional complex torus

$$T^{(n+1)} = T_{g,l,p,b_{n+1},c_{n+1}}$$

of algebraic dimension 0, whose endomorphism algebra is the field  $L_{g,l,p,b_{n+1},c_{n+1}}$ . By Lemma 3.2(v), the field extension  $L_{g,l,p,b_{n+1},c_{n+1}}/\mathbb{Q}$  is ramified at  $\ell$ . Our choice of  $\ell$  implies that  $L_{g,l,p,b_{n+1},c_{n+1}}$  is not isomorphic to any of  $L_{g,l,p,b_k,c_k}$  with  $k \leq n$ . It follows that  $T^{(n+1)}$  is *not* isogenous to any of  $T^{(k)}$  with  $k \leq n$ .

#### 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2.3 AND 2.8

If  $X$  is a complex torus then we write  $D(X)$  for its endomorphism algebra  $\text{End}^0(X) = \text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , which is a finite-dimensional (not necessarily semisimple)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. The following assertion is an almost immediate corollary of [OZ, Corollary 1.7 on p. 15]

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $T$  be a positive-dimensional complex torus and  $E$  be a number field of degree  $2 \dim(T)$  that is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of  $D(T)$  with the same 1. Suppose that at least one of the following conditions holds.*

- (i)  $D(T) = E$ .
- (ii)  $E$  has no proper subfields except  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then

- (1)  $T$  is a simple torus and  $D(T) = E$ .
- (2) If  $E$  is not a CM field then  $T$  has algebraic dimension 0.

**Remark 4.2.** *If  $g = \dim(T) > 1$  and condition (ii) holds then property (2) holds, because a degree  $2g$  CM field contains a (totally) real subfield of degree  $g$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [OZ, Corollary 1.7 on p. 15]<sup>1</sup> that there are a simple complex torus  $S$  and a positive integer  $r$  such that

- (1)  $r$  divides  $2 \dim(T)$ ;
- (2)  $T$  is isogenous to  $S^r$ ;
- (3)

$$[D(S) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \dim(S); \quad (14)$$

- (4) field  $E$  contains a subfield,  $\text{HDG}(T)$ , that is isomorphic to  $D(S)$  and

$$r = \frac{2 \dim(T)}{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{HDG}(T))}. \quad (15)$$

This implies that  $D(T)$  is isomorphic to the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}_r(D(S))$  of size  $r$  over  $D(S)$ . In particular,  $D(T)$  is not a field if  $r > 1$ . This implies readily that in case (i)  $r = 1$  and therefore  $T$  is isogenous to simple  $S$  and therefore is simple itself.

Let us consider the case (ii). The absence of intermediate subfields in  $E$  implies that either  $D(S) = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $D(S) \cong E$ . In light of (14),  $[D(S) : \mathbb{Q}]$  is even, which implies that  $D(S) \cong E$  and, therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{HDG}(T)) = [D(S) : \mathbb{Q}] = [E : \mathbb{Q}] = 2g = 2 \dim(T). \quad (16)$$

It follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{HDG}(T)) = 2 \dim(T)$ . Now (15) implies that  $r = 1$ , hence,  $T$  is isogenous to simple  $S$  and, therefore, is a simple torus itself. In addition,

$$D(T) \cong D(S) \cong E. \quad (17)$$

So, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $D(T)$  is isomorphic to its subfield  $E$  and therefore coincides with  $E$ .

Now assume that  $E$  is not a CM field. Suppose that  $T$  has positive algebraic dimension. Then ([BL, Ch. 2, Sect. 6]) the simplicity of  $T$  implies that  $T$  itself is algebraic, i.e., is an abelian variety, which is simple. It follows from (17) that the endomorphism algebra of abelian

---

<sup>1</sup>There is a typo in the assertion 2 of this Corollary. Namely, one should read in the displayed formula  $[D(S) : \mathbb{Q}]$  (not  $[E : \mathbb{Q}]$ ).

variety  $T$  is isomorphic to  $E$ . Since  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \dim(T) = 2g$ , Albert's classification of endomorphism algebras of simple complex abelian varieties [Mum, Section 1, Application I] implies that  $E$  is a CM field. The obtained contradiction proves that the algebraic dimension of  $T$  is 0.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.8.* Since condition (i) of Lemma 4.1 holds,  $T$  is a simple complex torus of positive dimension  $g$ . We are given that

$$E = D(T) = \text{End}^0(T)$$

is a number field of degree  $2g$  that does not contain a subfield of degree  $g$ . The absence of degree  $g$  subfields implies that  $E$  is *not* a CM field (see Remark 4.2). It follows from Lemma 4.1 that  $T$  has algebraic dimension 0.

Suppose that  $\text{NS}(T) \neq \{0\}$ . Then there exists a holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ , whose first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  gives rise to a *nonzero* morphism of complex tori

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : T \rightarrow T^{\vee}$$

where the  $g$ -dimensional complex torus  $T^{\vee} = \text{Pic}^0(T)$  is the dual of  $T$  (see [BL, Ch. 2, Sect. 3]). Since  $T$  is simple and both  $T$  and  $T^{\vee}$  have the same dimension  $g$ , morphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}$  is an *isogeny* of complex tori. This means that  $T$  is a *nondegenerate* complex torus [BL, Ch. 2, Prop. 3.1] in the terminology of [BL]. Let

$$\text{End}^0(T) \rightarrow \text{End}^0(T), \quad u \mapsto u'$$

be the *Rosati involution* attached to  $\mathcal{L}$  [BL, Ch. 2, Sect. 3]. If it is nontrivial then the subalgebra of its invariants is a degree  $g$  subfield of  $E = \text{End}^0(T)$ . However, by our assumption, such a subfield does not exist. This implies that the Rosati involution is the identity map. It follows from [BL, Ch. 5, Prop. 1.2, last assertion] that  $2g = [E : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $g$ , which is nonsense. The obtained contradiction implies that  $c_1(\mathcal{L})$  is always 0, i.e.,  $\text{NS}(T) = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* We maintain the notation of Theorem 2.3. Let us put  $T := T_{E, \Psi, \Lambda}$  and consider its endomorphism algebra

$$D(T) = \text{End}(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let us consider

$$O := \{u \in E \mid u \cdot \Lambda \subset \Lambda\} \subset E. \quad (18)$$

Clearly,  $O$  is an *order* in  $E$ . Multiplications by elements of  $O$  in  $E_{\mathbb{R}}$  give rise to the ring embedding

$$O \hookrightarrow \text{End}(T), \quad (19)$$

which extends by  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearity to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra embedding

$$E = O \otimes \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(T) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = D(T). \quad (20)$$

This allows us to view  $E$  as a certain  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra of  $D(T)$ . Note that  $1 \in E$  is mapped to  $id \in D(T)$ . Recall that

$$[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 2g = 2 \dim(T).$$

Applying Lemma 4.1(1), we conclude that  $T$  is simple and  $D(T) = E$ .

Recall that  $\dim(T) \geq 2$ . Applying Lemma 4.1(2) to  $T$  and taking into account Remark 4.2, we obtain that the algebraic dimension of  $T$  is 0. It follows from Theorem 2.8 that  $\text{NS}(T) = \{0\}$ . □

## 5. $\mathbb{P}^1$ -BUNDLES

The goal of this section is to give an overview of some results of [BZ20] that will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.6. We collect those facts, whose proofs did not depend on the assumption that  $Y$ , the base of a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, Y)$ , is poor.

We assume that all complex manifolds under consideration are complex, connected, and compact. We use the following notation and assumptions, same as in [BZ20].

### Notation and Assumptions 1.

- (1)  $\text{Aut}(X)$  stands for the group of all automorphisms of a complex manifold  $X$ .
- (2)  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  stands for the connected identity component of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  (as a complex Lie group).
- (3) If  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of complex manifolds, then  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is the subgroup of all  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$  such that  $p \circ f = p$ .
- (4)  $\cong$  stands for “isomorphic groups” (or isomorphic complex Lie groups if the groups involved are the ones), and  $\sim$  for biholomorphically isomorphic complex manifolds.
- (5)  $id$  stands for identity automorphism.
- (6)  $\mathbb{P}_{(x_0 : \dots : x_n)}^n$  stands for  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 : \dots : x_n)$ .
- (7)  $\mathbb{C}_z, \overline{\mathbb{C}}_z \sim \mathbb{P}^1$  is the complex line (extended complex line, respectively) with coordinate  $z$ .
- (8)  $\mathbb{C}^+$  and  $\mathbb{C}^*$  stand for complex Lie groups  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^*$  with additive and multiplicative group structure, respectively.
- (9) A rational curve in  $X$  is the image of non-constant holomorphic map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ .
- (10)  $\mathbf{1}$  or  $\mathbf{1}_Y$  is a trivial line bundle over a manifold  $Y$ ;
- (11) For a rank 2 vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $Y$  we write  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  for the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle that is the projectivization of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

In [BZ20] we considered equidimensional rational bundles, i.e., triples  $(X, p, Y)$  such that



- $X, Y$  are compact connected complex manifolds endowed with a holomorphic map  $p : X \rightarrow Y$
- if  $P_y := p^{-1}(y)$  then  $\dim P_y = 1$  for every  $y \in Y$ ;
- for a general  $y \in Y$  the fiber  $p^*(y)$  is reduced and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  (where *general* means outside an analytic subset of  $Y$ ).

