

FAMILIES OF ICIS WITH CONSTANT TOTAL MILNOR NUMBER

R. S. CARVALHO, J. J. NUÑO-BALLESTEROS, B. ORÉFICE-OKAMOTO, J. N. TOMAZELLA

ABSTRACT. We show that a family of isolated complete intersection singularities (ICIS) with constant total Milnor number has no coalescence of singularities. This extends a well known result of Gabrielov, Lazzeri and Lê for hypersurfaces. We use A'Campo's theorem to see that the Lefschetz number of the generic monodromy of the ICIS is zero when the ICIS is singular. We give a pair applications for families of functions on ICIS which extend also some known results for functions on a smooth variety.

1. INTRODUCTION

A well known theorem proved independently by Gabrielov, Lazzeri and Lê (see [4, 9, 10]) ensures that a family of hypersurfaces with constant total Milnor number has no coalescence of singularities. Also, Buchweitz and Greuel, in [1], present an example which shows that this is not true for families of reduced curves in general and they ask if there is such an example for a family of isolated complete intersection singularities (ICIS). In this work, we answer negatively the Buchweitz and Greuel question.

More specifically, we consider an ICIS $(X, 0)$ defined as the zero locus of a reduced holomorphic mapping $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$. A deformation of $(X, 0)$ is given by another holomorphic mapping $\Phi : (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ such that $\Phi(0, x) = \phi(x)$ for all x . We fix a representative $\Phi : D \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$, where D and W are open neighbourhoods of the origin in \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}^n respectively. For each $t \in D$, we put $\phi_t(x) := \Phi(t, x)$ and $X_t := \phi_t^{-1}(0)$ and call $(X_t)_{t \in D}$ a family of ICIS.

Let $B_\epsilon \subset W$ be a Milnor ball for X at 0, that is, 0 is the only singular point of X on B_ϵ and for all ϵ' with $0 < \epsilon' \leq \epsilon$, $\partial B_{\epsilon'}$ is transverse to X . After shrinking D if necessary, we can assume that ∂B_ϵ is also transverse to X_t , for all $t \in D$. This forces that X_t has a finite number of singular points $z_1(t), \dots, z_k(t)$ contained in B_ϵ . The *total Milnor number* of X_t is defined as

$$\mu_t := \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} \mu(X_t, z_i(t)),$$

where $\mu(X_t; z_i(t))$ is the Milnor number of X_t at $z_i(t)$. We say that the family has *constant total Milnor number* if $\mu_t = \mu_0$, for all $t \in D$. Our main result is that a family of ICIS with constant total Milnor number has no coalescence of singularities as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(X_t)_{t \in D}$ be a family of ICIS with constant total Milnor number. Then X_t has a unique singular point $z_1(t)$ on B_ϵ and $\mu(X_t, z_1(t)) = \mu(X, 0)$, for all $t \in D$.*

As we mentioned at the beginning, the hypersurface case of Theorem 1.1 ($p = 1$) gives the result of Gabrielov, Lazzeri and Lê in [4, 9, 10]. Here we follow the proof of Lê in [10], which is based on A'Campo's theorem that the Lefschetz number of the monodromy of a hypersurface with an isolated singular point is zero [2]. In fact, A'Campo's theorem is more general and can be used for the monodromy of any holomorphic function $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ on a complex analytic variety X such that $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{X,0}^2$, where we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{X,0}$ the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$. A recent paper [5] also shows that in these conditions, the geometric monodromy can be constructed with no fixed points, which is even stronger than A'Campo's theorem.

When $(X, 0)$ is an ICIS of dimension d , we can choose generic coordinates in \mathbb{C}^p in such a way that the zero locus $(X_1, 0)$ of $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{p-1}$ is also an ICIS of dimension $d+1$. We can imitate the hypersurface case by seeing $(X, 0)$ as the special fibre of the restriction $\phi_p : (X_1, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. We show that the monodromy

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S40; Secondary 32S50, 32S55.

Key words and phrases. Isolated complete intersection singularities, monodromy, Milnor fibration, coalescence of singularities.

