

Asymptotic absorption-time distributions in extinction-prone Markov processes

David Hathcock¹ and Steven H. Strogatz²

¹*Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA*

²*Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA*

(Dated: August 13, 2021)

We characterize absorption-time distributions for birth-death Markov chains with an absorbing boundary state. Based on generic features of the transition rates, the asymptotic distribution for such “extinction-prone” chains is either Gaussian, Gumbel, or a convolution of Gumbel distributions. We show that several birth-death models of evolutionary dynamics, epidemiology, and chemical reactions fall into these classes, establishing new results for the absorption-time distribution in each case. Possible connections to African sleeping sickness are discussed.

Modeling extinction-prone dynamics is essential to our understanding of epidemics, disease incubation, cancer growth, and evolution. For example, a key goal in epidemiology is to implement control measures (such as social distancing or vaccination) that push the dynamics toward a state where eradication of the disease occurs on a reasonable time-scale [1–3]. Similarly, disease incubation [4, 5] and evolution [6, 7] involve highly fit individuals (infectious cells or mutant species) outcompeting their less fit counterparts (host cells or wild-type species).

In these fields the distribution of extinction times, rather than just the mean, is crucial. For example, how long must a patient wait after exposure to a disease to be sure they are not infected? In the best and worst case scenarios, how long must epidemiological control measures be imposed to stop an outbreak? Knowledge of the extinction-time distribution provides an answer to these questions. Incubation period distributions have long been measured empirically to inform treatment regimens or public health initiatives [4]. Similarly, a recent study used a data-driven model of African sleeping sickness in the Democratic Republic of Congo to predict the distribution of times until the disease is eradicated [3].

In this Letter, we show that two particular extinction-time distributions—Gaussian and Gumbel distributions—arise generically from basic features of the stochastic dynamics driving the system. These distributions were found previously in several models of evolutionary dynamics [5, 8, 9]. We show now that these same distributions appear in much more general classes of birth-death Markov chains. Extending the approach introduced in Ref. [9], we provide analytical criteria that allow one to predict when the asymptotic absorption-time distribution is normal or Gumbel. We apply our results to models of epidemiology [10–12], ecology [13–15], stochastic chemical reactions [16, 17], and evolutionary games [18], and find that the predicted distributions agree with those measured via simulation. To our knowledge, this is the first calculation of the asymptotic absorption-time distributions for these models. The Gumbel distribution also closely resembles eradication-time distributions for African sleeping sickness.

We focus on Markov birth-death processes with a linear chain of states $m = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Here, m might represent the number of mutants in an evolutionary system or the number of infected individuals in an epidemic. The system has an absorbing state at $m = 0$ (where all the mutants have died out, for example) and a reflecting state at $m = N$ (the maximum allowed population in the system). Transitions occur only between nearest neighbor states, i.e., the population can only increment by 1 in either direction. The dynamics of $p_m(t)$, the probability of occupying state m at time t , obey the master equation,

$$\dot{p}_m(t) = b_{m-1}p_{m-1}(t) + d_{m+1}p_{m+1}(t) - (b_m + d_m)p_m(t), \quad (1)$$

where b_m and d_m are respectively the birth and death rates at which the state increases or decreases from state m . The master equation can also be expressed as $\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t) = \Omega \cdot \mathbf{p}(t)$, where Ω is the transition matrix containing the birth and death rates. Since the process has an absorbing state at $m = 0$ and a reflecting state at $m = N$, we have $b_0 = b_N = 0$. For simplicity we assume the system starts in an initial state $m = N$, i.e. $p_m(0) = \delta_{m,N}$. The quantity we are interested in is the first-passage time T to the absorbing state $m = 0$; here we focus on obtaining the full probability distribution about the mean. Solving for these quantities in general is a daunting task; the transition rates b_m and d_m (which are determined by the physics or interactions in the system) might be complicated functions of m and N . We will see, however, that generic features of the transition rates lead to a few characteristic distributions, specifically normal, Gumbel, or a weighted convolution of Gumbel distributions.

While our analysis is for a finite-size system with initial condition at the reflecting state N , our results should apply more broadly. Given that the dynamics are extinction-prone, the system quickly progresses toward the absorbing state and spends a negligible amount of time near the reflecting boundary. Therefore, as long as the initial condition m_0 is sufficiently large ($m_0 \sim N$ for large N), the corrections due to variation in the initial condition will be sub-dominant. By the same argument, we expect the same asymptotic distributions to occur for infinite systems with free boundary conditions and no

maximal state N but sufficiently large initial condition.

Building on our recent results [9], we develop an approach to determine the shape of first-passage time distributions for general classes of birth-death Markov chains in the limit of large system size. The key insight is to introduce a change of variables, $D_m = b_m + d_m$ and $r_m = b_m/d_m$. If the system is in state m , it waits on average a time D_m^{-1} before increasing or decreasing, and the distribution of waiting times is exponential. The probabilities of the next step being forward or backward are $r_m/(1+r_m)$ and $1/(1+r_m)$ respectively; r_m is the ratio of these probabilities. Thus, our coordinate change separates the random-walk portion of the Markov process, which describes the relative probabilities of stepping forward or backward at each state, from the times spent waiting in each state. For the transition matrix, this change of variables leads to a decomposition, $\Omega = \Omega_{RW}D$, where D is a diagonal matrix with elements D_m and Ω_{RW} is the transition matrix for a simple biased random walk. The number of times the system visits each state depends only on the random-walk portion of the process. The elements V_{ij} of $V = -\Omega_{RW}^{-1}$ encode the average number of visits to state i before absorption, starting from state j .

To characterize the asymptotic distributions, we compute the cumulants $\kappa_n(N)$ of the first-passage time T . These are related to the moments and describe the shape of the distribution. For instance, κ_1 is the mean, κ_2 is the variance, $\kappa_3/\kappa_2^{3/2}$ is the skew, and so on. Following Ref. [9] we use the matrix decomposition above to derive an expression for the absorption-time cumulants (generalizing the previous result to non-constant r_j):

$$\kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=1}^N \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N)}{(b_{i_1} + d_{i_1}) \dots (b_{i_n} + d_{i_n})}. \quad (2)$$

Here $w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N)$ are weighting factors that depend only on the visit statistics of the random walk; for example, $w_i^1(\{r_j\}, N) = V_{i,N}$. See the Supplemental Material [19] for a full derivation of this formula, explicit expressions for the first few weighting factors in terms of V_{ij} , and justification for the properties of the weighting factors listed below. Each of the weighting factors are polynomials of the elements of the matrix V .