In particular, if for every  $y \in Y$  the fiber  $P_y \sim \mathbb{P}^1$  then, by a theorem of W. Fischer and H. Grauert ([FG]),  $X$  is a holomorphically locally trivial fiber bundle over  $Y$ , and we call it a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle.

In [BZ20] proven was

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be an equidimensional rational bundle over a poor manifold  $Y$ . Then:*

- $(X, p, Y)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle ;
- $\text{Bim}(X) = \text{Aut}(X)$ ;
- The restriction homomorphism  $\text{Aut}(X)_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P_y)$ ,  $f \rightarrow f|_{P_y}$  is a group embedding. Here  $P_y = p^{-1}(y)$  for any point  $y \in Y$  .

Assume additionally that  $Y$  is Kähler and  $X$  is not bimeromorphic to the direct product  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then:

- The connected identity component  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  of complex Lie group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is **commutative**;
- Group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is very Jordan. Namely, there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1, \quad (21)$$

where  $F$  is a bounded group;

- Commutative group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  sits in a short exact sequence of complex Lie groups

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1, \quad (22)$$

where  $H$  is a complex torus and one of the following conditions holds :

- $\Gamma = \{id\}$ , the trivial group;
- $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^+$ , the additive group of complex numbers;
- $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ , the multiplicative group of complex numbers.

Further on we consider  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over a non-uniruled Kähler manifold  $Y$  ( instead of poor  $Y$ ) and study the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of biholomorphic automorphisms of  $X$ .

**Definition 5.2.** *Following [Fu81], we define a covering family of rational curves for a compact complex connected manifold  $X$  as a pair of morphisms  $p : Z \rightarrow T$  and  $\psi : Z \rightarrow X$  of compact irreducible complex spaces if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $\psi$  is surjective;
- (2) there is a dense Zariski open subset  $U \subset T$  such that for  $t \in U$ , the fiber  $Z_t = g^{-1}(t) \sim \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\dim \psi(Z_t) = 1$ .

The existence of covering family of rational curves is equivalent to the following condition: there is a compact complex manifold  $N$  and a holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank 2 over  $N$  such that there exists a dominant morphism from the projectivization  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  onto  $X$ . Manifolds with this property are called **uniruled**.

If  $(X, p, Y)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a non-uniruled Kähler manifold  $Y$  then  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is, by definition, a maximal rational connected (MRC) fibration of  $X$  (see [C92, Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.8] and [Kol, IV.5] for the definition and discussion).

Bimeromorphic self-maps preserve the MRC-fibration. This is a well-known fact, but we have not found the reference for the proof of this fact in complex analytic case. We provide it here. In case when the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(Y) \geq 0$ , the desired result follows from [Mob, Theorem 1.1.5].

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $X, Y, Z$  be three complex compact connected manifolds,  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $q : X \rightarrow Z$  be surjective holomorphic maps. Assume that*

- $Z$  is non-uniruled ;
- there is a Zariski open dense subset  $U \subset Y$ , such that  $P_u = p^{-1}(u) \sim \mathbb{P}^1$  for every  $u \in U$ .

*Then there is a meromorphic map  $\tau : Y \dashrightarrow Z$  such that  $\tau \circ p = q$ , i.e., the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \swarrow p & & \searrow q \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Z \end{array},$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow Y \times Z$  be defined by  $\Phi(x) = (p(x), q(x))$ . The image  $T = \Phi(X)$  is an irreducible compact analytic subspace of  $Y \times Z$  (see, e.g. [Nar, Theorem 2, Chapter 7]) We denote by  $pr_Y$  and  $pr_Z$  the natural projections of  $T$  on the first and second factor, respectively. Both projections are evidently surjective. The set

$$T_1 = \{(y, z) \in T \mid \dim \Phi^{-1}(y, z) > 0\}$$

is an analytic subset of  $T \subset Y \times Z$  ([Re],[Fi, Theorem 3.6]). Projections  $T_Y = pr_Y(T_1) \subset Y$ , and  $T_Z = pr_Z(T_1) \subset Z$  of  $T_1$  to the first and the second factor are analytic subsets of  $Y$  and  $Z$ , respectively, ([Re], [Nar, Theorem 2, Chapter VII]).

If  $T_Y \neq Y$  then  $V := (Y \setminus T_Y) \cap U$  is a Zariski open dense subset of  $Y$ . For each  $y \in V$  we have  $p^{-1}(y) \sim \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\dim q(p^{-1}(y)) > 0$ . Thus the pair  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $q : X \rightarrow Z$  would provide a covering family for  $Z$ , which is impossible, since  $Z$  is not uniruled. Thus  $T_Y = Y$

Take  $u \in U$ . Since  $T_Y = Y$  there is  $z \in Z$  such that  $(u, z) \in T$  and  $\dim \Phi^{-1}(u, z) \geq 1$ .

Moreover,

$$\Phi^{-1}(u, z) = \{x : p(x) = u, q(x) = z\} \subset P_u \subset X.$$

Since  $P_u \sim \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\dim \Phi^{-1}(u, z) \geq 1$ , we have  $P_u = \Phi^{-1}(u, z)$ . Hence  $q|_{P_u} = z$  for every  $u \in U$  and there is only one  $z \in Z$  such that  $(u, z) \in T$ . Thus,

- (1)  $T$  is an irreducible connected subset of  $Y \times Z$ ;
- (2)  $\dim T = \dim Y$ ;
- (3) for every  $u \in U$  there is only one  $z \in Z$  such that  $(u, z) \in T$ .

It follows that  $T$  is the graph of a meromorphic map that we denote as  $\tau$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $(X, p_X, Y)$  and  $(W, p_W, Y)$  be two  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over a non-ruled (compact connected) manifold  $Y$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow W$  be a surjective meromorphic map.*

*Then there exists a meromorphic map  $\tau(f) : Y \rightarrow Y$  that may be included into the following commutative diagram.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ p_X \downarrow & & p_W \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\tau(f)} & Y \end{array} \quad (23)$$

Moreover, if  $f$  is holomorphic, so is  $\tau(f)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be such a modification of  $X$  that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ & \swarrow a & \searrow b \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & W \end{array},$$

where  $b : \tilde{X} \rightarrow W$  is a holomorphic map (it always exists, [Pe, Theorem 1.9]).

Consider holomorphic maps  $\tilde{p}_X := p_X \circ a : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  and  $\tilde{f} := p_W \circ b : \tilde{X} \rightarrow W$ . We apply Lemma 5.3 to  $\tilde{X}, Y = Z$  and  $\tilde{p}_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$  and  $\tilde{f} := \tilde{X} \rightarrow W$ , and obtain the map  $\tau(\tilde{f})$  that may be included into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ & \swarrow a & \searrow b \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ \downarrow p_{r_X} & & \downarrow p_{r_W} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\tau(\tilde{f})} & Y \end{array}.$$

If  $f$  is holomorphic, one may take  $\tilde{X} = X$  and  $U = Y$  (in notation of Lemma 5.3). Thus,  $\tau(f)$  will be defined at every point of  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *For a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, Y)$  over a non-uniruled (complex connected compact) manifold  $Y$  there is a group homomorphism  $\tau : \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y)$  such that*

$$p \circ f = \tau(f) \circ p$$

for every  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$ .

We will use following facts concerning the automorphism groups of compact complex manifolds.

**FACTS.**

1. If  $Y$  is a connected complex compact manifold then the group  $\text{Aut}(Y)$  admits a structure of a complex (not necessarily connected) Lie group and the action map  $\text{Aut}(Y) \times Y \rightarrow Y$  is holomorphic (Theorem of Bochner-Montgomery, [BM]).
2. If  $Y$  is a non-uniruled compact complex Kähler manifold then the complex Lie subgroup  $\text{Aut}_0(Y)$  is isomorphic to a certain complex torus  $\text{Tor}(Y)$  [Fu78, Proposition 5.11]).
3. As it was mentioned Section 1, if  $Y$  is a connected compact complex Kähler manifold and  $F = \text{Aut}(Y)/\text{Aut}_0(Y)$ , then  $F$  is bounded ([BZ20, Proposition 2.1]).
4. If  $Y$  is Kähler non-uniruled, then the restriction group homomorphism

$$\tau \big|_{\text{Aut}_0(X)} : \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow \tau(\text{Aut}_0(X))$$

is a meromorphic map. In particular,  $\tau$  is a holomorphic homomorphism of complex Lie groups and  $\tau(\text{Aut}_0(X))$  is a complex Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Y)$  (A. Fujiki [Fu78, Lemma 2.4, 3]).

Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over a compact complex connected non-uniruled manifold  $Y$ , i.e.,

- $X, Y$  are compact connected manifolds;
- $Y$  is non-uniruled;
- $p : X \rightarrow Y$  is a holomorphic map
- $X$  is a holomorphically locally trivial fiber bundle over  $Y$  with fiber  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and with the corresponding projection  $p : X \rightarrow Y$ .

Let  $P_y$  stand for the fiber  $p^{-1}(y)$ . We call the covering  $Y = \cup U_i$  by open subsets of  $Y$  *fine* if for every  $i$  there exist an isomorphism  $\phi_i$  of  $V_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$  to the direct product  $U_i$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1_{(x_i:y_i)}$  such that  $(u, z_i)$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $z_i = \frac{x_i}{y_i} \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  are local coordinates in  $V_i := p^{-1}(U_i) \subset X$ .

**Definition 5.6.** *A  $n$ -section  $S$  of  $p$  is a codimension 1 analytic subset  $D \subset X$  such that the intersection  $X \cap P_y$  is finite for every  $y \in Y$  and consists of  $n$  distinct point for a general  $y \in Y$ . A bisection is a 2-section intersecting every fiber at two distinct points. . A section*

$S$  of  $p$  is a 1-section. A section  $S$  is defined by the set  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_i(y)\}$  of functions  $a_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  such that  $p(y, a_i(y)) = id$ ,  $y \in U_i$ . We will denote this by  $S = \mathbf{a}$ . We say that three sections  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  in  $X$  are a good configuration if  $S_1 \cap S_2 = S_1 \cap S_3 = \emptyset$  and  $S_2 \cap S_3 \neq \emptyset$ .

We summarize in Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8 below those facts about non-identity automorphisms  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  that were proved for the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, Y)$  in [BZ20] without use of the assumption that  $Y$  is poor.

**Lemma 5.7.** [BZ20] *Assume that  $X \not\sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ ,  $f \neq id$ . Let  $F$  be the set of all fixed points of  $f$ .*

*Then one of three following cases holds.*

**Case A.** *The set  $F$  consists of exactly two disjoint sections  $S_1, S_2$  of  $p$ . We say that  $f$  is of type **A** with data  $(S_1, S_2)$ , an ordered pair.*

*In this case*

- *one can define local coordinates  $(u, z_i)$  in  $V_i$  such that  $S_1 \cap V_i = \{z_i = 0\}$ ,  $S_2 \cap V_i = \{z_i = \infty\}$ ;*
- *$z_j = \lambda_{ij} z_i$ , where  $\lambda_{ij}$  are non-vanishing holomorphic functions in  $U_i \cap U_j$ . The line bundle defined by  $\lambda_{ij}$  we denote  $\mathcal{L}_f$ .*
- *If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}_f \oplus \mathbf{1}$ , then  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ , here  $\mathcal{E}$  appears to be a rank two vector bundle over  $Y$ ;*
- *Defined is the number  $\lambda_f \in \mathbb{C}^*$  such that in every  $V_i$*

$$f(u, z_i) = (u, \lambda_f z_i);$$

- *If  $G_0 \subset \text{Aut}(X)_p$  be the subgroup of all  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  such that  $f(S_1) = S_1$ ,  $f(S_2) = S_2$ , then  $G_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ ;*
- *the restriction  $f \rightarrow f|_{P_y}$  defines a group embedding of  $G_0$  into  $\text{Aut}(P_y)$ .*

**Case B.** *The set  $F$  contains precisely one section  $S$  of  $p$ . We say that  $f$  is of type **B** with data  $S$ . In this case*

- *one can define coordinates in  $V_i \sim U_i \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}_z$  such that  $S \cap V_i = \{z_i = \infty\}$ ;*
- *$z_j = \nu_{ij} z_i + \tau_{ij}$  where  $\tau_{ij}$  are holomorphic and  $\nu_{ij}$  are non-vanishing holomorphic functions in  $U_i \cap U_j$ .*
- *$\nu_{ij}$  define the line bundle  $\mathcal{M}_f$ ;*
- *$f(u, z_i) = (u, z_i + a_i(u))$ , where  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_i\}$  is a section of  $\mathcal{M}_f$ .*

*In this case  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is an extension of  $\mathbf{1}$  by  $\mathcal{M}_f$  :*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{24}$$

**Case C.** *The set  $F$  of all fixed points of non-identity map  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  coincides with a smooth unramified double cover  $S$  of  $Y$ . We will call such  $f$  an automorphism of type **C** with data  $S$ . Here  $S$  is a bisection of  $p$ .*

**Lemma 5.8.** (see [BZ20]) *Let  $S$  be a bisection of the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, Y)$ . Consider*

$$\tilde{X} := \tilde{X}_S := S \times_Y X = \{(s, x) \in S \times X \subset X \times X \ : p(s) = p(x)\}.$$

We denote the restriction of  $p$  to  $S$  by the same letter  $p$ , while  $p_X$  and  $\tilde{p}$  stand for the restrictions to  $\tilde{X}$  of natural projections  $S \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $S \times X \rightarrow S$  respectively. We write  $\text{inv} : S \rightarrow S$  for an involution (the only non-trivial deck transformation for  $p|_S$ ). Then  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{p}, S)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with the following properties:

a) *The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\ \tilde{p} \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ S \subset X & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \end{array} \quad (25)$$

- b)  $p_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is an unramified double cover of  $X$ ;  
c)  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $\tilde{X}$  over  $S$  has two disjoint sections:

$$S_+ := S_+(f) := \{(s, s) \in \tilde{X}, s \in S \subset X\}$$

and

$$S_- := S_-(f) := \{(s, \text{inv}(s)) \in \tilde{X}, s \in S \subset X\}.$$

*They are mapped onto  $S$  isomorphically by  $p_X$ .*

- d) *Every  $h \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  induces an automorphism  $\tilde{h} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}}$  defined by*

$$\tilde{h}(s, x) = (s, h(x)).$$

- e) *Involution  $s \rightarrow \text{inv}(s)$  may be extended from  $S$  to a holomorphic involution of  $\tilde{X}$  by*

$$\text{inv}(s, x) = (\text{inv}(s), x);$$

## 6. THE CASE OF TWO DISJOINT SECTIONS.

Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are compact connected complex manifolds. Assume that  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ ,  $f \neq \text{id}$  and  $f$  is of type **A**. Then there are two disjoint sections  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$  of  $p$  (namely the set of fixed points). Data  $(S_1, S_2)$  gives rise to a holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_p$  with transition functions  $\lambda_{ij}$  such that

- $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{L}_p \oplus \mathbf{1}$ ;
- $S_1$  is the zero section of  $\mathcal{L}_p$ ;
- $X \setminus S_2$  is the total body of  $\mathcal{L}_p$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4$  be 4 distinct sections of  $p$  such that  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $S_3 \cap S_4 = \emptyset$ . Then  $X \sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S_3 \cap (S_1 \cup S_2) = \emptyset$ , then  $X \sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Assume that  $X \not\cong Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then we may assume that  $S_3 \cap S_1 = D \subset S_1$ . Let  $S_3 \cap V_i = \{(y, z_i = p_i(y)), y \in U_i\}$ , where  $p_j = \lambda_{ij} p_i$  and  $S_4 \cap V_i = \{(y, z_i = q_i(y)), y \in U_i\}$ , where  $q_j = \lambda_{ij} q_i$ . Then  $r(y) := \frac{p_i(y)}{q_i(y)}$  defines a globally defined meromorphic function on  $Y$  that omits value 1 (since  $S_3 \cap S_4 = \emptyset$ ). Thus,  $r := r(y) = \text{constant}$ . But then  $q_i$  vanishes at  $D$  and  $S_3 \cap S_4 \supset D$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *Assume that  $X \not\cong Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $S_1, S_2$  be two sections of  $p$  such that  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ . Then one of the following holds*

- (1)  $f(S_1) \subset S_1 \cup S_2$ ;
- (2)  $f(S_2) \subset S_1 \cup S_2$ ;
- (3)  $f(S_1 \cup S_2) = S_1 \cup S_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S_3 = f(S_1), S_4 = f(S_2), y \in Y, z = \tau(f)(y)$ . We have  $f(S_1 \cap P_y) = S_3 \cap P_z$ . Thus,  $S_3$  meets fiber at a single point, hence, is a section. Similarly,  $S_4$  is a section. Since  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ , we have  $S_3 \cap S_4 = \emptyset$ . According to Lemma 6.1 it may happen only if the pairs  $(S_3, S_4)$  and  $(S_1, S_2)$  share a section. This may happen only if one of the sections of the pair  $(S_3, S_4)$  coincides with either  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ .  $\square$

Recall that (see Lemma 5.7)

the group  $G_0$  of all  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  with data  $(S_1, S_2)$  such that these sections are fixed is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (see Lemma 5.7).

