

Trisections on Certain Rational Elliptic Surfaces and Families of Zariski Pairs Degenerating to the same Conic-line Arrangement

S. BANNAI*, N. KAWANA, R. MASUYA and H. TOKUNAGA†

October 14, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we study the geometry of trisections on certain rational elliptic surfaces. We utilize Mumford representations of semi-reduced divisors in order to construct trisections and related plane curves with interesting properties explicitly. As a result we are able to construct new examples of Zariski pairs. Especially, we show the existence of a family of Zariski pairs that degenerate to the same conic-line arrangement.

Introduction

Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow C$ be an elliptic surface over a smooth projective curve C satisfying the conditions as follows:

- (i) The morphism φ is relatively minimal.
- (ii) There exists at least one section $O : C \rightarrow S$. We identify O with its image, i.e., a curve on S meeting any fiber of φ at one point.
- (iii) There exists at least one singular fiber.

Under these assumptions, the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(S)$ of S is finitely generated and torsion-free by [17, Theorem 1.2]. Let $\text{MW}(S)$ be the set of sections of $\varphi : S \rightarrow C$. As $O \in \text{MW}(S)$, $\text{MW}(S) \neq \emptyset$. Note that for a section $s : C \rightarrow S$, we identify s with its image on S .

Let E_S be the generic fiber of $\varphi : S \rightarrow C$. E_S can be regarded as a curve of genus 1 over the rational function field, $\mathbb{C}(C)$, of C . Under our setting, S is known as the Kodaira-Néron model of E_S and we can identify the set of $\mathbb{C}(C)$ -rational points $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ of E_S with $\text{MW}(S)$. Here we define the zero of $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ to be the $\mathbb{C}(C)$ -rational point given by the restriction O to E_S . We also denote it by O for simplicity. For $P \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$, we denote the corresponding section by s_P .

*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (18K03263)

†Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (20K03561)

Let D be a divisor on S and let \mathfrak{d}_D be the restriction of D to E_S . \mathfrak{d}_D is a divisor on E_S defined over $\mathbb{C}(C)$. By Abel's theorem, we have $P_D \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ from \mathfrak{d}_D and the corresponding section s_{P_D} which we also denote by $s(D)$. This relates $\text{NS}(S)$ with $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ and as is shown in [17], we have

Theorem 1. ([17, Theorem 1.3])

$$\bar{\psi} : \text{NS}(S)/T_\varphi \cong E_S(\mathbb{C}(C)),$$

where T_φ is a subgroup of $\text{NS}(S)$ generated by O and irreducible curves contained in fibers. (A more detailed description for T_φ can be found in Section 1.)

In [17, Lemma 8.2], Shioda defined a homomorphism $\phi : E_S(\mathbb{C}(C)) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by which he defined a pairing $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ on $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ called the *height pairing* and went on to define a lattice structure on the free part of $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$, namely the Mordell-Weil lattice. In [3, Section 2], the first and the last author defined a homomorphism $\phi_o : \text{Div}(S) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\phi(P_D) = \phi_o(D)$. With ϕ, ϕ_o and Theorem 1, the geometric relation between D and P_D can be investigated.

In this article, we consider curves whose classes are mapped to a given $P \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ in the case when S is rational, in which case $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. We need to introduce some terminologies, before we go on to explain our problem.

For a divisor D on S , we say that D is *vertical* if all irreducible components are contained in fibers. D is said to be *horizontal* if D contains no vertical components.

Definition 1. A horizontal divisor D is said to be an m -section if D meets in m distinct points with a general fiber. Furthermore if $D \cdot O = 0$, D is called an *integral* m -section.

Note that an 1-section is just an ordinary section. We call D a *bisection* or *trisection* if $m = 2$ or $= 3$, respectively.

The purpose of this article is to study trisections of S to find plane curves in which we are interested, in particular curves that are interesting from the viewpoint of the embedded topology of plane curve arrangements with irreducible components of low degree. The first and the last authors have been investigating bisections and trisections along this line when S is a certain rational elliptic surface associated to a reduced plane quartic \mathcal{Q} and a smooth point z_o . In order to make our problem clear we explain how we obtain such a surface more precisely.

Let \mathcal{Q} be a reduced quartic which is not the union of 4 concurrent lines. Let z_o be a smooth point of \mathcal{Q} . We associate a rational elliptic surface, $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$, to \mathcal{Q} and z_o as follows:

- (i) Let $f'_\mathcal{Q} : S'_\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the double cover branched along \mathcal{Q} . We denote its canonical resolution by $\mu : S_\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow S'_\mathcal{Q}$ (see [10] for the canonical resolution). Note that $S_\mathcal{Q}$ is a rational surface.
- (ii) Let Λ_{z_o} be the pencil of curves of genus 1 on $S_\mathcal{Q}$ induced from the pencil of lines through z_o . The pencil Λ_{z_o} has a unique base point $f_\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(z_o)$ of multiplicity 2. Let $\nu_{z_o} : S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} \rightarrow S_\mathcal{Q}$ be the resolution of the indeterminacy for the rational map given by Λ_{z_o} . The induced morphism $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} : S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an elliptic fibration. $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ satisfies

the three conditions at the beginning of the Introduction. Thus we have a rational elliptic surface $S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$ and the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
S'_Q & \xleftarrow{\mu} & S_Q & \xleftarrow{\nu_{z_o}} & S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o} \\
f'_Q \downarrow & & \downarrow f_Q & & \downarrow f_{\mathcal{Q},z_o} \\
\mathbb{P}^2 & \xleftarrow{q} & \widehat{\mathbb{P}^2} & \xleftarrow{q_{z_o}} & (\widehat{\mathbb{P}^2})_{z_o},
\end{array}$$

where q is a composition of a finite number of blowing-ups so that the branch locus becomes smooth and q_{z_o} is a composition of two blowing-ups. The map $f_{\mathcal{Q},z_o} : S_Q \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{P}^2})_{z_o}$ is the induced double cover which coincides with the quotient morphism determined by the involution $[-1]_{\varphi_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}}$ on $S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$, which is given by the inversion with respect to the group law on the generic fiber.

- (iii) The surface $(\widehat{\mathbb{P}^2})_{z_o}$ can be blown down to Σ_2 such that the proper transform of \mathcal{Q} is mapped to the trisection $\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}}$ as in Section 5.3. Let l_{z_o} be the tangent line at z_o . By our construction, $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$ has a singular fiber F_{z_o} containing irreducible components mapped to l_{z_o} . The type of F_{z_o} is determined by the residual intersections between l_{z_o} and \mathcal{Q} . For details on the types of singular fibers, see [15].

Given m -sections D_i and the corresponding sections $s_i := s_{P_{D_i}}$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), we have plane curves $\mathcal{C}_{D_i} := f_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}(D_i)$, \mathcal{C}_{s_i} (or $\mathcal{C}_{P_{D_i}}$) := $f_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}(s_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$). The embedded topology of unions of \mathcal{Q} and these curves are of interest. The first and the last authors have previously studied the following cases:

- In [4], they considered the case where \mathcal{Q} is a union two general conics. They make use of bisections D_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) such that \mathcal{C}_{D_i} ($i = 1, \dots, r$) are conics in order to construct Zariski N -tuples for conic arrangements.
- In [5], they consider the case where \mathcal{Q} is an irreducible quartic with two nodes. They make use of bisections D_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) such that \mathcal{C}_{D_i} ($i = 1, \dots, r$) are conics in order to give a Zariski 5-tuple for \mathcal{Q} and two conics of this form.
- In [3], they go on to consider trisections of $S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$ when \mathcal{Q} is a union of general four lines. In this case, $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t)) \cong A_1^* \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$. In [3], a generator P_o for the A_1^* part is chosen and P_D for certain trisections D are explicitly computed in terms of P_o and the torsion part. As an application, a result given in [7] was refined.

See [1] for definitions of Zariski pairs/triples.

In this article, we continue to study trisections of $S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$ which are obtained as follows:

Let E be a smooth cubic such that the intersection number $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q})$ is even for every $p \in \mathcal{Q} \cap E$ and also $z_o \notin E$. In $S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$, E gives rise to either an irreducible curve \tilde{E} which is a 6-section or two irreducible components E^\pm , both of which are trisections of $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$. In the former case, $P_{\tilde{E}} = O$ and in the latter case, $[-1]P_{E^+} = P_{E^-}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{E^\pm} = E$. Note that if there exists $p \in \mathcal{Q} \cap E$ with $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q})$ being odd, then E gives rise to an irreducible curve in $S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}$. We apply the methods introduced in [3] in order to compute P_{E^\pm} . In fact, we have the following:

Proposition 2. *Let \mathcal{Q} be a nodal quartic curve, (i.e. a possibly reducible quartic curve whose singularities are at most nodes), which is not a union of four lines. Let $z_o \in \mathcal{Q}$ be a general point on a non-linear component of \mathcal{Q} . Let E^\pm be trisections obtained as above. Then the value of the height pairing of P_{E^\pm} on $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ is given by*

$$\langle P_{E^+}, P_{E^+} \rangle = \langle P_{E^-}, P_{E^-} \rangle = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{r}{2},$$

where r is the number of nodes of \mathcal{Q} that E passes through. In particular, $r \leq 3$.

We go on to apply this result in the case when \mathcal{Q} consists of components of small degree. We will consider the cases where \mathcal{Q} is

- (i) a union of a smooth conic C_o and two lines L_1 and L_2 all meeting transversely, or
- (ii) a union of two smooth conics C_1 and C_2 meeting transversely,

and z_o is a smooth point on a conic component. We introduce the following notation for each case:

Case (i): $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{p_0\}$, $L_1 \cap C_o = \{p_1, p_2\}$ and $L_2 \cap C_o = \{p_3, p_4\}$.

