

DISCRIMINANT OF TAUTOLOGICAL BUNDLES ON SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS OF CURVES

ANDREAS KRUG

ABSTRACT. We compute a formula for the discriminant of tautological bundles on symmetric powers of a complex smooth projective curve. It follows that the Bogomolov inequality does not give a new restriction to stability of these tautological bundles. It only rules out tautological bundles which are already known to have the structure sheaf as a destabilising subbundle.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a natural way to produce a vector bundle on the symmetric product of a curve out of a vector bundle on the curve – use the Fourier–Mukai transform along the universal effective divisor.

More precisely, let C be a smooth curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $n \geq 2$. Then the symmetric product $C^{(n)} = C^n / \mathfrak{S}_n$ can be identified with the moduli space of effective degree n divisors on C , with the universal family $\Xi \subset C \times C^{(n)}$ given by the image of the embedding

$$C \times C^{(n-1)} \hookrightarrow C \times C^{(n)} \quad , \quad (x, x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}) \mapsto (x, x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1} + x).$$

Let $p: \Xi \rightarrow C$ and $q: \Xi \rightarrow C^{(n)}$ be the projections. For a vector bundle E on C , the associated *tautological bundle* on $C^{(n)}$ is $E^{[n]} := q_* p^* E$. Since q is flat and finite of degree n , this is indeed a vector bundle with $\text{rank}(E^{[n]}) = n \text{rank}(E)$ and fibres $E^{[n]}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = H^0(E|_{x_1 + \cdots + x_n})$.

One much-studied question regarding tautological bundles is whether they are stable. Here, by *stability* we mean slope stability with respect to the polarisation $H = x + C^{(n-1)} \subset C^{(n)}$. Strengthening earlier results of [AO94, BS92, Mis19, EMLN11, BN13, DP16, BD18], it was proven in [Kru18] that if E is (semi-)stable with slope $\mu(E) \notin [-1, n-1]$ (or, for semi-stability, $\mu(E) \notin (-1, n-1)$), then also $E^{[n]}$ is (semi-)stable.

For $\mu(E) \in [-1, n-1]$, the situation seems more complicated. It was observed in [Kru18, Sect. 3] that the above condition for stability is already numerically optimal: For every curve C and every $d = 0, \dots, n-2$ there is a line bundle of degree d on C such that $L^{[n]}$ is unstable. There are also line bundles of degree -1 and $n-1$ such that $L^{[n]}$ is strictly semi-stable. On the other hand, there are also examples of line bundles with $d \in (-1, n-1)$ such that $L^{[n]}$ is stable. Namely, by [BN13], $L^{[2]}$ is stable for every non-trivial line bundle L of degree zero. We would like to find a complete answer to the following question:

Let E be a (semi-)stable bundle of slope $\mu(E) \in [-1, n-1]$. Under which circumstances is $E^{[n]}$ a (semi-)stable bundle on $C^{(n)}$?

An obvious first approach to get a meaningful restriction on semi-stability of $E^{[n]}$ is to use the Bogomolov inequality

$$\int_{C^{(n)}} \Delta(E^{[n]}) H^{n-2} \geq 0$$

where $\Delta(E^{[n]}) = -2 \operatorname{rank}(E^{[n]}) \operatorname{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + c_1(E^{[n]})^2$ is the discriminant; see [Bog78], [Miy87], [HL10, Thm. 7.3.1]. In this paper, we compute the relevant intersection number.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g , and let E be a vector bundle on C of rank r and degree d . Then, for every $n \geq 2$,*

$$\int_{C^{(n)}} \Delta(E^{[n]}) H^{n-2} = d^2 - (n-2)dr + (n-1)(g-1)r^2.$$

Dividing the equation of **Theorem 1.1** by r^2 , we see that

$$\int \Delta(E^{[n]}) H^{n-2} \geq 0 \iff \mu^2 - (n-2)\mu + (n-1)(g-1) \geq 0.$$

Hence, the Bogomolov inequality gives

Corollary 1.2. *The tautological bundle $E^{[n]}$ is unstable for every vector bundle E on C with*

$$\mu(E) \in \left(\frac{n-2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(n-2)^2 - 4(n-1)(g-1)}, \frac{n-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(n-2)^2 - 4(n-1)(g-1)} \right)$$

For $g \geq 1$, the interval of **Corollary 1.2** lies inside the interval $(g-1, n-g)$, and it approaches for large n asymptotically the whole interval $(g-1, n-g)$.

