

ON FILTRATIONS OF $A(V)$

JIANQI LIU

ABSTRACT. The filtrations on Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ and bimodules $A(M)$ are studied. As an application, we prove that $A(V)$ is noetherian when V is strongly finitely generated. By using the associated graded module $\text{gr}A(M)$, we find some connections between different tensor products of $A(V)$ bimodules $A(N)$ and $A(M)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let V be a vertex operator algebra (VOA) over the ground field \mathbb{C} . If V is a rational VOA, then by the main result in [3] the Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is finite dimensional semisimple over \mathbb{C} . In particular, $A(V)$ is (left) noetherian as a ring.

In fact, the noetherian property of $A(V)$ actually holds for some irrational VOAs as well. For example, if $V = M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ be the level one Heisenberg VOA, it is well-known that its Zhu's algebra $A(M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0))$ is isomorphic to $S(\mathfrak{h})$ the polynomial ring over the finite dimensional vector space \mathfrak{h} , hence $A(M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0))$ is noetherian. More generally, if $V = V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ the level $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ vacuum module VOA associated to the finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then it is also well-known that $A(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)) \cong U(\mathfrak{g})$ which is noetherian as well. And if $V = \bar{V}(c, 0)$ is the Virasoro VOA of central charge c , then by [18], $A(\bar{V}(c, 0)) \cong \mathbb{C}[x]$ the polynomial ring with one variable, which is also noetherian.

These VOAs have one common property, which is that they are all strongly finitely generated (see [12] for the definition), so it is natural to expect $A(V)$ to be noetherian for every strongly finitely generated VOA V . We prove this fact in section 2 by using the level filtration on $A(V)$ given in [19].

The strongly generating condition of a VOA V was systematically studied by Li (see [14], [15] and [13]), it is proved that V is strongly generated by a subspace $U \subseteq V$ if and only if the C_2 -algebra $V/C_2(V)$ defined in [19] is generated by $(U + C_2(V))/C_2(V)$ as an algebra, if and only if $V = U + C_1(V)$, where $C_1(V)$ is defined and studied in [15]. In particular, V is finitely strongly generated if and only if V is C_1 -cofinite [13].

In section 3, we define a similar strongly generating property for an admissible V -module M , and we show that M is strongly generated by a subspace W if and only if $M = W + C_1(M)$, where $C_1(M)$ is the one defined in [9]. In particular, our definition of a finitely strongly generated admissible module is the same as a C_1 -cofinite module defined in [9]. On the other hand, the $A(V)$ -bimodule $A(M)$ also has a natural filtration given by its gradings: $A(M)_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n M(i)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Under this filtration $A(M)$ becomes a filtered $A(V)$ -bimodule, and by using the associated graded module $\text{gr}A(M)$ over $\text{gr}A(V)$ we prove that $A(M)$ is generated as a filtered $A(V)$ -bimodule by W when M is strongly generated by W .

The filtration on $A(M)$ is also useful in the study of the tensor product and fusion rules. In fact, $A(M)$ was defined in the first place with the purpose of studying the fusion rules of VOA [8], and the connections between the fusion tensor product of VOAs defined by Huang and Lepowsky [11] and bimodules $A(M)$ was noticed in [5]. In particular,

when the VOA V is rational and C_2 -cofinite, the associativity of the fusion tensor [10] is equivalent to the isomorphism between $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ and $A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)$ as $A(V)$ -bimodules. But one would also expect to find an isomorphic map directly from the construction of $A(M)$. However this is not an easy task, since the left and right module structures of $A(M)$ are not interchangeable. In this paper, we use the filtration on the tensor product $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ to present such an isomorphic map with an additional assumption.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we first recall some basic definitions and properties of filtered rings and Zhu's algebra $A(V)$, then prove the noetherianess of $A(V)$ for strongly finitely generated VOA V . We also studied the relations between the C_2 -algebra $V/C_2(V)$ and the graded algebra $\text{gr}A(V)$ for various cases of VOAs. In section 3, we first define the concept of strongly generated and quasi-strongly generated modules over VOAs and explore the connections between these concepts with the Poisson module $M/C_2(M)$ and $\text{gr}A(M)$. We use the filtrations on $A(V)$ -bimodules to study $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$.

2. NOETHERIANESS OF $A(V)$ WHEN V IS STRONGLY FINITELY GENERATED.

We will first recall some basic facts about filtered rings, some of them will be used in the proof of noetherianess of $A(V)$ and section 3. Most of these notions can be found in [16] and [17].

Definition 2.1. *A ring R is called a filtered if there exists subgroups $F_n R \leq R$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ such that:*

(a) $1 \in F_0 R \subseteq F_1 R \subseteq F_2 R \subseteq \dots$, (b) $F_i R \cdot F_j R \subseteq F_{i+j} R$ for all $i, j \geq 0$, (c) $R = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n R$.
The family $\{F_n R\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called a filtration of R .

A filtered ring R has the following related constructions:

(a) Given a filtration $\{F_n R\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of R , there is an associated graded ring

$$\text{gr}R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n R / F_{n-1} R = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{gr}R)_n,$$

with product given by $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} := \overline{xy}$ for any $x \in F_n R$, $y \in F_m R$. We adopt the convention that $F_{-1} R = 0$. The well-definedness of the product follows immediately from the definition of a filtration.

(b) Let $I \triangleleft R$ be a left ideal. Set $(\text{gr}I)_n := (I + F_{n-1} R) \cap F_n R / F_{n-1} R \leq (\text{gr}R)_n$ for each $n \geq 0$, then let

$$\text{gr}I = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{gr}I)_n \leq \text{gr}R.$$

Clearly, $\text{gr}I$ is a graded left ideal of $\text{gr}R$ with $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{x} := \overline{ax}$ for $\bar{a} \in (\text{gr}R)_m$, $\bar{x} \in (\text{gr}I)_n$.

(c) A R -module M is called filtered if there exists a sequence of subgroups

$$0 = F_{-1} M \subseteq F_0 M \subseteq F_1 M \subseteq \dots$$

such that $M = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n M$ and $(F_m R) \cdot F_n M \subseteq F_{m+n} M$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For a filtered R -module M , the associated graded abelian group

$$\text{gr}M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n M / F_{n-1} M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{gr}M)_n$$

is a graded module over $\text{gr}R$, with action given by $\bar{x}.\bar{w} = \overline{x.w}$ for any $x \in F_n R$, $w \in F_m M$.

One can find the following well known fact in [16].

Proposition 2.2. *Let R be a filtered ring such that $\text{gr}R$ is left Noetherian, then R is left Noetherian.*

The definition of a vertex operator algebra can be found in [6]. In this paper, we assume that a VOA V is always \mathbb{N} -gradable: $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$, and is of CFT-type: $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. Then we can write $V = V_0 \oplus V_+$, where V_+ is the sum of all positive levels.

Now we recall some basic facts about the Zhu's Algebra $A(V)$ defined in [19]. Let $a, b \in V$ be homogeneous elements.

- (a) $A(V) = V/O(V)$ is an associated algebra with $\mathbf{1} + O(V)$ as identity and the product:

$$a * b = \text{Res}_z Y(a, z)b \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wta}}}{z} = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{wta}} \binom{\text{wta}}{j} a_{j-1} b \quad (2.1)$$

- (b) For positive integers $m \geq n \geq 0$:

$$\text{Res}_z Y(a, z)b \frac{(1+z)^{\text{wta}+n}}{z^{2+m}} \equiv 0 \pmod{O(V)} \quad (2.2)$$

- (c) There is a commutative formula:

$$a * b - b * a \equiv \text{Res}_z Y(a, z)b(1+z)^{\text{wta}-1} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{\text{wta}-1}{j} a_j b \pmod{O(V)} \quad (2.3)$$

- (d) $A(V)$ has a filtration $A(V)_0 \subseteq A(V)_1 \subseteq A(V)_2 \subseteq \dots$, where $A(V)_n$ is the image of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n V_i$ in $A(V)$. i.e. for $a \in A(V)_m$ and $b \in A(V)_n$ we have $a * b \in A(V)_{m+n}$, and $\mathbf{1} + O(V) \in A(V)_0$.