Assume that line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$  has a section  $T \subset X$  defined by  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i(y)\}$ ,  $a_j(y) = \lambda_{ij}^2 a_i(y)$ .

Define

$$\phi_T : X \rightarrow X, \phi_T(y, z_i) = (y, \frac{a_i(y)}{z_i}).$$

The fixed point set  $\phi_T((y, z_i)) = (y, z_i)$  is defined as  $T \cap V_i = \{(z_i^2 = a_i)\}$ . If  $\phi_T \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ , then  $a_i$  do not vanish. In this case  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i\}$  provide a section of  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$  that does not meet the zero section, thus  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$  is a trivial bundle and we may define  $z_i$  in such a way that  $a_i = a = \text{const}$ . We will then write  $T = T_a$  and  $\phi_a := \phi_T$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are compact connected complex manifolds,  $X \not\cong Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $S_1, S_2$  be two sections of  $p$  such that  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_p$  be the corresponding holomorphic line bundle. Let*

- $G_1 \subset \text{Aut}(X)_p$  be the subgroup of all  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  such that  $f(S_1) = S_1$ ;
- $G_2 \subset \text{Aut}(X)_p$  be the subgroup of all  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  such that  $f(S_2) = S_2$ ;
- $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)_p$  be the subgroup of all  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  such that  $f(S_1 \cup S_2) = S_1 \cup S_2$ ;
- $F_1$  be the additive group of  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_p))$ .

- $F_2$  be the additive group of  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{L}_p^{-1}))$ .

Then

- (1)  $X$  does not admit a good configuration (see Definition 5.6) if and only if  $F_1 = F_2 = \{0\}$ ;
- (2)  $G_1 \cong \mathbb{C}^* \rtimes F_2$ ;
- (3)  $G_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^* \rtimes F_1$ ;
- (4) either  $G = G_0 = G_1 \cap G_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$  or  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$  is a trivial bundle and  $G = G_0 \sqcup \phi_a \cdot G_0$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in G_2$ . Since  $S_2 = \{z_i = \infty\}$  is  $f$ -invariant, we have

$$f(y, z) = (y, a_i z_i + b_i) \quad (26)$$

in  $V_i$ , where both  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are holomorphic functions in  $U_i$ . Since  $f$  is globally defined, we have

$$\lambda_{ij}(a_i z_i + b_i) = a_j \lambda_{ij} z_i + b_j.$$

It follows that  $a_i = a_j := a$  is constant (as globally defined holomorphic function) and  $b_j = \lambda_{ij} b_i$ , hence  $\mathbf{b} := \{b_i\}$  is a section of  $\mathcal{L}_p$ . On the other hand, every  $\mathbf{b} := \{b_i\}$  defines  $f \in G_2$  by formula (26). Thus,  $G_2$  is isomorphic to the group of matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & \mathbf{b} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in F_1$ . We also showed that if  $f \in G_2$  is defined by  $\mathbf{b} := \{b_i\} \neq 0$  then  $f(S_1) \neq S_1$ , and  $f(S_1) \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . If  $f(S_1) \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $S_1, f(S_1), S_2$  would be three pairwise disjoint sections, which contradicts to  $X \cong Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

Thus  $S_1, f(S_1), S_2$  is a good configuration.

Consider a good configuration  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  such that  $S_3 \cap S_2 = \emptyset, S_3 \cap S_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $S_3$  is a section of  $p$  and does not meet  $S_2$  it is defined by a section  $\mathbf{b} := \{b_i\}$  as  $z_i = b_i(y), y \in U_i$ . Thus,  $F_1 \neq \{0\}$ .

The case of  $G_1$  and sections that meet  $S_2$  but do not meet  $S_1$  may be treated in the same way, interchanging  $S_2$  with  $S_1$  and  $F_1$  with  $F_2$ . This proves (1-3).

Let us prove (4). If for all  $f \in G$  the points in  $(S_1 \cup S_2)$  are fixed then, by Lemma 5.7,  $G = G_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ . Let  $\phi \in G \setminus G_0$ . Then  $\phi(S_1) = S_2$  and  $\phi(S_2) = S_1$ . Thus,  $\phi(y, z_i) = \frac{a_i(y)}{z_i}$  in every  $V_i$  and

$$\lambda_{ij} \frac{a_i(y)}{z_i} = \frac{a_j(y)}{\lambda_{ij} z_i} \quad (27)$$

where  $a_i(y)$  are non-vanishing holomorphic functions in  $U_i$ . Thus  $\{a_i(y)\}$  define a section of  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$ . Since  $a_i(y)$  never vanish, we get that  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$  is trivial. Therefore, we may choose  $z_i$  in such a way that  $a_i = a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Then  $\phi = \phi_a$ .

For any other  $f \in G \setminus G_0$  the composition  $f \circ \phi \in G_0$ , hence  $G = G_0 \sqcup \phi_a \cdot G_0$ .  $\square$



**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are compact connected manifolds and  $X \not\sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Assume that  $p$  admits no good configurations of sections but admits two disjoint sections  $S_1, S_2$ . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1)  $\text{Aut}(X)_p \sim \mathbb{C}^*$ ;
- (2) *line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_p^{\otimes 2}$  is trivial and  $\text{Aut}(X)_p = G_0 \sqcup \phi_a \cdot G_0$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Here  $G_0 \sim \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$*

The restriction map  $\text{Aut}(X)_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P_y)$ ,  $f \rightarrow f|_{P_y}$  is a group embedding.

*Proof.* It follows from Proposition 6.3 that  $F_1 = F_2 = \{0\}$ , thus  $\text{Aut}(X)_p = G$ .  $\square$

## 7. THE CASE OF NO SECTIONS

Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle where  $X, Y$  are complex compact connected manifolds. Assume that  $X \not\sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p, f \neq id$  has type **C**. The analytic subset  $F \subset X$  of all fixed points of  $f$  contains no sections, but contains a bisection  $S$  that is a smooth unramified double cover of  $Y$  ( see Lemma 5.7). Further on we use notation of Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.8.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Assume that  $\tilde{X} := \tilde{X}_S \not\sim S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $N \subset \tilde{X}$  be a section of  $\tilde{p}$  distinct from  $S_+$  and  $S_-$ . Then  $N_X := p_X(N)$  is a section of  $p$  and  $(S_+, S_-, N)$  is not a good configuration.*

*Proof.* Let us show that  $p_X : N \rightarrow N_X$  is a double cover. Indeed, assume that it is not the case. Then  $p_X^{-1}(N_X) = N \cup N_1$  contains two irreducible components. Moreover, since  $p_X$  is unramified,  $N \cap N_1 = \emptyset$ . It follows that there are two distinct pairs of non-intersecting sections of  $\tilde{p}$ , thus, by Lemma 6.1  $\tilde{X} \sim S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Contradiction. It follows that  $N$  is a double cover of  $N_X$ . Let  $s \in S, y = p(s) = p(\text{inv}(s))$ . Then

$$p_X^{-1}(N_X \cap P_y) = N \cap p_X^{-1}(P_y) = N \cap (\tilde{p}^{-1}(s) \cup \tilde{p}^{-1}(\text{inv}(s)))$$

contains two points (since  $N$  meets every fiber of  $\tilde{p}$  at a single point.) Since  $N$  is double cover of  $N_X$  it means that  $(N_X \cap P_y)$  contains one point, thus is a section of  $p$ . Assume that  $N$  meets  $S_+$  at point  $a = (s, s) \in \tilde{X}, s \in S$ . Then it meets  $S_-$  at point  $\text{inv}(a) = (\text{inv}(s), s)$  since  $p_X(a) = p_X(\text{inv}(a))$ . Thus,  $N$  meets both  $S_+$  and  $S_-$  and the configuration is not good.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.2.** *Assume that  $(X, p, Y)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle that admits a non-identity automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  of type **C** with data  $S$ . Assume that the corresponding double cover  $\tilde{X}_S \not\sim S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then*

- (1) *one of the following holds:*
  - $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}} \sim \mathbb{C}^*$ ;
  - $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}} = \tilde{G}_0 \sqcup \phi_a \cdot \tilde{G}_0$ , where  $\tilde{G}_0 \sim \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}}$  interchanges  $S_+$  with  $S_-$ .