Case (ii): $C_1 \cap C_2 = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$.

In these cases, we have

$$E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t)) \cong \begin{cases} (A_1^*)^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2} & \text{for (i)} \\ (A_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \text{for (ii)}. \end{cases}$$

As the first and last authors have considered the case where $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t)) \cong A_1^* \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$, it seems to be natural to study the above two cases as a next step from the viewpoint of $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$, and our goal of this article is to give new examples that present new phenomena in the study of the embedded topology of plane curves.

In what follows, we assume that \mathcal{Q} is one of the above (i) and (ii) unless otherwise is stated. We have the following for $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^\pm}}$:

Proposition 3. *Under the notation given above, we have:*

- (i) *Suppose that $\mathcal{Q} = L_1 + L_2 + C_o$. Then $r = 1, 2$ or 3 . More precisely, letting $\mathcal{P} = \{p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$, we have the following:*
 - (i-1) *If $r = 1$, then $E \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_0\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^\pm}}$ is a line through p_0 and is tangent to C .*
 - (i-2) *If $r = 2$, then $E \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_i, p_j\}$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $j \in \{3, 4\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^\pm}}$ is the line through p_i and p_j .*
 - (i-3) *If $r = 3$, then $E \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_0, p_1, p_2\}$ or $\{p_0, p_3, p_4\}$ and*

$$\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^\pm}} = \begin{cases} L_1 & \text{if } E \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_0, p_1, p_2\}, \\ L_2 & \text{if } E \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_0, p_3, p_4\}. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *Suppose that $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$. Then $r = 0$ or 2 . More precisely, letting $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$, we have the following:*

(ii-1) If $r = 0$, then $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^\pm}}$ is a bitangent line to \mathcal{Q} .

(ii-2) If $r = 2$, then $E \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_i, p_j\}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^\pm}}$ is the line through p_i and p_j .

As applications of Proposition 3, we construct Zariski pairs/triples for cubic-conic-line arrangements in Section 4.3. In particular, for the following example based on Proposition 3, (ii-1), it may be worthwhile to state it here as a theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$ as in Proposition 3 (ii). There exist two families of plane curves $\{\mathcal{B}_{i,s}\}_{s \in \Delta_\epsilon}$ ($i = 1, 2$) over $\Delta_\epsilon = \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid |s| < \epsilon\}$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, such that*

- (i) *For $s \neq 0$, $\mathcal{B}_{i,s} = \mathcal{Q} + E_{i,s} + L$, ($i = 1, 2$), where L is a fixed bitangent line to \mathcal{Q} and $E_{i,s}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are smooth cubics as in Proposition 3 (ii-1) such that $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E_{1,s}^+}} = L$ and $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E_{2,s}^+}} \neq L$.*
- (ii) *Both of $\mathcal{B}_{i,0}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are $\mathcal{Q} + L_{12} + L_{13} + L_{23} + L$, where L_{ij} is the line through p_i and p_j .*
- (iii) *The pairs $(\mathcal{B}_{1,s}, \mathcal{B}_{2,s})$ are Zariski pairs for all $s \neq 0$.*

In particular there exists a family of Zariski pairs which degenerate to the same conic-line arrangement.

Remark 0.1. The existence of such families given in Theorem 2 was expected, but the significance of the above Theorem is in giving a simple explicit example.

Our new key tool to construct curves as above is ‘the Mumford representation of semi-reduced divisors’ on $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q},z_0}}$. This makes it possible for us to treat multi-sections concretely. The Mumford representation was first considered in [16] in order to describe the Jacobian of hyperelliptic curves explicitly, based on Jacobi’s ideas. It has been exploited in the study of hyperelliptic curve cryptography. Our study can be regarded as a new application of Mumford representations.

This article consists of 6 sections. In Section 1 we first summarize previous results which we need later, and prove Proposition 2. In Section 2, we explain two rational elliptic surfaces which play important roles to prove Proposition 3 and Theorem 2. Proposition 3 will be proven in Section 3. We explain the ‘splitting type’ introduced in [2] in Section 4. The notion of splitting type plays a key role in order to distinguish the embedded topology of our examples and we prove Theorem 2 (iii) assuming the existence of $E_{i,s}$ ($i = 1, 2$). In Section 5, we explain the Mumford representation of semi-reduced divisors. In Section 6, we show the existence of plane curves with the desired properties and construct $E_{i,s}$ ($s \neq 0$) in Theorem 2 (i) explicitly based on the method given in Section 5.

1 Elliptic surfaces

1.1 Some terminologies and notation for elliptic surfaces

We here define some notation and terminologies which are necessary for our later argument. For general references we refer to [12, 14, 17]. As for bisectios see [4]. Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow C$ be an

elliptic surface over a smooth projective curve C . Throughout this article, we always assume that S satisfies the three conditions in the Introduction. We introduce two subsets $\text{Sing}(\varphi)$ and $\text{Red}(\varphi)$ concerning singular fibers as follows, where $F_v = \varphi^{-1}(v)$ for $v \in C$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Sing}(\varphi) &:= \{v \in C \mid F_v \text{ is not a curve of genus 1.}\}, \\ \text{Red}(\varphi) &:= \{v \in \text{Sing}(\varphi) \mid F_v \text{ is reducible.}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)$, m_v denotes the number of irreducible components and we denote the irreducible decomposition of F_v by

$$F_v = \Theta_{v,0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m_v-1} a_{v,i} \Theta_{v,i},$$

where $\Theta_{v,0}$ is the unique component satisfying $\Theta_{v,0} \cdot O = 1$. We call $\Theta_{v,0}$ the identity component. We use Kodaira's symbol ([12]) in order to describe the types of singular fibers. Irreducible components of singular fibers are labeled as in [22, pp. 81-82]. We denote the set of sections of $\varphi : S \rightarrow C$ by $\text{MW}(S)$, which is not empty by our assumption. The set $\text{MW}(S)$ is endowed with an abelian group structure by considering fiberwise addition with O as the zero element. Let E_S be the generic fiber of $\varphi : S \rightarrow C$. Then E_S can be regarded as a curve of genus 1 over the rational function field, $\mathbb{C}(C)$, of C . Under our setting, S is known as the Kodaira-Néron model of E_S and we can identify the set of $\mathbb{C}(C)$ -rational points $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ of E_S with $\text{MW}(S)$. Here the zero of $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ is the $\mathbb{C}(C)$ -rational point given by the restriction of O to E_S . We also denote it by O for simplicity.

We denote the Néron-Severi group of S by $\text{NS}(S)$, which is finitely generated and torsion-free by [17, Theorem 1.2]. Following [17], we define the subgroup T_φ of $\text{NS}(S)$ generated by O , F : a general fiber and $\Theta_{v,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m_v - 1, v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)$). For a divisor D on S , the restriction \mathfrak{d}_D of D to E_S is a divisor defined over $\mathbb{C}(C)$. In [17], Shioda defined a structure on the free part of $E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ called the height pairing, which we denote by $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$. We use properties of $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ freely. For details, see [17].

For a reducible singular fiber F_v ($v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)$), R_v denotes the subgroup of T_φ generated by $\Theta_{v,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m_v - 1$). We denote its dual by R_v^\vee . The map $\gamma : \text{MW}(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} R_v^\vee / R_v$ is the homomorphism given in [14, p. 70], which describes at which irreducible component a given section meets F_v . This γ coincides with the maps considered in [3] and [21, p. 83].

1.2 The height pairing and the homomorphism ϕ_o

In this section we review the homomorphism $\phi_o : \text{Div}(S) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ considered in [3, Section 2] and its relation between $\phi_o(D)$ and the height $\langle P_D, P_D \rangle$. Put $\text{NS}(S)_\mathbb{Q} := \text{NS}(S) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For $D \in \text{Div}(S)$, we define $\mathbf{c}(v, D)$ to be

$$\mathbf{c}(v, D) := \begin{bmatrix} D \cdot \Theta_{v,1} \\ \vdots \\ D \cdot \Theta_{v,m_v-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and put $\mathbb{F}_v = [\Theta_{v,1}, \dots, \Theta_{v,m_v-1}]$. By [17, Lemma 5.1], we have

$$(*) \quad D \approx s(D) + (d-1)O + nF + \sum_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} \mathbb{F}_v A_v^{-1} (\mathbf{c}(v, D) - \mathbf{c}(v, s(D))),$$

where \approx denotes algebraic equivalence between divisors, and d and n are integers defined as follows:

$$d = D \cdot F, \quad n = (d-1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + O \cdot D - s(D) \cdot O.$$

Furthermore, A_v is the intersection matrix $(\Theta_{v,i} \cdot \Theta_{v,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m_v-1}$. Since the entries of A_v^{-1} are not necessarily integers, the condition $A_v^{-1}(\mathbf{c}(v, D) - \mathbf{c}(v, s(D))) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus m_v-1}$ imposes some restriction at which irreducible components of F_v , D and $s(D)$ meet. For example we have the following Lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *If F_v is a singular fiber of type I_2 , $\mathbf{c}(v, D) - \mathbf{c}(v, s(D))$ is even (Note that $\mathbf{c}(v, D)$ becomes an integer in this case).*

Let $\phi_o : \text{Div}(S) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the homomorphism introduced in [3] whose explicit form is

$$(**) \quad \phi_o(D) = D - dO - (d\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + O \cdot D)F - \sum_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} \mathbb{F}_v A_v^{-1} \mathbf{c}(v, D).$$