The first author was partially supported by CAPES. The second author was partially supported by MICINN Grant PGC2018-094889-B-I00 and by GVA Grant AICO/2019/024 The third author was partially supported by FAPESP Grant 2016/25730-0. The fourth author was partially supported by CNPq Grant 309086/2017-5 and FAPESP Grant 2018/22090-5.

of $\phi_p : (X_1, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is independent of the choice of the generic coordinates and call it the *generic monodromy* of $(X, 0)$. Moreover, we also show that if 0 is a singular point of X , then we can choose the generic coordinates such that $\phi_p \in \mathfrak{m}_{X_1, 0}^2$, so we can use A'Campo's theorem in this situation.

In the last section, we give two applications of Theorem 1.1 for families of functions $f_t : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, $t \in D$, on a fixed ICIS $(X, 0)$. We denote by $S(f_t)$ the set of critical points of f_t and by $S(Y_t)$ the set of singular points of the fibre $Y_t := f_t^{-1}(0)$. Obviously, $S(Y_t)$ is contained in $S(f_t)$, but $S(f_t)$ may have critical points contained in fibres different from Y_t . We show that if $S(f_t) = S(Y_t)$, then $S(f_t) = \{0\}$. Again this result appears in the papers of Gabrielov, Lazzeri and Lê [4, 9, 10] when $X = \mathbb{C}^n$.

For the second application we consider $J = J(f_t, \phi)$ the relative Jacobian ideal, that is, the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X, 0}$ generated the maximal minors of the Jacobian matrix of (f_t, ϕ) with respect to the variables x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathbb{C}^n (that is, we do not consider here derivatives with respect to t). The zero locus of J in $(\mathbb{C} \times X, 0)$ is the set germ of points (t, x) such that $x \in S(f_t)$. We show that if $\partial F / \partial t$ belongs to the radical of J in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X, 0}$, with $F(x, t) = f_t(x)$, then the zero locus of J is equal to $(\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}, 0)$. This result completes some of the equivalences considered in a previous paper [3] about families of functions on ICIS. Such equivalences were showed by Greuel in [6] again in the case $X = \mathbb{C}^n$.

2. THE GENERIC MONODROMY OF AN ICIS

We recall some basic facts about the monodromy of an ICIS. Let $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a holomorphic map germ such that the germ defined by its zero set, $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, is an ICIS of dimension d . We denote by Σ the set germ of critical points of ϕ and by $\Delta = \phi(\Sigma)$ its discriminant. It is well known that Δ is a hypersurface in $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ (see for instance [13]). We choose $0 < \delta \ll \epsilon \ll 1$ such that the restriction

$$(1) \quad \phi : B_\epsilon \cap \phi^{-1}(\mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta) \rightarrow \mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta$$

is a proper submersion, where B_ϵ is the closed ball of radius ϵ centered at the origin in \mathbb{C}^n and \mathring{B}_δ is the open ball of radius δ centered at the origin in \mathbb{C}^p . After shrinking δ if necessary, $\mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta$ is connected. By the Ehresmann Lemma for manifolds with boundary (see [12, Lemma 6.2.10]), (1) is a smooth fibre bundle with fibre $F = B_\epsilon \cap \phi^{-1}(y)$, for some $y \in \mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta$. The fibre F is called the *Milnor fibre* of $(X, 0)$.

Associated with the fibre bundle (1), we also have the *monodromy action* which is the group homomorphism

$$(2) \quad \pi_1(\mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta; y) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(H_*(F))$$

where $\text{Aut}(H_*(F))$ is the group of automorphisms of the homology of the fibre $H_*(F)$. The image of (2) is known as the *monodromy group* of $(X, 0)$.

Since $\mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta$ is connected, we may write $\pi_1(\mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta)$ instead of $\pi_1(\mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta; y)$. A different choice of base point will give a conjugated monodromy via the isomorphism induced by a path between the two base points.

Definition 2.1. We say that a line L in \mathbb{C}^p through the origin is *generic* if, as set germs,

$$L \cap \Delta = \{0\}, \quad L \cap C_0(\Delta) = \{0\},$$

where $C_0(\Delta)$ is the Zariski tangent cone of Δ at the origin. The *monodromy with respect to L* is the monodromy $H_*(F) \rightarrow H_*(F)$ associated with the smooth fibre bundle

$$(3) \quad \phi : B_\epsilon \cap \phi^{-1}(\partial B_\eta \cap L) \rightarrow \partial B_\eta \cap L,$$

for $\eta > 0$ small enough, and induced by the loop $\alpha_y(\theta) = e^{2\pi i \theta} y$, with $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and some $y \in \partial B_\eta \cap L$.