- (2) *The restriction map  $\text{Aut}(X)_p \rightarrow \text{Aut}(P_y)$ ,  $f \rightarrow f|_{P_y}$  is a group embedding for every  $y \in Y$ .*
- (3) *the map  $h \mapsto \tilde{h}$  is a group embedding of  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  to  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since, by Lemma 7.1 there are no good configurations in  $\tilde{X}_f$ , items (1).(2) follow from Proposition 6.3. Let  $t \in Y, t = p(u), u \in S$ . If  $f|_{P_t} = id$ , then, by construction,

- $\tilde{f}|_{P_u} = id$ , hence
- $\tilde{f} = id$ , according to item (2), hence
- $\tilde{f}|_{P_s} = id$  for every  $s \in S$ , hence
- $f|_{P_y} = id$  for  $y = p(s) \in Y$ .

Hence  $f$  is uniquely determined by its restriction on the fiber  $P_t = p^{-1}(t)$ . This proves (2).

On the other hand, in (2) was shown that  $\tilde{h} = id$  implies  $f|_{P_y} = id$  for every  $y \in Y$ , i.e.  $h = id$ . Therefore  $h \mapsto \tilde{h}$  is an embedding. This proves (3).  $\square$

Clearly, the maps  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}}$  of type **A** having the same Data differ only by the coefficient  $\lambda_{\tilde{f}} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Assume that  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ ,  $f \neq id$ , and  $f$  is of type **C** with Data (bisection)  $S$ .*

(1) *If the corresponding double cover (see case **C**)  $\tilde{X} := \tilde{X}_S$  is not isomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$  then group  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  has exponent 2 and consists of 2 or 4 elements.*

(2) *If  $\tilde{X}$  is isomorphic to  $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$  then there are two sections  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$  of  $p$ . Moreover, if  $X \not\cong Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$  then  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is a disjoint union of its abelian complex Lie subgroup  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^*$  of index 2 and its coset  $\Gamma'$ . Subgroup  $\Gamma$  consists of those  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  that fix  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Coset  $\Gamma'$  consists in those  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  that interchange  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Moreover, the homomorphism  $f \rightarrow f|_{P_y}$  is an embedding for every  $y \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a modification of the proof of [BZ20, Lemma 4.7].

Choose a point  $a \in S$ . Let  $b = p(a) \in Y$ . It means that  $a$  is one of two points in  $S \cap P_b$ . The lift  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  onto  $\tilde{X}$  has type **A** with Data  $(S_+, S_-) \subset \tilde{X}$ . It is defined uniquely by its restriction to  $P_a$  (see Proposition 6.3). For the corresponding holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{f}}$  we may assume that  $S_+$  is a zero section. Let

- $\tilde{U}_j$  be a fine covering of  $S$ ;
- $(u, z_j)$  be the local coordinates in  $\tilde{V}_j = \tilde{p}^{-1}(\tilde{U}_j)$ , where  $z_j|_{S_+} = 0, z_j|_{S_-} = \infty$ ;
- $a \in \tilde{U}_i$ ,  $\text{inv}(a) \in \tilde{U}_k$  and  $\tilde{U}_k \cap \tilde{U}_i = \emptyset$ ;
- $b = p(a) = p(\text{inv}(a)) \in Y$ .

It was shown in [BZ20, Lemma 4.7] that

A. If we define the isomorphism  $\alpha : \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{z_i} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{z_k}$  in such a way that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_b & \xrightarrow{(a, id)} & a \times P_b & \xrightarrow{z_i} & \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{z_i} \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\ P_b & \xrightarrow{(inv(a), id)} & inv(a) \times P_b & \xrightarrow{z_k} & \overline{\mathbb{C}}_{z_k} \end{array}, \quad (28)$$

then

$$z_k = \alpha(z_i) = \frac{\nu}{z_i}$$

for some  $\nu = \nu(a) \neq 0$ .

B. Consider an automorphism  $h \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ . Let  $\tilde{h}$  be its pullback to  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}}$  defined by  $\tilde{h}(s, x) = (s, h(x))$ . Let  $n_1(z_i) = \tilde{h}|_{\tilde{P}_a}$ , which means that  $h(a, z_i) = (a, n_1(z_i))$ . Let  $n_2(z_k) = \tilde{h}|_{\tilde{P}_{inv(a)}}$ , which means that  $h(inv(a), z_k) = (a, n_2(z_k))$ . Then

$$\frac{\nu}{n_1(z_i)} = \alpha(n_1(z_i)) = n_2(\alpha(z_i)) = n_2\left(\frac{\nu}{z_i}\right). \quad (29)$$

. Proof of (1). Assume that  $\tilde{X} \not\sim S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

According to Proposition 7.2 for every  $\tilde{h} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{X})_{\tilde{p}}$  either  $\tilde{h}(s, z_j) = \lambda z_j$ , or  $h(s, z_j) = \frac{\lambda}{z_j}$  in every  $\tilde{U}_j$  of our fine covering and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  does not depend on  $s$  or  $j$ .

Fix  $a \in S$ . According to item B one of following two conditions holds.

(a)  $n_1(z_i) = \lambda z_i$ ,  $n_2(z_k) = \lambda z_k$ ,  $z_k = \frac{\nu(a)}{z_i}$  and from (29)

$$\frac{\nu(a)}{\lambda z_i} = \lambda \frac{\nu(a)}{z_i}.$$

(b)  $n_1(z_i) = \frac{\lambda}{z_i}$ ,  $n_2(z_k) = \frac{\lambda}{z_k}$ ,  $z_k = \frac{\nu}{z_i}$  and from (29)

$$\frac{\nu z_i}{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda z_i}{\nu}.$$

In the former case  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , in the latter case  $\lambda = \pm \nu$ . Hence, at most 4 maps are possible. Clearly, the squares of all these maps are the identity map.

Note, that all the calculations are done for the fiber over point  $a$ . We use the fact that the map is defined by its restriction to a fiber. Apriori,  $\nu$  could depend on a fiber. But since  $\lambda$  does not, we got as a byproduct that the same is valid for  $\nu$ .

Proof of (2). Assume that  $\tilde{X} \sim S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $\zeta : S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be the projection on the second factor, let  $\zeta_1 = \zeta|_{S_+}$ ,  $\zeta_2 = \zeta|_{S_-}$ . Since  $S_+ \cap S_- = \emptyset$ , the function  $z = \frac{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}{\zeta_1 - \zeta_2}$  is well defined on  $\tilde{X}$ .

Since  $z = 0$  on  $S_+ = \{(s, s)\}$  and  $z = \infty$  on  $S_- = \{(s, \text{inv}(s))\}$  we may assume that  $z_j = z$  for all  $j$ . Recall that for every  $s$

$$\text{inv}(s, z) = (\text{inv}(s), \alpha(z)) = (\text{inv}(s), \frac{\nu(s)}{z}). \quad (30)$$

This implies that  $\nu(s)$  is a holomorphic function on  $S$ , hence  $\nu = \text{const}$ . From (30) we get that two disjoint sections  $N_1 = \{(s, z = \sqrt{\nu})\}$  and  $N_2 = \{(s, z = -\sqrt{\nu})\}$  (for some choice of  $\sqrt{\nu}$ ) are invariant under the involution, which means that their images are two disjoint sections  $S_1, S_2$ , respectively, in  $X$ .

Thus,  $X$  has two disjoint sections. Let us show that there is no good configuration in  $X$ . Assume that  $S_3$  is a third section (of  $p$ ) in  $X$ . On  $\tilde{S}_3 = p_X^{-1}(S_3) \subset \tilde{X}$  the function  $z$  is either constant or get all values in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . If it is constant, then  $X$  has three disjoint sections  $(S_1, S_2, S_3)$ , thus  $X = Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . If  $z$  takes on all the values on  $\tilde{S}_3$ , then  $S_3$  meets both  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , thus  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  is not a good configuration.

Now (2) follows from Corollary 6.4. □

## 8. THE CASE OF ONE SECTION

Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are compact connected complex manifolds and  $X \not\cong Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

Assume that  $X$  contains a section  $S$  of  $p$ . Assume that  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ ,  $f \neq \text{id}$ , is of type **B** and  $f(S) = S$ .

