

# ON HODGE LEVEL OF WEIGHTED COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS OF GENERAL TYPE

VICTOR PRZYJALKOWSKI

ABSTRACT. We show that smooth varieties of general type which are well formed weighted complete intersections of Cartier divisors have maximal Hodge level, that is, their the rightmost middle Hodge numbers do not vanish. We show that this does not hold in the quasi-smooth case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most basic biregular invariants of smooth varieties are Hodge numbers. In particular, if a lot of them vanish, then the variety tends to be “simple” from homological point of view. In [Ra72, §1], [Ca80, §2a], and [PSh20a] for a smooth variety  $X$  it was introduced the notion of *Hodge level*  $h(X) = \max\{q - p \mid h^{p,q}(X) \neq 0\}$ . In other words, this number measures how big the number  $a$  such that  $HH_a(D^b(\text{Coh}X)) \neq 0$  can be.

Let  $n = \dim(X)$ . By definition,  $h(X)$  has maximal possible value  $n$  if and only if  $h^{0,n}(X) \neq 0$ . If  $X$  is Fano, that is, if its anticanonical class is ample, then one have  $h^{0,n}(X) = h^0(\Omega_X^n) = 0$ . If  $X$  is Calabi–Yau, then, by definition,  $H^{0,n}(X) = \mathbb{C}$ , so it has maximal possible Hodge level. Obviously, the Hodge level of curves of positive genus is equal to 1, so it is maximally possible again. However already in dimension 2 there exist examples of *fake projective planes* — smooth surfaces of general type (that is, whose canonical class is big), such that their Hodge diamond is the same as for  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , see, for example, [Mu79], [CS10].

To study the Hodge level of varieties one needs to be able to compute Hodge numbers. However this, as well as even to determine if a variety is Fano, Calabi–Yau or general type (or none of them), can be not easy. The most straightforward way to construct varieties is to describe them as complete intersections in something well studied, like in toric varieties or homogenous spaces. There is an approach, going back to Griffiths, to compute Hodge numbers of complete intersections in toric varieties (see [Di95], [Gr69], [Na97], [Ma99]); its generalization to some of complete intersections in Grassmannians can be found in [FM18]. Probably the most complicated and interesting (from different points of view) class of varieties are ones with Picard rank one. Let  $X$  be a quasi-smooth complete intersection in a toric variety  $T$ . By Lefschetz-type theorem for complete intersections in toric varieties (see [Ma99, Proposition 1.4]) this means that  $T$  is either a weighted projective space or its quotient by finite group, see, for instance, [Ka09]. If  $X$  in addition is a smooth Fano variety, then  $T$  in fact is a weighted projective space by [PSh20c, Theorem 2], so  $X$  is a *weighted complete intersection*. This is not true if  $X$  is of general type, see [PSh20c]. However the weighted complete intersection case is still the most approachable.

---

The author was supported by grant MD–30.2020.1 and by the Foundation for the Advancement of Theoretical Physics and Mathematics “BASIS”.

Hodge levels of Fano weighted complete intersections are studied in [PSh20a]. More precise, in loc. cit. it is studied how *small* the Hodge level of Fano weighted complete intersections can be, and the varieties of smallest or close to be smallest Hodge levels are classified. In the present paper, on the contrary, we study *how big Hodge levels of weighted complete intersections of general type can be*. We expect that in this case the phenomena of fake projective planes does not appear, and Hodge levels for smooth weighted complete intersections of general type are maximally possible.

The following summarizes the speculation above and shows that our expectation holds in the case of intersections of Cartier divisors.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_N)$  be a smooth well formed weighted complete intersection of multidegree  $(d_1, \dots, d_k)$ . Put  $n = N - k = \dim(X) > 0$  and  $i_X = \sum d_u - \sum a_l$ . Then*

- (i) *Let  $X$  be Fano variety, that is  $i_X < 0$ . Then  $h(X) < n$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $X$  be Calabi–Yau variety, that is  $i_X = 0$ . Then  $h(X) = n$ . Moreover,  $h^{0,n}(X) = 1$ .*
- (iii) *Let  $X$  be a complete intersection of Cartier divisors, and let it be of general type, that is  $i_X > 0$ . Then  $h(X) = n$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *In the terminology of [PSh20a] this, in particular, means that smooth well formed weighted Calabi–Yau complete intersection of dimension  $n$  is of  $n$ -Calabi–Yau type, while if a smooth  $n$ -dimensional well formed weighted complete intersection of Cartier divisors is of general type, then it is never  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homologically minimal, Hodge–Tate, of curve type (if  $n > 1$ ), or of  $m$ -Calabi–Yau type for  $m < n$ .*

In Corollary 3.6 we show that the assertion (iii) of Theorem 1.1 holds for codimension 2 smooth weighted complete intersections, not necessary of Cartier divisors. We expect that this holds in the general case, for all smooth weighted complete intersections of general type (Problem 3.3).

Assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1 hold for quasi-smooth well formed weighted complete intersections (see Corollary 2.8). However assertion (iii) can fail even in the hypersurface case. The example is given by Proposition 4.3.

Note that  $h(X) = n$  means that  $h^{0,n}(X) > 0$ , that is  $\dim |\mathcal{O}_X(i_X)| \geq 0$ . In fact [PST17, Conjecture 4.8] claims that  $\dim |\mathcal{O}_X(m)| \geq 0$  for all  $m \geq i_X$ .

