

EXTRA AUTOMORPHISMS OF CYCLIC ORBIFOLDS OF LATTICE VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

CHING HUNG LAM AND HIROKI SHIMAKURA

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study the automorphism group of the cyclic orbifold of a vertex operator algebra associated with a rootless even lattice for a lift of a fixed-point free isometry of odd prime order p . We prove that such a cyclic orbifold contains extra automorphisms, not induced from automorphisms of the lattice vertex operator algebra, if and only if the rootless even lattice can be constructed by Construction B from a code over \mathbb{Z}_p or is isometric to the coinvariant lattice of the Leech lattice associated with a certain isometry of order p .

1. INTRODUCTION

The orbifold of a vertex operator algebra (VOA) for an automorphism group is the fixed-point subVOA. This is a standard method to construct new VOAs from known VOAs. Recently, it has been proved in [CM, Mi15, Mi] that a (cyclic) orbifold has “nice” properties, such as rationality and C_2 -cofiniteness, if the original VOA has the same properties. It is natural to ask if all automorphisms of the orbifold can be obtained from those of the original VOA; more precisely, for a VOA V and an automorphism group G , the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(V^G)$ of the orbifold V^G is a quotient of the normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(G)$ of G in $\text{Aut}(V)$ or not. We say that an automorphism of V^G is *extra* if it cannot be obtained from $N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(G)$. Therefore, the key question is to determine when the orbifold has extra automorphisms.

One of the fundamental examples of VOAs is a lattice VOA V_L associated with an even lattice L . It is known [FLM88] that the isometry group $O(L)$ of L lifts to the subgroup $O(\hat{L})$ of $\text{Aut}(V_L)$; let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a (standard) lift of an isometry $g \in O(L)$ (cf. Section 2.3). If L has norm 2 vectors, called roots, or g has fixed-points, then the weight 1 subspace of the cyclic orbifold $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ is non-trivial and $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ may be infinite. Hence, we focus

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B69, Secondary 11H71.

Key words and phrases. Extra automorphisms, Cyclic orbifolds, Lattice vertex operator algebras, Leech lattice.

C.H.Lam was partially supported by a research grant AS-IA-107-M02 of Academia Sinica and MOST grant 107-2115-M-001-003-MY3 of Taiwan.

H. Shimakura was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP17K05154, JP19KK0065 and JP20K03505.

on the case where L is rootless and g is fixed-point free. Indeed, many interesting finite groups, such as the orthogonal groups $O^+(10, 2)$ and $\Omega_8^-(3):2$, are obtained as the automorphism groups of cyclic orbifolds of lattice VOAs under this setting ([Sh04, CLS18]). It is known [DJX13] that V_L is a simple current extension of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$. Hence by [Sh04, Sh07], an automorphism τ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ is extra if and only if the τ -conjugate $V_L \circ \tau$ of V_L is not isomorphic to V_L as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules, equivalently, $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is not isomorphic to a submodule of V_L , where $V_L(1)$ is the eigenspace of \hat{g} with eigenvalue $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/|\hat{g}|)$. By [DRX17], any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module is a submodule of an (untwisted) irreducible V_L -module or of an irreducible \hat{g}^s -twisted V_L -module for some $1 \leq s \leq |\hat{g}| - 1$; the former and the latter are said to be of *untwisted type* and of *twisted type*, respectively.

The case where the order of g is 2, that is, g is the -1 -isometry, has been studied in [Sh04]; $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms if and only if L can be constructed by Construction B from a doubly even binary code. Moreover, $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type for some $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ if and only if L is isometric to $\sqrt{2}E_8$ or the Barnes-Wall lattice of rank 16; notice that both lattices are also constructed by Construction B. It is natural to study the case where the order of g is greater than 2. For example, if h is a certain isometry of the Leech lattice Λ , then $V_{\Lambda_h}^{\hat{h}}$ has extra automorphisms ([La20b]), where Λ_h is the coinvariant lattice of Λ associated with h .

In this article, we deal with the case where g is a fixed-point free isometry of odd prime order and classify all rootless even lattices such that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms. Our main theorem is as follows (Theorem 6.21):

Main Theorem 1. *Let L be a rootless even lattice of rank m . Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of prime order p and let \hat{g} be a standard lift of g . Then $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$ and L can be constructed by Construction B from a doubly even binary code of length m ;
- (ii) p is an odd prime and L can be constructed by Construction B from a self-orthogonal code of length $m/(p-1)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p whose dual code contains a codeword of Hamming weight $m/(p-1)$;
- (iii) $p = 11$ and L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{11A} of the Leech lattice associated with the conjugacy class 11A of $O(\Lambda)$;
- (iv) $p = 23$ and L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{23A} of the Leech lattice associated with the conjugacy class 23A of $O(\Lambda)$.

We also classify rootless even lattices L such that the τ -conjugate $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type for some $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ in Theorem 6.18. In particular, we obtain the following (Corollary 6.20):

Main Corollary 1. *Let L be a rootless even lattice. Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of prime order p and let \hat{g} be a standard lift of g . Then the following are equivalent:*

- *There exists an automorphism τ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ such that $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type;*
- *L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{pX} of the Leech lattice Λ associated with the conjugacy class $pX \in \{2A, -2A, 3B, 3C, 5B, 5C, 7B, 11A, 23A\}$.*

Our results consist of existence part of extra automorphisms of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ and classification part of rootless even lattices L such that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms.

For the former part, we explicitly construct an extra automorphism of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ if L can be constructed by Construction B from a code over \mathbb{Z}_p (Corollary 5.5). More generally, we deal with the case where L is constructed from a subgroup of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$ (Theorem 5.3). The main idea is to generalize the triality automorphisms associated with the root lattice of type A_1 in [FLM88, Chapter 11] to other root lattices of type A_{k-1} ($k \geq 2$). In addition, we prove that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms if L is the coinvariant lattice Λ_h of the Leech lattice Λ associated with some $h \in O(\Lambda)$ by using the argument as in [La] (Proposition 6.17).

For the latter part, we prove that a rootless even lattice L can be constructed by Construction B if $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of untwisted type but is not a submodule of V_L for some $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$. (Proposition 6.2). In this case, comparing the characters and fusion products of $V_L(1)$ and $V_L(1) \circ \tau$, we obtain an element $\lambda + L$ in the discriminant group $\mathcal{D}(L)$ of L satisfying certain properties on $|(\lambda + L)(2)|$ and the order of $\lambda + L$ in $\mathcal{D}(L)$, which implies that L can be constructed by Construction B from a code over \mathbb{Z}_p (Theorem 4.19). In addition, we classify the rootless even lattices L if $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type for some $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$. In this case, the quantum dimension of the irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module of twisted type is 1 ([ALY18, DJX13]). Hence there are a few possibilities of rank L and the discriminant group $\mathcal{D}(L)$, which is sufficient to classify all even lattices L . Furthermore, these lattices can be embedded into the Leech lattice as coinvariant lattices (Propositions 6.6, 6.9 and 6.13).

The organization of the article is as follows: In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about lattices, lattice VOAs and the automorphism groups of lattice VOAs. We also recall the conjugates of modules. In Section 3, we review the irreducible modules over the orbifold $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ and study their conjugates by some automorphisms. In Section 4, we review the construction of certain even lattices using codes over \mathbb{Z}_p , which we call Construction

A and Construction B. We also discuss some characterizations of such lattices. In Section 5, we construct an extra automorphism of the cyclic orbifold $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ if L is constructed by Construction B. In Section 6, under the assumption that $g \in O(L)$ is fixed-point free of odd prime order p , we classify all possible rootless even lattices L such that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms.

Notations

$(\cdot \cdot)$	the positive-definite symmetric bilinear form of \mathbb{R}^m .
$\langle \cdot \cdot \rangle$	the inner product on \mathbb{Z}_p^t .
$\mathcal{D}(L)$	the discriminant group L^*/L of an even lattice L .
ε	the conformal weight (3.3) of an irreducible \hat{g}^s -twisted V_L -module.
$\varepsilon(M)$	the conformal weight of a module M over a VOA.
g_Δ	the isometry in (4.5) of the root lattice of type A_k associated with a base Δ .
$g_{\Delta,e}$	the isometry in (4.12) of $L_A(C)$ associated with a base Δ of R and $e \in \mathcal{D}(R)$.
$L_A(C), L_B(C)$	the lattices in (4.7) and (4.11) associated with a subgroup C of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$.
L^g, L_g	$L^g = \{\alpha \in L \mid g\alpha = \alpha\}$ and $L_g = \{\alpha \in L \mid (\alpha L^g) = 0\}$ for $g \in O(L)$.
L^*	the dual lattice $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \mid (\alpha L) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ of a lattice L .
Λ	the Leech lattice.
$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1}$	fundamental weights of the root lattice of type A_{k-1} .
λ_x	$(\lambda_{x_1}^1, \dots, \lambda_{x_t}^t) \in R_1^* \perp \dots \perp R_t^*$ associated with $x = (x_i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$ as in (4.8).
$M \circ \tau$	the τ -conjugate of a V -module M for $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V)$ as in Definition 2.3.
$O(L)$	the isometry group of a lattice L .
\hat{g}	a standard lift in $O(\hat{L})$ of an isometry g of L .
ρ_Δ	the Weyl vector associated with a base Δ of a root system.
R	the orthogonal sum of root lattices R_1, \dots, R_t of type $A_{k_1-1}, \dots, A_{k_t-1}$.
σ_x	the inner automorphism $\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_{(0)})$ of a VOA V associated with $x \in V_1$.
$S(2)$	$S(2) = \{\alpha \in S \mid (\alpha \alpha) = 2\}$ for a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.
V^τ	the fixed-point subVOA $\{v \in V \mid \tau v = v\}$ of V for $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V)$.
χ_Δ	the vector $(\rho_{\Delta_1}/k_1, \dots, \rho_{\Delta_t}/k_t)$ as in (4.10).
\mathbb{Z}_k^\times	the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}_k = \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Lattices. Let L be a lattice with the positive-definite bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$. The *dual lattice* L^* of L is defined to be the lattice

$$L^* = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \mid (\alpha|L) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

A sublattice K of L is said to be *full* if $\text{rank } K = \text{rank } L$, namely, $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. We denote the isometry group of L by $O(L)$. Note that $O(L)$ is finite.

Assume that L is *even*, that is, $(\alpha|\alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in L$. Then $(\alpha|\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in L$, and $L \subset L^*$. The *discriminant group* $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is defined to be the quotient group L^*/L , which is a finite abelian group. The *norm* (or *square norm*) of a vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ is defined to be the value $(\alpha|\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$. For a subset $S \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$, we denote by

$$S(2) = \{\alpha \in S \mid (\alpha|\alpha) = 2\},$$

the set of all norm 2 vectors in S . We call a vector of norm 2 a *root*; in fact, for an even lattice L , $L(2)$ forms a simply laced root system. An even lattice L is said to be *rootless* if $L(2) = \emptyset$.

Let $g \in O(L)$ of order n . The fixed-point sublattice L^g of g and the *coinvariant lattice* L_g of L associated with g are defined to be

$$(2.1) \quad L^g = \{\alpha \in L \mid g\alpha = \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad L_g = \{\alpha \in L \mid (\alpha|L^g) = 0\},$$

respectively. Clearly, $\text{rank } L_g + \text{rank } L^g = \text{rank } L$ and the restriction of g to L_g is a fixed-point free isometry of order n ; we often use the same symbol g for the restriction.

We now give some lemmas, which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a lattice and let $g \in O(L)$. Then $(1 - g)L = (1 - g^{-1})L$.*

Proof. Let n be the order of g . Then $(1 - g^{-1}) = (1 - g^{n-1}) = (1 - g)(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} g^i)$. Hence $(1 - g^{-1})L \subset (1 - g)L$. Replacing g by g^{-1} , we obtain the opposite inclusion. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a lattice and let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of order n .*

(1) *The operator $(1 - g)$ on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ is non-singular, and $\det(1 - g) = \det(1 - g^{-1})$.*

$$\text{Moreover, } (1 - g)^{-1} = \frac{-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ig^i.$$

(2) *$((1 - g)L)^* = (1 - g)^{-1}L^*$.*

Proof. (1) Since g is fixed-point free, 1 is not an eigenvalue of g . Hence $(1 - g)$ is non-singular, and by Lemma 2.1, we have $\det(1 - g) = \det(1 - g^{-1})$. The inverse of $(1 - g)$ can be obtained from the formula $(1 - g)(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ig^i) = -n$.

