

COHOMOLOGY CLASSES OF COMPLEX APPROXIMABLE ALGEBRAS.

CATRIONA MACLEAN
INSTITUT FOURIER
UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE ALPES.

ABSTRACT. In [2], Huayi Chen introduces the notion of an approximable graded algebra, which he uses to prove a Fujita-type theorem in the arithmetic setting, and asked if any such algebra is the graded ring of a big line bundle on a projective variety. This was proved to be false in [6]. The subsequent paper [7] showed that, whilst the approximable algebra is not necessarily a sub-algebra of the algebra of graded sections of a big line bundle, it is a full dimensional sub-algebra of the algebra of sections of an infinite Weil divisor. This paper also proved that over \mathbb{C} , if an infinite Weil divisor has a finite numerical class, then its section ring is approximable, and the same is true for any full dimensional subalgebra.

This note proves that the converse is true : any approximable algebra over \mathbb{C} is associated to an infinite Weil divisor whose numerical class converges.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fujita approximation theorem, [3], is an important result in algebraic geometry. It states that whilst the section ring associated to a big line bundle L on an algebraic variety X

$$R(L) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_m H^0(mL, X)$$

is typically not a finitely generated algebra, it can be approximated arbitrarily well by finitely generated algebras. More precisely:

Theorem 1.1 (Fujita). *Let X be an algebraic variety and let L be a big line bundle on X . For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a birational modification*

$$\pi : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$$

and a decomposition of \mathbb{Q} divisors, $\pi^(L) = A + E$ such that*

- A is ample and E is effective,
- $\text{vol}(A) \geq (1 - \epsilon)\text{vol}(L)$.

In [5], Lazarsfeld and Mustata used the Newton-Okounkov body associated to A to give a simple proof of Fujita approximation.

In [2], Huayi Chen uses Lazarsfeld and Mustata's work on Fujita approximation to prove a Fujita-type approximation theorem in the arithmetic setting. In the course of this work he defines the notion of approximable graded algebras, which are exactly those algebras for which a Fujita-type approximation theorem hold.

Definition 1. *An integral graded algebra $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$ with $B_0 = k$ a field is approximable if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *all the graded pieces B_m are finite dimensional over k .*
- (2) *for all sufficiently large m the space B_m is non-empty*
- (3) *for any ϵ there exists an p_0 such that for all $p \geq p_0$ we have that*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim(\text{Im}(S^n B_p \rightarrow B_{np}))}{\dim(B_{np})} > (1 - \epsilon).$$

In his paper [2] Chen asks whether any graded approximable algebra is in fact a subalgebra of the algebra of sections of a big line bundle. A counter-example was given to this in [6], where the graded approximable algebra is equal to the section ring of an *infinite* divisor¹. The subsequent paper [7] showed that any approximable algebra is indeed a subalgebra of the section ring of such a divisor. Moreover, it was established that if X is a smooth complex algebraic variety of dimension d and $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$ is an infinite Weil divisor on X such that the sum of divisor classes $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i [D_i]$ converges in the Neron Severi space $NS(X)$ to a finite real big cohomology class $[D]$ then the algebra $\bigoplus_m H^0(mD)$ is approximable.

The main result of this note proves that the converse is true.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathbf{B} be an approximable algebra over \mathbb{C} and let $X(\mathbf{B})$ and $D(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$ be the smooth complex variety and infinite Weil divisor constructed in [7] such that \mathbf{B} is a full dimensional sub algebra of the section ring of $D(\mathbf{B})$. The sum of cohomology classes in $NS(X)$, the Neron Severi space of X , $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i [D_i]$ is then a convergent series.*

In section 2 we set notion and recall such results from [7] as will be necessary. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. NOTATION AND TWO PRELIMINARY RESULTS.

In this section we will fix some notation and recall some essential preliminary results.

In this paper we consider a graded approximable algebra $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$ such that $B_0 = \mathbb{C}$. For any natural numbers k and n we will denote by $\text{Sym}^n(B_k)$ the n -th symmetric power of the vector space B_k and by

¹Infinite in this context meaning an infinite formal sum of Weil divisors with real coefficients $\sum_i a_i D_i$.

$S^n(B_k)$ the image of $\text{Sym}^n(B_k)$ in B_{nk} .

