

MIRROR SYMMETRY OF CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS FIBERED BY (1,8)-POLARIZED ABELIAN SURFACES

SHINOBU HOSONO AND HIROMICHI TAKAGI

ABSTRACT. We study mirror symmetry of a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds fibered by (1,8)-polarized abelian surfaces with Euler characteristic zero. By describing the parameter space globally, we find all expected boundary points (LCSLs), including those correspond to Fourier-Mukai partners. Applying mirror symmetry at each boundary point, we calculate Gromov-Witten invariants ($g \leq 2$) and observe nice (quasi-)modular properties in their potential functions. We also describe degenerations of Calabi-Yau manifolds over each boundary point.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Calabi-Yau manifolds fibered by abelian surfaces	5
2.1. Calabi-Yau complete intersections	5
2.2. More on the complete intersections $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^2$	7
3. Families \mathcal{V}^1, $\mathcal{V}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$, and $\mathcal{V}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ over \mathbb{P}_ω^2	8
3.1. Symmetry of the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$	8
3.2. Degenerations of the family \mathcal{V}^1	9
3.3. Parameter space of the family \mathcal{V}^1	10
4. Parameter space \mathbb{P}_Δ	13
4.1. Toric variety \mathbb{P}_Δ	13
4.2. Discriminant loci	14
4.3. Degeneration points	15
5. Mirror symmetry from the boundary points A and A'	15
5.1. Picard-Fuchs equations	16
5.2. Griffiths-Yukawa couplings	17
5.3. Mirror symmetry	18
5.4. Mirror symmetry and Gromov-Witten invariants	18
5.5. Counting functions by quasi-modular forms	20
5.6. Counting sections by geometry of singular fibers	21
5.7. Genus one Gromov-Witten potentials F_1^A and $F_1^{A'}$	21
6. Exploring the parameter space \mathbb{P}_Δ globally	24
6.1. Blowing-up at the boundary points B, B'	24
6.2. Genus one Gromov-Witten potentials F_1^B and $F_1^{B'}$	26
6.3. Genus two Gromov-Witten potentials F_2^A and F_2^B	30
7. Exploring the parameter space \mathbb{P}_Δ more	33
7.1. Blowing-up at the boundary point C	33
7.2. Genus one potential F_1^C	34
7.3. Genus two potential F_2^C and F_g^C ($g \geq 2$).	37
7.4. Mirror symmetry	38
8. Degenerations and analytic continuations	39
8.1. Analytic continuations of period integrals	39
8.2. Degenerations of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over A and B	42
8.3. Degeneration of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over C .	43
8.4. Heisenberg group actions on the degenerations	44
9. Summary and Discussions	45

Appendix A. Picard-Fuchs differential operators \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{D}_3	47
Appendix B. Griffiths-Yukawa couplings	47
Appendix C. Canonical form of local locutions	47
Appendix D. Propagator S^{ab} and $f_2(x, y)$	49
D.1. Propagator S^{ab}	49
D.2. Connecting A and B	50
D.3. The forms of f_2^A and f_2^B	50
Appendix E. Gromov-Witten invariants of $(2,2,2,2) \subset \mathbb{P}^7$	50
E.1. Gromov-Witten invariants of W	51
E.2. Gromov-Witten invariants of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}$	51
E.3. Gromov-Witten invariants of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8}$	52
E.4. Analytic continuation	53
Appendix F. Explicit forms of polynomials $P_{g,2}^A$ and $P_{g,2}^B$ ($g = 1, 2$)	54
References	55

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of mirror symmetry of Calabi-Yau manifolds and its surprising applications to Gromov-Witten invariants [CdOGP], moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau manifolds as well as geometry of Calabi-Yau manifolds are attracting attentions from both mathematics and physics. After several decades from the discovery, a large number of interesting Calabi-Yau manifolds are now known and many of them have been studied in details. In this paper, to explore mirror symmetry in terms of interesting Calabi-Yau manifolds, we will study a special type of Calabi-Yau threefolds which have fibrations by abelian surfaces and have vanishing Euler numbers.

Mirror symmetry of Calabi-Yau threefolds exchanges the so-called A -side (related to Hodge decomposition $H^{1,1}(X)$) of the (stringy) moduli space of a Calabi-Yau manifold X with the B -side (related to $H^{2,1}(X^\vee)$) of a mirror Calabi-Yau manifold X^\vee . More precisely A and B sides of X are interchanged to B and A sides of X^\vee , which implies the isomorphisms $H^{1,1}(X) \simeq H^{2,1}(X^\vee)$ and $H^{2,1}(X) \simeq H^{1,1}(X^\vee)$. In most examples of Calabi-Yau threefolds, since they have small Hodge numbers $h^{1,1}(X)$ while large $h^{2,1}(X)$, our descriptions of mirror symmetry of the moduli spaces are restricted to one part of the moduli space related to $H^{1,1}(X) \simeq H^{2,1}(X^\vee)$. For Calabi-Yau threefolds having vanishing Euler numbers, since the equality $h^{1,1}(X) = h^{2,1}(X)$ holds, we can expect to describe the entire moduli spaces if $h^{1,1}(X)$ is small. Our Calabi-Yau threefolds provide simple but non-trivial examples of such Calabi-Yau manifolds.

Calabi-Yau threefolds which we will study in this paper are known for long since the investigations of explicit equations of $(1, d)$ -polarized abelian surfaces by Gross and Popescu [GP1, GP2]. Abelian surfaces with $(1, d)$ -polarization have embeddings in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} , if $d \geq 5$, by the polarization \mathcal{L} which is very ample. It was found [GP2] that, in many cases, the canonical theta functions of the space $\Gamma(A, \mathcal{L})$ define a pencil of abelian surfaces in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} , which gives rise to a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold fibered by the abelian surfaces after suitable resolutions. Among several examples, we will be concerned with a Calabi-Yau threefold fibered by $(1, 8)$ -polarized abelian surfaces, for which we have $h^{1,1} = h^{2,1} = 2$. Following [GP2], we denote this Calabi-Yau threefold by $V_{8,w}^1$.

By construction, Calabi-Yau threefold $V_{8,w}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ admits a free action by Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, which acts on $V_{8,w}^1$ as $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. Quotients $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ and V^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 by a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 \subset \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are studied as examples of Calabi-Yau threefolds of non-trivial fundamental groups. In particular, the Brauer group of

$V_{8,w}^1$ was calculated to study its relation to non-trivial fundamental group in [GP]. The following conjecture has arisen in these calculations:

Conjecture 1.1 (Gross-Pavanelli [Pav, GP]). *Mirror of the Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1$ is given by $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ with a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 \subset \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. Then mirror of the quotient $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ is given by $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$.*

This conjecture was partly confirmed by showing that $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are derived equivalent [Sch] to each other; this is consistent to the fact that these two Calabi-Yau manifolds have the same mirror manifold $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$. In this paper, constructing families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ of Calabi-Yau manifolds for $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ with $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, respectively, we will answer affirmatively to the above conjecture (**Proposition 7.9**).

Actually, mirror symmetry of Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1$ was first studied *locally* near a special boundary point by Pavanelli [Pav] by calculating Gromov-Witten invariants of $V_{8,w}^1$ assuming that $V_{8,w}^1$ is self-mirror. We extend his local calculations to global ones by making families of Calabi-Yau manifolds $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ over a toric variety \mathbb{P}_Δ of dimension two. We will find in Section 4 that there are degeneration points A, B, C and A', B', C' on a suitable resolution of \mathbb{P}_Δ , where we observe the following mirror correspondences:

$$(1.1) \quad A \leftrightarrow V_{8,w}^1, \quad B \leftrightarrow V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8, \quad C \leftrightarrow V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$$

and A', B', C' corresponding to birational models of each. We confirm these correspondences by calculating Gromov-Witten invariants of stable maps up to genus $g = 2$ for A, B and to $g = 1$ for C .

From the calculations of Gromov-Witten invariants, we will find that the generating functions of these invariants are written in terms of quasi-modular forms in a similar way to the case of rational elliptic surfaces [HSS, HST1]. For $X = A, B, C$, we introduce counting functions by

$$Z_{g,n}^X(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} N_g^X(d, n) q^d, \quad \left(Z_{g,n}^X(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} N_g^X(d, n) q^{2d} \text{ for } C \right)$$

for Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau manifolds which correspond to A, B, C by (1.1). We remark that Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1, V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ have fibrations by abelian surfaces. Then the invariants $N_g^X(d, n)$ are related to counting numbers of genus g curves of degree d which intersect n times with a fiber abelian surface, i.e., n -sections if $g = 0$ (cf. [HSS]).

Proposition 1.2 (Proposition.5.9, (6.6), (7.6)). *The generating functions $Z_{0,n}^A(q)$ have the following forms*

$$Z_{0,n}^A(q) = P_{0,n}^A(E_2, E_4, E_6) \left(\frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8} \right)^n,$$

where $\bar{\eta}(q) := \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$ and $P_{0,n}^A$ are quasi-modular forms of weight $4(n-1)$ in terms of Eisenstein series $E_2(q), E_4(q)$ and $E_6(q)$ with $P_{0,1}^A = 1$. The generating functions $Z_{0,n}^X(q)$ for $X = B, C$ are given by

$$Z_{0,n}^B(q) = \frac{1}{64} Z_{0,n}^A(q^8), \quad Z_{0,n}^C(q) = \frac{1}{8} Z_{0,n}^A(q^2).$$

For higher genus calculations, we use the so-called BCOV homomorphic anomaly equation [BCOV1, BCOV2] to determine $Z_{g,n}^X(q)$. For lower g and n , we find the following forms of $Z_{g,n}^X(q)$, which we state as a conjecture in general.

Conjecture 1.3 (Propositions 5.12, 6.7, Conjectures 5.13, 6.8). *The generating functions $Z_{g,n}^X(q)$ ($X = A, B$) are written by quasi-modular forms;*

$$Z_{g,n}^A(q) = P_{g,n}^A(E_2, S, T, U) \left(\frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8} \right)^n, \quad Z_{g,n}^B(q) = P_{g,n}^B(E_2, S, T, U) \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\eta}(q^8)^8} \right)^n,$$

where $P_{g,n}^A$ and $P_{g,n}^B$ are polynomials of degree $2(g+n-1)$ of Eisenstein series E_2 and $S := \theta_3(q)^4, T := \theta_3(q^2)^4, U := \theta_3(q)^2 \theta_3(q^2)^2$ with the theta function $\theta_3(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$.

We verify the above conjecture for $g = 0, 1$ and $n \leq 10$. Also, in Subsection 6.3, we verify this for $g = 2$ and $n \leq 4$ by using BCOV recursion relations. For $X = C$, genus two calculations are slightly different from the cases A and B . Because of this, we couldn't determine unknown parameters completely. However we observe from the calculations for $g = 0, 1$ that some simplifications occur as in the following form (**Conjecture 7.7**);

$$Z_{g,n}^C(q) = P_{g,n}^C(E_2(q^2), E_4(q^2), E_6(q^2)) \left(\frac{8}{\bar{\eta}(q^2)^8} \right)^n,$$

where $P_{g,n}^C$ are quasi-modular forms of weight $4(g+n-1)$.

It should be noted that Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are derived equivalent by fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transformations. The generating functions $Z_{g,n}^A(q)$ and $Z_{g,n}^B(q)$ count n -sections (of genus g), hence under the Fourier-Mukai transformations, these counting problems correspond to suitable moduli problems associated with stable sheaves of rank n on the dual Calabi-Yau manifold. Our results on $Z_{g,n}^A(q)$ and $Z_{g,n}^B(q)$ suggest that there exist nice moduli spaces of sheaves on both Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. Actually, we will observe some simplifications in Conjecture 1.3 when $n = 1$ and for $g = 0, 1, 2$; which we summarize in general as

Conjecture 1.4 (Conjecture 6.12). *When $n = 1$, Conjecture 1.3 simplifies to*

$$Z_{g,1}^A(q) = P_{g,1}(E_2, S, T, U) \frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8}, \quad Z_{g,1}^B(q) = P_{g,1}(E_2, S, T, U) \frac{1}{\bar{\eta}(q^8)^8},$$

where $P_{g,1} = P_{g,1}^A = P_{g,1}^B$ is a polynomial of E_2, S, T and U of degree $2g$.

The above two conjectures are reminiscent of the quasi-modular forms we encountered in a similar geometric setting of rational elliptic surfaces [HST1]. In the latter case, we have a modular anomaly equation which enables us to determine $P_{g,n}$ recursively and also a nice closed formula for $Z_{g,1}(q)$ [HST2, Thm.4.7]. However, it seems that things are more complicated in the present case.

Studying degenerations of the families over A, B and C is also interesting, since we should be able to apply explicitly several ideals of geometric mirror symmetry such as SYZ geometric mirror construction [SYZ] and Gross-Siebert program [GS1, GS2]. We find in **Propositions 8.6, 8.8, 8.9** that the type of degenerations are the same for A, B and C , but the group actions of $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ differ for these three degenerations. Also in **Proposition 8.1**, we solve the connection problem of local solutions of Picard-Fuchs equations at each degeneration point. We observe that the connection matrix U_{AB} has a simple interpretation from the fact that A and B correspond to Fourier-Mukai partners $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, respectively. More detailed and global analysis of the geometric mirror symmetry via degenerations are left for future investigations.

Below we briefly describe the construction of this paper. In Section 2, we will summarize (1, 8)-polarized abelian surfaces and its embedding into \mathbb{P}^7 , which give

rise to the Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1$. Known properties of $V_{8,w}^1$ are also summarized to be used in the subsequent sections. In Section 3, we start with defining equations of $V_{8,w}^1$ which contain parameters $w = [w_0, w_1, w_2] \in \mathbb{P}_w^2$. We describe a certain symmetry of the defining equations of $V_{8,w}^1$, and show that there are two possible families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ as a quotient of the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ by the symmetry. In Section 4, we describe discriminant loci of these families over \mathbb{P}_Δ and find the degeneration points A, B, C and A', B', C' of the families. In Section 5, we reproduce Picard-Fuchs differential equations satisfied by period integrals of the families, and find that they are identical for $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$. We determine Gromov-Witten invariants ($g = 0, 1$) using mirror symmetry near the boundary points A and A' . In Section 6, noticing a tangential intersections of a component of the discriminant with a boundary divisor, we find the degeneration point B . Applying mirror symmetry to B , we find Gromov-Witten invariants ($g = 0, 1, 2$) of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. In Section 7, we find the boundary points C and C' in \mathbb{P}_Δ . Calculating genus one Gromov-Witten invariants, and comparing the potential function F_1^C with F_1^A , we find that these two points do not represent degenerations of the same family. The results from Section 5 to Section 7 are summarized in Proposition 7.9. In Section 8, we will calculate connection matrices which relate the local solutions around A, B, C ; and confirm that the integral structures from A, B and C differ from each other. For future investigations, we finally determine the degenerations of Calabi-Yau manifolds (up to the quotients by finite groups) over A, B and C . A brief summary and related topics are discussed in Section 9. In Appendices A to E, we describe formulas which we use (and also derive) in the text.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Daisuke Inoue for explaining his recent results [Ino] to them. S.H. would like to thank Atsushi Kanazawa and Daisuke Inoue for bringing his attention to the work [Pav]. This work is supported in part by Grant-in Aid Scientific Research (C 20K03593, A 18H03668 S.H. and C 16K05090 H.T.).

2. CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS FIBERED BY ABELIAN SURFACES

2.1. Calabi-Yau complete intersections. Here we summarize minimal generalities on abelian surfaces with $(1, d)$ polarization and Calabi-Yau threefolds fibered by such surfaces following the reference [GP2].

2.1.a. Let (A, \mathcal{L}) be a general $(1, 8)$ polarized abelian surface. It is known [BL, §10.4] that the linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ admits an embedding of A into $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{L})^\vee) = \mathbb{P}^7$ with its image of degree 16. There is a natural morphism from A to the dual abelian surface \hat{A} , $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ defined by $x \mapsto t_x^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ with the translation t_x by $x \in A$. Then the kernel $K(\mathcal{L})$ of $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. Since we have $t_x \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}$ for $x \in K(\mathcal{L})$, one would expect the corresponding linear action of $H^0(\mathcal{L})$; but actually the resulting action is given by a linear representation of a central extension of the group $K(\mathcal{L})$. The central extension is known to be isomorphic to

the Heisenberg group

$$\mathcal{H}_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^8 = \text{id}, \tau^8 = \text{id}, [\sigma, \tau] = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1} = \xi \text{id} \rangle,$$

and the linear representation acts on the homogeneous coordinates x_i ($i=0, \dots, 7$) of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathcal{L})^\vee)$ as

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(x_i) = x_{i-1}, \quad \tau(x_i) = \xi^{-i}x_i \quad (\xi^8 = 1).$$

2.1.b. If we require \mathcal{L} to be symmetric, the vector space $H^0(\mathcal{L})$ becomes invariant under the involution;

$$\iota(x_i) = x_{-i} \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}_8)$$

We decompose \mathbb{C}^8 of $\mathbb{P}^7 = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^8)$ into the $(+1)$ and (-1) eigenspaces of this involution, and denote the corresponding projective subspaces by \mathbb{P}_+ and \mathbb{P}_- . The eigenspace $\mathbb{P}_-^2 := \mathbb{P}_-$ has the following form,

$$\mathbb{P}_-^2 = \{[0, y_1, y_2, y_3, 0, -y_3, -y_2, -y_1] \in \mathbb{P}^7\},$$

and plays roles in defining the Calabi-Yau spaces which we will study.

2.1.c. Let $\mathcal{H}'_8 := \langle \sigma^4, \tau^4 \rangle \subset \mathcal{H}_8$, and consider \mathcal{H}'_8 -invariant quadrics $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(2))^{\mathcal{H}'_8}$. The group \mathcal{H}_8 acts on these \mathcal{H}'_8 -invariant quadrics. Then the space of invariants decomposes into three isomorphic and irreducible 4-dimensional representations of \mathcal{H}_8 as follows:

$$H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(2))^{\mathcal{H}'_8} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \langle F_i, \sigma F_i, \sigma^2 F_i, \sigma^3 F_i \rangle$$

where

$$F_0 = x_0^2 + x_4^2, \quad F_1 = x_1x_7 + x_3x_5, \quad F_2 = x_2x_6.$$

Proposition 2.1 ([GP2, Remark 6.1]). *For each $y \in \mathbb{P}_-^2$, define polynomials in the subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(2))^{\mathcal{H}'_8}$ by*

$$f := y_1y_3F_0 - y_2^2F_1 + (y_1^2 + y_3^2)F_2, \quad \sigma(f), \quad \sigma^2(f), \quad \sigma^3(f).$$

These polynomials vanish along the \mathcal{H}_8 orbit of $y \in \mathbb{P}_-^2$ in \mathbb{P}^7 .

2.1.d. The group \mathcal{H}'_8 acts on \mathbb{P}_-^2 as $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (-y_3, -y_2, -y_1)$ and $(y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (-y_1, y_2, -y_3)$. We define the quotient $\mathbb{P}_\omega^2 = \mathbb{P}_-^2 / \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by the relation

$$(2.2) \quad [w_0, w_1, w_2] := [2y_1y_3, -y_2^2, y_1^2 + y_3^2].$$

Using these invariants, we write the above four quadratic equations as

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(\omega, x) &= \frac{w_0}{2}(x_0^2 + x_4^2) + w_1(x_1x_7 + x_3x_5) + w_2x_2x_6, \\ f_2(\omega, x) &= \frac{w_0}{2}(x_1^2 + x_5^2) + w_1(x_2x_0 + x_4x_6) + w_2x_3x_7, \\ f_3(\omega, x) &= \frac{w_0}{2}(x_2^2 + x_6^2) + w_1(x_3x_1 + x_5x_7) + w_2x_4x_0, \\ f_4(\omega, x) &= \frac{w_0}{2}(x_3^2 + x_7^2) + w_1(x_4x_2 + x_6x_0) + w_2x_5x_1. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.e. Four quadratic equations define Calabi-Yau complete intersections in \mathbb{P}^7 .

Definition 2.2. For each $w \in \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$, we define a variety

$$(2.4) \quad V_{8,w} := \{f_1(w, x) = \dots = f_4(w, x) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^7.$$

Theorem 2.3 ([GP2, Theorem 6.5]). *For general $w \in \mathbb{P}_w^2$, the variety $V_{8,w}$ is a $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ complete intersection Calabi-Yau variety which is singular exactly at 64 ODPs. These 64 ODPs are given by the \mathcal{H}_8 -orbit of $y \in \mathbb{P}_-^2$ in \mathbb{P}^7 for y given by (2.2).*

For general $w \in \mathbb{P}_w^2$, the Calabi-Yau variety $V_{8,w}$ is a pencil of $(1,8)$ -polarized abelian surfaces [GP2, Theorem 6.7]. Blowing up a smooth $(1,8)$ -polarized abelian surface A in $V_{8,w}$, we obtain a small resolution $V_{8,w}^2 \rightarrow V_{8,w}$ with 64 exceptional \mathbb{P}^1 s for the 64 ODPs. We denote by $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow V_{8,w}$ the small resolution obtained by flopping the 64 \mathbb{P}^1 s in $V_{8,w}^2$.

Theorem 2.4 ([GP2, Theorem 6.9]). *Let $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow V_{8,w}$ be the small resolution above for a general $w \in \mathbb{P}_w^2$. Then*

- (1) $V_{8,w}^1$ has a fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 with the fiber $(1, 8)$ -polarized abelian surfaces,
- (2) the Hodge numbers are given by $h^{1,1}(V_{8,w}^1) = h^{2,1}(V_{8,w}^1) = 2$.

It is known that the resolution $V_{8,w}^2$ also has an abelian surface fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 .

2.1.f. When we will discuss mirror symmetry, we will need the cubic forms and also linear forms on H^2 . Here we summarize these topological invariants for $V_{8,w}^i$.

Let H_1 be the pullback of the hyperplane section of $V_{8,w}$, and A_1 be the class of a fiber abelian surface in $V_{8,w}^1$. Then we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_1^3 &= H_1^2 A_1 = 16, & H_1 A_1^2 &= A_1^3 = 0 \\ c_2(V_{8,w}^1) H_1 &= 64, & c_2(V_{8,w}^1) A_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also the ample cone $\text{Amp}(V_{8,w}^1) \subset H^2(V_{8,w}^1, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by H_1, A_1 .

For the other resolution $V_{8,w}^2$, we write the birational map $\phi : V_{8,w}^1 \dashrightarrow V_{8,w}^2$ and consider the pullback of the ample cone $\text{Amp}(V_{8,w}^2)$ to $H^2(V_{8,w}^1, \mathbb{R})$. Then we have

$$\phi^*(H_2) = H_1, \quad \phi^*(A_2) = 2H_1 - A_1$$

for the generators H_2 and A_2 of the ample cone. The cubic forms and linear forms of $V_{8,w}^2$ have the same forms as (2.5) above. In particular, the vanishing linear form $c_2(V_{8,w}^2)A_2$ follows from a general formula

$$c_2(V_{8,w}^2)A_2 = c_2(V_{8,w}^1)\phi^*(A_2) - 2 \times n_0$$

where $n_0 = 64$ is the number of flopping curves.

2.2. More on the complete intersections $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^2$. We summarize known properties on the abelian surface fibration $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

2.2.a. About the fibration $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ for a general $w \in \mathbb{P}_w^2$, the following facts are known in [GP2, GP];

- (1) There are exactly 64 sections σ_k with $\sigma_k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ given by the exceptional curves of the flop.
- (2) Every smooth fiber F is a $(1, 8)$ -polarized abelian surface with its polarization $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{V_{8,w}^1}(H_1)|_F$. The 64 points $F \cap \sigma_k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ are exactly the kernel of the polarization.
- (3) There are exactly 8 singular fibers, where the fiber degenerates to an elliptic translation scrolls obtained from an elliptic normal curve E in \mathbb{P}^7 with a point $e \in E$.
- (4) The group \mathcal{H}_8 acts freely on $V_{8,w}^1$, making the 64 sections into a single orbit. The action restricted on each smooth fiber coincides with that of the kernel of the polarization \mathcal{L} . On singular fibers, the actions coincide with the natural translations by 8-torsion points of E .

We note that the action of \mathcal{H}_8 on \mathbb{P}^7 may be regarded as $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ generated by σ, τ .