Let $\phi : E_S(\mathbb{C}(C)) \rightarrow \text{NS}(S)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the homomorphism given in [17, Lemma 8.2]. Then we have

Lemma 1.2. [3, Lemma2.1]

- (i) *For $P \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$ and its corresponding section s_P , we have $\phi(P) = \phi_o(s_P)$.*
- (ii) *For $D \in \text{Div}(S)$ and its corresponding point $P_D \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(C))$, we have $\phi(P_D) = \phi_o(D)$.*

Corollary 1.3. *For divisors D_1, D_2 , we have*

$$\langle P_{D_1}, P_{D_2} \rangle = -\phi_o(D_1) \cdot \phi_o(D_2).$$

Proof. As $\langle P_{D_1}, P_{D_2} \rangle = -\phi(P_{D_1}) \cdot \phi(P_{D_2})$, our statement is immediate from Lemma 1.2. \square

By Corollary 1.3, $\langle P_{D_1}, P_{D_2} \rangle$ can be computed by the geometric data of D_1 and D_2 . Also, by calculating $\phi_o(D_1) \cdot \phi_o(D_2)$ using (**), we have

$$(***) \quad \phi_o(D_1) \cdot \phi_o(D_2) = D_1 \cdot D_2 - d_2 D_1 \cdot O - d_1 D_2 \cdot O - d_1 d_2 \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - \sum_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} {}^t \mathbf{c}(v, D_1) A_v^{-1} \mathbf{c}(v, D_2),$$

where $d_i = D_i \cdot F$ ($i = 1, 2$). In particular, if $D_1 = D_2$, we have

$$\phi_o(D_1) \cdot \phi_o(D_1) = D_1^2 - 2d_1 D_1 \cdot O - d_1^2 \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - \sum_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} {}^t \mathbf{c}(v, D_1) A_v^{-1} \mathbf{c}(v, D_1).$$

Furthermore we have the following lemma which we will use later.

Lemma 1.4. *If the reducible singular fibers of S are all of type I_2 or III and both D_1 and D_2 are integral d_1 - and d_2 -sections respectively, then we have*

$$\langle P_{D_1}, P_{D_2} \rangle = -\phi_o(D_1) \cdot \phi_o(D_2) = - \left(D_1 \cdot D_2 - d_1 d_2 \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} {}^t \mathbf{c}(v, D_1) \mathbf{c}(v, D_2) \right).$$

Proof. Since the reducible singular fibers are all of type I_2 , we have $A_v^{-1} = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\right]$ for all $v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)$. Also, since D_i ($i = 1, 2$) are integral trisections, we have $D_i \cdot O = 0$ and $d_i = D_i \cdot F = 3$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then our result is obtained directly from (**). \square

1.3 The proof of Proposition 2

In this subsection we prove Proposition 2. Let \mathcal{Q} be a nodal quartic curve which is not a union of four lines and let $z_o \in \mathcal{Q}$ be a general point on a non-linear component of \mathcal{Q} . By these assumptions, $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ is a rational elliptic surface whose reducible singular fibers are all of type I_2 or III . Note that one reducible singular fiber F_∞ corresponds to the tangent line L_∞ of \mathcal{Q} at z_o and the other singular fibers correspond to the lines passing through z_o and a node of \mathcal{Q} .

Let E be a smooth cubic curve such that the intersection number $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q})$ is even for every $p \in \mathcal{Q} \cap E$ and $z_o \notin E$. We assume that E give rises to two trisections E^\pm in $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$. Note that since $z_o \notin E$, E^\pm become integral trisections. Let r be the number of the nodes of \mathcal{Q} that E passes through. Let $\widehat{E} \subset (\widehat{\mathbb{P}})_{z_o}$ be the strict transform of E under the compositions of blow-ups $q_{z_o} \circ q$. Then we have $\widehat{E} \cdot \widehat{E} = 9 - r$ since E is blown-up once at each of the r nodes. Furthermore, since $f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}^*(\widehat{E}) = E^+ + E^-$, we have

$$(E^+ + E^-)^2 = 2\widehat{E} \cdot \widehat{E} = 2(9 - r)$$

and since $(E^+)^2 = (E^-)^2$, we obtain

$$(E^+)^2 + E^+ \cdot E^- = 9 - r.$$

On the other hand, since $E^+ \cdot E^- = \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \widehat{E}$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the strict transform of \mathcal{Q} in $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$, we have $E^+ \cdot E^- = 6 - r$. Hence we have $(E^\pm)^2 = 3$.

Next, we consider ${}^t\mathbf{c}(v, E^\pm)$. Let $F_\infty = \Theta_{\infty, 0} + \Theta_{\infty, 1}$, where $\Theta_{\infty, 0}$ is the pre-image under $f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ of the exceptional divisor of the last blow-up in $q_{z_o} \circ q$, and $\Theta_{\infty, 1}$ is the pre-image of the strict transform of the tangent line L_∞ . Then since $E \cdot L_\infty = 3$, we have $\mathbf{c}(\infty, E^\pm) = [3]$ and ${}^t\mathbf{c}(\infty, E^\pm)\mathbf{c}(\infty, E^\pm) = 9$. Next, let F_v be a reducible fiber corresponding to a node v of \mathcal{Q} . Then $F_v = \Theta_{v, 0} + \Theta_{v, 1}$, where $\Theta_{v, 0}$ is the pre-image under $f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ of the strict transform \widehat{L}_v of the line L_v through z_o and v , and $\Theta_{v, 1}$ is the pre-image \widehat{E}_v of the strict transform of the exceptional divisor E_v of the blow-up at v . Then since

$$\widehat{E} \cdot \widehat{E}_v = \begin{cases} 1 & (v \in E) \\ 0 & (v \notin E) \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{c}(v, E^\pm) = \begin{cases} 1 & (v \in E) \\ 0 & (v \notin E). \end{cases}$$

Now since all of the reducible singular fibers of $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ are of type I_2 and E^\pm are integral trisections, we can apply Lemma 1.4 and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_{E^+}, P_{E^+} \rangle &= \langle P_{E^-}, P_{E^-} \rangle = - \left((E^+)^2 - 9\chi(\mathcal{O}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \text{Red}(\varphi)} {}^t\mathbf{c}(c, E^+)\mathbf{c}(c, E^+) \right) \\ &= - \left(3 - 9 + \frac{1}{2}(9 + r) \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}r \end{aligned}$$

which proves Proposition 2.

2 Two rational elliptic surfaces for the cases (i) and (ii)

In this section, we give rather detailed descriptions of rational elliptic surfaces for the cases (i) and (ii) in the Introduction. Both of them were considered in [22] and explicit models for both cases were given. As for the translation by a 2-torsion, see [14, Lecture VII] or [21].

2.1 The case (i): A rational elliptic surface attached to a conic and two lines

Let $\mathcal{Q} = C_o + L_1 + L_2$ be as in the Introduction and we keep our notation there. Take a smooth point of C_o as z_o and let $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ be the rational elliptic surface associate to \mathcal{Q} and z_o and we denote its elliptic fibration by $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} : S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. As we have seen in [22], $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ satisfies the following:

- (i) The elliptic fibration $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ has 6 singular fibers of type I_2 or III and no other singular fiber. They arise from the tangent line at z_o and lines connecting z_o and p_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$). We denote them by F_∞ and F_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$), respectively and their irreducible decompositions by $F_\bullet = \Theta_{\bullet, 0} + \Theta_{\bullet, 1}$ ($\bullet = \infty, 0, 1, \dots, 4$).
- (ii) The group $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ is isomorphic to $(A_1^*)^{\oplus 2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$.
- (iii) Let L_{ij} ($i < j$) be the line connecting p_i and p_j . Let $L_1 = L_{12}$ and $L_2 = L_{34}$. The 6 lines L_{ij} give rise to sections of $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ which can be identified with points in $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$. More precisely, both of L_1 and L_2 give 2-torsion points, and as for the other four lines L_{13}, L_{14}, L_{23} and L_{24} , each of them give rise to two points, resulting in eight points $P_{ij}, [-1]P_{ij}$ ($(i, j) = (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4)$). We may assume

$$(A_1^*)^{\oplus 2} \cong \mathbb{Z}P_{13} \oplus \mathbb{Z}P_{14}$$

and that P_{23}, P_{24} are obtained by translation by suitable 2-torsion points.

- (iv) For each P_{ij} , $\mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{ij}}$ is a conic inscribed by \mathcal{Q} .

2.2 The case (ii): A rational elliptic surface attached to two conics

2.2.1 General properties for case (ii)

Let $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$ be as in the Introduction and we keep the notation in the Introduction. Take a smooth point z_o of \mathcal{Q} such that l_{z_o} is not a bitangent line to \mathcal{Q} . The rational elliptic surface $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} : S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ associate to \mathcal{Q} and z_o satisfies the following properties:

- (i) The elliptic fibration $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ has 5 singular fibers of type I_2 or III and no other reducible singular fiber. They arise from the tangent line at z_o and lines connecting z_o and p_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$). We denote them by F_∞ and F_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$), respectively and their irreducible decompositions by $F_\bullet = \Theta_{\bullet, 0} + \Theta_{\bullet, 1}$ ($\bullet = \infty, 1, \dots, 4$).
- (ii) The group $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ is isomorphic to $(A_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We denote the unique 2-torsion point by T , which arises from the conic containing z_o .