We will say that a graded, not a priori approximable, algebra $\bigoplus_m B_m$ is of dimension d and has volume v if the sequence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\text{rk}(B_n)}{n^d/d!} \right)$$

converges to the strictly positive real number v . It is proved by Chen in [2] that such numbers exist for any approximable algebra \mathbf{B} .

We recall now the construction from [7] of the smooth variety $X(\mathbf{B})$ and the infinite Weil divisor $D(\mathbf{B})$ such that \mathbf{B} is a subalgebra of the section ring of $D(\mathbf{B})$.

2.1. Construction of $X(\mathbf{B})$ and $D(\mathbf{B})$. The variety $X(\mathbf{B})$ is defined up to birational equivalence using the homogeneous field of fractions of $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$, which we now define.

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{B} = \bigoplus_m B_m$ be a graded algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then we define its homogeneous fraction field by

$$K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B}) = \left\{ \frac{b_1}{b_2} \mid \exists m \text{ such that } b_1, b_2 \in B_m, b_2 \neq 0 \right\} / \sim$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation

$$\frac{b_1}{b_2} \sim \frac{c_1}{c_2} \Leftrightarrow b_1 c_2 = c_1 b_2.$$

Note that $K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$ is a field extension of \mathbb{C} .

Choose m large enough that B_n and B_{n+1} are both non-trivial. Choose $f_1 \in B_n$ and $f_2 \in B_{n+1}$. For any m we can then identify B_m with a subspace of $K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$ via the inclusion

$$i_m : b_m \rightarrow \frac{b_m f_1^m}{f_2^m}.$$

(Note that this inclusion depends on the choice of f_1 and f_2). Throughout what follows, we will assume that we have fixed $f_1 \in B_n$ and $f_2 \in B_{n+1}$ for some choice of n and that there is therefore for every m a fixed inclusion $i_m : B_m \hookrightarrow K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$. These inclusions satisfy $i_m(b_m) i_n(b_n) = i_{m+n}(b_m b_n)$ for any $b_m \in B_m$ and $b_n \in B_n$.

This field is proved in [7] to be finitely generated, enabling the following definition of $X(\mathbf{B})$.

Definition 3. The variety $X(\mathbf{B})$ is a smooth projective complex variety such that $K(X(\mathbf{B})) = K^{\text{hom}}(\mathbf{B})$.

Remark 1. The variety $X(\mathbf{B})$ is defined only up to birational equivalence. It can be chosen smooth by Hironaka resolution.

We now recall the definition of the infinite Weil divisor $D(\mathbf{B})$ which is constructed as the limit of the sequence of divisors D_m/m , where the divisors D_m are poles of the rational functions $b_m \in B_m$. More precisely, for any $b_m \in B_m$ let $(b_m)_X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i D_i$ be the principal divisor² on $X(\mathbf{B})$ cut out by the rational function b_m . We let $(b_m)_X^- = \sum_{i|c_i < 0} -c_i D_i$ be the poles divisors of b_m and let $(b_m)_X^+ = \sum_{i|c_i > 0} c_i D_i$ be the zeros divisors of b_m so that

$$(b_m)_X = (b_m)_X^+ - (b_m)_X^-.$$

We can now define D_m .

Definition 4. For any m such that B_m is non-empty we define the effective divisor D_m on $X(\mathbf{B})$ by

$$D_m = \sup_{b_m \in B_m} ((b_m)_X^-).$$

where the supremum is taken with respect to the natural partial order on $\text{Weil}(X(\mathbf{B}))$.

It is proved in [7] that this supremum is a maximum and moreover for every m such that B_m is non empty there exists a b_m such that D_m is the poles divisor of $i_m(b_m)$. We can now define $D(\mathbf{B})$.

Definition 5. We set $D(\mathbf{B}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (D_m/m)$ ³

In the next section we prove that the infinite divisor $D(\mathbb{B})$ has a finite cohomology class.

3. FINITENESS OF THE COHOMOLOGY CLASS $[D(\mathbf{B})]$.

We now give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that if $\mathbf{B}_q = \bigoplus_n B_{nq}$ then $D(\mathbf{B}_q) = qD(\mathbf{B})$ so without loss of generality we may assume that B_1 is nonzero.