2.2.b. We take a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 \subset \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ acts freely on $V_{8,w}^1$, we have three Calabi-Yau manifolds

$$(2.6) \quad V_{8,w}^1, \quad V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 \quad \text{and} \quad V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$$

with the same hodge numbers. As we summarized in Conjecture 1.1, it is conjectured in [GP, Pav] that these three Calabi-Yau manifolds are related by mirror symmetry. This conjecture has nicely been supported by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.5 ([Sch, Theorem 4.1]). *The two Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are derived equivalent.*

Note that this theorem is consistent with Conjecture 1.1 from the viewpoint of homological (or categorical) mirror symmetry [Ko]. In the following sections, first, we will find that a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ is a suitable choice for the conjecture to hold. Then, we will show an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.1 by finding degenerations of Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ where the conjectured mirror symmetry arises.

3. FAMILIES \mathcal{V}^1 , $\mathcal{V}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$, AND $\mathcal{V}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ OVER \mathbb{P}_ω^2

The resolution $V_{8,w}^1$ of the (2, 2, 2, 2) complete intersection (2.4) in \mathbb{P}^7 defines a family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$. We will describe this family in detail following [GP2].

3.1. **Symmetry of the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$.** As described in 2.2.a, the Heisenberg group \mathcal{H}_8 (or $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$) acts on each fiber of the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$. Actually, this action extends as a symmetry of the family to a larger group $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_8$ in

$$(3.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_8 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_8 \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8) \rightarrow 1.$$

Here the group $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_8$ is the normalizer of \mathcal{H}_8 in $GL(\mathbb{C}^8)$, and is generated by

$$S = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\xi^{-\frac{1}{2}(i-j)^2} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 7}, \quad T = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\xi^{-ij} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq 7}$$

and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{H}_8$, where $\xi = e^{2\pi i/8}$. The adjoint action of $g \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_8$ on $\mathcal{H}_8/[\mathcal{H}_8, \mathcal{H}_8] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ by $\sigma^a \tau^b \mapsto g \sigma^a \tau^b g^{-1}$ gives the surjective homomorphism to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)$, which is described by $S \mapsto \mathbf{S} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T \mapsto \mathbf{T} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 3.1. We define a representation $\rho : \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_8/\mathcal{H}_8 \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$ by

$$\rho(S) = \frac{\xi}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^7 & 2 & \xi^3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \xi^3 & 2 & \xi^7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(T) = \frac{\xi^6}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(h) = \text{id for } h \in \mathcal{H}_8.$$

Remark 3.2. Let $g.x$ represent the linear action of $g \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_8$ on $x \in \mathbb{C}^8$. It gives the corresponding action on $\mathbb{P}^7 = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^8)$. The representation ρ comes from the linear action of g on $y \in \mathbb{P}_\omega^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ through the relation 2.2. However, we can only determine the matrices $\rho(S)$ and $\rho(T)$ up to constant factors, say a, b , for these matrices respectively. By imposing the condition $\rho(\mathcal{H}_8) = \text{id}$, we can find that $(a, b) = (\xi, \xi^6), (\xi^3, 1), (\xi^5, \xi^2)$ and (ξ^7, ξ^4) are the only possible values for the

constants. Here, depending the choice of (a, b) , the image of ρ varies; it is isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)/(\mathbb{Z}_8)^\times$ for $(\xi^3, 1)$, (ξ^7, ξ^4) and $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)/\{1, 5\}$ for (ξ, ξ^6) , (ξ^5, ξ^2) (see the proposition below). In the above definition, we have chosen (ξ, ξ^6) so that we have larger image. \square

The following proposition describes the action of \mathcal{NH}_8 on the family \mathcal{V}^1 .

Proposition 3.3.

(1) The group \mathcal{NH}_8 acts linearly on the defining equations (2.3) by

$$(3.2) \quad f_i(\rho(g).\omega, g.x) = \sum_j c_{ij}(g)f_j(\omega, x),$$

where $g.x$ represents the natural linear action of $g \in \mathcal{NH}_8$ on $x \in \mathbb{C}^8$, and the group homomorphism $R(g) = (c_{ij}(g))$ determined by

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{\xi}{2} \times \begin{pmatrix} \xi^7 & \xi^6 & \xi^3 & \xi^6 \\ \xi^6 & \xi^7 & \xi^6 & \xi^3 \\ \xi^3 & \xi^6 & \xi^7 & \xi^6 \\ \xi^6 & \xi^4 & \xi^6 & \xi^7 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{\xi^6}{2} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \xi^6 & \xi^4 & \xi^2 \\ 1 & \xi^4 & 1 & \xi^4 \\ 1 & \xi^2 & \xi^4 & \xi^6 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $g = \sigma, \tau, S, T$, respectively, with the relation $R(gh) = R(g)R(h)$.

(2) The kernel of $\rho : \mathcal{NH}_8 \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is given by $\langle \mathcal{H}_8, u_1, u_5 \rangle$ with $u_i := (S^{-iT})^3$.

(3) The image of $\rho : \mathcal{NH}_8 \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is isomorphic to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)/\{1, 5\}$, where $\{1, 5\}$ is the subgroup of the units $(\mathbb{Z}_8)^\times = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$.

Proof. (1) We verify the claim by direct evaluations which we leave to the reader. (2),(3) The units $(\mathbb{Z}_8)^\times \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)$ are written by $(S^7T)^3, (S^5T)^3, (S^3T)^3, (ST)^3$ for 1, 3, 5, 7, respectively. Evaluating the corresponding matrices, we see that $\rho((S^7T)^3) = \rho((S^3T)^3) = \text{id}$. Then by counting the elements in the image directly as $384/2 (= |SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)/\{1, 5\}|)$, we conclude the claim. \square

Definition 3.4. We introduce the factor group $G_\rho := \mathcal{NH}_8 / \langle \mathcal{H}_8, u_1, u_5 \rangle$ by

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \mathcal{H}_8, u_1, u_5 \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{NH}_8 \xrightarrow{q} G_\rho \rightarrow 1$$

with $u_i := (S^{-iT})^3$. The group G_ρ is isomorphic to $\text{Im } \rho \subset GL(\mathbb{C}^3)$ and also to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)/\{1, 5\}$.

The symmetry relation (3.2) clearly entails the isomorphisms

$$(3.4) \quad V_{8,\omega}^1 \simeq V_{8,\rho(g)\omega}^1,$$

which were observed in [GP2].

3.2. Degenerations of the family \mathcal{V}^1 . A general fiber $V_{8,\omega}^1$ of the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$ has a fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 by (1,8)-polarized abelian surfaces. Gross and Popescu [GP2] describe the entire family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\omega^2$ as a fibration of (1,8) abelian surfaces over a conic bundle over \mathbb{P}_ω^2 . Studying degenerations of the family, they showed rationality of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{(1,8)}^{lev}$ of (1,8)-polarized abelian surfaces. Here for our later purposes, we summarize their description on the discriminant loci of the family.

The variety $V_{8,\omega}^1$ is given as a complete intersection of four quadrics in \mathbb{P}^7 . Depending on the degenerations of the quadrics, the following three different components of the discriminants are recognized:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_s &= \{2w_1^4 - w_0w_2(w_0^2 + w_2^2) = 0\}, \quad L_1 = \{w_1(w_0^2 - w_2^2) = 0\}, \\ L_2 &= \{((w_0 + w_2)^4 - (w_0 - w_2)^4) dis_0 = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$dis_0 := ((w_0 + w_2)^4 - (2w_1)^4) ((w_0 - w_2)^4 + (2w_1)^4).$$

The group \mathcal{NH}_8 acts on these discriminants through the representation ρ in Proposition 3.3. It is easy to see that these three components are invariant under the actions S and T . While D_s is irreducible, L_1 and L_2 consist of lines which are exchanged under S and T . Then, due to the symmetry relation (3.4), it is sufficient to see the degenerations over D_s , and the lines $\{w_1 = 0\}$, $\{w_0 = 0\}$ in L_1 and L_2 , respectively.

Proposition 3.5. *Over the three discriminant loci, $V_{8,\omega}^1$ degenerates as follows:*

- (1) *Over general points on L_1 , $V_{8,w}^1$ degenerates to a join of two elliptic quartic normal curves.*
- (2) *Over general points on L_2 , $V_{8,w}^1$ has 8 ordinary points but still has a fibration by abelian surfaces.*
- (3) *Over general points on D_s , the conic bundle over \mathbb{P}_w^2 degenerates and the abelian surface fibration of $V_{8,w}^1$ breaks down accordingly.*

Proof. We refer [GP2, Thm. 6.8] for the proofs. \square

The reason why we extract the 8 lines in $\{dis_0 = 0\}$ from the 12 lines in $L_2 =: L'_2 \cup \{dis_0 = 0\}$ is based on the following property:

Proposition 3.6. *Over general points on the 8 lines in $\{dis_0 = 0\}$, the additional 8 ordinary double points in Proposition 3.5 (2) are in a single orbit of $\mathbb{Z}_8 \simeq \langle \tau \rangle$.*

Proof. Our proof is based on explicit calculations of the additional 8 ordinary double points (ODP) for each lines. For example, for a general point on the line $(w_0 + w_2) + 2w_1 = 0$ (resp. $i\sqrt{i}(w_0 - w_2) + 2w_1 = 0$), we find, by evaluating the Jacobian ideal of the complete intersections, that 8 ODPs are given by

$$\mathbb{Z}_8 \cdot [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1] \quad (\text{resp. } \mathbb{Z}_8 \cdot [1, \eta, -i, \eta, 1, -\eta, -i, -\eta])$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle$ and $\eta := (-1)^{\frac{1}{8}}$. \square

We can also verify that on the 4 lines in L'_2 , the numbers of the τ -orbits vary. We will interpret the above proposition when we will calculate genus one Gromov-Witten invariants in Sections 5, 6 and 7.

3.3. Parameter space of the family \mathcal{V}^1 . It is easy to see that the variety $V_{8,\omega}^1$ degenerates to 16 \mathbb{P}^3 s (see Subsection 8.2 for details) at the point of intersection $\{w_0 = 0\} \cap \{w_1 = 0\}$. This type of degenerations are hallmarks for mirror symmetry of a family to its mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds. In order to study all degenerations of this type, we will describe suitable subgroups of \mathcal{NH}_8 which act on the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$.

3.3.a. Abelian subgroup G_0 . Knowing that a special degeneration appears at the point $[0, 0, 1] \in \mathbb{P}_w^2$, let us introduce the following subgroup $G_0 \subset G_\rho$.

Definition 3.7. We consider the isotropy subgroup of the point $[0, 0, 1]$ by

$$F_0 = \{g \in \mathcal{NH}_8 \mid \rho(g).[0, 0, 1] = [0, 0, 1]\},$$

and define the corresponding subgroup $G_0 := F_0 / \langle \mathcal{H}_8, u_1, u_5 \rangle$ in G_ρ .

To describe G_0 as a subgroup of $G_\rho = \mathcal{NH}_8 / \langle \mathcal{H}_8, u_1, u_5 \rangle$, let us denote the classes of S and T by \bar{S} and \bar{T} , respectively. They may be written by $\bar{S} = \rho(S)$ and $\bar{T} = \rho(T)$ under the isomorphism $G_\rho \simeq \text{Im } \rho$ in Proposition 3.3 (3).

Proposition 3.8. *The group G_0 is described by $G_0 = \langle \bar{S}\bar{T}\bar{S}, (\bar{S}\bar{T})^3 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ with*

$$\bar{S}\bar{T}\bar{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \xi^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\bar{S}\bar{T})^3 = -1$$

and fixes all coordinate points $[1, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0], [0, 0, 1]$ of \mathbb{P}_w^2 .

Proof. It is straightforward to verify the claimed properties by using the matrix froms $\bar{S} = \rho(S), \bar{T} = \rho(T)$. \square

The group G_0 was considered in [Pav] to define a local quotient \mathbb{C}^2/G_0 of the affine space $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}_w^2$ centered at $[0, 0, 1]$. We will consider this quotient globally for a family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ in the next section.

3.3.b. Subgroup G_{max} . A slightly larger group G_{max} arises naturally. To describe it, we recall our definition $R(g) = (c_{ij}(g))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4}$ in (3.2).

Definition 3.9. We define

$$F_{max} := \{g \in \mathcal{NH}_8 \mid R(g) \text{ is a projectively permutation matrix}\},$$

where by ‘‘projectively permutation matrix’’ it is meant a permutation matrix with non-vanishing entries 1 replaced by non-vanishing complex numbers.

From the matrices $R(g)$ given in (3.3), we see that $\mathcal{H}_8 \subset F_{max}$. It is also easy to verify that $R(u_i) = (-1)^{(i-1)/2} \text{id}$ for the units u_i ($i = 1, 3, 5, 7$). Hence we have the following subgroup of G_ρ :

$$G_{max} := F_{max} / \langle \mathcal{H}_8, u_1, u_5 \rangle.$$

One may note that the group F_{max} (or G_{max}) is the largest group which preserves projectively the form of period integral (5.1) which we will study in the following sections.

Proposition 3.10. We have $G_{max} = \langle \bar{S}\bar{T}\bar{S}, (\bar{S}\bar{T})^3, \bar{S}^4 \rangle$ and G_0 is a normal subgroup of G_{max} with index two.

Proof. Once we verify the normality, the index follows immediately from $G_{max}/G_0 = \langle \bar{S}^4 \rangle$ and $\bar{S}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We leave verifying normality for the reader. \square

3.3.c. Other subgroups of \mathcal{NH}_8 . For our analysis of the family \mathcal{V}^1 over \mathbb{P}_w^2 , we will mostly consider the family over \mathbb{P}_w^2/G_0 . However, for completeness, let us introduce two more subgroups of G_ρ ;

$$(3.6) \quad G_1 = \langle \bar{S}^2, \bar{T}\bar{S}^2\bar{T}, (\bar{S}\bar{T})^3 \rangle, \quad G_1^{ex} := \langle \bar{S}^2, \bar{T}\bar{S}\bar{T} \rangle.$$

We can verify directly that G_1 is a normal subgroup G_1^{ex} with index two ($|G_1| = 32$). We also verify that G_{max} is a normal subgroup of $G_1^{ex} = \langle G_{max}, \bar{S}^2 \rangle$ with index two. We summarize the containments of these groups in the following diagram:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \{e\} & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ G_0 & & & & G_1 \\ \downarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 & & & & \downarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ G_{max} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_2} & G_1^{ex} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_2} & G_\rho \simeq SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)/\{1, 5\} \\ & & & \nearrow \mathfrak{S}_3 & \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, $H \xrightarrow{K} G$ indicates that $H \triangleleft G$ with the factor group $G/H \simeq K$.

3.3.d. Invariants of G_1 . Since the group G_1 is a finite group, it is easy to derive the following proposition:

Proposition 3.11. For the group G_1 , the following properties hold:

(1) When acting on $\mathbb{C}[w_0, w_1, w_2]$ through the representation ρ , we have

$$\mathbb{C}[w_0, w_1, w_2]^{G_1} = \mathbb{C}[(w_0 + w_2)^4, (w_0 - w_2)^4, (2w_1)^4, (2w_1)^2(w_0^2 - w_2^2)^2].$$

(2) G_ρ acts as permutations on the first three invariants in (1),

$$A := (w_0 + w_2)^4, \quad B := -(w_0 - w_2)^2, \quad C := -(2w_1)^4,$$

and on the fourth invariant $E := (2w_1)^2(w_0^2 - w_2^2)^4$ as the sign representation of \mathfrak{S}_3 .

We count the homogeneous degrees of the invariants A, B, C and E are 4 and 6, and note that they satisfy a single relation $E^2 - ABC = 0$. Using this relation, we see the isomorphism $\text{Proj } \mathbb{C}[A, B, C, E] \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$.

3.3.e. Quotients of \mathbb{P}_w^2 . To each subgroup G in the diagram (3.7), we have the corresponding quotient \mathbb{P}_w^2/G . We will study in detail the quotient $\mathbb{P}_\Delta := \mathbb{P}_w^2/G_0$ in the next section. Here we briefly describe quotients by other groups to see their relations to \mathbb{P}_Δ . First, using the invariants A, B, C (and E) in Proposition 3.11 (1), we have $\mathbb{P}_w^2/G_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$. Then, noting that the factor group $G_1^{ex}/G_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on A, B, C by exchanging A and B , and making the invariants $(A - B)^2$ and $A + B$, we arrive at the quotient $\mathbb{P}_w^2/G_1^{ex} \simeq \mathbb{P}(2, 1, 1)$. Similarly for the factor group $G_\rho/G_1 \simeq \mathfrak{S}_3$, we note that this group acts on A, B, C as a natural permutations. Then the three elementary symmetric polynomials describes the quotient $\mathbb{P}_w^2/G_\rho \simeq \mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1)$.

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{P}_w^2 & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \mathbb{P}_\Delta & & & & \mathbb{P}^2 \\ \downarrow / \mathbb{Z}_2 & & & & \downarrow / \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \searrow / \mathfrak{S}_3 \\ \mathbb{P}_\Delta / \mathbb{Z}_2 & \xrightarrow{/ \mathbb{Z}_2} & \mathbb{P}(2, 1, 1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1) \end{array}$$

Although we will not use in the following sections, we observe that the discriminants in (3.5) take simple forms in terms of the invariants A, B, C :

$$D_s = \{A + B + C = 0\}, \quad L_1 = \{ABC = 0\}, \\ L_2 = \{(A + B)(B + C)(C + A) = 0\}.$$

3.3.f. Families. Let us note that the Hiesenberg group \mathcal{H}_8 acts on each fiber of the family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ by sending a point $([x], [w]) \in \mathcal{V}^1$ to $([g.x], [w]) \in \mathcal{V}^1$. Since this is a projective action in \mathbb{P}^7 , the group \mathcal{H}_8 reduces to $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. We will take a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, and by considering fiberwise quotients by \mathbb{Z}_8 and $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, respectively, we define families of Calabi-Yau manifolds:

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2.$$

Let us consider the following subgroups $\tilde{G}_0, \tilde{G}_{max}$ which are generated by the specified elements in \mathcal{NH}_8 :

$$\tilde{G}_0 := \langle STS, (ST)^3 \rangle, \quad \tilde{G}_{max} := \langle STS, (ST)^3, S^4 \rangle.$$

Note that these define natural lifts of the groups G_0 and G_{max} to \mathcal{NH}_8 .

Proposition 3.12. (1) The order of \tilde{G}_0 is 64, and we have

$$1 \rightarrow \langle -1, \tau^4 \rangle \rightarrow \tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 1.$$

(2) The order of \tilde{G}_{max} is 512, and we have

$$1 \rightarrow \langle -1, \sigma^4, \tau^4, u_5 \rangle \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{max} \rightarrow G_{max} \rightarrow 1,$$

where $u_5 = (SSST)^3$ corresponds to the unit of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)$.

Proof. Our proofs are based on explicit matrix calculations. Since it is straightforward, we leave the reader verifying the claimed properties. \square

Proposition 3.13. *By taking the quotients of the families (3.9) by the group \tilde{G}_0 we have the corresponding families*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 / \tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 / \tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta,$$

over $\mathbb{P}_\Delta := \mathbb{P}_w^2 / G_0$.

Proof. The group $\langle -1, \tau^4 \rangle$ acts trivially on the fibers $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$. Hence we have the claimed families over \mathbb{P}_w^2 / G_0 . \square

Remark 3.14. In a similar way to the above proposition, one might expect to have a family over \mathbb{P}_w^2 / G_{max} . However, since the unit u_5 in $\langle -1, \sigma^4, \tau^4, u_5 \rangle$ does not belong to \mathcal{H}_8 , the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ does not reduce to a family over \mathbb{P}_w^2 / G_{max} . For convenience to readers, we present the explicit form of u_5 ;

$$u_5 = \frac{1}{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square$$

Definition 3.15. For the sake of notational simplicity, we will write the quotient families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 / \tilde{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 / \tilde{G}_0$ by

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta,$$

respectively, unless otherwise mentioned.

No confusion with (3.9) should arise in the above definition since we will mostly confine ourselves to these families over \mathbb{P}_Δ in the following sections.

4. PARAMETER SPACE \mathbb{P}_Δ

In the last section, we have obtained two families of Calabi-Yau manifolds $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over the same parameter space $\mathbb{P}_\Delta = \mathbb{P}_w^2 / G_0$. Here we describe the quotient \mathbb{P}_Δ and its resolution to study mirror symmetry from the families.

4.1. Toric variety \mathbb{P}_Δ . As we see in Proposition 3.8, the group G_0 acts on \mathbb{P}_w^2 diagonally giving a toric variety \mathbb{P}_Δ associated to a lattice polytope Δ . To describe Δ , we start with following invariant monomials

$$w_1^8, w_0^3 w_1^4 w_2, w_0 w_1^4 w_2^3, w_0^8, w_0^6 w_2^2 w_0^4 w_2^4, w_0^2 w_2^6, w_2^8.$$

We read integral vectors $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_9 \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ for the above monomials in order, and set $\mathcal{A} := \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_9\}$. We introduce a lattice $M = \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A} - v_2)$. The lattice




Figure 1. \mathbb{P}_Δ and a resolution $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta$. The components L_1, L_2, D_s and Dis_0 of the discriminant are also depicted in the right.

polytope Δ is an integral polytope $\text{Conv}(\mathcal{A})$ in $M \otimes \mathbb{R}$. More concretely, taking an integral basis $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ for the lattice $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have

$$\Delta = \text{Conv.} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where three lattice points corresponds to w_0^s, w_1^s and w_2^s , respectively.

From the normal fan $\Sigma := \mathcal{N}_\Delta$, we read two A_1 singularity at the origin corresponding to the vertices w_0^s, w_2^s , and A_3 singularity corresponding to the vertex w_1^s . For example, the affine chart which corresponds to the vertex w_2^s is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\frac{w_1^s}{w_2^s}, \frac{w_0^2}{w_2^2}, \frac{w_0 w_1^4}{w_2^5} \right].$$

In Fig.1, we describe a toric resolution $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ with introducing an affine coordinate

$$(4.2) \quad x = \frac{1}{4} \frac{w_0^2}{w_2^2}, \quad y = -2 \frac{w_1^4}{w_0 w_2^3}$$

for the resolution of the A_1 -singularity (4.1). Here we have determined the numerical factors, $\frac{1}{4}$ and -2 , in favor of mirror symmetry which we will describe in the next section. The exceptional divisor of the blowing-up is written by E .

4.2. Discriminant loci . Our family over \mathbb{P}_Δ is either $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ or $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$, whose general fibers are given by $V_{8,w}^1 / \langle \tau \rangle$ or $V_{8,w}^1 / \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$, respectively. In either case, since the quotients are taken by free group actions, the degenerations occur at the same loci as the $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ complete intersection $V_{8,w}^1$ summarized in Proposition 3.5. We have depicted in Fig.1 schematically the components of the proper transforms of the discriminant loci. For simplicity we use the same letters L_1, L_2, D_s for the proper transforms, but it should be clear in the context. Using the affine coordinate (4.2), they are given by

$$D_s = \{1 + 4x + y = 0\}$$

$$L_1 = \{w_1 = 0\} \cup \{4x - 1 = 0\}, \quad L_2 = \{w_0 w_2 = 0\} \cup \{4x + 1\} \cup Dis_0,$$

where the component Dis_0 is defined by $dis_0 = 0$ with

$$dis_0 = (1 - 4x)^4 - 256xy(1 + 4x + y).$$

The component Dis_0 will play an important role when describing mirror symmetry at genus one.

4.3. Degeneration points. In the next section, we will study the degenerations of the family over the resolution $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta$ in terms of period integrals of the family. In the context of mirror symmetry, we are mostly interested in special boundary points called large complex structure limits (LCSLs) which are characterized by certain distinguished monodromy properties of period integrals (see [Mo] for a precise definition and also Appendix C). It turns out that there are many LCSLs in $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta$ where mirror symmetry emerges in nice forms (see Proposition 7.9 for our final interpretations). In Fig.1, we have named them $A, A'; B, B'; C$ and also \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}' .

4.3.a. A and A' . Here and in what follows, we will use the affine coordinate (x, y) introduced in (4.2). This affine coordinate arises as one of the affine coordinate of the blow-up of the A_1 singularity at the vertex w_2^8 of \mathbb{P}_Δ , where three components of the discriminant L_1, L_2 and D_s intersect. After the blow-up, the intersection splits into three points on the exceptional divisor E . Two of them, A and A' , are LCSLs which were studied locally in [Pav].