According to Lemma 5.7, in this case  $X \sim \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  where the rank two vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $Y$  sits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (31)$$

Here (as above)  $\mathbf{1}$  is the trivial line bundle and  $\mathcal{M}_S$  is a line bundle defined by cocycle  $\nu = \{\nu_{i,j}\}$ . More precisely, for a fine covering  $U_i$  of  $Y$  and in every  $V_i$  there are coordinates  $(y, z_i)$ ,  $z_i \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

- $S \cap V_i = \{z_i = \infty\}$ ;
- $z_j = \nu_{i,j} z_i + \tau_{i,j}$ , where  $\nu_{i,j}$  and  $\tau_{i,j}$  are holomorphic functions in  $U_i \cap U_j$ ;
- $f(y, z_i) = (y, z_i + a_i)$  where  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i(y)\}$  is a section of  $\mathcal{M}_S$ ;
- for every section  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i(y)\}$  the map  $f$  defined in  $V_i$  by

$$f(y, w_i) = (y, z_i + a_i) \quad (32)$$

is an element of  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$ .

Note that coefficient 1 at  $z_i$  in (32) reflects the fact that the corresponding map is of type **B**, i.e., has no other sections in the set of fixed points.

If  $\mathcal{M}_S = \mathbf{1}$ , then  $\{\nu_{i,j}\} = 1$ , every section  $\mathbf{a} = a \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant function on  $Y$ , and  $\{\tau_{i,j}\}$  define an element  $\mathbf{h} \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$ . Then

- Every  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  defines  $g_a \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  by

$$g_a(y, z_i) = (y, z_i + a)$$

- If  $\mathbf{h} = 0$ , then  $E$  and  $X$  are trivial vector bundle and  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, respectively.

If  $\mathcal{M}_S \neq \mathbf{1}$ , but  $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_S)) = \{0\}$ , then  $f = id$ .

Take now  $\mathcal{M}_S \neq \mathbf{1}$ , with  $V_S := H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_S)) \neq \{0\}$ . Every  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_i(t)\} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_S))$  provides a automorphism  $g_{\mathbf{a}} \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$ , defined by

$$g_{\mathbf{a}}(y, z_i) = (y, z_i + a_i)$$

in every  $V_i$ . Since

$$g_{\mathbf{a}} \circ g_{\mathbf{b}} = g_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$$

we have a subgroup  $G_S \subset \text{Aut}(X)_p = \{g_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{a} \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_S))\}$  of automorphisms of type  $\mathbf{B}$  for which section  $S$  is fixed. It is isomorphic to an additive group of  $V_S = (\mathbb{C}^+)^n$ , where  $n = \dim V_S$ . Thus if  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains an automorphism  $f \neq id$  of type  $\mathbf{B}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains a subgroup  $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{C}^+)^n, n > 0$  of the automorphisms of type  $\mathbf{B}$  with the same data  $S$  in the set of its fixed points.

We have proved

**Lemma 8.1.** *If  $X \not\sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and there is  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p, f \neq id$ , is of type  $\mathbf{B}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}^+)^n, n > 0$ .*

**Corollary 8.2.** *Assume that  $X \not\sim Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains an automorphism  $f \neq id$  of type  $\mathbf{B}$  with fixed section  $S$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains no automorphisms of type  $\mathbf{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains an automorphism of type  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then by Lemma 7.3  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is either finite or consists of two cosets isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ; in both cases  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  does not contain a Lie subgroup  $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{C}^+)^n$  with  $n > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are compact connected manifolds. Assume that  $p$  admits only a finite number  $n > 2$  of sections  $S_1, \dots, S_n$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Since a section is mapped by  $f$  onto a section, the set  $S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n$  is invariant under  $f$ .

If  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  leaves every  $S_i$  fixed, then (see Lemma 5.7)  $f = id$ . Thus,  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of the permutation group  $S_n$ , hence, is finite.  $\square$

9. STRUCTURE OF  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ 

We start with the following modification of [BZ20, Lemma 5.3].

**Lemma 9.1.** *Consider a short exact sequence of connected complex Lie groups:*

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{j} D \rightarrow 0.$$

Here  $i$  is a closed holomorphic embedding and  $j$  is surjective holomorphic. Assume that  $D$  is a complex torus and  $A$  is isomorphic as a complex Lie group either to  $(\mathbb{C}^+)^n$  or to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Then  $B$  is commutative.

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma coincides verbatim with the proof of [BZ20, Lemma 5.3] that is the same statement for  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.2.** *Let  $(X, p, Y)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $X, Y$  are complex compact connected manifolds,  $X$  is not biholomorphic to the direct product  $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $Y$  is Kähler and not uniruled. Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $p$  admits no sections;
- (2)  $p$  admits only a finite number  $n \geq 0$  of sections;
- (3)  $p$  admits two disjoint sections but admits no good configuration of sections.

Then:

- a) The connected identity component  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  of complex Lie group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is **commutative**;
- b) Group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is very Jordan. More precisely, there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1, \quad (33)$$

where  $F$  is a bounded group.

- c) Commutative group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  sits in a short exact sequence of complex Lie groups

$$1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1, \quad (34)$$

where  $H$  is a complex torus and one of the following conditions holds:

- $\Gamma = \{id\}$ , the trivial group;
- $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{C}^+)^n$ .
- $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ .

*Proof.* Consider cases.

**Case 1.** There are no sections of  $p$ . Then, by Lemma 7.3,  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is finite.

**Case 2.** The set of all sections of  $p$  is finite and consist of  $n > 2$  sections. Then by Lemma 8.3  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is finite.

**Case 3.**  $p$  has only two sections,  $S_1, S_2$ , that meet.

Assume that  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p, f \neq id$ . Since  $f$  takes sections to sections,  $S_1 \cup S_2$  is invariant under  $f$ . According to Proposition 6.3 the following cases are possible:

- Points of  $S_1$  are fixed points of  $f$ . Then the same is true for  $S_2$ . Since  $S_1 \cap S_2 \neq \emptyset$ , it is impossible by Lemma 5.7 if  $f \neq id$ ;
- Points of  $S_1$  are not fixed points of  $f$ . That means  $f(S_1) = S_2, f(S_2) = S_1$ . Assume that  $g \neq f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p, g \neq id$ . Then for  $h := g \circ f$  we have  $h(S_1) = S_1, h(S_2) = S_2$ . Hence, as in previous item,  $h = id$ . It follows that  $f^2 = id, g = f = f^{-1}$ .

**Case 4.**  $p$  has a single section. Then there are no automorphisms of type **A**, since there are no two disjoint sections. If  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains no automorphisms of type **B** then, by Lemma 7.3,  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  is finite. If  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains an automorphisms of type **B**, then, thanks to Lemma 8.2,  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  contains no automorphisms of type **C**. Since all automorphisms of type **B** have to share this section in their sets of fixed points,  $\text{Aut}(X)_p \cong (\mathbb{C}^+)^n$  by Corollary 8.2.

**Case 5**  $p$  admits two disjoint sections  $S_1, S_2$  but admits no good configuration (in particular, may be, there are no other sections at all). By Corollary 6.4, since there are no good configurations, either  $\text{Aut}(X)_p = G \cong \mathbb{C}^*$  or is a disjoint union of its abelian complex Lie subgroup  $G_0 \cong \mathbb{C}^*$  of index 2 and its coset  $G'_0$ . Subgroup  $G_0$  consists in those  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  that fix  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Coset  $G'_0$  consists in those  $f \in \text{Aut}(X)_p$  that interchange  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

The proof now repeats the proof of [BZ20, Theorem 5.4] with only one modification:  $\mathbb{C}^+$  should be changed to  $(\mathbb{C}^+)^n$  and, accordingly Lemma 9.1 should be applied. Group  $\text{Aut}(X)_p$  may be included into the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow (\text{Aut}(X)_p \cap \text{Aut}_0(X)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\tau} H \rightarrow 1, \quad (35)$$

where  $H = \tau(\text{Aut}_0(X)) \subset \text{Tor}(Y)$  is a torus (see **FACTS**, (4) Section 5). According to Cases **1-5**,  $\text{Aut}(X)_p \cap \text{Aut}_0(X)$  is one of the following:

- $\text{Aut}(X)_p \cap \text{Aut}_0(X)$  is finite;
- $\text{Aut}(X)_p \cap \text{Aut}_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{C}^+)^n$  ;
- $\text{Aut}(X)_p \cap \text{Aut}_0(X) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)$  ;

Thus due to Lemma 9.1 the group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is commutative. Now The theorem follows from the fact that  $\text{Aut}(X)/\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is bounded (see **FACTS**, (3), Section 5, ([BZ20, Proposition 2.1])).  $\square$

## 10. APPLICATIONS TO $\mathbb{P}^1$ -BUNDLES OVER TORI

Consider now a complex torus  $T$ . Let  $\dim T > a(T)$ . Let  $T_a$  be an algebraic reduction of  $T$  and let  $\pi : T \rightarrow T_a$  be a surjective holomorphic homomorphism (with connected kernel). Map  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism of the fields of meromorphic functions on  $T_a$  and  $T$ . In

particular, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a holomorphic line bundle over  $T$  with a non-zero section, then  $\mathcal{L} \cong \pi^*(\mathcal{L}_a)$  where  $\mathcal{L}_a$  is a holomorphic line bundle on  $T_a$ . Consider a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X_a, p_a, T_a)$  over  $T_a$ .

