

# Nonadiabaticity of Quantum harmonic oscillators

Hyeong-Chan Kim and Youngone Lee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Korea National University of Transportation, Chungju 380-702, Korea\**

We study a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator as a thermodynamic system. For this purpose, we introduce an ‘invariant’ thermal state by using Ermakov-Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant in place of an initial state. This prescription enables us to analyze the thermodynamics of the oscillator system regardless of whether the process is slowly varying (adiabatic) or not (nonadiabatic). We introduce a quantity  $\mathcal{S}$  that describes the ‘nonadiabaticity’ contribution satisfactorily. We write down the thermodynamics of the oscillator system by using this quantity in addition to the ordinary thermodynamical ones. As a result, we extend the first law of thermodynamics to nonadiabatic processes. We discuss universality for the method and some possible applications. In short, we suggest a schematic procedure for obtaining a measure of the ‘degree of nonadiabaticity’ and present an application to the thermodynamics of the squeezed quantum oscillators.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Two systems in contact generally exchange energy, matter, or their other physical sub-elements. In thermodynamics, especially, the focus is mainly on changes of global statistical parameters, heat, temperature, et cetera. Traditionally, most physically plausible quantum fields can be described by a weighted sum of oscillators of different frequencies. In addition, one can approximate a local minimum of any potentials utilizing a harmonic oscillator potential. Therefore, as a probe to examine the thermodynamic behavior of quantum systems, quantum harmonic oscillators can be the first system to study.

We use a harmonic oscillator in a variety of situations in physics. Especially for thermodynamics, Davies [1] demonstrated that a quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator in an infinite heat bath relaxes from an arbitrary initial state to a canonical thermal state. In Ref. [2], Rezek studied the thermodynamic engine based on working fluid composed of quantum harmonic oscillators. Deffner and Lutz [3] examined the quantum harmonic oscillator with arbitrary frequency modulation from a thermodynamic point of view. Recently, Boyer [4] has investigated the thermodynamics of a classical harmonic oscillator. He discussed that the third law of thermodynamics leads to the Planck spectrum without resorting to quantum theory. Harmonic oscillators can also model a variety of physical systems. For example, the behavior of each normal mode of oscillation for radiation takes the simple-harmonic-oscillator form. Therefore, the thermodynamics of thermal radiation is tightly related to that of the harmonic oscillator. In consideration of these, we focus on the quantum harmonic oscillator to study as a thermal system hereafter.

Let us consider a combined quantum system ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes B$ ) consisting of a system  $\mathcal{I}$  and a heat bath  $B$  in thermal contact with  $\mathcal{I}$ . In classical physics, one can prepare an *ideal* heat bath of which heat capacity is sufficiently greater than that of the system so that the temperature of the bath does not change. On the other hand, in quantum physics, it is hard to prepare such an *ideal* heat bath because the states of the system  $\mathcal{I}$  are inseparably mixed and entangled with those of the bath. However, one can prepare such a combined system *in principle*, one part of the system plays approximately the role of an *ideal* one. For example, when the coupling constant mediating the thermal contact is small enough compared to other physical constants of the whole system, a set of infinite number of coupled quantum oscillator mimics a heat bath approximately with an interaction hamiltonian [1],  $\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \epsilon m \hat{x} \sum_k \hat{x}_k$ , where  $\hat{x}$  and  $\{\hat{x}_k\}$  denote operators of the system  $\mathcal{I}$  and the bath  $B$ , respectively. Another example of an ideal bath is a massless quantum field coupled to a harmonic oscillator [5]. In this case, the effects of the oscillator on the bath radiate away to infinity.

Let us consider a unitary evolution of the system ( $\mathcal{I} \otimes B$ ) having a time-independent total hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}$ . The state of the oscillator at time  $t$  is effectively described by a density matrix ( $\hbar = 1$ )

$$\rho_{\mathcal{I}}(t) = \text{tr}_B[e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}t}(\rho_i \otimes \rho_B)e^{i\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}t}], \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{tr}_B$  represents the partial trace over the bath degree of freedom and  $\rho_i$  denotes the initial state of  $\mathcal{I}$ . In general,

---

\*Electronic address: [hckim@ut.ac.kr](mailto:hckim@ut.ac.kr), [youngone@ut.ac.kr](mailto:youngone@ut.ac.kr)

the evolution of the system  $\mathcal{I}$  is represented by an operator  $U_{\mathcal{I}}(t)$  as:

$$\rho_{\mathcal{I}}(t) = U_{\mathcal{I}}(t)\rho_i U_{\mathcal{I}}^{-1}(t), \quad U_{\mathcal{I}}(t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_k e^{-i\hat{H}_{\mathcal{I}}(\frac{k}{N}t)}, \quad (2)$$

where the production is made in descending order of  $k$ . Here,  $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$  denotes an ‘instant’ hamiltonian which mimics the whole effects of the total hamiltonian done on the system  $\mathcal{I}$ .

The effects of the mixing and the entanglement usually give rise to thermalization and the time-dependent hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{I}}(t)$  ends up being a non-hermitian operator. In this paper, we confine ourselves to a special case of a hermitian hamiltonian ( $\hat{H}_{\mathcal{I}}^\dagger = \hat{H}_{\mathcal{I}}$ ) for the system as a first step towards more general analysis including thermalization. Henceforth, we study a frequency-modulated harmonic oscillator with the hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(t)\hat{x}^2, \quad (3)$$

where the mass  $m$  is a constant but the frequency  $\omega$  changes with time.

We approximate the thermal quantum state of the oscillator with a Gaussian-type density matrix. In numerous literature [6, 7], the reduced density matrix of a subsystem belonging to an infinite system was shown to be described in terms of Gibbs distribution or generalized Gibbs ensemble. From the form of the frequency-modulated hamiltonian above, one can say that the process is adiabatic when the frequency is slowly changing or quasi-static,  $\dot{\omega}/\omega^2 \approx 0$ , nonadiabatic otherwise. We need to keep in mind that this terminology in quantum mechanics is to be distinguished from the traditional term ‘adiabatic’ in thermodynamics denoting the absence of heat transfer.

It would be interesting to find a method describing a nonadiabatic thermal system analytically even in the presence of rapidly changing phenomena, without resorting to any numerical technique. Then, the method will give us a unified viewpoint for time-varying thermodynamic systems. It will be more useful if the method applies to a variety of time-varying systems other than a simple oscillator. In this article, we present such a method for a system which has an Ermakov-Lewis-Riesenfeld (ELR) invariant. We find a parameter quantifying general nonadiabatic properties by using the ELR invariant. We call it ‘*nonadiabaticity*  $\mathcal{A}$ ’ from now on. Thus an adiabatic process naturally belongs to the class of processes that the value of  $\mathcal{A}$  is negligible.