4.3.b. Symmetry $w_0 \leftrightarrow w_2$. The points $A, A', \tilde{A}, \tilde{A}', B, B'$ and C in Fig.1 all give rise to LCSLs, which we will describe in detail in Sections 5, 6 and 7. In terms of the affine coordinate x, y in (4.2), they are given by

$$A = (0, 0), A' = (0, -1); B = \left(\frac{1}{4}, 0\right), B' = \left(\frac{1}{4}, -2\right); C = \left(-\frac{1}{4}, 0\right).$$

The coordinates of \tilde{A} and \tilde{A}' are given by $(\frac{1}{16}\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{4}\frac{y}{x}) = (0, 0)$ and $(0, -1)$, respectively. In fact, all the boundary divisors are invariant under the following involution:

$$(4.3) \quad (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{16}\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{4}\frac{y}{x}\right),$$

which comes from the symmetry of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\Delta$ under the exchange $w_0 \leftrightarrow w_2$. Actually, this symmetry is represented by the action of \bar{S}^4 in G_{max} described in Proposition 3.10. The points B, B' and C are fixed under this involution, while A and A' are transformed to \tilde{A} and \tilde{A}' , respectively. One might consider the quotient $\mathbb{P}_\Delta / \langle \bar{S}^4 \rangle = \mathbb{P}_w^2 / G_{max}$ as a parameter space of the families, but as we saw in Proposition 3.12, the quotient does not admit the corresponding family over $\mathbb{P}_\Delta / \langle \bar{S}^4 \rangle$.

5. MIRROR SYMMETRY FROM THE BOUNDARY POINTS A AND A'

Associated to the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ (resp. $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$) we have the local system $R^3\pi_*\mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ (resp. $R^3\pi_*\mathbb{C}\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$) on \mathbb{P}_Δ . These local systems result in a system of differential equations (Picard-Fuchs equations) of the same forms, which were studied locally in [Pav]. We will study the resulting Picard-Fuchs equations globally, and find in Section 8 that a difference between the two local systems appears in the integral structures for the solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equations (i.e., in the integral variation of Hodge structures). Also, we will recognize the difference between the two families when we calculate genus one Gromov-Witten potentials (see Remark 5.11 and Remark 7.5).

5.1. Picard-Fuchs equations. As discovered first in [CdOGP], we can find mirror symmetry in calculating genus zero Gromov-Witten invariants from the period integrals which we determine by solving Picard-Fuchs equations.

5.1.a. Period integrals. Since the both families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ come from the same family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ of the Heisenberg-invariant $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ complete intersections in \mathbb{P}^7 , we can express the period integrals following [Gr]:

$$(5.1) \quad \Pi_\gamma(w) := \int_\gamma \text{Res} \left(\frac{w_2^4}{f_1(w, x)f_2(w, x)f_3(w, x)f_4(w, x)} d\mu \right),$$

where $d\mu := \sum_{i=0}^7 (-1)^i dx_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \cdots \wedge dx_7$ and γ is an integral cycle of a fixed fiber of the family. Period integral in this form often appears when describing mirror symmetry; there, we combine the integral over a cycle with the residue to an integral over a tubular cycle $T(\gamma)$ of the zero locus $f_1 = \cdots = f_4 = 0$. It is straightforward to evaluate the period integral over a tubular cycle

$$T(\gamma_0) := \{[x] \in \mathbb{P}^7 \mid |x_i/x_0| = \varepsilon \ (i = 1, \dots, 7)\}.$$

The following results are obtained in [Pav]:

Proposition 5.1. (1) *The period integral over γ_0 is evaluated in a closed formula.*
(2) *In the affine coordinate x, y of (4.2), the integral $\Pi_{\gamma_0}(w) =: w_0(x, y)$ has the following power series expansion,*

$$(5.2) \quad w_0(x, y) = 1 + 8x^2 + 16xy + 160x^2y + 16xy^2 + 88x^4 + 1536x^3y + \cdots.$$

Proof. Since all calculations are now standard in literatures (see e.g. [BaCo]), we only sketch them. We first write quadric equations as

$$\frac{1}{w_2} \frac{1}{x_{i+1}x_{i+5}} f_i(w, x) = 1 - P_i(w, x) \quad (i = 1, \dots, 4)$$

in terms of Laurent polynomials P_i , and evaluate the residues in the coordinate $x_0 = 1$ by making geometric series,

$$\Pi_{\gamma_0}(w) = \int_{T(\gamma_0)} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_4 \geq 0} P_1(w, x)^{n_1} \cdots P_4(w, x)^{n_4} \frac{dx_1 \cdots dx_7}{x_1 \cdots x_7}.$$

We need to have careful analysis to formulate a closed formula which we refer to [Pav, III.9]. However it is straightforward to have the series expansion up to considerably higher order in x, y (say total degree 50) which is sufficient for our purpose. \square

From the series expansion (5.2) up to sufficiently large degrees, we can determine Picard-Fuchs differential operators which annihilate the period integral. The following \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{D}_3 were first determined in [Pav].

Proposition 5.2. *The period integral (5.2) satisfy the following set of differential equations of Fuchs type:*

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{D}_2 w(x, y) = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_3 w(x, y) = 0,$$

where \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{D}_3 are the second and third order differential operators, see Appendix A for their explicit forms.

5.1.b. Characteristic variety. It is straightforward to determine the singular loci (characteristic variety) of the above differential operators; we obtain $\{dis_k = 0\}$




Figure 2. Boundary points A and A'

with

$$\begin{aligned} dis_0 &:= (1 - 4x)^4 - 256xy(1 + 4x + y), \\ dis_1 &:= 1 + 4x + y, \quad dis_2 := 1 + 4x \quad \text{and} \quad dis_3 := 1 - 4x, \end{aligned}$$

in addition to the coordinate lines $\{x = 0\}, \{y = 0\}$. We note that each component of the characteristic variety corresponds exactly to one of the degenerations summarized in Subsection 4.2.

5.1.c. Picard-Fuchs equations at A' . The differential operators \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{D}_3 are easily transformed to the affine coordinate (x_1, y_1) centered at the boundary point A' by the relation

$$(5.4) \quad (x_1, y_1) = (x, -4x - y - 1).$$

The local geometry around A and A' is summarized in Fig.2. We denote by \mathcal{D}'_2 and \mathcal{D}'_3 , respectively, the resulting differential operators from \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{D}_3 .

Proposition 5.3. *The local solutions of $\mathcal{D}'_2\omega = \mathcal{D}'_3\omega = 0$ around $(x_1, y_1) = (0, 0)$ have exactly the same forms as those of $\mathcal{D}_2\omega = \mathcal{D}_3\omega = 0$ around $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.*

Proof. Let us denote the simple replacements of variables by $\mathcal{D}_k(x_1, y_1) := \mathcal{D}_k|_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_1 \\ y \rightarrow y_1}}$ for $k = 2, 3$. Calculating the coordinate transformations $\mathcal{D}'_2, \mathcal{D}'_3$, we find the following relations

$$\mathcal{D}_2(x_1, y_1) = y_1^2 \mathcal{D}'_2, \quad \mathcal{D}_3(x_1, y_1) = y_1 \mathcal{D}'_3 - 5(1 + 4x_1 + 2y_1)\theta_{y_1} \mathcal{D}'_2 - 5y_1 \mathcal{D}'_2.$$

These relations implies the claimed property. \square

We remark, for later use, that there is the following invariant relation

$$y(1 + 4x + y) = y_1(1 + 4x_1 + y_1)$$

under the coordinate change $(x_1, y_1) = (x, -4x - y - 1)$.

5.2. Griffiths-Yukawa couplings . Let us denote by $\Omega(w)$ the holomorphic three form on a general fiber $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over \mathbb{P}_Δ , by which we express the period integral (5.1) as $\Pi_\gamma(w) = \int_\gamma \Omega(w)$.

5.2.a. Near the point A . We define the so-called Griffiths-Yukawa couplings by

$$(5.5) \quad C_{ijk}^A := - \int_{V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8} \Omega(w) \frac{\partial^3}{\partial z_A^i \partial z_A^j \partial z_A^k} \Omega(w)$$

where $(z_A^1, z_A^2) = (x, y)$ is the affine coordinate centered at the degeneration point A . Using the Picard-Fuchs equations (see e.g. [HKTY1]), we have

Proposition 5.4. *Up to a common constant d , the Griffiths-Yukawa couplings $C_{111}^A, C_{112}^A, C_{122}^A$ and C_{222}^A are determined in order as follows:*

$$\frac{d P_{111}}{x^3 \text{dis}_0 \text{dis}_1^2 \text{dis}_2 \text{dis}_3}, \quad \frac{d P_{112}}{x^2 y \text{dis}_0 \text{dis}_1^2 \text{dis}_3}, \quad \frac{d P_{122}}{x y \text{dis}_0 \text{dis}_1^2 \text{dis}_3}, \quad \frac{d P_{222}}{y^2 \text{dis}_0 \text{dis}_1^2},$$

where $P_{ijk} = P_{ijk}(x, y)$ are polynomials given Appendix B.

In Proposition 5.7, we determine the overall constant d in C_{ijk}^A by finding mirror symmetry of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ to a Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1$.

5.2.b. Near the point A' . In the same way as above, we define the Griffiths-Yukawa couplings $C_{ijk}^{A'}$ in terms of the affine coordinate $(z_{A'}^1, z_{A'}^2) = (x_1, y_1)$ centered at A' . As above, they are determined by the Picard-Fuchs equations $\mathcal{D}'_2 \omega = \mathcal{D}'_3 \omega = 0$ up to a normalization. However, due to the properties in Proposition 5.3, we see that the isomorphism $C_{ijk}^{A'} = C_{ijk}^A$, i.e., $C_{ijk}^{A'}(x_1, y_1) = C_{ijk}^A(x_1, y_1)$.

5.3. Mirror symmetry. For a boundary point $P = D_1 \cap D_2$ given as a normal crossing divisors, by solving the Picard-Fuchs equations around P , we can study the local monodromies around boundary divisors D_1, D_2 . Mirror symmetry of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ to a Calabi-Yau manifold V arises at a special boundary point called the large complex structure limit (LCSL), which is characterized unipotent monodromy properties around the boundary divisors; these special forms of monodromies define the so-called monodromy weight filtration on the third cohomology space $H^3(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{R})$. As one of the consequences of mirror symmetry, we observe an isomorphism between the weight monodromy filtration and the corresponding filtration in the hard Lefschetz theorem applied for the mirror Calabi-Yau manifold V (see e.g. [HT18, Sect.2]).

Proposition 5.5. *The boundary points A and A' , respectively, of the Picard-Fuchs equations over \mathbb{P}_Δ are mirror symmetric to Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^2$ in the above sense.*

We can verify the above proposition from the weight monodromy filtrations which we introduce by using the canonical forms (see Appendix C) of local solutions around A and A' . Here we remark that there is no distinction between A and A' as far as local properties are concerned as we observed in Proposition 5.3. Similarly, there is no distinction for the filtrations coming from the hard Lefschetz theorem for $V_{8,w}^1$ and its birational model $V_{8,w}^2$. In the above proposition, we have fixed one way of the mirror identification and we will retain this in what follows.

5.4. Mirror symmetry and Gromov-Witten invariants. Mirror symmetry observed in Proposition 5.5 can be confirmed by extracting their quantum cohomology from the Griffiths-Yukawa couplings (5.5) expanded near the boundary points. This was first achieved in [Pav], however we reproduce it here in order to fit the results into our global study of the family over \mathbb{P}_Δ .

5.4.a. Mirror maps. Near the boundary point A , it is straightforward to solve the Picard-Fuchs equations $\mathcal{D}_2 \omega = \mathcal{D}_3 \omega = 0$ in the forms of power series with logarithmic singularities around the boundary divisors $\{x = 0\}$ and $\{y = 0\}$. The solutions consist of six independent power series, which have the following leading logarithmic singularities:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 = 1, \quad \omega_1 = \log x, \quad \omega_{2,1} &= (\log x)^2 + 2(\log x)(\log y), \\ \omega_2 = \log y, \quad \omega_{2,2} &= (\log x)^2, \quad \omega_3 = (\log x)^3 + 3(\log x)^2(\log y). \end{aligned}$$

This structure of the logarithmic singularities in the solutions actually defines the weight monodromy filtration $W_0 \subset W_2 \subset W_4 \subset W_6$, see Appendix C. For our purpose here, we only need to determine the explicit forms of the solutions $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2$. Note that the solution ω_0 is unique by the leading behavior $\omega_0 = 1$, which is given by (5.2). On the other hand the solutions ω_1, ω_2 are determined up to adding constant multiples of ω_0 ; we set these solutions as

$$\omega_1 = \omega_0 \log x + \omega_1^{reg} + c_1 \omega_0, \quad \omega_2 = \omega_0 \log y + \omega_2^{reg} + c_2 \omega_0,$$

where ω_k^{reg} represent power series with no constant terms.

Definition 5.6. We define mirror map by the inverse relations $x = x(q_1, q_2)$, $y = y(q_1, q_2)$ of

$$q_1 = e^{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}} = C_1 x \exp\left(\frac{\omega_1^{reg}}{\omega_0}\right), \quad q_2 = e^{\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}} = C_2 y \exp\left(\frac{\omega_2^{reg}}{\omega_0}\right),$$

where $C_k := e^{c_k}$. Also we often write $q_k = e^{t_k}$ ($k = 1, 2$) with introducing t_k .

5.4.b. Griffiths-Yukawa couplings. Mirror symmetry arises from the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ if we combine Griffiths-Yukawa couplings in Proposition 5.4 with the mirror maps near the boundary point [CdOGP]. We recall that the so-called quantum corrected Yukawa couplings are defined by

$$(5.6) \quad Y_{abc}^A = \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0}\right)^2 \sum_{i,j,k} C_{ijk}^A \frac{dz_A^i}{dt_a} \frac{dz_A^j}{dt_b} \frac{dz_A^k}{dt_c},$$

where (z_A^1, z_A^2) represent the mirror map $(x, y) = (x(q_1, q_2), y(q_1, q_2))$ at the boundary point A , and the substitution of the mirror map is assumed in the r.h.s. Similarly we have the quantum corrected Yukawa couplings $Y_{abc}^{A'}$ with $C_{ijk}^{A'}$ and the mirror map $z_{A'}^i$, defined around the other boundary point A' .

Proposition 5.7. *When we set $d = 1$ in C_{ijk}^A and $C_1 = C_2 = 1$ in the definition of mirror map, we have*

$$Y_{111}^A = 16 + 512 q_1 q_2 + 22528 q_1^2 q_2 \cdots, \quad Y_{112}^A = 16 + 512 q_1 q_2 + 11264 q_1^2 q_2 \cdots, \\ Y_{122}^A = 0 + 512 q_1 q_2 + 5632 q_1^2 q_2 \cdots, \quad Y_{222}^A = 0 + 64 q_2 + 512 q_1 q_2 + 64 q_2^2 \cdots.$$

Also, we have exactly same form for the corresponding expansions of $Y_{abc}^{A'}$.

Quantum corrected Yukawa couplings are related to the so-called genus zero Gromov-Witten potential F_0^A , by $Y_{abc}^A = \frac{\partial^3 F_0^A}{\partial t^a \partial t^b \partial t^c}$. From this potential function, we read Gromov-Witten invariants and also classical cubic forms (2.5) in the following form:

$$F_0^A = \frac{16}{3!} t_1^3 + \frac{16}{2!} t_1^2 t_2 + \sum_{d_1, d_2} N_0^A(d_1, d_2) q_1^{d_1} q_2^{d_2},$$

where the summation over the bi-degrees $\beta := (d_1, d_2)$ is restricted to $d_1, d_2 \geq 0$ and $(d_1, d_2) \neq (0, 0)$ (see 7.2.c of Section 7 for more invariant form of $F(t)$).

Proposition 5.8. *Assuming mirror symmetry, the numbers $N_0^A(\beta)$ are Gromov-Witten invariants of stable maps to $V_{8,w}^1$ with $\beta \neq 0 \in H_2(V_{8,w}^1, \mathbb{Z})$. The bi-degree (d_1, d_2) represents the degrees $(\beta.H_1, \beta.A_1)$ with respect to the divisor classes H_1 and A_1 introduced in (2.5).*

Similar results hold for the numbers $N_0^{A'}(d_1, d_2)$ with $V_{8,w}^1$ being replaced by the birational model $V_{2,w}^2$, and divisors H_1, A_1 replaced by H_2, A_2 in 2.1.f.

It is convenient to define BPS numbers $n_0(\beta) := n_0(d_1, d_2)$ by the relations

$$N_0^A(\beta) = \sum_{k|\beta} \frac{1}{k^3} n_0^A(\beta/k),$$

which removes the contributions from multiple covers [AM2, Vo] in $N_0^A(\beta) = N_0^A(d_1, d_2)$. In Table 1 (0), we list the resulting BPS numbers from F_0^A .

Results in this subsection were first obtained in [Pav] verifying that some of BPS numbers coincides with rational curves on $V_{8,w}^1$. The identification of the two boundary points A and A' , respectively, with the birational models $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^2$ is justified by observing the number of flopping curves in $n_0^A(0, 1) = n_0^{A'}(0, 1) = 64$, and also a “sum-up relation”

$$(5.7) \quad n_0^{(2,2,2,2)}(d) = \sum_{d_2} n_0^A(d, d_2) = \sum_{d_2} n_0^{A'}(d, d_2),$$

which reproduce the BPS numbers in Tables E1 (1) of Appendix E for a smoothing of the singular Calabi-Yau variety $X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing}$ arising from the contractions of 64 curves;

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{8,w}^1 & \leftarrow \text{---} & V_{8,w}^2 \\ & \searrow^{64 \mathbb{P}^1} & \swarrow_{64 \mathbb{P}^1} \\ & X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing} & \end{array}$$

5.5. Counting functions by quasi-modular forms. Actually, mirror symmetry of Calabi-Yau manifolds which have abelian surface fibration was first studied in the case of fiber product of two rational elliptic surfaces, i.e., Schoen’s Calabi-Yau threefolds [HSS, HST1]; there it was found that some part of Gromov-Witten potential are expressed by quasi-modular forms coming from elliptic curves. It is interesting to observe that the Gromov-Witten potential of $V_{8,w}^1$ has similar properties.

Let us define q -series $Z_{0,n}(q)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by

$$(5.9) \quad F_0^A(q, p) = \frac{16}{3!} t_1^3 + \frac{16}{2!} t_1^2 t_2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_{0,n}(q) p^n,$$

where $F_0^A(q, p) = F_0^A(q_1, q_2)$. By definition of the bi-degree (d_1, d_2) , the q -series $Z_{0,n}(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} N_0^A(d, n) q^d$ counts the Gromov-Witten invariants related to curves which intersects with the fiber class n -times, i.e., n -sections of the fibration $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, since it holds that

$$Z_{0,1}^A(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} N_0^A(d, 1) q^d = \sum_{d \geq 0} n_0^A(d, 1) q^d,$$

the q -series $Z_{0,1}(q)$ counts BPS numbers of the sections. We can observe the following property from the table of BPS numbers:

Proposition 5.9. *The q -series $Z_{0,1}(q)$ has a closed form given by*

$$Z_{0,1}^A(q) = \frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8},$$

where $\bar{\eta}(q) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$. Moreover, we have

$$Z_{0,n}^A(q) = P_{0,n}^A(E_2, E_4, E_6) \left(\frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8} \right)^n,$$

where $P_{0,n}^A(E_2, E_4, E_6)$ are quasi-modular forms of weight $4(n - 1)$ in terms of Eisenstein series E_2, E_4 and E_6 .

We have verified the above observation by calculating $Z_{0,n}(q)$ up to sufficiently large degree $d \leq 70$ and for $n \leq 10$. Below are explicit forms of the resulting polynomials $P_{0,n}^A$ for lower n ;

$$\begin{aligned} P_{0,2}^A &= \frac{1}{4608}(8E_2^2 + E_4), \\ P_{0,3}^A &= \frac{1}{2654208}(14E_2^4 + 7E_2^2E_4 + E_4^2 + 2E_2E_6), \\ P_{0,4}^A &= \frac{1}{22637}(3008E_2^6 + 2808E_2^4E_4 + 1128E_2^2E_4^2 + 125E_4^3 \\ &\quad + 1120E_2^3E_6 + 528E_2E_4E_6 + 31E_6^2), \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

5.6. Counting sections by geometry of singular fibers. It is easy to identify the number 64 with the number of the sections of $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The appearance of the η -function in the denominator reminds us of similar counting formulas for a rational elliptic surface in Schoen's Calabi-Yau manifolds [HSS, HST1], which came from 12 singular fibers of Kodaira's I_1 type. In the present case, the singular fibers consist of 8 elliptic translation scrolls,

$$S_k = \bigcup_{p \in E_k} \langle p, p + e_k \rangle \quad (k = 1, \dots, 8)$$

which is described in the part 2.2.a (2), where $\langle p, p + e_k \rangle$ represents a line passing two points p and $p + e_k$ ($e_k \in E_k$). Clearly, the power 8 in the denominator of $Z_{0,1}^A(a) = \frac{64}{\eta(q)^8}$ should be explained by the number of singular fibers. Since $N_0^A(\beta) = n_0^A(\beta)$ holds for the classes $\beta = (\beta \cdot H_1, \beta \cdot A_1) = (d, 1)$, the function $\frac{64}{\eta(q)^8}$ should count the numbers of rational curves coming from the 8 translation scrolls. Let us fix a section σ and denote chains of lines contained in each translation scroll S_k by

$$L_1^{(1)}, \dots, L_{n_1}^{(1)}; L_1^{(2)}, \dots, L_{n_2}^{(2)}; \dots; L_1^{(8)}, \dots, L_{n_8}^{(8)},$$

where σ intersect at one point with some line $L_{n_i}^{(k)}$ for each k . This chains of rational curves could explain the counting function $\frac{1}{\eta(q)^8}$, if a configuration

$$\sigma \cup L_1^{(k)} \cup L_2^{(k)} \cup \dots \cup L_n^{(k)} \text{ with a fixed } k$$

had a contribution $p(n)$ (:=the number of partitions of n) to $n_0(d, 1) = n_0(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_8, 1)$. However, as one easily recognize, a naive counting from this configuration is n instead of $p(n)$; while $p(n) = n$ holds for $n \leq 3$. In fact, in [Pav], $n_0(d, 1)$ for $d = n_1 + \dots + n_8 \leq 3$, i.e., the numbers $n_0(1, 1) = 8 \times 64$, $n_0(2, 1) = 44 \times 64$, $n_0(3, 1) = 192 \times 64$ are explained by studying Gromov-Witten theory for the above configurations. However, for $d > 3$, there are missing configurations or contributions to explain $n_0(\beta) = n_0(d, 1)$. We hope that we will come to this problem in a future work.

5.7. Genus one Gromov-Witten potentials F_1^A and $F_1^{A'}$. Using mirror symmetry, we can extend Proposition 5.9 to genus one Gromov-Witten potentials F_1^A and $F_1^{A'}$. Here we start with recalling the general form of the genus one Gromov-Witten potential of a Calabi-Yau threefold X proposed in [BCOV1].

5.7.a. Genus one potential F_1 . Suppose X is a Calabi-Yau threefold with $\text{rank}(\text{Pic}(X)) = 2$, and that we have a family of mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds over some parameter space with a boundary point (LCSL) at the origin of an affine

$j \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	64	512	2816	12288	46464	157696	493056	1441792	3989568
2	0	0	4096	98304	1220608	10813440	76775424	464322560	2480783360
3	0	0	2816	195072	6301056	124829696	1772620032	19764707328	183168532288
4	0	0	0	98304	10567680	478740480	13238665216	261369036800	4018366742528
5	0	0	0	12288	6301056	728901120	40797528064	1437499588608	36413468765248
6	0	0	0	0	1220608	478740480	58763759616	3812602150912	160955539341312
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(0) Genus zero BPS numbers $n_0^A(i, j)$

$j \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
1	0	0	0	0	896	8192	50688	245760	1024768	3807232
2	0	0	0	0	2240	163840	3262464	39583744	358221888	2646376448
3	0	0	0	0	1920	966656	47699968	1190572032	19998058112	255958884352
4	0	0	0	0	4640	2097152	248373248	12249595904	363641449120	7661339901952
5	0	0	0	0	1920	2311168	619652096	57765986304	2933367424384	98849134485504
6	0	0	0	0	2240	2097152	833927680	141594460160	12170949409472	649231850569728
7	0	0	0	0	896	966656	619652096	190239614976	27968653028864	2375812698800128
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(1) Genus one BPS numbers $n_1^A(i, j)$

$j \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	256	4096	37568	247808	1332480	
2	0	0	0	0	0	12288	524288	10758016	145555456	1490690048	
3	0	0	0	0	0	69120	10266624	465354496	11849469952	209498467584	
4	0	0	0	0	0	147456	75792384	6951182592	311243702272	8956370026496	
5	0	0	0	0	0	302592	264691712	47403040000	3616972675072	164270290355456	
6	0	0	0	0	0	364544	531759104	171942100864	21836284264448	1539526624321536	
7	0	0	0	0	0	302592	674427904	359333519296	74782408261632	8139227500850176	
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(2) Genus two BPS numbers $n_2^A(i, j)$

Table 1. BPS numbers $n_g^A(i, j)$ of $V_{8,w}^1$ for $g = 0, 1, 2$. These are equal to $n_g^{A'}(i, j)$ of $V_{8,w}^2$.

coordinate (x_1, x_2) . Then using mirror map defined near the origin, we have the genus one potential function F_1^X of X in the following form:

$$(5.10) \quad F_1^X = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0} \right)^{3+h^{1,1}-\frac{\chi(X)}{12}} \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(t_1, t_2)} dis_0^{r_0} \prod_{k \geq 1} dis_k^{r_k} \times \prod_i x_i^{-1-\frac{c_2 \cdot H_i}{12}} \right\},$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler number of X and $h^{1,1} = h^{1,1}(X)$, and also $c_2 \cdot H_i$ are the linear forms described in 2.1.f. The notation dis_k represents the components of the discriminant of the family over the parameter space. Among them, dis_0 is reserved to represent the component where the most general degenerations of the fibers appear. The powers r_k are unknown parameters which we need to determine from some additional data.