If chosen to be symmetric under permutations of indices, the weighting factors have several convenient properties [19]. First, they are always non-negative: $w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N) \geq 0$. Also, the diagonal elements are particularly simple: $w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N) = (n-1)! V_{ii}^n \geq 1$, when $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n \equiv i$. Furthermore, the weighting functions are *increasing* functions of each r_j . For the mean absorption time, this monotonicity is intuitively clear: if we increase the odds of stepping right at any state, it will take longer on average to reach the absorbing state at 0. The weighting factors being increasing functions of r_j implies this same monotonicity holds

for all cumulants. Finally, the weighting factors appear to fall off exponentially in N away from the diagonal $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_n$. For constant $r_j = r$, this exponential decay can be shown explicitly [9]. We conjecture that the same decay holds for arbitrary transition probabilities $\{r_j\}$. The intuition is that the visits to state i are uncorrelated with those to state j (for $N \gg 1$ and $i - j = \mathcal{O}(N)$), due to the Markov property.

The first universality class of birth-death Markov chains we consider have normally distributed absorption times. A requirement for this class is that $r_j < 1 - \epsilon$ for all j and some ϵ , which means that, on average, probability flows toward the absorbing boundary at 0. To compute the asymptotic form of the cumulants in Eq. (2) we introduce two auxiliary Markov chains. These have the same $b_i + d_i$ as the original system, but b_i and d_i are adjusted so that the ratios are $r_j = r_{\max}$ or $r_j = r_{\min}$, where $r_{\max} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 < j < N} r_j$ and $r_{\min} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 < j < N} r_j$. In other words, we have constructed two Markov chains where the time spent waiting in each state is identical to that for the system of interest, but the odds of moving toward the absorbing state are increased or decreased to be uniform.

Above we noted that the weighting factors w^n in our expression for the cumulants Eq. (2) are increasing functions of r_j . Thus, we can easily bound the cumulants in our system by those for the two auxiliary Markov chains, $\kappa_n(r_{\min}, N) \leq \kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) \leq \kappa_n(r_{\max}, N)$. The asymptotic form of $\kappa_n(r, N)$ (where r is constant across all states) was computed in Ref. [9]; we summarize the calculation in the Supplementary Material [19]. To nail down the asymptotics of $\kappa_n(r, N)$ we require the waiting times to be ‘flat’ in the following sense: all (or at least a significant fraction) of the $(b_i + d_i)^{-1}$ are the same order as their maximum value,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(b_i + d_i)^n} \Big/ \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{b_i + d_i} \right)^n = \mathcal{O}(N). \quad (3)$$

When this condition holds,

$$\kappa_n(r, N) \sim c_n(r) f(N)^n N, \quad (4)$$

where $f(N) \sim \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (b_i + d_i)^{-1}$ and c_n is a constant. Since these asymptotics hold for $r = r_{\min}$ and $r = r_{\max}$, it follows that $\kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) \sim c_n(\{r_j\}) f(N)^n N$ as well.

With the asymptotic form of the cumulants established, we analyze the shape of the distribution using the standardized cumulants $\tilde{\kappa}_n = \kappa_n/\kappa_2^{n/2}$ for $n \geq 2$ (which are rescaled so that the variance $\tilde{\kappa}_2 = 1$). Plugging in the asymptotic form found above, we find $\tilde{\kappa}_n \sim \tilde{c}_n N^{1-n/2}$. In particular, $\tilde{\kappa}_n \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for $n > 2$, so that the distribution becomes Gaussian for large N (the cumulants past second order vanish for normal distributions). For finite N , the dominant correction to the normal distribution comes from the non-zero skew $\tilde{\kappa}_3 \sim \tilde{c}_3/\sqrt{N}$.




FIG. 1. Absorption-time distributions for the random transition matrix (large black circles) and the evolutionary game on the one-dimensional ring (small red circles). Distributions were measured from 50,000 simulations of systems of size $N = 500$ and are standardized to zero mean and unit variance. The solid blue line indicates the standard normal distribution. Agreement between simulation and the predicted distribution is very good. Deviations are due to finite system size. Evolutionary game parameters were set to $\beta = 1$, $a = 2$, $b = 4$, $c = 1$, and $d = 0.1$ (see [19] for parameter definitions).

The coefficient in this scaling depends on the ratios r_j ; in the Supplementary Material [19] we compute a bound on this coefficient, which is useful for estimating the rate of convergence in applications. To summarize, any birth-death Markov chain that satisfies the ‘flatness’ condition, Eq. (3), and has an absorbing state toward which the system flows on average ($r_j < 1$) will have asymptotically Gaussian distributed absorption times.

Our first example of a Markov chain with normally distributed absorption times is a toy model with *random* transition probabilities. Here we select $b_m + d_m$ uniformly at random between 0.1 and 2 and r_m uniformly at random between 0 and 0.9. This model satisfies both of the conditions described above. It shows that the transition rates need not be smooth in m ; systems with disordered transition rates still belong to this universality class.

Next we study evolutionary game dynamics on a one-dimensional ring [20, 21]. The game involves two classes of individuals, called mutants (M) and wild-type (W). Selection is *frequency dependent*, meaning an individual’s fitness depends on who its neighbors currently are, via a 2×2 payoff matrix. The dynamics of the model are as follows: an individual is chosen randomly, proportional to its fitness. The selected individual gives birth to an offspring of the same type (M or W) which replaces a random neighbor (selected uniformly). The model runs until the mutation spreads to the entire population (cases

where the mutation dies out are ignored).

Figure 1 shows simulation results for both the random transition system and the evolutionary game. Both display the expected normal distribution. Interestingly, for the evolutionary game, the normal distribution appears for a wide range of parameters, while the mean absorption time and absorption probability depend more intricately on parameters [20, 21].

Gumbel distributions, well known for their role in extreme value theory [22], also arise generically in Markov chain absorption processes. This second universality class is closely related to the ‘coupon collector’ problem in probability theory, which asks the following: if there are N distinct coupons and we are given a random one (with replacement) at each time step, how long does it take to collect all N of them? In this problem there is a characteristic slowdown near the end; when nearly all coupons have been collected it takes a long time to acquire the final few because duplicates keep getting selected. The problem was first solved by Erdős and Rényi, who showed that for large N the distribution of times to complete the collection is Gumbel [23]. Similar slowdowns often arise in epidemiology and evolutionary dynamics models. For example, in a well-mixed evolutionary population, mutants with a fitness advantage take a long time to find and outcompete the final few non-mutants [5, 9].

The coupon collector problem can be cast in the language of Markov chains. Let m be the number of coupons missing from the collection of N total coupons. The probability of obtaining a new coupon (thereby decreasing m) is simply m/N and the number of missing coupons never increases. Thus, the coupon collection process is described by a birth-death Markov chain with $b_m = 0$ and $d_m = m/N$. The linear decay of the transition probability d_m near the absorbing boundary is the key feature that gives rise to the characteristic slowdown. We find that large systems with $r_m < 1$ and $b_m + d_m \propto m$ for m near 0 often have a Gumbel absorption-time distribution. Specifically, writing $b_m + d_m = f(N)m + \mathcal{O}(m^2)$, if $b_{\alpha N} + d_{\alpha N} = \mathcal{O}(Nf(N))$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $r_m = r + \mathcal{O}(m/N)$ for large N , then the absorption-time distribution is asymptotically Gumbel.