We call the filtration in (d) the level filtration of $A(V)$. These properties indicate that $A(V)$ is a filtered ring, so we have the associated graded ring as in Definition 2.1:

$$\text{gr}A(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{gr}A(V))_n, \quad (2.4)$$

where $(\text{gr}A(V))_n = A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $A(V)_{-1} = 0$. By Definition 2.1, for $\bar{a} \in A(V)_m/A(V)_{m-1}$ and $\bar{b} \in A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1}$, their product is given by

$$\bar{a} * \bar{b} = \overline{a * b} \in A(V)_{m+n}/A(V)_{m+n-1} \quad (2.5)$$

The graded ring $\text{gr}A(V)$ satisfies the following property:

Lemma 2.3. *$\text{gr}A(V)$ is a commutative Poisson algebra with the product and the Lie bracket given by:*

$$\bar{a} * \bar{b} = a_{-1}b + A(V)_{m+n-1} \in (\text{gr}A(V))_{m+n}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = a_0b + A(V)_{m+n-2} \in (\text{gr}A(V))_{m+n-1}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $\bar{a} \in A(V)_m/A(V)_{m-1}$, $\bar{b} \in A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1}$, and all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By (2.1) and (2.5) we have:

$$\bar{a} * \bar{b} = \overline{a_{-1}b} + \sum_{j=1}^{\text{wta}} \binom{\text{wta}}{j} \overline{a_{j-1}b} = \overline{a_{-1}b},$$

since for any $j \geq 1$ we have $\text{wt}(a_{j-1}b) = \text{wta} + \text{wtb} - j \leq m + n - 1$, so $a_{j-1}b \in A(V)_{m+n-1}$ and $\overline{a_{j-1}b} = 0$ in $A(V)_{m+n}/A(V)_{m+n-1}$. Moreover, by (2.3) and (2.5) we have:

$$\bar{a} * \bar{b} - \bar{b} * \bar{a} = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{wta}-1} \binom{\text{wta}-1}{j} \overline{a_j b} = 0,$$

since $\text{wt}(a_j b) = \text{wta} + \text{wtb} - j - 1 \leq m + n - 1$ for all $j \geq 0$. This shows that $\text{gr}A(V)$ is a commutative algebra over \mathbb{C} .

Since $\text{gr}A(V)$ is commutative, it follows from a standard fact of filtered rings (cf. [17]) that $\text{gr}A(V)$ is a Poisson algebra with respect to the bracket

$$\{\bar{a}, \bar{b}\} = a * b - b * a + A(V)_{m+n-2} \in (\text{gr}A(V))_{m+n-1}.$$

Since we have $a * b - b * a \equiv a_0 b \pmod{A(V)_{m+n-2}}$, it follows that $\text{gr}A(V)$ is a commutative Poisson algebra with respect to the bracket given in (2.7). \square

The notion of a strongly generated vertex operator algebra is defined by Kac[12]:

Definition 2.4. Let V be a VOA, and let $U \subseteq V$ be a subset. V is said to be strongly generated by U if V is spanned by elements of the form:

$$a_{-n_1}^1 \dots a_{-n_r}^r u,$$

where $a^1, \dots, a^r, u \in U$, and $n_i \geq 1$ for all i . If V is strongly generated by a finite dimensional subspace, then V is called strongly finitely generated.

Recall the following result of Li (cf. Theorem 4.11 [14]):

Proposition 2.5. Let V be a VOA, and let $U \subseteq V_+$ be a graded subspace. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) V is strongly generated by U
- (b) $V_+ = U + C_1(V)$, where $C_1(V) = \text{span}(\{u_{-1}v : u, v \in V_+\} \cup \{L(-1)u : u \in V\})$.
- (c) $(U + C_2(V))/C_2(V)$ generates $V/C_2(V)$ as commutative algebra.

Theorem 2.6. Let V be a VOA. If V is strongly finitely generated, or equivalently, C_1 -cofinite, then $A(V)$ is (left) noetherian as an algebra.

Proof. First, we show that there is a well-defined epimorphism of commutative Poisson algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : V/C_2(V) &\rightarrow \text{gr}A(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1}, \\ x + C_2(V) &\mapsto \bar{x} \in A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1} \quad \text{for } x \in V_n. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Define $\phi : V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n \rightarrow \text{gr}A(V) : \phi(x_1 + \dots + x_r) = \bar{x}_1 + \dots + \bar{x}_r$, where $x_i \in V_{n_i}$ and $\bar{x}_i \in A(V)_{n_i}/A(V)_{n_i-1}$ for all i . Clearly ϕ is linear, and we claim that $\phi(C_2(V)) = 0$.

Indeed, let $a_{-2}b$ be a spanning element in $C_2(V)$, with $a \in V_m$ and $b \in V_n$, where $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$. Then $a_{-2}b \in V_{m+n+1}$ and $\phi(a_{-2}b) = \overline{a_{-2}b} \in A(V)_{m+n+1}/A(V)_{m+n}$. Recall that in $A(V)$ we have:

$$L(-1)a + L(0)a = 0.$$

Thus, $a_{-2}b = (L(-1)a)_{-1}b = (-L(0)a)_{-1}b = -ma_{-1}b$ in $A(V)$, with $\text{wt}(a_{-1}b) = m + n$. This implies that

$$\overline{a_{-2}b} = \overline{-ma_{-1}b} = \bar{0} \in A(V)_{m+n+1}/A(V)_{m+n}.$$

Thus, $\phi(C_2(V)) = 0$ and ϕ gives rise to the map in (2.8).

Since $A(V)_n$ is the image of $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n V_n$ in $A(V)$, it is clear that ϕ is surjective. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((a + C_2(V)) \cdot (b + C_2(V))) &= \phi(a_{-1}b + C_2(V)) \\ &= a_{-1}b + A(V)_{\text{wt}a + \text{wt}b} \\ &= \phi(a + C_2(V)) * \phi(b + C_2(V)), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\{a + C_2(V), b + C_2(V)\}) &= \phi(a_0b + C_2(V)) \\ &= a_0b + A(V)_{\text{wt}a + \text{wt}b - 1} \\ &= \{\phi(a + C_2(V)), \phi(b + C_2(V))\} \end{aligned}$$

for all homogeneous $a, b \in V$. Therefore, ϕ given in (2.8) is an epimorphism of commutative Poisson algebras.

Now let $U = \text{span}\{x^1, \dots, x^n\}$ be a subspace that strongly generates V . By proposition 2.5, $V/C_2(V)$ is generated by $\{x^1 + C_2(V), \dots, x^n + C_2(V)\}$ as an algebra, in particular $V/C_2(V)$ is finitely generated, hence its image $\text{gr}A(V)$ under the epimorphism ϕ is also finitely generated. Thus $\text{gr}A(V)$ is noetherian, since it is quotient of a polynomial ring with finitely many variables. Then by Proposition 2.2, $A(V)$ is also (left) noetherian. \square

It is natural to ask whether or not the epimorphism (2.8) is an isomorphism between the commutative Poisson algebras $V/C_2(V)$ and $\text{gr}A(V)$. In general, this is not true, we will give a counterexample later. Nevertheless, we have the following result regarding this question:

Proposition 2.7. *The epimorphism ϕ in (2.8) is an isomorphism, if and only if for all $a = a_1 + \dots + a_r \in O(V)$, with $a_i \in V_{n_i}$ for each i and $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r$, the highest weight summand a_r of a belongs to $C_2(V)$.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.6 we already have $C_2(V) \subseteq \ker \phi$, and so ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if $C_2(V) = \ker \phi$. Also note that ϕ in (2.8) is clearly gradation preserving. Assume the condition for $O(V)$ in the proposition is satisfied, let $x + C_2(V) \in \ker \phi$ with $x \in V_n$, then we have $x + O(V) \in A(V)_{n-1}$, and so there exists $y \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} V_i$ s.t.

$$x - y = a = a_1 + \dots + a_r \in O(V),$$

with $a_i \in V_{n_i}$ for each i and $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r$. By comparing the highest weight elements on both sides of this equation, we have $x = a_r \in C_2(V)$. Hence $C_2(V) = \ker \phi$ and ϕ is an isomorphism. Conversely, if $C_2(V) = \ker \phi$, let $a = a_1 + \dots + a_r \in O(V)$, with $a_i \in V_{n_i}$ for each i and $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_r$, we have

$$a_r + O(V) = -a_1 - a_2 - \dots - a_{r-1} + O(V)$$

in $A(V)_{n_r}$. But the right hand side lies in $A(V)_{n_r-1}$ since $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{r-1} \leq n_r - 1$, so $\phi(a_r) = \bar{a}_r = \bar{0} \in A(V)_{n_r}/A(V)_{n_r-1} \subseteq \text{gr}A(V)$, this implies $a_r \in \ker \phi = C_2(V)$. \square

Remark 2.8. Although the condition for $O(V)$ in Proposition 2.7 is obvious for the spanning elements of $O(V)$:

$$u \circ v = u_{-2}v + \sum_{j \geq 1} \binom{wtu}{j} u_{j-2}v,$$

it is not true for a general element $\sum_{i=1}^r u^i \circ v^i$ in $O(V)$, because the highest weight components $u^i_{-2}v^i$ may cancel with each other. But for certain examples of VOAs, especially the VOAs that are also universal highest weight modules over infinite dimensional Lie algebras, the C_2 -algebra $V/C_2(V)$ is indeed isomorphic to $\text{gr}A(V)$, and we can prove it in a direct way.