In the present case of $V_{8,w}^1$, we use the topological invariants $\chi(V_{8,w}^1) = 0$, $c_2 \cdot H_1 = 64$ and $c_2 \cdot A_1 = 0$ (and the same numbers of the corresponding invariants for $V_{8,w}^2$). The unknown parameters r_k can be fixed by knowing some of vanishing results on Gromov-Witten invariants.

Proposition 5.10. *Near the boundary point A , assuming mirror symmetry, we have the potential function*

$$F_1^A(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0} \right)^{3+2} \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(t_1, t_2)} dis_0^{-\frac{1}{6}} dis_1^{-1} dis_2^{-\frac{2}{3}} dis_3^{-1} \times x^{-1-\frac{64}{12}} y^{-1} \right\}$$

which gives genus one Gromov-Witten potential of $V_{8,w}^1$. For the other boundary point A' , we have isomorphic form $F_1^{A'}$ with the same parameters r_0 and r_k .

Remark 5.11. From the above expression of F_1^A , we can convince ourselves that we are working on the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ to describe mirror symmetry. This comes from the well-known observation (see e.g. [AM1]) that the power of $dis_0^{-\frac{1}{6}}$ is determined by

$$(5.11) \quad -\frac{1}{6} \times (\text{the number of ODPs}),$$

where we count the number of OPDs which appear generically on fiber Calabi-Yau manifolds over the principal component $\{dis_0 = 0\}$ of the discriminant. The number (5.11) is often called a *conifold factor*. Recall that we showed in Proposition 3.6 that over $\{dis_0 = 0\}$ there appear 8 ODPs in $V_{8,w}^1$ which lies on a single τ -orbit. Namely, there appears 1 ODP for the free quotient $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ with $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle$. \square

We read genus one Gromov-Witten invariants from the following expansion of F_1^A with $d_1, d_2 \geq 0$ and $(d_1, d_2) \neq (0, 0)$:

$$(5.12) \quad F_1^A(q, p) = -\frac{c_2 \cdot H_1}{24} \log q - \frac{c_2 \cdot A_1}{24} \log p + \sum_{d_1, d_2} N_1(d_1, d_2) q^{d_1} p^{d_2}.$$

The BPS numbers $n_1^A(\beta) := n_1^A(i, j)$ may be introduced through the following relations to genus one Gromov-Witten invariants $N_1^A(\beta) := N_1^A(d_1, d_2)$:

$$N_1^A(\beta) = \sum_{k|\beta} \frac{1}{k} \left\{ n_1^A(\beta/k) + \frac{1}{12} n_0^A(\beta/k) \right\}.$$

BPS numbers are conjectured to be integer invariants coming from certain counting problems of curves in $V_{8,w}^1$ with homology class β [GV, HST2]. For example, $n_1^A(8, 0)$ counts the numbers of elliptic curves in the eight singular fibers of $V_{8,w}^1$. To determine the parameters in the above proposition, we have required vanishings $n_1^A(i, j) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, 3$. In Table 1 (1), we list the resulting BPS numbers from $F_1^A(q, p)$.

5.7.b. q -series $Z_{1,1}(q)$ via quasi-modular forms. We define genus one q -series $Z_{1,n}(q)$ by arranging the expansion (5.12) into

$$F_1^A = -\frac{c_2 \cdot H_1}{24} \log q + Z_{1,0}(q) + \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_{1,n}^A(q) p^n.$$

The q -series $Z_{1,n}^A(q)$ is a generating series of genus one Gromov-Witten invariants $N_1^A(d, n)$. For $n = 0$, we observe that non-vanishing invariants appear only at

$$N_1^A(8d, 0) = \sum_{k|d} \frac{1}{k} n_1^A(8d/k, 0)$$

($d = 1, 2, \dots$) which comes from elliptic curves in singular fibers of abelian fibration $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Including the first term $-\frac{c_2 \cdot H_1}{24} \log q = -\frac{8}{3} \log q$ in the definition $\widehat{Z}_{1,0}(q) = -\frac{c_2 \cdot H_1}{24} \log q + Z_{1,0}^A(q)$, we have the q -series

$$\widehat{Z}_{1,0}(q) = -\frac{c_2 \cdot H_1}{24} \log q - 8 \log \bar{\eta}(q^8) = -8 \log \eta(q^8)$$

in terms of Dedekind's η -function $\eta(q) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)$. Corresponding to Proposition 5.9, we verify the following property up to sufficiently large degrees of q .

Proposition 5.12. *The q -series $Z_{1,1}^A(q)$ is expressed by quasi-modular forms as*

$$Z_{1,1}^A(q) = P_{1,1}^A(q) \frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8}$$

where $P_{1,1}^A(q)$ is a polynomial

$$P_{1,1}^A = \frac{1}{288} \{E_2^2 + E_2(S + 2T + 4U) + 4(S + 2T - 5U)^2\},$$

of Eisenstein series $E_2 = E_2(q)$ and elliptic theta functions

$$S = \theta_3(q)^4, \quad T = \theta_3(q^2)^4, \quad U = \theta_3(q)^2 \theta_3(q^2)^2$$

with $\theta_3(q) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$.

We have verified similar polynomial expressions of $P_{1,n}^A$ for $n \leq 4$ (see Appendix F). We conjecture the following general forms of q -series $Z_{g,n}^A(q)$ in terms of quasi-modular forms in general.

Conjecture 5.13. *The q -series $Z_{g,n}^A(q)$ ($n \geq 1$) is expressed by*

$$Z_{g,n}^A(q) = P_{g,n}^A(E_2, S, T, U) \left(\frac{64}{\bar{\eta}(q)^8} \right)^n,$$

where $P_{g,n}^A$ is polynomial of degree $2(g+n-1)$ of E_2, S, T, U .

In Subsection 6.3, by using mirror symmetry, we will verify the above conjecture for $g = 2$ and lower n . Here, we remark that we have the same results for A' because of the isomorphisms in Proposition 5.3 between A and A' .

5.7.c. Contraction to $(2, 2, 2, 2) \subset \mathbb{P}^7$. We observed in (5.7) that BPS numbers of a smoothing of the singular Calabi-Yau variety $X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing}$ arises from a ‘‘sum-up relation’’. This relation holds also at genus one;

$$(5.13) \quad n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}(d) = \sum_{d_2} n_1^A(d, d_2) = \sum_{d_2} n_1^{A'}(d, d_2),$$

where $n_1^{(2,2,2,2)}(d)$ can be found in [HKTY2] (see also Appendix E).

6. EXPLORING THE PARAMETER SPACE \mathbb{P}_Δ GLOBALLY

In this section and the subsequent section, we shall study the boundary points B, B' and also C (and C') described in Section 4. We will find that all aspect of mirror symmetry of $V_{8,w}^k$ ($k = 1, 2$) and their free quotients are encoded in a single system of Picard-Fuchs equations (5.3). The entire picture of mirror symmetry will be summarized in Proposition 7.9. As in the preceding section, for brevity, we will retain the notation \mathbb{P}_Δ even if we will make suitable resolutions.

6.1. Blowing-up at the boundary points B, B' . The points B and B' are symmetric under the involution (5.4), and there are no difference in the local analysis around B and B' . Because of this we will restrict our attentions mostly to B .

As is clear in the form of the discriminant dis_0 , the two divisors, $\{dis_0 = 0\}$ and $\{y = 0\}$, intersect at B with 4th-order tangency. To have power series solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equation, we blow-up at this point successively four times; and




Fig.3. Blowing-up at B and B' . For brevity of notation, \tilde{B} and \tilde{B}' are called B and B' again in the text.

find that the point \tilde{B} shown in Figure 3 has properties of a LCSL. In a similar way, we verify that \tilde{B}' is also a LCSL. In what follows, we will use B and B' instead of \tilde{B} and \tilde{B}' for brevity of notation.

6.1.a. Picard-Fuchs equations and mirror symmetry. Let us introduce (z_B^1, z_B^2) for the blown-up coordinate centered at B . It is related to the affine coordinate $(x^1, x^2) = (x, y)$ centered at A by

$$(6.1) \quad (z_B^1, z_B^2) = \left(\frac{1}{8}(1-4x), 2^7 \frac{y}{(1-4x)^4} \right).$$

It is straightforward to transform the Picard-Fuchs equations $\mathcal{D}_2\omega = \mathcal{D}_3\omega = 0$ to this coordinate. The normalization factors in this coordinate are chosen so that we have a natural coordinate to describe mirror symmetry, e.g. the integral power series ω_0^B in (6.3) below.

Proposition 6.1. *The boundary points B and B' of the Picard-Fuchs equations over \mathbb{P}_Δ are mirror symmetric to Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ and $V_{8w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, respectively.*

We verify the above proposition by making local solutions of the Picard-Fuchs equations around B and B' . We can also confirm this by calculating Gromov-Witten invariants from B and B' .

6.1.b. Griffiths-Yukawa couplings. In the same way as Proposition 5.4, we can determine the Griffiths-Yukawa couplings C_{ijk}^B up to a normalization constant. Our global description of the family, however, enables us to determine them uniquely by

$$(6.2) \quad C_{ijk}^B := \sum_{l,m,n} C_{lmn}^A \frac{\partial x_l}{\partial z_i^B} \frac{\partial x_m}{\partial z_j^B} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial z_k^B}.$$

We arrange the local solutions around the point B into the canonical form $\Pi_B(z)$ in (C.2). Among the solutions, the first half of $\Pi_B(z)$ is sufficient to define the mirror map. These solutions have the following explicit forms:

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_0^B(z) &= 1 + 8z_1 + 56z_1^2 + 384z_1^3 + \dots - 8z_1^4z_2 - 96z_1^5z_2 - \dots, \\ \omega_1^B(z) &= (\log z_1)\omega_0^B(z) + (4z_1 + 44z_1^2 + \frac{1120}{3}z_1^3 + \dots - 2z_1^4z_2 - \dots), \\ \omega_2^B(z) &= (\log z_2)\omega_0^B(z) + (-12z_1 - 136z_1^2 - \dots + 96z_1^5z_2 + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter, we omit the superscript B in z_i for brevity, unless confusions arise. Mirror map is defined in the same way as Definition 5.6 by inverting the relations

$j \setminus i$	0	..	8	..	16	..	24	..	32	..	40	..	48	..	56
0	0	..	0	..	0	..	0	..	0	..	0	..	0	..	0
1	1	..	8	..	44	..	192	..	726	..	2464	..	7704	..	22528
2	0	..	0	..	64	..	1536	..	19072	..	168960	..	1199616	..	7255040
3	0	..	0	..	44	..	3048	..	98454	..	1950464	..	27697188	..	308823552
4	0	..	0	..	0	..	1536	..	165120	..	7480320	..	206854144	..	4083891200
5	0	..	0	..	0	..	192	..	98454	..	11389080	..	637461376	..	22460931072
6	0	..	0	..	0	..	0	..	19072	..	7480320	..	918183744	..	59571908608
7	0	..	0	..	0	..	0	..	726	..	1950464	..	637461376	..	81827379400
:	:	..	:	..	:	..	:	..	:	..	:	..	:	..	:

(0) Genus zero BPS numbers $n_0^B(i, j)$.

$j \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
0	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
1	0	0	0	0	14	16	48	64	152	128	288	256	728	592	1248	1216	2748	2112	4224	3584
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	24	112	224	704	848	2368	2752	7872	7944	19520	21472
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	48	288	656	2748	3648	12528	17408
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	72	600	1728
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(1) Genus one BPS numbers $n_1^B(i, j)$.

$j \setminus i$	0	1	..	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
0	0	0	..	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	..	0	4	32	155	456	1304	2784	6336	11120	22440	35360	66056	97752	172768
2	0	0	..	0	0	0	0	128	696	2304	7416	18704	51168	110400	265376	504976	1115408
3	0	0	..	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	768	5112	19872	74760	211208	645364
4	0	0	..	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2944	23552
5	0	0	..	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
:	:	:	..	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(2) Genus two BPS numbers $n_2^B(i, j)$.

Table 2. BPS numbers $n_g^B(i, j)$ of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. The blanks “..” represent vanishing invariants. These are equal to $n_g^{B'}(i, j)$ of $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$.

$$q_1 = e^{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}} = C_1 z_1 \exp\left(\frac{\omega_1^{reg}}{\omega_0}\right), \quad q_2 = e^{\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}} = C_2 z_2 \exp\left(\frac{\omega_2^{reg}}{\omega_0}\right),$$

where $\omega_0 = \omega_0^B$ and $\omega_i = \omega_i^B$ with some constant C_k . We write the mirror map by $z_a = z_a(q_1, q_2)$ for $a = 1, 2$. Then the quantum corrected Yukawa couplings are given by

$$(6.4) \quad Y_{ijk}^B = \left(\frac{1}{N_B \omega_0^B}\right)^2 \sum_{a,b,c} C_{abc}^B \frac{dz_a}{dt_i} \frac{dz_b}{dt_j} \frac{dz_c}{dt_k},$$

where N_B is a constant which we will identify in Proposition 8.1 with the normalization constant of the local solutions in $\Pi_B(z)$.

Proposition 6.2. *When we set $N_B = \frac{1}{2}$, and $C_1 = C_2 = 1$ in the definition of the mirror map, we have*

$$Y_{111}^B = 128 + 4096q_1^8 + 180224q_1^{16}q_2 + \dots, \quad Y_{112}^B = 16 + 512q_1^8q_2 + 11264q_1^{16}q_2 + \dots,$$

$$Y_{122}^B = 64q_1^8q_2 + 704q_1^{16}q_2 + 4160q_1^{16}q_2^2 + \dots, \quad Y_{222}^B = q_2 + q_2^2 + q_2^3 + \dots + 8q_1^8q_2 + \dots$$

We have exactly same form for the corresponding expansions of $Y_{ijk}^{B'}$.

6.2. Genus one Gromov-Witten potentials F_1^B and $F_1^{B'}$. We determine the genus one Gromov-Witten potentials F_1^B and $F_1^{B'}$ using the general form F_1^X given in (5.10). Since B and B' are isomorphic locally, we only describe F_1^B .

6.2.a. **Calculating F_1^B .** To apply the general formula (5.10), we introduce the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} disB_0 &= 1 - (1 - 4z_1)(1 - 8z_1)z_2 - 16z_1^4(1 - 8z_1)z_2^2 \\ disB_1 &= 1 - 4z_1 + 16z_1^4z_2, \quad disB_2 = 1 - 4z_1, \quad disB_3 = 1 - 8z_1. \end{aligned}$$

As in Subsection 5.7, knowing that B corresponds to the free quotient $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, we can determine the parameters r_0 and r_k in the BCOV formula.

Proposition 6.3. *Near the boundary point B , the BCOV potential function has the following form*

$$F_1^B(q) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{N_B \omega_0^B} \right)^5 \frac{\partial(z_1, z_2)}{\partial(t_1, t_2)} disB_0^{-\frac{1}{6}} disB_1^{-1} disB_2^{-\frac{2}{3}} disB_3^{-\frac{19}{3}} z_1^{-1 - \frac{8}{12}} z_2^{-1} \right\}.$$

Assuming mirror symmetry, we read the genus one Gromov-Witten invariants from the potential function. In Table 2 (1), we have listed the resulting BPS numbers.

6.2.b. **Connecting property for F_1^A and F_1^B .** Two potential functions F_1^A and F_1^B are defined independently near the corresponding boundary points. Here we describe how these two functions are related on the parameter space. Let us note that the potential function F_1^X in general consists three parts; (1) the Hodge factor $(\omega_0)^{-(3+h^{1,1}+\frac{X}{12})}$ (coming from Hodge bundle), (2) the Jacobian part, and (3) rational functions. Rational functions are easily transformed from A to B . For the Hodge factor (1) and the Jacobian factor (2), we set the following transformation rules

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (t1) \quad & (N_A \omega_0^A)^{-(3+h_A^{1,1}+\frac{X_A}{12})} = (N_B \omega_0^B)^{-(3+h_B^{1,1}+\frac{X_B}{12})}, \\ (t2) \quad & \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(t_1^A, t_2^A)} = \frac{\partial(z_1^B, z_2^B)}{\partial(t_1^B, t_2^B)} \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(z_1^B, z_2^B)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we attached superscripts to indicate the two boundaries points. We say that F_1^A is connected to F_1^B , or vice versa, if two are transformed under the above transformation rules.

Proposition 6.4. *The potential functions F_1^A and F_1^B defined near the boundary point A and B , respectively, are connected to each other.*

Proof. Because of our definition, the connection property may be verified simply by transforming the discriminants in the coordinate $(x, y) = (x_1, x_2)$ to the blow-up coordinate $(z_1, z_2) = (z_1^B, z_2^B)$, and by evaluating the Jacobian. For the discriminants, we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} dis_0(x, y) &= z_1^4 disB_0(z_1, z_2), \quad dis_1(x, y) = 2 disB_1(z_1, z_2) \\ dis_2(x, y) &= 2 disB_2(z_1, z_2), \quad dis_3(x, y) = 8 z_1, \quad x = \frac{1}{4} disB_3(z_1, z_2), \quad y = 32 z_1^4 z_2. \end{aligned}$$

For the Jacobian, we have $\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(z_1, z_2)} = z_1^4$. Under these relations, we can verify that the exponents r_k in F_1^A exactly match those in F_1^B . This verifies the claimed connection property between F_1^A and F_1^B . \square

Remark 6.5. We can also argue that F_1^B is calculated by the same family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ as F_1^A by looking at the conifold factor in $disB_0^{-\frac{1}{6}}$. See Remark 5.11. \square

6.2.c. **Contraction to $(2, 2, 2, 2)/G$.** Calculations for the boundary point B apply word by word to another boundary point B' ; we arrive at the same results as B

since the local properties are isomorphic. Under mirror symmetry, these boundary points can be identified with the birational models in the upper line of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
V_{8,w}^1/G & \xrightarrow{1\mathbb{P}^1} & X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing}/G & \xleftarrow{1\mathbb{P}^1} & V_{8,w}^2/G \\
\uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\
V_{8,w}^1 & \xrightarrow{64\mathbb{P}^1} & X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing} & \xleftarrow{64\mathbb{P}^1} & V_{8,w}^2
\end{array}$$

where $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. We have identified the boundary points A and A' with the birational models in the lower line. For the lower line, we remark that there is a smoothing to general $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ complete intersections in \mathbb{P}^7 . This explained the sum-up properties (5.7) and (5.13) of the BPS numbers.

In contrast to $X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing}$, the singular Calabi-Yau variety $X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing}/G$ does not have a smoothing [NS]. This is consistent to the classification result in [Br, Hu] which says that there is no free quotient of $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ complete intersection by the group $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ which admits a smooth Calabi-Yau manifold. Nevertheless we observe the following sum-up property

$$\sum_{d_2} n_0^B(d, d_2) = \frac{1}{|G|} n_0^{(2,2,2,2)}(d),$$

which indicates that the number $n_0^{(2,2,2,2)/G}(d) := \frac{1}{|G|} n_0^{(2,2,2,2)}(d)$ has some meaning as BPS numbers of the singular variety $X_{2,2,2,2}^{sing}/G$. Interestingly, we can also verify the sum-up property at genus one

$$\sum_{d_2} n_1^B(d, d_2) = n_1^{(2,2,2,2)/G}(d),$$

with the numbers $n_1^{(2,2,2,2)/G}(d)$ which we obtain from the BCOV formula, see Appendix E.2.

6.2.d. Generators of $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/G, \mathbb{Z})$. Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1$ is simply connected, since it is defined by a small resolution of a $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ complete intersection. Hence the fundamental group of the free quotient $V_{8,w}^1/G$ is isomorphic to $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. Following [AM1], we can study the generators of $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/G, \mathbb{Z})$ by the method of Eilenberg-MacLane, which uses the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_2(V_{8,w}^1/G) \rightarrow H_2(V_{8,w}^1/G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(G) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H_2(G)$ is the group homology, which we calculate as $H_2(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_8$ for $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. From the exact sequence, we see that any basis of (the free part of) $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/G, \mathbb{Z})$ has to contain a curve of genus $g \geq 1$. This should be contrasted to the case of $V_{8,w}^1$ where we have a basis of $H_2(V_{8,w}^1, \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of a section of the fibration $X := V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and a line in a singular fiber (see 2.2.a).

Let us denote by H_X and A_X , respectively, the restriction of the hyperplane class of \mathbb{P}^7 and the fiber class of the fibration $X := V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Two divisors generate $Pic(V_{8,w}^1)$ modulo torsions. Similarly we introduce divisors H_Y and A_Y for $V_{8,w}^1/G (= Y)$, and consider the pull-backs π^*H_Y, π^*A_Y by $\pi : V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow V_{8,w}^1/G$.

Proposition 6.6. *It holds that $\pi^*H_Y = 8H_X$ and $\pi^*A_Y = A_X$.*

Proof. Since the group action on $V_{8,w}^1$ comes from the Heisenberg group \mathcal{H}_8 acting on abelian surfaces, the fiber class A_Y pull-backs to the fiber class A_X . For the first equality, let us write $\pi^*H_Y = aH_X + bA_X$. Let σ_X be a section of $X := V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and σ be a section of the fibration $V_{8,w}^1/G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ described in the part 2.2.a, then we

have $\pi^*\sigma = 64\sigma_X$ for their homology classes. Now $b = 0$ follows from $\pi^*\sigma.\pi^*H_Y = (\deg \pi)\sigma.H_Y = 0$. To determine a , we note that $V_{8,w}^1/G$ is isomorphic to the dual abelian surface fibration [Sch, Thm 4.1, Lem.5.4]; hence we have $H_Y^2.A_Y = H_X^2.A_X = 16$. Using this we have $(\deg \pi)H_Y^2.A_Y = (\pi^*H_Y)^2.\pi^*A_Y = a^2H_X^2.A_X$ with $\deg \pi = 64$. This determines $a = 8$. \square

In Table 2 (0), we read the BPS number $n_0^B(0,1) = 1$ as counting sections σ of $V_{8,w}^1/G \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The number $n_1^B(1,0) = 8$ counts elliptic curves, C_E , coming from the eight singular fibers; here we remark that the group G acts on the corresponding elliptic curve $C_{E,X}$ on X by translations (see (3) of 2.2.a) hence $\pi^*C_E = C_{E,X}$. The numbers $n_1^B(d,0) = 8$ ($d \geq 2$) count the multiple covers of the curves C_E in $n_1^B(1,0) = 8$. If we take the homology classes σ and C_E as generators of $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/G, \mathbb{Z})$ modulo torsions, then the classes σ and $8C_E$ generate the image of $\pi_2(V_{8,w}^1/G)$ since we have $H_Y.(\pi_*\ell) = (\pi^*H_Y).\ell = 8$ and $A_Y.(\pi_*\ell) = 0$ for the class of a line ℓ contained in a singular fiber of $V_{8,w}^1$, namely $8C_E = (\pi_*\ell)$ is a class of rational curve.

6.2.e. Relating $g = 0$ BPS numbers in Tables 1 and 2. As summarized in (4) of 2.2.a, the group $G = \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ acts on the 64 sections in $V_{8,w}^1$ making them into a single orbit. Clearly, the number $n_0(0,1) = 1$ counts this section. This is also the case for any smooth rational curves in $V_{8,w}^1$, i.e., the free action of G must identify 64 of them as a single rational curve in $V_{8,w}^1/G$ since any smooth rational curve in $V_{8,w}^1$ cannot be stable under the free action. Let C_Y be a rational curve in $V_{8,w}^1/G$ and write $\pi^*C_Y = 64C$. Then we have

$$C_Y.H_Y = (\pi_*C).H_Y = C.(\pi^*H_Y) = 8C.H_X.$$

In a similar way, we have $C_Y.A_Y = C.A_X$. These explain the degree distribution of non-vanishing BPS numbers in Table 2 (0) and also the numbers which are exactly $\frac{1}{64}$ of Table 1 (0).