Since the density matrix  $\rho(t)$  transforms as a state in the Schrödinger picture, the expectation value of an operator  $\hat{A}$  at time  $t$  is given by,

$$\overline{\langle \hat{A} \rangle}_{\text{Sch}}(t) \equiv \text{Tr} [\rho(t)\hat{A}_{\text{Sch}}] = \text{Tr} [U_H(t)\rho_i U_H^\dagger(t)\hat{A}_{\text{Sch}}], \quad U_H(t) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_k e^{-i\hat{H}(k\frac{t}{N})\frac{k}{N}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho_i$  is the initial state and the operator. This expectation value is the same as in the Heisenberg picture,

$$\overline{\langle \hat{A}(t) \rangle}_{\text{Hei}} = \text{Tr} [\rho_i \hat{A}(t)], \quad \hat{A}(t) \equiv U_H^\dagger(t)\hat{A}(0)U_H(t). \quad (5)$$

As seen here, we drop the subscript  $_{\text{Hei}}$  for Heisenberg operators.

Since  $\rho_i$  is a constant matrix, replacing it with an invariant matrix  $\rho_I(t)$  satisfying  $\rho_I(t) = \rho_i$  does not alter the physics of the system. We suggest an ELR invariant state  $\rho_I(t)$  by using the ELR invariant  $\hat{I}(t)$  as follows:

$$\rho_i = \rho_0 e^{-\hat{H}_i/T_0} \rightarrow \rho_I(t) = \rho_0 e^{-\hat{I}(t)/T_0}, \quad \frac{d\hat{I}}{dt} = \frac{\partial \hat{I}}{\partial t} + i[\hat{H}, \hat{I}] = 0,$$

where  $T_0$ ,  $\rho_0$ ,  $H_i$  denote the initial temperature, the normalization constant of the state, and the initial hamiltonian, respectively. Here, the invariant  $\hat{I}(t)$  is normalized so that  $\hat{I}(t) = \hat{H}_i$ . The quadratic invariant  $\hat{I}(t)$  for a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator will have the form:

$$\hat{I}(t) = \omega_I \left[ \hat{b}^\dagger(t)\hat{b}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad (6)$$

where  $\omega_I$  is an initial frequency and the annihilation/creation operator  $\hat{b}(t)/\hat{b}^\dagger(t)$  will be obtained later.

For a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator, a function  $g_-(t)$  is sufficient to determine the quadratic invariant  $\hat{I}(t)$  or the linear invariant annihilation operator  $\hat{b}$ . This linear invariant determines the time-evolution of the position  $\hat{x}(t)$  and the momentum  $\hat{p}(t)$  in the Heisenberg picture (5). In this picture, the state  $\rho_I(t)$  does not evolve. On the other hand, an operator  $\hat{A}$  evolves as  $\hat{A}(t, p(t), q(t))$  and be spanned to  $\sum_{n,m} |n\rangle_I A_{nm}(t) \langle m|$  where  $A_{nm}(t) = \langle n | \hat{A}(t, p(t), q(t)) | m \rangle_I$ . Hence, the use of the invariant state gives clear advantages over traditional analysis

for studying nonadiabatic processes because the knowledge of a scalar function  $g_-(t)$  determines the whole time evolution of all operators.

We first review the ELR invariant for frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillators to obtain the invariant in a more convenient form for this article in Sec. II. We construct the Fock space in the invariant basis and equations of motion for relevant functions. We further define a key quantity describing ‘squeezing energy’ at the end of the section. In Sec. III, we present an example of a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator undergoing nonadiabatic changes. The analysis is performed in the invariant basis. We calculate the behavior of the oscillator and the ‘squeezing energy’. We illustrate their temporal developments by using graphs. In Sec. IV, we study thermodynamics of a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator. We quantify ‘nonadiabaticity’ for quantum states of the oscillator and write down the first law of thermodynamics for them. We find out the extended law has a similar form as the traditional first law. The temperatures are obtained for both the adiabatic and the nonadiabatic processes. Lastly, we discuss the universality of our ‘invariant method’ to broaden the applicability beyond the harmonic oscillator in Summary V.

## II. REVIEW OF THE ERMAKOV-LEWIS-RIESENFELD INVARIANT FOR QUANTUM HARMONIC OSCILLATORS

Let us find the ELR invariant for a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator with hamiltonian (3). The invariant for this quantum oscillator were obtained by Ermakov, Lewis, and Riesenfeld [8, 9]. The method of using the invariant was also used in quantum computation to develop a quick quantum algorithms running on a nonadiabatic regime [10, 11]. The invariant found in Ref. [8, 9] is a quadratic function of the position and the momentum. Later in Refs. [12–14], the authors found a simple way to obtain the invariant creation/annihilation operator directly. Here, we briefly summarize the method.

The invariant annihilation operator is set as a linear combination of the position and the momentum operators,  $\hat{b} = f(t)\hat{x}(t) + ig(t)\hat{p}(t)$ , where  $f, g$  are complex functions and  $\hat{x}, \hat{p}$  are hermitian operators. We impose the normalization condition  $[\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger] = 1$  and require  $\hat{b}$  to be invariant

$$\frac{d\hat{b}}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial \hat{b}}{\partial t} + i[\hat{H}, \hat{b}] = 0. \quad (7)$$

This equation leads to differential equations

$$f = -im\dot{g}, \quad \ddot{g} + \omega^2(t)g = 0. \quad (8)$$

Let us define a dimensionless function  $g_-(t)$  as the square root of the non-oscillatory part of a classical solution  $g$ , one obtains the following:

$$f = \left( \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_I}{2g_-}} - \frac{i\dot{g}_-}{2} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\omega_I g_-}} \right) e^{i\Theta(t)}, \quad g = \sqrt{\frac{g_-}{2m\omega_I}} e^{i\Theta(t)}, \quad \Theta(t) \equiv \omega_I \int_{t_0}^t \frac{d\tau}{g_-(\tau)}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\omega_I$  denotes an (arbitrary) initial frequency. Therefore, the invariant annihilation operator  $\hat{b}$  is

$$\hat{b} \equiv e^{i\Theta(t)} \hat{b}(t), \quad \hat{b}(t) \equiv \left( 1 - \frac{i\dot{g}_-}{2\omega_I} \right) \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_I}{2g_-}} \hat{x}(t) + i \sqrt{\frac{g_-}{2m\omega_I}} \hat{p}(t). \quad (10)$$