Let

$$X = X_a \times_{T_a} T = \{(x_a, t) \in X_a \times T : p_a(x_a) = \pi(t)\}$$

Let  $p : X \rightarrow T$  be the natural projection on the second factor. Then, evidently,  $(X, p, T)$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $T$ . Let  $\phi := (\pi \circ p) : X \rightarrow T_a$ . For every  $b \in T_a$  the restriction of  $p$  onto  $X_b := \phi^{-1}(b)$  is a trivial  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $F_b := \pi^{-1}(b) \subset T$ , i.e..  $X_b \sim F_b \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . It may be illustrated by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \supset & X_b \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ T & \supset & F_b \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ T_a & \ni & b \end{array} ,$$

We will call such a bundle a “**lifting**” if the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $X_a \rightarrow T_a$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_a) \rightarrow T_a$ , where a rank two holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{L}_a \oplus \mathbf{1}$  for some holomorphic line bundle on  $T_a$ .

**Theorem 10.1.** *Let  $(X, p, T)$  be a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle, where  $T$  is a complex torus and  $X$  is not biholomorphic to the direct product  $T \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Let  $n := \dim T, m := a(T) < n$  and  $\pi : T \rightarrow T_a$  be the algebraic reduction of  $T$ . Assume that  $(X, p, T)$  is not a lifting. Then one of the conditions 1-3 of Theorem 9.2 holds.*

*Proof.* 1) Assume that  $X$  contains a good configuration  $S_1, S_2, S_3$  of sections of  $p$ . Let  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset, S_1 \cap S_3 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $S_2 \cap S_3 = \emptyset$ . Consider a holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_p$  over  $T$ , for which  $S_1$  is the zero section and  $S_2$  “the section at infinity.” It means that  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}_p)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{L}_p \oplus \mathbf{1}$  is a rank-2 holomorphic vector bundle over  $T$ .

Since  $S_2 \cap S_3 = \emptyset$ ,  $S_3$  defines a (nonzero) holomorphic section of line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_p$ . This implies  $\mathcal{L}_p = \pi^*(\mathcal{L})$  where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a line bundle on  $T_a$ . ([Ke, Theorem2.1]). Hence  $\mathcal{E}_p = \pi^*(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \mathbf{1} = \pi^*(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbf{1})$  and  $X = \pi^*(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbf{1}))$ . This shows that  $X$  is a lifting.

2) Assume that  $X$  contains two disjoint sections. Then either it contains no good configuration and meets a condition (3) of Theorem 9.2 or it is a lifting, according to 1).

3) Assume that  $p$  admits only pairwise intersecting set of sections and there are at least three of them  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ . Then  $D_{ij} = p(S_i \cap S_j), 1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ , are divisors, thus there are divisors  $\tilde{D}_{ij} \subset T_a$  such that  $D_{ij} = \pi^*(\tilde{D}_{ij})$  ([BL, Corollary 6.4]). Take a point  $b \in T_a$  belonging to no one of  $\tilde{D}_{ij}$ . Consider the restriction  $(X_b = p^{-1}(b), p, F_b)$  of the  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, T)$  onto  $F_b$ . This  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle has three non-intersecting sections, thus is trivial. It is sufficient to prove the following fact:



**Claim** If  $(X_b = p^{-1}(b), p, F_b)$  is trivial for every point  $b \in W$  of a Zariski open dense subset  $W \subset T_a$  then  $(X, p, T)$  is a lifting.

Let us prove the Claim.

Since  $(X, p, T)$  has a section (say,  $S_1$ ) there is (see Section 8) a fine covering  $U_i$  of  $T$  and the coordinates  $(t, z_i)$ ,  $z_i \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  in every  $V_i = p^{-1}(U_i)$  such that

- $S_1 \cap V_i = \{z_i = \infty\}$ ;
- $z_j = \nu_{i,j} z_i + \tau_{i,j}$ , where  $\nu_{i,j}$  and  $\tau_{i,j}$  are holomorphic functions in  $U_i \cap U_j$ ;
- $\nu_{i,j}$  do not vanish.

Moreover,  $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a rank two holomorphic vector bundle on  $T$  included in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (36)$$

Here (as above)  $\mathbf{1}$  is the trivial line bundle on  $T$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a holomorphic line bundle on  $T$  defined by cocycle  $\nu = \{\nu_{i,j}\}$ .

Note that all the fibers  $F_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$ ,  $b \in T_a$  are isomorphic to a complex torus  $T_0$  (the kernel of  $\pi$ ). Thus torus  $T$  is a locally trivial holomorphic fiber bundle over  $T_a$ , by a theorem of W. Fischer and H. Grauert ([FG]). Thus, for a point  $b_0 \in T_a$  there exists a neighbourhood  $\tilde{U}$  such that  $U = \pi^{-1}(\tilde{U}) \sim \tilde{U} \times T_0$ . The restriction  $(X_U, p, U)$  of  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X, p, T)$  onto  $U$  provides a cocycle  $\{\nu_{ij} |_U\}$  that gives rise to an element  $\nu \in H^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*)$ . We know that  $i_b^*(\nu) = 0$ , where  $i_b : F_b \rightarrow U$  is the inclusion map of a fiber  $F_b$  for all  $b \in W \cap \tilde{U}$ , and

$$i_b^* : H^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^1(F_b, \mathcal{O}^*)$$

is the group homomorphism induced by  $i_b$ .

Since  $\tilde{U}$  is topologically trivial and  $U$  is the direct product,  $i_b^*$  is a group isomorphism. Hence  $\nu$  is the identity element of the group  $H^1(U, \mathcal{O}^*)$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{M} |_U$  is trivial. We may assume (may be, after normalizing  $z_i$ ) that  $\nu_{ij} |_U = 1$ . In that case  $\{\tau_{ij}\}$  is a cocycle. It represents an element  $\tau \in H^1(U, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(F_b, \mathcal{O})$ . Since  $i_b^*(\tau) = 0$ , for all  $b \in W \cap \tilde{U}$ , we have  $(\tau) = 0$ , hence  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X_U, p, U)$  is trivial, i.e.,  $X_U \sim \tilde{U} \times T_0 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Hence,  $X$  is a lifting: for every  $b \in T_a$  the restriction  $(X_b, p, F_b)$  is trivial. The proof may be demonstrated by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \supseteq X_U & \sim & \tilde{U} \times T_0 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \downarrow p & \downarrow p & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ T & \supseteq U & \sim \tilde{U} \times T_0 & \tilde{U} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \\ \downarrow \pi & \downarrow \pi & \swarrow & \\ T_a & \supseteq \tilde{U} & = & \tilde{U} \end{array},$$

Now we got: there is a covering  $\{\tilde{U}_i\}$  of  $T_a$  such that

- $\{U_i = \pi^{-1}(\tilde{U}_i) \subset T\}$  is a fine covering of  $T$ , which means that  $X \supset V_i = p^{-1}(U_i) \sim U_i \times \mathbb{P}_{z_i}^1$ ;
- $U_i \sim \tilde{U}_i \times T_0$ ;

The transition functions in the intersection  $U_i \cap U_j \sim (\tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_j) \times T_0$  are holomorphic map  $\alpha_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is affine,  $\alpha_{ij}$  is constant along the (compact connected) fibers of  $\pi$ , i.e., there are holomorphic functions  $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij} : \tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_j \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\alpha_{ij}(u, t) = \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}(u)$  for every  $(u, t) \in (\tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_j) \times T_0$ .

We define a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $(X_a, \pi_a, T_a)$  on  $T_a$  in the following way:

$$\tilde{V}_i = p_a^{-1}(\tilde{U}_i) = \tilde{U}_i \times \mathbb{P}_{z_i}^1.$$

For every  $u \in \tilde{U}_i \cap \tilde{U}_j$  transition functions are defined by  $(u, z_j) = (u, \tilde{\alpha}_{ij}(u)(z_i))$ . It is obvious that  $X = X_a \times_{T_a} T_0$ .