6.2.f. $g \geq 1$ BPS numbers in Tables 1 and 2. Corresponding to (5.9),(5.12), let us introduce the q -series $Z_{g,n}^B$ by

$$F_0^B = \frac{128}{3!}t_1^3 + \frac{16}{2!}t_1^2t_2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} Z_{0,n}^B(q_1)q_2^n,$$

$$F_1^B = -\frac{c_2.H_Y}{24}t_1 + Z_{1,n}^B(q_1) + \sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{1,n}^B(q_1)q_2^n,$$

where $t_k = \log q_k$. The observation in 6.2.e implies the following relation at $g = 0$:

$$(6.6) \quad Z_{0,n}^B(q) = \frac{1}{64}Z_{0,n}^A(q^8) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The relations between the BPS numbers in Table 1 (1) and those in Table 2 (1) seem more complicated, but it is easy to see a relation when $n = 0$,

$$\widehat{Z}_{1,0}^B(q_1) = -\frac{c_2.H_Y}{24}t_1 - 8 \log \bar{\eta}(q_1) = -8 \log \eta(q_1).$$

Moreover, we observe that the following modular property holds:

Proposition 6.7. *The q -series $Z_{1,1}^B(q)$ is expressed by*

$$Z_{1,1}^B(q) = P_{1,1}^B(q) \frac{1}{\bar{\eta}(q^8)^8}$$

in terms of exactly the same polynomial $P_{1,1}^B(q) = P_{1,1}^A(q)$ in terms of the quasi-modular forms in Proposition 5.12.

Corresponding to Conjecture 5.13, we naturally come to the following

Conjecture 6.8. *The q -series $Z_{g,n}^B(q)$ ($n \geq 1$) are expressed by*

$$Z_{g,n}^B(q) = P_{g,n}^B(E_2, S, T, U) \left(\frac{1}{\eta(q^8)s} \right)^n,$$

where $P_{g,n}^B$ are polynomials of degree $2(g+n-1)$ of E_2, S, T, U .

We verified the above conjecture determining polynomials $P_{1,n}^B$ for $n \leq 4$ (see Appendix F for some of them).

Remark 6.9. (1) We verify $P_{1,n}^B \neq P_{1,n}^A$ for $2 \leq n \leq 4$. Namely, the equality $P_{1,1}^B = P_{1,1}^A$ in Proposition 6.7 holds only for $n = 1$. In the next subsection, we will find that $P_{g,1}^B = P_{g,1}^A$ also holds for $g = 2$. \square

(2) We verified Conjecture 6.8 at $g = 0$ up to $n = 10$. For example, assuming the general form of $Z_{0,n}^B(q)$, we obtain the following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} P_{0,2}^B &= \frac{E_2^2}{576} + \frac{E_2}{288}(S+2T+4U) + \frac{1}{384}(S^2+10ST+3T^2+4SU+20TU) \\ P_{0,3}^B &= \frac{7E_2^4}{2^{14}3^4} + \frac{7E_2^3}{2^{12}3^4}(S+2T+4U) + \frac{7E_2^2}{2^{13}3^4}(4S^2+50ST+13T^2+20SU+76TU) \\ &\quad + \frac{E_2}{2^{12}3^4}(16S^3+300S^2T+1605ST^2+68T^3+72S^2U+364STU+888T^2U) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^{14}3^4}(37S^4+572S^3T+214S^2T^2+18704ST^3+160T^4+88S^3U \\ &\quad \quad \quad +2152S^2TU+7328ST^2U+4160T^3U). \end{aligned}$$

Then the observation (6.6) relating $Z_{0,n}^B$ to $Z_{0,n}^A$ implies the following equalities

$$(6.7) \quad P_{0,n}^B(q) = (64)^{n-1} P_{0,n}^A(q^8) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

which we can verify by using modular identities

$$8E_2(q^8) - E_2(q) = S + 2T + 4U$$

and expressions of $E_4(q^8)$ and $E_6(q^8)$ in terms of the theta functions S, T and U . \square

6.3. Genus two Gromov-Witten potentials F_2^A and F_2^B . The BCOV potential F_1 in (5.10) has its higher genus generalizations F_g ($g \geq 2$), which are determined recursively with initial data F_0 and F_1 . The recursion relations arise as solutions of the so-called BCOV holomorphic anomaly equation [BCOV2], which describes F_g up to unknown holomorphic (rational) function f_g . There is no general recipe to determine f_g ; however, global boundary conditions may restrict its possible form and determine it completely for lower g sometimes.

6.3.a. BCOV recursion formula F_2 . For Calabi-Yau manifolds with vanishing Euler numbers, the BCOV recursion relation simplifies. Suppose that potential functions F_0 and F_1 are given near a boundary point P , in the present case, A or B . We calculate the Yukawa couplings (three point functions) and also four point functions by

$$Y_{abc} = \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c F_0, \quad Y_{abcd} = \partial_a \partial_b \partial_c \partial_d F_0,$$

where $\partial_a := \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a}$. Similarly, we define one point and two point functions, $\partial_a F_1$, $\partial_a \partial_b F_1$ at $g = 1$. Using these functions, the recursion relation for F_2 is given by

$$(6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} F_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum S^{ab} (\partial_a \partial_b F_1 + \partial_a F_1 \partial_b F_1) - \frac{1}{4} \sum S^{ab} S^{cd} \left(\frac{1}{2} Y_{abcd} + 2Y_{abc} \partial_d F_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \sum S^{ab} S^{cd} S^{rs} Y_{acd} Y_{brs} + \frac{1}{12} \sum Y_{acd} Y_{brs} S^{ab} S^{cr} S^{ds} + (N_P \omega_0(x))^2 f_2 \end{aligned}$$

where S^{ab} is a certain (contra-variant) tensor called propagator and $f_2 = f_2(x, y)$ is holomorphic (rational) function which we need to determine.

6.3.b. Propagator S^{ab} and f_2 at the boundary points. To apply the above BCOV formula, we have to find the propagator S^{ab} by solving a curvature relation in Weil-Petersson geometry on the moduli space of Calabi-Yau manifolds. To avoid going into the details, we present the resulting forms S_A^{ab} and S_B^{ab} for each boundary point in Appendix D. With the data of propagator S^{ab} , the potential functions F_0, F_1 and the unique period integral $\omega_0(x)$ at a boundary point, the BCOV formula gives the genus two potential function F_2^X ($X = A$ or B) up to unknown function f_2^X . If we find the function f_2^X in some way, the potential function F_2^X , as the generating function of Gromov-Witten invariants, has the following expansion:

$$F_2^X(q_1, q_2) = \frac{\chi}{5760} + \sum_{d_1, d_2 \geq 0} N_2^X(d_1, d_2) q_1^{d_1} q_2^{d_2} \quad (=: \sum_{n \geq 0} Z_{2,n}^X(q_1) q_2^n)$$

where $\chi(=0)$ is the Euler number of X and $\frac{1}{5760}$ is the (orbifold) Euler number of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_2 of genus two stable curves. Then the BPS numbers $n_2^X(d_1, d_2) =: n_2^X(\beta)$ are read by the relation [GV]

$$N_2^X(\beta) = \sum_{k|\beta} \left\{ n_0^X(\beta/k) \frac{k}{240} + n_2^X(\beta/k) k \right\}.$$

To find f_2^X for $X = A, B$, we read from the Tables 1 (1) and Table 2 (1) that we may expect vanishing BPS numbers $n_2^X(d_1, d_2) = 0$ for $d_1 \leq 3$. Expecting these vanishing BPS numbers, we set the following ansatz for the possible form of f_2^A :

$$(6.9) \quad f_2^A(x, y) = \frac{\sum_{0 \leq i \leq 14, 1 \leq j \leq 3} a_{ij} x^i (y(1+4x+y))^j}{(dis_0 dis_2 dis_3)^2}$$

with unknown constants a_{ij} , and a similar ansatz for $f_2^B(z_1, z_2)$ in terms of $disB_k$ and parameters b_{ij} . We may attempt to impose further vanishing $n_2^X(d_1, d_2) = 0$ for $d_1 \leq 5$; however even if we do so, it turns out that these vanishing conditions $n_2^X(d_1, d_2) = 0$ ($d_1 \leq 5$) imposed for $X = A$ and B independently do not suffice to determine the forms f_2^A and f_2^B . However, if we assume that f_2^A and f_2^B represent the same rational function f_2 on \mathbb{P}_Δ , then it turns out that the vanishing conditions suffice to determine the possible form of f_2 .

To describe the requirements more precisely, we set up transformation rules on the expression (6.8) and F_g in general; for $g \geq 2$, we extend (t1), (t2) given in (6.5) to

$$(t1)' \quad (N_A \omega_0^A)^{2g-2} f_g^A(x, y) = (N_B \omega_0^B)^{2g-2} f_g^B(z_B^1, z_B^2),$$

$$(t2)' \quad S^{ab}, Y_{abc}, \partial_a F_1, \text{etc. in } F_g \text{ transform covariantly as tensors.}$$

In BCOV theory, the covariance (t2)' is explained based on the fact that the coordinates t_A^a and t_B^b are the so-called flat coordinate defined near boundary points [BCOV2]. We call F_g^A and F_g^B are related to each other on \mathbb{P}_Δ if the form of F_g^B is obtained from F_g^A by the above transformation rules and the rationality requirement, i.e. $f_2^A(x, y) = f_2^B(z_B^1, z_B^2)$ for a rational function f_2^A on \mathbb{P}_Δ .

Proposition 6.10. *If we assume that F_2^A and F_2^B related to each other on \mathbb{P}_Δ , then the rational function $f_2^A(x, y)$ is determined uniquely from the vanishing conditions $n_2^X(d_1, d_2) = 0$ ($d_1 \leq 5$) for $X = A, B$.*

Proof. We first assume the form f_2^A given in (6.9). Then f_2^B follows from f_2^A by the relation (6.1); and we use the BCOV formula (6.8) with $N_A = 1$ and $N_B = \frac{1}{2}$. We read the BPS numbers n_2^X , which contain unknown parameters, from the q, p -series expansion of F_2^X using mirror maps for $X = A$ and B . Imposing the vanishing

conditions, we find the unique form as claimed. In Appendix D, we record the resulting form of $f_2^A(x, y)$. \square

Remark 6.11. The unknown functions f_g are obstacles to determine F_g completely. For lower g , however, some vanishing conditions as in the above proposition enable us to have F_g . While we haven't used in the above calculations, other type of vanishing conditions, so-called gap conditions [HKQ],[MS] from conifold loci, are known efficient to determine f_g . \square

6.3.c. BPS numbers. In Table 1 (2) and Table 2 (2), we have listed the BPS numbers determined from F_2^A and F_2^B . Introducing q -series $Z_{2,n}^A(q)$ and $Z_{2,n}^B(q)$ as before for $g = 0, 1$, we verify Conjectures 5.13, 6.8 at $g = 2$ for lower n and sufficiently large degree in q . For example, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2,1}^A &= \frac{1}{2^{13}3^3}E_2^4 + \frac{1}{2^{12}3^3}E_2^3(S + 2T + 4U) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{11}3^3}E_2^2(2S^2 + 37ST + 8T^2 - 8SU - 16TU) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{12}3^3}E_2(11S^3 - 66S^2T + 96ST^2 - 32T^3 - 12S^2U + 176STU) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{13}3^25}(41S^4 + 756S^3T + 15168S^2T^2 + 3904ST^3 + 256T^4 - 48S^3U \\ &\quad - 6624S^2TU - 11648ST^2U - 1024T^3U). \end{aligned}$$

Interestingly, we can also verify the sharing property of $P_{g,1}$, i.e., $P_{2,1}^A = P_{2,1}^B$ for $Z_{2,1}^A(q)$ and $Z_{2,1}^B(q)$ as observed for $g = 1$ in Proposition 6.7. We conjecture that this sharing property holds in general as follows:

Conjecture 6.12. *The q -series $Z_{g,1}^A(q)$ and $Z_{g,1}^B(q)$ are given by*

$$Z_{g,1}^A(q) = P_{g,1} \frac{64}{\eta(q)^8} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{g,1}^B(q) = P_{g,1} \frac{1}{\eta(q^8)^8}$$

with common polynomials $P_{g,1}(= P_{g,1}^A = P_{g,1}^B)$ of degree $2g$ of quasi-modular forms E_2, S, T and U .

Remark 6.13. The above conjecture reminds us the so-called S-duality between counting sections of elliptic surfaces and counting Euler numbers of the corresponding moduli spaces of sheaves on the surfaces [VW]. S-duality on elliptic surfaces is explained by fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transforms [VW, Yos]. In the present case, fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transforms result in Fourier-Mukai partners, i.e., the geometry of $V_{8,w}^1$ is transformed to $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ and vice versa. Note that, under fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transformations, n -sections are transformed to sheaves of rank n . Then the simplification we observe in the above proposition is due to the fact the relevant sheaves are of rank one. Corresponding to the q -series $Z_{g,1}^A(q)$ (resp. $Z_{g,1}^B(q)$), there should be some nice geometry of the moduli space of rank one sheaves on $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ (resp. $V_{8,w}^1$). For the counting of n -sections $Z_{g,n}^A$ and $Z_{g,n}^B$ (Conjecture 5.13 and Conjecture 6.8), the equality $P_{g,n}^A = P_{g,n}^B$ does not hold any more for $n \geq 2$. Clearly, our results motivate us studying geometry of moduli spaces of rank n stable sheaves in general on both $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ and $V_{8,w}^1$. \square

7. EXPLORING THE PARAMETER SPACE \mathbb{P}_Δ MORE

We continue our study on the parameter space \mathbb{P}_Δ focusing on the degeneration point C in Fig.1. Mirror symmetry arises from this boundary point as in the preceding section. We will identify this symmetry with the mirror symmetry between $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ and its mirror family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$.

7.1. Blowing-up at the boundary point C . As shown in Fig.1, the point C is located at the intersection point of three divisors L_1, L_2 and D_s . In terms of an affine coordinate $s_1 := 4x + 1$ and y , the relevant components of three divisors are given by

$$\{y = 0\}, \{s_1 = 0\} \text{ and } \{s_1 + y = 0\},$$

respectively for L_1, L_2 and D_s . We take (s_1, y) to be an affine coordinate centered at C . As shown in Fig.4, after blowing-up at the origin, three intersection points of divisors become normal crossing. To save our notation, we call two of the intersection points C and C' (see Fig.4).

Proposition 7.1. *The boundary points C and C' , respectively, of the Picard-Fuchs equations over \mathbb{P}_Δ are mirror symmetric to Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ and $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8$.*

Proof. We make the local solutions of Picard-Fuchs equations $\mathcal{D}_1\omega = \mathcal{D}_2\omega = 0$ in terms of the blow-up coordinates, and arrange them into the canonical form (C.2). We observe the claimed mirror symmetry by identifying the parameter d_{ijk} in (C.2) with the cubic forms of Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ and $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8$ (see 7.2.c and Remark 7.6 below). \square

7.1.a. Griffiths-Yukawa couplings. Since the local solutions near the boundary points C and C' are isomorphic, as is the case for A and A' , we restrict our attentions to C using the blow-up coordinate

$$(7.1) \quad (s_1, s_2) = \left(\frac{1}{8}(4x + 1), \frac{y}{4x + 1} \right).$$

Recall that the Griffiths-Yukawa couplings C_{ijk}^A (5.5) are determined by Picard-Fuchs equations, and related to C_{ijk}^B by (6.2). Since Picard-Fuchs equations are the same as before, we have Griffiths-Yukawa couplings C_{ijk}^C in the same way by

$$C_{ijk}^C = \sum_{l,m,n} C_{lmn}^A \frac{\partial x_l}{\partial s_i} \frac{\partial x_m}{\partial s_j} \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial s_k}$$

where $(x_1, x_2) = (x, y)$.

7.1.b. Mirror map and Gromov-Witten invariants. Calculations of mirror map and Gromov-Witten invariants are parallel to the previous cases. In the present case the regular local solution $\omega_0^C(s)$ has the form

$$\omega_0^C(s) = 1 + 8s_1 + 56s_1^2 + 384s_1^3 + \cdots + s_2(-16s_1^2 - 256s_1^3 - \cdots) + \cdots,$$

and we arrange all solutions into the canonical form $\Pi_C(s)$ in (C.2). Mirror map is described by

$$q_1 = e^{\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0}} = C_1 s_1 \exp\left(\frac{\omega_1^{reg}}{\omega_0}\right), \quad q_2 = e^{\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_0}} = C_2 s_2 \exp\left(\frac{\omega_2^{reg}}{\omega_0}\right),$$

in terms of $\omega_0 = \omega_0^C$ and $\omega_i = \omega_i^C$ and some constants C_k . Inverting these, we have $s_a = s_a(q_1, q_2)$ for $a = 1, 2$. Then the quantum corrected Yukawa couplings are




Fig.4 Blowing-up at C . For brevity of notation, \tilde{C} is called C again in the text.

$j \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	64	352	1536	5808	19712	61632	180224	498696
2	0	0	512	12288	152576	1351680	9596928	58040320	310097920
3	0	0	352	24384	787632	15603712	221577504	2470588416	22896066536
4	0	0	0	12288	1320960	59842560	1654833152	32671129600	502295842816
5	0	0	0	1536	787632	91112640	5099691008	179687448576	4551683595656
6	0	0	0	0	152576	59842560	7345469952	476575268864	20119442417664
7	0	0	0	0	5808	15603712	5099691008	654619035200	47548652073448
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(0) BPS numbers $n_0^C(i, j)$

$j \setminus i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	0	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
1	0	96	1824	16384	104480	533120	2332608	9074688
2	0	8	5072	216480	4207776	53459792	513205888	4022629824
3	0	0	1824	462176	27062912	798811136	15453590048	222719072256
4	0	0	8	216480	47771216	3439792064	134032422304	3481149295168
5	0	0	0	16384	27062912	5471284128	449545296384	21314156435456
6	0	0	0	8	4207776	3439792064	664078158608	60005323039296
7	0	0	0	0	104480	798811136	449545296384	84043909825632
:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

(1) BPS numbers $n_1^C(i, j)$

Table 3. BPS numbers $n_g^C(i, j) = n_g^C(\beta.H_Y, \beta.A_Y)$ of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8(=:$
 $Y)$. These are equal to the corresponding $n_g^{C'}(i, j)$ of $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8$.

given by

$$(7.2) \quad Y_{ijk}^C = \left(\frac{1}{N_C \omega_0^C} \right)^2 \sum_{a,b,c} C_{abc}^C \frac{ds_a}{dt_i} \frac{ds_b}{dt_j} \frac{ds_c}{dt_k}.$$

Proposition 7.2. *When we set $N_C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and $C_1 = \sqrt{-1}$, $C_2 = 1$ in the definition of the mirror map, we have*

$$Y_{111}^C = 16 + 512q_1^2q_2 + 22528q_1^4q_2 + \dots, \quad Y_{112}^C = 8 + 256q_1^2q_2 + 5632q_1^4q_2 + \dots,$$

$$Y_{122}^C = 128q_1^2q_2 + 1408q_1^4q_2 + 9216q_1^6q_2 + \dots, \quad Y_{222}^C = 8q_2 + 8q_2^2 + 8q_2^3 + \dots + 64q_1^2q_2 +,$$

where non-vanishing coefficients appear only for even-powers of q_1 . We have exactly same form for the corresponding expansions of $Y_{ijk}^{C'}$.

7.2. Genus one potential F_1^C . We calculate genus one Gromov-Witten invariants by using BCOV formula (5.10).

7.2.a. **BCOV potential function F_1^C .** Let us introduce the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dis}C_0 &= (1 - 4s_1)^4 + 256s_1^2(1 - 8s_1)s_2(1 + s_2), \\ \text{dis}C_1 &= 1 + s_2, \quad \text{dis}C_2 = 1 - 4s_1, \quad \text{dis}C_3 = 1 - 8s_1. \end{aligned}$$

As in Subsection 5.7, knowing that the boundary point C is mirror symmetric to a free quotient $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$, we can determine the parameters r_0 and r_k contained in the BCOV formula.

Proposition 7.3. *Around the boundary point C , the BCOV potential function has the following form*

$$F_1^C(q_1, q_2) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_C} \right)^5 \frac{\partial(s_1, s_2)}{\partial(t_1, t_2)} \text{dis}C_0^{-\frac{8}{5}} \text{dis}C_1^{-1} \text{dis}C_2^{-1} \text{dis}C_3^{-\frac{5}{3}} s_1^{-1-\frac{16}{12}} s_2^{-1} \right\}.$$

Assuming mirror symmetry, we read the genus one Gromov-Witten invariants from these potential functions. In Table 3 (1), we have listed the resulting BPS numbers (see also 7.2.c below). Also, from the form of F_1^C , it is easy to verify the following property:

Proposition 7.4. *The potential functions F_1^C and F_1^A are NOT related to each other on \mathbb{P}_Δ by the transformation rules (t1) and (t2) in (6.5).*

We will interpret the above proposition in Subsection 7.4 (Proposition 7.9).

Remark 7.5. Recall that the conifold factor of F_1^X in Remark 5.11 counts the number of ODPs or vanishing S^3 's which appear over the principal component of the discriminant of a family. In many examples, it is observed that the conifold factor is generalized to vanishing lens space S^3/\mathbb{Z}_N by counting $-\frac{N}{6}$ for each lens space S^3/\mathbb{Z}_N (eg. [AM1],[HT13]). Based on this, we read the BCOV formula F_1^C as the one applied for the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$. In fact, we have one vanishing cycle S^3 in each fiber $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ of the quotient family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over $\{\text{dis}C_0 = 0\} = \{\text{dis}_0 = 0\}$, i.e., the τ -orbit in Proposition 3.6. This vanishing cycle gives rise to a lens space $S^3/\langle \sigma \rangle$ in the full quotient $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ by $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$. This explains the conifold factor in $\text{dis}C_0^{-\frac{8}{5}}$ and also the claimed property in Proposition 7.4, because F_1^C and F_1^A are defined for different families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$, respectively. \square

7.2.b. **Generators of $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8)$.** Recall that we fixed a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle$ to have the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over \mathbb{P}_Δ . Since $V_{8,w}^1$ is simply connected, the fundamental group of the free quotient $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_8 . In the same way as in 6.2.d, we can determine the generators of $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z})$ from the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8) \rightarrow H_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(\mathbb{Z}_8) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H_2(\mathbb{Z}_8)$ is the group homology. Since $H_2(\mathbb{Z}_8) = 0$ this time, we see that (the free part of) the second homology group $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by two rational curves. To see this, let us note that both $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ have fibrations by abelian surfaces. Let us denote by H_X and A_X the restriction of the hyperplane class and the fiber class of $V_{8,w}^1 (= X)$, respectively. These two divisors generate $\text{Pic}(V_{8,w}^1)$. Denote the free quotient by $\pi : V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 =: Y$. Since the free \mathbb{Z}_8 -action acts on each fiber, we have $\pi^*A_Y = A_X$ for the pull-back of the fiber class A_Y of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$. The group \mathbb{Z}_8 acts diagonally on the coordinates x_i . Hence, restricting the divisor $\{x_i = 0\}$ to $V_{8,w}^1$, we have a \mathbb{Z}_8 -invariant divisor of the class H_X , which is the pull-back of a divisor H_Y on Y . To summarize, we have

$$(7.3) \quad \pi^*H_Y = H_X, \quad \pi^*A_Y = A_X.$$

Now, for these two divisors H_Y and A_Y on $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= H_X \cdot \ell = H_Y \cdot (\pi_* \ell), & 0 &= H_X \cdot \sigma = H_Y \cdot (\pi_* \sigma), \\ 0 &= A_X \cdot \ell = A_Y \cdot (\pi_* \ell), & 1 &= A_X \cdot \sigma = A_Y \cdot (\pi_* \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where σ is a section of abelian surface fibration $V_{8,w}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and ℓ is a line contained in a singular fiber of $V_{8,w}^1$ (see 2.2.a). These relations show that, modulo torsion elements, the classes of $\pi_* \ell$ and $\pi_* \sigma$ generate $H_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \pi_2(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8)$ and also H_Y and A_Y generate $\text{Pic}(V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8)$.