Note that  $\hat{b}(t), \hat{b}^\dagger(t)$  is not invariant while  $\hat{b}, \hat{b}^\dagger$  is invariant. Conversely, the coordinate  $\hat{x}(t)$  and the momentum  $\hat{p}(t)$  evolve

$$\hat{x}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{g_-}{2m\omega_I}} [\hat{b}(t) + \hat{b}^\dagger(t)], \quad \hat{p}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega_I}{2g_-}} \left\{ i[\hat{b}^\dagger(t) - \hat{b}(t)] + \frac{\dot{g}_-}{2\omega_I} [\hat{b}(t) + \hat{b}^\dagger(t)] \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Now, the time evolution of an operator  $\hat{A}(t)$  in Eq. (5) can be interpreted as:

$$\hat{A}_{\text{Sch}} \equiv \hat{A}_{\text{Sch}}(t, \hat{x}, \hat{p}) \rightarrow \hat{A}(t) = \hat{A}(t, \hat{x}(t), \hat{p}(t)).$$

For example, the hamiltonian following this prescription is

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\omega_I}{4g_-} (\hat{b}^\dagger(t) - \hat{b}(t))^2 + \frac{i\dot{g}_-}{4g_-} (\hat{b}^{\dagger 2}(t) - \hat{b}^2(t)) + \left( \frac{\dot{g}_-^2}{16\omega_I g_-} + \frac{g_-(t)\omega^2(t)}{4\omega_I} \right) (\hat{b}(t) + \hat{b}^\dagger(t))^2. \quad (12)$$

The quadratic invariant  $\hat{I}(t)$  can be constructed by using the  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{b}^\dagger$  to be

$$\hat{I}(t) = \omega_I \left[ \hat{b}^\dagger(t) \hat{b}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad (13)$$

Putting Eq. (10) into Eq. (13), we write the invariant into the ELR form [8],

$$\hat{I}(t) = g_+(t) \frac{m\hat{x}^2}{2} + g_-(t) \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + g_0(t) \frac{\hat{p}\hat{x} + \hat{x}\hat{p}}{2}. \quad (14)$$

Matching equations (13) and (14) gives the relations between  $g_\pm$  and  $g_0$ :

$$g_0 = -\frac{\dot{g}_-}{2}, \quad g_+ = \frac{\omega_I^2}{g_-} \left( 1 + \frac{\dot{g}_-^2}{4\omega_I^2} \right). \quad (15)$$

with a differential equation for  $g_-$ , which has a central importance in defining the nonadiabaticity,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ddot{g}_-}{g_-} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\dot{g}_-^2}{g_-^2} + \omega_I^2 \left( \frac{1}{g_-^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_I^2} \right). \quad (16)$$

Once we know  $g_-$  and  $\dot{g}_-$  at an initial time, the whole evolution of the oscillator is determined from this equation. In other words, once we know  $g_-$  and  $\dot{g}_-$  at a given time, the quantum Fock space at  $t$  can be constructed from the eigenstates of  $\hat{I}$ . The invariant  $\hat{I}(t)$  in (14) differs from the hamiltonian in (3) by

$$\hat{I}(t) - g_- \hat{H} = \frac{m\ddot{g}_-}{4} \hat{x}^2 - \frac{\dot{g}_-}{4} (\hat{x}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{x}). \quad (17)$$

Note that if a process is quasi-static,  $\dot{g}_-, \ddot{g}_- \sim 0$  then  $\hat{I} \sim g_- \hat{H}$ . Therefore, one can always write down  $\hat{I}(t)$  in a  $g_-$ -scaled basis of  $\hat{H}(t)$  for such a process. The ELR frequency  $\omega_I$  can be written in terms of  $g_\pm$ ,  $g_0$ , to be consistent,

$$\omega_I \equiv \sqrt{g_+ g_- - g_0^2}. \quad (18)$$

Let us discuss how  $g_-$  behaves. Defining  $h \equiv \sqrt{g_-}$  (with  $g_- > 0$ ) rewrites the equation (16) as

$$\ddot{h} = -\omega^2 h + \frac{\omega_I^2}{h^3}. \quad (19)$$

This is the classical equation of motion of a particle under two external forces,  $F_1 = -\omega(t)^2 h$ ,  $F_2 = \omega_I^2/h^3$ . Unlike  $F_2$ ,  $F_1$  is not a central force due to the time dependence. When  $\omega(t)$  is slowly varying, the force  $F_1$  can be approximated as a central force. In this case, we know that there is an energy-like quantity  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{adiabatic}}$ , which is conserved approximately. In general, the energy of the system changes because of the time dependence of  $\omega(t)$  respecting the external work done by the force  $F_1$ . One can obtain such a quantity,  $\mathcal{S}(t)$ , considering the contributions of the two forces,  $F_1 + F_2$ , that generalize  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{adiabatic}}$ . By multiplying  $\dot{h}$  on both sides of equal sign in Eq. (19) and integrating by parts we obtain the quantity

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = \frac{\dot{h}^2}{2\omega_I^2} + V(\omega, h), \quad (20)$$

where the potential-like term is

$$V(\omega, h) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{h} - \frac{\omega(t)}{\omega_I} h \right)^2. \quad (21)$$

The explicit form of this dimensionless quantity<sup>1</sup>  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(t) \equiv \mathcal{S}_i + \frac{1}{2\omega_I^2} \int_{-\infty}^t \left( h^2(t') - \frac{\omega_I}{\omega} \right) \frac{d\omega^2(t')}{dt'} dt', \quad (22)$$

where we choose  $\mathcal{S}_i$  is the corresponding value at  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . We choose  $\mathcal{S} = 0$  when the invariant is proportional to the hamiltonian. Therefore, if we choose the invariant to be the same as the Hamiltonian at  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , we can safely set  $\mathcal{S}_i = 0$ . Note that this energy-like term  $\mathcal{S}$  is always non-negative because it consists of two perfect squares. As we see later, this quantity  $\mathcal{S}$  is related to the ‘squeezing energy’ for the quantum oscillator. Later in this work, we call  $\mathcal{S}$  ‘squeezing factor’ or  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor.

---

<sup>1</sup> The quantity  $\mathcal{S}$  is dimensionless. To recover the energy dimension, we can multiply  $\omega_I$  without loss of generality because it is invariant under the time evolution.