(2) Let $\alpha \in L$ and $\beta \in L^*$. By (1), we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} ((1 - g)\alpha|(1 - g^{-1})^{-1}\beta) &= \frac{-1}{n} \left((\alpha| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ig^{-i}\beta) - (g\alpha| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ig^{-i}\beta) \right) \\ &= \frac{-1}{n} (\alpha| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^i\beta - (n - 1)\beta) = (\alpha|\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1 - g^{-1})^{-1}L^* \subset ((1 - g)L)^*$. It is easy to see that $\det((1 - g)L)^* = \det(1 - g)^{-2} \det L^*$ and $\det((1 - g^{-1})^{-1}L^*) = \det(1 - g^{-1})^{-2} \det L^*$. By (1) again, these values are the same, and hence $((1 - g)L)^* = (1 - g^{-1})^{-1}L^*$. By Lemma 2.1, we have the result. \square

2.2. VOAs, modules and automorphisms. A *vertex operator algebra* (VOA) $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m$ over the complex field \mathbb{C} equipped with a linear map

$$Y(a, z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(i)} z^{-i-1} \in (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \in V,$$

the *vacuum vector* $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$ and the *conformal vector* $\omega \in V_2$ satisfying certain axioms ([Bo86, FLM88]). The operators $L(m) = \omega_{(m+1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the Virasoro relation:

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}c \text{ id}_V,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the *central charge* of V .

Let V be a VOA. A V -*module* (M, Y_M) is a \mathbb{C} -graded vector space $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{C}} M_m$ equipped with a linear map

$$Y_M(a, z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(i)} z^{-i-1} \in (\text{End } M)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \in V$$

satisfying a number of conditions ([FHL93, DLM00]). We often denote it by M . If M is irreducible, then there exists $\varepsilon(M) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} M_{\varepsilon(M)+m}$ and $M_{\varepsilon(M)} \neq 0$; the number $\varepsilon(M)$ is called the *conformal weight* of M . Let $\text{Irr}(V)$ denote the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible V -modules. We often identify an element in $\text{Irr}(V)$ with its representative. Assume that the fusion product \boxtimes is defined on V -modules (cf. [HL95]). An irreducible V -module M^1 is called a *simple current module* if for any irreducible V -module M^2 , the fusion product $M^1 \boxtimes M^2$ is also an irreducible V -module.

A linear automorphism τ of V is called an (VOA) *automorphism* of V if

$$\tau\omega = \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \tau Y(v, z) = Y(\tau v, z)\tau \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

We denote the group of all automorphisms of V by $\text{Aut}(V)$. Note that $\text{Aut}(V)$ preserves V_n for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For an automorphism τ of V , let V^τ denote the fixed-point subVOA, that is, $V^\tau = \{v \in V \mid \tau v = v\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let V be a VOA and let $M = (M, Y_M)$ be a V -module. For $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V)$, the τ -*conjugate* of M is the V -module $(M \circ \tau, Y_{M \circ \tau})$ defined as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} M \circ \tau &= M \quad \text{as a vector space;} \\ Y_{M \circ \tau}(a, z) &= Y_M(\tau a, z) \quad \text{for any } a \in V. \end{aligned}$$

If V -modules M^1 and M^2 are isomorphic, then $M^1 \circ \tau$ and $M^2 \circ \tau$ are also isomorphic. Hence, the τ -conjugate defines an $\text{Aut}(V)$ -action on the isomorphism classes of V -modules. The following lemma is immediate:

Lemma 2.4. *Let M, M^1, M^2 be V -modules and let $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V)$. Assume that the fusion product is defined on V -modules.*

- (1) *If M is irreducible, then so is $M \circ \tau$. In addition, $\varepsilon(M) = \varepsilon(M \circ \tau)$ and $\dim M_i = \dim(M \circ \tau)_i$ for all i ;*
- (2) *$(M^1 \circ \tau) \boxtimes (M^2 \circ \tau) \cong (M^1 \boxtimes M^2) \circ \tau$. In particular, if M is a simple current module, then so is $M \circ \tau$.*

2.3. Lattice VOAs and their automorphism groups. Next we recall a few facts about lattice VOAs and their automorphism groups from [FLM88, DN99, LY14].

Let L be an even lattice and let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the positive-definite symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. Let $M(1)$ be the Heisenberg VOA associated with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and the form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ extended \mathbb{C} -bilinearly. Let $\mathbb{C}\{L\} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} \mathbb{C}e^{\alpha}$ be the twisted group algebra such that $e^{\alpha}e^{\beta} = (-1)^{(\alpha|\beta)}e^{\beta}e^{\alpha}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in L$. The lattice VOA V_L associated with L is defined to be $M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{L\}$ ([FLM88]).

Let \hat{L} be a central extension of L associated with the commutator map $(\cdot|\cdot) \pmod{2}$ on L . Let $\text{Aut}(\hat{L})$ be the automorphism group of \hat{L} . For $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\hat{L})$, we define the element $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(L)$ by $\varphi(e^{\alpha}) \in \{\pm e^{\bar{\varphi}(\alpha)}\}$, $\alpha \in L$. Set $O(\hat{L}) = \{\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\hat{L}) \mid \bar{\varphi} \in O(L)\}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, we set

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_x = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_{(0)}) \in \text{Aut}(V_L).$$

Then $\{\varphi \in O(\hat{L}) \mid \bar{\varphi} = id\} = \{\sigma_x \mid x \in ((1/2)L^*)/L^*\}$. By [FLM88, Proposition 5.4.1], we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \{\sigma_x \mid x \in ((1/2)L^*)/L^*\} \rightarrow O(\hat{L}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\cdot}} O(L) \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that $\text{Aut}(V_L) = N(V_L) O(\hat{L})$ ([DN99]), where $N(V_L) = \langle \exp(a_{(0)}) \mid a \in (V_L)_1 \rangle$.

An element $\phi \in O(\hat{L})$ is called a *standard lift* of $g \in O(L)$ if $\bar{\phi} = g$ and $\phi(e^{\alpha}) = e^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in L^g$. The following lemma can be found in [EMS20, LS20].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $g \in O(L)$ and let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a standard lift of g .*

- (1) *Any standard lift of g is conjugate to \hat{g} by an element in $\text{Aut}(V_L)$.*
- (2) *If g is fixed-point free or has odd order, then $|\hat{g}| = |g|$.*
- (3) *If g is fixed-point free, then for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(s, |g|) = 1$, \hat{g}^s is a standard lift of g^s .*

Let $g \in O(L)$ be a fixed-point free isometry of prime order p and let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a standard lift of g . By the proof of [LY14, Theorem 5.15], along with Lemma 2.2 (2), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \{\sigma_y \mid y \in \mathfrak{h}/L^*\} \cap N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle) = \{\sigma_y \mid y \in ((1-g)^{-1}L^*)/L^*\},$$

where $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)$ is the normalizer of $\langle \hat{g} \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}(V_L)$.

Clearly, $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)$ preserves $V_L^{\hat{g}}$. Since V_L is a simple current extension of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ graded by $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$ acts faithfully on $V_L^{\hat{g}}$, that is,

$$N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)/\langle \hat{g} \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}}).$$

Definition 2.6. An automorphism τ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ is said to be *extra* if $\tau \notin N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$.

A characterization of extra automorphisms has been obtained in [Sh04]:

Proposition 2.7. (cf. [Sh04, Theorem 3.3]) *An automorphism τ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ is extra if and only if $V_L \circ \tau \not\cong V_L$ as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules.*

In order to determine $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, the key question is to determine if $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$ is equal to $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ or not, equivalently, if $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms or not. The main aim of this article is to discuss necessary and sufficient conditions for which the VOA $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms.

3. IRREDUCIBLE $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -MODULES AND THEIR CONJUGATES

Let L be a positive-definite even lattice and let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of prime order p . Let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a standard lift of g . Then the order $|\hat{g}|$ of \hat{g} is also p . It follows from [CM, Mi15] that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ is rational, C_2 -cofinite, self-dual and of CFT-type. Hence the fusion product is defined on $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules.

It was proved in [DRX17] that any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module appears as a submodule of an irreducible \hat{g}^s -twisted V_L -module for some $0 \leq s \leq p-1$. Note that a \hat{g}^0 -twisted V_L -module is a V_L -module. Remark that the conformal weight of any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module M is positive if $M \not\cong V_L^{\hat{g}}$.

Definition 3.1. An irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module is said to be of \hat{g}^s -type if it is a $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodule of an irreducible \hat{g}^s -twisted V_L -module. In addition, it is said to be of *untwisted type* (resp. of *twisted type*) if it is of \hat{g}^0 -type (resp. of \hat{g}^s -type for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$).

In this section, we review constructions of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules and describe the conjugates of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules by some elements described in (2.5).

3.1. Irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules of untwisted type. In this subsection, we discuss the irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules of untwisted type.

Let $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and $V_{\lambda+L} = M(1) \otimes \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lambda + L\}$. Then $V_{\lambda+L}$ has an irreducible V_L -module structure ([FLM88]).

Assume that $(1-g)\lambda \notin L$, that is, $g(\lambda + L) \neq \lambda + L$. Then $V_{\lambda+L}$ is also irreducible as a $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module. Note that $V_{\lambda+L}$ is not a simple current module over $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ ([DJX13]).

Assume that $(1-g)\lambda \in L$, that is, $g(\lambda+L) = \lambda+L$. Then $V_{\lambda+L}$ is \hat{g} -invariant; we fix a \hat{g} -module isomorphism $\hat{g}_{\lambda+L}$ of $V_{\lambda+L}$ of order p . For $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, we denote $V_{\lambda+L}(i) = \{v \in V_{\lambda+L} \mid \hat{g}_{\lambda+L}(v) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/p)v\}$, which is an irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module. Recall from [Do93] that the fusion product $V_{\lambda+L} \boxtimes V_{\lambda'+L} = V_{\lambda+\lambda'+L}$ holds for $\lambda+L, \lambda'+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$. Hence, if $(1-g)\lambda, (1-g)\lambda' \in L$, then for $0 \leq i, i' \leq p-1$, there exists $0 \leq i'' \leq p-1$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad V_{\lambda+L}(i) \boxtimes V_{\lambda'+L}(i') \cong V_{\lambda+\lambda'+L}(i'').$$

Note that $V_{\lambda+L}(i)$ is a simple current module over $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ [DJX13]. In addition, for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, and $\mu+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ with $(1-g)\mu \in L$,

$$(3.2) \quad \varepsilon(V_L(0)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(V_L(i)) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(V_{\mu+L}(j)) = \frac{1}{2} \min\{(v|v) \mid v \in \mu+L\}.$$

For $\alpha \in L^*$, the inner automorphism $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}\alpha}$ belongs to $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ by (2.5).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \lambda \in L^*$ with $g(\lambda+L) = \lambda+L$ and let $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$. If $(\alpha|\lambda) \in j/p + \mathbb{Z}$, then as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules,*

$$V_{\lambda+L}(i) \circ \sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}\alpha} \cong V_{\lambda+L}(i-j).$$

Proof. Set $y = (1-g)^{-1}\alpha$. Since σ_y is an inner automorphism of V_L , it can be extended to a V_L -module isomorphism from $V_{\lambda+L}$ to $V_{\lambda+L} \circ \sigma_y \cong V_{\lambda+L}$. Then $\hat{g}_{\lambda+L}$ acts on $V_{\lambda+L} \circ \sigma_y$ as $\sigma_y \hat{g}_{\lambda+L} \sigma_y^{-1} = \sigma_{(1-g)y} \hat{g}_{\lambda+L} = \sigma_\alpha \hat{g}_{\lambda+L}$. By the assumption, σ_α acts on $V_{\lambda+L}$ as the scalar multiplication $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-j)/p)$. Hence $\hat{g}_{\lambda+L}$ acts on $V_{\lambda+L}(i) \circ \sigma_y$ as the scalar multiplication $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(i-j)/p)$, which proves this lemma. \square

3.2. Irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules of twisted type. In this subsection, we will discuss the irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules of twisted type. We use the descriptions in [ALY18, Sections 3.2 and 3.3] (see also [Le85, DL96, BK04]). Let $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. The irreducible \hat{g}^s -twisted module $V_L^T[\hat{g}^s]$ is given by

$$V_L^T[\hat{g}^s] = M(1)[g^s] \otimes T,$$

where $M(1)[g^s]$ is the “ g^s -twisted” free bosonic space and T is an irreducible module for a certain “ \hat{g}^s -twisted” central extension of L . Its conformal weight is given in [DL96, (6.28)] as

$$(3.3) \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon(V_L^T[\hat{g}^s]) = \frac{1}{4p^2} \frac{m}{p-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} k(p-k) = \frac{m(p+1)}{24p},$$

where m is the rank of L . By the explicit construction of $V_L^T[\hat{g}^s]$, we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.3. *The conformal weight of any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module of twisted type belongs to $\{\varepsilon + i/p \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$.*

Note also from [Le85, Proposition 6.2] that

$$(3.4) \quad (\dim T)^2 = [L : R_L^{g^s}],$$

where $R_L^{g^s} = ((1-g^s)L^*) \cap L$.