In Section 2 we give some definitions and results related to the subject. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 3.6. In Section 4 we provide the counterexample to the statement of Theorem 1.1 in the quasi-smooth case (Proposition 4.3).

The author is grateful to M. Korolev for the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, and for C. Shramov for helpful comments and Proposition 3.5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us introduce basic definitions and results related to weighted complete intersections. More details see in [Do82], [IF00], and [PSh].

Let  $a_0, \dots, a_N$  be positive integers. Consider the graded algebra  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]$ , where the grading is defined by assigning the weights  $a_l$  to the variables  $x_l$ . Put

$$\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_N) = \text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N].$$

We use the abbreviation

$$(a_0^{k_0}, \dots, a_m^{k_m}) = (\underbrace{a_0, \dots, a_0}_{k_0}, \dots, \underbrace{a_m, \dots, a_m}_{k_m}),$$

where  $k_0, \dots, k_m$  are allowed to be any positive integers. If some of  $k_i$  is equal to 1 we drop it for simplicity. The weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}$  is said to be *well formed* if the greatest common divisor of any  $N$  of the weights  $a_l$  is 1. Every weighted projective space is isomorphic to a well formed one, see [Do82, 1.3.1]. A subvariety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}$  is said to be *well formed* if  $\mathbb{P}$  is well formed and

$$\text{codim}_X (X \cap \text{Sing } \mathbb{P}) \geq 2,$$

where the dimension of the empty set is defined to be  $-1$ .

We say that a subvariety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}$  of codimension  $k$  is a *weighted complete intersection of multidegree*  $(d_1, \dots, d_k)$  if its weighted homogeneous ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N]$  is generated by a regular sequence of  $k$  homogeneous elements of degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_k$ . A weighted complete intersection  $X \subset \mathbb{P}$  is said to be *an intersection with a linear cone* if one has  $d_u = a_l$  for some  $u$  and  $l$ . Let  $p: \mathbb{A}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  be the natural projection to the weighted projective space. A subvariety  $X \subset \mathbb{P}$  is called *quasi-smooth* if the preimage  $p^{-1}(X)$  is smooth. Note that by [PSh20b, Proposition 2.9] if a quasi-smooth weighted complete intersection  $X \subset \mathbb{P}$  of dimension at least 3 is general in the family of weighted complete intersections of the same multidegree in  $\mathbb{P}$ , then there exists a quasi-smooth well formed weighted complete intersection isomorphic to  $X$  which is not an intersection with a linear cone.

Smoothness of a weighted complete intersection implies arithmetic restrictions on weights and degrees defining it.

**Proposition 2.1** (cf. [CCC11, Proposition 4.1]). *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_N)$  be a smooth well formed weighted complete intersection of multidegree  $(d_1, \dots, d_k)$ . Then for every  $r$  and every choice of  $r$  weights  $a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}$ ,  $i_1 < \dots < i_r$ , such that their greatest common divisor  $\delta$  is greater than 1 there exist  $r$  degrees  $d_{s_1}, \dots, d_{s_r}$ ,  $s_1 < \dots < s_r$ , such that their greatest common divisor is divisible by  $\delta$ .*

In a similar way one can determine if a hypersurface in a weighted projective space is a Cartier divisor.

**Proposition 2.2** ([RoTe12, Proposition 8] or the proof of [Do82, Theorem 3.2.4(i)]). *Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_N)$  be a well formed weighted projective space. Then the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P})$  is a free group generated by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(r)$ , where  $r$  is the least common multiple of the weights  $a_l$ . In particular, a degree  $d$  hypersurface is Cartier if and only if  $a_l | d$  for  $l = 0, \dots, N$ .*

By combinatorial reasons it is more convenient to deal just with the sets of weights and degrees defining weighted complete intersections, ignoring geometric objects they provide.

**Definition 2.3** (cf. [PST17]). The pair  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$  of collections of positive integers

$$\bar{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k), \quad \bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$$

is called a *regular pair* if the divisibility conditions of Proposition 2.1 hold for them. The numbers  $d_u$  are called *degrees* and the numbers  $a_l$  are called *weights*. We call the regular pair *Cartier* if for all  $l$  and  $u$  one has  $a_l | d_u$ . We call the regular pair *Fano* if for  $i = \sum d_u - \sum a_l$  one has  $i < 0$ , *Calabi–Yau* if  $i = 0$ , and *of general type* if  $i > 0$ .

*Remark 2.4.* Let  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$  be a regular pair such that, up to permutation, one has

$$\bar{a} = (d_1, \dots, d_k, a_k, \dots, a_N).$$

Then  $\bar{a} = (d_1, \dots, d_k, 1^{N+1-k})$ .

It turns out that there is a strong restriction on the minimal weight for Fano and Calabi–Yau regular pairs.

**Theorem 2.5** (cf. [PSh20b, Corollary 3.4]). *Consider a Fano or Calabi–Yau regular pair  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$ , where  $\bar{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$  and  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ . Put  $i = \sum d_u - \sum a_l$ . Let  $a_l \neq d_u$  for all  $l$  and  $u$ . Let  $a_0 \leq \dots \leq a_N$ . Then  $a_{k-i-1} = 1$ . Moreover,  $a_{k-i} = 1$  unless  $\bar{d} = (6^k)$  and  $\bar{a} = (1^s, 2^k, 3^k)$ .*

**Corollary 2.6.** *Consider a regular pair  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$ , where  $\bar{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ ,  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ , and  $N \geq k$ . Assume that  $a_l > 1$  for all  $l$ . Then  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$  is of general type.*