### III. SQUEEZING FACTOR FOR A FREQUENCY-MODULATED OSCILLATOR

Non-vanishing  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor,  $\mathcal{S} \neq 0$ , implies that the mode solution  $g$  in Eq. (9) fails to be a pure positive mode but a mixture of the positive and the negative modes. Let us construct the invariant vacuum and the corresponding quantum states

$$\hat{b}|0\rangle_I = 0, \quad |n\rangle_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(\hat{b}^\dagger)^n|0\rangle_I. \quad (23)$$

Then, the ground state  $|0\rangle_I$  of the ELR invariant  $\hat{I}$  is nothing but a squeezed state of the ground state of the hamiltonian [15] with the squeezing parameter  $r$ . The  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor is related to the squeezing parameter  $r$  as :

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{2\omega}{\omega_I} \sinh^2 r. \quad (24)$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  vanishes when  $r = 0$ . However, the explicit value of  $\mathcal{S}$  for a given  $r$  changes with the frequency, representing the energy required to squeeze the state.

Now, let us consider an explicit example which allows exact analytic solutions with the frequency

$$\omega^2(t) = \omega_0^2 - \varepsilon^2(t), \quad \varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon_- \frac{1 - \tanh t/d}{2} + \varepsilon_+ \frac{1 + \tanh t/d}{2}. \quad (25)$$

The frequency varies from  $\omega_- = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \varepsilon_-^2}$  to  $\omega_+ = \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \varepsilon_+^2}$ . The exact solution to Eq. (8) is given by

$$g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega_I}} e^{-i(\omega_+ + \omega_-)\frac{t}{2}} \left( \cosh \frac{t}{d} \right)^{-i(\omega_+ - \omega_-)\frac{d}{2}} {}_2F_1(\alpha_-, \alpha_+; 1 - i\omega_- d; y), \quad (26)$$

where

$$y \equiv \frac{1 + \tanh \frac{t}{d}}{2}, \quad \alpha_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + (\varepsilon_+ - \varepsilon_-)^2 d^2}}{2} + i \frac{(\omega_+ - \omega_-)d}{2}. \quad (27)$$

In the past infinity, we choose  $g(t)$  in Eq. (26) to be a pure positive frequency mode with  $\omega = \omega_-$ . Then, the solution in the limit becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\omega_I}} e^{i\omega_I t}, \quad \omega_I = \omega_-, \quad g_- = 1, \quad \dot{g}_- = 0. \quad (28)$$

The  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor at that time becomes  $\mathcal{S}_i = 0$ . At the future infinity, it generally becomes a mixture of the positive and negative modes:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} g(t) &= \frac{2^{i(\omega_+ - \omega_-)d/2}}{\sqrt{2m\omega_I}} e^{-i\omega_- t}, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) &= \frac{2^{i(\omega_+ - \omega_-)d/2}}{\sqrt{2m\omega_I}} (\alpha e^{-i\omega_+ t} + \beta e^{i\omega_+ t}), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\omega_- d)\Gamma(1 - i\omega_- d - \alpha_- - \alpha_+)}{\Gamma(1 - i\omega_- d - \alpha_-)\Gamma(1 - i\omega_- d - \alpha_+)}, \quad \beta = \frac{\Gamma(1 - i\omega_- d)\Gamma(\alpha_- + \alpha_+ - 1 + i\omega_- d)}{\Gamma(\alpha_-)\Gamma(\alpha_+)}. \quad (30)$$

For later applications, we show the absolute squares of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$|\alpha|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_-}{\omega_+} \frac{\cosh \pi(\omega_+ + \omega_-)d + \cos 2\pi x}{\sinh \pi\omega_- d \sinh \pi\omega_+ d}, \quad |\beta|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_-}{\omega_+} \frac{\cosh \pi(\omega_+ - \omega_-)d + \cos 2\pi x}{\sinh \pi\omega_- d \sinh \pi\omega_+ d}, \quad (31)$$

which satisfy a Bogoliubov-type relation

$$|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = \frac{\omega_+}{\omega_-},$$




FIG. 1: A schematic form for the development of  $g_-^{-1}$  and the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor  $\mathcal{S}$ . In this example, the frequency-squared follows the form in Eq. (25) and  $\omega_0 d = 5$ ,  $\omega_- d = 1$ , and  $\omega_+/\omega_- = 3$ , respectively.

where  $x = \sqrt{1 + (\varepsilon_+ - \varepsilon_-)^2 d^2}/2$ . In the adiabatic and in the sudden jump limits,  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  and  $\beta \rightarrow (1 - \omega_-/\omega_+)/2$ , respectively. The ELR frequency becomes  $\omega_I = \omega_-$ . The  $g_-$  function becomes

$$g_-(t) = 2m\omega_I g(t)g^*(t) = |{}_2F_1(\alpha_-, \alpha_+; 1 - i\omega_- d; y)|^2.$$

A characteristic form for  $g_-^{-1}$  is plotted in Fig. 1. It oscillates around  $\omega(t)/\omega_I$  with frequency  $\omega(t)$ . As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , it behaves as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_-(t) = |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + \alpha\beta^* e^{-2i\omega_+ t} + \alpha^*\beta e^{2i\omega_+ t}.$$

Now, we calculate the terminal value of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor. As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor becomes

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\dot{g}_-^2}{4g_-} + \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_I^2 g_-} \left( \frac{\omega_I}{\omega} - g_- \right)^2 \right] = 2 \left( \frac{\omega_+}{\omega_-} \right)^2 |\beta|^2. \quad (32)$$

Note that the value is closely related to the Bogoliubov coefficient  $\beta$ , which represents the change of the ground state.

Even though this formula for the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor is given only for the specific change of frequency (25), it contains various behaviors of the frequency. We expect that this result holds at least qualitatively in other cases. Explicitly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S} = \frac{\cosh[\pi(\omega_+ - \omega_-)d] + \cos[\pi\sqrt{1 + (\varepsilon_+ - \varepsilon_-)^2 d^2}]}{2 \sinh(\pi\omega_+ d) \sinh(\pi\omega_- d)}. \quad (33)$$

Note that the developed  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor is symmetric under the exchange  $\omega_+ \leftrightarrow \omega_-$ . In the adiabatic limit  $d \rightarrow \infty$ , the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor exponentially decreases to zero,  $e^{-2\pi\omega_- d}/2$ . In the sudden jump limit  $d \rightarrow 0$ , the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor becomes

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0, t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S} = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{\omega_+}{\omega_-}} - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_-}{\omega_+}} \right) \right]^2. \quad (34)$$

Note that the value is finite and depends on the ratio between the two frequencies. Therefore, only a finite amount of  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor will be generated eventually however quickly the frequency changes. There is other limit  $\omega_+/\omega_- \rightarrow \infty$  keeping  $\omega_- d$  finite. In this limit, we have a thermal form with energy and temperature proportional to  $\omega_-$  and  $1/d$ , respectively,

$$\lim_{\frac{\omega_+}{\omega_-} \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{e^{2\pi\omega_- d} - 1}. \quad (35)$$

Now, we find that the produced  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor diverges only after imposing both limits ( $\omega_+/\omega_- \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d \rightarrow 0$ ). We plot the behavior of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor in Fig. 2.