It follows from the Noether Normalisation Theorem (see e.g. [8, Corollary 3.3.19]) that the set Ω of generic lines is a non-empty Zariski open subset of \mathbb{P}^{p-1} . In particular, Ω is connected.

On the other hand, the condition that L is generic is equivalent to the fact that the local intersection number $i(\Delta, L; 0)$ of Δ and L in \mathbb{C}^p at the origin is equal to the multiplicity $m := m(\Delta, 0)$. In fact, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_p$ be such that $\varphi = 0$ is a reduced equation for Δ . Then we can write $\varphi = \varphi_m + \varphi_{m+1} + \dots$, where each φ_i is homogeneous of degree i and $\varphi_m \neq 0$. Moreover, $\varphi_m = 0$ is an equation for $C_0(\Delta)$. Assume also that L is generated by some $v \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $v \neq 0$, so it has a parametrisation $\gamma(t) = tv$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. This gives that $i(\Delta, L; 0)$ is the order of the composition $\gamma \circ \varphi : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ and this is equal to m if and only if L is generic.

Lemma 2.2. *The monodromy with respect to L is independent of the choice of the generic line $L \in \Omega$.*

Proof. Since Ω is connected, it is enough to show that given $L_0 \in \Omega$, there exists an open neighbourhood W of L_0 in Ω such that the monodromy with respect to L is independent of $L \in W$. For simplicity, we assume that L_0 has homogeneous coordinates $[u_0 : 1]$ in \mathbb{P}^{p-1} , for some $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{p-1}$. We take an open neighbourhood U of u_0 in \mathbb{C}^{p-1} such that for all $u \in U$, the line $L_u = [u : 1] \in \Omega$.

Assume that Δ has reduced equation $\varphi = 0$, for some $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_p$. Let $\gamma_u : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ be the parametrisation of L_u given by $\gamma_u(t) = t(u, 1)$. Then the local intersection number of L_u and Δ at the origin is

$$i(\Delta, L_u; 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},0}}{(\varphi \circ \gamma_u)},$$

which is constant equal to $m := m(\Delta, 0)$ for all $u \in U$. Since

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times U, (0, u_0)}}{(\varphi \circ \gamma_u)},$$

is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension $p - 1$, we have conservation of the multiplicity. This means that there exists an open neighbourhood $D \times \mathring{B}_\rho$ of $(0, u_0)$ in $\mathbb{C} \times U$ such that for all $u \in \mathring{B}_\rho$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},0}}{(\varphi \circ \gamma_{u_0})} = \sum_{\varphi \circ \gamma_u(t)=0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},t}}{(\varphi \circ \gamma_u)}.$$

Now the constancy of the local intersection number at the origin implies that $\varphi \circ \gamma_u(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$. Hence we can find $\eta > 0$ small enough such that $\partial B_\eta \cap L_u \cap \Delta = \emptyset$, for all $u \in \mathring{B}_\rho$.

Finally, let

$$A = \partial B_\eta \cap \left(\bigcup_{u \in \mathring{B}_\rho} L_u \right).$$

We have $A \subset \mathring{B}_\delta \setminus \Delta$ and A is homeomorphic to $S^1 \times \mathring{B}_\rho$. Hence A has the homotopy type of S^1 and all the loops α_y , for $y \in A$, are homotopic in $B_\delta \setminus \Delta$, via the isomorphism induced by a path between the base points. By (2), the associated monodromies $H_*(F) \rightarrow H_*(F)$ are all equal. We take W as the set of lines L_u , with $u \in \mathring{B}_\rho$. \square

Definition 2.3. The monodromy with respect to a generic line L is called the *generic monodromy* of $(X, 0)$.

In practice, given a generic line L we can choose coordinates in \mathbb{C}^p such that L is the line given by the y_p -axis. It follows that the set germ $(X_1, 0)$ defined by the zeros of $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{p-1}$ is an ICIS of dimension $d + 1$. The generic monodromy is now the monodromy of the restriction $\phi_p|_{(X_1,0)} : (X_1, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, that is, the monodromy associated with the smooth fibre bundle

$$\phi_p : X_1 \cap B_\epsilon \cap \phi_p^{-1}(\partial D_\eta) \rightarrow \partial D_\eta,$$

for $0 < \eta \ll \epsilon \ll 1$.