However, the unstability criterion of **Corollary 1.2** is weaker than one already given in [Kru18, Sect. 3]. There, it was observed that $\mathcal{O}_{C^{(n)}}$ is a destabilising subbundle of $E^{[n]}$ for every E with $\mu(E) < n-1$ and $H^0(E) \neq 0$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, this implies that $E^{[n]}$ is always unstable when $\mu(E) \in (g-1, n-1)$.

Let now $n = 2$, such that $C^{(2)}$ is a surface. Then for $d \notin [-1, r]$, the bundle $E^{[2]}$ is stable by [Kru18], and we write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{C^{(2)}}(\operatorname{ch}(E^{[2]}), \det(E^{[2]}))$ for the moduli space of stable sheaves on $C^{(2)}$ with the same Chern character and determinant. **Theorem 1.1** tells us that $\int \Delta(E^{[n]})$ grows quadratically with d . Hence, we can apply the results of [O'G96] to obtain

Corollary 1.3. *For $|d| \gg 0$, the moduli space \mathcal{M} is irreducible and generically reduced of the expected dimension.*

Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{C^{(2)}}) = 1 - g + \binom{g}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(g^2 - 3g + 2)$, the expected dimension of \mathcal{M} is

$$\Delta(E^{[2]}) - (\operatorname{rank}(E^{[2]})^2 - 1)\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = d^2 + r^2(g-1) - \frac{1}{2}(4r^2 - 1)(g^2 - 3g + 2).$$

2. PROOF OF **THEOREM 1.1**

2.1. General Conventions. All our computations are carried out in the Chow rings modulo numerical equivalence. In particular, we will often omit the integral symbol \int when writing intersection numbers.

Throughout C will denote a smooth projective curve over a field of characteristic zero, and x will denote a point of the curve C . It will not matter which point, since we are doing our computations modulo numerical equivalence. In particular, the notion of slope stability with respect to our polarisation $H_n = x + C^{(n-1)}$ of $C^{(n)}$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in C$.

2.2. Some intersection numbers on C^n . Let $\pi_n: C^n \rightarrow C^{(n)}$ be the \mathfrak{S}_n -quotient morphism. We have $\tilde{H}_n := \pi_n^* H_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_i^*([x])$ where $\text{pr}_i: C^n \rightarrow C$ is the projection to the i -th factor. For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we consider the *pairwise diagonal*

$$\Delta_{ij} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^n \mid x_i = x_j\}.$$

The *big diagonal* is the sum of all pairwise diagonals

$$\delta_n = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Delta_{ij}.$$

Another divisor on C^n that we will need is $\delta'_n = \delta_n - \overline{\text{pr}}_1^* \delta_n = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta_{1j}$ where

$$\overline{\text{pr}}_1: C^n \rightarrow C^{n-1} \quad , \quad (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

We will often omit the index n in the notation and write $\pi, H, \tilde{H}, \delta, \delta'$ instead of $\pi_n, H_n, \tilde{H}_n, \delta_n, \delta'_n$. For $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define

$$\eta_I := \prod_{i \in I} \text{pr}_i^*([x]) = [\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i = x \ \forall i \in I\}].$$

Since $\text{pr}_i^*([x])^2 = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, we get

$$(1) \quad \tilde{H}^k = k! \sum_{|I|=k} \eta_I \quad \text{for all } k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have the following intersection numbers on C^n :*

$$(2) \quad \tilde{H}^n = n! \quad , \quad \delta \tilde{H}^{n-1} = n!(n-1) \quad , \quad \delta^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2} = -n!(g-1) + n!(n-2)n,$$

$$(3) \quad \text{pr}_1^*([x]) \delta' \tilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-1)! \quad , \quad \delta'^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2} = -(n-1)!2(g-1) + (n-1)!(n-2)3.$$

Proof. The first equation is just a special case of (1). For the second equation, note that, for $|I| = n-1$, we have

$$\Delta_{ij} \eta_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |I \cap \{i, j\}| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |I \cap \{i, j\}| = 2. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (1), we get $\Delta_{ij} \tilde{H}^{n-1} = (n-1)!2$. Since there are $\binom{n}{2}$ pairwise diagonals, we get $\delta \tilde{H}^{n-1} = \binom{n}{2} (n-1)!2 = n!(n-1)$.