- (iii) Let L_{ij} ($i < j$) denote a line connecting p_i and p_j . The 6 lines L_{ij} ($1 \leq i < j \leq 4$) give rise to 12 rational points P_{ij} and $[-1]P_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i < j \leq 4$) of $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$, each of which has the height $\langle P_{ij}, P_{ij} \rangle = 1/2$. We may assume

$$(A_1^*)^{\oplus 3} \cong \mathbb{Z}P_{12} \oplus \mathbb{Z}P_{13} \oplus \mathbb{Z}P_{23}$$

and $P_{ij} + T = P_{kl}$, where $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

2.2.2 Rational points arising from lines in case (ii)

We consider the structure of $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ in more detail for later use.

Lemma 2.1. *The curve \mathcal{C}_P is a line if and only if $s_P \cdot \Theta_{\infty, 1} = 1$ and $s_P \cdot O = 0$. In particular, if \mathcal{C}_P is a line, then $z_o \notin \mathcal{C}_P$.*

Proof. Choose a general fiber F of $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$. By our construction, $q \circ q_{z_o} \circ f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}(F)$ is a line through z_o . We may assume $F \cap O \cap s_P = \emptyset$. If \mathcal{C}_P is a line, \mathcal{C}_P and $q \circ q_{z_o} \circ f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}(F)$ meet one point, which is the image z_1 of $s_P \cap F$. By our choice of F , $z_1 \neq z_o$ and $z_o \notin \mathcal{C}_P$. As both O and $\Theta_{\infty, 0}$ are mapped to z_o , $s_P \cdot \Theta_{\infty, 1} = 1$ and $s_P \cdot O = 0$. Conversely, if $s_P \cdot \Theta_{\infty, 1} = 1$ and $s_P \cdot O = 0$, then $\mathcal{C}_P \cap q \circ q_{z_o} \circ f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}(F) = q \circ q_{z_o} \circ f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}(s_P \cap F)$. As $\sharp(s_P \cap F) = 1$, \mathcal{C}_P is a line. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $P \in E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ be a point such that \mathcal{C}_P is a line.*

- (i) *For $p \in \mathcal{C}_P \cap \mathcal{Q}$, the intersection multiplicity $i_p(\mathcal{C}_P, \mathcal{Q})$ at p is even.*
- (ii) *The height pairing of P is given by*

$$\langle P, P \rangle = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sharp(\mathcal{C}_P \cap C_1 \cap C_2).$$

Conversely, if l is a line such that (a) $z_o \notin l$ and (b) $i_p(l, \mathcal{Q})$ is even for $\forall p \in l \cap \mathcal{Q}$, then l gives rise to two points P_l and $[-1]P_l$ in $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ such that

$$\langle P_l, P_l \rangle = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sharp(l \cap C_1 \cap C_2).$$

Proof. (i) If there exists a point $p \in \mathcal{C}_P \cap \mathcal{Q}$ such that $i_p(\mathcal{C}_P, \mathcal{Q})$ is odd, $f'_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ gives a ramified double cover of \mathcal{C}_P , this is impossible as the image of $s_{[-1]P}$ is also \mathcal{C}_P .

(ii) Since $s_P \cdot O = 0$, $s_P \cdot \Theta_{z_o, 1} = 1$ and $s_P \cdot \Theta_{i, 1} = 1$ if and only if $p_i \in \mathcal{C}_P$. Hence by [17, Theorem 8.6, (8.12)], our statement follows. The remaining statements follows easily as $\mathcal{C}_{P_l} = l$. \square

2.2.3 Bitangents to \mathcal{Q} and triangles $\Delta_{ijk} = L_{ij} + L_{ik} + L_{jk}$ in case (ii)

Let s_T be the section corresponding to T . Assume that $z_o \in C_1$. Then we infer that C_1 gives rise to a 2-torsion point P_{C_1} , i.e., $P_{C_1} = T$. Hence we have $s_T \cdot \Theta_{i,1} = 1$ and $s_T \cdot \Theta_{\infty,0} = 1$. Thus we see $P_{ij} \dot{+} T = P_{kl}$ by the property of the map γ (see [14, p. 70]. Also [12, Theorem 9.1] for explicit description).

We now consider rational points in $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ given by a triangle $\Delta_{123} := L_{12} + L_{13} + L_{23}$. Since each L_{ij} produces P_{ij} and $[-1]P_{ij}$ ($[\pm 1]P_{ij}$ for short), we have 8 rational points in $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ from Δ_{123} :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &:= P_{12} \dot{+} P_{13} \dot{+} P_{23}, \\ Q_2 &:= [-1]P_{12} \dot{+} P_{13} \dot{+} P_{23}, \\ Q_3 &:= P_{12} \dot{+} [-1]P_{13} \dot{+} P_{23}, \\ Q_4 &:= P_{12} \dot{+} P_{13} \dot{+} [-1]P_{23}, \end{aligned}$$

and $[-1]Q_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$). As P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23} is a basis of the free part of $E_{S_{\mathcal{Q},z_o}}(\mathbb{C}(t))$, these 8 points are distinct. For these points, we have

- $\langle Q_i, Q_i \rangle = \langle [-1]Q_i, [-1]Q_i \rangle = 3/2$ and
- $\mathcal{C}_{Q_i} = \mathcal{C}_{[-1]Q_i}$.

By the property of γ , we infer that $s_{Q_j} \cdot \Theta_{i,1} = s_{[-1]Q_j} \cdot \Theta_{i,1} = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $s_{Q_j} \cdot \Theta_{\infty,1} = s_{[-1]Q_j} \cdot \Theta_{\infty,1} = 1$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Hence by [17, Theorem 8.6, (8.12)], $s_{Q_j} \cdot O = s_{[-1]Q_j} \cdot O = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Thus by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, \mathcal{C}_{Q_j} ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are the four bitangent lines of \mathcal{Q} . For other triangles Δ_{ijk} ($1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4$), as $P_{ij} \dot{+} T = P_{kl}$ for $\{i, j, k, l\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, we have the same rational points $[\pm 1]Q_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and the same 4 bitangent lines.

3 The proof of Proposition 3

We prove the statements for \mathcal{C}_{E^+} only, since $P_{E^-} = [-1]P_{E^+}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^-}} = \mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}}$. As $\mathbf{c}(i, E^+) = \mathbf{c}(i, s_{P_{E^+}})$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$) and $\mathbf{c}(\infty, s_{P_{E^+}}) = [1]$, we have:

$$\langle P_{E^+}, P_{E^+} \rangle = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}r$$

by Proposition 2. On the other hand, by the explicit formula of the height pairing, we have

$$\langle P_{E^+}, P_{E^+} \rangle = \frac{3}{2} + s_{P_{E^+}} \cdot O - \frac{1}{2}r,$$

hence $s_{P_{E^+}} \cdot O = 0$. Now, by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}}$ is a line not passing through z_o .

3.1 Proof for the case (i) $\mathcal{Q} = C_o + L_1 + L_2$

We first show that $r \neq 0$. If $r = 0$, there exists $p \in L_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}$ such that $i_p(E, L_1) = i_p(E, \mathcal{Q}) = 1$. This is impossible as $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q})$ is even for all $p \in E \cap \mathcal{Q}$.

- (i-1) Suppose that $r = 1$. If $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_1\}$, then E meets L_2 at $L_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}$. This means that $E \cap L_2$ contains a point p with $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q}) = 1$, which is impossible as E gives rise to E^\pm . Similarly $p_i \notin \mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}} \cap \mathcal{P}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_0\}$. Now since $\mathbf{c}(\infty, s_{P_{E^+}}) = \mathbf{c}(0, s_{P_{E^+}}) = [1]$, $\mathbf{c}(i, s_{P_{E^+}}) = [0]$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$), we infer that $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}}$ is a line through p_0 and tangent to C_o .
- (i-2) Suppose that $r = 2$. If $p_0 \in E \cap \mathcal{Q}$, then by a similar argument to the case (i-1), we infer that E has a transversal intersection point with either L_1 or L_2 , which is not a node of \mathcal{Q} . Hence $p_0 \notin E \cap \mathcal{Q}$. If $p_0 \in E \cap \mathcal{Q} = \{p_1, p_2\}$ or $\{p_3, p_4\}$, we infer that E must pass through p_0 . Hence these cases do not occur and $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}}$ is a line described in the statement.
- (i-3) As we see in (i-2), If $\{p_1, p_2\}$ or $\{p_3, p_4\} \subset E \cap \mathcal{Q}$, then $p_0 \in E \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}}$ is a line described in the statement.

3.2 Proof for the case (ii) $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$

If $r = 1$ or 3 , we infer that E intersects \mathcal{Q} at a smooth point p with $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q})$ odd. This is impossible as $i_p(E, \mathcal{Q})$ is even for any $p \in E \cap \mathcal{Q}$. Hence $r = 0$ or 2 . Since $\mathbf{c}(i, E^+) = \mathbf{c}(i, s_{P_{E^+}})$, we infer that $\mathcal{C}_{P_{E^+}}$ is a line described in the statement.

4 Splitting types and the topology of curve arrangements

In this section, we recall the basics on *splitting types* introduced in [2] which can be used to distinguish the embedded topology of certain plane curves. Furthermore, we calculate the splitting types for certain plane curves derived from sections and trisections of rational elliptic surfaces considered in the previous section.

4.1 The definition of splitting types

Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a reduced curve of even degree and let $\pi_B : B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 branched along B . An irreducible plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is called a *contact curve* of B if the local intersection multiplicities $i_p(B, C)$ are even for $\forall p \in B \cap C$. If C is a contact curve, the pre-image $\pi_B^*(C)$ of C under π_B may be reducible, in which case we call C a *splitting curve* with respect to B .

Example 4.1. Each of the 6 lines L_{ij} in Section 2.1 are splitting curves with respect to \mathcal{Q} .