Note that, from (7.3), we determine the following invariants of $Y = V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$,

$$(7.4) \quad H_Y^3 = H_Y^2 A_Y = 2, \quad H_Y A_Y^2 = A_Y^3 = 0; \quad c_2 \cdot H_Y = 8, \quad c_2 \cdot A_Y = 0.$$

7.2.c. Gromov-Witten invariants from potential functions F_0^C, F_1^C . Recall that Gromov-Witten potential $F_0^C(t_1, t_2)$ at genus 0 is defined as a function which satisfies

$$Y_{ijk}^C = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial t_i \partial t_j \partial t_k} F^C(t_1, t_2)$$

for the quantum corrected Yukawa-couplings in Proposition 7.2 with $q_i = e^{t_i}$. As a generating function of Gromov-Witten invariants, this function takes the following general form:

$$F_0(t_1, t_2) = \frac{1}{3!} \int_Y \kappa_t^3 + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \beta \neq 0}} N_0(\beta) e^{\beta \cdot \kappa_t}$$

with $\kappa_t := t_1 H_1 + t_2 H_2$ in terms of some nef-divisors H_i . Comparing the invariants in (7.4) with the constant terms of Y_{ijk}^C , we see that, for the potential function $F_0^C(t_1, t_2)$ from Y_{ijk}^C in Proposition 7.2, we should have

$$(7.5) \quad \kappa_t = t_1(2H_Y) + t_2 A_Y.$$

Once we know the above identification of divisors, the genus one Gromov-Witten invariants $N_1(\beta)$ are read uniquely by identifying the BCOV formula for F_1^C , up to a constant term, with the following general form:

$$F_1(t) = -\frac{c_2 \cdot \kappa_t}{24} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \beta \neq 0}} N_1(\beta) e^{\beta \cdot \kappa_t}.$$

The BPS numbers $n_g(i, j) = n_g(\beta \cdot H_Y, \beta \cdot A_Y)$ listed in Table 3 are determined from $N_g(\beta) = N_g(\beta \cdot H_Y, \beta \cdot A_Y)$ which are read from $F_0^C(t)$ and $F_1^C(t)$.

Remark 7.6. When reading Gromov-Witten invariants from (5.6) and (6.4), we implicitly identified the κ_t in the potential function with $\kappa_t = t_1 H_X + t_2 A_X$ and $\kappa_t = t_1 H_Y + t_2 A_Y$, respectively. We note that H_X, A_X and H_Y, A_Y in these equations are generators of the Picard groups, while $2H_Y, A_Y$ in (7.5) are not. The above example shows that a non-trivial identification of κ_t like (7.5) introduces a slight subtlety when reading $N_g(\beta)$ from the potential functions $F_g(t)$ which we calculate by using mirror symmetry. \square

7.2.d. $Z_{g,n}(q)$ by modular forms. We define the q -series $Z_{g,n}^C(q)$ from the potential functions $F_g^C(q, p)$ as in the preceding sections. From Table 3, it is easy to see that

$$(7.6) \quad Z_{0,1}^C(q) = \frac{8}{\bar{\eta}(q^2)^8},$$

where q^2 comes from the relation (7.5). Also we find, corresponding to (6.6), the following relations:

$$P_{0,n}^C(q) = 8^{n-1} P_{0,n}^A(q^2) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

for the polynomials defined by $Z_{g,n}^C(q) = P_{g,n}^C(q) \left(\frac{8}{\eta(q^2)^8} \right)^n$. Furthermore, at $g = 1$, we can calculate explicitly the following forms of $P_{1,n}^C(q)$ in terms of elliptic quasi-modular forms $\tilde{E}_k := E_k(q^2)$ ($k = 2, 4, 6$) for lower n :

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,1}^C &= \frac{1}{36}(\tilde{E}_2^2 + 2\tilde{E}_4), \\ P_{1,2}^C &= \frac{1}{10368}(8\tilde{E}_2^4 + 13\tilde{E}_2^2\tilde{E}_4 + 17\tilde{E}_2\tilde{E}_6 + 16\tilde{E}_4^2), \\ P_{1,3}^C &= \frac{1}{2^{14}3^7}(1048\tilde{E}_2^6 + 1995\tilde{E}_2^4\tilde{E}_4 + 3642\tilde{E}_2^2\tilde{E}_4^2 + 1711\tilde{E}_4^3 + 2444\tilde{E}_2^3\tilde{E}_6 \\ &\quad + 3948\tilde{E}_2\tilde{E}_4\tilde{E}_6 + 764\tilde{E}_6^2). \end{aligned}$$

7.2.e. **Contraction to $(2, 2, 2, 2)/\mathbb{Z}_8$.** Birational geometry of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ is quite parallel to the cases of $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$; the diagram (5.8) is valid with the free \mathbb{Z}_8 actions;

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 & \leftarrow & V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8 \\ & \searrow \text{Sp}^1 & \swarrow \text{Sp}^1 \\ & X_{2,2,2,2}^{\text{sing}}/\mathbb{Z}_8 & \end{array}$$

The birational model $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8$ corresponds to the other boundary point C' in Fig.4. We note that the singular Calabi-Yau complete intersection $X_{2,2,2,2}^{\text{sing}}/\mathbb{Z}_8$ admits a smoothing to a smooth free quotient $X_{2,2,2,2}/\mathbb{Z}_8$ in [Br, Hu]. We can observe the following sum-up properties for $g = 0$ and $g = 1$ BPS numbers:

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{d_2} n_0^C(d, d_2) = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}_8|} n_0^{(2,2,2,2)}(d), \quad \sum_{d_2} n_1^C(d, d_2) = n_1^{(2,2,2,2)/\mathbb{Z}_8}(d).$$

The number $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{Z}_8|} n_0^{(2,2,2,2)}(d)$ can be interpreted as BPS numbers of the smooth free quotient $X_{2,2,2,2}/\mathbb{Z}_8$. The number $n_1^{(2,2,2,2)/\mathbb{Z}_8}(d)$ has been determined in Appendix E.3 from BCOV formula assuming a mirror family of the smooth free quotient $X_{2,2,2,2}/\mathbb{Z}_8$.

7.3. **Genus two potential F_2^C and F_g^C ($g \geq 2$).** It is quite parallel to the cases of F_2^A and F_2^B to determine the genus two potential function F_2^C up to a rational function $f_2^C(s_1, s_2)$. It is easy to find a suitable ansatz on f_2^C and impose the most reasonable vanishing conditions on some BPS numbers; however, there still remain undetermined parameters. If F_2^C were related to F_2^A or F_2^B on \mathbb{P}_Δ by the transformation rules (t1)' and (t2)', then f_2^C would be determined from f_2^A . However, we find that vanishing conditions for A and C are not compatible, i.e., we encounter non-integral BPS numbers if we impose them. At genus one, we have already encountered the corresponding situation in Proposition 7.4.

Our verifications of the following conjecture are restricted to genus zero and one; but we expect that it holds in general because BCOV recursion formulas for F_g ($g \geq 2$) start with F_0 and F_1 as initial data.

Conjecture 7.7. *The q -series $Z_{g,n}^C(q)$ of Gromov-Witten invariants of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ are written by quasi-modular modular forms as*

$$Z_{g,n}^C(q) = P_{g,n}^C(E_2(q^2), E_4(q^2), E_6(q^2)) \left(\frac{8}{\eta(q^2)^8} \right)^n,$$

where $P_{g,n}^C(E_2(q^2), E_4(q^2), E_6(q^2))$ are quasi-modular forms of weight $4(g+n-1)$.

Remark 7.8. In physics, the whole set $\{F_g^X\}$ of potential functions define the so-called topological string theory on a Calabi-Yau manifold X . From the above (conjectural) simple structure on $P_{g,n}^C$, we may naturally expect that the topological string on $X = V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ is completely integrable, i.e., the whole set $\{F_g^X\}$ may be determined completely. Mathematically, the simplification in the modular property of $Z_{g,n}^C(q)$ may be explained by the fact that the fiber abelian surfaces are principally polarized for the fibration $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ as we see in (7.4). \square

7.4. Mirror symmetry. In Section 5, with a subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 = \langle \tau \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, we have introduced two families

$$\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta \text{ and } \mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$$

over the same parameter space \mathbb{P}_Δ . The local systems $R^3\pi_*\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ associated to these are represented by the same Picard-Fuchs differential equations $\mathcal{D}_2\omega = \mathcal{D}_3\omega = 0$ (5.3) on \mathbb{P}_Δ . We can now summarize our results from each boundary point of $A, B; A', B'; C, C'$ as follows.

Proposition 7.9. *The following two different pictures of mirror symmetry are encoded in the same Picard-Fuchs differential equations (5.3) :*

- (1) *When we read (5.3) as representing the local system of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, mirror symmetry of the family to Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are identified at the boundary points A and B , respectively. Mirror symmetry to birational models $V_{8,w}^2$ and $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are also identified at A' and B' .*
- (2) *When we read (5.3) as representing the local system of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, mirror symmetry of the family to a Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ is identified at the boundary point C . Mirror symmetry to the birational model $V_{8,w}^2/\mathbb{Z}_8$ is identified at C' .*

At this moment, our identifications of the mirror families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ as above are based on the genus one potential functions F_1^A, F_1^B and F_1^C (Remarks 5.11 and 7.5). In the next section (Proposition 8.3), the difference between the two families will be explained further by integral structures from the solutions of (5.3).

The above proposition is our affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.1.

Remark 7.10. Calabi-Yau manifolds $V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ are Fourier-Mukai partners to each other. The above proposition shows that they are mirror symmetric to the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$. Conversely, Calabi-Yau manifold $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8$ should be mirror symmetric to both the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ and a family of $V_{8,w}^1$ over some parameter space, although we haven't constructed the latter. \square

8. DEGENERATIONS AND ANALYTIC CONTINUATIONS

We further study our results in Proposition 7.9 by calculating the connection matrices for the local solutions at each boundary point A, B and C . We also describe the degenerations of Calabi-Yau manifolds over these points. We expect that categorical and geometric aspects of mirror symmetry, including Fourier-Mukai partners, will appear in explicit and concrete forms from these degenerations, but we leave the details for future investigations.

8.1. Analytic continuations of period integrals. We consider the connection problem of local solutions around the boundary points A, B and C of the Picard-Fuchs differential equations (5.3). To set up the connection problem, we arrange local solutions into the canonical form (C.2) in Appendix C; and write them by

$$\Pi_A(x, y), \quad \Pi_B(z_1, z_2), \quad \Pi_C(s_1, s_2),$$

where we use the affine coordinates centered at each boundary point which are related to each other by (6.1) and (7.1). Here we set the parameters a_{ij} to zero in the canonical forms Π_P for all $P = A, B, C$ (and \tilde{A}). To simplify our calculations, in this paper, we will restrict our calculations for the above three points (and \tilde{A} additionally).

8.1.a. Making local solutions. To do analytic continuations, we need to generate local solutions in the forms of power series up to sufficiently high degrees. In the present case, the following property of the Picard-Fuchs system enables us to do it efficiently. Below we sketch our calculations for the case A , but calculations for other cases B, C and also \tilde{A} are quite parallel.

Let us recall the forms of local solutions $\omega_0(x), \omega_i(x), \omega_{2,i}(x), \omega_3(x)$ in (C.1). Arrange the regular solution $\omega_0(x)$ by powers of y ,

$$\omega_0(x, y) = f_0(x) + f_1(x)y + f_2(x)y^2 + f_3(x)y^3 \cdots,$$

and substitute this into $\mathcal{D}_2\omega_0 = 0$, then we obtain polynomial relations

$$f_n + G_n(x, f_{n-1}, f'_{n-1}, f''_{n-1}) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

which we can solve recursively with an initial data $f_0(x)$. From $\mathcal{D}_2\omega_0 = \mathcal{D}_3\omega_0 = 0$, it is easy to find the initial data $f_0(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(2n)!(2n)!}{(n!)^4} x^{2n}$. Basically, this method works for other local solutions assuming their forms in (C.1). For the case of ω_1 , for example, having $\omega_0(x)$ up to desired orders in x and y , arrange $\omega_1(x)$ as

$$\omega_1(x, y) = \omega_0(x, y) \log x + g_0(x) + g_1(x)y + g_2(x)y^2 + \cdots,$$

and substitute this into $\mathcal{D}_2\omega_1 = 0$. Then we obtain polynomial relations

$$g_n + K_n(x, g_{n-1}, g'_{n-1}, g''_{n-1}) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \cdots),$$

which we can solve recursively once we determine $g_0(x)$. To determine $g_0(x)$, we use the equations $\mathcal{D}_2\omega_1 = \mathcal{D}_3\omega_1 = 0$ to find a linear differential equation of $g_0(x)$ described by the data $f_0(x)$ and $f_1(x)$.

Though the solutions $\omega_{2,i}(x), \omega_3(x)$ contain polynomials of higher powers of $\log x$ and $\log y$, we can continue the same process after having solutions $\omega_0(x)$ and $\omega_i(x)$.

8.1.b. Connection matrices. Analytic continuation of local solutions is tedious in general for differential equations of multi-variables. However, note that the boundary points A, B, C and A' are aligned on the real line of a single rational boundary divisor in \mathbb{P}_Δ (see Fig.5). This reduces our connection problem essentially to that of one variable. In fact, we can obtain the following results by making local solutions up to the first order in y but sufficiently higher order in x .




Fig.5

To describe the results, let us write by $\Pi_A(x)$, $\Pi_B(z)$, $\Pi_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{x})$ and $\Pi_C(s)$ the local solutions in the canonical form (C.2) with $a_{ij} = 0$ for A, B, \tilde{A} and C , respectively. Four points A, B, \tilde{A} and C are aligned on the real coordinate line of a boundary divisor $\{y = 0\}$ with their coordinates $(x, 0) = (0, 0), (\frac{1}{4}, 0), (\infty, 0)$ and $(-\frac{1}{4}, 0)$ in order. We define connection matrices along the real coordinate line (see Fig.5) by

$$\Pi_A = U_{AB}\Pi_B, \quad \Pi_B = U_{B\tilde{A}}\Pi_{\tilde{A}}, \quad \Pi_{\tilde{A}} = U_{\tilde{A}C}\Pi_C, \quad \Pi_C = U_{CA}\Pi_A,$$

where U_{XY} represents the connection matrix of the analytic continuation of Π_X to the point Y along a path $X \rightarrow Y$ shown in Fig.5. Note that the canonical forms Π_P of local solutions contains normalization constants N_P .

Proposition 8.1. *When we normalize the local solutions by*

$$N_A = 1, \quad N_B = \frac{1}{2}, \quad N_C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad N_{\tilde{A}} = 2^4,$$

then the connection matrices are represented by symplectic matrices with respect to Σ in (C.3); explicitly, they are given by

$$U_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{\tilde{A}C} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 8 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{2} & -3 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 8 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 8 & 0 & -6 & -16 \\ -\frac{5}{2} & 10 & 1 & -4 & -1 & -4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U_{B\tilde{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -8 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -96 & 0 & -32 & 1 & -8 \\ -2 & -32 & 1 & -7 & \frac{1}{2} & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_{CA} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 16 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & -16 & -8 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 8.2. (1) The values of the normalization constants N_P are exactly the same as those used in (5.6), (6.4) and (7.2) to have right quantum corrected Yukawa couplings with right normalizations. (2) An ordered product of the above connection matrices represents a trivial loop on the divisor $\{y = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. We observe that our choice of path for this loop is twisted by the monodromy $\log y \mapsto \log y - 4 \times 2\pi\sqrt{-1}$;

$$U_{AB}U_{B\tilde{A}}U_{\tilde{A}C}U_{CA} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -16 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^4.$$

This twist can be explained by the fact that we have resolved 4-th order tangency at the intersection point B of $\{y = 0\}$ and $\{dis_0 = 0\}$. \square

8.1.c. Integral and symplectic structures. As summarized in Appendix C, mirror symmetry arises from Picard-Fuchs differential equations of a family in the canonical forms Π_P of local solutions at special boundary points P . It is conjectured in general [Hos1, Hos2] that the canonical form Π_P introduces an integral

and symplectic structure on the space of solutions which are compatible with mirror symmetry (see also generalization to Fano varieties [GI]). Here, to go into further implications of mirror symmetry, let us assume this conjecture and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},P} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^6$ the integral structure generated by the local solutions Π_P . As described in Appendix C, the solutions in Π_P introduce a symplectic basis of H_3 ,

$$(8.1) \quad \{\gamma_k^P\} = \{\alpha_0^P, \alpha_1^P, \alpha_2^P, \beta_2^P, \beta_1^P, \beta_0^P\}$$

with $\langle \alpha_i^P, \beta_j^P \rangle = -\langle \beta_j^P, \alpha_i^P \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. We note that the connection matrix U_{AB} in Proposition 8.1 is symplectic and integral, while U_{CA} is symplectic but not integral. From this, we observe the following

Proposition 8.3. *The integral structures $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},A}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},B}$ are isomorphic to each other, while they are not isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},C}$.*

In Proposition 7.9, three boundary points A, B, C are grouped into A, B and C from the transformation properties (connection properties) of the higher genus potential functions F_1 and F_2 . Combining this with the above result, we deduce again that the integral structure $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},A} \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},B}$ comes from the local system $R^3\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ associated with the family $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, while $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},C}$ comes from that of the other family $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$.

Our result shows that BCOV theory of higher genus potential functions F_g (topological string for physicists) depends on the integral structure $R^3\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ although it is encoded in the theory in an implicit way. It is argued in physics that a topological string $\{F_g^X\}$ is equivalent to a wave function of a quantum mechanical system on $H^3(X, \mathbb{C})$ with the natural symplectic structure on $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (see e.g. [W, ABK]). We expect that our examples motivate investigations towards a global mathematical theory of these interesting ideas as well as BCOV recursion formulas.

Remark 8.4. In the above proposition, we have excluded the boundary point \tilde{A} from our consideration. This is because A and \tilde{A} are related by an involutive symmetry (4.3), which actually is a symmetry of the Picard-Fuchs differential equations. One may start all our calculations with \tilde{A} and obtain the same results, e.g. Proposition 8.1, with A exchanged by \tilde{A} . \square

8.1.d. Derived equivalence and mirror symmetry. The mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds for the boundary points A and B are identified, respectively, with $V_A := V_{8,w}^1$ and $V_B := V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, which are derived equivalent. The equivalence is described by the fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transformation [Sch]. In the mirror side, i.e. in the family of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$, we can read this Fourier-Mukai transformation in the form of the connection matrix U_{AB} .

Let us recall that, under homological mirror symmetry [Ko], the integral symplectic structure $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},A}, \{\gamma_k^A\}) \simeq (\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z},B}, \{\gamma_k^B\})$ from the boundary points is transformed to the corresponding integral symplectic structures on the Grothendieck group $K(V_A) \simeq K(V_B)$ of coherent sheaves (see e.g. [Hos2]). Note that the isomorphism follows from the derived equivalence $D^b(V_A) \simeq D^b(V_B)$, and there the symplectic structure is naturally introduced by $\chi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$. Mirror symmetry predicts that there are integral symplectic bases $\{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma_k}^A\}, \{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma_k}^B\}$, which are mirror duals to the bases $\{\gamma_k^A\}$ and $\{\gamma_k^B\}$, respectively. Not so much is known about the integral symplectic basis $\{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma_k}\}$; however, for the symplectic basis (8.1) coming from the canonical form of period integrals Π_P , it is expected that the following naive correspondences hold:

$$(8.2) \quad \{\gamma_k\} = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0\} \xleftrightarrow{\text{MD}} \{\mathcal{O}_P, \mathcal{O}_{C_1}, \mathcal{O}_{C_2}, \mathcal{O}_{D_2}, \mathcal{O}_{D_1}, \mathcal{O}_V\} = \{\mathcal{E}_{\gamma_k}\},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_V$ are the skyscraper sheaf supported on $p \in V$ and the structure sheaf of a mirror Calabi-Yau manifold V , respectively. $\mathcal{O}_{C_i}, \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ are torsion sheaves supported on curves C_i and dual divisors D_i . More precisely, we need suitable twists on these sheaves, but we omit these details for simplicity.

Assuming the above mirror duality (MD), we read the connection matrix U_{AB} as in the following diagram:

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \{\gamma_k^A\} = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0\} & \xleftrightarrow{\text{MD}} & \{\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_\sigma, \mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_D, \mathcal{O}_V\} \\ \downarrow U_{AB} & & \downarrow \begin{array}{l} \times(-1) \\ \times(-1) \end{array} \\ \{\gamma_k^B\} = \{-\beta_2, \alpha_1, \beta_0, -\alpha_0, \beta_1, \alpha_2\} & \xleftrightarrow{\text{MD}} & \{\mathcal{O}_{p'}, \mathcal{O}_{C'}, \mathcal{O}_{\sigma'}, \mathcal{O}_{A'}, \mathcal{O}_{D'}, \mathcal{O}_{V'}\} \end{array}$$

where α_i, β_j represent the symplectic bases in $(\mathcal{H}_{Z,A}, \{\gamma_k^A\})$. On the mirror side, σ, A and σ', A' represent a section and a fiber of the abelian surface fibrations $V := V_A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and its dual fibration $V' := V_B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, respectively. The relations between the sheaves indicated in the above diagram exactly match with the actions of the fiberwise Fourier-Mukai transformation.

8.2. Degenerations of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over A and B . Since the degeneration point A is the origin of the affine coordinate (x, y) which is related to $[w_0, w_1, w_2] \in \mathbb{P}_w$ by (4.2), we may represent it by a limit of $[t, t, 1]$ ($t \rightarrow 0$). Similarly, it is easy to find a suitable limit which represents the point B by writing the blow-up coordinate (z_B^1, z_B^2) in (6.1) in terms of $[w_0, w_1, w_2]$ as

$$(z_B^1, z_B^2) = \left(\frac{w_2^2 - w_0^2}{8w_2^2}, -\frac{256w_1^4w_2^5}{w_0(w_0^2 - w_2^2)^4} \right).$$

Let us denote by $p_{AB}(t)$ ($0 < t < 1$) a path in \mathbb{P}_w for the analytic continuation U_{AB} , satisfying $(x(p_{AB}(t)), y(p_{AB}(t))) \rightarrow A$ and $(z_B^1(p_{AB}(t)), z_B^2(p_{AB}(t))) \rightarrow B$ for $t \rightarrow 0$ and 1, respectively, on the blow-up of \mathbb{P}_Δ .

Proposition 8.5. *For a large integer n , the following path in \mathbb{P}_w^2*

$$p_{AB}(t) = [t, t^n(t-1)^n, 1] \quad (0 < t < 1)$$

describes a path used for the analytic continuation from the degeneration point A to B .

Proof. In terms of the affine coordinate (x, y) in (4.2), A and B are represented by $(0, 0)$ and $(-\frac{1}{4}, 0)$, respectively. From the definition (4.2), we have

$$(x(p_{AB}(t)), y(p_{AB}(t))) = \left(\frac{t^2}{4}, -2t^{n-1}(t-1)^n \right).$$

Since $y(p_{AB}(t))$ is sufficiently close to zero, when n is large, we obtain a path which we use for the analytic continuation. \square

From $p_{AB}(0) = [0, 0, 1]$ and $p_{AB}(1) = [1, 0, 1]$, we read the ideals for the degenerations over A and B as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_A &= \langle x_2x_6, x_3x_7, x_4x_0, x_5x_1 \rangle, \\ I_B &= \langle x_0^2 + x_4^2 + 2x_2x_6, x_1^2 + x_5^2 + 2x_3x_7, \\ &\quad x_2^2 + x_6^2 + 2x_0x_4, x_3^2 + x_7^2 + 2x_1x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see from I_A that the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ degenerates to 16 \mathbb{P}^3 s, consisting coordinate subspaces, whose configuration is displayed in the dual intersection diagram in Fig.6 (where 16 \mathbb{P}^3 are represented by vertices). This degeneration was first appeared in [Pav] and was studied in detail there. As the proposition below shows,




Fig.6 Dual intersection diagram of $V(I_A)$. The bracket $[ijkl]$ assigned to each vertex represents a linear subspace ($\simeq \mathbb{P}^3$) in \mathbb{P}^7 defined by the ideal $\langle x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l \rangle$. This diagram should be identified with the three dimensional faces of a four dimensional cube, which gives a polyhedral decomposition of three sphere S^3 . The other degenerations $V(I_B)$ and $V(I_C)$ are described by the same diagram under the correspondences $x_k \leftrightarrow z_k \leftrightarrow u_k$.

the degeneration over B has exactly the same type as A , but none of 16 \mathbb{P}^3 s is given by coordinate subspace.