3.3. Irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules under $(1-g)L^* \subset L$. In this subsection, we assume that

$$(3.5) \quad (1-g)L^* \subset L, \quad \text{equivalently,} \quad g(\lambda+L) = \lambda+L \quad \text{for all } \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

By [DL96], the module T in Section 3.2 is labeled by $(1-g^s)L^*/(1-g^s)L \cong \mathcal{D}(L)$; we adopt the following notation as in [La20a]:

$$V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s] = M(1)[g^s] \otimes T_{\lambda+L}, \quad \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

It follows from (2.2) that the set of linear characters of $(1-g^s)L^*/(1-g^s)L$ is

$$\{\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\cdot \mid (1-g^s)^{-1}\lambda)) \mid \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)\}$$

and we choose the labeling so that $T_{\lambda+L}$ is associated with $\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\cdot \mid (1-g^s)^{-1}\lambda))$. Hence we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\alpha \in L^*$ and $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. Then, as \hat{g}^s -twisted V_L -modules, $V_L[\hat{g}^s] \circ \sigma_{(1-g^s)^{-1}\alpha} \cong V_{\alpha+L}[\hat{g}^s]$.*

By the assumption (3.5) and [La20a, Theorem 4.11], any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module is a simple current module. In addition,

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) = \{V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s](i) \mid \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L), \quad 0 \leq i, s \leq p-1\},$$

where we identify $V_{\lambda+L}(i)$ with $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^0](i)$ and $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s](i)$ is an irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodule of $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s]$.

We now further assume that there exist $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, $\mu+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, $0 \leq q \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq s \leq p-1$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad V_L(1) \circ \tau \cong V_{\mu+L}[\hat{g}^s](q).$$

By Lemma 2.4 (1) and (3.2), $\varepsilon(V_{\mu+L}[\hat{g}^s](q)) = \varepsilon(V_L(1)) = 1$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\varepsilon \in \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we choose the labeling of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodules of $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s]$ as in [La20a] so that

$$\varepsilon(V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s](j)) \equiv \frac{sj}{p} + \frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The following lemma is immediate from Lemma 3.4:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\alpha \in L^*$ and $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. For $0 \leq j \leq p-1$,*

$$V_L[\hat{g}^s](j) \circ \sigma_{(1-g^s)^{-1}\alpha} \cong V_{\alpha+L}[\hat{g}^s](j'),$$

where j' is determined by $sj \equiv p(\alpha|\alpha)/2 + sj' \pmod{p}$. In particular, all irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules of \hat{g}^s -type with the same conformal weight are conjugate under $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$.

In addition, by [La20a, Theorem 5.3], $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) \cong \mathcal{D}(L) \times \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ as abelian groups under the fusion product; the explicit multiplications are given as follows:

$$(3.8) \quad V_{\lambda_1+L}[\hat{g}^{s_1}](i_1) \boxtimes V_{\lambda_2+L}[\hat{g}^{s_2}](i_2) = V_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+L}[\hat{g}^{s_1+s_2}](i_1+i_2).$$

By Lemma 2.4 (2), the assumption (3.7) and the fusion product (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \{V_L(j) \circ \tau \mid 1 \leq j \leq p-1\} = \{V_{j\mu+L}[\hat{g}^{js}](jq) \mid 1 \leq j \leq p-1\}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *Assume (3.5) and (3.7). For any $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, $V_{\lambda+L}(0) \circ \tau$ or $V_{\lambda+L}(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type.*

Proof. By (3.8), we have $V_L(1) \boxtimes V_{\lambda+L}(0) = V_{\lambda+L}(1)$. Then we obtain $(V_L(1) \circ \tau) \boxtimes (V_{\lambda+L}(0) \circ \tau) = (V_{\lambda+L}(1) \circ \tau)$ by Lemma 2.4 (2). If both $V_{\lambda+L}(0) \circ \tau$ and $V_{\lambda+L}(1) \circ \tau$ are of untwisted type, then by (3.8), so is $V_L(1) \circ \tau$, which contradicts the assumption (3.7). \square

Corollary 3.7. *Assume (3.5) and (3.7). Let $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. Let ε be the rational number given in (3.3). Then $\{\varepsilon(M) \mid M \in \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})\} = \{0, \varepsilon + i/p \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$. In particular, $\{\varepsilon(M) \mid M \in \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})\} \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Set $J = \{\varepsilon + i/p \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$. Let $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})^{tw}$ be the subset of $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ consisting of isomorphism classes of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules of twisted type. By Lemma 3.3 and (3.6), $\{\varepsilon(M) \mid M \in \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})^{tw}\} = J$. It follows from Proposition 3.6, (3.2) and (3.7) that $\varepsilon(V_L(1)) = 1 \in J$ and $\varepsilon(V_{\lambda+L}(j)) \in J$ for any $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Hence by (3.2), $\{\varepsilon(M) \mid M \in \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})\} = J \cup \{0\}$. \square

The following lemma is immediate from (3.2) and Corollary 3.7.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume (3.5) and (3.7). Let $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ such that $(\lambda|\lambda) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then the minimum norm of $\lambda+L$ is 2.*

Proposition 3.9. *Assume (3.5) and (3.7). Let $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ such that $(\lambda|\lambda) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then there exist $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ such that $V_L(j)$ and $V_{\lambda+L}(i)$ are conjugate by an element of $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, the minimum norm of $\lambda + L$ is 2. By Proposition 3.6, $V_{\lambda+L}(i) \circ \tau$ is of \hat{g}^ℓ -type for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq p - 1$, and its conformal weight is 1. By (3.9), $V_L(j) \circ \tau$ is of \hat{g}^ℓ -type for some $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$ and its conformal weight is 1. Then, the assertion follows from Lemma 3.5. \square

4. LATTICES ASSOCIATED WITH SUBGROUPS OF $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$

In this section, we discuss constructions of lattices associated with subgroups of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$, which we call Construction A and Construction B. We also discuss their properties and give characterizations. In Sections 4.1 and 4.2, k_i is not necessary a prime. However, in Sections 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, $k_1 = \dots = k_t$ is a prime.

4.1. Root lattice of type A_{k-1} . Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. In this subsection, we review some basic properties of the root lattices A of type A_{k-1} (cf. [CS99]). The following is a standard model for A :

$$A = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\{v_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, v_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1)\}$ be the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^k . Then $A(2) = \{\pm(v_i - v_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$ and it forms a root system of type A_{k-1} .

Set $\alpha_i = v_i - v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Then $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$ is a base of the root system of type A_{k-1} and has the following Dynkin diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \circ & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \dots & \text{---} & \circ & \text{---} & \circ \\ \alpha_1 & & \alpha_2 & & & & \alpha_{k-2} & & \alpha_{k-1} \end{array}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{D}(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}_k$. Set $\lambda_0 = 0$ and

$$\lambda_j = \frac{1}{k} \left((k-j) \sum_{i=1}^j v_i - j \sum_{i=j+1}^k v_i \right) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Then $\lambda_1 + A$ is a generator of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and $\lambda_j \in j\lambda_1 + A$. In addition,

$$(4.1) \quad (\lambda_i | \lambda_j) = \frac{i(k-j)}{k} \quad \text{if } i \leq j,$$

where $(\cdot | \cdot)$ is the standard inner product of \mathbb{R}^k . Hence for $1 \leq i, j \leq k - 1$

$$(4.2) \quad (\lambda_i | \lambda_j) \equiv -\frac{ij}{k} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let ρ_Δ be the Weyl vector with respect to Δ , i.e.,

$$(4.3) \quad \rho_\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(k-1, k-3, \dots, -k+3, -k+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_j \in A^*.$$

Note that for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,

$$(4.4) \quad (\rho_\Delta | \alpha_i) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho_\Delta | \lambda_i) = \frac{(k-i)i}{2}.$$

Hence if k is odd (resp. even), then $\rho_\Delta \in A$ (resp. $\rho_\Delta \in (1/2)A \setminus A$).

Lemma 4.1. *Let β be a root of A . Then $(\beta | \rho_\Delta) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$.*

Proof. If β is positive (resp. negative), then $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i \alpha_i$ (resp. $\beta = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i \alpha_i$) and $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence $1 \leq |(\rho_\Delta | \beta)| = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i \leq k-1$. \square

Set $\alpha_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i$, the negated highest root. Denote $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \{\alpha_0\}$. Let g_Δ be the following fixed-point free isometry of A of order k :

$$(4.5) \quad g_\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) = (x_k, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

Then $g_\Delta(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+1}$ if $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ and $g_\Delta(\alpha_{k-1}) = \alpha_0$. In particular, g_Δ acts on $\tilde{\Delta}$ as a cyclic permutation of order k . It is known that g_Δ is a Coxeter element of the Weyl group, the subgroup of $O(A)$ generated by all reflections associated with roots. It is easy to see that for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$

$$(4.6) \quad g_\Delta(\lambda_j) = \lambda_j - \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i, \quad g_\Delta(\rho_\Delta) = \rho_\Delta - k\lambda_1.$$

The following lemma is immediate:

Lemma 4.2. *For $1 \leq j \leq k-1$, g_Δ^j is fixed-point free if and only if $\gcd(j, k) = 1$.*

We now give some lemmas, which will be used later.

Lemma 4.3. *Let t be a positive integer. Assume that $k \geq 3$. Let X be a set of roots of the root system of type A_{k-1}^t . Assume that $(\alpha | \beta) \in \{0, -1\}$ for all distinct $\alpha, \beta \in X$. Then $|X| \leq tk$. In addition, if $|X| = tk$, then X is the union of a base and the negated highest roots.*

Proof. Let Γ be a graph on X such that $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is an edge if $(\alpha | \beta) = -1$. Then X is a subgraph of the union of t copies of the extended Dynkin diagram of type A_{k-1} ; otherwise, $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ has a negative norm vector. Hence $|X| \leq tk$. If $|X| = tk$, then Γ is the union of t copies of the extended Dynkin diagram of type A_{k-1} , which proves the latter assertion. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that k is an odd prime. Let $h \in O(A)$ be a fixed-point free isometry of order k such that h preserves $\tilde{\Delta}$. Then $h \in \langle g_\Delta \rangle$.*

Proof. Notice that the stabilizer of $\tilde{\Delta}$ in $O(A)$ is the stabilizer of the extended Dynkin diagram of type A_{k-1} , and it is the dihedral group of order $2k$ generated by g_Δ and the diagram automorphism of order 2. Since k is an odd prime, $h \in \langle g_\Delta \rangle$. \square

4.2. **Construction of lattices associated with subgroups of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$.** Let t be a positive integer and let $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let R_i ($1 \leq i \leq t$) be a copy of the root lattice of type A_{k_i-1} . Let R be the orthogonal sum of R_1, \dots, R_t ;

$$R = R_1 \perp R_2 \perp \cdots \perp R_t.$$

Then $\mathcal{D}(R_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$ and $\mathcal{D}(R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$. Let

$$\nu : R^* \rightarrow R^*/R = \mathcal{D}(R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$$

be the canonical surjective map. For a subgroup C of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$, let $L_A(C)$ denote the lattice defined by

$$(4.7) \quad L_A(C) = \nu^{-1}(C) = \{\alpha \in R^* \mid \nu(\alpha) \in C\};$$

we call $L_A(C)$ the lattice constructed by *Construction A* from C . Since $L_A(C)$ contains R as a full sublattice, the rank of $L_A(C)$ is $\sum_{i=1}^t (k_i - 1)$. Note that $L_A(\{\mathbf{0}\}) = R$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the identity element of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$.

We now fix a base Δ_i of the root system $R_i(2)$ of type A_{k_i-1} . Then $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \Delta_i$ is a base of $R(2)$. For $x = (x_i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$, denote

$$(4.8) \quad \lambda_x = (\lambda_{x_1}^1, \dots, \lambda_{x_t}^t) \in R_1^* \perp \cdots \perp R_t^* = R^*,$$

where x_i is regarded as an element of $\{0, \dots, k_i - 1\}$ and $\{\lambda_j^i \mid 1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1\}$ is the set of fundamental weights in R_i^* with respect to Δ_i . The following lemma is immediate from the definition of $L_A(C)$.

Lemma 4.5. *For a generating set \mathcal{C} of C , the set $\{\lambda_c \mid c \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and R generate $L_A(C)$ as a lattice.*

By (4.1) and (4.2), for $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad (\lambda_x | \lambda_x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{x_i(k_i - x_i)}{k_i} \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_x | \lambda_y) \equiv - \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{x_i y_i}{k_i} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Set

$$(4.10) \quad \chi_\Delta = \left(\frac{\rho_{\Delta_1}}{k_1}, \dots, \frac{\rho_{\Delta_t}}{k_t} \right) \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R,$$

where ρ_{Δ_i} is the Weyl vector of R_i with respect to Δ_i . Note that χ_Δ depends on Δ .

Let $L_B(C)$ be the sublattice of $L_A(C)$ defined by

$$(4.11) \quad L_B(C) = \{\alpha \in L_A(C) \mid (\alpha | \chi_\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}\};$$

we call $L_B(C)$ the lattice constructed by *Construction B* from C .