We have $\text{pr}_1^*([x]) \Delta_{1j} = \eta_{\{1, j\}}$. Hence, the only summand of \tilde{H}^{n-2} which has a non-zero intersection pairing with $\text{pr}_1^*([x]) \Delta_{1j}$ is $\eta_{\{2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}}$. Hence $\text{pr}_1^*([x]) \Delta_{1j} \tilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-2)!$, again by (1). The formula $\text{pr}_1^*([x]) \delta' \tilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-2)!(n-1) = (n-1)!$ follows as δ' consists of $(n-1)$ pairwise diagonals. For the computation of $\delta'^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2}$, we first note that

$$(4) \quad \delta'^2 = \sum_{j=2}^n \Delta_{1j}^2 + 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} \Delta_{1i} \Delta_{1j}.$$

The self-intersection of a pairwise diagonal is $\Delta_{1j}^2 = -2(g-1)\eta_{\{1, j\}}$. Hence,

$$(5) \quad \Delta_{1j}^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2} = -(n-2)!2(g-1).$$

Furthermore, $\Delta_{1i} \Delta_{1j} = \Delta_{1ij} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 = x_i = x_j\}$. For $|I| = n-2$, we have

$$\Delta_{1ij} \eta_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |I \cap \{1, i, j\}| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |I \cap \{1, i, j\}| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Since there are three $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = n - 2$ and $|I \cap \{1, i, j\}| = 1$, equation (1) yields

$$(6) \quad \Delta_{1ij} \tilde{H}^{n-2} = (n-2)!3.$$

We obtain the formula for $\delta^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2}$ by combining (4), (5), and (6).

The computation of $\delta^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2}$ is very similar using that

$$\delta^2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \Delta_{ij}^2 + 6 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} \Delta_{ijk} + \sum_{\{i,j\} \cap \{k,\ell\} = \emptyset} \Delta_{ij} \Delta_{k,\ell}$$

instead of (4). The only additional ingredient is that, for $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, \ell\} = \emptyset$ and $|I| = n - 2$, we have

$$\Delta_{ij} \Delta_{k\ell} \eta_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |I \cap \{i, j\}| = 1 = |I \cap \{k, \ell\}|, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in \text{CH}^2(C^{n-2})$. Then*

$$\int_{C^n} \tilde{H}_n^{n-2} \overline{\text{pr}}_1^* \alpha = (n-2) \int_{C^{n-1}} \tilde{H}_{n-1}^{n-3} \alpha.$$

Proof. By projection formula,

$$\int_{C^n} \tilde{H}_n^{n-2} \overline{\text{pr}}_1^* \alpha = \int_{C^{n-1}} \overline{\text{pr}}_{1*} (\tilde{H}_n^{n-2} \overline{\text{pr}}_1^* \alpha) = \int_{C^{n-1}} (\overline{\text{pr}}_{1*} \tilde{H}_n^{n-2}) \alpha.$$

We have $\overline{\text{pr}}_{1*} \tilde{H}_n^{n-2} = (n-2) \tilde{H}_{n-1}^{n-3}$ as follows from (1) together with

$$\overline{\text{pr}}_{1*} \eta_I = \begin{cases} \eta_{I \setminus \{1\}} & \text{if } 1 \in I, \\ 0 & \text{if } 1 \notin I. \end{cases} \quad \square$$

2.3. Computation of the discriminant by induction. The Chern characters of tautological bundles are computed in [Mat65, Sect. 3] in the case that $E = L$ is a line bundle. So one way to proceed would be to generalise the formula [Mat65, Prop. 6] to higher rank bundles, and then use it to compute $\Delta(E^{[n]})H^{n-2}$. Instead, we chose a more direct route to the computation of $\Delta(E^{[n]})H^{n-2}$, using the short exact sequence

$$(7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{pr}_1^* E(-\delta'_n) \rightarrow \pi_n^* E^{[n]} \rightarrow \overline{\text{pr}}_1^* \pi_{n-1}^* E^{[n-1]} \rightarrow 0.$$

of [Kru18, Prop. 1.4] to proceed by induction on n .

We consider a vector bundle E of rank r and degree d on the curve C of genus g .

Lemma 2.3. *For all $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$c_1(E^{[n]})^2 H^{n-2} = d^2 - 2dr(n-1) - r^2(g-1) + r^2n(n-2).$$

Proof. Using the short exact sequence (7) one deduces by induction the formula

$$c_1(\pi^* E^{[n]}) = d\tilde{H} - r\delta;$$

see [Kru18, Sect. 1.7]. Hence, $c_1(\pi^* E^{[n]})^2 = d^2 \tilde{H}^2 - 2dr\delta\tilde{H} + r^2\delta^2$. By (2), we get

$$c_1(\pi^* E^{[n]})^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2} = n!(d^2 - 2dr(n-1) - r^2(g-1) + r^2n(n-2)).$$