Our proof will depend on the following observation.

Lemma 1. Let X be a smooth complex variety and let H be an ample divisor on X . Equip $NS(X)$, the Neron-Severi group of X , with a norm denoted $|\cdot|$. There is then a constant $C > 0$ such that for any pseudo-effective divisor E on X we have that

$$E \cdot H > C|[E]|.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.

Suppose not. Then there exists a sequence of pseudo-effective divisor classes $[E_n]$ such that $|[E_n]| = 1$ pour tout n et $E_n \cdot H \rightarrow 0$. Passing to a convergent subsequence we may assume that in the Neron Severi

²Here, the D_i s are prime divisors, and the sum is finite

³It is proved in [7] that this limit exists and for any k the divisor $[kD]$ is finite.

space $[E_n]$ converges to a non-zero pseudo effective cohomology class $[E]$ such that $H \cdot E = 0$ but this is impossible. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

This lemma enables us to give a numerical criterion for convergence of the sequence $[D_m/m]$.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathbf{B} be an approximable algebra over \mathbb{C} , , let $X(\mathbf{B})$ be the associated variety and consider the divisors D_m/m defined above, converging to an infinite Weil divisor $D(\mathbf{B})$. Let $NS(X)$ be the Neron Severi space of X . Let H be an ample divisor on X .*

The sequence $[Dm/m]$ converges in $NS(X)$ if and only if the numerical sequence $(D_m \cdot H)/m$ converges.

Proof of Lemma 2.

The fact that convergence of $[D_m/m]$ implies convergence of $(D_m \cdot H)/m$ is immediate. We assume now that the sequence $(D_m \cdot H)/m$ converges and we will show that the sequence of cohomology classes $[D_m/m]$ also converges. Throughout what follows, we write $[D_1] \geq [D_2]$ if and only if $[D_1 - D_2]$ is the cohomology class of a *pseudo-effective* divisor.

We show first that if the sequence $[D_{m!}/(m!)]$ converges to a limit $[D]$ then so does the sequence $[D_m/m]$. For any integer m_1 and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an integer M_1 such that if $m > M_1$ then

$$(1) \quad [D_m/m] \geq (1 - \epsilon)[D_{m_1!}/(m_1!).]$$

We also have that

$$(2) \quad [D_m/m] \leq [D_{m!}/(m!)] \leq [D]$$

since the sequence $[D_{m!}/(m!)]$ is increasing in m . (The last equality is immediate because we have defined the partial order \leq in terms of pseudo effective divisors rather than effective divisors).

It follows from (1) that for all $m > M_1$ we have that

$$[D - D_m/m] \leq [(D - (1 - \epsilon)D_{m_1!}/(m_1!))]$$

and hence

$$H \cdot (D - D_m/m) \leq [H] \cdot [D - (1 - \epsilon)D_{m_1!}/(m_1!)]$$

Since the right hand side can be made arbitrarily small by an appropriate choice of ϵ and m_1 we deduce that

$$[H] \cdot ([D - D_m/m]) \rightarrow_{m \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Since $[D - D_m/m]$ is pseudo-effective by equation (2), it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$[D_m/m] \rightarrow_{m \rightarrow \infty} [D].$$

It remains only to show that the sequence $D_m!/m!$ is convergent. We note that this sequence of divisors is monotone increasing. In particular, if we set

$$R_m = D_m!/(m!) - D_{(m-1)!}/(m-1)!$$

then R_m is effective and hence pseudo-effective for all m . We have assumed that the sequence $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H \cdot R_m$ is convergent. By Lemma 1, the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [R_m]$ is also convergent. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

A final lemma will be necessary before completing the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3. *There are constants p, k and N such that for any $m > N$ divisible by p and any n such that $n \geq km$ there are polynomials T_1 and T_2 in $S^n(B_p)$ such that $i_m(b_m) = T_1/T_2$. In particular, such polynomials T_1 and T_2 exist in $S^{km}(B_p)$.*

The proof of Lemma 3 is similar to that of Proposition 1 in [7].