*Proof.* By Remark 2.4 there exists a degree  $d_u$  such that  $d_u \neq a_l$  for all  $l$ . Deleting pairs  $d_u$  and  $a_l$  such that  $d_u = a_l$  from the regular pair, one gets a regular pair satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.5. It remains to apply it.  $\square$

Now recall how to compute Hodge numbers of weighted complete intersections. Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_N)$  be a quasi-smooth weighted complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_k$ . By [Ma99, §3] or [BC94, §11], there is a pure Hodge structure on cohomology of  $X$ . In particular, Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(X)$  are well defined. By [Da78, Theorem 10.8 and Remark 10.9], for the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}$  one has  $h^{p,q}(\mathbb{P}) = 1$  if  $p = q$  and  $h^{p,q}(\mathbb{P}) = 0$  otherwise. By [Ma99, Proposition 3.2], the only Hodge numbers of  $X$  that are not inherited from the ambient toric variety are  $h^{p,q}(X)$  with  $p + q = \dim(X)$ .

Put

$$S' = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N],$$

where the weight of  $x_i$  is  $a_i$ , and

$$S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_N, w_1, \dots, w_k].$$

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be polynomials in  $S'$  of weighted degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  that generate the weighted homogeneous ideal of weighted complete intersection  $X$ . Let

$$F = F(f_1, \dots, f_k) = w_1 f_1 + \dots + w_k f_k \in S.$$

Denote by  $J = J(F)$  the ideal in  $S$  generated by

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial w_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial w_k}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_N}.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(f_1, \dots, f_k) = S/J.$$

The algebra  $S$  is bigraded by  $\deg(x_l) = (0, a_l)$  and  $\deg(w_u) = (1, -d_u)$ , so that  $F$  is a bihomogeneous polynomial of bidegree  $(1, 0)$ . Thus, the bigrading descends to the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

Put  $n = N - k = \dim(X)$  and

$$i_X = \sum d_u - \sum a_l.$$

Let  $h_{pr}^{n-q,q}(X)$  be primitive middle Hodge numbers of  $X$ , that is,

$$h_{pr}^{p,q}(X) = h^{p,q}(X)$$

for  $p \neq q$  and

$$h_{pr}^{p,q}(X) = h^{p,q}(X) - 1$$

otherwise.

**Theorem 2.7** (see [Di95], [Gr69], [Na97, Proposition 2.16], [Ma99, Theorem 3.6]). *One has*

$$h_{pr}^{q,n-q}(X) = \dim \mathcal{R}_{q,i_X}.$$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Denote by  $J'$  the ideal in  $S'$  generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  and let*

$$\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}'(f_1, \dots, f_k) = S'/J'$$

*be a graded polynomial ring. Then  $h^{0,n} = \mathcal{R}'_{i_X}$ . In particular,  $h^{0,n}(X) > 0$  if and only if there exists a weighted monomial of degree  $i_X$  in  $S'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a monomial of degree  $i_X$  in  $S'$ . Then  $M \notin J'$ , otherwise  $X$  is reducible. Thus,  $M$  descends to a non-trivial element in  $\mathcal{R}'$ . On the other hand, any monomial summand of a homogenous element in  $S'$  of degree  $i_X$  has the same degree  $i_X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *One has  $h^{0,n}(X) > 0$  if and only if there exist positive integers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that*

$$d_1 + \dots + d_k = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

*Proof.* If the numbers exists, then the monomial  $x_0^{\beta_0-1} \dots x_N^{\beta_N-1}$  is a weighted monomial of degree  $-i_X$  in  $S'$ . From the other hand, if  $x_0^{\alpha_0} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N}$  is a monomial of degree  $-i_X$ , then  $x_0^{\alpha_0+1} \dots x_N^{\alpha_N+1}$  is the monomial of degree  $d_1 + \dots + d_k$ , so that one can define  $\beta_l = \alpha_l + 1$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.10** ([PSh20a, Definition 1.14], cf. [Ra72, §1], [Ca80, §2a]). For a smooth projective variety  $X$  put

$$h(X) = \max\{q - p \mid h^{p,q}(X) \neq 0\}.$$

The number  $h(X)$  is called *the Hodge level* of  $X$ .

### 3. WEIGHTED INTERSECTIONS OF CARTIER DIVISORS OF GENERAL TYPE

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The key ingredient for this is the following.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$ , where  $\bar{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$  and  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ , be a Cartier regular pair of general type, and let  $N - k > 0$ . Then there exist positive integers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that*

$$(\otimes) \quad d_1 + \dots + d_k = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

*Proof.* Let us prove this by induction on  $d_1 + \dots + d_k$ . The base is trivial. Denote  $i = \sum d_u - \sum a_l < 0$ . Let  $a_l = 1$  for some  $l$ . Then we can choose  $\beta_m = 1$ ,  $m \neq l$ , and  $a_l = i + 1$ . Thus we may assume that  $a_l > 1$  for all  $l$ . Moreover, we may assume that  $a_l \neq d_u$  for all  $l$  and  $u$ . Indeed, otherwise we can set  $\beta_l = 1$  and decrease  $d_1 + \dots + d_k$  removing  $a_l$  and  $d_u$ ; clearly, after the removing we get Cartier regular pair of general type with  $N - 1 > k - 1$ , so the assertion of the proposition in this case can be given by induction. Choose a prime  $p$ . Let  $s$  be a maximal  $p$ -adic valuation for  $a_0, \dots, a_N$ . We may assume that for some integer  $r \geq 1$  the numbers  $a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}$  are divisible by  $p^s$ , while  $a_r, \dots, a_N$  are not. Then by the divisibility assumption  $p^s \mid d_m$  for all  $m = 1, \dots, k$ . Put

$$d_u = pd'_u, \quad u = 1, \dots, k, \quad a_l = pa'_l, \quad l = 0, \dots, r-1, \quad a_l = a'_l, \quad l = r, \dots, N.$$