By bounding the cumulants (2), we show [19] the leading order behavior of κ_n for $N \gg 1$ is dominated by the indices near 0, where the approximations $b_m + d_m \approx f(N)m$ and $r_m \approx r$ become asymptotically exact, so that

$$\kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) \sim \frac{1}{f(N)^n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=1}^N \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(r, N)}{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}. \quad (5)$$

Note that the factors $f(N)^n$ set the timescale of the process (they cancel in $\tilde{\kappa}_n = \kappa_n / \kappa_2^{n/2}$). We have shown that the cumulants of the absorption time are asymptotic to those for a process with $b_m + d_m = m$ and $b_m/d_m = r$. The absorption-time distribution for this




FIG. 2. Absorption-time distributions for (a) the SIS model with $\Lambda = 1/2$ (large black circles), logistic model with $B = 1/2$, $K = 1$ (small red circles), and autocatalytic chemical reaction model with $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 0.75$, $k_3 = 1.25$ (cyan triangles), and (b) the well-mixed evolutionary game with $\beta = 1$, $a = 1$, $b = 0.5$, $c = 0.8$, and $d = 0.1$ (large black circles) and $\beta = 2$, $a = 0.3$, $b = 1.3$, $c = 0.06$, and $d = 1.2$ (small red circles). See [19] for parameter definitions. We used system sizes (a) $N = 500$ and (b) $N = 1000$ respectively and simulated 10^5 trials to measure each distribution. Each model in (a) has a Gumbel absorption-time distribution, which has been standardized to have zero mean and unit variance. For the evolutionary games, the distribution is a convolution of Gumbel distributions with relative weighting $s = (1 + e^{\beta(c-a)}) / (1 + e^{\beta(b-d)}) \approx 0.73$. (c) Extinction-time distributions for African sleeping sickness predicted using a 17-dimensional model fit to case data from the Mosango (large black circles) and Kwamouth (small red circles) regions of the Democratic Republic of Congo (Data from Ref. [3], standardized to zero mean and unit variance). Sleeping sickness eradication times approximately follow a Gumbel distribution.

process can be computed exactly using generating functions (see Ref. [14, Appendix B]) and in the large N limit becomes a Gumbel distribution [19]. Thus, any system with transition rates vanishing linearly near the boundary and ratios r_j that approach a constant for $\mathcal{O}(N)$ states near the boundary will fall into the Gumbel universality class.

Finally, in some models the transition rates also vanish near the initial condition N . If they scale like $b_m + d_m = \tilde{f}(N)(N - m) + \mathcal{O}((N - m)^2)$, there will also be coupon-collection slowdown at the beginning of the process. An identical analysis to that above shows that the contributions from the two coupon collection regions simply add together to give the cumulants. The resulting absorption-time distribution is therefore a convolution of two Gumbels, with one weighted by $s = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f(N) / \tilde{f}(N)$.

To illustrate the Gumbel universality class we use the stochastic susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) model of epidemiology [12], the logistic model from ecology [13], and an autocatalytic chemical reaction model [16, 17] (details in Supplementary Material [19]). In each case the transition rates decrease linearly near the absorbing state. For example, in the SIS model, $b_m = \Lambda m(1 - m/N)$ and $d_m = m$, where Λ is the infection rate.

Our simulations show that each of these models has the expected Gumbel distribution [Fig. 2(a)]. Within models the distribution is also insensitive to parameter choices (e.g., a Gumbel appears in the SIS model for any $\Lambda < 1$).

If we study the aforementioned evolutionary game in a well-mixed population the transition rates vanish linearly as $m \rightarrow 0$ and as $m \rightarrow N$ [19, 24]. As discussed above, we expect a convolution of Gumbel distributions with relative weighting s given by the ratio of the linear coefficients at these two boundaries. Figure 2(b) shows

that this prediction is borne out in simulations.

Finally, the eradication-time distributions for African sleeping sickness predicted by a high-dimensional data-driven model [3] closely resemble the Gumbel [Fig. 2(c)]. This result suggests that the Gumbel distribution is also generic in higher dimensions if the dynamics collapse onto a one-dimensional slow manifold near absorption.

While our main results above are formulated in terms of the transition rates b_i and d_i , we can also connect the shape of the absorption-time distribution to the spectrum of the transition matrix. For instance, the distribution will remain asymptotically Gaussian if Eq. (3) is satisfied but $b_i + d_i$ are replaced with the eigenvalues λ_i of the negative transition matrix $-\Omega$. Conversely, if the dominant eigenvalues of the transition matrix decay linearly, then the distribution is asymptotically Gumbel. Derivation of these results and further discussion is provided in the Supplemental Material, sections S2.B. and S3.C. [19].

In this Letter we have characterized two universality classes for absorption times in birth-death Markov chains. Both cases are extinction-prone: the transition probabilities push the system toward absorption on average. The difference between the classes stems from the variation in the transition rates across states: when the rates are sufficiently uniform, the absorption times are asymptotically normally distributed; whereas if the rates decay linearly near the absorbing boundary, the system exhibits the characteristic ‘‘coupon collection’’ slowdown and asymptotically Gumbel distributed absorption times.

Our work provides analytical criteria to determine if a system belongs to one of these universality classes. These criteria demonstrate that diverse models from epidemiology, ecology, chemistry, and evolutionary dynamics have normal or Gumbel absorption-time distributions,

and should apply to many other birth-death models.

Future work might focus on characterizing additional universality classes. For example, simulations show that exponential absorption-time distributions arise frequently when the system has an internal sink state toward which transitions are more likely [25]. It would also be interesting to study cases in which the transition probabilities vanish like m^p near the boundary for other powers p . A third direction would be to generalize to Markov models on lattices and networks [7, 11, 26, 27]. For instance, evolutionary processes on two-dimensional square lattices appear to have normal absorption-time distributions, at least if the mutants have infinite fitness [5, 8]. Whether this result would persist for the game considered here is an open question. Finally, it would be fascinating to investigate whether there is a universal crossover between the Gumbel and normally distributed absorption times for finite systems. Can we tune a parameter that flattens out the coupon-collection region of the process to interpolate between these two asymptotic distributions?

This work was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship, grant No. DGE-1650441 to D.H.