Since $\pi: C^n \rightarrow C^{(n)}$ is of degree $n!$, we have $c_1(E^{[n]})^2 H^{n-2} = \frac{c_1(\pi^* E^{[n]})^2 \tilde{H}^{n-2}}{n!}$. □

Lemma 2.4. *For every $n \geq 2$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ch}_2(E^{[n]})H^{n-2} = -\frac{1}{2}(d + (g-1)r - (n-2)r).$$

Proof. We have $\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{pr}_1^* E) = r + d \mathrm{pr}_1^*([x])$ and $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}(-\delta')) = 1 - \delta' + \frac{1}{2}\delta'^2 \pm \dots$. Hence, $\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathrm{pr}_1^* E(-\delta')) = -d \mathrm{pr}_1^*([x])\delta' + \frac{1}{2}r\delta'^2$ which by (3) gives

$$(8) \quad \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathrm{pr}_1^* E(-\delta'))\tilde{H}^{n-2} = -(n-1)!d - (n-1)!(g-1)r + (n-1)!(n-2)\frac{3}{2}r$$

We now can prove the assertion, or rather the equivalent formula

$$(9) \quad \mathrm{ch}_2(\pi_n^* E^{[n]})\tilde{H}^{n-2} = -\frac{1}{2}n!(d + (g-1)r - (n-2)r),$$

by induction using the short exact sequence (7). For $n = 2$, the sequence gives $\mathrm{ch}_2(\pi_2^* E^{[2]}) = \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathrm{pr}_1^* E(-\delta'))$, and we check that the right-hand sides of (8) and (9) are equal for $n = 2$. For $n \geq 3$, the sequence (7) together with Lemma 2.2 give

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_2(\pi_n^* E^{[n]})\tilde{H}_n^{n-2} &= \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathrm{pr}_1^* E(-\delta'))\tilde{H}_n^{n-2} + \overline{\mathrm{pr}}_1^* \mathrm{ch}_2(\pi_{n-1}^* E^{[n-1]})\tilde{H}_n^{n-2} \\ &= \mathrm{ch}_2(\mathrm{pr}_1^* E(-\delta'))\tilde{H}_n^{n-2} + (n-2) \mathrm{ch}_2(\pi_{n-1}^* E^{[n-1]})\tilde{H}_{n-1}^{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the induction step is done by plugging (8) and the $n-1$ case of (9) into the right-hand side. \square

Recall that the discriminant is defined as

$$\Delta(E^{[n]}) = -2 \mathrm{rank}(E^{[n]}) \mathrm{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + c_1(E^{[n]})^2 = -2nr \mathrm{ch}_2(E^{[n]}) + c_1(E^{[n]})^2.$$

Hence Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 together compute $\Delta(E^{[n]})H^{n-2}$ and prove Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [AO94] Vincenzo Ancona and Giorgio Ottaviani. Stability of special instanton bundles on \mathbf{P}^{2n+1} . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 341(2):677–693, 1994.
- [BD18] Suratno Basu and Krishanu Dan. Stability of secant bundles on the second symmetric power of curves. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 110(3):245–249, 2018.
- [BN13] Indranil Biswas and D. S. Nagaraj. Stability of secant bundles on second symmetric power of a curve. In *Commutative algebra and algebraic geometry (CAAG-2010)*, volume 17 of *Ramanujan Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser.*, pages 13–18. Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, 2013.
- [Bog78] Fedor A. Bogomolov. Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective manifolds. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 42(6):1227–1287, 1439, 1978.
- [BS92] Guntram Bohnhorst and Heinz Spindler. The stability of certain vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^n . In *Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, 1990)*, volume 1507 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 39–50. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [DP16] Krishanu Dan and Sarbeswar Pal. Semistability of certain bundles on second symmetric power of a curve. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 103:37–42, 2016.
- [EMLN11] A. El Mazouni, F. Laytimi, and D. S. Nagaraj. Secant bundles on second symmetric power of a curve. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 26(2):181–194, 2011.
- [HL10] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2010.
- [Kru18] Andreas Krug. Stability of tautological bundles on symmetric products of curves. *arXiv:1809.06450*, 2018.
- [Mat65] Arthur Mattuck. Secant bundles on symmetric products. *Amer. J. Math.*, 87:779–797, 1965.
- [Mis19] Ernesto C. Mistretta. On stability of tautological bundles and their total transforms. *Milan J. Math.*, 87(2):273–282, 2019.

- [Miy87] Yoichi Miyaoka. The Chern classes and Kodaira dimension of a minimal variety. In *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 449–476. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [O’G96] Kieran G. O’Grady. Moduli of vector bundles on projective surfaces: some basic results. *Invent. Math.*, 123(1):141–207, 1996.