The collections  $\vec{d}' = (d'_1, \dots, d'_k)$  and  $\vec{a}' = (a'_0, \dots, a'_N)$  form a Cartier regular pair. If it is Calabi–Yau, put

$$\beta_l = 1, \quad i = 0, \dots, r, \quad \beta_l = p, \quad l = r+1, \dots, N.$$

One can see that this gives the assertion of the proposition in this case. If  $(\vec{d}', \vec{a}')$  is of general type, then by the induction assertion there exist positive numbers  $\beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_N$  such that

$$d'_1 + \dots + d'_k = \beta'_0 a'_0 + \dots + \beta'_N a'_N.$$

Put

$$\beta_l = \beta'_l, \quad i = 0, \dots, r, \quad \beta_l = p\beta'_l, \quad l = r_1 + 1, \dots, N.$$

Then  $(\otimes)$  holds, which proves the proposition.

If  $s > 1$ , then all  $a'_l > 1$  for all  $l$ , so by Corollary 2.6 the pair  $(\vec{d}', \vec{a}')$  is of general type. Thus, we can assume that  $s = 1$  for all primes that divide  $a_l$  for some  $l$ . In other words, no square of a prime number divides any  $a_l$ . Let  $(\vec{d}', \vec{a}')$  be Fano. Let  $p, p_1, \dots, p_v$  be prime divisors of all  $a_l$ . Then  $d_u = \alpha_u \cdot p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v$ . We can assume that  $\alpha_u = 1$  for all  $u$ . Indeed, if we replace  $d_u$  by  $d_u/\alpha_u$ , we get a Cartier regular pair which is of general type by Corollary 2.6; obviously, if the assertion of the proposition holds for it, then it holds for the initial regular pair. Denote the number of  $a'_l$  that are equal to 1 by  $e$ , and the number of  $a'_l$  that are equal to  $d'_u$  by  $f$ .

Let  $f = k$ , so that  $a'_{r_l} = d'_l$  for  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . then  $d_l = pa_{r_l}$  for  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . One have  $N < 2k$ ,  $d_u = d_v$  for all  $u$  and  $v$ , and  $a_s = p$  for  $s \neq r_l$ . In other words, one has

$$\vec{d} = ((pc)^k), \quad \vec{a} = (c^k, p^r)$$

for some number  $c > 1$  coprime with  $p$ , where  $r = N + 1 - k > 1$ . Since  $N > k$  and  $r \leq k$ , one gets  $k > 1$ . If  $k = 2$ , then  $\vec{d} = (pc, pc)$  and  $\vec{a} = (c, c, p, p)$  and the proposition holds by

$$(\oplus) \quad pc + pc = 1 \cdot c + (p-1) \cdot c + 1 \cdot p + (c-1) \cdot p.$$

Let  $k > 2$ . If  $r = 2$ , then one can set

$$\beta_0 = 1, \quad \beta_1 = p-1, \quad \beta_2 = p, \quad \dots, \beta_{k-1} = p, \quad \beta_k = 1, \quad \beta_{k+1} = c-1.$$

If  $r = 3$ , and  $c > 2$ , then one can set

$$\beta_0 = 1, \quad \beta_1 = p-1, \quad \beta_2 = p, \quad \dots, \beta_{k-1} = p, \quad \beta_k = 1, \quad \beta_{k+1} = 1, \quad \beta_{k+2} = c-2.$$

If  $r = 3$  and  $c = 2$ , then one can set

$$\beta_0 = 1 + (k-2) \cdot p - k, \quad \beta_1 = 1, \quad \dots, \beta_{k-1} = 1, \quad \beta_k = 2, \quad \beta_{k+1} = 1, \quad \beta_{k+2} = 1.$$

Let  $r \geq 4$ . Using  $(\oplus)$ , one can delete degrees  $pc, pc$  and weights  $c, c, p, p$  from  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a})$  and get a Cartier regular pair. By Corollary 2.6, it is of general type. Moreover,  $(N-4) - (k-2) = N-k-2 = r-3 > 0$ . Thus, the assertion of the proposition in this case holds by induction.

Now let  $f < k$ . We can assume that  $p > 2$ , since we are free to choose a prime we divide by. By Theorem 2.5, one has  $e > k - f > 0$ , so that  $e \geq 2$ . Moreover,  $f > 0$ , otherwise by Theorem 2.5 one has  $e > k$ , while  $e$  is the number of weights  $a_l$  equal to  $p$ ,

so that  $e \leq k$ . Let  $f = 1$ . Then  $k \geq e > k - 1$ , so that  $e = k$ . By Theorem 2.5, this can happen only when

$$\bar{d} = ((6p)^k), \quad \bar{a} = (6, 2^k, 3^k, p^k);$$

however this pair is not regular.