-
- [1] A. R. Hinman, Global progress in infectious disease control, *Vaccine* **16**, 1116 (1998).
- [2] D. R. Hopkins, Disease eradication, *New England Journal of Medicine* **368**, 54 (2013).
- [3] M. Aliee, K. S. Rock, and M. J. Keeling, Estimating the distribution of time to extinction of infectious diseases in mean-field approaches, *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* **17**, 20200540 (2020).
- [4] P. E. Sartwell, The distribution of incubation periods of infectious disease, *American Journal of Epidemiology* **51**, 310 (1950).
- [5] B. Ottino-Löffler, J. G. Scott, and S. H. Strogatz, Evolutionary dynamics of incubation periods, *eLife* **6**, e30212 (2017).
- [6] M. A. Nowak, *Evolutionary Dynamics* (Harvard University Press, 2006).
- [7] E. Lieberman, C. Hauert, and M. A. Nowak, Evolutionary dynamics on graphs, *Nature* **433**, 312 (2005).
- [8] B. Ottino-Löffler, J. G. Scott, and S. H. Strogatz, Takeover times for a simple model of network infection, *Phys. Rev. E* **96**, 012313 (2017).
- [9] D. Hathcock and S. H. Strogatz, Fitness dependence of the fixation-time distribution for evolutionary dynamics on graphs, *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 012408 (2019).
- [10] R. Pastor-Satorras, C. Castellano, P. Van Mieghem, and A. Vespignani, Epidemic processes in complex networks, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 925 (2015).
- [11] S. N. Dorogovtsev, A. V. Goltsev, and J. F. F. Mendes, Critical phenomena in complex networks, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1275 (2008).
- [12] J. A. Jacquez and C. P. Simon, The stochastic SI model with recruitment and deaths I. Comparison with the closed SIS model, *Mathematical Biosciences* **117**, 77 (1993).
- [13] J. Grasman and R. HilleRisLambers, On local extinction in a metapopulation, *Ecological Modelling* **103**, 71 (1997).
- [14] S. Azaele, S. Suweis, J. Grilli, I. Volkov, J. R. Banavar, and A. Maritan, Statistical mechanics of ecological systems: Neutral theory and beyond, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035003 (2016).
- [15] A. Gandhi, S. Levin, and S. Orszag, “Critical slowing down” in time-to-extinction: an example of critical phenomena in ecology, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **192**, 363 (1998).
- [16] C. R. Doering, K. V. Sargsyan, L. M. Sander, and E. Vanden-Eijnden, Asymptotics of rare events in birth–death processes bypassing the exact solutions, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **19**, 065145 (2007).
- [17] N. G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry*, Vol. 1 (Elsevier, 1992).
- [18] I. Meyer and N. M. Shnerb, Evolutionary dynamics in fluctuating environment, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 023308 (2020).
- [19] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for details of mathematical derivations and example models.
- [20] H. Ohtsuki and M. A. Nowak, Evolutionary games on cycles, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**, 2249 (2006).
- [21] P. M. Altrock, A. Traulsen, and M. A. Nowak, Evolutionary games on cycles with strong selection, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 022407 (2017).
- [22] E. J. Gumbel, Statistical theory of extreme values and some practical applications, NBS Applied Mathematics Series **33** (1954).
- [23] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On a classical problem of probability theory, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci.* **6**, 215 (1961).
- [24] P. Ashcroft, *The Statistical Physics of Fixation and Equilibration in Individual-Based Models* (Springer, 2016).
- [25] Y. Yahalom, B. Steinmetz, and N. M. Shnerb, Comprehensive phase diagram for logistic populations in fluctuating environment, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 062417 (2019).
- [26] M. Hajihashemi and K. Aghababaei Samani, Fixation time in evolutionary graphs: A mean-field approach, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 042304 (2019).
- [27] F. Di Lauro, J.-C. Croix, M. Dashti, L. Berthouze, and I. Z. Kiss, Network inference from population-level observation of epidemics, *Scientific Reports* **10**, 18779 (2020).

Supplemental Material for “Asymptotic absorption-time distributions in extinction-prone Markov processes”

David Hathcock¹ and Steven H. Strogatz²

¹*Department of Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA*

²*Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA*

(Dated: August 13, 2021)

This Supplemental Material provides rigorous mathematical derivations supporting the results discussed in the main text and gives details of the various example models used to demonstrate the Gaussian and Gumbel classes. Section S1 gives a detailed derivation of the absorption-time cumulants (Eq. (2) in the main text), including the weighting factors w^n and their properties. Sections S2 and S3 provide the details of the asymptotic analysis used to characterize Markov processes with normal and Gumbel absorption-time distributions respectively and discuss the transition matrix spectrum for each case. In Section S4 we describe the dynamics for the evolutionary game, the susceptible-infectious-susceptible model, the logistic model, and the autocatalytic chemical reaction. For each case we derive the Markov transition probabilities and explain how the model falls into one of our two universality classes.

S1. ABSORPTION-TIME CUMULANTS AND WEIGHTING FACTOR PROPERTIES

A. Derivation of the absorption-time cumulants

In this section we will derive a general formula for the absorption-time cumulants, Eq. (2) in the main text. This derivation follows Ref. [1], but we generalize to Markov chains where the ratio $r_m = b_m/d_m$ is non-constant. We start from the master equation, Eq. (1) in the main text, and restrict our attention to the transient (non-absorbing) states, $m > 0$, since these determine the time it takes to reach absorption. The master equation for these states can be expressed as $\dot{\mathbf{p}}(t) = \Omega \cdot \mathbf{p}(t)$, where Ω is the transient transition matrix with elements

$$\Omega_{mn} = b_n \delta_{m,n+1} + d_n \delta_{m,n-1} - (b_n + d_n) \delta_{m,n} \quad (\text{S1})$$

for $m, n = 1, \dots, N$ and $\mathbf{p}(t)$ is the vector of transient state occupancy probabilities.

The entire first-passage process can be characterized in terms of the transition matrix Ω . In fact, the first-passage distribution $p(t)$ can be written in terms of an element of the matrix exponential, $p(t) = d_1 [\exp(\Omega t)]_{1,N}$ and the moments of T are

$$\mu_n := E[T^n] = (-1)^n n! \mathbf{1} \Omega^{-n} \mathbf{p}(0), \quad (\text{S2})$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is a row vector containing all 1's and E denotes expected value.

As discussed in the main text, to proceed it is useful to introduce the following decomposition of the transition matrix: $\Omega = \Omega_{RW} D$, where D is a diagonal matrix $D_{mm} = b_m + d_m$ and

$$[\Omega_{RW}]_{mn} = \frac{r_n}{1+r_n} \delta_{m,n+1} + \frac{1}{1+r_n} \delta_{m,n-1} - \delta_{m,n}, \quad (\text{S3})$$

with $r_n = b_n/d_n$. The rates D_{mm} determine how long the system waits in state m before taking a step and r_m is the relative probability of stepping forward versus backward along the chain. Defining $V = -\Omega_{RW}^{-1}$, the elements V_{ij} are the average number of visits to state i before absorption starting from an initial state j .