Remark 4.6. Let Δ' be a base of $R(2)$. Then there exists an element h in the Weyl group of R such that $h(\Delta') = \Delta$. Hence $h(\rho_{\Delta'}) = \rho_{\Delta}$ and h induces an isometry between lattices constructed by Construction B with respect to Δ' and Δ . Hence, up to isometry, the lattice $L_B(C)$ does not depend on a choice of a base Δ .

Remark 4.7. When $k_1 = \dots = k_t = 2$, $L_A(C)$ and $L_B(C)$ are lattices constructed by Constructions A and B from a binary code C , respectively (cf. [CS99, Chapter 7]).

Let n be the least common multiple of k_1, \dots, k_t . Note that for a simple root β of $R_i(2)$, $\beta + L_B(C)$ has order k_i in $L_A(C)/L_B(C)$. Hence $L_A(C)/L_B(C)$ contains an element of order n . Since $\chi_{\Delta} \in (1/2n)R$ by (4.3), we have $|L_A(C) : L_B(C)| = n$ or $2n$. By the definition of $L_B(C)$, we have the following:

Lemma 4.8. $|L_A(C) : L_B(C)| = n$ if and only if $\chi_{\Delta} \in (1/n)L_A(C)^*$.

Let $g_{\Delta_i} \in O(R_i)$ be defined as in (4.5). Note that g_{Δ_i} belongs to the Weyl group of R_i . For $e = (e_i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$, set

$$(4.12) \quad g_{\Delta, e} = ((g_{\Delta_1})^{e_1}, \dots, (g_{\Delta_t})^{e_t}) \in O(L_A(C)).$$

Lemma 4.9. $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(L_B(C))$ if and only if $\lambda_e \in L_A(C)^*$.

Proof. It follows from (4.6) that

$$(4.13) \quad g_{\Delta, e}(\chi_{\Delta}) \in \chi_{\Delta} + (e_1\lambda_1^1, \dots, e_t\lambda_1^t) + R = \chi_{\Delta} + \lambda_e + R.$$

By the definition of $L_B(C)$, $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(L_B(C))$ if and only if $\lambda_e \in L_A(C)^*$. \square

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following:

Lemma 4.10. *The isometry $g_{\Delta, e}$ is fixed-point free and of order n if and only if $\gcd(e_i, k_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$*

4.3. Even lattices associated with codes over \mathbb{Z}_p . Let p be an odd prime and set $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_p^t \times \mathbb{Z}_p^t \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the canonical inner product of \mathbb{Z}_p^t ; for $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^t$, $\langle x | y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^t x_i y_i \pmod{p}$. The *Hamming weight* of an element $x = (x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^t$ is $|\{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}|$. A subset C of \mathbb{Z}_p^t is called a *code* of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p if C is a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^t . A code C over \mathbb{Z}_p is said to be *self-orthogonal* if $\langle c | c' \rangle = 0$ for all $c, c' \in C$. Note that a code C is self-orthogonal if and only if $\langle c | c \rangle = 0$ for all $c \in C$ since p is an odd prime.

Let R_i ($1 \leq i \leq t$) be a copy of the root lattice of type A_{p-1} . Set $R = R_1 \perp \dots \perp R_t$. Then $\mathcal{D}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^t$. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, fix a base Δ_i of the root system $R_i(2)$ of type A_{p-1} ,

and set $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \Delta_i$. Let C be a code of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p . As in (4.7), we obtain the lattice $L_A(C)$ by Construction A from C .

By (4.9), for $x = (x_i), y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^t$, we have

$$(4.14) \quad (\lambda_x | \lambda_x) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^t x_i(p - x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^t \left(x_i - \frac{x_i^2}{p} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_x | \lambda_y) \equiv -\frac{\langle x | y \rangle}{p} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Note that $\sum_{i=1}^t x_i(p - x_i) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $(\lambda_x | \lambda_x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\langle x | x \rangle = 0$. Thus we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 4.11. *The lattice $L_A(C)$ is even if and only if C is self-orthogonal.*

We now assume that C is self-orthogonal. It follows from $R \subset L_A(C)$ that $L_A(C)^* \subset R^*$. Let C^\perp denote the dual code of C , that is, $C^\perp = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_p^t \mid \langle C | d \rangle = 0\}$. By (4.14) and Lemma 4.5, we obtain the following:

Lemma 4.12. *$L_A(C)^* = L_A(C^\perp)$ and $|\mathcal{D}(L_A(C))| = |C^\perp / C|$.*

Define χ_Δ as in (4.10), i.e.,

$$(4.15) \quad \chi_\Delta = \frac{1}{p}(\rho_{\Delta_1}, \dots, \rho_{\Delta_t}) \in \frac{1}{p}R^*.$$

It follows from $p \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ that $\rho_{\Delta_i} \in R_i$, which shows $\chi_\Delta \in (1/p)R$.

Let $L_B(C)$ be the sublattice of $L_A(C)$ defined as in (4.11). Since $L_A(C)$ is even, so is $L_B(C)$. Fix a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta$. Then $\Delta \subset \alpha + L_B(C)$ since $\alpha - \beta \in L_B(C)$ for any $\beta \in \Delta$. By Lemma 4.8 and $\chi_\Delta \in (1/p)R \subset (1/p)L_A(C)^*$, we have $|L_A(C) : L_B(C)| = p$. It follows from $(\chi_\Delta | \alpha) = 1/p$ that $L_A(C)/L_B(C) = \langle \alpha + L_B(C) \rangle$.

Lemma 4.13. *$|\mathcal{D}(L_B(C))| = p^2 |C^\perp / C|$ and $L_B(C)^* = L_A(C^\perp) + \mathbb{Z}\chi_\Delta$.*

Proof. Since $|L_B(C)^* : L_A(C)^*| = |L_A(C) : L_B(C)| = p$, we obtain $|\mathcal{D}(L_B(C))| = p^2 |C^\perp / C|$ by lemma 4.12. By the definition of $L_B(C)$, we have $\chi_\Delta \in L_B(C)^*$. Since $\chi_\Delta \notin L_A(C)^*$, we have the result. \square

Lemma 4.14. *Let $x = (x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^t$. Then $(\lambda_x | \lambda_x) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $(\lambda_x | \chi_\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. By (4.4) and (4.14), we have

$$(\lambda_x | \lambda_x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{x_i(p - x_i)}{p} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{(p - x_i)x_i}{2p} = 2(\lambda_x | \chi_\Delta),$$

which proves this lemma. \square

Let $c \in C$. Since $L_A(C)$ is even, we have $(\lambda_c | \lambda_c) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 4.14, $(\lambda_c | \chi_\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\lambda_c \in L_B(C)$. In addition, for $c' \in C$, $\lambda_c + \lambda_{c'} \in \lambda_{c+c'} + L_B(C)$. It follows from $L_A(\{\mathbf{0}\}) = R \subset L_A(C)$ that $L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\}) = \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha | \chi_\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset L_B(C)$. Hence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.15. *For a generating set \mathcal{C} of C , the set $\{\lambda_c \mid c \in \mathcal{C}\}$ and $L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})$ generate $L_B(C)$ as a lattice.*

Remark 4.16. Let C and C' be codes over \mathbb{Z}_p . Assume that C and C' are equivalent, that is, there exists $f_0 \in \langle \varepsilon_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t \rangle : S_n$ such that $f_0(C) = C'$, where ε_i acts on \mathbb{Z}_p^t as -1 on the i -th component and as 1 on the other components and the symmetric group S_n acts on the coordinates as permutations. Note that the diagram automorphism of Δ_i of order 2 induces ε_i on $R_i^*/R_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then f_0 induces an isometry $f : L_A(C) \rightarrow L_A(C')$. Since f fixes χ_Δ , we have $L_B(C) \cong L_B(C')$.

4.4. Characterizations of even lattices associated with codes over \mathbb{Z}_p . In this subsection, we give characterizations of the even lattices $L_A(C)$ and $L_B(C)$.

Let p be an odd prime and let C be a self-orthogonal code of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p . By the construction, $L_A(C)$ contains $R = L_A(\{\mathbf{0}\})$, which is isometric to the root lattice of type A_{p-1}^t , as a full sublattice.

Conversely, if an even lattice L contains a full sublattice S isometric to the root lattice of type A_{p-1}^t , then there exists a self-orthogonal code C of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p such that $L \cong L_A(C)$. Indeed, $C = L/S \subset S^*/S \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^t$. Hence we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.17. *Let L be an even lattice. Then $L \cong L_A(C)$ for some self-orthogonal code C of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p if and only if L contains the root lattice of type A_{p-1}^t as a full sublattice.*

We will also discuss a characterization of the lattice $L_B(C)$.

Proposition 4.18. *Let C be a self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{Z}_p . Assume that $(L_A(C))(2) = R(2)$. Let L be a full sublattice of $L_A(C)$ with index p . Then $L \cong L_B(C)$ if and only if L is rootless.*

Proof. By the definition of $L_B(C)$ and Lemma 4.1, the assumption $(L_A(C))(2) = R(2)$ implies that $L_B(C)$ is rootless.

Assume that L is rootless. Let $\gamma \in R(2)$ be a root. Then $\gamma \in L_A(C) \setminus L$. Since p is a prime, we have

$$(4.16) \quad L_A(C)/L = \langle \gamma + L \rangle.$$

Hence $L_A(C) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{p-1} (i\gamma + L)$, and by $L(2) = \emptyset$,

$$(4.17) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{p-1} (i\gamma + L)(2) = R(2).$$

Let $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in (i\gamma + L)(2)$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. If $(\alpha|\beta) = 1$, then $\alpha - \beta \in L(2)$, which contradicts that $L(2) = \emptyset$. If $(\alpha|\beta) = -2$, then $\alpha = -\beta$ and $p = 2$, which contradicts that p is an odd prime. Hence $(\alpha|\beta) \in \{0, -1\}$. By Lemma 4.3, $|(i\gamma + L)(2)| \leq pt$. It follows from $|(L_A(C))(2)| = |R(2)| = p(p-1)t$ and (4.17) that $|(i\gamma + L)(2)| = pt$ for all i . By Lemma 4.3, $(\gamma + L)(2)$ consists of a base of the root system $R(2)$ of type A_{p-1}^t and the negated highest roots; we fix a base $\Delta \subset (\lambda + L)(2)$ such that $\gamma \in \Delta$. Then $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_\alpha \alpha \in R$ belongs to L if and only if $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, equivalently, the inner product $(\chi_\Delta | \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_\alpha \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where χ_Δ is the vector defined in (4.15) with respect to Δ . Hence $L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\}) = \{\beta \in R \mid (\beta|\chi_\Delta) \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset L$.

For $c \in C$, let $\lambda_c \in L_A(C)$ defined as in (4.8). By Lemma 4.14, $\lambda_c \in L_B(C)$. Set

$$C_0 = \{c \in C \mid (\lambda_c + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})) \cap L \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If $C = C_0$, then $L = L_B(C)$ by Lemma 4.15; we now assume that $C \neq C_0$. It follows from $L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\}) \subset L$ that $L_B(C_0) \subset L$. Recall that $\gamma \in \Delta$ and $(\gamma|\chi_\Delta) = 1/p$.

First, we show that $|C : C_0| = p$. Let $d, d' \in C \setminus C_0$. By (4.16), there exist $1 \leq i, i' \leq p-1$ such that $\lambda_d + i\gamma, \lambda_{d'} + i'\gamma \in L$. Let $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ such that $i + ji' \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then

$$\lambda_d + i\gamma + j(\lambda_{d'} + i'\gamma) \in (\lambda_{d+jd'} + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})) \cap L.$$

Hence $d + jd' \in C_0$, and $|C : C_0| = p$.

Let $e = (e_i) \in C_0^\perp \setminus C^\perp$ and let $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(L_A(C))$ as in (4.12). Let us show that some power of $g_{\Delta, e}$ sends L to $L_B(C)$.

Let $c \in C_0$. Note that for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and simple roots α_j^i of R_i , we have $(\sum_{j=1}^q \alpha_j^i | \rho_{\Delta_i}) = (q\alpha_1^i | \rho_{\Delta_i}) = q$. Hence, by (4.6), we have

$$(4.18) \quad g_{\Delta, e}(\lambda_c) \in \lambda_c - (e_1 c_1 \alpha_1^1, \dots, e_t c_t \alpha_1^t) + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\}).$$

It follows from $\langle c|e \rangle = 0$ that $\sum_{i=1}^t c_i e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which shows $(e_1 c_1 \alpha_1^1, \dots, e_t c_t \alpha_1^t) \in L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})$. Thus $g_{\Delta, e}(\lambda_c + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})) = \lambda_c + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})$.