Now assume that  $f > 1$ . We have  $d_1 = \dots = d_k = p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v$ , two weights, say  $a_{N-1}$  and  $a_N$ , are equal to  $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v$ , and two others, say  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ , are equal to  $p$ . Let us delete two degrees and four weights  $a_0, a_1, a_{N-1}$  and  $a_N$  from the regular pair and get the pair  $(\bar{d}_0, \bar{a}_0)$ . It is a Cartier regular pair of general type (again by Corollary 2.6). Let  $N = k + 1$ . Then  $\bar{a} = (p, p, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, a_4, \dots, a_{k+1})$ , so we can take

$$\beta_0 = 1, \beta_1 = (p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v - 1), \beta_2 = 1, \beta_3 = p - 1, \beta_l = \frac{p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v}{a_l}, \quad l = 4, \dots, k + 1,$$

to prove the proposition. Let  $N = k + 2$ . Obviously,  $k > 1$ . If  $k = 2$ , then

$$\bar{d} = (p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v), \quad \bar{a} = (p, p, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v),$$

so the pair  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$  is not regular. Thus, we have

$$\bar{a} = (p, p, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v, a_4, a_5, \dots, a_{k+2}),$$

so we can take

$$\beta_0 = 1, \beta_1 = (p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v - 1), \beta_2 = 1, \beta_3 = p - 1, \beta_4 = \frac{p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v}{a_4},$$

$$\beta_5 = \frac{(p-1) \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v}{a_5}, \beta_l = \frac{p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v}{a_l}, \quad l = 6, \dots, k + 2.$$

to prove the proposition.

Thus,  $N - k > 2$ , so  $(N - 4) - (k - 2) = N - k - 2 > 0$ . By induction, one can find the splitting analogous to  $(\otimes)$  for  $(\bar{d}_0, \bar{a}_0)$ . Together with

$$p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v + p \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v = p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v + (p - 1) \cdot p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v + p + (p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_v - 1) \cdot p$$

it gives the required splitting for  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* Note that Proposition 2.2 does not hold for  $N = k$ . Indeed, let  $p_0, \dots, p_N$  be different primes, Let  $P = p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_N$ , and let  $P_l = \frac{P}{p_l}$ , so that  $P_l$  is a product of all primes except for  $p_l$ . Consider the regular pair  $((P^N), (P_0, \dots, P_N))$ . Obviously, it is Cartier and of general type. Assume that

$$NP = \beta_0 \cdot P_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot P_N,$$

such that  $\beta_l > 0$ . Then, since  $p_m$  divides  $P, P_0, \dots, P_{m-1}, P_{m+1}, \dots, P_N$ , it also divides  $\beta_m$ . Thus,

$$\beta_0 \cdot P_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot P_N \geq p_0 \cdot P_0 + \dots + p_N \cdot P_N = (N + 1) \cdot P > NP,$$

which is a contradiction. Note that the complete intersection of  $N$  hypersurfaces of degrees  $P$  in  $\mathbb{P}(P_0, \dots, P_N)$  is not well formed; after wellformization it becomes a complete intersection of  $N$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^N$ , that is just a point.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Assertions (i) and (ii) obviously follow from Corollary 2.8. Prove the assertion (iii).

Let  $X$  be a complete intersection of Cartier divisors, and let it be of general type. By Proposition 3.1, together with Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, there exist positive integers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that

$$d_1 + \dots + d_k = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

Now the assertion (iii) follows from Corollary 2.9.  $\square$

**Problem 3.3.** Generalize the assertion (iii) of Theorem 1.1 to smooth well formed weighted complete intersections of general type (not only intersections of Cartier divisors).

Thus can be done for codimension at most 2 by the following proposition, shared with us by C. Shramov. To prove it we need the two-coins case of Frobenius Coin Problem.

**Theorem 3.4** ([Sy82]). *Let  $a_0, a_1$  be two coprime integers. Then for any integer  $m > a_0 a_1 - a_0 - a_1$  there exist integers  $\beta_0, \beta_1$  such that  $m = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \beta_1 \cdot a_1$ .*

Now solve Problem 3.3 for the case of codimension 1 and 2.

**Proposition 3.5.** *The following holds.*

- (i) *Let  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$ , where  $\bar{d} = (d_1)$  and  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ ,  $N > 1$ , be a regular pair of general type. Then there exist positive integers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that*

$$d_1 = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

- (ii) *Let  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$ , where  $\bar{d} = (d_1, d_2)$  and  $\bar{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ ,  $N > 2$ , be a regular pair of general type. Assume that there exist non-negative integers  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_N$  and  $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_N$  such that  $d_1 = \sum \gamma_l a_l$  and  $d_2 = \sum \mu_l a_l$ . Then there exist positive integers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that*

$$d_1 + d_2 = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

*Proof.* In the assertion (i) setup the regular pair  $(\bar{d}, \bar{a})$  is Cartier, so it follows from Proposition 2.2. Let us prove the assertion (ii). We follow the proof of Proposition 2.2 by induction. Denote  $i = d_1 + d_2 - \sum a_l > 0$ . We can assume that  $a_l > 1$  for all  $l$ , otherwise we can set  $\beta_m = 1$ ,  $m \neq l$ , and  $a_l = i + 1$ . Moreover, we can assume that  $a_l \neq d_u$  for all  $l$  and  $u$ . Indeed, if, say,  $d_2 = a_N$ , then the pair  $((d_1), (a_0, \dots, a_{N-1}))$  is regular and of general type, so by assertion (i) there exist positive numbers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{N-1}$  such that

$$d_1 = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_{N-1} \cdot a_{N-1}.$$

Then

$$d_1 + d_2 = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_{N-1} \cdot a_{N-1} + 1 \cdot a_N.$$

Let all  $a_l$  be pairwise coprime. Assume that all  $a_l$  divide  $d_1$ . Then, by assertion (i), there exist positive integers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that  $d_1 = \sum \beta_l \cdot a_l$ . Then

$$d_1 + d_2 = (\beta_0 + \mu_0) \cdot a_0 + \dots + (\beta_N + \mu_N) \cdot a_N,$$

so the assertion (ii) follows. Assume that  $a_l$  does not divide  $d_1$  for some  $l$ . Up to permutation we can assume that  $a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}$ ,  $r \leq N$ , divide  $d_1$ , while  $a_r, \dots, a_N$  are not. Note that in this case the pairs  $((d_1), (a_0, \dots, a_{r-1}))$  and  $((d_2), (a_r, \dots, a_N))$  are regular.