Lemma 2.4. *If $(X, 0)$ is singular, then its generic monodromy has Lefschetz number equal to zero.*

Proof. Since $(X, 0)$ is singular, $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ has rank $r < p$. We can choose coordinates (x, y) in $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^{n-r}$ and u in \mathbb{C}^{p-r} such that

$$\phi(x, y) = (x, \phi_1(x, y), \dots, \phi_{p-r}(x, y)),$$

with $\phi_i \in \mathfrak{m}_n^2$, for $i = 1, \dots, p - r$. Hence, $(X, 0) = (\{0\} \times Y, 0)$, where $(Y, 0)$ is the ICIS defined as the zero set of $\psi : (\mathbb{C}^{n-r}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{p-r}, 0)$ given by

$$\psi(y) = (\phi_1(0, y), \dots, \phi_{p-r}(0, y)).$$

After a new linear coordinate change in \mathbb{C}^{p-r} if necessary, we can assume that the u_{p-r} -axis is a generic line in \mathbb{C}^{p-r} for $(Y, 0)$. Hence, the u_{p-r} -axis is also a generic line in \mathbb{C}^p for $(X, 0)$. The generic monodromy of $(X, 0)$ is the monodromy of the restriction $\phi_{p-r}|_{(X_1,0)} : (X_1, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$, where $(X_1, 0)$ is the ICIS given by $x = 0$ and $\phi_1(0, y) = \dots = \phi_{p-r-1}(0, y) = 0$. Since $\phi_{p-r} \in \mathfrak{m}_n^2$, its restriction belongs to $\mathfrak{m}_{X_1,0}^2$. By A'Campo's theorem [2, Theorem 1 bis] (see also [5, Theorem 0.2]), the monodromy has Lefschetz number equal to zero. \square

3. MAIN THEOREM

We consider an ICIS $(X, 0)$ defined as the zero locus of a reduced holomorphic mapping $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$. A deformation of $(X, 0)$ is given by another holomorphic mapping $\Phi : (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ such that $\Phi(0, x) = \phi(x)$ for all x . We fix a representative $\Phi : D \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$, where D and W are open neighbourhoods of the origin in \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}^n respectively. For each $t \in D$, we put $\phi_t(x) := \Phi(t, x)$ and $X_t := \phi_t^{-1}(0)$ and call $(X_t)_{t \in D}$ a family of ICIS.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X_t)_{t \in D}$ be a holomorphic family of complete intersections as described above. Let B be a Milnor ball for $(X, 0)$. Then for t different of zero and small enough, $X_t \cap B$ has k_t singular points: $z_1(t), \dots, z_{k_t}(t)$. If*

$$\mu(X, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} \mu(X_t, z_i(t)),$$

then $k_t = 1$ and $\mu(X_t, z_1(t)) = \mu(X, 0)$ for all t small enough.

Proof. We choose a Milnor ball $B_i \subset \mathring{B}$ for each (X_t, z_i) , $i = 1, \dots, k_t$.

Let $X_{t,A} := (\phi_t)^{-1}(A) \cap B$ be a generic fiber of ϕ_t . We define the sets $U = X_{t,A} \cap (\cup_{i=1}^{k_t} B_i)$ and $V = X_{t,A} \cap (B \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{k_t} \mathring{B}_i)$.

We consider the following piece of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence in homology of the pair (U, V) in $X_{t,A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_{d+1}(X_{t,A}) \rightarrow H_d(U \cap V) \rightarrow H_d(U) \oplus H_d(V) \rightarrow H_d(X_{t,A}) \rightarrow \\ H_{d-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow H_{d-1}(U) \oplus H_{d-1}(V) \rightarrow H_{d-1}(X_{t,A}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where $d = n - p$.

Since the Milnor fiber of an ICIS of dimension d is a bouquet of d -spheres, we conclude that $H_{d-1}(X_{t,A}) = H_{d-1}(U) = H_{d+1}(X_{t,A}) = 0$. Therefore the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_d(U \cap V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_t} H_d(X_{t,A} \cap B_i) \oplus H_d(V) \rightarrow H_d(X_{t,A}) \rightarrow H_{d-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow H_{d-1}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence $a_1 - a_2 + \mu(X, 0) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} \mu(X_t, z_i) - a_3 + a_4 = 0$, where $a_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{d-1}(V)$, $a_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{d-1}(U \cap V)$, $a_3 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_d(V)$ and $a_4 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_d(U \cap V)$. By the hypothesis, $\mu(X, 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} \mu(X_t, z_i)$. Therefore