With the above decomposition we can easily invert the transition matrix,

$$[-\Omega^{-1}]_{ij} = \frac{V_{ij}}{b_i + d_i}, \quad (\text{S4})$$

where visit numbers V_{ij} are given by

$$V_{ij} = (1 + r_i) \sum_{n=1}^{\min(i,j)} \prod_{m=n}^{i-1} r_m. \quad (\text{S5})$$

Then, using Eq. (S2) the moments can be expressed as

$$\mu_n = n! \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=1}^N \frac{V_{i_1 i_2} V_{i_2 i_3} \cdots V_{i_{n-1} i_n} V_{i_n N}}{(b_{i_1} + d_{i_1})(b_{i_2} + d_{i_2}) \cdots (b_{i_n} + d_{i_n})}. \quad (\text{S6})$$

To compute the cumulants, we use the standard conversion formulas: $\kappa_1 = \mu_1$, $\kappa_2 = \mu_2 - \mu_1^2$, $\kappa_3 = \mu_3 - 3\mu_2\mu_1 + 2\mu_1^3$, and so on. Since the relation between cumulants and moments is polynomial, if we collect terms with common denominators it follows that the cumulants have the form quoted in the main text,

$$\kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=1}^N \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N)}{(b_{i_1} + d_{i_1}) \cdots (b_{i_n} + d_{i_n})}, \quad (\text{S7})$$

where the weights w^n depend on the visit numbers V_{ij} (and hence are functions of only the ratios $\{r_j\}$). For example, the second and third cumulants are

$$\kappa_2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{2V_{ij}V_{jN} - V_{iN}V_{jN}}{(b_i + d_i)(b_j + d_j)} \quad (\text{S8})$$

$$\kappa_3 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^N \frac{6V_{ij}V_{jk}V_{kN} - 6V_{ij}V_{jN}V_{kN} + 2V_{iN}V_{jN}V_{kN}}{(b_i + d_i)(b_j + d_j)(b_k + d_k)}. \quad (\text{S9})$$

From here we can read off the weights w^n , they are simply the numerators in the above expressions. We can choose the weights to be symmetric under permutations of the indices, since these terms have the same denominators. As we will see in the following section, this choice is vital for ensuring the convenient properties of w^n listed in the main text. To enforce the symmetry of the weights we average over index permutations:

$$w_{ij}^2 = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_2} 2V_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} V_{\sigma_2 N} - V_{\sigma_1 N} V_{\sigma_2 N} \quad (\text{S10})$$

$$w_{ijk}^3 = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{\sigma \in \Pi_3} 6V_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} V_{\sigma_2 \sigma_3} V_{\sigma_3 N} - 6V_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} V_{\sigma_2 N} V_{\sigma_3 N} + 2V_{\sigma_1 N} V_{\sigma_2 N} V_{\sigma_3 N}, \quad (\text{S11})$$

where Π_2 is the set of permutations of indices $\{i, j\}$ and Π_3 is the set of permutations of $\{i, j, k\}$.

B. Properties of the weighting factors w^n

In the main text, we noted that the weights w^n have a few convenient properties. In particular, if chosen to be symmetric under index permutations as above, they are positive, $w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N) \geq 0$ and they are increasing functions of each of the r_j . To show these properties, we use the fact that $V_{ii} = V_{ij}$ for any $i < j$. This is easy to see from Eq. (S5), but also has an intuitive physical interpretation. Since the system is eventually absorbed at the boundary state 0, if it starts from a state $j > i$ it must visit i before absorption. After the first visit, the statistics of the random walk are identical to a walk initialized in state i . Using this property the sum over permutations above dramatically simplifies. For $i < j$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ij}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} (2V_{ij}V_{jN} - V_{iN}V_{jN} + 2V_{ji}V_{iN} - V_{jN}V_{iN}) \\ &= V_{ji}V_{iN}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S12})$$

Similarly, after simplification we find

$$w_{ijk}^3 = V_{kj}V_{ji}V_{iN} + V_{ki}V_{iN}V_{jN} \quad (\text{S13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_{ijkl}^4 &= V_{lk}V_{kj}V_{ji}V_{iN} + V_{lk}V_{ki}V_{iN}V_{jN} + V_{lj}V_{ji}V_{iN}V_{kN} \\ &\quad + V_{lj}V_{jN}V_{ki}V_{iN} + V_{li}V_{iN}V_{kj}V_{jN} + V_{li}V_{iN}V_{kN}V_{iN} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S14})$$

when $i < j < k < l$. The important feature of these expressions is that they are *positive* sums of products of the visit numbers V_{ij} . The visit numbers, Eq. (S5), are themselves positive and increasing functions of each r_j , so it immediately follows that the weights w^n also have these properties.

S2. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS FOR THE GAUSSIAN UNIVERSALITY CLASS

A. Cumulant bounds

To estimate the asymptotics of the cumulants we start from Eq. (S7) derived above. Since the weights w^n are increasing functions of the r_j , we argued in the main text that

$$\kappa_n(r_{\min}, N) \leq \kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) \leq \kappa_n(r_{\max}, N), \quad (\text{S15})$$

where $r_{\max} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq j < N} r_j$ and $r_{\min} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \min_{1 \leq j < N} r_j$. The cumulants $\kappa_n(r_{\max}, N)$ and $\kappa_n(r_{\min}, N)$ correspond to auxiliary Markov chains where $b_j + d_j$ is unchanged, but $r_j = r_{\max}$ or $r_j = r_{\min}$ respectively.

Following Ref. [1], we provide asymptotic bounds on $\kappa(r, N)$ that lead to an analytic criterion for the Gaussian universality class. Since the diagonal elements of the weights w^n are greater than 1, we can bound the cumulant κ_n from below by a sum of the unweighted diagonal elements $(b_i + d_i)^{-n}$. To bound from above we can take the maximum value of $(b_i + d_i)^{-n}$ times the sum of the weighting factors. The sum over weighting factors $w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(r, N)$ is precisely the n^{th} cumulant for a biased random walk (with $b_i + d_i = 1$ and uniform r). This sum can be computed exactly using eigenvalues of the transition matrix [1]. In particular, the sum is $\mathcal{O}(N)$ for any n as long as $r < 1$ and asymptotically can be represented in the integral form given below. Note that $r_{\max} < 1$ as long as $r_j < 1 - \epsilon$ for all j and some $\epsilon > 0$: this condition was the first requirement for the Gaussian universality class quoted in the main text. Altogether we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{(b_i + d_i)^n} \leq \kappa_n(r, N) &\leq \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{b_i + d_i} \right)^n \times \frac{N}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{(n-1)!}{(1 - 2\sqrt{r}/(1+r)\cos x)^n} dx \\ &= \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{b_i + d_i} \right)^n \times \mathcal{O}(N). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S16})$$

We can now read off the second condition for the Gaussian universality class. To nail down the asymptotics of $\kappa_n(r, N)$ we want the upper and lower bounds in Eq. (S16) to have the same scaling for large N . Specifically, we require

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(b_i + d_i)^n} \Big/ \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{b_i + d_i} \right)^n = \mathcal{O}(N). \quad (\text{S17})$$