We denote the C_2 -algebra $V/C_2(V)$ by $P_2(V)$ as in [4].

Proposition 2.9. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra, for the vacuum module VOA [8] $V = V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ of level k , we have:*

$$P_2(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)) \cong \text{gr}A(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0))$$

as commutative Poisson algebras.

Proof. It is well-known (cf. [4] Proposition 5.16) that in this case

$$P_2(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)) \cong S(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{with } a^1(-1)\dots a^r(-1)\mathbf{1} + C_2(V) \mapsto a^1\dots a^r,$$

for $a^1, \dots, a^r \in \mathfrak{g}$. On the other hand, we have the following identification of the Zhu's algebra [8]:

$$A(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)) \cong U(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{with } [a^1(-1)\dots a^r(-1)\mathbf{1}] \mapsto a^r\dots a^1.$$

Note that under this isomorphism we have $A(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0))_n = \text{span}\{[a^1(-1)\dots a^r(-1)\mathbf{1}] : a^i \in \mathfrak{g}, 0 \leq r \leq n\} \cong \text{span}\{a^r\dots a^1 : a^i \in \mathfrak{g}, 0 \leq r \leq n\} = U(\mathfrak{g})_n$, the standard filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ [1]. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr}A(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)) &\cong \text{gr}U(\mathfrak{g}) \cong S(\mathfrak{g}), \\ [a^1(-1)\dots a^r(-1)\mathbf{1}] + A(V)_{r-1} &\mapsto a^r\dots a^1 = a^1\dots a^r, \end{aligned}$$

thus we have an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)) &\cong \text{gr}A(V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)), \\ a^1(-1)\dots a^r(-1)\mathbf{1} + C_2(V) &\mapsto [a^1(-1)\dots a^r(-1)\mathbf{1}] + A(V)_{r-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

which is exactly the map ϕ in (2.8). □

It is easy to see that by adopting a similar method, we can also show that for $V = M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$, the Heisenberg VOA, $P_2(M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)) \cong S(\mathfrak{h}) \cong \text{gr}A(M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0))$ under the same identification map (2.9).

Proposition 2.10. *Let $V = \bar{V}(c, 0) = V(c, 0)/\langle L_{-1}\mathbf{1} \rangle$ be the Virasoro VOA associated to the (universal) highest weight module $\bar{V}(c, 0)$ [8]. We also have:*

$$P_2(\bar{V}(c, 0)) \cong \text{gr}A(\bar{V}(c, 0))$$

as commutative Poisson algebras.

Proof. Recall that

$$\bar{V}(c, 0) = \text{span}\{L_{-n_1} \dots L_{-n_k} \mathbf{1} : k \geq 0, n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 2\},$$

and the spanning elements are linearly independent. Thus, we have a linear isomorphism:

$$P_2(\bar{V}(c, 0)) = \text{span}\{(L_{-2})^n \mathbf{1} + C_2(V) : n \geq 0\} \cong C[y], \quad (L_{-2})^n \mathbf{1} + C_2(V) \mapsto y^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it is proved in [18] that the Zhu's algebra of $\bar{V}(c, 0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x]$ via

$$A(\bar{V}(c, 0)) \cong \mathbb{C}[x], \quad [\omega]^n \mapsto x^n,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, it is also noticed in [18] that for every $n \geq 1$, one has the following relation:

$$L_{-n} \equiv (-1)^n ((n-1)(L_{-2} + L_{-1}) + L_0) \pmod{O(\bar{V}(c, 0))},$$

and $[b] * [\omega] = [(L_{-2} + L_{-1})b]$ for any $b \in \bar{V}(c, 0)$. Thus, in $A(\bar{V}(c, 0))$ we have:

$$[L_{-n_1} \dots L_{-n_k} \mathbf{1}] = P([\omega]),$$

for some $P(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\deg P \leq k$. So the level filtration of $A(V)$ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_n &= \text{span}\{[L_{-n_1} \dots L_{-n_k} \mathbf{1}] : k \geq 0, n_1 + \dots + n_k = n, n_i \geq 2, \forall i\} \\ &= \text{span}\{P([\omega]) : \deg P \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\} \\ &= \text{span}\{[\mathbf{1}], [\omega], [\omega]^2, \dots, [\omega]^r : r \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we have

$$A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p} = A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p+1} = \text{span}\{[\mathbf{1}], [\omega], \dots, [\omega]^p\},$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. This results in a filtration $\{F_p \mathbb{C}[x]\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{C}[x]$, where

$$F_{2p} \mathbb{C}[x] = F_{2p+1} \mathbb{C}[x] = \text{span}\{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^p\}.$$

It is obvious that under this filtration we have an isomorphism:

$$\text{gr}^F \mathbb{C}[x] = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} F_{2p} \mathbb{C}[x] / F_{2p-1} \mathbb{C}[x] \cong \mathbb{C}[y], \quad x^p + F_{2p-1} \mathbb{C}[x] \mapsto y^p,$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, note that in $A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p} / A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega]^p + A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p-1} &= [(L_{-2} + L_{-1})^p \mathbf{1}] + A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p-1} \\ &= [(L_{-2})^p \mathbf{1}] + A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(\bar{V}(c, 0)) (\cong \mathbb{C}[y]) &\cong \text{gr} A(\bar{V}(c, 0)), \\ (L_{-2})^p \mathbf{1} + C_2(V) &\mapsto [\omega]^p + A(\bar{V}(c, 0))_{2p-1} \\ &= [(L_{-2})^p \mathbf{1}] + A(\bar{V}(c, 0)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

which is the same map ϕ in (2.8). □

Let L be a positive definite even lattice. For the lattice VOA V_L , $P_2(V_L)$ is not isomorphic to $\text{gr} A(V_L)$ in general. Here is a counterexample:

Example 2.11. Let $L = E_8$ be the root lattice of type E_8 . It is well-known that this lattice is unimodular. By the main result in [2], V_{E_8} is rational, and it has only one irreducible module, namely itself, and the bottom level of this module is $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$. It follows from [19](cf. Theorem 2.2.1) that $\dim A(V_{E_8}) = 1 = \dim \text{gr}A(V_{E_8})$.

On the other hand, note that for any VOA V of CFT-type, we have $V_1 \cap C_2(V) = 0$ because $wta_{-2}b = wta + wt b + 1 \geq 2$ when $a_{-2}b$ is nonzero. Hence $\dim P_2(V_{E_8}) \geq \dim(V_{E_8})_1 \geq \text{rank } E_8 = 8$, and so $\dim P_2(V_{E_8}) > \dim \text{gr}A(V_{E_8})$.

In fact, a similar argument also shows for any unimodular lattice L , $P_2(V_L) \not\cong \text{gr}A(V_L)$.

However, for certain positive definite even lattices, we do have the isomorphism:

Proposition 2.12. *Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be the positive definite lattice of rank 1 with $(\alpha|\alpha) = 2k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then for the lattice VOA $V = V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}$, we have:*

$$P_2(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}) \cong \text{gr}A(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha})$$

as commutative Poisson algebras.