$$(4) \quad a_1 - a_2 - a_3 + a_4 = 0.$$

Applying the generic monodromy to the exact sequence, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H_d(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_t} H_d(X_{t,A} \cap B_i) \oplus H_d(V) & \longrightarrow & H_d(X_{t,A}) & \longrightarrow & H_{d-1}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & H_{d-1}(V) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \oplus_{i=1}^{k_t} h_i^* \oplus Id_3 & & \downarrow h^* & & \downarrow Id_2 & & \downarrow Id_1 \\ 0 \rightarrow H_d(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_t} H_d(X_{t,A} \cap B_i) \oplus H_d(V) & \longrightarrow & H_d(X_{t,A}) & \longrightarrow & H_{d-1}(U \cap V) & \longrightarrow & H_{d-1}(V) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where h^* and h_i^* are the generic monodromies of $(X, 0)$ and (X_t, z_i) , respectively, and Id_1, \dots, Id_4 are the identities.

Hence, if we denote by tr the trace of a homomorphism then we have $tr(Id_1) - tr(Id_2) + tr(h^*) - (\sum_{i=1}^{k_t} tr(h_i^*) + tr(Id_3)) + tr(Id_4) = 0$. That is,

$$(5) \quad a_1 - a_2 + tr(h^*) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} tr(h_i^*) - a_3 + a_4 = 0.$$

By comparing the equations (4) and (5), we conclude that

$$(6) \quad tr(h^*) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_t} tr(h_i^*).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q tr(h^{*q}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q tr(h_i^{*q}) = 0$$

Since $h^{*q} = 0$ and $h_i^{*q} = 0$, for all $q \neq 0, d$, and $\text{tr}(h^{*0}) = 1 = \text{tr}(h_i^{*0})$, we conclude that

$$0 = 1 + (-1)^d \text{tr}(h^{*d}) \text{ and } 0 = 1 + (-1)^d \text{tr}(h_i^{*d}).$$

That is, $\text{tr}(h^{*d}) = \text{tr}(h_i^{*d}) = (-1)^{d+1}$.

Then, by the equation (6), $k_t = 1$ and thus $\mu(X, 0) = \mu(X_t, z_1)$. \square

Remark 3.2. The hypersurface case of the previous theorem ($p = 1$) gives the result of Gabriélov, Lazzieri and Lê in [4, 9, 10]. It answers negatively the question formulated by Buchweitz and Greuel in the Example 7.2.5 of [1] about the existence of a family of ICIS with constant total Milnor number with splitting of the singularity.

4. APPLICATIONS

4.1. We also know, from the works of Lê, Lazzieri and Gabriélov ([10, 9, 4]) that if a family of holomorphic function germs $f_t: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with isolated singularity is such that the singular set of f_t is equal to the singular set of the variety $f_t^{-1}(0)$ then, in a small ball around the origin in \mathbb{C}^n , the only singular point of each f_t is 0. We can use the result of the previous section to show that this continues to be true if we change the source of the germs by an ICIS.

More specifically, we consider an ICIS $(X, 0)$ defined as the zero locus of a reduced holomorphic mapping $\phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ and $f: (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ a holomorphic function germ with isolated singularity. In this case, we define the Milnor number of f , $\mu(f)$, as the dimension as a \mathbb{C} -vector space of the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle \phi \rangle + J(f, \phi)}$, where $J(f, \phi)$ is the ideal generated by the maximal minors of the Jacobian matrix of the map (f, ϕ) .

Let $F: (\mathbb{C} \times X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a (flat) deformation of f . We fix a representative $F: D \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where D and W are open neighbourhoods of the origin in \mathbb{C} and X respectively. We denote $f_t(x) := F(t, x)$ and $Y_t = X \cap f_t^{-1}(0)$. In this case, the singular set of each f_t , $S(f_t)$, is the zero set of the ideal in \mathcal{O}_W (the ring of holomorphic functions from W to \mathbb{C}) generated by ϕ and by the minors of maximal size of the Jacobian matrix of the map (f_t, ϕ) ; the singular set of Y_t , $S(Y_t)$ is the zero set of the ideal generated by ϕ , f_t and the minors of maximal size of the Jacobian matrix of the map (f_t, ϕ) (we remark that we are not making derivatives with respect to t). It is always true that $S(Y_t) \subset S(f_t)$. We assume 0 is a singular point of $S(f_t)$