This condition can be interpreted as the waiting times being ‘flat’ in the following sense: all (or at least a significant fraction) of the $(b_i + d_i)^{-1}$ are the same order as their maximum value. If this condition holds, then for large N we have that $\kappa_n(r, N) \sim c_n(r) f(N)^n N$ where $f(N) \sim \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (b_i + d_i)^{-1}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Since these asymptotics hold for both $r = r_{\min}$ and $r = r_{\max}$, it follows from Eq. (S15) that $\kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) \sim c_n(\{r_j\}) f(N)^n N$ as well, possibly with a different constant $c_n(r_{\min}) < c_n(\{r_j\}) < c_n(r_{\max})$. These asymptotics imply that the higher order cumulants are dominated by the variance and hence the distribution looks normal for large N , i.e. the standardized cumulants $\tilde{\kappa}_n = \kappa_n / \kappa_2^{n/2} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

As noted in the main text, the leading correction to the Gaussian distribution for finite N comes from the skew, $\tilde{\kappa}_3 = \tilde{c}_3 / \sqrt{N}$. Here we will give a bound on the magnitude of the skew, that can be used to predict when finite systems will have a nearly Gaussian absorption-time distribution. First, define

$$K_2 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N f(N)^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(b_i + d_i)^2}, \quad (\text{S18})$$

where $f(N) \sim \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} (b_i + d_i)^{-1}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ as above. Then from Eq. (S16) that $\kappa_2 \geq K_2 N f(N)^2$. Evaluating the integral in Eq. (S16) we have $\kappa_3 \leq 2f(N)^3 N (r_{\max} + 1)^3 (r_{\max}^2 + 4r_{\max} + 1) / (1 - r_{\max})^5$. Putting these together,

$$\tilde{\kappa}_3 \leq \frac{2(r_{\max} + 1)^3 (r_{\max}^2 + 4r_{\max} + 1)}{(1 - r_{\max})^5 K_2^{3/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}. \quad (\text{S19})$$

The convergence is slowest (i.e. the coefficient of $1/\sqrt{N}$ is large), when the conditions for the universality class are pushed to their limits: if the system is barely extinction prone, $r_{\max} \approx 1$, or the waiting times are not very uniform, $K_2 \ll 1$ (the sum in Eq. (S17) is nearly dominated by the maximal term). Finally, we note that this is a rough upper bound; in many cases the convergence is much faster, e.g. if only a few $r_j \approx 1$ but the rest are very small. Replacing r_{\max} with the average r_j in Eq. (S19) may often give a better estimate of the actual skew for a given system, even if it doesn’t give a strict upper bound.

B. Transition matrix spectrum

As noted in the main text, we can also connect the spectrum of the transition matrix to the Gaussian universality class. We claimed that if Eq. (S17) is satisfied with $b_i + d_i$ replaced by the eigenvalues λ_i of the negative transition matrix $-\Omega$, the absorption-time distribution will be Gaussian. To show this, we use the spectral representation of the absorption-time cumulants [1, 2],

$$\kappa_n = (n-1)! \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{-n}. \quad (\text{S20})$$

If Eq. (S17) is satisfied for the eigenvalues, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{-n} \right) / \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \lambda_i^{-1} \right)^n = \mathcal{O}(N). \quad (\text{S21})$$

Since the numerator in this expression is exactly the cumulant κ_n (up to the constant $(n-1)!$), it immediately follows that $\kappa_n \sim C_n g(N)^n N$ where $g(N) \sim \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \lambda_i^{-1}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Just as in the main text, this scaling implies that the standardized cumulants vanish for large N : $\tilde{\kappa}_n \sim \tilde{C}_n N^{1-n/2}$ and the distribution is asymptotically Gaussian.

More generally, the distribution approaches a Gaussian as long as $\tilde{\kappa}_n \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This condition with Eq. (S20) describes a broader class of eigenvalue spectra that give rise to Gaussian absorption-time distributions. Specifically, we need

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{-n} \right) / \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^{-2} \right)^{n/2} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (\text{S22})$$

While this condition is difficult to interpret, we consider two examples that illustrate the type of spectra that can give rise to Gaussian absorption-time distributions. First, if $\lambda_m = m^p$, the above condition is satisfied for $p \leq 1/2$. Also, if $\lambda_m = P(m)/Q(m)$ for some polynomials P and Q , the condition is satisfied when the degree of Q is greater than that of P .

S3. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS FOR THE GUMBEL UNIVERSALITY CLASS

A. Cumulant bounds

As noted in the main text, for the Gumbel universality class we require $b_m + d_m = f(N)m + \mathcal{O}(m^2)$, $b_{\alpha N} + d_{\alpha N} = \mathcal{O}(Nf(N))$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $r_m = r + \mathcal{O}(m/N)$ for large N . These properties are sufficient to guarantee that the absorption-time cumulants are asymptotic to those for an exactly solvable canonical model (for which the above equalities hold exactly, not just to leading order). Following Ref. [1], we restrict two of the indices in Eq. (S7) to be $\mathcal{O}(N)$ away from the absorbing state. With this restriction we can bound the sums,

$$\sum_{i_1, i_2 = \alpha N}^N \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_n = 1}^N \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N)}{(b_{i_1} + d_{i_1}) \cdots (b_{i_n} + d_{i_n})} \leq \frac{1}{f(N)^n N^2} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n = 1}^N w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(r, N). \quad (\text{S23})$$

In the previous section, we established that the sum over the weighting factors is $\mathcal{O}(N)$, so this portion of the sum is $\mathcal{O}(f(N)^{-n} N^{-1})$. We now consider indices $1 < i_1 < \alpha N$ and $\alpha N < i_2 < N$,

$$\sum_{i_1 = 1}^{\alpha N} \sum_{i_2 = \alpha N}^N \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_n = 1}^N \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N)}{(b_{i_1} + d_{i_1}) \cdots (b_{i_n} + d_{i_n})} \leq \frac{1}{f(N)^n N} \sum_{i_1 = 1}^{\alpha N} \sum_{i_2 = \alpha N}^N \sum_{i_3, \dots, i_n = 1}^N w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(r, N). \quad (\text{S24})$$

Since the weighting factors decay exponentially with N away from the diagonal elements, the sum on the right hand side of Eq. (S24) is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and this portion of the sum is also $\mathcal{O}(f(N)^{-n} N^{-1})$.

Since the same bounds also apply for any permutation of the indices, the only remaining portion of the cumulant sum Eq. (S7) is that where all indices are near 0. Here the approximations that $b_m + d_m$ is linear and r_m is constant become asymptotically exact so that,

$$\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n = 1}^{\alpha N} \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(\{r_j\}, N)}{(b_{i_1} + d_{i_1}) \cdots (b_{i_n} + d_{i_n})} \sim \frac{1}{f(N)^n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n = 1}^{\alpha N} \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(r, N)}{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_n}. \quad (\text{S25})$$

The right hand side of Eq. (S25) is at least $\mathcal{O}(f(N)^{-n})$ and therefore this region of the cumulant sum dominates asymptotically compared to the $\mathcal{O}(f(N)^{-n}N^{-1})$ terms estimated above. In other words, the absorption process is entirely dominated by the coupon collection behavior near the absorbing state. Furthermore, we can freely extend the upper limit of the sum to N (instead of αN) since this will only add subdominant terms. Finally, we obtain the result quoted in the main text,

$$\kappa_n(\{r_j\}, N) \sim \frac{1}{f(N)^n} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=1}^N \frac{w_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^n(r, N)}{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}. \quad (\text{S26})$$

Thus, for any Markov chain satisfying the conditions at the beginning of this section, the cumulants are asymptotic to those for the ‘‘canonical model’’ with $b_m + d_m = f(N)m$ and $r_m = r$ exactly. In the following section we show this model has an asymptotically Gumbel absorption-time distribution.