Proof. Since $\phi : P_2(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}) \rightarrow \text{gr}A(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha})$ in (2.8) is already an epimorphism, we only have to show that $\dim P_2(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}) = \dim \text{gr}A(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha})$.

Note that in this case the dual lattice $L^\circ = \bigsqcup_{n=-k+1}^k L + \frac{n}{2k}\alpha$, and by the main result in [2], the irreducible $V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}$ modules are $V_{L+\frac{n}{2k}\alpha}$, for $-k+1 \leq n \leq k$. When $n = k$, the bottom level of $V_{L+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$ is $\mathbb{C}e^{\alpha/2} \oplus \mathbb{C}e^{-\alpha/2}$. When $|n| < k$, we have

$$(m\alpha + \frac{n}{2k}\alpha | m\alpha + \frac{n}{2k}\alpha) = (m + \frac{n}{2k})^2(\alpha|\alpha) > \left(\frac{n}{2k}\right)^2(\alpha|\alpha),$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, since $m^2 + \frac{n}{k}m > 0$. So the bottom level of $V_{L+\frac{n}{2k}\alpha}$ is one-dimensional for any $-k+1 \leq n < k$. Thus, by Theorem 2.2.1 in [19],

$$\dim \text{gr}A(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}) = \dim A(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}) = 2^2 + (2k-1) \cdot 1^2 = 2k+3.$$

On the other hand, it is proved in [4] (cf. Proposition 5.19) that $P_2(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha})$ is a quotient of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]$, modulo the relations:

$$X^2 = Y^2 = XZ = YZ = 0, \quad XY = \frac{1}{(2k)!}Z^{2k}.$$

In particular, $P_2(V_L)$ has a basis $\bar{1}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \dots, \bar{Z}^{2k-1}, \bar{Z}^{2k} = \overline{(2k)!XY}$. So $\dim P_2(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha}) = 2k+3 = \dim \text{gr}A(V_{\mathbb{Z}\alpha})$. \square

Remark 2.13. Example (2.11) and Proposition (2.12) also indicate that for an affine VOA $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ with positive integer level k , the C_2 algebra $P_2(L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0))$ may or may not be isomorphic to $\text{gr}A(L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0))$.

Indeed, since $L = E_8$ is a simple laced root lattice, the lattice VOA V_{E_8} is isomorphic to the affine VOA $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{E_8}}}(1, 0)$ (see [7]), where \mathfrak{g}_{E_8} is the simple Lie algebra whose root system is of the type E_8 . So $P_2(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{E_8}}}(1, 0)) \not\cong \text{gr}A(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{E_8}}}(1, 0))$.

On the other hand, for $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ with $(\alpha|\alpha) = 2$, L is the root lattice of type A_1 . Hence $V_L \cong L_{\hat{s}\hat{l}_2}(1, 0)$ as VOAs (see [7]), and by the conclusion of Proposition (2.12), we have $P_2(L_{\hat{s}\hat{l}_2}(1, 0)) \cong \text{gr}A(L_{\hat{s}\hat{l}_2}(1, 0))$.

We conclude this section by giving an application of the noetherianess of $A(V)$ in theorem (2.6). Recall that a V -module M is called admissible (or \mathbb{N} -gradable) [19], [3] if

$$M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n),$$

and $a_m M(n) \subseteq M(\text{wta} - m - 1 + n)$, for all $a \in V$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that each graded subspace $M(n)$ of an admissible module M needs not to be finite dimensional. For instance, let U be an infinite-dimensional module over a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then the induced module $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, U) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} U$ is an admissible module over the VOA $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$, with bottom level $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, U)(0) = U$ that is not finite dimensional[8].

Also recall that the bottom level $M(0)$ of any admissible module M is an $A(V)$ -module[19], with the action given by $[a].w = a_{\text{wta}-1}w$, for all $[a] \in A(V)$ and $w \in M(0)$.

Proposition 2.14. *Let V be a VOA that is C_1 -cofinite. Assume M is an admissible V -module s.t. M is generated by finite elements from its bottom level. Then M must have a maximal submodule.*

Proof. By assumption, there exists a finite set $S \subset M(0)$ s.t.

$$M = \text{span}\{a_{n_1}^1 \dots a_{n_k}^k w : a^i \in V, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, w \in S\}.$$

Given a spanning element $x = a_{n_1}^1 \dots a_{n_k}^k w$ of M , if $\text{wta}^i - n_i - 1 < 0$ for some i , then $a_{n_i}^i w = 0$, and x can be written as a sum of elements of shorter length. So it follows from an easy induction that the bottom level $M(0)$ of M is span by elements of the form:

$$a_{\text{wta}^1-1}^1 \dots a_{\text{wta}^k-1}^k w,$$

for $a^1, \dots, a^k \in V$ homogeneous, and $w \in S$. i.e. $M(0)$ is a finitely generated $A(V)$ -module. Since $A(V)$ is noetherian by Theorem 2.6, $M(0)$ is a noetherian module, and so $M(0)$ has a maximal submodule U . Let $W \leq M$ be the V -submodule generated by U . Then the bottom level of the quotient module M/W is an irreducible $A(V)$ -module $M(0)/U$, and M/W is generated by its bottom level. Hence M/W is a quotient of the generalized Verma module $\tilde{M}(M(0)/U)$ constructed in [4]. By Theorem 6.3 in [4], M/W has a maximal submodule \tilde{W} with the property that $\tilde{W} \cap (M(0)/U) = 0$. But then $(M/W)/\tilde{W} \cong L(M(0)/U)$, which is an irreducible V -module since $M(0)/U$ is an irreducible $A(V)$ -module. Thus, $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{W}) + W \leq M$ is a maximal submodule, where $\pi : M \rightarrow M/W$ is the quotient map. \square

3. GRADED MODULE $\text{gr}A(M)$ AND THE TENSOR PRODUCT

We first give a definition of strongly generated module over the VOA V . Recall that we assume V to be of CFT-type: $V = V_0 \oplus V_+$, with $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_+ = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$.

Definition 3.1. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ be an admissible (or \mathbb{N} -gradable) V module, and let $W \subseteq M$ be a subset.*

(a) *We say that M is **quasi-strongly generated** by W , if M is spanned by elements of the form:*

$$x = a_{-n_1}^1 \dots a_{-n_k}^k w, \quad (3.1)$$

where $k \geq 0$, $a^i \in V$ homogeneous, $n_i \geq 0$ for all i , and $w \in W$.

(b) *We say that M is **strongly generated** by W , if M is spanned by elements of the form:*

$$x = a_{-n_1}^1 \dots a_{-n_k}^k w, \quad (3.2)$$

where $k \geq 0$, $a^i \in V$ homogeneous, $n_i \geq 1$ for all i , and $w \in W$.

Note that under this definition, if W strongly generates M , it must quasi-strongly generate M . Moreover, since $\mathbf{1}_0 = 0$ and $\text{wta}_{-n_i}^i = \text{wta}^i + n_i - 1 \geq n_i$ for $a^i \in V_+$, it follows that $W \cap M(0) \neq 0$ when W quasi-strongly generates M , and $M(0) \subseteq W$ when W strongly generate M .

Example 3.2. The module $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, \lambda) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} L(\lambda)$ and its irreducible quotient $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, \lambda)$ over the vacuum module VOA $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ (or over the affine VOA $L_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\lambda \in P_+^k$) are both strongly generated by their bottom level $L(\lambda)$, and are quasi-strongly generated by the highest-weight vector $v_\lambda \in L(\lambda)$.

The irreducible module $M = M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, \lambda)$ over the Heisenberg VOA $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k, 0)$ is strongly generated its bottom level $M(0) = \mathbb{C}e^\lambda$.

The irreducible module $M = L(c, h)$ over the Virasoro VOA $L(c, 0)$ is quasi-strongly generated by its bottom level $M(0) = \mathbb{C}v_{c,h}$, and it is strongly generated by the set $W = \{L(-1)^n v_{c,h} : n \geq 0\}$.