Recall that $L/L_B(C_0) = \langle \lambda_d + j\gamma + L_B(C_0) \rangle$ for some $d \in C \setminus C_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq p-1$. Take $1 \leq s \leq p-1$ with $-s\langle c|d \rangle + j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By (4.6) and (4.12), we have

$$(g_{\Delta, e})^s(\lambda_d + j\gamma) \in \lambda_d - s(e_1 d_1 \alpha_1^1, \dots, e_t d_t \alpha_1^t) + (g_{\Delta, e})^s(j\gamma) + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\}).$$

Since

$$((g_{\Delta, e})^s(\lambda_d + j\gamma)|\chi_\Delta) \equiv \frac{-s\langle c|d \rangle + j}{p} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

we have $(g_{\Delta,e})^s(\lambda_d + j\gamma + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})) = \lambda_d + L_B(\{\mathbf{0}\})$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.15, the isometry $(g_{\Delta,e})^s \in O(L_A(C))$ sends L to $L_B(C)$, which proves this proposition. \square

Now, let us give another characterization of the lattices $L_A(C)$ and $L_B(C)$.

Theorem 4.19. *Let L be a rootless even lattice of rank m and let p be an odd prime. Assume that there exist $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ with $p\lambda \in L$ and a fixed-point free isometry $g \in O(L)$ satisfying the following:*

- (a) $|N(2)| = pm$, where $N = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\lambda, L\}$;
- (b) $g(\lambda + L) = \lambda + L$.

Then there exists a self-orthogonal code C of length $m/(p-1)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p such that $N \cong L_A(C)$ and $L \cong L_B(C)$. Here g corresponds to $g_{\Delta,e}$ defined in (4.12) with respect to some $e \in C^\perp$ of Hamming weight $m/(p-1)$ and some base Δ of $N(2)$.

Proof. First, we will show that $(\lambda + L)(2)$ contains a base of the root system of type A_{p-1} . Let $\gamma \in (\lambda + L)(2)$. By (b), g acts on $(\lambda + L)(2)$. Since this action is fixed-point free, we have $g^i(\gamma) \in (\lambda + L)(2)$ and $\gamma \neq g^i(\gamma)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Since both γ and $g^i(\gamma)$ are roots and $p \neq 2$, we have $(\gamma|g^i(\gamma)) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. If $(\gamma|g^i(\gamma)) = 1$, then $(1 - g^i)(\gamma) \in L(2)$, which contradicts that $L(2) = \emptyset$. Hence $(\gamma|g^i(\gamma)) \in \{-1, 0\}$. It follows from $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i(\gamma) = 0$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (\gamma|g^i(\gamma)) = -(\gamma|\gamma) = -2.$$

Then, there exists $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ such that

$$(\gamma|g^j(\gamma)) = (\gamma|g^{p-j}(\gamma)) = -1 \text{ and } (\gamma|g^q(\gamma)) = 0 \text{ for } q \neq j, p-j.$$

Hence $\{g^i(v) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ is the union of a base and the negated highest root of type A_{p-1} ; $X_1 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{g^i(v) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ is isometric to the root lattice of type A_{p-1} . For $1 \leq s \leq p-1$, $g(s\lambda + L) = s\lambda + L$, and by the same argument, $(s\lambda + L) \cap X_1(2)$ is also the union of a base and the negated highest root of the root system $X_1(2)$ of type A_{p-1} .

Assume that $N(2) \neq X_1(2)$; let $\beta \in N(2) \setminus X_1$. Let us show that $\beta \perp X_1$. Note that $\beta \in (s\lambda + L)(2)$ for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. Let $\alpha \in (s\lambda + L) \cap X_1(2)$. By the same argument as above, we have $(\alpha|\beta) \in \{0, -1\}$. If $(\alpha|\beta) = -1$, then by $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} g^i(\alpha) = 0$ and $(g^i(\alpha)|\beta) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, there exists $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ such that $(g^j(\alpha)|\beta) = 1$, and hence $g^j(\alpha) - \beta \in L(2)$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\beta \perp ((s\lambda + L) \cap X_1)(2)$. Since X_1 is spanned by $((s\lambda + L) \cap X_1)(2)$, we have $\beta \perp X_1$.

Set $X_2 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{g^i(\beta) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$. Then by the same argument as in the case of X_1 , the even lattice X_2 is isometric to the root lattice of type A_{p-1} . By recursion and

the finiteness of $N(2)$, the set $N(2)$ is the orthogonal sum of t copies of the root system of type A_{p-1} for some t . Hence $|N(2)| = tp(p-1)$. On the other hand, by (a), we have $|N(2)| = pm$. Hence $t = m/(p-1)$. In particular, N contains A_{p-1}^t as a full sublattice. Since $|N : L| = p$ and $N \setminus L$ has a root, N is even. By Proposition 4.17, $N \cong L_A(C)$ for some self-orthogonal code C of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p . Since L is a rootless index p sublattice of N , we have $L \cong L_B(C)$ by Proposition 4.18. Let Δ be a base of $N(2)$ corresponding to the definition of $L_B(C)$ and let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the union of Δ and the negated highest root. Then $\tilde{\Delta} \subset s\lambda + L \in N/L$ for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. By (b), g preserves every $\tilde{\Delta}_i \subset \tilde{\Delta}$. By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.10, $g = g_{\Delta, e}$ for some $e \in (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^t$, and by Lemmas 4.9 and 4.12, $e \in C^\perp$. Note that the Hamming weight of e is $t = m/(p-1)$. \square

Remark 4.20. It was proved in [Sh04, Proposition 1.8] that a rootless even lattice L of rank m can be constructed by Construction B from a doubly even binary code if and only if there exists $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ such that $2\lambda \in L$ and $|(\lambda + L)(2)| = 2m$. Note that any lattice contains the -1 -isometry, the fixed-point free isometry of order 2.

4.5. Coinvariant lattices of the Leech lattice and Construction B. In this subsection, we describe some coinvariant lattices of the Leech lattice Λ as even lattices constructed by Construction B. We adopt the notations of [ATLAS] (cf. [HL90]) for conjugacy classes of $O(\Lambda)$.

Let Λ be the Leech lattice, the unique rootless even unimodular lattice of rank 24. For an element h in the conjugacy class pX of $O(\Lambda)$, we denote by Λ_{pX} the associated coinvariant lattice Λ_h (see (2.1) for the definition). Note that the action of h on Λ_{pX} is fixed-point free. By using Theorem 4.19 and MAGMA [BCP97], one can verify that there exists a self-orthogonal code C over \mathbb{Z}_p such that $\Lambda_{nX} \cong L_B(C)$ if $nX \in \{3B, 3C, 5B, 5C, 7B\}$. We summarize in Table 1 the rank of Λ_{pX} , the root system of $L_A(\{\mathbf{0}\})(2)$, the discriminant group $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_{pX})$, the length t of C and $\dim C$. Note that $\dim C$ is determined by $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_{pX})$ (see Lemma 4.13).

Table 1: Some coinvariant lattices of the Leech lattices

Conjugacy class	rank Λ_{pX}	root system	$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_{pX})$	$[p, t, \dim C]$
$3B$	12	A_2^6	\mathbb{Z}_3^6	$[3, 6, 1]$
$3C$	18	A_2^9	\mathbb{Z}_3^5	$[3, 9, 3]$
$5B$	16	A_4^4	\mathbb{Z}_5^4	$[5, 4, 1]$
$5C$	20	A_4^5	\mathbb{Z}_5^3	$[5, 5, 2]$
$7B$	18	A_6^3	\mathbb{Z}_7^3	$[7, 3, 1]$

A Code over \mathbb{Z}_p with the parameter in Table 1 is unique up to equivalence if $L_B(C)$ is rootless as follows; see Remark 4.16 for the equivalence of codes over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Lemma 4.21. *Let C be a self-orthogonal code of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p . Assume that $L_B(C)$ is rootless.*

- (1) *If $[p, t, \dim C] = [3, 6, 1]$, then C is equivalent to $\langle(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$.*
(2) *If $[p, t, \dim C] = [3, 9, 3]$, then C is equivalent to the code over \mathbb{Z}_3 with generator matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (3) *If $[p, t, \dim C] = [5, 4, 1]$, then C is equivalent to $\langle(1, 1, 2, 2)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_5}$.*
(4) *If $[p, t, \dim C] = [5, 5, 2]$, then C is equivalent to the code over \mathbb{Z}_5 with generator matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (5) *If $[p, t, \dim C] = [7, 3, 1]$, then C is equivalent to $\langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_7}$.*

Proof. Since $L_B(C)$ is rootless, C has no codewords of Hamming weight 3 and 2 if $p = 3$ and $p = 5$, respectively. One can directly check that there is only one possible code with given dimension and length, up to equivalence. \square

Remark 4.22. The dual codes of the codes in Lemma 4.21 contains a codeword of Hamming weight t .

Remark 4.23. For the conjugacy classes $2A$ and $-2A$ of $O(\Lambda)$, the coinvariant lattices are $\sqrt{2}E_8$ and the Barnes-Wall lattices of rank 16, respectively. These lattices are constructed by Construction B from the binary codes $\langle(1^8)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ and the Reed-Muller code $RM(1, 4)$, respectively.

5. EXTRA AUTOMORPHISMS OF CYCLIC ORBIFOLDS OF LATTICE VOAS

Let L be an even lattice constructed by Construction B from a subgroup of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$ as in (4.11) and let $g = g_{\Delta, e}$ be a fixed-point free isometry as in (4.12). In this section, we prove that the cyclic orbifold $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has an extra automorphism. Remark that L is not necessarily rootless throughout this section.

5.1. **Inner automorphisms of the simple Lie algebra of type A_{k-1} .** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra of type A_{k-1} over \mathbb{C} . In this subsection, we discuss three inner automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} of finite order. The following is a standard model for \mathfrak{g} :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{X \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \mid \text{tr}(X) = 0\},$$

where the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathfrak{g} is given by $[X, Y] = XY - YX$. Set

$$F = \text{diag}(\omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{(k-1)}, 1) \in M_k(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/k)$. We also set

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{(k-1)} & 1 \\ \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2(k-1)} & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \omega^{(k-1)} & \omega^{2(k-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(k-1)^2} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_k(\mathbb{C}).$$

Then $F^k = G^k = I$. Moreover

$$Z^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $Z^2 = I$ if $k = 2$, and $Z^4 = I$ if $k > 2$. Furthermore, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad Z^{-1}GZ = F, \quad G^{-1}FG = \omega^{-1}F, \quad Z^{-2}GZ^2 = G^{-1}.$$

Let us consider the following three inner automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} : for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} : X \mapsto F^{-1}XF, \quad \hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}} : X \mapsto G^{-1}XG, \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}} : X \mapsto Z^{-1}XZ.$$

By (5.1), we have $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(G) = F$, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(F) = G^{-1}$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The following is also obtained by (5.1):

- Lemma 5.1.** (1) *The orders of both $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are k .*
(2) *$\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}$ on \mathfrak{g} . In particular, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ normalizes the subgroup generated by $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.*
(3) *$\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are commutative on \mathfrak{g} .*

We now describe $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on \mathfrak{g} explicitly. Let $E_{ij} \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ denote the matrix with 1 at the (i, j) -entry and 0 otherwise. Let \mathfrak{h} be the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} consisting of all diagonal matrices with trace 0, that is, $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$. We identify \mathfrak{h} with its dual \mathfrak{h}^* via the normalized Killing form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ so that $(\alpha|\alpha) = 2$ for any root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Take simple roots α_i ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$) so that $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}(E_{ii} - E_{i+1, i+1})$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, the root space with respect to the root $\sum_{s=i}^{j-1} \alpha_s$ is $\mathbb{C}E_{ij}$.

Let A be the root lattice of type A_{k-1} spanned by the base $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1\}$. By the definition of the matrix G , we have $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{i+1}$ if $1 \leq i \leq k-2$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha_{k-1}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i$, the negated highest root. Thus the restriction of $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on \mathfrak{h} is the isometry g_{Δ} as in (4.5), that is, $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a lift of g_{Δ} to \mathfrak{g} .

Recall that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} = id$ on \mathfrak{h} and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts as ω^{j-i} on $\mathbb{C}E_{i,j}$. Let ρ_{Δ} be the Weyl vector as in (4.3). We view ρ_{Δ} as an element of \mathfrak{h} . Then $\text{ad}(\rho_{\Delta})$ acts as 0 on \mathfrak{h} and as $(j-i)$ on $\mathbb{C}E_{i,j}$. Hence we have

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{k}(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\text{ad}(\rho_{\Delta}))\right).$$

5.2. Extra automorphisms of cyclic orbifolds of lattice VOAs of type A_{k-1} .

Let A be the root lattice of type A_{k-1} and let V_A be the associated lattice VOA. Then $\mathfrak{g} = (V_A)_1$ is a simple Lie algebra of type A_{k-1} .

Recall that V_A is generated by \mathfrak{g} and that $\text{Aut}(V_A) = \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. We extend the inner automorphisms $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} in Section 5.1 to those of V_A , for which we use the same symbols. By Lemma 5.1, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are commuting automorphisms of order k on V_A and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ normalizes the subgroup $\langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle$ of $\text{Aut}(V_A)$.