Proposition 8.6. *By a linear change of variables with $i = \sqrt{-1}$,*

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{aligned} z_0 &= x_0 + x_2 - x_4 - x_6, & z_1 &= x_1 + x_3 - x_5 - x_7, \\ z_2 &= x_0 - i x_2 + x_4 - i x_6, & z_3 &= x_1 - i x_3 + x_5 - i x_7, \\ z_4 &= x_0 - x_2 - x_4 + x_6, & z_5 &= x_1 - x_3 - x_5 + x_7, \\ z_6 &= x_0 + i x_2 + x_4 + i x_6, & z_7 &= x_1 + i x_3 + x_5 + i x_7, \end{aligned}$$

the four generators of I_B are expressed by

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} x_0^2 + x_4^2 + 2x_2x_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_2z_6 + z_0z_4), & x_1^2 + x_5^2 + 2x_3x_7 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_3z_7 + z_1z_5), \\ x_2^2 + x_6^2 + 2x_0x_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_2z_6 - z_0z_4), & x_3^2 + x_7^2 + 2x_1x_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(z_3z_7 - z_1z_5). \end{aligned}$$

From this proposition, we see that the degenerations of the family over A and B are isomorphic under correspondences of linear subspace $\{x_k = 0\}$ and $\{z_k = 0\}$, while the transformation properties under the Heisenberg group \mathcal{H}_8 differ from each other (see Proposition 8.9 below).

8.3. Degeneration of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over C . The degeneration point C is located at the origin of $(s_1, s_2) = (\frac{1}{8}(4x+1), \frac{y}{4x+1})$ as described in 7.1.a. As in the preceding subsection, we have

$$(s_1, s_2) = \left(\frac{w_0^2 + w_2^2}{8w_2^2}, -\frac{2w_1^4}{w_0w_2(w_0^2 + w_2^2)} \right),$$

and realize the origin by a suitable limit in \mathbb{P}_w^2 . We denote by $p_{AC}(t)$ ($0 < t < 1$) a path in \mathbb{P}_w^2 which represent the path adapted for the analytic continuation $U_{AC} =$

$(U_{CA})^{-1}$ in 8.1.b; it satisfies $(s_1(p_{AC}(t)), s_2(p_{AC}(t))) \rightarrow A$ and C when $t \rightarrow 0$ and 1, respectively.

Proposition 8.7. *For a large integer n , the following path in \mathbb{P}_w^2*

$$p_{AC}(t) = [it, (i)^{\frac{1}{4}} t^n (t-1)^n, 1] \quad (0 < t < 1)$$

describes a path used for the analytic continuation from A to C .

To show the above claim, we simply need to write the (x, y) coordinate of $p_{AC}(t)$,

$$(x(p_{AC}(t)), y(p_{AC}(t))) = \left(-\frac{t^2}{4}, -2t^{n-1}(t-1)^n \right).$$

using the definition (4.2). When n is large, we obtain a path for the analytic continuation. Now, corresponding to Proposition 8.6, we have

Proposition 8.8. *If we change the variables by*

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} u_0 &= x_0 + \xi x_2 - x_4 + \xi x_6, & u_1 &= x_1 + \xi x_3 - x_5 - \xi x_7, \\ u_2 &= \xi x_0 + x_2 + \xi x_4 - x_6, & u_3 &= \xi x_1 + x_3 + \xi x_5 - x_7, \\ u_4 &= -x_0 + \xi x_2 + x_4 + \xi x_6, & u_5 &= -x_1 + \xi x_3 + x_5 + \xi x_7, \\ u_6 &= \xi x_0 - x_2 + \xi x_4 + x_6, & u_7 &= \xi x_1 - x_3 + \xi x_5 + x_7, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = (-1)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, then the four generators of I_C are expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2}(x_0^2 + x_4^2) + x_2x_6 &= u_2u_6 - iu_0u_4, & \frac{i}{2}(x_1^2 + x_5^2) + x_3x_7 &= u_3u_7 - iu_1u_5, \\ \frac{i}{2}(x_2^2 + x_6^2) + x_0x_4 &= u_0u_4 - iu_2u_6, & \frac{i}{2}(x_3^2 + x_7^2) + x_1x_5 &= u_1u_5 - iu_3u_7. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify the above proposition. From this proposition, we see an isomorphisms of $V(I_C)$ to $V(I_A)$ under the correspondences between the linear subspaces $\{x_k = 0\}$ and $\{u_k = 0\}$.

8.4. Heisenberg group actions on the degenerations. The equations in Propositions 8.6, 8.8 entail the same dual intersection diagram as that for I_A (see Fig.6), under the correspondences between linear subspaces in \mathbb{P}^7 ;

$$P_k := \{x_k = 0\} \leftrightarrow Q_k := \{z_k = 0\} \leftrightarrow R_k := \{u_k = 0\}.$$

We note that Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ acts naturally on the the finite set $\{P_k\}$ as permutations, which we write

$$(8.7) \quad \sigma : (P_0P_7P_6P_5P_4P_3P_2P_1), \quad \tau : (P_0)(P_1) \cdots (P_7)$$

by using cycle notation of permutations. It is easy to derive the following results from the linear relations of z_k and u_k to x_k .

Proposition 8.9. *The generators σ and τ of \mathcal{H}_8 act on the linear subspaces $\{Q_k\}$ and $\{R_k\}$ for I_B and I_C , respectively, as*

$$(8.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma &: (Q_0Q_5Q_4Q_1)(Q_2Q_7Q_6Q_3), & \tau &: (Q_0Q_2Q_4Q_6)(Q_1Q_3Q_5Q_7), \\ \sigma &: (R_0R_7R_6R_5R_4R_3R_2R_1), & \tau &: (R_0R_2R_4R_6)(R_1R_3R_5R_7). \end{aligned}$$

For the mirror family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ of $V_{8,w}^1$ (and also $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$), we consider a \mathbb{Z}_8 -quotient of $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ by the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_8 \simeq \langle \tau \rangle$ of $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8 (\simeq \mathcal{H}_8$ projectively). As described above, for the degenerations over A and B , the (dual) intersection complex of the linear subspaces are isomorphic but the actions of the \mathbb{Z}_8 subgroup differ from each other. We need to study more to reveal how the mirror symmetry we observed in Sections 5,6,7 are encoded in the geometry of the degenerations over A and B (and also C). We leave more detailed analysis for future investigations.

Remark 8.10. We notice a similarity in the linear relations in (8.4) and (8.6) as well as the ideals I_B and I_C . However, there is a sharp difference between the two in their symmetry properties: The four generators of the ideal I_C follow from the symmetry relation (3.2)

$$f_i(\rho(g).w, g.x) = \sum c_{ij}(g)f_j(w, x)$$

for $g = S.S$ by setting $w = (0, 0, 1)$ and replacing x with $g^{-1}.x$. Then the linear relation (8.6) is identified with $u = g^{-1}.x$. On the other hand, we can verify that no group element g in \mathcal{NH}_8 explains the relation (8.5). Since the element $\bar{S}.\bar{S}$ is contained in $G_1^{ex} \setminus G_0$ as we see in (3.6), two points A and C are identified in the quotient \mathbb{P}_w^2/G_1^{ex} as well as in the quotient $\mathbb{P}_w^2/G_\rho \simeq \mathbb{P}^2(3, 2, 1)$. The fact that there is no such element $g \in \mathcal{NH}_8$ for I_B indicates that B is mapped to a point different from A and C in $\mathbb{P}^2(3, 2, 1)$. In fact, using the invariants given in Proposition 3.11, we see that both the points $w = (0, 0, 1)$ and $w = (i, 0, 1)$ are mapped to $[0, 1, 0]$ in $\mathbb{P}^2(3, 2, 1)$ while $w = (1, 0, 1)$ is mapped to $[0, 0, 1] \in \mathbb{P}^2(3, 2, 1)$. \square

9. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

We have presented a thorough study on two families coming from a family $\mathcal{V}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_w^2$ of Calabi-Yau manifolds with vanishing Euler numbers. We have observed that mirror symmetry, including Fourier-Mukai partners, arises nicely from the boundary points of the relevant family. Assuming mirror symmetry, we have determined Gromov-Witten potentials F_g^X for $g = 0, 1, 2$ ($X = A, B$) and $g = 0, 1$ ($X = C$); and found that they are written in terms of quasi-modular forms. From these results, one may expect that these topological string theories are completely integrable in these cases.

The q -series $Z_{g,n}^X(q)$ for A and B counts Gromov-Witten invariants of Calabi-Yau manifolds which are derived equivalent to each other. These counting problems of curves should have corresponding moduli problems of stable sheaves on these Calabi-Yau manifolds. Deriving our counting functions from this point of view is eagerly desired but beyond the scope of this paper. We expect some interesting geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves on Calabi-Yau manifolds will appear from this problem.

Studying derived equivalences of Calabi-Yau threefolds with Picard numbers one in the context of mirror symmetry was initiated by an interesting example found in [Ro, BKvS] (and further studied in [BC, HTo]) which is related to classical projective duality between Grassmannians and Pfaffians. This example was extended later to the other classical projective duality of the so-called symmetroids in [HT13, HT16]. These derived equivalences are now understood in a framework called homological projective duality due to Kuznetsov [Ku]. In this framework, the derived equivalence in this paper may be described by homological projective dualities for joins [KP]. Note that $V_{8,w}^1$ degenerate to joins of two elliptic quartic curves over the discriminant L_1 as summarized in Proposition 3.5. Starting with joins of elliptic curves, we can find some other examples of derived equivalent Calabi-Yau manifolds. Recently, Inoue [Ino] has reported interesting examples of derived equivalent Calabi-Yau manifolds from this construction by joins. It should be noted that Calabi-Yau manifolds which come from joins of elliptic curves have Picard numbers greater than one, like $V_{8,w}^1$. Mirror families of these Calabi-Yau manifolds are also discussed in [Ino] finding interesting relations to fiber-products of elliptic modular

surfaces. In a paper [Ka], these Calabi-Yau manifolds are formulated in physics languages called gauged linear sigma models (GLSM), which describe the A -side of the stringy moduli spaces (Kähler moduli) directly. These Calabi-Yau manifolds are expected to give us interesting examples of mirror symmetry.

In Section 8, we have described degenerations of the families $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ over the points A, B and C , up to quotients by \mathbb{Z}_8 or $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. We have found that the types of degenerations are all isomorphic but the group actions differ from each other. We need to construct a real torus fibration and take suitable quotients to extract the corresponding mirror geometries, which we leave for future works. For the degeneration over A , however, the geometric mirror construction due to Gross and Siebert [GS1, GS2] has been performed in detail in [Pav]. We have now discovered two additional degenerations over B and C where mirror symmetry to different Calabi-Yau manifolds emerges, in particular mirror symmetry to a Fourier-Mukai partner appears from B . It is an interesting problem to see how the geometry of Fourier-Mukai partners arises in the geometric approaches [SYZ, GS1, GS2] to mirror symmetry.

APPENDIX A. PICARD-FUCHS DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS \mathcal{D}_2 AND \mathcal{D}_3

Here we present the operators \mathcal{D}_2 and \mathcal{D}_3 in Proposition 5.3 in terms of the affine coordinate x, y in (4.2). They are given by

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = \sum_{i+j \leq 2} p_{ij}(x, y) \theta_x^i \theta_y^j, \quad \mathcal{D}_3 = \sum_{i+j \leq 3} q_{ij}(x, y) \theta_x^i \theta_y^j,$$

where $\theta_x := x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $\theta_y := y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and p_{ij}, q_{ij} are polynomials of x, y . Non-vanishing polynomials p_{ij} and q_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{00} &= 16x(1-12x)y^2, & p_{01} &= -(1-40x+208x^2)y^2, \\ p_{10} &= 2(1+8x-112x^2)y^2, & p_{11} &= 32x(1-4x)y^2, \\ p_{20} &= 4(1-4x)(1+4x)y^2, & p_{02} &= -(1-4x)y\{(1-4x)^2 + (1-12x)y\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_{00} &= 16xy(1-36x-3y), & q_{01} &= y((1-36x)(1+60x) - (7-292x)y), \\ q_{20} &= q_{21} = -20(1-4x)y^2, & q_{11} &= -2y(3(1-4x)(1-36x) + (9-76x)y), \\ q_{10} &= -2y((1-4x)(1-36x) + (7-68x)y), \\ q_{12} &= -2(1-4x)((1+4x+3y)(1-36x) + 2y^2), \\ q_{02} &= -(1-36x)((1-4x)(1+12x) - 3(1+28x)y) + 2(7-200x)y^2, \\ q_{03} &= 2(1-36x)(1+20x+32x^2) + (3+20x)(3-76x)y + (7-156x)y^2. \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX B. GRIFFITHS-YUKAWA COUPLINGS

Griffiths-Yukawa couplings C_{ijk} of the family $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_8}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\Delta$ has been introduced in Subsection 5.2. Here we present explicit forms of the polynomials $P_{ijk}(x, y)$ in Proposition 5.4;

$$\begin{aligned} P_{111} &= 16(1-4x)^2(1+12x+64x^2) \\ &\quad + 32(1+4x)(1-10x+216x^2)y + 16(1-16x+432x^2)y^2, \\ P_{112} &= 16(1-4x)^3 + 16(3-80x-240x^2)y + 32(1-36x)y^2, \\ P_{122} &= 64(1+20x+32x^2) + 64(1+12x)y, \\ P_{222} &= 64(1+4x) + 128y. \end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C. CANONICAL FORM OF LOCAL LOCUTIONS

The first (or ‘‘classical’’) form of mirror symmetry appears as the isomorphisms between weight monodromy filtration on $H_3 \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and the natural filtrations on $H^{even} = \bigoplus H^{i,i} \cap \mathbb{R}$ coming from the nil-potent action $L(\cdot) = \kappa \wedge \cdot$ in the hard Lefschetz theorem, where κ is a Kähler form of mirror Calabi-Yau manifold. If we start with a local system associated to a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds, this isomorphism, if exists, appears in the local solutions arranged in a canonical form. If the parameter space of the family is one dimensional, the canonical form was reported in [HT13, (2-5)]. Here we generalize it to the form which is applicable to the present case, i.e., a family with parameter space of dimension two.

Let P be a boundary points given by normal crossing divisors. We take an affine coordinate (x_1, x_2) centered at P , and assume that Picard-Fuchs equations are given in terms this coordinate. In this settings, we can recognize mirror symmetry if the boundary point satisfies some monodromy properties to be a large complex structure limit (LCSL)[Mo]. We can summarize the required monodromy properties and mirror symmetry from P in the following special form of local solutions: Firstly there exists unique regular solutions (up to constant), which we write $\omega_0(x)$. Secondly, there exists a symmetric tensor d_{ijk} by which all other local solutions are written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_i(x) &= (\log x_i) \omega_0 + \omega_i^{reg}, \\
\omega_{2,i}(x) &= - \sum_{j,k} d_{ijk} (\log x_j) (\log x_k) \omega_0 + 2 \sum_{j,k} d_{ijk} (\log x_j) \omega_k + \omega_{2,i}^{reg}, \\
\text{(C.1)} \quad \omega_3(x) &= \sum_{i,j,k} d_{ijk} (\log x_i) (\log x_j) (\log x_k) \omega_0 - 3 \sum_{i,j,k} d_{ijk} (\log x_i) (\log x_j) \omega_k, \\
&\quad + 3 \sum_i (\log x_i) \omega_{2,i} + \omega_3^{reg},
\end{aligned}$$

where ω_i^{reg} represents a power series with no constant terms if the power series of $\omega_0(x)$ starts from a constant term, i.e. $\omega_0(x) = 1 + O(x)$. (These solutions coincide with the local solutions which are obtained by the (generalized) Frobenius method for hypergeometric series [HST1, HST2, HLY], when Picard-Fuchs equations are given by certain hypergeometric systems.) Finally, when the boundary point P is mirror symmetric to a Calabi-Yau threefold X with some choice of nef line bundles H_1, H_2 , the canonical form of local solutions is given by

$$\text{(C.2)} \quad \Pi_P(x) = N_P \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_2 & a_{11} & a_{12} & K/2 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & K/2 & 0 \\ \gamma & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 & 0 & -K/6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 \\ n_1 \omega_1 \\ n_1 \omega_2 \\ n_2 \omega_{2,2} \\ n_2 \omega_{2,1} \\ n_3 \omega_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $n_k = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k}$, $\beta_k = -\frac{c_2(X) \cdot H_k}{24}$, $\gamma = -\frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi i)^3} \chi(X)$ and K is a constant satisfying $H_i \cdot H_j \cdot H_k = K d_{ijk}$.

The overall constant N_P is not determined, but N_P and $N_{P'}$ for two different boundary points are related by analytic continuation of the local solutions. The a_{ij} represent the so-called quadratic ambiguity in the genus zero potential F_0^X , which does not have any geometric meanings. It is conjectured in [Hos1, Hos2] that, if mirror symmetry arises from the boundary point P , the above canonical form $\Pi_P(x)$ with suitable choice of a_{ij} gives an integral, symplectic basis of period integrals with respect to a symplectic form

$$\text{(C.3)} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} O & J \\ -J & O \end{pmatrix}$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Although it is implicit, we can transform the period integrals in $\Pi_P(x)$ to the corresponding cycles $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0\}$ in H_3 . Then the set of these cycles $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_0\}$ forms an integral and symplectic basis of H_3 satisfying $\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle = \langle \beta_i, \beta_j \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \alpha_i, \beta_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} = -\langle \beta_j, \alpha_i \rangle$, where $\langle A, B \rangle := \int \mu_A \wedge \mu_B$ with μ_C representing the Poincaré dual of C .

APPENDIX D. PROPAGATOR S^{ab} AND $f_2(x, y)$

BCOV recursion formulas for F_g simplifies when the Euler number of a Calabi-Yau manifold vanishes. As displayed for F_2 in (6.8), F_g are determined by the propagator S^{ab} and the rational function f_g together with derivatives of F_h ($h < g$). In this appendix, we denote by $(x^i) = (x^1, x^2)$ an affine coordinate centered by a degeneration point (LCSL); and let $(t^a) = (t^1, t^2)$ be the coordinate introduced by making ratios of period integrals (Definition 5.6).

D.1. Propagator S^{ab} . The propagator S^{ab} is determined by solving the so called special geometry relation for the Weil-Petersson metric on the moduli space,

$$(D.1) \quad \Gamma_{ij}^k = \delta_i^k K_j + \delta_j^k K_i - C_{ijk} S^{mk} + f_{ij}^k,$$

where $K_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} K$ is a derivative of the Kähler potential and f_{ij}^k is a holomorphic (rational) tensor which we determine imposing consistency conditions. To solve the above relation, consider the inverse matrix $C_i^{-1} := (C_{ijk})^{-1}$ for a fixed i and introduce its matrix components by $C_i^{-1} = (C_i^{jk})$, then we have

$$S^{mk} = \sum_j C_i^{mj} \{ -\Gamma_{ij}^k + K_j \delta_i^k + K_i \delta_j^k + f_{ij}^k \}.$$

Following [BCOV1, BCOV2], when we take the so-called topological limit “ $\bar{t} \rightarrow \infty$ ”, the special geometry relation reduces to a holomorphic relation by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k \rightarrow \sum_a \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial t^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial x^j}, \quad K_i \rightarrow -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \log \omega_0(x).$$

Then, these limiting relations enable us to write the propagator near a degeneration point (LCSL) in the following form up to unknown functions f_{ij}^k :

$$(D.2) \quad S^{mk} = \sum_j C_i^{mj} \left\{ -\sum_a \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial t^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial x^j} - \frac{1}{\omega_0(x)} \left(\frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial x^j} \delta_i^k + \frac{\partial \omega_0}{\partial x^i} \delta_j^k \right) + f_{ij}^k \right\}.$$

The propagator S^{ab} in (6.8) is a transform of the above S^{ij} to the coordinate (t^1, t^2) with a suitable weight factor being introduced, i.e., $S^{ab} = \omega_0(x)^2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial t^b}{\partial x^j} S^{ij}$.

As we recognize, the r.h.s of (D.2) depends on the choice of the index i , whereas the l.h.s does not. To be consistent, we require that S^{mk} are all equal for $i = 1, 2$. Also, as being a propagator, we require that S^{ij} is a symmetric tensor. Finally, we impose that the unknowns f_{ij}^k are given by rational functions with possible poles along the discriminants of the family.

Proposition D.1. *Around the degeneration point A with the coordinate $(x^1, x^2) = (x, y)$, the above requirements on f_{ij}^k are satisfied by*

$$(D.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{11}^1 &= -\frac{2}{x} + \frac{32x}{dis_1 dis_3}, & f_{11}^2 &= \frac{16}{dis_3} - \frac{16 dis_2}{dis_1 dis_3}, & f_{12}^1 &= \frac{8x}{dis_1 dis_3}, \\ f_{12}^2 &= -\frac{1}{x} + \frac{4}{dis_3} - \frac{4 dis_2}{dis_1 dis_3}, & f_{22}^1 &= -\frac{2x}{y dis_3} + \frac{2x}{dis_1 dis_3}, & f_{22}^2 &= -\frac{1}{y} - \frac{dis_2}{dis_1 dis_3}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{ij}^k = f_{ji}^k$ and $dis_1 = 1 + 4x + y$, $dis_2 = 1 + 4x$, $dis_3 = 1 - 4x$.

Remark D.2. The above forms of f_{ij}^k are not unique. Here, we have chosen the simplest possible forms. The choices of f_{ij}^k will not affect the final form F_2 since the unknown f_2 will be fixed accordingly.

D.2. Connecting A and B . The construction of the propagator (contra-variant tensor) S^{ab} applies in a similar way for the boundary point B . Let us distinguish the resulting propagators by putting indices A and B ,

$$S_A^{ab} = (N_A \omega_0^A(x))^2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial t_A^a}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial t_A^b}{\partial x^j} S_A^{ij}, \quad S_B^{cd} = (N_B \omega_0^B(z))^2 \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial t_B^c}{\partial z^i} \frac{\partial t_B^d}{\partial z^j} S_B^{ij}.$$

Note that S_A^{ij} contains $f_{A,ij}^k := f_{ij}^k$ determined in (D.3). Likewise S_B^{ij} contains $f_{B,ij}^k$ which we introduce to solve (D.1) in the form (D.2). The consistency requirements for (D.2) restrict the forms of $f_{B,ij}^k$ but they are not unique.

In the BCOV formulation [BCOV2], these propagators supposed to behave as contra-variant tensor. Hence, to have such property, we need to have

$$(D.4) \quad f_{B,ij}^k = \sum_{m,n,r} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial z^i} \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^j} \frac{\partial z^k}{\partial x^r} f_{A,mn}^r - \sum_m \frac{\partial z^k}{\partial x^m} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial z^j},$$

where $(x^1, x^2) = (x, y)$ and $(z^1, z^2) = (z_1^B, z_2^B)$ are the affine coordinates centered A and B , respectively (see (6.1)). We can verify the following property by explicit calculations.

Proposition D.3. *Among the possible forms of $f_{B,ij}^k$, there exist unique rational functions $f_{B,ij}^k$ which satisfy the relation (D.4).*

For the above $f_{B,ij}^k$ satisfying (D.4), assuming the connection property (t1) in (6.5), i.e. $N_A \omega_0^A = N_B \omega_0^B$, we have the desired covariance,

$$(D.5) \quad S_B^{cd} = \sum_{a,b} \frac{\partial t_B^c}{\partial t_A^a} \frac{\partial t_B^d}{\partial t_A^b} S_A^{ab}.$$

D.3. The forms of f_2^A and f_2^B . The rational function $f_2^A(x, y)$ is determined by imposing the transformation rules as described in Proposition 6.10. The result is

$$f_2^A(x, y) = \frac{h_1 y \operatorname{dis}_1 + h_2 (y \operatorname{dis}_1)^2 + h_3 (y \operatorname{dis}_1)^3}{1440 (\operatorname{dis}_0 \operatorname{dis}_2)^2 \operatorname{dis}_3},$$

where dis_k are the same as in (D.3) and h_k are defined with $\bar{x} = 4x$, $\bar{y} = 64y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= -(1 - \bar{x})^5 (431 - 120\bar{x} - 2512\bar{x}^2 - 1440\bar{x}^3 + 598\bar{x}^4 \\ &\quad - 1080\bar{x}^5 - 1912\bar{x}^6 - 240\bar{x}^7 + 131\bar{x}^8), \\ h_2 &= 128\bar{x}(1 - \bar{x})^3 (431 + 825\bar{x} - 555\bar{x}^2 - 1922\bar{x}^3 - 1095\bar{x}^4 + 105\bar{x}^5 + 131\bar{x}^6), \\ h_3 &= 4096\bar{x}^2 (431 + 1315\bar{x} + 1280\bar{x}^2 + 280\bar{x}^3 - 295\bar{x}^4 - 131\bar{x}^5). \end{aligned}$$

As described just above Proposition 6.10, by substituting the inverse relation $(x, y) = (\frac{1}{8}(1 - 8z_1), 32z_1^4 z_2)$ of (6.1) into f_2^A , we obtain the function $f_2^B(z_1, z_2)$ in F_2^B .