Let $L = \mathbb{Z}\alpha$ be a rank 1 lattice with $(\alpha|\alpha) = 2k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the irreducible module $V_{L+\frac{n}{2k}\alpha}$ for some $0 \leq n \leq 2k-1$ over the lattice VOA V_L . It is strongly generated by two elements $e^{\frac{n}{2k}\alpha}$ and $e^{\frac{n-2k}{2k}\alpha}$, because for any $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{m\alpha + \frac{n}{2k}\alpha} &= \pm(e^{m\alpha})_{-(m\alpha|\frac{n}{2k}\alpha)-1} e^{\frac{n}{2k}\alpha} = \pm(e^{m\alpha})_{-mn-1} e^{\frac{n}{2k}\alpha}, \\ e^{(-m-1)\alpha + \frac{n}{2k}\alpha} &= \pm(e^{-m\alpha})_{-(-m\alpha|\frac{n-2k}{2k}\alpha)-1} e^{\frac{n-2k}{2k}\alpha} = \pm(e^{-m\alpha})_{m(n-2k)-1} e^{\frac{n-2k}{2k}\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $-mn-1 \leq -1$, and $m(n-2k)-1 \leq -1$.

It is proved in [13] (cf. Proposition 3.2.) that a CFT-type VOA V is strongly generated by a subspace $U \leq V_+$ if and only if $V = U + C_1(V)$. By a slight modification of their proof, we can derive a similar result for the modules. For the sake of correctness, we will write out the details of the proof in the next proposition.

Let $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ be an admissible V module. We follow [9] and set $C_1(M)$ to be the subspace of W spanned by

$$a_{-1}M,$$

for all homogeneous $a \in V_+$ (Note that this definition of $C_1(M)$ is slightly different from the one given in [15]). It follows immediately from the definition that $C_1(M) \subseteq \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} M(n)$. We follow [9] again and call M C_1 -cofinite if $\dim M/C_1(M) < \infty$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let V be strongly generated by a homogeneous subspace $U \subseteq V_+$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ be an admissible V -module such that $M = W + C_1(M)$ for some homogeneous subspace $W \subseteq M$. Then M is spanned by elements of the form:*

$$u_{-n_1}^1 \dots u_{-n_r}^r \cdot w \tag{3.3}$$

for $r \geq 0$, $n_i \geq 1$, $u^i \in U$ and $w \in W$ homogeneous. In particular, M is strongly generated by W .

Proof. Since $W \subseteq M$ is homogeneous, it is clear that $M(0) \subseteq W$. Denote the subspace spanned by elements in (3.3) by P_M . We follow [13] and give a filtration $P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq \dots$ on V by letting P_s be the subspace of V spanned by

$$u_{-n_1}^1 \dots u_{-n_r}^r \mathbf{1}$$

for $0 \leq r \leq s$, $u^i \in V_+$, $n_i \geq 1$.

Claim 1. If $M(0) + M(1) + \dots + M(n) \subseteq P_M$ for some $n \geq 0$, and if $a \in V_+$, $v \in M$ homogeneous such that $a_{-r}v \in M(n+1)$ for some $r \geq 1$, then $a_{-r}v \in P_M$.

Indeed, since $n+1 = \deg(a_{-r}v) = \text{wta} + \deg v + r - 1 \geq \text{wta} + \deg v$ and $\text{wta} \geq 1$, we have $\deg v \leq n$, and so $v \in P_M$ by assumption of the claim. By Proposition 3.2 in [13], $V = \bigcup_{s=0}^{\infty} P_s$. So $a \in P_s$ for some $s \geq 0$, and we may use induction on s to show $a_{-r}v \in P_M$.

If $a \in P_1$, say $a = u_{-m}\mathbf{1}$ for some $m \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{-r}v &= (u_{-m}\mathbf{1})_{-r}v \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-r}{j} (-1)^j u_{-m-j}\mathbf{1}_{-r+j}v - (-1)^{-m-j} \binom{-r}{j} \mathbf{1}_{-m-r-j}(u_jv) \\ &= \binom{-r}{r-1} (-1)^{r-1} u_{-m-r+1}v \in P_M. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $a \in P_s$, and the conclusion holds for smaller s . Write $a = u_{-k}^1 b$ for some $u^1 \in U$, $k \geq 1$ and $b = u_{-n_2}^2 \dots u_{-n_r}^r \mathbf{1} \in P_{s-1}$. Then

$$a_{-r}v = (u_{-k}^1 b)_{-r}v = \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{-k}{j} (-1)^j u_{-k-j}^1 (b_{-r+j}v) - \binom{-k}{j} (-1)^{-k+j} b_{-k-r-j}(u_j^1 v), \quad (3.4)$$

with each summand on the right hand side has the same degree $n+1$. Since $\text{wt}u^1 \geq 1$, we have:

$$n+1 = \deg(u_{-k-j}^1 (b_{-r+j}v)) = \text{wt}u^1 + k + j - 1 + \deg(b_{-r+j}v) > \deg(b_{-r+j}v),$$

thus, $\deg(b_{-r+j}v) \leq n$ and by assumption of the claim, $b_{-r+j}v \in P_M$. Hence $u_{-k-j}^1 (b_{-r+j}v) \in P_M$ for all $j \geq 0$. On the other hand, since $k+r+j \geq 1$, $b \in P_{s-1}$ and $b_{-k-r-j}(u_j^1 v) \in M(n+1)$, by induction hypothesis, $b_{-k-r-j}(u_j^1 v) \in P_M$ for all $j \geq 0$. Therefore, each summand on the right hand side of (3.3) lies in P_M , and so $a_{-r}v \in P_M$.

claim 2. $M = P_M$. i.e. M is spanned by elements of the form (3.3).

Indeed, we may use induction on n to show $M(0) + M(1) \dots + M(n) \subseteq P_M$. The base case is clear since $M(0) \subseteq W \subseteq P_M$. Now assume that $M(0) + M(1) \dots + M(n) \subseteq P_M$, then for any $x \in M(n+1) = M(n+1) \cap W + M(n+1) \cap C_1(M)$, we may write

$$x = w + a_{-1}^1 v^1 + \dots + a_{-1}^k v^k$$

some $w \in W$, $a^i \in V_+$ and $v^i \in M$ homogeneous, with $a_{-1}^i v^i \in M(n+1)$ for all i . By claim 1, we have $a_{-1}^i v^i \in P_M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Thus, $x \in P_M$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *An admissible V module M is strongly generated by a homogeneous subspace $W \subseteq M$ if and only if $M = W + C_1(W)$. In particular, M is strongly finitely generated if and only if M is C_1 -cofinite.*

Proof. If M is strongly generated by W then every spanning element of M as in (3.2), except for $x = w \in W$, is contained in $C_1(W)$, since

$$u_{-n}w = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (L(-1)^{n-1}u)_{-1}w \in C_1(W)$$

for all $u \in V_+$ homogeneous and $n \geq 1$. Thus, $M = W + C_1(W)$. Conversely, assume $M = W + C_1(M)$, we may choose a homogeneous subspace $U \subseteq V_+$ such that $V_+ = C_1(V) \oplus U$. Then by Proposition 3.2 in [13], V is strongly generated by U , and by Propostion 3.3, M is strongly generated by W . \square

Note that for an admissible module M , $M/C_2(M)$ is a module over the commutative algebra $V/C_2(V)$, with

$$(a + C_2(V)).(v + C_2(M)) = a_{-1}v + C_2(M) \quad (3.5)$$

for all $a \in V$ and $v \in M$. In fact, $M/C_2(M)$ is also a Poisson module over $V/C_2(V)$, because we can define a bilinear map $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : V/C_2(V) \times M/C_2(M) \rightarrow M/C_2(M)$ by letting

$$\{a + C_2(V), w + C_2(M)\} := a_0 w + C_2(M), \quad (3.6)$$

for all $a \in V$ and $w \in M$, then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{a + C_2(V), b + C_2(V)\}, w + C_2(M)\} &= \{(a + C_2(V)), \{b + C_2(V), w + C_2(M)\}\} \\ &\quad - \{b + C_2(V), \{a + C_2(V), w + C_2(M)\}\}, \\ \{a + C_2(V), b + C_2(V)\} \cdot (w + C_2(M)) &= (a + C_2(V)) \cdot \{b + C_2(V), w + C_2(M)\} \\ &\quad - \{b + C_2(V), \{a + C_2(V), w + C_2(M)\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be an admissible V -module, and let $W \subseteq M$ be a homogeneous subspace.*