Let Δ be the base of A as in Section 5.1 and let $A_0 = \{\beta \in A \mid (\beta|\frac{\rho_{\Delta}}{k}) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It follows from $\rho_{\Delta} \in A^*$ and $(\rho_{\Delta}|\alpha_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ that A_0 is an index k sublattice of A . By (5.2), the fixed-point subVOA $V_A^{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ of $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is V_{A_0} . By Lemma 5.1 (2), $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_A^{\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}) = V_A^{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_A^{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}}) = V_A^{\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$. Hence $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an automorphism of $V_A^{\langle \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle} = V_{A_0}^{\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ such that $V_{A_0} \circ \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}} \cong V_A^{\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ as $V_{A_0}^{\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ -modules. By Proposition 2.7, we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2. $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an extra automorphism of $V_{A_0}^{\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}}}$.

5.3. Extra automorphisms of cyclic orbifolds of lattice VOAs: general case.

Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and let R_i be the root lattice of type A_{k_i-1} . Let Δ_i be a base of $R_i(2)$. Set $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R_i$. Then $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \Delta_i$ is a base of $R(2)$. The vector $\chi_{\Delta} \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ is defined as in (4.10).

Let C be a subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$ such that $N = L_A(C)$ is even. Set $L = L_B(C)$. Let $e \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}^{\times}$ and let $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(N)$ be defined as in (4.12). Note that $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$, are

units of \mathbb{Z}_{k_i} , i.e., $\gcd(k_i, e_i) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Lemma 4.10, $g_{\Delta, e}$ is fixed-point free and the order of $g_{\Delta, e}$ is equal to the least common multiple of k_1, \dots, k_t , say n .

We now assume the following:

- (i) $|N : L| = n$, equivalently, $\chi_{\Delta} \in (1/n)N^*$ (see Lemma 4.8);
- (ii) $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(L)$, equivalently, $\lambda_e = (\lambda_{e_1}^1, \dots, \lambda_{e_t}^t) \in N^*$ (see Lemma 4.9).

Set $\mathfrak{g}_i = (V_{R_i})_1 \subset (V_N)_1$. Let $\hat{g}_{\Delta, e} \in O(\hat{N})$ be a (standard) lift of $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(N)$. By Lemma 2.5 (2), the order of $\hat{g}_{\Delta, e}$ is also n . In addition, the restriction of $\hat{g}_{\Delta, e}$ to V_R is also a standard lift of $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(R)$. By Lemma 2.5 (1), we may assume that the restriction of $\hat{g}_{\Delta, e}$ to V_{R_i} is $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ up to conjugation. Here the inner automorphism $\hat{g}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ of V_{R_i} is defined in Sections 5.1 and 5.2.

Let $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ and $\zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ be the inner automorphisms of V_{R_i} defined in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. Then $\varphi = \bigotimes_{i=1}^t \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ and $\zeta_0 = \bigotimes_{i=1}^t \zeta_{\mathfrak{g}_i}$ are inner automorphisms of $V_R \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^t V_{R_i}$. We extend them to inner automorphisms of V_N , for which we use the same symbols. Note that

$$\varphi = \sigma_{-\chi_{\Delta}} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\chi_{\Delta})_{(0)})$$

by (5.2) and that $V_N^{\varphi} = V_L$. By Assumption (i), the order of φ is n on V_N . In addition, φ and $\hat{g}_{\Delta, e}$ are still commutative on V_N ; indeed, by (4.13),

$$\hat{g}_{\Delta, e} \varphi \hat{g}_{\Delta, e}^{-1} \varphi^{-1} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\lambda_e)_{(0)})$$

and it acts trivially on V_N by Assumption (ii).

We now slightly modify these automorphisms by inner automorphisms so that the same relations also hold on V_N . By Lemma 5.1 (2), we have $\zeta_0^{-1} \hat{g}_{\Delta, e} \zeta_0 = \varphi$ and $\zeta_0^{-1} \varphi \zeta_0 = \hat{g}_{\Delta, e}^{-1}$ on V_R . Since V_N is an (N/R) -graded simple current extension of V_R , we have

$$\{\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_N) \mid \tau = id \text{ on } V_R\} = \{\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_{(0)}) \mid x + N^* \in R^*/N^*\}.$$

Hence there exist $x, y \in R^*$ such that

$$\zeta_0^{-1} \hat{g}_{\Delta, e} \zeta_0 = \varphi \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_{(0)}), \quad \zeta_0^{-1} \varphi \zeta_0 = \hat{g}_{\Delta, e}^{-1} \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}y_{(0)})$$

on V_N . Now, we set

$$\hat{g} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-y)_{(0)}) \hat{g}_{\Delta, e}, \quad \zeta = \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i}{n} (g_{\Delta, e})^i (-x + y)\right)_{(0)}\right) \zeta_0.$$

Then $\hat{g} = \hat{g}_0$ on V_R . In addition, \hat{g} and φ are commutative on V_N since $\{\exp a_{(0)} \mid a \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ is abelian. Hence, by the similar calculation as in the proof of [LS20, Lemma 4.5], we have

$$(5.3) \quad \zeta^{-1} \varphi \zeta = \hat{g}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^{-1} \hat{g} \zeta = \varphi \quad \text{on } V_N.$$

Since g is fixed-point free, we may assume that \hat{g} is a standard lift of g up to conjugation.

Theorem 5.3. *Let R_i be the root lattice of type A_{k_i-1} for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and set $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R_i$. Fix a base Δ of $R(2)$ and let $e \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}^\times$. Let C be a subgroup of $\mathcal{D}(R)$ such that $N = L_A(C)$ is even. Set $L = L_B(C)$. Assume that Assumptions (i) and (ii) hold. Let \hat{g} be a (standard) lift of the fixed-point free isometry $g_{\Delta,e}$. Then there exists an automorphism ζ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ such that $V_L \circ \zeta \cong V_N^{\hat{g}}$ as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules. In particular, $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has an extra automorphism.*

Proof. It follows from (5.3) that ζ preserves $V_N^{(\hat{g},\varphi)}$. Since \hat{g} and φ are commuting automorphisms, we have $V_N^{(\hat{g},\varphi)} = V_L^{\hat{g}}$. The equations (5.3) also show

$$\zeta(V_N^{\hat{g}}) = V_N^\varphi = V_L \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(V_L^{\hat{g}}) = V_L^{\hat{g}},$$

which proves this theorem. The latter assertion follows from Proposition 2.7. \square

Remark 5.4. If $k_1 = \dots = k_t = 2$, then $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, and the Assumptions (i) and (ii) hold; indeed, evenness of $L_A(C)$ implies that the Hamming weight of any codeword C is a multiple of 4 and $e \in C^\perp$. In this case, an extra automorphism of $V_{L_B(C)}^{\hat{g}}$ was constructed in [FLM88, Proposition 12.2.5] (cf. [Sh04]).

At the end of this subsection, we will rewrite Theorem 5.3 when $k_1 = \dots = k_t = p$ is an odd prime. Since N is even, by Lemma 4.11, C is a self-orthogonal code of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p . Note that $e \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}^\times$ if and only if the Hamming weight of e is t . In this case, Assumption (i) always holds and Assumption (ii) holds if $e \in C^\perp$. Therefore, we obtain the following:

Corollary 5.5. *Let p be an odd prime and let C be a self-orthogonal code of length t over \mathbb{Z}_p . Fix a base Δ of the root system of $L_A(\{\mathbf{0}\}) \subset L_A(C)$ and let e be a codeword in C^\perp of Hamming weight t . Let \hat{g} be a (standard) lift of $g_{\Delta,e} \in O(L_B(C))$. Then $V_{L_B(C)}^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms.*

6. CYCLIC ORBIFOLDS OF LATTICE VOAs HAVING EXTRA AUTOMORPHISMS

Let L be a positive-definite rootless even lattice of rank m . Let $g \in O(L)$ be a fixed-point free isometry of odd prime order p . In this section, we will classify L such that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has an extra automorphism.

Remark 6.1. If a fixed-point free isometry of L has order 2, then it must be the -1 -isometry. Let $\theta \in O(\hat{L})$ be a lift of the -1 -isometry of L . For a rootless even lattice L , V_L^θ has an extra automorphism if and only if $L \cong L_B(C)$ for some doubly even binary code C ([Sh04, Theorem 3.15]).

We now assume that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has an extra automorphism τ . Then one of the following holds (cf. Definition 3.1):

- (I) $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of untwisted type;
- (II) $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type.

We will deal with Cases (I) and (II) in Sections 6.1 and 6.2, respectively.

6.1. **Case (I).** First we notice that

$$(6.1) \quad V_L(1) \circ \tau \not\cong V_L(r) \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq r \leq p-1.$$

Otherwise, by Lemma 2.4 (2) and (3.1), we have $\{V_L(i) \circ \tau \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\} = \{V_L(1)^{\boxtimes q} \mid 0 \leq q \leq p-1\}$, and τ is not extra by Proposition 2.7.

By Section 3.1, Case (I) and (6.1) implies that $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ is isomorphic to $V_{\lambda+L}(r)$ for some $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ and $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ with $(1-g)\lambda \in L$. For latter use, we consider a slightly general setting; there exist $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, $0 \leq r \leq p-1$, $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ and $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ with $(1-g)\lambda \in L$ such that

$$V_L(j) \circ \tau \cong V_{\lambda+L}(r).$$

Then $\dim V_L(j)_1 = m/(p-1)$ and $\dim V_{\lambda+L}(r)_1 = |(\lambda+L)(2)|/p$ are equal, namely,

$$|(\lambda+L)(2)| = \frac{pm}{p-1}.$$

It follows from (3.1) that $V_{\lambda+L}(r)^{\boxtimes p} = V_{p\lambda+L}(r')$ for some $0 \leq r' \leq p-1$. Since $V_L(j)^{\boxtimes p} = V_L(0)$, we have $V_{\lambda+L}(r)^{\boxtimes p} = V_L(0)$. Hence $p\lambda \in L$. In addition, for $1 \leq q \leq p-1$, there exist $1 \leq j_q \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq r_q \leq p-1$ such that

$$(6.2) \quad V_L(j_q) \circ \tau \cong V_{q\lambda+L}(r_q).$$

By the same argument as above, we have

$$|(q\lambda+L)(2)| = \frac{pm}{p-1}.$$

Set $N = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\lambda, L\}$. Then by $L(2) = \emptyset$, we have

$$|N(2)| = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} |(i\lambda+L)(2)| = pm.$$

By the definition, we have $g(\lambda+L) = \lambda+L$. Thus, by Theorem 4.19, we obtain the following:

Proposition 6.2. *Let L be a rootless even lattice of rank m and let $g \in O(L)$ be a fixed-point free isometry of odd prime order p . Let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a (standard) lift of g . If there exist $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ with $(1-g)\lambda \in L$*

such that $V_L(j) \circ \tau \cong V_{\lambda+L}(r)$, then $L \cong L_B(C)$ for some self-orthogonal code C of length $m/(p-1)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p such that C^\perp contains a codeword of Hamming weight t .

6.2. **Case (II).** In this subsection, we assume that

$$V_L(1) \circ \tau \cong V_L^T[\hat{g}^s](j')$$

for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$, $0 \leq j' \leq p-1$ and a certain irreducible module T (see Section 3.2). Since $V_L(1)$ is a simple current module, so is $V_L^T[\hat{g}^s](j')$. Hence, the quantum dimension $\text{qdim}_{V_L} V_L^T[\hat{g}^s]$ is 1 (see [ALY18, Theorem 2.1 (6)]). By [ALY18, Corollary 3.7], we also have

$$(6.3) \quad (\text{qdim}_{V_L} V_L^T[\hat{g}^s])^2 = p^{-m/(p-1)} |L^*/L| \cdot |L/R_L^{g^s}| = p^{-m/(p-1)} |L^*/R_L^{g^s}|,$$

where $R_L^{g^s} = ((1-g^s)L^*) \cap L$. Recall that $L^*/(1-g^s)L^* \cong L/(1-g^s)L$ is an elementary abelian p -group of order $p^{m/(p-1)}$ (cf. [GL11, Lemma A.1]). Thus, $\text{qdim}_{V_L} V_L^T[\hat{g}^s] = 1$ implies

$$|L^*/R_L^{g^s}| = p^{m(p-1)} = |L^*/(1-g^s)L^*|.$$

Since $R_L^{g^s} \subset (1-g^s)L^*$, we have $R_L^{g^s} = (1-g^s)L^*$, i.e., $(1-g^s)L^* \subset L$. Hence

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{D}(L) = L^*/L \cong (1-g^s)L^*/(1-g^s)L \subset L/(1-g^s)L \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{m(p-1)}.$$

Since $|g|$ is a prime, we also have $(1-g)L^* \subset L$. Under this condition, $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ and the fusion product on it are described in Section 3.3. In particular,

$$(6.5) \quad V_L(1) \circ \tau \cong V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s](j)$$

for some $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. We now discuss possible m , p and $\mathcal{D}(L)$. Recall that ε is the conformal weight of $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s]$ (see (3.3)).

Proposition 6.3. (1) $\varepsilon \in \{1 - 1/p, 1\}$.