FIG. 2: Contour plot for the log of  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \log \mathcal{S}$ . The numbers in the right column denotes the value of  $\log \mathcal{S}$  on the line. Here,  $\omega_0/\omega_- = 10$ . The  $x$  and  $y$  axes correspond to  $\omega_+/\omega_-$  and  $\omega_- d$ , respectively. The  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor vanishes when  $\omega_+ = \omega_-$ . Because it is symmetric under  $\omega_+ \leftrightarrow \omega_-$ , we plot only the  $0 \leq \omega_+/\omega_- \leq 1$  region.

#### IV. THERMODYNAMICS OF QUANTUM HARMONIC OSCILLATORS UNDERGOING NONADIABATIC CHANGES

Let us study the thermodynamics of a frequency-modulated quantum oscillator. We first deal with the time evolution of the initial thermal state in terms of the ELR invariant. Then, we study the general thermodynamics including squeezed states.

##### A. Time evolution of the thermal state

We first define an ‘invariant thermal state’ by using the ELR invariant as

$$\rho_I = 2 \sinh \frac{\epsilon_I}{2} e^{-\hat{I}/T_0} = (1 - e^{-\epsilon_I}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-n\epsilon_I} |n\rangle_{II} \langle n|, \quad \epsilon_I \equiv \frac{\omega_I}{T_0}, \quad (36)$$

where  $T_0$  denotes the initial temperature.

Then, we consider the time evolution of an initial thermal state  $\rho_i \propto e^{-H/T_0}$  with temperature  $T_0$ . Because the hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  is the same as the ELR invariant  $\hat{I}$  initially, we have  $\rho_i = \rho_I$ . The energy  $E = \langle H \rangle_I = \text{Tr}(\rho_I H(t))$  for the ‘invariant thermal state’ is time-dependent and has a value:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{\omega}{4} \left( \frac{\omega_I}{g_- \omega} + \frac{\omega g_-}{\omega_I} + \frac{\dot{g}_-^2}{4\omega_I \omega g_-} \right) \coth \frac{\epsilon_I}{2} \\ &= \frac{\omega_{\text{eff}}}{2} \coth \frac{\epsilon_I}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where  $\omega_{\text{eff}}$  denotes

$$\omega_{\text{eff}} \equiv \omega + \Omega, \quad \Omega \equiv \omega_I \mathcal{S}. \quad (38)$$

Here we have used Eqs. (20) and (21) in the last equality. As seen here, the energy is composed of two parts: the original frequency part and the contribution of squeezing. Note that from this energy dependency of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor, we find that the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor is closely related to the  $Q$ -factor of Husimi [16] by  $\mathcal{S} = (Q - 1)\omega/\omega_I$ .

Let us obtain temperature in an adiabatic process. Starting from the initial state  $\rho_i$ , we get the density matrix at  $t$

$$\hat{\rho}_i = \hat{\rho}_I = \rho_0 e^{-\hat{I}/T_0} \quad \rightarrow \quad \rho(t) = \rho_0 e^{-\hat{H}(t)/T(t)}. \quad (39)$$

To get a ‘consistent’ temperature  $T$  at a time  $t$ , let us return to the Schrödinger picture. The invariant is approximated  $I(t) \rightarrow g_-(t)H(t)$  from the relation (17) in a quasi-static process. For notational simplicity, let us write the density matrix at  $t = 0$  be given by  $\rho_i$  for the time being. Then, the density matrix at time  $t = \delta t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\text{Sch}}(\delta t) &= e^{-iH(\delta t)\delta t} \rho_i e^{iH(\delta t)\delta t} \\
&= e^{-iH(\delta t)\delta t} \rho_I(\delta t) e^{iH(\delta t)\delta t} \\
&= \rho_0 e^{-iH(\delta t)\delta t} e^{-\frac{g_-(\delta t)}{T_0} H(\delta t)} e^{iH(\delta t)\delta t} \\
&= \rho_0 e^{-g_-(\delta t)H(\delta t)/T_0} \\
&= \rho_I(\delta t).
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In a quasi-static (adiabatic) process all the way up from the initial time to the time  $t$ , this continues, and we have

$$\hat{\rho}_{\text{Sch}}(t) = \hat{\rho}_I(t) = 2 \sinh\left(\frac{\epsilon_I}{2}\right) e^{-\hat{I}/T_0} = 2 \sinh\left(\frac{\epsilon_I}{2}\right) e^{-\hat{H}(t)/T(t)}, \quad T(t) = \frac{T_0}{g_-(t)}. \tag{41}$$

The temperature of the oscillator changes with the  $g_-^{-1}$  factor under the change. Therefore under an adiabatic process, from Eq. (16), the temperature is written in terms of  $\omega(t)$ :

$$T(t) = \frac{T_0}{g_-(t)} = \frac{\omega(t)}{\omega_I} T_0. \tag{42}$$

We ensure this relation in Sec. IV C by observing the first law of thermodynamics. If there is a nonadiabatic period in the middle of the process, this scaling of temperature no longer holds. We obtain the temperature in this case later in Eq. (53).

## B. Nonadiabaticity

The  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor is motivated from a different perspective from the  $Q$ -factor which was discovered as a factor in the generating function of the transition probabilities. Rather,  $\mathcal{S}$  here is closely related to  $\langle W_{\text{irr}} \rangle$ , which was interpreted as ‘irreversible work’ in Ref. [17]. In this work, we avoid the terminology because  $\mathcal{S}$  is closely related to the squeezing.