Now given a pair of splitting curves C_1, C_2 with respect to B , we define the splitting type as follows. Let $\pi_B^*(C_i) = C_i^+ + C_i^-$ be the irreducible decomposition of $\pi_B^*(C_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Definition 4.2. Let $m_1 \leq m_2$ be non-negative integers. Suppose that $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap B = \emptyset$. The splitting type of the triple $(C_1, C_2; B)$ is defined to be (m_1, m_2) if for a suitable choice of labels of C_1^\pm, C_2^\pm the equalities $C_1^+ \cdot C_2^+ = m_1$ and $C_1^+ \cdot C_2^- = m_2$ hold.

The following proposition allows us to distinguish the embedded topology of curves of the form $B + C_1 + C_2$ by studying their splitting types.

Proposition 4.3. *[[2], Proposition 2.5] Let B_1, B_2 be plane curves of even degree and let C_{j1}, C_{j2} be splitting curves with respect to B_j ($j = 1, 2$). Suppose that $C_{j1} \cap C_{j2} \cap B_j = \emptyset$, C_{j1} and C_{j2} intersect transversally and that $(C_{11}, C_{12}; B_1)$ and $(C_{21}, C_{22}; B_2)$ have distinct splitting types. Then a homeomorphism $h : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $h(B_1) = B_2$ and $\{h(C_{11}), h(C_{12})\} = \{C_{21}, C_{22}\}$ does not exist.*

4.2 Computations of splitting types for some curve arrangements related to Proposition 2

We keep the previous notation given so far.

4.2.1 The case (i)

Let $\mathcal{Q} = C_o + L_1 + L_2$ be a quartic as in Proposition 2 and let $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} : S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the rational elliptic surface in Section 2.1. Let E_1 and E_2 be smooth cubics as in Proposition 3 (i-2) such that $E_1 \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_3\}$ and $E_2 \cap \mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_4\}$. Let E_1^\pm and E_2^\pm be trisections arising from E_1 and E_2 , respectively. By Proposition 3 (i-2), we may assume that $P_{E_1^+} = P_{13}$ and $P_{E_2^+} = P_{14}$. Note that E_i^\pm ($i = 1, 2$) are all integral trisections and

$$\mathbf{c}(\infty, E_1^+) = [3], \mathbf{c}(i, E_1^+) = [0], (i = 0, 2, 4), \mathbf{c}(i, E_1^+) = [1] (i = 1, 3)$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}(\infty, E_2^+) = [3], \mathbf{c}(i, E_2^+) = [0], (i = 0, 2, 3), \mathbf{c}(i, E_2^+) = [1] (i = 1, 4).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}}$ be the conic as in Section 2.1. We compute the splitting types of $(E_1, \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}}; \mathcal{Q})$ and $(E_2, \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}}; \mathcal{Q})$. Put $f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}^* \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}} = C^+ + C^-$. By Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\langle P_{E_1^+}, P_{C^\pm} \rangle = -(E_1^+ \cdot C^\pm - 3).$$

As $\langle P_{E_1^+}, P_{C^+} \rangle = 1$ and $\langle P_{E_1^+}, P_{C^-} \rangle = -1$, the splitting type of $(E_1, \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}}; \mathcal{Q})$ is $(2, 4)$. A similar computation shows that the splitting type of $(E_2, \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}}; \mathcal{Q})$ is $(3, 3)$.

4.2.2 The case (ii)

Let $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$ be a quartic as in Proposition 2 and let $\varphi_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} : S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the rational elliptic surface in Section 2.2. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be the rational points as in Section 2.2.3. Note that \mathcal{C}_{Q_i} ($i = 1, 2$) are bitangents to \mathcal{Q} . Choose two smooth cubics E_1 and E_2 such that the trisections E_i^\pm arising from E_i satisfies $P_{E_i^+} = Q_i$ for each i . Note that E_i^\pm ($i = 1, 2$) are all integral trisections and

$$\mathbf{c}(\infty, E_1^+) = [3], \mathbf{c}(i, E_1^+) = [0], (\forall i),$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}(\infty, E_2^+) = [3], \mathbf{c}(i, E_2^+) = [0], (\forall i).$$

We now compute the splitting types of $(E_1, \mathcal{C}_{Q_1}; \mathcal{Q})$ and $(E_2, \mathcal{C}_{Q_1}; \mathcal{Q})$. Put $f_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}^* (\mathcal{C}_{Q_1}) = s_{Q_1} + s_{[-1]Q_1}$. Since $Q_1 = P_{12} + P_{13} + P_{23}$ and $Q_2 = [-1]P_{12} + P_{13} + P_{23}$, we have

$$\langle P_{E_1^+}, [\pm 1]Q_1 \rangle = \langle Q_1, [\pm 1]Q_1 \rangle = \pm \frac{3}{2},$$

and

$$\langle P_{E_2^+}, [\pm 1]Q_1 \rangle = \langle Q_2, [\pm 1]Q_1 \rangle = \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$

By Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\langle P_{E_i^+}, [\pm 1]Q_1 \rangle = - \left(E_i^+ \cdot s_{[\pm 1]Q_1} - \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Therefore the splitting type of $(E_1, \mathcal{C}_{Q_1}; \mathcal{Q})$ is $(0, 3)$, while that of $(E_2, \mathcal{C}_{Q_1}; \mathcal{Q})$ is $(1, 2)$.

4.3 Some Zariski pairs and the proof of Theorem 2 (iii)

- *A Zariski pair from the case (i).* Let \mathcal{Q}, E_i ($i = 1, 2$) and $\mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}}$ be those in the case (i) as above. Put $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{13}} + E_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). If \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 have the same combinatorics, then by Proposition 4.3, $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a Zariski pair. We give an explicit example in Section 6.1.
- *A Zariski pair from the case (ii) and the proof of Theorem 2 (iii).* Assume that E_1 and E_2 are as in the case (ii) as above. Put $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{Q} + E_i + \mathcal{C}_{Q_1}$ ($i = 1, 2$). If \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 have the same combinatorics, then $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a Zariski pair. As for the existence of families of cubics $E_{i,s}$, $s \in \Delta_\epsilon$ such that (i) for $s \neq 0$, $E_{1,s}$ and $E_{2,s}$ satisfy the condition on the cubics E_1 and E_2 as above, and (ii) $E_{1,0} = E_{2,0} = L_{12} + L_{13} + L_{23}$, it is shown in Section 6.2.

Remark 4.4. So far, we have only considered smooth cubics E such that it gives rise to two components. Another case, i.e., E gives an irreducible component \tilde{E} , actually happens for the cases (i) and (ii). Let E_3 be such a cubic. By a similar argument to that of [6], we see that $(\mathcal{Q} + E_j, \mathcal{Q} + E_3)$ ($j = 1, 2$) are Zariski pairs if $\mathcal{Q} + E_j$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $\mathcal{Q} + E_3$ have the same combinatorics. Explicit construction E_3 can be found in [19]. Thus we have a Zariski triple for each case.

Remark 4.5. We also note that the above Zariski pairs can be distinguished by studying the existence/non-existence of certain dihedral covers branched along \mathcal{B}_i ($i = 1, 2$), as considered in [22].

5 Mumford Representations

5.1 Semi-reduced divisors on hyperelliptic curves and their representations

For terminologies for curves defined over a field K , we refer to [18]. Let C be a hyperelliptic curve of genus g defined over K ($\text{char } K \neq 2$) given by an affine equation

$$y^2 = f(x) = x^{2g+1} + \dots + c_{2g+1} \quad c_i \in K \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2g+1).$$

We denote the point at infinity by O . By considering $\pi : (x, y) \mapsto x$, C can be considered as a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 . We denote the covering morphism by $\pi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and the hyperelliptic involution by $\iota : (x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$. The branch points of π are the zeros of $f(x) = 0$ and

$x = \infty$ over which we have O . Let $\text{Div}^0(C)$ and $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ be the group of divisors and the divisor class group of degree 0 on C , respectively. In [16], Mumford gave a description of an element of $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ by two polynomials in $\overline{K}[x]$, based on Jacobi's idea. It has been used in the study of hyperelliptic curve cryptography in order to compute the addition on $\text{Pic}^0(C)$. We here explain the Mumford representation briefly.

For a divisor $\mathfrak{d} = \sum_{P \in C} m_P P$ on C , we put $\text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d}) := \{P \in C \mid m_P \neq 0\}$. A divisor \mathfrak{d} is said to be an affine divisor if $O \notin \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d})$. For an effective affine divisor \mathfrak{d} , we can rewrite \mathfrak{d} in the form

$$\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_{\text{sr}} + \pi^* \mathfrak{d}_o, \quad \mathfrak{d}_{\text{sr}} = \sum_{P \in C} n_P P \quad (n_P \geq 0),$$

where \mathfrak{d}_o is a divisor on \mathbb{P}^1 and

- if $P \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d})$ and $P \neq \iota(P)$, then $\iota(P) \notin \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d})$ and
- if $P \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d})$ and $P = \iota(P)$, then $n_P = 1$.

Definition 5.1. Under the notation given above, we have the following definitions:

- (i) An affine effective divisor \mathfrak{d} on C is said to be *semi-reduced* if $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}_{\text{sr}}$.
- (ii) A semi-reduced divisor \mathfrak{d} is said to be *h-reduced* if $\deg \mathfrak{d} \leq g$.

Remark 5.2. In [9, 13], an *h-reduced* divisor defined as above is simply called a reduced divisor. On the other hand, the word 'reduced' is used in a different meaning in standard textbooks of algebraic geometry (e.g., [11]). Hence we use the word '*h-reduced*' in order to avoid confusion.