Chen shows in [2] that if B is approximable then there is a constant d and another constant M such that

$$\dim(B_n) \sim Mn^d.$$

In particular, there exists a constant N and another constant k such that for any $m_1, m_2 > N'$ such that $m_2 > km_1$ we have that

$$\dim(B_{m_1+m_2}) \leq \frac{4}{3}(\dim(B_{m_2})).$$

Pick now a p and n_0 such that we have both of the following:

- (1) $p > N$
- (2) $\dim(S^n(B_p)) \geq \frac{2\dim(B_{np})}{3}$ pour tout $n > n_0$.

Consider now an element b_m dans B_m for some $m > \max\{N, n_0p\}$. We assume furthermore that m is divisible by p , $m = k'p$. Our aim will be to give a bound on the poles of $i_m(b_m)$ which depends linearly on m .

Choose n such that $np \geq km$. We note that in particular $np \geq N$. We may assume that $i_m(b_m) = \frac{b_m}{b'_m}$ for some $b_m, b'_m \in B_m$ since we have assumed that $B_1 \neq \{0\}$ Note that

$$\dim(b_m \cdot S^n(B_p)) = \dim(S^n(B_p)) > \frac{2(\dim(B_{np}))}{3} > \frac{\dim(B_{np+m})}{2}$$

Similarly,

$$\dim(b'_m \cdot S^n(B_p)) = \dim(S^n(B_p)) > \frac{2(\dim(B_{np}))}{3} > \frac{\dim(B_{np+m})}{2}$$

from which it follows that

$$b_m \cdot S^n(B_p) \cap b'_m \cdot S^n(B_p) \neq \{0\}$$

and hence

$$\frac{b_m}{b'_m} = \frac{T_1}{T_2}$$

for some $T_1, T_2 \in S^n(B_p)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix n such that $np = km$. For $j = 1, 2$ we set

$$(D(i_{np}(T_j))) = Z_j - P_j$$

where Z_j and P_j are effective divisors that do not have any common component. Note that by definition of D_p we have that

$$P_j \leq (km)D_p$$

and it follows that, numerically,

$$Z_j \cdot H \leq (km)D_p \cdot H$$

But now if we consider the poles divisors of $i_m(b_p)$, we have that

$$P(i_m(b_m)) \leq P_1 + Z_2$$

and hence

$$P(i_m(b_m)) \cdot H < 2(km)D_p \cdot H.$$

We know that there exists a b_m such that $P(i_m(b_m)) = D_m$ and hence

$$\frac{D_m}{m} \cdot H \leq \frac{2km}{m}D_p \cdot H \leq 2kD_p \cdot H$$

and hence this sequence is bounded since k and p were fixed.

It follows that the sequence $\frac{D_m}{m} \cdot H$ is bounded and hence convergent, so that by Lemma 2 the sequence $[D_m/m]$ is also convergent in $NS(X)$.

This completes the proof of theorem 1.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author of this paper was supported by the ERC grant ALKAGE.

REFERENCES

- [1] Boucksom, S. *Corps d'Okounkov (d'après Okounkov, Lazarsfeld-Mustăța et Kaveh-Khovanskii)*. Séminaire Bourbaki no1059, Octobre 2012.
- [2] Chen, H. *Arithmetic Fujita Approximation*. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **43**, fasc. 4 (2010) 555-578.
- [3] Fujita, T.: *Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundles*. Kodai Math. J. 17(1), 1-3 (1994)
- [4] Kaveh, K.; Khovanskii, A.; *Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*. Ann. of Math. (2) **176** (2012), no. 2, 925-978.

SCATRIONA MACLEAN INSTITUT FOURIER UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE ALPES.

- [5] Lazarsfeld, R., Mustața, M.; *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) **42** (2009) 783-835.
- [6] Maclean, C. *Approximable algebras and a question of Chen's*. Arxiv preprint 1703.01801.
- [7] Maclean, C. *Classifying approximable algebras*. Arxiv preprint 1709.06945.
- [8] Okounkov, A.; *Why would multiplicities be log-concave?* in: The Orbit Method in Geometry and Physics, in: Progr. Math., vol. 213, 2003, pp. 329-347.

Author - Catriona Maclean

Address - Institut Fourier, 100 rue des maths, Saint Martin d'Hères,
38400, France

Email - Catriona.Maclean@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Affiliation - Université Grenoble Alpes.