(a) *If M is strongly generated by W , then $M/C_2(M)$ is generated by $W/C_2(M) = \{w + C_2(M) : w \in W\}$ as a $V/C_2(V)$ -module.*

(b) *If M is quasi-strongly generated by W , then $M/C_2(M)$ is generated by $W/C_2(M)$ as a Poisson module over $V/C_2(V)$*

Proof. Assume W strongly generates M . Since $a_{-1}C_2(M) \subseteq C_2(M)$ for all $a \in V$, it follows that for any spanning element of M : $x = a_{-n_1}^1 \dots a_{-n_r}^r w$ as in (3.2), we have $x \in C_2(M)$ unless $n_1 = \dots = n_r = 1$, in which case we have

$$x + C_2(M) = a_{-1}^1 \dots a_{-1}^r w + C_2(M) \in V/C_2(V) \cdot (W + C_2(M)).$$

Similarly, if W quasi-strongly generates M , then because $a_0 C_2(M) \subseteq C_2(M)$, any $x = a_{-n_1}^1 \dots a_{-n_r}^r w$ as in (3.1) is contained in $C_2(M)$ unless $n_i = 0$ or 1 for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, in which case by (3.5) and (3.6) $x + C_2(M)$ is in the Poisson submodule of $M/C_2(M)$ generated by $W/C_2(M)$. \square

Let M be an admissible V module. For the $A(V)$ -bimodule $A(M)$ defined in [8], we construct a filtration as follows: For any positive integer $n \geq 0$ set

$$A(M)_n := \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (M(n) + O(M)) / O(M),$$

and set $A(M)_{-n-1} = 0$. Then we have:

$$\dots \subseteq 0 \subseteq 0 \subseteq A(M)_0 \subseteq A(M)_1 \subseteq A(M)_2 \subseteq \dots$$

with $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(M)_n = 0$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A(M)_n = A(M)$. i.e. the filtration $\{A(M)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is exhaustive, separated and discrete (see [17] for the definitions). Moreover, for $a \in A(V)_n$ and $v \in A(M)_m$ with homogeneous representatives $a \in V$ and $v \in M$, by the formulas in [8] we have:

$$a * v = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{wta}} \binom{\text{wta}}{j} a_{j-1} v \in A(M)_{m+n}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$v * a = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{wta}-1} \binom{\text{wta}-1}{j} a_{j-1} v \in A(M)_{m+n}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$a * v - v * a = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{wta}} \binom{\text{wta}-1}{j} a_j v \in A(M)_{m+n-1}. \quad (3.9)$$

So it is easy to see that $A(M)$ together with the filtration $\{A(M)_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms a filtered $A(V)$ -bimodule, and by (3.7)-(3.9), the associated graded space

$$\mathrm{gr}A(M) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A(M)_n/A(M)_{n-1} \quad (3.10)$$

is a graded Poisson module over the commutative graded Poisson algebra $\mathrm{gr}A(V)$, with the module actions given by:

$$\bar{a} * \bar{v} = \bar{v} * \bar{a} := a_{-1}v + (\mathrm{gr}A(M))_{m+n-1} \in (\mathrm{gr}A(M))_{m+n}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\{\bar{a}, \bar{v}\} := \overline{a * v - v * a} = a_0v + A(M)_{m+n-2} \in (\mathrm{gr}A(M))_{m+n-1}, \quad (3.12)$$

for all $\bar{a} \in (\mathrm{gr}A(V))_n = A(V)_n/A(V)_{n-1}$ and $\bar{v} \in (\mathrm{gr}A(M))_m = A(M)_m/A(M)_{m-1}$.

Note that $\mathrm{gr}A(M)$ is naturally a module over $V/C_2(V)$ via the epimorphism $\phi : V/C_2(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}A(V)$ in (2.8), and similar as Theorem 2.6, we have the following relation between $M/C_2(M)$ and $\mathrm{gr}A(M)$:

Lemma 3.6. *There exists an epimorphism of Poisson modules over the commutative Poisson algebra $V/C_2(V)$:*

$$\psi : M/C_2(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}A(M) : v + C_2(M) \mapsto \bar{v} \in A(M)_m/A(M)_{m-1} \text{ for } v \in M(m) \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem (2.6), we omit it. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let M be an admissible V -module, and let $W \subseteq M$ be a homogeneous subspace.*

(a) *If W strongly generates M , then $\mathrm{gr}A(M)$ is generated by $\psi(W/C_2(M))$ as $\mathrm{gr}A(V)$ module. In particular, if M is finitely strongly generated then $\mathrm{gr}A(M)$ is finitely generated.*

(b) *If W quasi-strongly generates M , then $\mathrm{gr}A(M)$ is generated by $\psi(W/C_2(M))$ as a Poisson $\mathrm{gr}A(V)$ -module.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma (3.5) and Lemma (3.6). \square

Remark 3.8. It is proved in [15] (Proposition 3.6) that the $A(M)$ is generated by $(M^0 + O(M))/O(M)$ as $A(V)$ -bimodule if $M = M^0 + B(M)$, where $B(M) = C_1(M) + \mathrm{span}\{a_0M : \mathrm{wta} \geq 2\}$. In particular, if $M/B(M)$ is finite dimensional then $A(M)$ is a finitely generated $A(V)$ -bimodule.

Next, we will use our graded module $\mathrm{gr}A(M)$ to prove a similar but slightly refined result regarding the generators of $A(M)$, and we will use it in our later discussion of the tensor product.

The following lemma is a variation of Proposition 5.3. in [17]. It can be applied nicely to our case of the $A(V)$ bimodules $A(M)$. Since our assumptions here are different from the ones in [17], we write out the proof of it.

Lemma 3.9. *Let R be a filtered ring with filtration $\{F_p R\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$, and let M be a filtered R -module with filtration $\{F_p M\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$. If there exists $w_1, \dots, w_r \in M$ such that $w_i \in F_{n_i} M$ for each i , and $\mathrm{gr}M = \mathrm{gr}R \cdot \bar{w}_1 + \dots + \mathrm{gr}R \cdot \bar{w}_r$, then $F_p M = (F_{p-n_1} R) \cdot w_1 + \dots + (F_{p-n_r} R) \cdot w_r$ for all $p \geq 0$.*

Proof. Recall that by definition,

$$\mathrm{gr}R = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} (\mathrm{gr}R)_p = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} F_p R / F_{p-1} R, \quad \mathrm{gr}M = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} (\mathrm{gr}M)_p = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} F_p M / F_{p-1} M.$$

It follows that for all $p \geq 0$ we have $(\text{gr}R)_{p-n_1}.\overline{w_1} + \dots + (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_r}.\overline{w_r} \subseteq (\text{gr}M)_p$. On the other hand, by assumption $\text{gr}M = \text{gr}R.\overline{w_1} + \dots + \text{gr}R.\overline{w_r}$, we can write any $y \in (\text{gr}M)_p$ as

$$y = x_1.\overline{w_1} + \dots + x_r.\overline{w_r}$$

with $x_j = \sum x_{j,k}$, where $x_{j,k} \in (\text{gr}R)_k$ for all j, k . Since y is homogeneous of degree p , we must have:

$$y = x_{1,p-n_1}.\overline{w_1} + \dots + x_{r,p-n_r}.\overline{w_r},$$

hence $(\text{gr}M)_p \subseteq (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_1}.\overline{w_1} + \dots + (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_r}.\overline{w_r}$. for all $p \geq 0$. So we have:

$$(\text{gr}M)_p = (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_1}.\overline{w_1} + \dots + (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_r}.\overline{w_r} \quad (3.14)$$

for all $p \geq 0$.