If  $r = 1$ , then  $d_1 = \alpha a_0$ , and by assertion (i) there exist positive integers  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$  such that

$$d_2 = \beta_1 \cdot a_1 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N,$$

so that

$$d_1 + d_2 = \alpha \cdot a_0 + \beta_1 \cdot a_1 + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

If  $r = 2$ , then, since  $d_2$  is divisible by coprime numbers  $a_r, \dots, a_N$  and  $N - r + 1 = N - 1 > 1$ , one has  $d_2 - a_r - \dots - a_N > 0$ . Moreover,  $d_1 - a_0 - a_1 \geq a_0 a_1 - a_0 - a_1$ . By Theorem 3.4, there exist integers  $\beta_0, \beta_1$  such that

$$(d_1 - a_0 - a_1) + (d_2 - a_r - \dots - a_N) = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \beta_1 \cdot a_1,$$

so that

$$d_1 + d_2 = (\beta_0 + 1) \cdot a_0 + (\beta_1 + 1) \cdot a_1 + 1 \cdot a_2 + \dots + 1 \cdot a_N.$$

Thus, we may assume that  $r > 2$  and, similarly,  $N + 1 - r > 2$ . By assertion (i) there exist positive numbers  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N$  such that

$$d_1 = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_{r-1} \cdot a_{r-1}, \quad d_2 = \beta_r \cdot a_r + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

This means that

$$d_1 + d_2 = \beta_0 \cdot a_0 + \dots + \beta_{r-1} \cdot a_{r-1} + \beta_r \cdot a_r + \dots + \beta_N \cdot a_N.$$

Finally, assume that there is a prime  $p$  such that there exist two weights  $a_l$  and  $a_m$  divisible by  $p$ . Then  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  are divisible by  $p$  as well. Consider a pair  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}')$ , where  $d'_u = \frac{d_u}{p}$ ,  $a'_l = \frac{a_l}{p}$ ,  $a'_m = \frac{a_m}{p}$ , and  $a'_r = a_r$  for all  $r \neq l, m$ . If  $d'_1 = a'_s$  and  $d'_2 = a'_t$  for some  $s$  and  $t$ , then  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}) = ((p\alpha, p\gamma), (\alpha, \gamma, p^{N-1}))$ . In particular,  $N - 1 = 2$ , so that  $N = 3$  and  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}) = ((p\alpha, p\gamma), (\alpha, \gamma, p, p))$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  have common prime divisor  $p'$ , then we as above can divide  $\alpha, \gamma, d_1$ , and  $d_2$  by it. Thus we may assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are coprime (and, by regularity of the pair, coprime with  $p$ ). Since  $p\gamma - \gamma - p > 0$ , by Theorem 3.4 there exist integers  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_2$  such that

$$(p\alpha - \alpha - p) + (p\gamma - \gamma - p) = \beta_0 \cdot \alpha + \beta_2 \cdot p,$$

so that

$$d_1 + d_2 = p\alpha + p\gamma = (\beta_0 + 1) \cdot \alpha + 1 \cdot \gamma + (\beta_2 + 1) \cdot p + 1 \cdot p.$$

Now assume that either  $d'_1 \neq a'_s$  or  $d'_2 \neq a'_s$  for some  $s$ . If  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}')$  is Calabi–Yau or of general type, then similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.2, one can derive assertion (ii) directly or by induction. Assume that  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}')$  is Fano. Let  $e \leq 2$  be the number of  $a'_l$  that are equal to 1. Note that there is exactly one  $d'_u$  that is equal to  $a'_l$  for some  $l$  by Theorem 2.5. By the same theorem one has  $e > 2 - 1 = 1$ , so that  $e = 2$  and  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}')$  is  $((\alpha, 6), (\alpha, 2, 3, 1, 1))$ . This means that  $(\vec{d}, \vec{a}) = ((\alpha p, 6p), (\alpha, 2, 3, p, p))$ . The assertion (ii) in this case is given by

$$\alpha p + 6p = p \cdot \alpha + \frac{p-3}{2} \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3 + 1 \cdot p + 4 \cdot p. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_N)$  be a complete intersection of two hypersurfaces, let  $\dim(X) = N - 2 > 0$ , and let it be of general type, that is  $i_X > 0$ . Then  $h(X) = n$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

4. HODGE LEVEL FOR QUASI-SMOOTH WEIGHTED COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS OF  
GENERAL TYPE

In this section we give an example of quasi-smooth weighted complete intersection of general type whose Hodge level is not maximally possible. (In fact this weighted complete intersection is either hypersurface or has codimension 2, depending on the parity of the dimension.) For this we need number-theoretical results (Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2) whose proofs are suggested to us by M. Korolev.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $n$  be an integer number and let  $x \geq 2^n$  be a real number. Then*