(2) If $\varepsilon = 1 - 1/p$, then $m = \frac{24(p-1)}{p+1}$, $(1-g)L^* = L$ and $|\mathcal{D}(L)| = p^{m/(p-1)}$;

(3) If $\varepsilon = 1$, then $m = \frac{24p}{(p+1)}$ and $|\mathcal{D}(L)| = p^{m/(p-1)} \left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{-2}$.

Proof. We first note that $t = m/(p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $M = V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s](j)$. By Lemma 2.4 (1) and (6.5), we have $\varepsilon(M) = \varepsilon(V_L(1)) = 1$ and $\dim M_1 = \dim(V_L(1))_1 = t$.

By Lemma 3.3, $1 \in \{\varepsilon + i/p \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$. In addition, if $\varepsilon \leq 1 - 2/p$, then by the explicit construction of $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^s]$ (cf. [DL96]), we have $\dim M_1 \geq (t(t+3)/2) \dim T_{\lambda+L} > t$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\varepsilon \in \{1 - 1/p, 1\}$, and we obtain (1).

If $\varepsilon = 1 - 1/p$, then by (3.3) $m = \frac{24(p-1)}{p+1}$. In addition, $\dim M_1 = t \dim T_{\lambda+L} = t$, that is, $\dim T_{\lambda+L} = 1$. By (3.4) and (6.3), we have $(1-g)L^* = L$ and $|\mathcal{D}(L)| = p^{m/(p-1)}$. Hence we obtain (2).

If $\varepsilon = 1$, then by (3.3), $m = \frac{24p}{p+1}$. In addition, $\dim M_1 = \dim T_{\lambda+L} = t = m/(p-1)$ and $|\mathcal{D}(L)| = p^{m/(p-1)} \left(\frac{m}{p-1}\right)^{-2}$ by (3.4) and (6.3). Hence we obtain (3). \square

Recall that p is an odd prime and that $m/(p-1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 6.3, we have $m < 24$. Hence we obtain the following:

Lemma 6.4. *The possible pairs for (p, m) are $(3, 12)$, $(3, 18)$, $(5, 16)$, $(5, 20)$, $(7, 18)$, $(11, 20)$ and $(23, 22)$.*

Remark 6.5. The case $p = 2$ is discussed in [Sh04, Proposition 3.14]; the possible m in Case (II) are 8 and 16. In addition, L is isometric to $\sqrt{2}E_8$ and the Barnes-Wall lattice of rank 16, respectively.

By (6.4), $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is an elementary abelian p -group. For each possible pair (p, m) in Lemma 6.4, $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is determined by Proposition 6.3, which is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Possible parameters for L

p	m	$\mathcal{D}(L)$	$[t, \dim C]$	p	m	$\mathcal{D}(L)$
3	12	\mathbb{Z}_3^6	[6, 1]	11	20	\mathbb{Z}_{11}^2
3	18	\mathbb{Z}_3^5	[9, 3]	23	22	\mathbb{Z}_{23}
5	16	\mathbb{Z}_5^4	[4, 1]			
5	20	\mathbb{Z}_5^3	[5, 2]			
7	18	\mathbb{Z}_7^3	[3, 1]			

We now view $\mathcal{D}(L)$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p (= \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$. Recall that (3.5) and (3.7) hold. By Corollary 3.7, for any $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, we have $\varepsilon(V_{\lambda+L}(0)) \in (1/p)\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $(\lambda|\lambda)/2 \in (1/p)\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$ forms a non-singular quadratic space over \mathbb{F}_p , where the quadratic form $q : \mathcal{D}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is defined by

$$q(\lambda + L) = \frac{p}{2}(\lambda|\lambda) \pmod{p}.$$

6.2.1. *Case: $p = 3, 5, 7$.* Assume that $p = 3, 5$ or 7 . By Table 2, we have $\dim \mathcal{D}(L) \geq 3$ as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_p . Hence $(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$ contains a non-zero singular vector, that is, $(\lambda|\lambda) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for some $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$. By Propositions 3.9 and 6.2, there exists a self-orthogonal code C of length $t = m/(p-1)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p such that $L \cong L_B(C)$. By Lemma

4.13, $|\mathcal{D}(L)| = p^2|C^\perp/C|$. Since $\dim C^\perp + \dim C = t$, we have $|C^\perp/C| = p^{t-2\dim C}$, which determines $\dim C$ (see Table 2). Since L is rootless, the code C is unique up to equivalence by Lemma 4.21. By Table 1, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 6.6. *If $p = 3, 5$ or 7 , then $L \cong L_B(C)$ for some code C given in Lemma 4.21. In particular, L is isometric to some coinvariant lattice of the Leech lattice.*

6.2.2. *Case: $p = 11$.* By Table 2 and Proposition 6.3, we have $m = \text{rank}(L) = 20$, $\mathcal{D}(L) \cong \mathbb{F}_{11}^2$ and $(1-g)L^* = L$.

Lemma 6.7. *There are no non-zero singular vectors in $(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$, that is, $(\lambda|\lambda) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$. In particular, $(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$ is a non-singular 2-dimensional quadratic space of $(-)$ -type.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$ such that $(\lambda|\lambda) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\lambda, L\}$ is even unimodular of rank 20, which is impossible. \square

Lemma 6.8. *Let U be an even unimodular lattice of rank 24. If U has an isometry f of order 11 such that both U^f and U_f are rootless, then U is isometric to the Leech lattice.*

Proof. By the orders of the automorphism groups of even unimodular lattices of rank 24 (cf. [CS99, Chapter 16]), the root system of $U(2)$ is one of $\emptyset, A_1^{24}, A_2^{12}, A_{11}D_7E_6, A_{12}^2, A_{15}D_9, A_{17}E_7, D_{12}^2, A_{24}, D_{16}E_8$ and D_{24} . If $U(2) \neq \emptyset$, namely, $U \not\cong \Lambda$, then one can easily verify that U^f or U_f contains roots, which contradicts the assumption. \square

Proposition 6.9. *If $p = 11$, then L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice of the Leech lattice Λ associated with the conjugacy class 11A of $O(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $h \in O(\Lambda)$ be an element in the conjugacy class 11A. Then Λ^h has rank 4 and $(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^h), q)$ is a 2-dimensional non-singular space over \mathbb{F}_{11} of $(-)$ -type ([HL90]). Now consider $X = L \perp \Lambda^h$. Then $\text{rank } X = 24$. By Lemma 6.7, $(\mathcal{D}(X), q) \cong (\mathcal{D}(L), q) \oplus (\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^h), q)$ is a 4-dimensional non-singular quadratic space over \mathbb{F}_{11} of $(+)$ -type. Hence, there exists an even unimodular lattice $U \supset L \perp \Lambda^h$. It follows from $(1-g)L^* = L$ that g can be extended to an isometry of U by acting trivially on Λ^h . Note that $\Lambda^h = U^g$ and $L = U_g$, which shows that U has an isometry g of order 11 such that both U^g and U_g are rootless. By Lemma 6.8, we have $U \cong \Lambda$. Since $O(\Lambda)$ has only one conjugacy class of order 11, g is conjugate to h , and $L \cong \Lambda_h$. \square

6.2.3. *Case: $p = 23$.* By Table 2 and Proposition 6.3, we have $m = \text{rank}(L) = 22$, $\mathcal{D}(L) \cong \mathbb{F}_{23}$ and $(1-g)L^* = L$.

Lemma 6.10. *There exists $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ such that $q(\lambda + L) = -1$.*

Proof. Suppose that the assertion is false. Since -1 is a non-square in \mathbb{F}_{23} , there exists $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ such that $q(\lambda + L) = 1$. Let L' be the root lattice of type A_{22} . Then $\mathcal{D}(L') \cong \mathbb{F}_{23}$. By (4.2), we have $q(\lambda_5 + L') = -1$ for $\lambda_5 + L' \in \mathcal{D}(L')$. Then $X = L \perp L'$ is an even lattice of rank 44 and $\mathcal{D}(X) \cong \mathbb{F}_{23}^2$. It follows from $q((\lambda, \lambda_5) + X) = 0$ that $(\lambda, \lambda_5) + X$ has even norm. Hence $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{X, (\lambda, \lambda_5)\}$ is even unimodular of rank 44, which is impossible. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Let U be an even unimodular lattice of rank 24. If U has an isometry f of order 23 such that both U^f and U_f are rootless, then U is isometric to the Leech lattice.*

Proof. By the orders of the automorphism groups of even unimodular lattices of rank 24 (cf. [CS99, Chapter 16]), the root system of $U(2)$ is one of \emptyset , A_1^{24} , A_{24} and D_{24} . If $U(2) \neq \emptyset$, namely, $U \not\cong \Lambda$, then one can easily verify that U^f or U_f contains roots, which contradicts the assumption. \square

The following is well-known (cf. [ATLAS]).

Lemma 6.12. *The automorphism group of the Leech lattice has exactly two conjugacy classes 23A and 23B of order 23, and the cyclic subgroups generated by elements in 23A and 23B are conjugate. In particular, the coinvariant lattice of Λ associated with an isometry of order 23 is unique up to isometry.*

Proposition 6.13. *If $p = 23$, then L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice of the Leech lattice Λ associated with the conjugacy class 23A.*

Proof. Let $h \in O(\Lambda)$ be an element in the conjugacy class 23A. Then Λ^h is an even lattice of rank 2 with Gram matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^h) \cong \mathbb{F}_{23}$ ([HL90]). In addition, there exists $\mu + \Lambda^h \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda^h)$ such that $q(\mu + \Lambda^h) = 1$. Set $X = L \perp \Lambda^h$. Then $\mathcal{D}(X) \cong \mathbb{F}_{23}^2$. By Lemma 6.10, there exists $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ such that $q((\lambda, \mu) + X) = 0$. Hence $(\lambda, \mu) + X$ has even norm, and $U = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{X, (\lambda, \mu)\}$ is an even unimodular lattice of rank 24. It follows from $(1 - g)L^* = L$ that g can be extended to an isometry of U by acting trivially on Λ^h . Note that $U^g = \Lambda^h$ and $U_g = L$, which shows that U has an isometry of order 23 such that both U^g and U_g are rootless. By Lemma 6.11, we have $U \cong \Lambda$. By Lemma 6.12, $\langle g \rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle h \rangle$, and $L \cong \Lambda_h$. \square

6.3. Cyclic orbifolds of lattice VOAs associated with coinvariant lattices. In this subsection, we prove that the possible rootless even lattices in the previous section actually satisfy the condition in Case (II).

The following proposition is a slight modification of a theorem in [La].

Proposition 6.14. *Let U be a rootless even unimodular lattice. Let $h \in O(U)$ and let $\hat{h} \in O(\hat{U})$ be a standard lift of h . Assume that \hat{h} has type 0; let $V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})}$ be the holomorphic VOA obtained by the orbifold construction associated with U and \hat{h} (see [EMS20, Section 5] for the definitions). Assume that $V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})} \cong V_U$. We also assume that the conjugacy class of the cyclic group $\langle \hat{h} \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}(V_U)$ is uniquely determined by $|\hat{h}|$ and the VOA structure of $V_U^{\hat{h}}$. Then there exist $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_{U_h}^{\hat{h}})$ such that $V_{U_h}(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type.*

Proof. Set $n = |\hat{h}|$ and $W = V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})}$. Let $\psi : W \rightarrow V_U$ be an isomorphism of VOAs. Since W is a \mathbb{Z}_n -graded simple current extension of $V_U^{\hat{h}}$, we obtain an order n automorphism ξ of W associated with the \mathbb{Z}_n -grading. Note that $W^\xi = V_U^{\hat{h}}$ and that $W^{\text{orb}(\xi)} \cong V_U$.

Set $\tilde{\xi} = \psi \xi \psi^{-1}$. Then $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Aut}(V_U)$. Clearly, $|\tilde{\xi}| = |\xi| = n$ and $V_U^{\tilde{\xi}} \cong V_U^{\hat{h}}$. In addition, $V_U^{\text{orb}(\tilde{\xi})} \cong W^{\text{orb}(\xi)} \cong V_U$. By the assumption, $\langle \tilde{\xi} \rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle \hat{h} \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}(V_U)$; let $\kappa \in \text{Aut}(V_U)$ such that $\kappa \langle \tilde{\xi} \rangle \kappa^{-1} = \langle \hat{h} \rangle$. Then

$$\kappa \psi(V_U^{\hat{h}}) = \kappa \psi(W^\xi) = \kappa(V_U^{\tilde{\xi}}) = V_U^{\hat{h}}.$$

Moreover $\kappa \psi$ sends $\text{Com}_{V_U^{\hat{h}}}(V_U^{\hat{h}})_1 \cong V_{U_h}^{\hat{h}}$ to itself; we have $\kappa \psi \in \text{Aut}(V_{U_h}^{\hat{h}})$ by restriction. Here $\text{Com}_{V_U^{\hat{h}}}(V_U^{\hat{h}})_1$ is the commutant in $V_U^{\hat{h}}$ of $(V_U^{\hat{h}})_1$ ([FZ92]). Since $\kappa \psi$ induces a $V_U^{\hat{h}}$ -module isomorphism from $V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})}$ to V_U , we have $V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})} \cong V_U \circ (\kappa \psi)$ as $V_U^{\hat{h}}$ -modules. We now view V_U and $V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})}$ as modules over $V_{U_h} \otimes V_{U_h}^{\hat{h}} (\subset V_U^{\hat{h}})$. Note that the irreducible $V_{U_h}^{\hat{h}}$ -module $V_{U_h}(1)$ appears as a submodule of $V_U(1)$, the eigenspace of \hat{h} with eigenvalue $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)$. Since $(\kappa \psi)^{-1}$ sends $V_U(1)$ to some irreducible $V_U^{\hat{h}}$ -submodule of $V_U^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})}$ of twisted type, $(\kappa \psi)^{-1}(V_U(1))$ contains only irreducible $V_{U_h}^{\hat{h}}$ -modules of twisted type. Therefore we obtain this proposition. \square

We give a sufficient condition for the hypothesis of the proposition above.