We now use induction on p to show $F_p M = (F_{p-n_1} R).w_1 + \dots + (F_{p-n_r} R).w_r$. The base case $p = 0$ follows from (3.14) and the facts that $(\text{gr}M)_0 = F_0 M$ and

$$(\text{gr}R)_{-n_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n_i > 0 \\ F_0 R & \text{if } n_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $p > 0$ and assume that the conclusion holds for smaller p . By definition we have $(F_p R).w_1 + \dots + (F_p R).w_r \subseteq F_p M$. Let $y \in F_p M \setminus F_{p-1} M$, by (3.14),

$$\bar{y} \in F_p M / F_{p-1} M = (\text{gr}M)_p = (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_1}.\overline{w_1} + \dots + (\text{gr}R)_{p-n_r}.\overline{w_r},$$

so there exists $x_i \in F_{p-n_i} R$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ such that $y - (x_1.w_1 + \dots + x_r.w_r) \in F_{p-1} M$. By induction hypothesis, we have

$$F_{p-1} M = F_{p-1-n_1}.w_1 + \dots + F_{p-1-n_r}.w_r,$$

then we can find $z_i \in F_{p-1-n_i} R \subseteq F_{p-n_i} R$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ such that

$$y - (x_1.w_1 + \dots + x_r.w_r) = z_1.w_1 + \dots + z_r.w_r.$$

Therefore, $y = (x_1 + z_1).w_1 + \dots + (x_r + z_r).w_r \in (F_{p-n_1} R).w_1 + \dots + (F_{p-n_r} R).w_r$. \square

Remark 3.10. By duality, the conclusion in the previous lemma holds for right filtered modules M over R and right graded modules as well.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $M = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M(n)$ be an admissible module over the VOA V . If M is strongly finitely generated by w_1, \dots, w_r with $w_i \in M(n_i)$ for all i , then*

$$A(M)_n = A(V)_{n-n_1} * w_1 + \dots + A(V)_{n-n_r} * w_r = w_1 * A(V)_{n-n_1} + \dots + w_r * A(V)_{n-n_r}$$

as left or right $A(V)$ module for all $n \geq 0$, where we use the same symbol w_i for its image in $A(M)$.

Proof. By proposition 3.7, $\text{gr}A(M) = \text{gr}A(V).\overline{w_1} + \dots + \text{gr}A(V).\overline{w_r}$, and by the definition of filtration on $A(M)$ we have $w_i \in A(M)_{n_i}$ for all i . Thus, the filtered ring $A(V)$ and its filtered module $A(M)$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.9, and so the conclusion follows from Lemma 3.9. \square

The rest of this paper is dedicated to exploring the possibility of finding an isomorphism between the following two $A(V)$ -bimodules:

$$A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \quad \text{and} \quad A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M), \quad (3.15)$$

under the conditions that V is rational, and M, N are simple V -modules. Note that in this case the Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ is semisimple[3], and its enveloping algebra $A(V)^e =$

$A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ is also semisimple. In fact, it is not different to show that they are isomorphic as vector spaces: Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_M : A(M) &\rightarrow A(M), u \mapsto e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}u, \\ \phi_N : A(N) &\rightarrow A(N), v \mapsto e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}v,\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in A(M)$ and $v \in A(N)$, be the anti-involutions of $A(M)$ and $A(N)$, and let $\phi : A(V) \rightarrow A(V)$, $a \mapsto e^{L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)}a$, for all $a \in A(V)$, be the anti-involution of $A(V)$ [19]. By the computation in [8] (see also [4]), it is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_M(a * u) &= \phi_M(u) * \phi(a), & \phi_M(u * a) &= \phi(a) * \phi_M(u), \\ \phi_N(a * v) &= \phi_N(v) * \phi(a), & \phi_N(v * a) &= \phi(a) * \phi_N(v),\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in A(M)$, $v \in A(N)$, and $a \in A(V)$. Then we can define

$$\tilde{\phi} : A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \rightarrow A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M), \quad u \otimes v \mapsto \phi_N(v) \otimes \phi_M(u).$$

It is well-defined since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}(u * a \otimes v) &= \phi_N(v) \otimes \phi_M(u * a) \\ &= \phi_N(v) \otimes \phi(a) * \phi_M(u) \\ &= \phi_N(v) * \phi(a) \otimes \phi_M(u) \\ &= \phi_N(a * v) \otimes \phi_M(u) \\ &= \tilde{\phi}(u \otimes a * v),\end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\tilde{\phi}(u \otimes a * v) = \tilde{\phi}(u * a \otimes v)$. Clearly $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies $\tilde{\phi}^2 = Id$, hence $\tilde{\phi}$ is a linear isomorphism. However, $\tilde{\phi}$ is in general not a homomorphism of $A(V)$ -bimodules. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}(a.(u \otimes v)) &= \phi_N(v) \otimes \phi_M(a * u) \\ &= \phi_N(v) \otimes \phi_M(u) * \phi(a) \\ &= \tilde{\phi}(u \otimes v). \phi(a),\end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{\phi}(u \otimes v). \phi(a) \neq a. \tilde{\phi}(u \otimes v)$ in general, because on the irreducible direct-sum component $M^i(0) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M^j(0)$ of $A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)$, where M^1, \dots, M^p are all irreducible modules over V , the left action of a is not the same as the right $\phi(a)$ action. So we need another way to study the possible isomorphism between these two bimodules.

By using our graded module $grA(M)$, we can find such an isomorphism under an additional assumption.

Since both $A(M)$ and $A(N)$ are \mathbb{N} -filtered, following [17] I.8. we can give the tensor product $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ a filtration $\{(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by letting

$$(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_n := span\{u \otimes v : u \in A(M)_r, v \in A(N)_s, r + s \leq n\}. \quad (3.16)$$

By this definition, clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned}A(V)_m * (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_n &\subseteq (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_{m+n}, \\ (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_n * A(V)_m &\subseteq (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_{m+n}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us give the semisimple algebra $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ a similar filtration:

$$(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_m := span\{a \otimes b : a \in A(V)_k, b \in A(V)_l, k + l \leq m\}, \quad (3.17)$$

then for $a \otimes b \in (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_m$ and $u \otimes v \in (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_n$, we have

$$(a \otimes b).(u \otimes v) = a * u \otimes v * b \in (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_{m+n}.$$

Thus, $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ becomes a filtered left $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ -module.

Corollary 3.12. *Assume that M is strongly generated $u_i \in M(m_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and N is strongly generated by $v_j \in N(n_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, then the filtered left $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ -module $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ satisfies:*

$$(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_n = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_{n-m_i-n_j} \cdot (u_i \otimes v_j). \quad (3.18)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. By the definition of filtration on the tensor product (3.16) and (3.17), it is clear that $(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_{n-m_i-n_j} \cdot u_i \otimes v_j \subseteq (A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_n$ for all i, j and $n \geq 0$. On the other hand, let $u \otimes v$ be a spanning element of $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$, where $u \in A(M)_s$ and $v \in A(N)_t$ with $s+t \leq n$. By Proposition 3.11, we can write $u = a_1 * u_1 + \dots + a_p * u_p$ and $v = v_1 * b_1 + \dots + v_q * b_q$ for some $a_i \in A(V)_{s-m_i}$ and $b_j \in A(V)_{t-n_j}$ for all i, j , then we have $a_i \otimes b_j \in (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_{n-m_i-n_j}$ for all i, j , and

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes v &= (a_1 * u_1 + \dots + a_m * u_m) \otimes (v_1 * b_1 + \dots + v_n * b_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i * u_i \otimes v_j * b_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (a_i \otimes b_j) \cdot (u_i \otimes v_j) \\ &\in \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_{n-m_i-n_j} \cdot (u_i \otimes v_j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.13. *There is an isomorphism:*

$$\text{gr}(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)) \cong \text{gr}(A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)),$$

as graded $\text{gr}A(V)$ -bimodules or as graded left $\text{gr}(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})$ -modules.