From our estimates above, the leading correction to the n^{th} cumulant is $\mathcal{O}(f(N)^n N^{-1})$. For the standardized cumulants $\tilde{\kappa}_n = \kappa_n / \kappa_2^{3/2}$, the factors of $f(N)$ cancel and we are left with $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$ corrections. In other words, the deviations from the Gumbel cumulants scale like $\delta\tilde{\kappa}_n = \tilde{\kappa}_n - \tilde{\kappa}_n^{\text{Gumbel}} \sim C_n/N$ for large N .

B. Large N limit for the canonical model

The canonical Markov model with coupon-collection behavior has $b_m + d_m = f(N)m$ and $r_m = r$. As noted in the main text, $f(N)$ simply sets the time scale for the process and does not affect the shape of the absorption-time distribution. Therefore, for convenience, we will rescale time $t \rightarrow t(r+1)/f(N)$ so that $b_m = rm$ and $d_m = m$. For this system, the absorption-time distribution $p(t)$ has been computed exactly using generating functions [3, Appendix B],

$$p(t) = \frac{N e^{\nu t} \nu^2}{(e^{\nu t} - 1)^2 (1 + \frac{\nu}{e^{\nu t} - 1})^{N+1}} \quad (\text{S27})$$

where $\nu = 1 - r$. To derive the asymptotic form of the distribution we standardize to zero mean and unit variance. The standardized distribution is simply $\sigma p(\sigma t + \mu)$, where $\mu \sim (\ln N + \ln \nu + \gamma)/\nu$ and $\sigma \sim \pi/\nu\sqrt{6}$ are the mean and standard deviation of the absorption time. Here $\gamma \approx 0.5772$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Plugging in this transformation and taking $N \rightarrow \infty$, we find

$$\sigma p(\sigma t + \mu) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} \exp\left(-\gamma - \pi t/\sqrt{6} - e^{-\gamma - \pi t/\sqrt{6}}\right), \quad (\text{S28})$$

which is precisely the standardized Gumbel distribution.

C. Transition matrix spectrum

The Gumbel distribution also arises if the transition matrix eigenvalues decay linearly. For instance, suppose $\lambda_m = bm$. Then, using Eq. (S20) and taking $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have that

$$\tilde{\kappa}_n = \left((n-1)! \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (bm)^{-n} \right) / \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (bm)^{-2} \right)^{n/2} = (n-1)! \frac{\zeta(n)}{\zeta(2)^{n/2}}, \quad (\text{S29})$$

which are precisely the cumulants for a standardized Gumbel distribution. The result is unchanged if the dominant eigenvalues are approximately linear, i.e. $\lambda_m \approx bm$ for $m < \alpha N$ where α is a constant $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this case, the standardized cumulants are still $\tilde{\kappa}_n = (n-1)! \zeta(n)/\zeta(2)^{n/2}$ with the larger eigenvalues contributing $\mathcal{O}(1/N)$ corrections that vanish asymptotically.

This second case appears to be what happens in practice: for $N \gg 1$ the eigenvalues become linear up to a cutoff. We’ve carried out numerical calculations of the spectrum for the canonical model from the previous section, $b_m = rm$, $d_m = m$ for a few values of $r < 1$. As shown in Figure S1, the leading eigenvalues in the spectrum become equally spaced: $\lambda_m \approx (1-r)m$ for indices below a cutoff m_c . Numerical tests indicate m_c is approximately a constant proportion of N , i.e. $m_c \approx \alpha(r)N$, where $0 < \alpha(r) < 1$. Above this cutoff the eigenvalues grow super-linearly. The above calculation illustrates how the Gumbel absorption-time distribution arises in this model from the perspective of the eigenvalue spectrum.




FIG. S1. The eigenvalues of the transition matrix for the canonical model $b_m = rm$, $d_m = m$ with $N = 2000$ and $r = 0.05$, $.5$, and $.95$ plotted on a log-log scale. The black lines show $(1-r)m$ for each value of r . The eigenvalues closely follow this linear relation up to a cut-off m_c that is dependent on r . Since the leading eigenvalues are linear the absorption-time distribution is Gumbel.

S4. EXAMPLE MODELS

A. Evolutionary games

In the main text, we present absorption-time distributions measured via simulations of a two-strategy evolutionary game. In this game, two types of individuals, mutants (M) and wild-types (W), compete and have *frequency dependent* fitness, which means that an individual's fitness depends on the identity of its neighbors. This dependence is encoded by the payoff matrix,

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & M & W \\ \hline M & a & b \\ \hline W & c & d \end{array} \quad (\text{S30})$$

For example, a mutant (M) with 2 mutant neighbors and 3 wild-type neighbors will have payoff $\pi = 2a + 3b$. The fitness is then $\exp(\beta\pi)$, where the parameter β , the selection intensity, controls how strongly payoff influences fitness. This choice is known as the exponential fitness mapping [2]; we note that other fitness mappings do not change the qualitative behavior discussed below. The dynamics of the model are as follows: an individual is chosen randomly, proportional to their fitness. The selected individual gives birth to an offspring of the same time (M or W) which replaces a random neighbor (selected uniformly). We will let m denote the number of wild-types in the population. Thus, when $m = 0$, the mutants have taken over the population (in the jargon, the mutation becomes fixed). We focus on cases in which the mutation becomes fixed, ignoring those when the mutation dies out (which have infinite absorption time). We consider evolution in two types of networked populations: a one-dimensional (1D) ring of individuals and a well-mixed (complete graph) population. Each exhibits different absorption-time behavior.