APPENDIX E. GROMOV-WITTEN INVARIANTS OF $(2,2,2,2) \subset \mathbb{P}^7$

Here we summarize mirror symmetry of complete intersections of four quadrics in \mathbb{P}^7 . If we take four quadrics in general, the complete intersection is a smooth Calabi-Yau variety which we denote by W . Its topological invariants are

$$H_W^3 = 16, \quad c_2 \cdot H_W = 64, \quad h_W^{1,1} = 1, \quad h_W^{2,1} = 65.$$

As we encountered in the text, there are quadrics which admit free \mathbb{Z}_8 and $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ actions; for the former case, we still have smooth complete intersections, while for

the latter case there is no smooth complete intersection which is compatible with the symmetry [Br, Hu]. We denote by $W_1 := W_{\mathbb{Z}_8}$ and $W_2 := W_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}$ the quotients of the complete intersections of general quadrics which respect the specified symmetry. Then topological invariants are evaluated to be

$$\begin{aligned} H_{W_1}^3 &= 2, \quad c_2.H_{W_1} = 8, \quad h_{W_1}^{1,1} = 1, \quad h_{W_1}^{2,1} = 9, \\ H_{W_2}^3 &= 128, \quad c_2.H_{W_2} = 8, \quad h_{W_2}^{1,1} = 1, \quad h_{W_2}^{2,1} = 2, \end{aligned}$$

where, for the second line, we adopt the numbers from the contraction of $V_{8,w}^1/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ in 6.2.c.

E.1. Gromov-Witten invariants of W . Since all the results are well-known in literatures, we summarize only some of them for reader's convenience. In this case, Baryrev-Borisov toric mirror construction [BaBo] applies to have a family of mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds, and we have a hypergeometric differential equation,

$$(E.1) \quad \left\{ \theta_x^4 - 16x(2\theta_x + 1)^4 \right\} w(x) = 0 \quad (\theta_x := x \frac{d}{dx})$$

which determines period integrals. Mirror symmetry of the family to W can be observed in the canonical form of local solutions at the boundary points, $x = 0$, as in (C.2). Reducing (C.1) to the present case with $d_{111} = 1$, we have local solutions about $x = 0$ by

$$\omega_0(x), \quad \omega_1(x), \quad \omega_{2,1}(x), \quad \omega_3(x),$$

where $\omega_0(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{((2n)!)^4}{(n!)^8} x^n$. Writing $\omega_1 = (\log x)\omega_0 + \omega_1^{reg}$ and inverting the relation

$$q = z \exp\left(\frac{\omega_1^{reg}(x)}{\omega_0(x)}\right),$$

we define the mirror map $x = x(q)$ as a q -series. Quantum corrected Yukawa coupling is calculated by

$$(E.2) \quad Y_{ttt}^0 = \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0}\right)^2 C_{xxx} \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)^3 \quad \text{with} \quad C_{xxx} = \frac{16}{x^3(1-256x)}.$$

The genus one potential function is given by

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0}\right)^{3+1+\frac{128}{12}} (1-256x)^{-\frac{1}{6}} x^{-1-\frac{64}{12}} \frac{dx}{dt} \right\}.$$

The BCOV potential function F_2 has been determined in literatures, see e.g. [HKQ].

E.2. Gromov-Witten invariants of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}$. The variety $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}$ is a free quotient by W but is a singular Calabi-Yau variety. We do not know how to construct its mirror family. However, naive applications of the results [AM1] obtained for a similar free quotient (by $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$) of quintic threefolds turn out to be consistent with the sum-up property of our BPS numbers. In this case, we define local parameter b by $x = b^8$ and define the mirror map $b = b(q)$ by

$$q = b \exp\left(\frac{1}{8} \frac{\omega_1^{reg}(x)}{\omega_0(x)}\right).$$

Quantum corrected Yukawa coupling is

$$Y_{ttt} = \left(\frac{1}{8\omega_0(x)}\right)^2 C_{bbb} \left(\frac{db}{dt}\right)^3 \quad \text{with} \quad C_{bbb} = C_{zzz} \left(\frac{dx}{db}\right)^3,$$

where the numerical factor $\frac{1}{8}$ is fixed to have right normalization. BCOV formula for F_1 turns out to be

$$(E.3) \quad F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0(x)} \right)^{3+1-\frac{\chi}{12}} (1-2b)^{-\frac{64}{6}} (1+2b)^{-\frac{16}{6}} (1+4b^2)^{-\frac{4}{6}} \right. \\ \left. \times (1+16b^4)^{-\frac{1}{6}} b^{-1-\frac{8}{12}} \frac{db}{dt} \right\}$$

where $x = b^8$, and $\chi = \frac{-128}{|\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8|}$. With these definitions, we verify the sum-up properties of our BPS numbers of $V_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8}^1$ in Table 2. We have also verified the sum-up property at genus two by solving the BCOV recursion for F_2 .

E.3. Gromov-Witten invariants of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8}$. Free quotient $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8}$ of W is a smooth Calabi-Yau manifold. Here, we observe that its Gromov-Witten invariants come from another degeneration point of (E.1), i.e., $\frac{1}{x} = 0$, and they satisfy the sum-up properties (7.8). To describe the degeneration point, we introduce a coordinate $z = \frac{1}{16^4} \frac{1}{x}$, which transforms the Picard-Fuchs differential equation (E.1) to

$$\left\{ \left(\theta_z - \frac{1}{2} \right)^4 - 16z(2\theta_z)^4 \right\} w(z) = 0.$$

From this form, it is clear that the local solutions are given by

$$\sqrt{z} \omega_0(z), \sqrt{z} \omega_1(z), \sqrt{z} \omega_{2,1}(z), \sqrt{z} \omega_3(z)$$

in terms of the four solutions of (E.1). Then using the same series ω_1^{reg} as before, we define the mirror map $z = z(q)$ by

$$q = z \exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{z} \omega_1^{reg}(z)}{\sqrt{z} \omega_0(z)} \right) = z \exp \left(\frac{\omega_1^{reg}(z)}{\omega_0(z)} \right),$$

which has the same form as $x = x(q)$. However the quantum corrected Yukawa coupling this time is given by

$$(E.4) \quad Y_{ttt}^\infty = \left(\frac{1}{N_\infty \sqrt{z} \omega_0(z)} \right)^2 C_{zzz} \left(\frac{dz}{dt} \right)^3 \text{ with } C_{zzz} = C_{xxx} \left(\frac{dx}{dz} \right)^3,$$

where N_∞ is the normalization factor in the canonical form $\Pi_\infty(z)$ of the local solutions. As in Proposition 8.1, N_∞ is determined by analytic continuation of the two local solutions $\Pi_0(x)$ and $\Pi_\infty(z)$. As we summarize the results below, it turns out that $N_\infty = 32\sqrt{2}$ and we have

$$Y_{ttt}^\infty = \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0(z)} \right)^2 \frac{2}{z^3(1-256z)} \left(\frac{dz}{dt} \right)^3,$$

which is $\frac{1}{8}$ of Y_{ttt}^0 . We remark that the same result is derived in [Sha] by evaluating the so-called two sphere partition function. For genus one potential function, we have the BCOV formula given by

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0(z)} \right)^{3+1-\frac{\chi}{12}} (1-256z)^{-\frac{8}{6}} z^{-1-\frac{8}{12}} \frac{dz}{dt} \right\},$$

where $\chi = \frac{-128}{|\mathbb{Z}_8|} = -16$ and the conifold factor $-\frac{8}{6}$ is determined from the monodromy around the discriminant $\{1-256z=0\}$ (see M_c in Proposition E.1 below).

$g \setminus d$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	..
0	512	9728	416256	25703936	1957983744	170535923200	16300354777600	..
1	0	0	0	14752	8782848	2672004608	615920502784	..
2	0	0	0	0	0	1427968	2440504320	..

(1) BPS numbers of $W = (2,2,2,2)$

$g \setminus d$..	8	..	16	..	24	..	32	..	40	..	48	..	56	..
0	..	8	..	152	..	6504	..	401624	..	30593496	..	2664623800	..	254693043400	..

$g \setminus d$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	..
1	8	8	8	22	24	56	72	168	160	408	488	1454	1496	3912	4632	..
2	0	0	0	0	0	4	32	155	584	2000	5088	13752	30592	78720	165632	..

(2) BPS numbers of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8} = (2,2,2,2)/\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$

$g \setminus d$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	64	1216	52032	3212992	244747968	21316990400	..
1	112	8736	927920	110521568	14056476368	1863171853088	..

(3) BPS numbers of $W_{\mathbb{Z}_8} = (2,2,2,2)/\mathbb{Z}_8$

Tables E1. Three tables of BPS numbers. Potential functions F_0 and F_1 are given in Appendix E. We have also included $g = 2$ BPS numbers for (1) and (2) by calculating F_2 for these two cases.

E.4. Analytic continuation. Picard-Fuchs equation (E.1) has two degeneration points, $x = 0$ and ∞ ; from each we obtained quantum corrected Yukawa couplings Y_{ttt}^0 and Y_{ttt}^∞ . These two are related to each other in a quite parallel way to the relation Y_{ijk}^A and Y_{ijk}^C . We can see that these two couplings are actually analytically continued over \mathbb{P}^1 by showing that $N_\infty = 32\sqrt{2}$ arises from the analytic continuation of two local solutions $\Pi_0(x)$ and $\Pi_\infty(z)$.

We define the canonical forms of period integrals around $x = 0$ and ∞ by

$$\Pi_0(x) = N_0 Z_{top}^0 \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(x) \\ n_1 \omega_1(x) \\ n_2 \omega_{2,1}(x) \\ n_3 \omega_3(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi_\infty(z) = N_\infty Z_{top}^\infty \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{z} \omega_0(z) \\ n_1 \sqrt{z} \omega_1(z) \\ n_2 \sqrt{z} \omega_{2,1}(z) \\ n_3 \sqrt{z} \omega_3(z) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $n_k = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k}$ and $Z_{top}^0 = Z_{top}(16, 64, -128)$, $Z_{top}^\infty = Z_{top}(2, 8, -16)$ with

$$Z_{top}(H^3, c_2.H, \chi) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_2.H}{24} & 0 & \frac{H^3}{2} & 0 \\ -\chi \frac{\zeta(3)}{(2\pi i)^3} & -\frac{c_2.H}{24} & 0 & -\frac{H^3}{3!} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To describe the analytic continuation, we take a path connecting two points $P_0 := 0 + \varepsilon\sqrt{-1}$ and $P_\infty := \infty + \varepsilon\sqrt{-1}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$).

Proposition E.1. (1) *In terms of the canonical forms of period integrals $\Pi_0(x)$ and $\Pi_\infty(z)$, the monodromy matrices M_0, M_c, M_∞ around the three singular points $x = 0, \frac{1}{256}, \infty$, respectively, of Picard-Fuchs equation (E.1) are given as follows:*

	M_0	M_c	M_∞
$\Pi_0(x)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & 16 & 1 & 0 \\ -8 & -8 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -7 & 8 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 8 & -16 & 1 & 0 \\ 8 & -8 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\Pi_\infty(z)$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & -8 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 8 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

(2) *All matrices M_0, M_c, M_∞ are symplectic with respect to $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.*

(3) If we set $N_0 = 1$ and $N_\infty = 32\sqrt{2}$, then the period integrals $\Pi_0(x)$ and $\Pi_\infty(z)$ are analytically related by

$$\Pi_0(x) = U_{xz}\Pi_\infty(z), \quad U_{xz} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$$

along a path $C = \{(1-t)P_0 + tP_\infty \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ where U_{xz} is a symplectic with respect to Σ .

It should be noted that U_{xz} is symplectic but not integral as in the case U_{CA} in Proposition 8.1. This indicates that two different local systems over \mathbb{P}^1 , one for a mirror family of W and the other for a mirror family of W/\mathbb{Z}_8 , are represented by the same Picard-Fuchs equation (E.1).

APPENDIX F. EXPLICIT FORMS OF POLYNOMIALS $P_{g,2}^A$ AND $P_{g,2}^B$ ($g = 1, 2$)

The explicit forms of $P_{g,n}^A$ and $P_{g,n}^B$ become complicated even for lower g and n . Here we present $P_{g,2}^A$ and $P_{g,2}^B$ for $g = 1, 2$ as examples. We use the definitions

$$S := \theta_3(q)^4, \quad T := \theta_3(q^2)^4, \quad U := \theta_3(q)^2\theta_3(q^2)^2 \quad \left(\theta_3(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} \right)$$

which are introduced in Proposition 5.12.

• $g = 1$ and $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,2}^A &= \frac{1}{2^{10}3^4}E_2^4 + \frac{1}{2^{12}3^3}E_2^3(S+2T+4U) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{13}3^4}E_2^2(23S^2+244ST+128T^2+40SU-352TU) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{12}3^3}E_2(6S^3-53S^2T+40ST^2-80T^3+8S^2U-56STU+160T^2U) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{13}3^4}(35S^4+562S^3T+29768S^2T^2+14176ST^3+1664T^4 \\ &\quad -352S^3U-9928S^2TU-31040ST^2U-4736T^3U) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{1,2}^B &= \frac{1}{2^{10}3^4}E_2^4 + \frac{13}{2^{12}3}E_2^3(S+2T+4U) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{12}3^4}E_2^2(31S+422ST+106T^2+32SU+280TU) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{12}3^3}E_2(23S^3-26S^2T+658ST^2+32T^3-28S^2U+552STU+256T^2U) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2^{12}3^3}(33S^4+378S^3T+5960S^2T^2+1232ST^3+64T^4 \\ &\quad -80S^3U-2736S^2TU-1648ST^2U-384T^3U). \end{aligned}$$

• $g = 2$ and $n = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{2,2}^A &= \frac{13E_2^6}{21936} + \frac{19E_2^5}{21936}(S+2T+4U) + \frac{E_2^4}{22035}(49S^2+216ST+272T^2+264SU-384TU) \\
&+ \frac{E_2^3}{21837}(286S^3-675S^2T-4968ST^2-2032T^3-24S^2U+3520STU+6816T^2U) \\
&+ \frac{E_2^2}{21835}(75S^4+987S^3T-9208S^2T^2+16688ST^3+1792T^4 \\
&\quad -392S^3U+4296S^2TU-4768ST^2U-8448T^3U) \\
&+ \frac{E_2}{219365}(5281S^5+161732S^4T-3489360S^3T^2+5255232S^2T^3-2745344ST^4-354304T^5 \\
&\quad -43276S^4U+1184672S^3TU-28288S^2T^2U-1897984ST^3U+2032640T^4U) \\
&+ \frac{1}{220375}(39241S^6+3204540S^5T+81451536S^4T^2+672351872S^3T^3 \\
&\quad +379444992S^2T^4+41499648ST^5+4059136T^6-457608S^5U-11005408S^4TU \\
&\quad -344517888S^3T^2U-675216384S^2T^3U-138291200ST^4U-11821056T^5U) \\
P_{2,2}^B &= \frac{13E_2^6}{21936} + \frac{59E_2^5}{21936}(S+2T+4U) + \frac{E_2^4}{21836}(101S^2+1162ST+347T^2+256SU+1196TU) \\
&+ \frac{E_2^3}{21837}(971S^3+4410S^2T+37350ST^2+2080T^3+276S^2U+17312STU+21696T^2U) \\
&+ \frac{E_2^2}{21935}(599S^4+6088S^3T-4664S^2T^2+48816ST^3+1728T^4 \\
&\quad -1488S^3U-3664S^2TU+61776ST^2U+3712T^3U) \\
&+ \frac{E_2}{21835}(7429S^5+108626S^4T-1119372S^3T^2+3369120S^2T^3-185792ST^4-24064T^5 \\
&\quad -47740S^4U+337472S^3TU-478624S^2T^2U-224896ST^3U+207872T^4U) \\
&+ \frac{1}{218375}(39494S^6+357390S^5T+24877263S^4T^2+165780568S^3T^3 \\
&\quad +83786304S^2T^4+12746880ST^5+277760T^6-207960S^5U-1572428S^4TU \\
&\quad -101351544S^3T^2U-131884032S^2T^3U-38246272ST^4U-2500608T^5U)
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [ABK] M. Aganagic, V. Bouchard and A. Klemm, *Topological strings and (almost) modular forms*, Comm. Math. Phys. 277 (2008), no. 3, 771–819.
- [AM1] P.S. Aspinwall and D.R. Morrison, *Chiral rings do not suffice: $N=(2,2)$ theories with nonzero fundamental group*, Phys. Lett. B 334 (1994), no. 1-2, 79–86.
- [AM2] P.S. Aspinwall and D.R. Morrison, *Topological Fields Theory and Rational Curves*, Commun.Math.Phys. 151 (1993) 245–262.
- [BaBo] V. Batyrev and L. Borisov, *On Calabi-Yau Complete Intersections in Toric Varieties*, Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), 39–65, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- [BaCo] V. Batyrev and D.A. Cox, *On the Hodge structure of projective hypersurfaces in toric varieties*, Duke Math. J. 75 (1994) 293–338.
- [BKvS] V. Batyrev, I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. van Straten, *Conifold transitions and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau complete intersections in Grassmannians*, Nuclear Phys. B 514 (1998), no. 3, 640–666.

- [BC] L. Borisov and A. Caldararu, *The Pfaffian-Grassmannian derived equivalence*, J. Algebraic Geom. 18 (2009), no. 2, 201–222.
- [Br] V. Brawm, *On free quotients of complete intersection Calabi-Yau manifolds*, J. High Energy Phys. 2011, no. 4, 005, 32 pp.
- [CdOGP] P. Candelas, X.C. de la Ossa, P.S. Green, and L.Parkes, *A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory*, Nucl.Phys. B356(1991), 21-74.
- [BCOV1] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, Nuclear Phys. B 405 (1993), no. 2-3, 279–304.
- [BCOV2] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes*, Comm. Math. Phys. 165 (1994), no. 2, 311–427.
- [BL] C. Birkenhake and H. Lange, *Complex Abelian Varieties*, Springer-Verlag 1992.
- [GI] S. Galkin, V. Golyshev and H. Iritani, *Gamma classes and quantum cohomology of Fano manifolds: gamma conjectures*, Duke Math. J. 165 (2016), no. 11, 2005–2077.
- [Gr] P.A. Griffiths, *On the periods of certain rational integrals. I, II*, Ann. of Math. (2) 90 (1969), 460-495; *ibid.* (2) 90 1969 496–541.
- [GV] R. Gopakumar and C. Vafa, *On the gauge theory/geometry correspondence*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999), no. 5, 1415–1443.
- [GP] M. Gross and S. Pavanelli, *A Calabi-Yau threefold with Brauer group $(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^2$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 1, 1–9.
- [GP1] M. Gross and S. Popescu, *Equations of $(1,d)$ -polarized abelian surfaces*, Math. Ann. 310 (1998), no. 2, 333–377.
- [GP2] M. Gross and S. Popescu, *Calabi-Yau threefolds and moduli of abelian surfaces. I*, Compositio Math. 127 (2001), no. 2, 169–228.
- [GS1] M. Gross and B. Siebert, *Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data I*, Journal of Differential Geometry, 72(2):169–338, 2006.
- [GS2] M. Gross and B. Siebert, *Mirror symmetry via logarithmic degeneration data II*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry, 19(4):679–780, 2010.
- [HTo] K. Hori and D. Tong, *Aspects of non-abelian gauge dynamics in two-dimensional $N=(2,2)$ theories*, J. High Energy Phys. 2007, no. 5, 079, 41 pp.
- [Hos1] S. Hosono, *Local Mirror Symmetry and Type IIA Monodromy of Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4 (2000), 335–376.
- [Hos2] S. Hosono, *Central charges, symplectic forms, and hypergeometric series in local mirror symmetry*, in “Mirror Symmetry V”, S.-T.Yau, N. Yui and J. Lewis (eds), IP/AMS (2006), 405–439.
- [HKTY1] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen and S.-T. Yau, *Mirror symmetry, mirror map and applications to Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 167 (1995), no. 2, 301–350.
- [HKTY2] S. Hosono, A. Klemm, S. Theisen and S.-T. Yau, *Mirror Symmetry, Mirror Map and Applications to complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Spaces*, Nucl. Phys. B433(1995)501–554.
- [HLY] S. Hosono, B.H. Lian and S.-T. Yau, S. Hosono, B.H. Lian and S.-T. Yau, *GKZ-Generalized hypergeometric systems in mirror symmetry of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. 182 (1996) 535–577.
- [HT13] S. Hosono and H. Takagi, *Mirror Symmetry and Projective Geometry of Reye congruences I*, J. Algebraic Geom. 23 (2014), no. 2, 279–312.
- [HT16] S. Hosono and H. Takagi, *Double quintic symmetroids, Reye congruences, and their derived equivalence*, J. Differential Geom. 104 (2016), no. 3, 443–497.
- [HT18] S. Hosono and H. Takagi, *Movable vs monodromy nilpotent cones of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 14 (2018), Paper No. 039, 37 pp.
- [HSS] S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and J. Stienstra, *On the mirror symmetry conjecture for Schoen’s Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, in “Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997)”, 194–235, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [HST1] S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and A. Takahashi, *Holomorphic anomaly equation and BPS state counting of rational elliptic surface*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 3 (1999), no. 1, 177–208.
- [HST2] S. Hosono, M.-H. Saito and A. Takahashi, *Relative Lefschetz action and BPS state counting*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 15, 783–816.
- [Hu] Z. Hua, *Classification of free actions on complete intersections of four quadrics*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 15 (2011), no. 4, 973–990.
- [HKQ] M.-x. Huang, A. Klemm and S. Quackenbush, *Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: modularity and boundary conditions*, Lect. Notes Phys. 757, 45–102 (2009).

- [Ino] D. Inoue, *Calabi–Yau 3-folds from projective joins of del Pezzo manifolds*, arXiv:1902.10040.
- [Ka] J. Knapp, E. Sharpe, *GLSMs, joins, and nonperturbatively-realized geometries*, JHEP 1912 (2019) 096.
- [Ko] M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Zürich, 1994) Birkhäuser (1995) pp. 120–139.
- [Ku] A. Kuznetsov, *Homological projective duality*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 105 (2007), 157–220.
- [KP] A. Kuznetsov and Perry, *Categorical joins*, arXiv:1804.00144, to appear JAMS.
- [Mo] D. Morrison, *Compactifications of moduli spaces inspired by mirror symmetry*, Astérisque 218 (1993), 243–271.
- [MS] A. Murad and E. Scheidegger, *Topological strings on elliptic fibrations*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 8 (2014), no. 4, 729–800.
- [NS] Y. Namikawa and J.H.M. Steenbrink, *Global smoothing of Calabi–Yau threefolds*, Invent. Math. 122 (1995), no. 2, 403–419.
- [Pav] S. Pavanelli, *Mirror symmetry for two parameter family of Calabi–Yau three-folds with Euler characteristic 0*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick (2003).
- [Sch] C. Schnell, *The fundamental group is not a derived invariant*, in “Derived categories in algebraic geometry”, 279–285, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012.
- [Sha] E. Sharpe, *Predictions for Gromov–Witten invariants of noncommutative resolutions*, J. Geom. Phys. 74 (2013) 256–265.
- [Ro] E.A. Rødland, *The Pfaffian Calabi–Yau, its Mirror and their link to the Grassmannian $G(2, 7)$* , Compositio Math. 122 (2000), no. 2, 135–149.
- [SYZ] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T-Duality*, Nucl. Phys. B479 (1996) 243–259.
- [VW] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *A strong coupling test of S-duality*, Nuclear Phys. B 431 (1994), no. 1-2, 3–77.
- [Vo] C. Voisin, *A mathematical proof of a formula of Aspinwall and Morrison*, Compositio Math. 104 (1996), no. 2, 135–151.
- [W] E. Witten, *Quantum Background Independence In String Theory*, arXiv:hep-th/9306122.
- [Yos] K. Yoshioka, *Euler characteristics of $SU(2)$ instanton moduli spaces on rational elliptic surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 205 (1999), no. 3, 501–517.

Shinobu Hosono
 Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University,
 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan
 e-mail: hosono@math.gakushuin.ac.jp

Hiromichi Takagi
 Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University,
 Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan
 e-mail: hiromici@math.gakushuin.ac.jp