Lemma 6.15. *Let K be a rootless even lattice. Let $h \in O(K)$ of odd prime order p such that $K^h \neq 0$. Assume that the conjugacy class of $\langle h \rangle$ is uniquely determined by the order of h and $\text{rank } K^h$. Let $\hat{h} \in O(\hat{K})$ be a standard lift of h and let $\xi \in \text{Aut}(V_K)$ such that $|\xi| = |\hat{h}|$ and $V_K^{\hat{h}} \cong V_K^\xi$. Then $\langle \xi \rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle \hat{h} \rangle$ in $\text{Aut}(V_K)$.*

Proof. Since the order of h is odd, we have $|\xi| = |\hat{h}| = |h| = p$ by Lemma 2.5 (2). In addition, $\dim(V_K^\xi)_1 = \dim(V_K^{\hat{h}})_1 = \text{rank } K^h$. By [LS20, Lemma 4.7 (2)], there exist $z \in O(K)$ and $x \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^z$ such that $\xi = \sigma_x \hat{z}$. Then $\dim(V_K^\xi)_1 = \text{rank } K^z = \text{rank } K^h \neq 0$. Hence $z \neq id$ and $|z| = p = |h|$ since p is a prime. By the assumption, z is conjugate to h^i in $O(K)$ for some i . Note that $K^z \cong K^h$.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\sigma_x \neq id$. By [LS20, Lemma 4.5 (2)], $x \notin (K^z)^*$. Then $\text{Com}_{V_K^\xi}(\text{Com}_{V_K^\xi}((V_K^\xi)_1)) = V_S$, where $S = \{v \in K^z \mid (v|x) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Note that $S \not\cong K^z$

because $x \notin (K^z)^*$. On the other hand, $\text{Com}_{V_K^{\hat{h}}}(\text{Com}_{V_K^{\hat{h}}}((V_K^{\hat{h}})_1)) = V_{K^h}$. The assumption $V_\Lambda^{\hat{h}} \cong V_\Lambda^\xi$ implies $V_S \cong V_{K^h}$ and $S \cong K^h$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\sigma_x = id$ and $\xi = \hat{z}$. By Lemma 2.5, ξ and \hat{h}^i are conjugate, and $\langle \hat{z} \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{h} \rangle$ are also conjugate. \square

Lemma 6.16. *Let Λ be the Leech lattice and let $h \in O(\Lambda)$ such that the conjugacy class of h is $3B, 3C, 5B, 5C, 7B, 11A$ or $23A$ (as the notations in [ATLAS]).*

- (1) *The conjugacy class of the cyclic group $\langle h \rangle$ in $O(\Lambda)$ is uniquely determined by the order $|h|$ and $\text{rank } \Lambda^h$.*
- (2) *Let $\hat{h} \in O(\hat{\Lambda})$ be a standard lift of h . Then $V_\Lambda^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})} \cong V_\Lambda$.*

Proof. The assertion (1) can be verified by [ATLAS] (cf. [HL90]).

Set $p = |h|$. Since p is an odd prime, the order of \hat{h} is also p by Lemma 2.5 (2). Let $1 \leq s \leq p - 1$. For the explicit construction of the irreducible \hat{h}^s -twisted V_Λ -module $V_\Lambda[\hat{h}^s]$, see [DL96] (cf. [LS20, Section 4.3]). Let ε be the conformal weight of $V_\Lambda[\hat{h}^s]$.

If the conjugacy class of h is $3B, 5B, 7B, 11A$ or $23A$, then $\varepsilon = 1 - 1/p$. Since the minimum norm of $(\Lambda^h)^*$ is $4/p$, $\dim(V_\Lambda[\hat{h}^s])_1$ is equal to the dimension of the eigenspace of h^s with eigenvalue $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/p)$, which is also equal to $(\text{rank } \Lambda_h)/(p - 1)$.

If the conjugacy class of h is $3C$ or $5C$, then $\varepsilon = 1$. Then $\dim(V_\Lambda[\hat{h}^s])_1$ is equal to $|\Lambda_h/(1 - h^s)\Lambda_h|^{1/2}$. By the proof of Proposition 6.3 (3) (cf. Lemma 4.21), we have $\dim(V_\Lambda[\hat{h}^s])_1 = (\text{rank } \Lambda_h)/(p - 1)$.

Hence, we have

$$\dim(V_\Lambda^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})})_1 = \dim(V_\Lambda^{\hat{h}})_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \dim(V_\Lambda[\hat{h}^i])_1 = \text{rank } \Lambda^h + (p - 1) \frac{\text{rank } \Lambda_h}{p - 1} = 24.$$

By [DM04], we have $V_\Lambda^{\text{orb}(\hat{h})} \cong V_\Lambda$. \square

Combining Proposition 6.14 and Lemmas 6.15 and 6.16, we obtain the following:

Proposition 6.17. *Let $h \in O(\Lambda)$ whose conjugacy class is $3B, 3C, 5B, 5C, 7B, 11A$ or $23A$. Then there exists $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_h}^{\hat{h}})$ such that $V_{\Lambda_h}(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type.*

6.4. Classification results. We summarize the results in Sections 6.2 and 6.3 as follows (see Lemmas 4.21 and 6.4, Propositions 6.6, 6.9, 6.13 and 6.17 and Remark 6.5):

Theorem 6.18. *Let L be a rootless even lattice of rank m . Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of prime order p and let \hat{g} be a standard lift of g . Then there exists an automorphism τ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ such that the τ -conjugate $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ of $V_L(1)$ is of twisted type if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (1) $p = 2$ and L is isometric to $\sqrt{2}E_8$ or the Barnes-Wall lattice of rank 16;
- (2) $p = 3$ and $L \cong L_B(C)$, where $C = \langle(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ or C is the code over \mathbb{Z}_3 with the generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
- (3) $p = 5$ and $L \cong L_B(C)$, where $C = \langle(1, 1, 2, 2)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_5}$ or C is the code over \mathbb{Z}_5 with the generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$;
- (4) $p = 7$ and $L \cong L_B(C)$, where $C = \langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_7}$;
- (5) $p = 11$ and L is isometric the coinvariant lattice Λ_{11A} of the Leech lattice associated with the conjugacy class 11A of $O(\Lambda)$;
- (6) $p = 23$ and L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{23A} of the Leech lattice associated with the conjugacy class 23A of $O(\Lambda)$.

Remark 6.19. If $p = 3$ (resp. $p = 5$) and C is equivalent to $\langle(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ (resp. $\langle(1, 1, 2, 2)\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_5}$), then $L_B(C)$ is isometric to the Coxeter-Todd lattice K_{12} of rank 12 (resp. Λ_{5B}). Then $\text{Aut}(V_{L_B(C)}^{\hat{g}})$ is determined in [CLS18] (resp. [La20b]) and it actually has an extra automorphism τ such that $V_{L_B(C)}(1) \circ \tau$ is of twisted type.

We also obtain the following corollary from Table 1, Remark 4.23 and the theorem above:

Corollary 6.20. *Let L be a rootless even lattice. Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of prime order p and let \hat{g} be a standard lift of g . Then the following are equivalent:*

- *There exists an automorphism τ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ such that the τ -conjugate $V_L(1) \circ \tau$ of $V_L(1)$ is of twisted type;*
- *L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{pX} of the Leech lattice Λ associated with the conjugacy class $pX \in \{2A, -2A, 3B, 3C, 5B, 5C, 7B, 11A, 23A\}$.*

Combining Remark 6.1, Proposition 6.2, Theorem 6.18 and Corollary 5.5, we obtain the following:

Theorem 6.21. *Let L be a rootless even lattice of rank m . Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of prime order p and let \hat{g} be a standard lift of g . Then $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) $p = 2$ and L can be constructed by Construction B from some doubly even binary code of length m ;

- (ii) p is an odd prime and L can be constructed by Construction B from some self-orthogonal code of length $m/(p-1)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p whose dual code contains a codeword of Hamming weight $m/(p-1)$;
- (iii) $p = 11$ and L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{11A} of the Leech lattice associated with the conjugacy class $11A$ of $O(\Lambda)$;
- (iv) $p = 23$ and L is isometric to the coinvariant lattice Λ_{23A} of the Leech lattice associated with the conjugacy class $23A$ of $O(\Lambda)$.

REFERENCES

- [ATLAS] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, ATLAS of finite groups. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [ALY18] T. Abe, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, Abe, Extensions of tensor products of \mathbb{Z}_p -orbifold models of the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}A_{p-1}}$, *J. Algebra* **510** (2018), 24–51.
- [BK04] B. Bakalov, and V. G. Kac, Twisted modules over lattice vertex algebras, *Lie theory and its applications in physics V*, 3–26, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2004.
- [Bo86] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **83** (1986), 3068–3071.
- [BCP97] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [CM] S. Carnahan and M. Miyamoto, Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator subalgebras; arXiv:1603.05645.
- [CLS18] H.Y. Chen, C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, On \mathbb{Z}_3 -orbifold construction of the Moonshine vertex operator algebra, *Math. Z.* **288** (2018), no. 1-2, 75-100.
- [CS99] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere packings, lattices and groups, 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [Do93] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), 245–265.
- [DJX13] C. Dong, X. Jiao and F. Xu, Quantum dimensions and quantum Galois theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 6441-6469.
- [DL96] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), 259–295.
- [DLM00] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1–56.
- [DM04] C. Dong and G. Mason, Holomorphic vertex operator algebras of small central charge, *Pacific J. Math.* **213** (2004), 253–266.
- [DN99] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Automorphism groups and twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras, *in* Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998), 117–133, *Contemp. Math.*, **248**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [DRX17] C. Dong, L. Ren and F. Xu, On Orbifold Theory, *Adv. Math.* **321** (2017), 1–30.
- [EMS20] J. van Ekeren, S. Möller and N. Scheithauer, Construction and classification of holomorphic vertex operator algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **759** (2020), 61–99.

- [FHL93] I.B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1993), viii+64 pp.
- [FLM88] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol.134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ92] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.
- [GL11] R. L. Griess, Jr. and C. H. Lam, A moonshine path for $5A$ node and associated lattices of ranks 8 and 16, *J. Algebra*, 331(2011), 338–361.
- [HL90] K. Harada and M.L. Lang, On some sublattices of the Leech lattice, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **19** (1990), 435–446.
- [HL95] Y.Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, III, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **100** (1995), 141–171.
- [La20a] C.H. Lam, Cyclic orbifold of lattice vertex operator algebras having group-like fusions, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **110** (2020), 1081–1112.
- [La20b] C.H. Lam, Automorphism group of an orbifold vertex operator algebra associated with the Leech lattice, *in* Vertex operator algebras, number theory and related topics, 127–138, *Contemp. Math.*, **753**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020.
- [La] C.H. Lam, Some observations about the automorphism groups of certain orbifold vertex operator algebras, to appear in RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu.
- [LS20] C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, On orbifold constructions associated with the Leech lattice vertex operator algebra, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **168** (2020), 261–285.
- [LY14] C.H. Lam and H. Yamauchi, On 3-transposition groups generated by τ -involutions associated to $c = 4/5$ Virasoro vectors, *J. Algebra*, 416 (2014), 84–121.
- [Le85] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295–8299.
- [Mi15] M. Miyamoto, C_2 -cofiniteness of cyclic-orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **335** (2015), 1279–1286.
- [Mi] M. Miyamoto, C_2 -cofiniteness of orbifold models for finite groups; arXiv:1812.00570.
- [Sh04] H. Shimakura, The automorphism group of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for an even lattice L without roots, *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), 29–57.
- [Sh07] H. Shimakura, Lifts of automorphisms of vertex operator algebras in simple current extensions, *Math. Z.* **256** (2007), no. 3, 491–508.

(C. H. Lam) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN
Email address: chlam@math.sinica.edu.tw

(H. Shimakura) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCES, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI,
 980-8579, JAPAN
Email address: shimakura@tohoku.ac.jp