The time derivative of the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor (22) is

$$\frac{d\mathcal{S}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2\omega_I^2} \left( g_-(t) - \frac{\omega_I}{\omega} \right) \frac{d\omega^2(t)}{dt}. \tag{43}$$

Notice that this quantity becomes negligible during the time  $\Delta t$  when (1)  $\omega^2$  is close to a constant,  $\dot{\omega} \sim 0$ , (2) the solution is around extrema of the potential in Eq. (21),  $g_-(t) \sim \omega_I/\omega$ . As we discussed above, for the case (1), the process is adiabatic or slowly varying. For the case (2), we also have  $\mathcal{S} \sim 0$  but it does not vary slowly when  $\dot{\omega} \not\sim 0$ . Even in this case, the system can have an approximate conserved quantity for a short duration of time  $\Delta t$ . Since  $\Delta \langle W_{\text{irr}} \rangle = (\omega_I/2)\Delta\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\Delta\mathcal{S} \sim 0$  leads  $\Delta \langle W_{\text{irr}} \rangle \sim 0$ , which means that the energy incremental during the time becomes free energy. In addition, as we will show in the next subsection, the squeezing does not modify the temperature during the time. Hence, even though the process is not quasi-static, it can be approximated as ‘adiabatic’ around the local maximum or minimum of  $\mathcal{S}$  where  $\mathcal{S} = 0$  (see the right panel of Fig. 1). Consequently, the stiffness of the change in  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor at a time  $t$  is closely related to whether the process is adiabatic or not. In this sense, we suggest

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \frac{d\mathcal{S}}{dt} \tag{44}$$

as a measure for ‘nonadiabaticity’. With consideration of these, since  $\mathcal{S}$  is the integration of nonadiabaticity  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}$  has the meaning of ‘stored’ nonadiabaticity in the system.

Since the  $Q$ -factor is interpreted as “the degree of adiabaticity” in Ref. [3], the nonadiabaticity is, in a certain degree, related to  $dQ/dt$ . However, with the above considerations, we believe  $\mathcal{A}$  is more appropriate to represent “nonadiabaticity” than  $dQ/dt$ . With this reasoning and for the sake of practicality, we use  $\mathcal{S}$  rather than the  $Q$ -factor throughout this article.



### C. Thermodynamics under quasi-static changes

Let us consider a thermal state of the harmonic oscillator with slowly varying  $\omega(t)$  in the hamiltonian (3). The thermal state  $\hat{\rho}$  for the quantum harmonic oscillator is given by

$$\hat{\rho} \propto \exp \left[ -\epsilon \left( \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \quad (45)$$

where  $\hat{a}$  is a typical annihilation operator of the oscillator and we have detached the subscript  $( )_I$  under  $\epsilon$  because it is not invariant anymore under the change of the entropy  $S$  below. This density introduces a parameter  $\epsilon(S)$  as a function of the entropy  $S$  through

$$S \equiv -\text{Tr}(\hat{\rho} \log \hat{\rho}) = \frac{\epsilon}{e^\epsilon - 1} - \log[1 - e^{-\epsilon}], \quad (46)$$

which is a monotonically decreasing function from  $\infty$  to zero of  $\epsilon [0, \infty)$ .

Therefore, the whole space of thermal states of harmonic oscillator is described by the three parameters  $(m, \omega, S)$ . The mass of the oscillator plays a characteristic role in distinguishing physical states in classical/quantum physics. However, in thermodynamics of the harmonic oscillator, we are not interested in the individual form of the wavefunction but interested in works and heat transfers which are related only to the energy change. As shown in Eq. (37), the energy of the system,  $E \equiv \langle H \rangle = \frac{\omega}{2} \coth \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  is independent of the mass for the present thermal state. Consequently, it is enough for us to consider a set of all thermal states of different frequency  $\omega$  and of different entropy  $S$ . Thus, we represent the space of all thermal state with a set of non-negative numbers,  $(\omega, S)$ .

Considering two nearby systems by  $\delta S$  and  $\delta \omega$ , the energy difference will be related by the first law

$$\delta E = -F_\omega \delta \omega + T \delta S, \quad (47)$$

where the force term and the temperature can be written as

$$F_\omega(S) \equiv - \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial \omega} \right)_S = -\frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{\epsilon(S)}{2}, \quad T(\omega, S) \equiv \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} \right)_\omega = \omega \frac{d\epsilon}{dS} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \left( \coth \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = \frac{\omega}{\epsilon(S)}, \quad (48)$$

from Eq.(37). The temperature is consistent with the previous result (42) obtained from the adiabatic invariant. Here the subscripts  $S$  and  $\omega$  denote that their values are held. For later convenience, we present a variational formula for the entropy (46):

$$\delta S = -\frac{\epsilon}{4 \sinh^2(\epsilon/2)} \delta \epsilon. \quad (49)$$

### D. Thermodynamics in the presence of a nonadiabaticity

In this subsection, we argue that the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor plays the role of a thermodynamic quantity similarly to the temperature, the entropy and the energy.

A nonadiabatic thermal state  $\hat{\rho}$  for a quantum harmonic oscillator is given by

$$\hat{\rho} \propto \exp \left[ -\epsilon \left( \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right], \quad (50)$$

where the thermal state is created over the vacuum which is annihilated by the operator  $\hat{b}$  in Eq. (10). As shown here, the thermal state depends on parameters  $m, \omega$  in the hamiltonian,  $\epsilon$  in the density, and  $(\omega g_- / \omega_I), \dot{g}_- / \omega_I$  in the operator  $\hat{b}$ . Fortunately, the mass  $m$  does not affect on the thermodynamics and the parameters  $(\omega g_- / \omega_I)$  and  $\dot{g}_- / \omega_I$  affect on the energy only through their combination,  $\omega_I \mathcal{S}$ . Consequently, the parameter space of thermal states is sufficiently described by the three parameters,  $(\omega, \epsilon, \omega_I \mathcal{S})$ .

Now, let us consider two nearby systems by the small variations  $\delta \omega$ ,  $\delta S$ , and  $\delta \mathcal{S}$ , where the entropy variation  $\delta S$  is related to  $\delta \epsilon$  through Eq. (49). The first law of thermodynamics can be derived by varying the energy expectation value in Eq. (37) with respect to the general variations to get

$$\delta E(\omega_{\text{eff}}, S) = -F_\omega \delta \omega_{\text{eff}} + T \delta S, \quad (51)$$

where  $\omega_{\text{eff}}$  is an effective frequency in Eq. (38). The force term takes the same form as that of the adiabatic case

$$F_{\omega}(S) \equiv - \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial \omega_{\text{eff}}} \right)_S = -\frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (52)$$

This force term is the same as the work term of the classical oscillator in Ref. [4]. One can vary the energy with respect to the entropy independently to find the oscillator's temperature from the thermodynamic relation,

$$T(\omega_{\text{eff}}, S) \equiv \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial S} \right)_{\omega_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{eff}}}{\epsilon} = \frac{\omega + \Omega}{\epsilon}. \quad (53)$$

In the limit  $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$ , Eq. (53) reproduces the adiabatic result (42). If there are nonadiabatic duration in the process, the effects make a difference from the adiabatic result and the information is stored in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Due to the contribution from the nonadiabatic period, the temperature is always higher than the adiabatic value because  $\mathcal{S} \geq 0$ . From these observations, it is clear that the first law of thermodynamics can be extended to include the net effect of nonadiabatic evolution at the present harmonic oscillator system.