Proof. Define a map

$$\phi : \text{gr}(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)) \rightarrow \text{gr}(A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)) : \overline{u \otimes v} \mapsto \overline{v \otimes u}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $u \in A(M)_r$, $v \in A(N)_s$ with $r+s \leq n$ and $\overline{u \otimes v} \in (A(M) \otimes A(N))_n / (A(M) \otimes A(N))_{n-1}$. To show this map is well defined, first we note that if $r+s \leq n-1$ then $v \otimes u \in (A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M))_{n-1}$, hence $\phi(\bar{0}) = \bar{0}$. Moreover, for any $a \in A(V)_m$ since

$$a * u - u * a = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{wta}} \binom{\text{wta}-1}{j} a_j u \in A(M)_{r+m-1},$$

and similarly $v * a - a * v \in A(N)_{s+m-1}$, then we have by the definition of filtration (3.16):

$$\overline{a * u \otimes v} = \overline{u * a \otimes v} = \overline{u \otimes a * v} = \overline{u \otimes v * a}. \quad (3.20)$$

Apply (3.20) we get:

$$\phi(\overline{u * a \otimes v}) = \overline{v \otimes u * a} = \overline{a * u \otimes v} = \phi(\overline{u \otimes a * v}),$$

and similarly, $\phi(\overline{u \otimes a * v}) = \phi(\overline{u * a \otimes v})$. Therefore, ϕ is well-defined. Moreover, we can apply (3.20) again and get:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\bar{a} * \overline{u \otimes v} * \bar{b}) &= \phi(\overline{a * u \otimes v * b}) \\ &= \overline{v * b \otimes a * u} \\ &= \bar{a} * \overline{v \otimes u} * \bar{b} \\ &= \bar{a} * \phi(\overline{u \otimes v}) * \bar{b}. \end{aligned}$$

i.e. ϕ is a homomorphism of left $\text{gr}(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})$ -modules. Since ϕ is clearly an involution, it follows that ϕ is an isomorphism of left $\text{gr}(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})$ -modules. \square

Our idea is to lift the isomorphism

$$\phi : \text{gr}(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)) \rightarrow \text{gr}(A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M))$$

up to the level of filtered modules $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \rightarrow A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)$. We shall need the following concepts in [17] for our further discussions

Definition 3.14. (1) Let R be a filtered algebra with filtration $\{F_p R\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and let M, N be two filtered (left) R -modules with filtrations $\{F_p M\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{F_p N\}_{p \in \mathbb{Z}}$ respectively. Set

$$F_p \text{HOM}_R(M, N) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N) : f(F_i M) \subseteq F_{i+p} N, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

then we have $F_p \text{HOM}_R(M, N) \subseteq F_q \text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ for $p \leq q$. Let

$$\text{HOM}_R(M, N) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} F_p \text{HOM}_R(M, N) \leq \text{Hom}_R(M, N),$$

then $\text{HOM}_R(M, N) \leq \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an abelian \mathbb{Z} -filtered subgroup.

(2) (The category **R-filt**). Objects in **R-filt** is defined to be the filtered left R -modules, and the morphism set between two objects M and N is defined to be $F_0 \text{HOM}_R(M, N)$. i.e. a map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called a morphism if $f \in \text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ and $f(F_p M) \subseteq F_p N$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that **R-filt** is NOT an abelian category.

(3) Let $A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A_p$ be a graded ring, and let U, V be two graded left A -modules. Set

$$\text{HOM}_A(U, V)_p := \{f \in \text{Hom}_A(U, V) : f(U_i) \subseteq V_{i+p}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then we have $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HOM}_A(U, V)_p = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HOM}_A(U, V)_p$. Let

$$\text{HOM}_A(U, V) := \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HOM}_A(U, V)_p \leq \text{Hom}_A(U, V).$$

Then $\text{HOM}_A(U, V) \leq \text{Hom}_A(U, V)$ is an abelian subgroup.

The following result (Lemma 6.4. in [17]) gives us a connection between the Hom sets defined above.

Lemma 3.15. If $M, N \in \mathbf{R-filt}$, the natural map

$$\varphi : \text{gr}(\text{HOM}_R(M, N)) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{F_p \text{HOM}_R(M, N)}{F_{p-1} \text{HOM}_R(M, N)} \rightarrow \text{HOM}_{\text{gr}(R)}(\text{gr}(M), \text{gr}(N))$$

defined by $\varphi(\bar{f})(\bar{x}) := \overline{f(x)} = f(x) + F_{p+q} N$ for $f \in F_p \text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ and $x \in F_q M$ is an monomorphism. Moreover, φ is an isomorphism if M is filt-projective. i.e. a projective object in **R-filt**.

We also have the following characterization (Proposition 5.14. in [17]) of filt-projective objects.

Lemma 3.16. *Let R be a filtered ring, $P \in \mathbf{R}\text{-filt}$ such that FP is exhaustive. i.e. $P = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_n P$, then P is filt-projective if and only if P is a direct summand in $\mathbf{R}\text{-filt}$ of a filt-free object.*

Now assume that the admissible modules M and N over V are strongly generated by $u_i \in M(m_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and $v_j \in N(n_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, respectively. Note that this is not a strong condition imposed on irreducible modules; see Example (3.2). By Corollary 3.12, we can construct a linear map:

$$f : (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})^{\oplus pq} \rightarrow A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) : (x_{11}, \dots, x_{mn}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q x_{ij} \cdot (u_i \otimes v_j). \quad (3.21)$$

Clearly, f is a homomorphism between left $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ modules.

Since $A(V)$ is semisimple, the universal algebra $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ of $A(V)$ is also semisimple, and so $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple left $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}$ modules. In particular, $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)$ is projective in the category $A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}\text{-Mod}$, since it is a direct sum of projective modules. Thus, the map f has a section g in view of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) & \\ & \swarrow g \text{ (dashed)} & \downarrow Id \\ (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})^{pq} & \xrightarrow{f} & A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (3.22)$$

i.e. $fg = Id$.

Theorem 3.17. *If the section g in (3.22) of f is filtration preserving, that is, $g(u_i \otimes v_j) \in (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_{m_i+n_j}^{\oplus pq}$ for all i, j , then the isomorphism in Proposition 3.13 can be lifted up to an isomorphism:*

$$A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \cong A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)$$

of $A(V)$ -bimodules.

Proof. By assumption and Corollary 3.12, we have

$$g((A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_r) \subseteq (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_r^{\oplus mn}$$

for all $r \geq 0$. Therefore, for any $r \geq 0$ we have

$$(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_r^{\oplus mn} = g((A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))_r) \oplus (\ker f \cap (A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})_r^{\oplus mn}).$$

By Lemma 3.16, $A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \cong g(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N))$ is filt-projective, then by Lemma 3.15, there is a natural isomorphism between the degree zero hom set

$$F_0 \text{HOM}_{A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}}(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N), A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M))$$

and the hom set

$$\text{HOM}_{\text{gr}(A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op})}(\text{gr}(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N)), \text{gr}(A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)))_0.$$

In particular, the isomorphism ϕ in (3.19) corresponds to a filtration preserving isomorphism

$$\theta : A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N) \rightarrow A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M)$$

in $F_0 \text{HOM}_{A(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A(V)^{op}}(A(M) \otimes_{A(V)} A(N), A(N) \otimes_{A(V)} A(M))$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, (The 1996 Printing of the 1977 English Translation) Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. II, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [2] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), no. 1, 245–265.
- [3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571–600.
- [4] Dong, C., Li, H.-S., Mason, G.: Vertex Lie algebra, vertex Poisson algebras and vertex algebras. In: *Recent Developments in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Conformal Field Theory*, Proceedings of an International Conference at University of Virginia, May 2000, *Contemp Math.* **297** (2002), 69–96.
- [5] C. Dong and L. Ren, Representations of vertex operator algebras and bimodules, *J. Algebra* **384** (2013), 212–226.
- [6] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. AMS* **104**, 1993.
- [7] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [8] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.
- [9] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations and intertwining operators, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 375–400.
- [10] Y.-Z. Huang, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra. IV. *J. Pure. Appl. Algebra.* **1-3**, 173–216 (1995).
- [11] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra. I, II. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **4**, 699–756, 757–786. (1995).
- [12] V.G.Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series **10**, Amer.Math. Soc., 1997.
- [13] Karel, M., Li, H.-S.: Certain generating subspaces for vertex operator algebras. *J. Alg.* **217**, 393–421 (1999).
- [14] H. Li, Abelianizing vertex algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **259**, 391–411 (2005).
- [15] Li, H.-S.: Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras. *J. Alg.* **212**, 495–514 (1999)
- [16] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume: 30, American Mathematical Society, 1987.
- [17] C. Năstăsescu, F. Van Oystaeyen *Graded and filtered rings and modules*. Lecture notes in Mathematics, Volume: 758, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1979.
- [18] W. Wang. Rationality of Virasoro Vertex Operator Algebra, *Duke Math. J.* **7** (1993), 197–211.
- [19] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237–302.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064
 Email address: jliu230@ucsc.edu