Corollary 4.1. *If $S(f_t) = S(Y_t)$, then $S(f_t) = \{0\}$ on a fixed neighborhood of the origin, which is independent of t .*

Proof. We will consider the Milnor number of each germ $f_t: (X, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In this case,

$$\mu(f_t) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle \phi \rangle + J(f_t, \phi)}.$$

We choose a Milnor ball B for $(X, 0)$. Since $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle \phi \rangle + J(f_t, \phi)}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring (see [7, Lemma 1.9]) (here, we are considering t as variable), by the conservation of number principle, in \mathring{B} ,

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{x \in S(f_t)} \mu(f_t, x),$$

for t sufficiently small. Therefore, since we are assuming that $S(f_t) = S(Y_t)$,

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{x \in S(Y_t)} \mu(f_t, x).$$

By using the Lê-Greuel formula (see [7, 11]) we see that

$$\mu(X, 0) + \mu(X \cap f^{-1}(0), 0) = \sum_{x \in S(Y_t)} (\mu(X, x) + \mu(Y_t, x)).$$

Since X has an isolated singularity at the origin,

$$\mu(X, 0) + \mu(X \cap f^{-1}(0), 0) = \mu(X, 0) + \sum_{x \in S(Y_t)} \mu(Y_t, x).$$

Hence,

$$\mu(X \cap f^{-1}(0), 0) = \sum_{x \in S(Y_t)} \mu(Y_t, x).$$

By Theorem 3.1, $\sharp S(Y_t) = 1$ and, therefore, $S(f_t) = \{0\}$. □

4.2. Let $f_t: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic family of holomorphic function germs with isolated singularities. In [6], Greuel presents five conditions which are equivalent to such a family to have constant Milnor number. These conditions are related to the integral closure and to the radical of the ideal which defines the singular set of the members of the family.

In [3], we study what happens to Greuel's result for a holomorphic family of holomorphic function germs $f_t: (X, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $(X, 0)$ is an ICIS. Let $\phi: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a holomorphic map germ whose zero set defines an ICIS $(X, 0)$. Let $f: (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a holomorphic function germ with isolated singularity and $F: (\mathbb{C} \times X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a (flat) deformation of f . We write $f_t(x) := F(x, t)$. We denote by J the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$ whose zero set is the union of the singular sets of the f_t 's, that is $J = J(f_t, \phi)$ (without derivatives with respect to t). The assertions of Greuel's theorem for this case are

- (1) F is μ -constant;
- (2) For every holomorphic curve $\gamma: (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \times X, 0)$, $\nu\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \circ \gamma\right) > \inf\{\nu(g_i \circ \gamma)\}$, where g_i is each of the maximal minors of the Jacobian matrix of (f_t, ϕ) and ν denotes the usual valuation of a complex curve;
- (3) Same statement as in (2) with “ $>$ ” replaced by “ \geq ”;
- (4) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \bar{J}$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$, where \bar{J} is the integral closure of the ideal;
- (5) $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{J}$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$;
- (6) The zero set of J , $v(J)$ is equal to $\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$ near $(0, 0)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$.

We prove, in [3], that

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &\Rightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5), \\ (4) &\Rightarrow (1) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (5). \end{aligned}$$

Also in [3] we present the following example (Example 3.6(b))

Example 4.2. Let $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be defined by $\phi(x, y) = x^p - y^q$, with $q \geq 3$, and $f: (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ defined by $f(x, y) = x$. We consider the deformation of f defined by $F(t, (x, y)) = x + ty$.

In this case $J = \langle -qy^{q-1} - ptx^{p-1} \rangle$. If $p < q$ then it is not hard to see that $\mu(f) = pq - p$ and $\mu(f_t) = pq - q$. Therefore (1) is not true.

Moreover, we said wrongly in [3] that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{J}$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$. If it was the case, (5) would be true for this example and we would have a counter-example for (5) \Rightarrow (1). We correct this mistake in the following theorem which proves that (5) \Rightarrow (6) and, therefore, (5) \Rightarrow (1). Here, we see t as a variable.