We denote the coordinate ring $K[x, y]/\langle y^2 - f \rangle$ (resp. $\overline{K}[x, y]/\langle y^2 - f \rangle$) of the affine part of C over K by $K[C]$ (resp. over \overline{K} by $\overline{K}[C]$). For $g \in \overline{K}[x, y]$, we denote its class in $\overline{K}[C]$ by $[g]$. For $P \in C$, \mathcal{O}_P denotes the local ring at P and ord_P means the discrete valuation at P .

Let $\mathfrak{d} = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i P_i$, $P_i = (x_i, y_i)$ be a semi-reduced divisor on C . The divisor \mathfrak{d} can be represented by a pair of polynomials in $\overline{K}[x]$ which is called the Mumford representation. We briefly explain it. We first recall the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *There exist unique polynomials $u(x), v(x) \in \overline{K}[x]$ such that*

- (i) $u(x) := \prod_{i=1}^r (x - x_i)^{n_i}$,
- (ii) $\deg v(x) < \deg u(x)$, $\text{ord}_{P_i}([y - v(x)]) \geq n_i$, and
- (iii) $v(x)^2 - f$ is divisible by u .

For a proof, see [9, Lemma 10.3.5].

Conversely if u, v satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 5.3 are given, then we recover \mathfrak{d} as the zero set of the ideal generated by $[u]$ and $[y - v]$ in $\overline{K}[C]$ counted with proper multiplicities (see [9] in detail).

Definition 5.4. For a semi-reduced divisor \mathfrak{d} , (u, v) is said to be the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d} . Also, we denote the divisor given by a Mumford representation (u, v) by $\mathfrak{d}(u, v)$.

Remark 5.5. Define ideals $I(\mathfrak{d})$ and $\widetilde{I}(\mathfrak{d})$ in $\overline{K}[C]$ and $\overline{K}[x, y]$, respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathfrak{d}) &:= \{\xi \in \overline{K}[C] \mid \text{ord}_P(\xi) \geq n_P \text{ for } \forall P \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{d})\}, \\ \widetilde{I}(\mathfrak{d}) &:= \{g \in \overline{K}[x, y] \mid [g] \in \overline{K}[C]\}. \end{aligned}$$

As it can be seen in [20], the Gröbner basis of $\widetilde{I}(\mathfrak{d})$ with respect to the pure lexicographic order $>_p$, $y >_p x$ is of the form $\{u(x), y - v(x)\}$ where (u, v) is the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d} and $\widetilde{I}(\mathfrak{d}) \cap \overline{K}[x] = \langle u(x) \rangle$.

5.2 Semi-reduced divisors of degree 3 on elliptic curves over K

Let E be an elliptic curve given by a Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = f(x) = x^3 + c_1x^2 + c_2x + c_3, \quad c_i \in K.$$

If a semi-reduced divisor \mathfrak{d} is defined over K , then the polynomials u, v in the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d} are in $K[x]$. For later use, we consider the case of $\deg \mathfrak{d} = 3$. Let $\mathfrak{d} = P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ be a semi-reduced divisor of degree 3 (P_i 's are not necessarily distinct) and put $P_{\mathfrak{d}} := P_1 \dot{+} P_2 \dot{+} P_3$.

Lemma 5.6. *Assume that $P_{\mathfrak{d}} \neq O$ and let $(u_{\mathfrak{d}}, v_{\mathfrak{d}})$ be the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d} . Then we have*

- (i) $P_{\mathfrak{d}} \neq P_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).
- (ii) $\deg v_{\mathfrak{d}} = 2$.

Proof. (i) By the definition of the addition on E ,

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 - 3O \sim P_{\mathfrak{d}} - O.$$

Hence, if $P_{\mathfrak{d}} = P_1$, then $P_2 + P_3 - 2O \sim 0$. This means $P_3 = \iota^* P_2$, but this does not occur as \mathfrak{d} is semi-reduced. Similarly we have $P_{\mathfrak{d}} \neq P_2, P_3$.

(ii) Since $P_{\mathfrak{d}} \neq O$, the points P_1, P_2 and P_3 are not collinear. As the curve defined by $y - v_{\mathfrak{d}} = 0$ passes through P_1, P_2, P_3 and $\deg v_{\mathfrak{d}} \leq 2$, $\deg v_{\mathfrak{d}} = 2$. \square

Lemma 5.7. *We keep the notation as before. Assume that \mathfrak{d} is defined over K . Put $P_{\mathfrak{d}} := (x_{\mathfrak{d}}, y_{\mathfrak{d}})$. Then we have the following:*

- (i) *The point $P_{\mathfrak{d}}$ is a K -rational point of E , i.e., $x_{\mathfrak{d}}, y_{\mathfrak{d}} \in K$.*
- (ii) *The two polynomials $u_{\mathfrak{d}}, v_{\mathfrak{d}}$ satisfy $u_{\mathfrak{d}}, v_{\mathfrak{d}} \in K[x]$. In particular, $v_{\mathfrak{d}}$ is of the form*

$$b_0(x - x_{\mathfrak{d}})(x - b_1) - y_{\mathfrak{d}} \quad (b_0, b_1 \in K).$$

Proof. (i) Since $P_{\mathfrak{d}} := P_1 \dot{+} P_2 \dot{+} P_3$ and \mathfrak{d} is defined over K , $P_{\mathfrak{d}}$ is $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant. Hence $x_{\mathfrak{d}}, y_{\mathfrak{d}} \in K$.

(ii) The first statement follows from [9, Lemma 10.3.10]. We go on to show the second statement. By Lemma 5.3, the divisor of $[y - v_{\mathfrak{d}}]$ is $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 - 4O$ for some $P_4 \in E$. Hence

$$P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 + \iota^* P_4 - 5O \sim \iota^* P_4 - O.$$

As $P_1 + P_2 + P_3 - 3O \sim P_{\mathfrak{d}} - O$ and $P_4 + \iota^* P_4 - 2O \sim 0$, $P_{\mathfrak{d}} - O \sim \iota^* P_4 - O$. Hence $\iota^* P_4 = P_{\mathfrak{d}}$, i.e., $P_4 = \iota^* P_{\mathfrak{d}} = (x_{\mathfrak{d}}, -y_{\mathfrak{d}})$ and $v_{\mathfrak{d}}$ has the desired form. \square

5.3 Trisections of elliptic surfaces and their Mumford representations

In what follows, we only consider the case when $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, i.e., S is an elliptic surface over \mathbb{P}^1 .

In [4, 5], we made use of properties of bisections of certain elliptic surfaces in order to construct Zariski N -ples. Our description for bisections there is nothing but the Mumford representation for bisections. One of our purposes of this article is to consider a geometric application of Mumford representations of trisections along the line of [4, 5]. To this purpose, in this section, we consider the Mumford representations of trisections. Let D be a trisection and \mathfrak{d}_D be a divisor of degree 3 obtained by the restriction of D to E_S . In this article we only consider trisections such that

$$\mathfrak{d}_D \text{ is a semi-reduced divisor on } E_S.$$

We denote the rational point determined by \mathfrak{d}_D by P_D , i.e, the rational point obtained by Theorem 1. In order to give the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d}_D , we recall our setting in [4, Sections 2.2.2, 2.2.3]. Let Σ_d ($d = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$) be the Hirzebruch surface of degree d . Take affine open subsets U_1 and U_2 of Σ_d so that

- (i) $U_i = \mathbb{C}^2$ ($i = 1, 2$) and
- (ii) the coordinates of U_1 and U_2 are (t, x) and (s, x') , respectively with $s = 1/t$ and $x' = x/t^d$.

There exists a unique section Δ_0 with respect to the ruling $\Sigma_d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $\Delta_0^2 = -d$. With the coordinates as above, Δ_0 is given by $x = x' = \infty$. We also have a section given by $x = c_0 t^d + c_1 t^{d-1} + \dots + c_d, c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ on U_1 which is linearly equivalent to $\Delta_0 + d\mathfrak{f}$, \mathfrak{f} being a fiber of the ruling $\Sigma_d \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Under these settings, S is obtained in the following way:

- (i) There exists a reduced divisor \mathcal{T}_S on Σ_d such that (a) on U_1 , \mathcal{T} is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_S : f_{\mathcal{T}_S}(t, x) = x^3 + a_d(t)x^2 + a_{2d}(t)x + a_{3d}(t) = 0,$$

where $a_{di}(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t], \deg a_{di} \leq di$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), (b) \mathcal{T}_S has at most simple singularities (see [8] for simple singularities) and (c) E_S is given by the Weierstrass equation $y^2 = f_{\mathcal{T}}(t, x)$.

- (ii) The affine surface given by $y^2 = f_{\mathcal{T}_S}(t, x)$ can be extended to a double cover $f'_S : S' \rightarrow \Sigma_d$ and S is obtained as the minimal resolution of S' . We denote the resolution by $\mu : S \rightarrow S'$ and put $f_S = f'_S \circ \mu$. Note that $f_S(O) = \Delta_0$. See [4, 22, 14], for detail. With the coordinates as above, $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathbb{C}(t)$.

Remark 5.8. For the case of $d = 2$, S is a rational elliptic surface. If S has a reducible singular fiber, S can be obtained as $S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ for some quartic \mathcal{Q} and a smooth point z_o on \mathcal{Q} . If we choose coordinate of \mathbb{P}^2 suitably, $f_{\mathcal{T}_S}(t, x)$ is a defining equation of \mathcal{Q} . See [4, Section 2.2.2] for details.