### E. Physical constraints and an exercise

The variation of  $\omega_{\text{eff}}$  is composed of two parts:

$$\delta\omega_{\text{eff}} = \delta\omega + \delta\Omega.$$

In general, the two variations  $\delta\omega$  and  $\delta\Omega$  are independent. However, the variations must be related by physical constraints to present a specific thermodynamic process. For example,  $\delta\Omega = \omega_I \delta\mathcal{S}$  in (20) is determined by the frequency-modulated force and the cubic force in (19). Therefore, to reproduce the evolution of the quantum harmonic oscillator, we should relate the two variations  $\delta\omega$  and  $\delta\Omega$  properly. The relation should be determined by a physically relevant condition. As an example, let the frequency variation  $\delta\omega$  is related to the variation  $\delta\Omega$  by

$$\Omega' \delta\omega = \delta\Omega. \quad (54)$$

This implies that  $\Omega$  is just a function of  $\omega$  only. Then, the nonadiabaticity automatically determined from the change of the frequency  $\omega$  by

$$\omega_I \mathcal{A} = \frac{d\Omega}{dt} = \Omega' \dot{\omega}. \quad (55)$$

The effective frequency is determined to be  $\delta\omega_{\text{eff}} = (1 + \Omega')\delta\omega$ . Conversely, given  $\Omega'$  at an instance, we can determine the frequency change  $\delta\omega$  from  $\delta\Omega$ . If we consider only monotonic changes of frequency, the one-to-one correspondence between the time and  $\omega$  justifies it. This relation (54) plays the role of an equation of state for the oscillator thermal system in the sense that it determines the temporal evolution. More specifically, the frequency-modulated oscillator in Sec. III can be reproduced if we choose  $\Omega'$  to satisfy

$$\frac{\omega g_-}{\omega_I} = 1 + \Omega', \quad (56)$$

where we use Eq. (43). Then,  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega'$  determine  $\dot{g}_-/\omega_I$  to be

$$\frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\dot{g}_-}{\omega_I} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\omega g_-}{\omega_I} \right) \left( \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega g_-}{\omega_I} \right)^2 = (1 + \Omega') \frac{\Omega}{\omega} - \frac{\Omega'^2}{2}.$$

As seen here, specifying  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega'$  fully determines the nonadiabatic evolution of an initial thermal state of the oscillator.

As an exercise, let us reconstruct the evolution of the oscillator given in Fig. 1. Given  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega'$ , one may obtain  $\omega g_-/\omega_I$  and  $\dot{g}_-/\omega_I$  from the above two equations. Then, the thermal state is determined because the annihilation operator is given by Eq. (10) once the entropy is given. Given  $\Omega$  at the next instance of time, we get  $d\Omega/dt$  and then we get  $\dot{\omega}$  from Eq. (55). Therefore, arranging appropriate values of  $\Omega$  at each instance of time determines the time dependence of the frequency  $\omega$ . For example, one may arrange  $\Omega = \omega_I \mathcal{S}$  so that it follows the right panel of Fig. 1. Then, one naturally gets the frequency  $\omega$  given by Eq. (25). In Fig. 3, we plot the temperature of the oscillator in Eq. (53).




FIG. 3: Evolution of the temperature for the frequency in Eq. (25). Here we take  $\omega_+/\omega_- = 3$ ,  $\omega_-d = 0.3$ , and  $\omega_0^2 = \omega_+^2 + (40\omega_-)^2$ .

## V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we have considered a (non-)adiabatic time evolution of a frequency-modulated quantum harmonic oscillator. We have also studied the thermodynamics of the oscillator focusing especially on its first law.

We use the ELR invariant state in place of the initial state to analyze nonadiabatic processes. For a time varying hamiltonian  $\hat{H}(t)$ , the ELR invariant  $\hat{I}$  plays a key role in describing the evolution of quantum state. For a quasi-static (adiabatic) process, the invariant is nothing but a scaled hamiltonian  $g_-(t)\hat{H}(t)$ , where  $g_-(t)$  is a slowly varying function. Nonadiabaticity appears when the difference between  $\hat{I}$  and  $g_-\hat{H}$  does not vanish. For a general nonadiabatic process, we have obtained a dimensionless quantity  $\mathcal{S}$ , which represents stored ‘nonadiabaticity’ during the process. We found that this quantity  $\mathcal{S}$  is always non-negative and is closely related to the energy used in squeezing the ground state.

We showed that the  $\mathcal{S}$ -factor here converts to the  $Q$ -factor of Husimi, and it can also be related to the so-called ‘irreversible work’ in Ref. [17], the squeezing parameter [15], and the Bogoliubov coefficient  $\beta$ . From a quite different perspective, we could satisfactorily quantify ‘nonadiabaticity’ as  $\mathcal{A} \equiv d\mathcal{S}/dt$  and show its advantages over  $dQ/dt$ .

Let’s ask whether the prescription for the nonadiabaticity,  $\mathcal{A}$ , here can be applicable to other systems than the harmonic oscillator. Different hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}(t)$  will present a different invariant  $\tilde{I}(t)$  which will be determined by a set of temporal functions. The invariant condition for  $\tilde{I}$  determines a set of differential equations for the functions. As we see in Eq. (19), one can construct a similar energy-like time dependent quantities  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . It is not certain that  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  still satisfy the non-negativeness because the positivity of the net energy flow for the equation is not guaranteed in general. However, we think the quantity  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = d\tilde{\mathcal{S}}/dt$  still can be interpreted as ‘nonadiabaticity’, because the condition  $d\tilde{\mathcal{S}}/dt = 0$  for a short duration of time implies the absence of heat transfer, i.e., adiabatic change.

Some literature suggested ‘nonadiabaticity’ in terms of various quantities [18–20]. In these references, the authors proposed measures for ‘nonadiabaticity’ suitable for the molecular quantum dynamics in some situations. In this work, we suggest not only just a measure for ‘nonadiabaticity’ for a quantum oscillator but also a schematic formal procedure to obtain the measure  $\mathcal{A}_H$  by using the invariant  $\hat{I}_H(t)$  for a given hamiltonian  $\hat{H}(t)$ .