□

**Remark 10.1.** *An example of the direct product  $T \times \mathbb{P}^1$  shows that the condition that  $X$  is not a lifting is essential.*

**Remark 10.2.** *The group  $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$  is Jordan for any  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $T$ . The group of bimeromorphic self-maps  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is much more involved. It is known that if  $X$  is of the form  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathbf{1})$ , where the holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $T$  is the lifting  $\mathcal{L} = \pi^*(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  of a holomorphic line bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  on  $T_a$  or differs from such a lifting by an element of  $\mathrm{Pic}^0$ , then  $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$  is even not Jordan (see [Zar19]).*

## REFERENCES

- [BZ20] T. Bandman, Yu.G. Zarhin, *Bimeromorphic automorphism groups of certain  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles*. European Journal of Mathematics, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40879-020-00419-8>.
- [BHPV] W.P. Barth, K. Hulek, C.A.M. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact Complex Surfaces*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **4**, Springer, 2004.
- [BL] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex Tori*. Birkhauser, Boston Basel Stuttgart, 1999.
- [Col] R. F. Coleman, *On the Galois groups of the exponential Taylor polynomials*. L'Enseignement Math. **33** (1987), 183–189.
- [BM] S. Bochner, D. Montgomery, *Groups on analytic manifolds*. Ann. of Math. (2) **48** (1947). 659–669.
- [C92] F. Campana, *Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano*. Annales scientifiques de l'É.N.S. 4e série, **25**, 5, (1992), p. 539–545.
- [CDV] F. Campana, J.-P. Demailly, M. Verbitsky, *Compact Kähler 3-manifolds without nontrivial subvarieties*. Algebr. Geom. **1** (2014), no. 2, 131–139.
- [CP2] F. Campana, Th. Peternell, *Complex threefolds with non-trivial holomorphic 2-forms*. J. Algebraic Geom. **9** (2000), no. 2, 223–264.

- [DG] G. Dethloff, H. Grauert, *Seminormal Complex Spaces*. In: Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. **74**, Several Complex variables, VII, Sheaf-Theoretical methods in Complex Analysis, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 206–219.
- [FS] D.K. Faddeev, I.S. Sominsky, Problems in Higher Algebra, 5th edn. Mir, Moscow, 1972.
- [Fi] G. Fischer, Complex Analytic Geometry. Lecture Notes in Math., 538, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [FG] W. Fischer, H. Grauert, *Lokal-triviale Familien kompakter komplexer Mannigfaltigkeiten*. Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen Math.-Phys. Kl. II (1965), 89–94.
- [Fu78] A. Fujiki, *On Automorphism Groups of Compact Complex Manifolds*. Inventiones Math. **44** (1978), 225–258.
- [Fu81] A. Fujiki, *Deformation of Uniruled manifolds*. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **17**(1981), 687–702.
- [Gao] Sh. Gao, *Absolute irreducibility of polynomials via Newton polytopes*. J. Algebra **237:2** (2001) , 501–520.
- [Ke] G.R. Kempf, *Complex Abelian Varieties and Theta functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1991.
- [Kim] Jin Hong Kim, *Jordan property and automorphism groups of normal compact Kähler varieties*. Commun. Contemp. Math. **20** (2018), no. 3, 1750024-1– 1750024-9.
- [Kol] , J. Kollar *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [Lang] S. Lang, Algebra, Revised 3rd edition. GTM **211**, Springer Science, 2002.
- [Lie] D. Lieberman, *Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds*. In: Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III (Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977), pp. 140–186, Lecture Notes in Math. **670**, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [MPZ] Sh. Meng, F. Perroni, D.-Q. Zhang, *Jordan property for automorphism groups of compact spaces in Fujiki’s class  $\mathcal{C}$* . arXiv:2011.09381 [math.AG].
- [MZ] Sh. Meng, D.-Q. Zhang, *Jordan property for non-linear algebraic groups and projective varieties*. Amer. J. Math. **140:4** (2018), 1133–1145.
- [McM] C.T. McMullen, *Dynamics on K3 surfaces: Salem numbers and Siegel disks*. J. reine angew. Math. **545** (2002), 201–233.
- [Mob] T. Mobuchi, *Invariant  $\beta$  and uniruled threefolds*. J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **22: 3** (1982), 503–554.
- [Mori] Sh. Mori, *The endomorphism rings of some abelian varieties*. II. Japanese J. Math. **3:1** (1977), 105–109.
- [Mott] J.L Mott, *Eisenstein-type irreducibility criteria*. In: D.F. Anderson, D.E. Dobbs (eds.), Zero-Dimensional Commutative Rings (Knoxville, 1994). Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. **171**, pp. 307–329. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [Mum] D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, 2nd edition. Oxford University Press, 1974.
- [Nar] R. Narasimhan, Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces. Lecture Notes in mathematics **25**, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

- [NV] E. Nart, N. Vila, *Equations of the type  $x^n + ax + b$  with absolute Galois group  $S_n$* . Proceedings of the sixth conference of Portuguese and Spanish mathematicians, Part II (Santander, 1979). Rev. Univ. Santander No. 2, part 2 (1979), 821–825.
- [OZ] F. Oort, Yu.G. Zarhin, *Endomorphism algebras of complex tori*. Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 11–29.
- [Os] H. Osada, *The Galois groups of the polynomials  $X^n + aX^l + b$* . J. Number Theory **25** (1987), no. 2, 230–238.
- [OV] È. B. Vinberg, A. L. Onishchik, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras I: Foundations of Lie Theory*. In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Vol. **20** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993, pp. 1-94.
- [Pa] D. S. Passman, *Permutation groups*. W.A. Benjamin, Inc., New York Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Pe] Th. Peternell, *Modifications*. In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. **74**, Several Complex variables, VII, Sheaf-Theoretical methods in Complex Analysis, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 286-319.
- [PR] Th. Peternell, P. Remmert, *Differential calculus, Holomorphic maps and linear structures on complex spaces* In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. **74**, Several Complex variables, VII, Sheaf-Theoretical methods in Complex Analysis, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 286-319.
- [Po11] V.L. Popov, *On the Makar-Limanov, Derksen invariants, and finite automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*. In: Affine algebraic geometry, 289–311, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **54**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [Po18] V.L. Popov, *The Jordan property for Lie groups and automorphism groups of complex spaces*. Math. Notes. **103** (2018), no. 5–6, 811–819.
- [PS14] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Jordan property for groups of birational selfmaps*. Compositio Math. **150** (2014), 2054–2072.
- [PS18] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Finite groups of birational selfmaps of threefolds*. Math. Res. Lett. **25** (2018), no. 3, 957–972.
- [PS19-1] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Bounded automorphism groups of complex compact surfaces*. arXiv:1909.12013, 2019.
- [PS19-2] Yu. Prokhorov, C. Shramov, *Finite groups of bimeromorphic selfmaps of uniruled Kähler threefolds*, arXiv:1910.12334, 2019.
- [Ra] C. P. Ramanujam, *On a certain purity theorem*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **34** (1970), 1–9 (1971).
- [Re] R. Remmert, *Holomorphe und meromorphe Abbildungen komplexer Räume*. Math. Ann. **133** (1957), 328-370.
- [Sel56] E.S. Selmer, *On the irreducibility of certain trinomials*. Math. Scand. **4** (1956), 287–302.
- [Se09] J-P. Serre, *A Minkowski-style bound for the orders of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 over an arbitrary field*. Moscow Math. J. **9** (2009), no. 1, 183–198.
- [Se16] J.-P. Serre, *Finite Groups: An Introduction*. International Press, MA, USA, 2016.
- [Sh] C. Shramov, *Fiberwise bimeromorphic maps of conic bundles*. Internat. J. Math. **30** (2019), no. 11, 1950059, 12 pp.

- [Vo] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry. I*. Translated from the French original by Leila Schneps. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **76**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [Zar03] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic jacobians*. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **241** (2003), 90–104; Proceedings of Steklov Institute of Mathematics **241** (2003), 79–92.
- [Zar14] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Theta groups and products of abelian and rational varieties*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **57:1** (2014), 299–304.
- [Zar16] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Galois groups of Mori trinomials and hyperelliptic curves with big monodromy*. European J. Math. **2** (2016), 360–381.
- [Zar15] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Jordan groups and elliptic ruled surfaces*. Transformation Groups **20** (2015), no. 2, 557–572.
- [Zar19] Yu.G. Zarhin, *Complex tori, theta groups and their Jordan properties*. In: Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, 307 (2019), 32–62; Algebra, Number Theory and Algebraic Geometry. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., 307 (2019), 22–50.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, RAMAT GAN, 5290002, ISRAEL

*Email address:* bandman@math.biu.ac.il

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA

*Email address:* zarhin@math.psu.edu