Theorem 4.3. *If $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{J}$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$ then $v(J) = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$ near $(0, 0)$.*

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{J}$ as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C} \times X}$ then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{\langle \phi \rangle + J}$ as an ideal in \mathcal{O}_{n+1} . Therefore, by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz Theorem, $v(\sqrt{\langle \phi \rangle + J}) \subset v(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t})$. Then,

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big|_{v(\langle \phi \rangle + J)} \equiv 0.$$

We remark that $v(\langle \phi \rangle + J)$ is the set $\{(t, x) : x \in S(f_t)\}$. Let $(t, x) \in v(\langle \phi \rangle + J)$.

On one hand, if $x \in S(X)$ then $x = 0$ because $(X, 0)$ has isolated singularity at 0, therefore, $f_t(x) = f_t(0) = 0$.

On the other hand, if $x \notin S(X)$ then there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi: \mathbb{C} \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times U'$, where U and U' are open neighbourhoods in \mathbb{C}^d and X respectively with $0 \in U$ and $x \in U'$ such that $\psi(t, 0) = (t, x)$.

We denote by J' the pullback of J by ψ , $J' = \psi^*(J)$. By the hypothesis, $\psi^*\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\right) \in \sqrt{J'}$ and, therefore $\frac{\partial(\Psi^*F)}{\partial t} \in \sqrt{J'}$, as an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{d+1,0}$. Then, $\frac{\partial(\Psi^*F)}{\partial t} \Big|_{V(J')} \equiv 0$ and thus Ψ^*F does not depend of t in J' , therefore $\Psi^*F|_{J'} \equiv 0$. Hence, $f_t(x) = F(t, x) = F(\psi(t, 0)) = \psi^*F(t, 0) = 0$.

Hence, the critical set of f_t is in $f_t^{-1}(0)$. Therefore, by Corollary 4.1, $S(f_t) = \{0\}$. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Gert-Martin Greuel for all the comments and suggestions in a previous version of the text.

REFERENCES

1. R. O. Buchweitz, G. M. Greuel, *The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities*, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), no. 3, 241-248.
2. N. A'Campo, *Le nombre de Lefschetz d'une monodromie*. (French) Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 76 = Indag. Math. **35** (1973), 113-118.
3. R. S. Carvalho, B. Oréface-Okamoto and J. N. Tomazella, *μ -constant deformations of functions on ICIS*, J. Singul. **19** (2019), 163-176. DOI: 10.5427/jsing.2019.19i
4. A. M. Gabriélov, *Bifurcations, dynkin diagrams, and modality of isolated singularities*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **8** (1974), no. 2, 7-12
5. R. Giménez Conejero, Lê Dũng Tráng and J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros. *Relative polar curves and Monodromy*. Preprint (2021).
6. G. M. Greuel, *Constant Milnor number implies constant multiplicity for quasihomogeneous singularities*, Manuscripta Math. **56** (1986), No. 2, 159-166.
7. G. M. Greuel, *Der Gauss-Manin-Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten*, Math. Ann. **214** (1975), 235-266.
8. Theo de Jong and Gerhard Pfister. *Local analytic geometry*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 2000. Basic theory and applications.
9. F. Lazzeri, *A Theorem on the Monodromy of Isolated Singularities*, Astérisque **7** and **8**, 269-275.
10. Lê Dũng Tráng, *Une application d'un théorème d'A'Campo a l'équisingularité*, Indag. Math. **35** (1973).
11. Lê Dũng Tráng, *Computation of the Milnor number of an isolated singularity of a complete intersection*, (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **8** (1974), no. 2, 45-49.
12. Lê Dũng Tráng, J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros, and J. Seade. *The topology of the Milnor fibration*. In J. L. Cisneros-Molina, Lê Dũng Tráng, and J. Seade, editors, *Handbook of Geometry and Topology of Singularities I*, chapter 6, pages 321-388. Springer, 2020.
13. E. J. N. Looijenga. *Isolated singular points on complete intersections*, volume 77 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 676, 13560-905, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

Email address: rafaelasoaes@dm.ufscar.br

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA, CAMPUS DE BURJASSOT, 46100 BURJASSOT SPAIN

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA CEP 58051-900, JOÃO PESSOA - PB, BRAZIL

Email address: Juan.Nuno@uv.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 676, 13560-905, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

Email address: brunaorefice@ufscar.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS, CAIXA POSTAL 676, 13560-905, SÃO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL

Email address: jntomazella@ufscar.br