We now consider the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d}_D via the Weierstrass equation of E_S as above. Let (u_D, v_D) be the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d}_D and we denote $P_D = (x_D, y_D) \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(t))$. Since \mathfrak{d}_D is defined over $\mathbb{C}(t)$, $u_D, v_D \in \mathbb{C}(t)[x]$. By Lemma 5.6 and 5.7, v_D is of the form

$$b_0(x - x_D)(x - b_1) - y_D, \quad b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}(t),$$

and

$$(v_D)^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_S} = b_0^2 u_D(x - x_D).$$

Conversely, assume that $P_o = (x_o, y_o) \in E_S(\mathbb{C}(t))$ is given. Then for any polynomial $v \in \mathbb{C}(t)[x]$ of the form $b_0(x - x_o)(x - b_1) - y_o$, $b_0, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}(t)$, $v^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_S}$ has a decomposition $b_0^2(x - x_o)u$ where $u \in \mathbb{C}(t)[x]$ is monic and $\deg u = 3$. Hence, u and v gives a divisor $\mathfrak{d}(u, v)$ of degree 3 on E_S such that $\mathfrak{d}(u, v) - 3O \sim P_o - O$. As u is determined by P_o and $b_0(x - x_o)(x - b_1) - y_o$, we denote the trisection given by $\mathfrak{d}(u, v)$ by $D(P_o, b_0, b_1)$. Note that $\bar{\psi}(D(P_o, b_0, b_1)) = P_o$ holds and $\mathcal{C}_{D(P_o, b_0, b_1)}$ is given by $u = 0$.

In the next section, we make use of this construction for trisections for a given P_o with $x_o, y_o \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ and v of the form $b_o(x - x_o)(x - b_1(t)) - y_o$, $b_o \in \mathbb{C}^\times, b_1 \in \mathbb{C}[t]$.

6 Examples

We apply our observation in Section 5 to consider explicit examples for trisections given by smooth cubics that appear in Proposition 3. As a result we obtain explicit examples of the Zariski pairs in Section 4.3. We keep the notation as before.

6.1 The case $\mathcal{Q} = C_o + L_1 + L_2$

We recall Example 5.2 in [22]. Let $[T, X, Z]$ be homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^2 and let $(t, x) = (T/Z, X/Z)$ be affine coordinates for $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{Z = 0\}$. Consider $\mathcal{Q} = C_o + L_1 + L_2$ given by

$$C_o : x - t^2 = 0, \quad L_1 : x + 3t + 2 = 0, \quad L_2 : x - 3t + 2 = 0.$$

In this case, $p_o = [0, -2, 1]$ and we label $C_o \cap (L_1 \cup L_2)$ by $p_1 = [-1, 1, 1]$, $p_2 = [-2, 4, 1]$, $p_3 = [1, 1, 1]$ and $p_4 = [2, 4, 1]$ (Note that we label L_1 and L_2 in a different way from [22, Example 5.2]). The lines L_{13} and L_{14} are given by $x - 1 = 0$ and $x - t - 2 = 0$, respectively. By choosing $[0, 1, 0]$ as z_o , we may assume that $E_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ is given by

$$y^2 = f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}} = (x - t^2)(x + 3t + 2)(x - 3t + 2).$$

Under these settings, P_{13} and P_{14} are

$$P_{13} = (1, -3(t+1)(t-1)), \quad P_{14} = (t+2, -2\sqrt{2}(t+1)(t-2)).$$

For simplicity we put $b_0 = 1$. We need to choose b_1 appropriately so that $u = 0$ gives a smooth cubic and we have the following:

- (i) For $D(P_{13}, 1, c)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$u = x^3 - 2(c+1)x^2 + (7t^2 + c^2 + 2c - 11)x - (6c - 14)t^2 - (c - 3)^2.$$

The curve $\mathcal{C}_{D(P_{13}, 1, c)}$ is given by $u = 0$ and it is smooth for general c .

(ii) For $D(P_{14}, 1, b_1)$ $b_1 = -(2\sqrt{2} + 3)t + c$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$u = x^3 + a_1x^2 + a_2x + a_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &:= (4\sqrt{2} + 5)t - 2c - 3, \\ a_2 &:= (12\sqrt{2} + 12)t^2 - (4\sqrt{2}c + 4c + 12\sqrt{2} + 13)t + c^2 + 4c - 8\sqrt{2} - 6, \\ a_3 &:= -(-6c + 36\sqrt{2} + 36)t^2 - (c^2 - 12\sqrt{2}c - 12c + 24\sqrt{2} + 40)t \\ &\quad - 2c^2 + 8\sqrt{2}c - 16. \end{aligned}$$

The curve $\mathcal{C}_{D(P_{14}, 1, b_1)}$ is given by $u = 0$ and it is smooth for general c .

As $[2]P_{14} = \left(\frac{9}{8}t^2, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{32}t(9t^2 - 16) \right)$, $\mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{14}}$ is given by $x - \frac{9}{8}t^2 = 0$. Put

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{14}} + \mathcal{C}_{D(P_{13}, 1, c)}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{C}_{[2]P_{14}} + \mathcal{C}_{D(P_{14}, 1, b_1)}.$$

Since \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 have the same combinatorics for general c , $(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a Zariski pair by Section 4.3.

6.2 The case $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$: The existence of curves satisfying Theorem 2, (i) and (ii)

By choosing suitable coordinates, we assume that \mathcal{Q} is given by $f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_0}}} = 0$ and that the generic fiber $E_{\mathcal{Q}, z_0}$ is given by $y^2 = f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_0}}}$. Let P_{ij} and Q_j be the points in considered in Section 2.2. Let \mathfrak{d}_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) be semi-reduced divisors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}_1 &:= P_{12} + P_{13} + P_{23}, \\ \mathfrak{d}_2 &:= [-1]P_{12} + P_{13} + P_{23}, \\ \mathfrak{d}_3 &:= P_{12} + [-1]P_{13} + P_{23}, \\ \mathfrak{d}_4 &:= P_{12} + P_{13} + [-1]P_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

We give a observation about the Mumford representations of \mathfrak{d}_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Let $(u_{\mathfrak{d}_j}, v_{\mathfrak{d}_j})$ be the Mumford representation of \mathfrak{d}_j . Put

$$[\pm 1]P_{ij} = (x_{ij}(t), \pm y_{ij}(t)), \quad [\pm 1]Q_j = (x_{Q_j}(t), \pm y_{Q_j}(t)).$$

As $C_{P_{ij}}$ and C_{Q_j} are given by $x - x_{ij}(t) = 0$ and $x - x_{Q_j}(t) = 0$, respectively, $\deg x_{ij} = \deg x_{Q_j} = 1$. Hence

$$u_{\mathfrak{d}_j} = (x - x_{12}(t))(x - x_{13}(t))(x - x_{23}(t)), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

i.e., $u_{\mathfrak{d}_j} = 0$ is Δ_{123} and $v_{\mathfrak{d}_j}$ satisfies

$$(v_{\mathfrak{d}_j}^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_0}}}) = \mathfrak{d}_j + [-1]Q_j - 4O,$$

and $v_{\mathfrak{d}_j}^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_0}}} = c(x - x_{Q_j}(t))u_j$, $c \in \mathbb{C}(t)^\times$.

We now show the following Lemma which assures that the coefficient c in the above equation is a constant.

Lemma 6.1. *The polynomial $v_{\mathfrak{d}_j}$ is of the form $v_{\mathfrak{d}_j} = c_0x^2 + c_1x + c_2$, $c_i \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, $\deg c_i = i$. In particular, c in the above is a constant.*

Proof. We prove the statement for $j = 1$ only as the remaining cases can be proven in the same way. Put $\mathcal{Q}_{Q_1} = L_{12} + L_{13} + L_{23} + L_{Q_1}$ and $L_{Q_1} \cap \mathcal{Q} = \{q_1, q_2\}$. Consider a pencil Λ_1 of quartics given by $|\lambda\mathcal{Q} + \mu\mathcal{Q}_{Q_1}|_{[\lambda, \mu] \in \mathbb{P}^1}$. Λ_1 satisfies the following properties:

- The base locs of Λ_1 is $4(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + 2(q_1 + q_2)$, where the coefficients of p_i, q_j 's mean the multiplicities.
- Since $\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}_{Q_1} \in \Lambda_1$, general members of Λ_1 are irreducible.
- General members of Λ_1 have nodes at p_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

Let C_o be the unique conic passing through p_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and q_j ($j = 1, 2$). Choose $r \in C_o \setminus (\mathcal{Q} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{Q_1})$. Let \mathcal{Q}_{μ_r} be a member of Λ_1 given by $\mathcal{Q} + \mu_r\mathcal{Q}_{Q_1}$ satisfying $r \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mu_r}$. Then since the divisor on C_o cut out by \mathcal{Q}_{μ_r} is $C_o|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_r}} = 2(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + q_1 + q_2 + r$, C_o is an irreducible component of \mathcal{Q}_{μ_r} . Write $\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_r} = C_o + C'_o$. Then C'_o is irreducible and $C'_o|_{\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_r}} = 2(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + q_1 + q_2$, i.e., $C'_o = C_o$. Thus $2C_o \in \Lambda_1$. As $z_o \notin C_o$, C_o is given by an equation of the form

$$c_0x^2 + c_1x + c_2, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{C}[t], \deg c_i = i.$$

and, as $2C_o = \mathcal{Q} + \mu_r\mathcal{Q}_{Q_1}$, we have

$$(c_0x^2 + c_1x + c_2)^2 = f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}} + \mu_r u_{\mathfrak{d}_1}(x - x_{Q_1}(t)).$$

By the uniqueness of the Mumford representation, we have $v_{\mathfrak{d}_1} = c_0x^2 + c_1x + c_2$ and $c = \mu_r$. \square

By Lemma 6.1 and $-y_{Q_j} = c_0x_{Q_j}^2 + c_1x_{Q_j} + c_2$, we may assume $v_{\mathfrak{d}_j}$ is of the form

$$b_0(x - x_{Q_j})(x + b_{10}t + b_{11}) - y_{Q_j}, \quad b_0 \in \mathbb{C}^\times, b_{1j} \in \mathbb{C}, j = 0, 1.$$

For each Q_j , consider the one parameter family of polynomials over $s \in \Delta_\epsilon, b_0(s) \neq 0$ given by

$$v_{j,s} := b_0(s)(x - x_{Q_j})(x + b_{10}(s)t + b_{11}(s)) - y_{Q_j},$$

such that $v_{j,0} = v_{\mathfrak{d}_j}$. Since $v_{j,s}(x_{Q_j}(t)) = -y_{Q_j}$, we have

$$v_{j,s}^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}}} = b_0(s)^2(x - x_{Q_j})u_{j,s}(x).$$

Hence $(u_{j,s}, v_{j,s})$ is a one parameter family of Mumford representations such that $\mathfrak{d}(u_{j,s}, v_{j,s}) - 3O \sim Q_j - O$. We also obtain a family of plane curves given by $u_{j,s} = 0$ for each j , which can be candidates of cubics in Theorem 2. We now go on to give an explicit example, which shows the existence of families of plane curves stated in Theorem 2.