- (i) *if  $n \geq 5$ , then there are at least  $n + 1$  primes  $p$  such that  $x < p < 2x$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $n \geq 7$ , then there are at least  $n + 1$  primes  $p$  such that  $\frac{2}{3}x < p < x$ .*

*Proof.* Assertion (i) obviously follows from the assertion (ii). Let us prove the latter one. One can check by hand that it holds for  $8 \leq n \leq 10$ . Thus we may assume that  $n \geq 11$ . Let  $\pi(z)$  be the number of primes less or equal then  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . By [BS62, Corollary 1 of Theorem 2] one has

$$\frac{\alpha x}{\ln x} > \pi(x) > \frac{x}{\ln x}$$

for  $\alpha = 1.25506$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi(x) - \pi\left(\frac{2}{3}x\right)}{n+1} &> \frac{\frac{x}{\ln x} - \frac{2\alpha}{3} \frac{x}{\ln x - \ln \frac{3}{2}}}{n+1} = \frac{x}{\ln x \cdot (n+1)} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{3}\right) \cdot \ln x - \ln \frac{3}{2}}{\ln x - \ln \frac{3}{2}} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{2^n}{\ln 2 \cdot n \cdot (n+1)} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{3}\right) \cdot n \cdot \ln 2 - \ln \frac{3}{2}}{\ln 2 \cdot n - \ln \frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since the functions  $\frac{x}{\ln x}$  and  $\frac{\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{3}\right) \cdot \ln x - \ln \frac{3}{2}}{\ln x - \ln \frac{3}{2}}$  monotonically increase if  $x \geq e$ . The function

$$H(t) = \frac{2^t}{\ln 2 \cdot t \cdot (t+1)} \cdot \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2\alpha}{3}\right) \cdot t \cdot \ln 2 - \ln t \frac{3}{2}}{t \cdot \ln 2 - \ln \frac{3}{2}}$$

increases if  $t > \frac{2}{\ln 2}$ . Since  $H(10) > 1$ , we have

$$\frac{\pi(x) - \pi\left(\frac{2}{3}x\right)}{n+1} > 1,$$

which gives the assertion (ii) of the lemma. □

Let  $\delta(n)$  be the minimal non-negative number of the form

$$1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_n},$$

where  $p_i$  are different primes. One can easily see that  $\delta(n)$  is attained by some set of primes and that  $\delta(n) > 0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *For any  $n \geq 2$  there exist primes  $p_1 < \dots < p_n < p_{n+1}$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} < 1 < \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_n} + \frac{1}{p_{n+1}}.$$

*Proof.* The cases when  $n < 8$  can be checked by hand; thus we may assume that  $n \geq 8$ .

We claim that  $\delta(n) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Indeed, let us prove this by induction. One can easily check that this holds for  $n = 5$ . Let it hold for  $m \geq 5$ . Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  be primes on which  $\delta(m)$  is attained. Then by Lemma 4.1(i) there are at least  $m + 1$  primes between  $\frac{1}{\delta(m)}$  and  $\frac{2}{\delta(m)}$ . Let  $p_{m+1}$  be the one of them different from  $p_1, \dots, p_m$ . Then

$$\delta(m+1) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{p_{m+1}} = \delta(m) - \frac{1}{p_{m+1}} \leq \delta(m) - \frac{\delta(m)}{2} = \frac{\delta(m)}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+1}}.$$

Now let us prove the assertion (ii). Let  $p_1 < \dots < p_n$  be primes such that

$$\delta(n) = 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_n}.$$

Assume that  $\delta(n) \geq \frac{1}{2p_n}$ . Then, since  $\frac{1}{2^n} \geq \delta(n)$ , we have  $p_n > 2^{n-1}$ . By Lemma 4.1(ii), there are at least  $n$  primes between  $\frac{2}{3}p_n$  and  $p_n$ . Let  $p$  be one of them different from  $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ . Define

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Since  $\delta = \delta(n) + \frac{1}{p_n} - \frac{1}{p}$ , then

$$\delta \geq \frac{1}{2p_n} + \frac{1}{p_n} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{3/2p - p_n}{pp_n} > 0,$$

since  $p > \frac{2}{3}p_n$ . However  $\delta < \delta(n)$ , since  $p < p_n$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $\delta(n)$ . Thus,  $\delta(n) < \frac{1}{2p_n}$ .

Summarizing, we got two inequalities:  $p_n < \frac{1}{2\delta(n)}$  and  $\frac{1}{\delta(n)} \geq 2^n$ . The last one by Lemma 4.1(i) implies that there exists a prime  $p_{n+1}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2\delta(n)} < p_{n+1} < \frac{1}{\delta(n)}$ . We have

$$p_1 < \dots < p_n < \frac{1}{2\delta(n)} < p_{n+1}.$$

The assertion of the proposition follows from

$$\frac{1}{p_{n+1}} > \delta(n) = 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_n} > 0. \quad \square$$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For any  $n > 2$  there exists an  $n$ -dimensional quasi-smooth well formed weighted complete intersection  $X$  of Cartier divisors such that  $X$  is of general type and  $h^{0,n}(X) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Define the integer number  $m > 1$  by  $n = 2m$  if  $n$  is even and  $n = 2m - 1$  if  $n$  is odd. By Proposition 4.2, there exist  $m + 2$  primes  $p_0 < \dots < p_m < p_{m+1}$  such that

$$\frac{1}{p_0} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} < 1 < \frac{1}{p_0} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} + \frac{1}{p_{m+1}}.$$