In this work, we have extended the parameter space of the thermal state from  $(\omega, S)$  for Gaussian thermal states to  $(\omega, S, \mathcal{S})$  for squeezed-Gaussian states. Given a temporal frequency change for an initial thermal state  $(\omega_I, S, 0)$  of the quantum oscillator, we have shown the followings: If the change is quasi-static, the temperature of the oscillator is proportional to the frequency,  $T(t) = (\omega(t)/\omega_I)T_0$ . For non-quasi-static changes, a more general form of the temperature,  $T(\omega_{\text{eff}}, S) = (\omega + \omega_I\mathcal{S})/\epsilon$ , is given. Here, we have introduced an effective frequency  $\omega_{\text{eff}} = \omega + \Omega$ , where  $\Omega(\equiv \omega_I\mathcal{S})$  denotes the nonadiabaticity contribution. We wrote the first law of thermodynamics similar to the typical form,  $dE = -F_\omega d\omega_{\text{eff}} + TdS$ . Intriguingly, the squeezed states obey the first law which has the same form as an adiabatic thermal state with modified temperature  $T$  and frequency  $\omega_{\text{eff}}$ .

In Ref. [21], the authors studied the measurability and controllability of nonadiabatic effects of an electronic harmonic oscillator to the geometric phase. They discussed nonadiabatic contribution  $\Delta_{na}$  to the geometric phase in addition to the adiabatic contribution, the difference of geometric phases for two nearby closed cycle is given by  $\delta\phi = \delta\phi_a + \Delta_{na}$ . Thus, one can infer that the nonadiabaticity contribution to the 1st law is directly related to that of

$\Delta_{na}$  to the geometric phase. Further, one can obtain the direct relation as  $\Delta_{na} = \int_A^B V_I(F_\omega) d\omega_I$  for a process from a state  $A$  to  $B$ . Obtaining an exact form of  $V_I(F_\omega)$  is an interesting study. In light of this consideration, at least for a harmonic oscillator system, one can conclude that the nonadiabatic contribution to the 1st law can be measurable.

One may also use the ELR invariant for the thermodynamic analysis of the charged particle systems in Ref. [8] moving in a classical, axially symmetric uniform magnetic field. When the magnetic field increases and becomes extremely strong, this system is known to manifest noncommutativity. Hence, a similar analysis of this study would hint at the thermodynamics in a noncommutative space in a natural way.

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea grants funded by the Korea government NRF-2020R1A2C1009313.

- 
- [1] E. B. Davies. The harmonic oscillator in a heat bath. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 33(3):171–186, 1973.
  - [2] Yair Rezek. *The Quantum Harmonic Oscillator as a Thermodynamic Engine*. PhD thesis, 01 2004.
  - [3] Sebastian Deffner and Eric Lutz. Nonequilibrium work distribution of a quantum harmonic oscillator. *Physical Review E*, 77(2), Feb 2008.
  - [4] Timothy H. Boyer. Thermodynamics of the harmonic oscillator: derivation of the Planck blackbody spectrum from pure thermodynamics. *Eur. J. Phys.*, 40(2):025101, 2019.
  - [5] Hyeong-Chan Kim and Jae-Kwan Kim. Radiation from a uniformly accelerating harmonic oscillator. *Phys. Rev. D*, 56:3537–, 1997.
  - [6] Anatoli Polkovnikov, Krishnendu Sengupta, Alessandro Silva, and Mukund Vengalattore. Nonequilibrium dynamics of closed interacting quantum systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 83:863, 2011.
  - [7] Pasquale Calabrese, Fabian H. L. Essler, and Maurizio Fagotti. Quantum Quench in the Transverse Field Ising Chain. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 106(22):227203, 2011.
  - [8] H. R. Lewis. Classical and quantum systems with time-dependent harmonic-oscillator-type hamiltonians. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 18:510–512, Mar 1967.
  - [9] H. R. Lewis and W. B. Riesenfeld. An exact quantum theory of the time-dependent harmonic oscillator and of a charged particle in a time-dependent electromagnetic field. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 10(8):1458–1473, 1969.
  - [10] M.S. Sarandy, E.I. Duzzioni, and R.M. Serra. Quantum computation in continuous time using dynamic invariants. *Physics Letters A*, 375(38):3343 – 3347, 2011.
  - [11] Xi Chen, E. Torrontegui, and J. G. Muga. Lewis-riesenfeld invariants and transitionless quantum driving. *Physical Review A*, 83(6), Jun 2011.
  - [12] Hyeong-Chan Kim, Min-Ho Lee, Jeong-Young Ji, and Jae Kwan Kim. Heisenberg picture approach to the exact quantum motion of a time dependent forced harmonic oscillator. *Phys. Rev. A*, 53:3767, 1996.
  - [13] Min-Ho Lee, Hyeong-Chan Kim, and Jeong-Young Ji. Exact wave functions and geometric phases of a generalized driven oscillator. *J. Korean Phys. Soc.*, 31:560, 1997.
  - [14] Ji, Jeong-Young and Hong, Jongbae. Heisenberg picture approach to the invariants and the exact quantum motions for coupled parametric oscillators. *J. Phys. A*, 31, L689–L693, 1998.
  - [15] S. P. Kim and D. N. Page, *Exact quantum statistical dynamics of time dependent generalized oscillators*. *Phys. Lett. B* **723**, 393-396 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.05.006 [arXiv:quant-ph/0205006 [quant-ph]].3547, 1997.
  - [16] Kôdi Husimi. Miscellanea in Elementary Quantum Mechanics, II. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 9(4):381–402, 04 1953.
  - [17] Fernando Galve and Eric Lutz. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of a squeezed harmonic oscillator. arXiv:0811.2938
  - [18] Yonehara T, Hanasaki K, Takatsuka K. Fundamental approaches to nonadiabaticity: toward a chemical theory beyond the Born-Oppenheimer paradigm. *Chem Rev.* 2012 Jan 11;112(1):499-542. doi: 10.1021/cr200096s.
  - [19] T. Zimmermann and J. Vaníček, Communications: Evaluation of the nondiabaticity of quantum molecular dynamics with the dephasing representation of quantum fidelity., *J. Chem. Phys.*,132, 241101 (2010)
  - [20] T. Zimmermann and J. Vaníček, Measuring nonadiabaticity of molecular quantum dynamics with quantum fidelity and with its efficient semiclassical approximation., *J. Chem. Phys.*,136, 094106 (2012)
  - [21] Pechal, M., Berger,S., Abdumalikov,A.A., Fink,J.M., Mlynek,J.A., Steffen,L., Wallraff,A., Filipp,S., Geometric Phase and Nonadiabatic Effects in an Electronic Harmonic Oscillator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108, 170401(2012).