Example 6.2. We use the same coordinates as in the case (i). Let $\mathcal{Q} = C_1 + C_2$ given by

$$C_1 : x - t^2 = 0, \quad C_2 : x^2 - 10tx + 25x - 36 = 0.$$

In this case, $p_1 = [3, 9, 1], p_2 = [2, 4, 1], p_3 = [6, 36, 1], p_4 = [-1, 1, 1]$ and

$$L_{12} : x - 5t + 6 = 0, \quad L_{13} : x - 9t + 18, \quad L_{23} : x - 8t + 12 = 0.$$

By choosing $[0, 1, 0]$ as z_o , we may assume that $E_{\mathcal{Q}, z_o}$ is given by

$$y^2 = f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}}, z_o}} = (x - t^2)(x^2 - 10tx + 25x - 36).$$

Under these settings, we have

$$P_{12} = (5t - 6, -5(t - 2)(t - 3)), P_{13} = (9t - 18, -3(t - 3)(t - 6)), P_{23} = (8t - 12, -4(t - 2)(t - 6)).$$

Put $Q_1 := P_{12} \dot{+} P_{13} \dot{+} P_{23}$, $Q_2 := [-1]P_{12} \dot{+} P_{13} \dot{+} P_{23}$. Straightforward computations show

$$Q_1 = (0, -6t), \quad Q_2 = (10t - 25, -6(t - 5)).$$

Hence \mathcal{Q} has bitangents

$$\mathcal{L}_1 : x = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 : x - 10t + 25 = 0.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} v_{1,s} &:= \frac{1}{6}x(x - (11t - 36 + s)) + 6t \\ v_{2,s} &:= (x - 10t + 25)(x - (6t - 6 + s)) + 6(t - 5), \end{aligned}$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}$. By computing $v_{j,s}^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}}, z_o}}$ ($j = 1, 2$), we have

$$v_{1,s}^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}}, z_o}} = \frac{1}{36}xv_{1,s}, \quad v_{2,s}^2 - f_{\mathcal{T}_{S_{\mathcal{Q}}, z_o}} = (x - 10t + 25)u_{2,s},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{1,s} &:= x^3 + (-2s - 22t + 36)x^2 + (s^2 + 22st + 157t^2 - 72s - 504t + 396)x \\ &\quad - 360t^3 + 72st + 1692t^2 - 2592t + 1296 \\ u_{2,s} &:= x^3 - (2s + 22t - 36)x^2 - (-s^2 - 32st - 157t^2 + 62s + 504t - 396)x \\ &\quad - 10s^2t - 120st^2 - 360t^3 + 25s^2 + 432st + 1692t^2 - 360s - 2592t + 1296. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have two families of Mumford representations $(u_{j,s}, v_{j,s})$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that

- (i) the divisor $\mathfrak{d}(u_{j,0}, v_{j,0})$ satisfies $\mathfrak{d}(u_{j,0}, v_{j,0}) = P_{12} + P_{13} + P_{23}$ and
- (ii) we have linear equivalences $\mathfrak{d}(u_{j,s}, v_{j,s}) - 3O \sim Q_j - O$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Let $D_{1,s} := D(Q_1, \frac{1}{6}, 11t - 36 + s)$ and $D_{2,s} := D(Q_2, 1, 6t - 6 + s)$ be trisections determined by $\mathfrak{d}(u_{1,s}, v_{1,s})$ and $\mathfrak{d}(u_{2,s}, v_{2,s})$, respectively. Put $E_{j,s} = \mathcal{C}_{D_{j,s}}$ ($j = 1, 2$) be cubics given by $u_{j,s} = 0$ ($j = 1, 2$), respectively. Then we can check that there exists $\epsilon > 0$, such that for $s \in \Delta_\epsilon$, $s \neq 0$ the following hold:

- (a) The curves $E_{j,s}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are smooth.
- (b) The curves $E_{j,s}$ ($j = 1, 2$) meet \mathcal{L}_1 at three distinct points.
- (c) The curves $E_{j,s}$ ($j = 1, 2$) are tangent to \mathcal{Q} at six distinct points.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{j,s} := \mathcal{Q} + E_{j,s} + \mathcal{L}_1$ ($j = 1, 2$). From the above facts and Section 3.3, we see that the following statements hold:

- (i) The curve $\mathcal{B}_{1,0}$ is equal to $\mathcal{B}_{2,0}$.
- (ii) For each $s \neq 0$, $(\mathcal{B}_{1,s}, \mathcal{B}_{2,s})$ is a Zariski pair.

References

- [1] E. Artal, J. Cogolludo-Agustín, and H. Tokunaga. A survey on Zariski pairs. In *Algebraic geometry in East Asia—Hanoi 2005*, volume 50 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 1–100. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008.
- [2] S. Bannai. A note on splitting curves of plane quartics and multi-sections of rational elliptic surfaces. *Topology Appl.*, 202:428–439, 2016.
- [3] S. Bannai and H.-o. Tokunaga. Elliptic surfaces of rank one and the topology of cubic-line arrangements. *J. Number Theory*. to appear.
- [4] S. Bannai and H.-o. Tokunaga. Geometry of bisections of elliptic surfaces and Zariski N -plets for conic arrangements. *Geom. Dedicata*, 178:219–237, 2015.
- [5] S. Bannai and H.-o. Tokunaga. Geometry of bisections of elliptic surfaces and Zariski N -plets II. *Topology Appl.*, 231:10–25, 2017.
- [6] S. Bannai and H.-o. Tokunaga. Zariski tuples for a smooth cubic and its tangent lines. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 96(2):18–21, 2020.
- [7] S. Bannai, H.-o. Tokunaga, and M. Yamamoto. Rational points of elliptic surfaces and the topology of cubic-line, cubic-conic-line arrangements. *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 49(1):87–108, 2020.
- [8] W. P. Barth, K. Hulek, C. A. M. Peters, and A. Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [9] S. D. Galbraith. *Mathematics of public key cryptography*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [10] E. Horikawa. On deformations of quintic surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 31(1):43–85, 1975.

- [11] S. Iitaka. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 76 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. An introduction to birational geometry of algebraic varieties, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 24.
- [12] K. Kodaira. On compact analytic surfaces. II, III. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 77 (1963), 563–626; *ibid.*, 78:1–40, 1963.
- [13] A. J. Menezes, Y.-H. Wu, and R. J. Zuccherato. An elementary introduction to hyperelliptic curves. In *N. Koblitz: Algebraic Aspects of Cryptography*, pages 157–178. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [14] R. Miranda. *The basic theory of elliptic surfaces*. Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica. [Doctorate in Mathematical Research]. ETS Editrice, Pisa, 1989.
- [15] R. Miranda and U. Persson. On extremal rational elliptic surfaces. *Math. Z.*, 193(4):537–558, 1986.
- [16] D. Mumford. *Tata lectures on theta. II*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. Jacobian theta functions and differential equations, With the collaboration of C. Musili, M. Nori, E. Previato, M. Stillman and H. Umemura, Reprint of the 1984 original.
- [17] T. Shioda. On the Mordell-Weil lattices. *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, 39(2):211–240, 1990.
- [18] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.
- [19] A. Takahashi and H.-o. Tokunaga. An explicit construction for n -contact curves to a smooth cubic via divisions and Zariski tuples, 2020. arXiv:2008.13467.
- [20] A. Takahashi and H.-o. Tokunaga. Representation of divisors on hyperelliptic curves, Gröbner bases and plane curves with quasi-toric relations, 2021. arXiv:2102.05794.
- [21] H.-o. Tokunaga. Some sections on rational elliptic surfaces and certain special conic-quartic configurations. *Kodai Math. J.*, 35(1):78–104, 2012.
- [22] H.-o. Tokunaga. Sections of elliptic surfaces and Zariski pairs for conic-line arrangements via dihedral covers. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 66(2):613–640, 2014.

Shinzo BANNAI

National Institute of Technology, Ibaraki College
 866 Nakane, Hitachinaka-shi, Ibaraki-Ken 312-8508 JAPAN
 sbannai@gm.ibaraki-ct.ac.jp

Ryosuke MASUYA, Non KAWANA and Hiro-o TOKUNAGA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Graduate School of Science,
 Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1 Minami-Ohsawa, Hachiohji 192-0397 JAPAN
 tokunaga@tmu.ac.jp