Put

$$a_s = p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{s-1} \cdot p_{s+1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_m.$$

If  $n$  is even, let  $X$  be a general hypersurface of degree  $2 \cdot p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$  in  $\mathbb{P}[a_0, a_0, a_1, a_1, \dots, a_m, a_m]$ ; otherwise let  $X$  be a general intersection of two hypersurfaces of degree  $p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m$  in  $\mathbb{P}[a_0, a_0, a_1, a_1, \dots, a_m, a_m]$ . (On the contrary of Remark 3.2,

it is well formed.) Note that in both cases  $X$  is quasi-smooth and well formed, and  $\dim(X) = n$ . Moreover,

$$i_X = 2 \cdot p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m - 2 \cdot a_0 - \dots - 2 \cdot a_m = 2 \cdot p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_0} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m}\right) > 0,$$

so that  $X$  is of general type. On the other hand, for any  $i, j$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} i_X - a_s - a_t &= p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m \cdot \left(2 - 2\frac{1}{p_0} - \dots - 2\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{p_s} - \frac{1}{p_t}\right) < \\ &< 2 \cdot p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_0} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{p_{m+1}}\right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

so there is no monomial of weight  $i_X$  whose usual degree in variables with weights  $a_0, \dots, a_m$  is 2 or greater. Finally, if for some  $l$  one has  $i_X = a_l$ , then  $i_X + 2a_l = 3a_l$ . However this is impossible unless  $p_l = 3$ , since the left side of this equality is divisible by  $p_l$ , while the right side is not. For  $p_l = 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_X - a_l &= 2 \cdot p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_0} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{6}\right) < \\ &< 2 \cdot p_0 \cdot \dots \cdot p_m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_0} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{p_{m+1}}\right) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $p_{m+1} > 6$ .

Thus, there are no linear monomials of weighted degree  $i_X$ . Now the assertion of proposition is given by Corollary 2.8.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BS62] J. B. Rosser, L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers* Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962), 64–94.
- [BC94] V. V. Batyrev, D. A. Cox, *On the Hodge structure of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, Duke Math. J. 75 (1994), 293–338.
- [Ca80] J. A. Carlson, *Extensions of mixed Hodge structures*. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979, 107–127. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn–Germantown, Md. (1980).
- [CS10] D. Cartwright, T. Steger, *Enumeration of the 50 fake projective planes*, Comptes Rendus Mathématique, Elsevier Masson SAS, 348 (1): 11–13 (2010).
- [CCC11] J.-J. Chen, J. Chen, M. Chen, *On quasismooth weighted complete intersections*, J. Algebraic Geom. 20 (2011), no. 2, 239–262.
- [Da78] V. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, Russian Math. Surveys, 33:2 (1978), 97–154.
- [Di95] A. Dimca, *Residues and cohomology of complete intersections*, Duke Math. J. 78 no. 1 (1995), 89–100.
- [Di86] A. Dimca, *Singularities and coverings of weighted complete intersections*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 366 (1986), 184–193.
- [Do82] I. Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, in “Lecture Notes in Math.” **956**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1982), 34–71.
- [FM18] E. Fatighenti, G. Mongardi, *A note on a Griffiths-type ring for complete intersections in Grassmannians*, arXiv:1801.09586 (2018).
- [Gr69] P. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals I, II*, Ann. of Math., 90 (1969), 460–541.
- [IF00] A. R. Iano-Fletcher, *Working with weighted complete intersections*, Explicit birational geometry of 3-folds, 101–173, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **281**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [Ka09] A. Kasprzyk, *Bounds on fake weighted projective space*, Kodai Math. J. 32 (2009), no. 2, 197–208.

- [Ma99] A. Mavlyutov, *Cohomology of complete intersections in toric varieties*, Pacific J. Math. **191** (1999), no. 1, 133–144.
- [Mu79] D. Mumford, *An algebraic surface with  $K$  ample,  $K^2 = 9$ ,  $p_g = q = 0$* , American Journal of Mathematics, The Johns Hopkins University Press, 101 (1): 233–244.
- [Na97] J. Nagel, *The Abel–Jacobi map for complete intersections*, Indag. Math. 8 (1997), no. 1, 95–113.
- [PST17] M. Pizzato, T. Sano, L. Tasin, *Effective non-vanishing for Fano weighted complete intersections*, Algebra Number Theory **11** (2017), no. 10, 2369–2395.
- [PSh20a] V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Hodge level for weighted complete intersections*, Collect. Math., **71** (2020), no. 3, 549–574.
- [PSh20b] V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Fano weighted complete intersections of large codimension*, Sib. Math. J. 61:2 (2020), 298–303.
- [PSh20c] V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Smooth prime Fano complete intersections in toric varieties*, arXiv:2010.14447 (2020).
- [PSh] V. Przyjalkowski, C. Shramov, *Weighted complete intersections*, preprint.
- [Ra72] M. Rapoport, *Complément à l'article de P. Deligne “La conjecture de Weil pour les surfaces  $K3$ ”*. Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 227–236.
- [RoTe12] M. Rossi, L. Terracini, *Linear algebra and toric data of weighted projective spaces*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **70** (2012), no. 4, 469–495.
- [Sy82] J. J. Sylvester, *On subinvariants, i.e. Semi-Invariants to Binary Quantics of an Unlimited Order*, American Journal of Mathematics **5**:1 (1882), 79–136.

*Victor Przyjalkowski*

Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS, 8 Gubkina street, Moscow 119991, Russia.  
 victorprz@mi-ras.ru, victorprz@gmail.com