1. 1D ring population structure

First we consider individuals connected in a 1D periodic ring [4]. Assuming a single initial mutant, the mutant population grows as a connected chain and changes in population only occur at the boundary between mutants and wild-types. The boundary mutants and wild-types have payoff $a + b$ and $c + d$ respectively (they have one of each type as a neighbor). Thus the probability of removing a mutant b_m and adding a mutant d_m are

$$b_m = e^{\beta(c+d)}/F_m \quad d_m = e^{\beta(a+b)}/F_m, \quad \text{for } 1 < n < N - 1, \quad (\text{S31})$$

where F_m is the average fitness

$$F_m = 2e^{\beta(a+b)} + (N - m - 2)e^{\beta 2a} + 2e^{\beta(c+d)} + (m - 2)e^{\beta 2d}. \quad (\text{S32})$$

The rates are slightly different for $m = 1$ and $m = N - 1$ [4]. For example, when $m = 1$ there is a single wild-type with two mutant neighbors. These transition rates are:

$$b_1 = \frac{e^{\beta 2c}}{2e^{\beta(a+b)} + (N-3)e^{\beta 2a} + e^{\beta 2c}} \quad d_1 = \frac{e^{\beta(a+b)}}{2e^{\beta(a+b)} + (N-3)e^{\beta 2a} + e^{\beta 2c}} \quad (\text{S33})$$

$$b_{N-1} = \frac{e^{\beta(c+d)}}{e^{\beta 2b} + 2e^{\beta(c+d)} + (N-3)e^{\beta 2d}} \quad d_{N-1} = \frac{e^{\beta 2b}}{e^{\beta 2b} + 2e^{\beta(c+d)} + (N-3)e^{\beta 2d}} \quad (\text{S34})$$

For large N , however, these changes to the transition rates do not affect the absorption-time distribution. One can check that these transition rates satisfy the requirements of the Gaussian universality class if $(a + b) > (c + d)$.

2. Well-mixed population

If the population is well-mixed, every individual has contact with every other, and hence their fitness depends simply on the fraction of mutants in the population. The payoffs (per contact) for mutants and wild-types respectively are $\pi_M = a(N - m - 1)/(N - 1) + bm/(N - 1)$ and $\pi_W = c(N - m)/(N - 1) + d(m - 1)/(N - 1)$, where again a, b, c , and d are elements of the payoff matrix Eq. (S30) and m is the number of wild-types in the population. The rates at which the wild-type population increases or decreases are [2]

$$b_m = \frac{m e^{\beta \pi_W}}{m e^{\beta \pi_W} + (N - m) e^{\beta \pi_M}} \frac{(N - m)}{N - 1}, \quad d_m = \frac{(N - m) e^{\beta \pi_M}}{m e^{\beta \pi_W} + (N - m) e^{\beta \pi_M}} \frac{m}{N - 1}. \quad (\text{S35})$$

For the birth (death) rate the first fraction represents the probability of choosing a wild-type (mutant) to give birth, while the second fraction is the probability of the offspring replacing a mutant (wild-type) in the populations.

Probability flows toward the absorbing state ($r_m < 1$) if $b > d$ and $a > c$. From the transition probabilities it is clear $b_m + d_m$ decays linearly near both $m = 0$ and $m = N$. Expanding around these points, $b_m + d_m = f(N)(N - m) + \mathcal{O}(m)$ and $b_m + d_m = \tilde{f}(N)(N - m) + \mathcal{O}((N - m)^2)$. Our theory predicts the distribution will be a convolution of Gumbel distributions with relative weighting $s = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f(N)/\tilde{f}(N)$. Taking this limit for the transition probabilities in Eq. (S35) we find,

$$s = \frac{1 + e^{\beta(c-a)}}{1 + e^{\beta(b-d)}}. \quad (\text{S36})$$

B. SIS model

The stochastic susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) model of epidemiology [5] describes the spread of an infectious disease through a population. The population is broken into two groups, those susceptible to the disease and those currently infected. This model describes diseases that do not confer immunity following recovery (or the immunity lasts only for a short time compared to the time scale on which the disease spreads). The rate per contact at which the disease is transmitted between individuals is Λ/N , and we set the time-scale so that the recovery rate is 1. Letting m represent the number of infected individuals, there are $m(N - m)$ contacts between infected and susceptible people in a well-mixed population. The m infected individuals each recover at rate 1. Thus the rates at which the infected population increases and decreases are respectively

$$b_m = \Lambda m(1 - m/N), \quad d_m = m. \quad (\text{S37})$$

This system has the vanishing transition probabilities near $m = 0$, indicating coupon collection behavior (it is also straightforward to explicitly check it satisfies our requirements for the universality class as long as $\Lambda < 1$). Our simulations show that it has the expected Gumbel distribution of times for the infection to die out (Fig. 2(a) in the main text).

C. Logistic model

The stochastic logistic model describes the dynamics and fluctuations of an ecological population [6]. The model assumes a constant birth rate B per individual as well as a constant death rate (which we set to 1 by choosing

the appropriate time scale) when the population is sparse. For higher populations, competition between individuals increases the death rate quadratically. The transition rates are

$$b_m = Bm, \quad d_m = m + Km^2/N, \quad (\text{S38})$$

where the parameter K controls how strongly competition influences death rates (this parameter is related to the carrying capacity of the ecosystem). Again the transition rates vanish linearly near $m = 0$ and this model belongs to the Gumbel universality class as long as $B < 1$.

D. Autocatalytic chemical reaction model

Our final example model describes a stochastic autocatalytic chemical reaction [7],



where k_i are the reaction rates. The concentrations of species A and B are fixed at saturation levels and we want to describe the dynamics and fluctuations of m , the number of particles of species X . This is a variation of the Schlögl model where the reaction $X \rightarrow B$ is irreversible and the reactions cease when no particles of X remain. Applying our results to this model we will classify the distribution of reaction times: how long does the reaction proceed before the supply of X is exhausted.

The birth-death transition rates for the reaction given above are

$$b_m = \frac{k_1}{N}m(m-1), \quad d_m = \frac{k_2}{N^2}m(m-1)(m-2) + k_3m. \quad (\text{S40})$$

Again, the transition rates decay linearly near the absorbing boundary at $m = 0$, indicating the Gumbel universality class; it is straightforward to check that the required expansions hold. The conditions that guarantee $r_m = b_m/d_m < 1$ are more intricate. In particular, if $k_3 > k_2$, then $r_m < 1$ as long as $k_1 < k_2 + k_3$. On the other hand, if $k_3 < k_2$ we need $k_2 < 2\sqrt{k_2k_3}$. With either of these conditions satisfied the autocatalytic reaction times will be Gumbel distributed. Note that this model has an infinite state space: the number of X particles can be any positive integer. As discussed in the main text, we expect our results to apply to this class of models as long as the initial condition is large. The simulation shown in Figure 2(b) indicates this is indeed the case.

-
- [1] D. Hathcock and S. H. Strogatz, *Phys. Rev. E* **100**, 012408 (2019).
 - [2] P. Ashcroft, *The Statistical Physics of Fixation and Equilibration in Individual-Based Models* (Springer, 2016).
 - [3] S. Azaele, S. Suweis, J. Grilli, I. Volkov, J. R. Banavar, and A. Maritan, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035003 (2016).
 - [4] P. M. Altrock, A. Traulsen, and M. A. Nowak, *Phys. Rev. E* **95**, 022407 (2017).
 - [5] J. A. Jacquez and C. P. Simon, *Mathematical Biosciences* **117**, 77 (1993).
 - [6] J. Grasman and R. HilleRisLambers, *Ecological Modelling* **103**, 71 (1997).
 - [7] C. R. Doering, K. V. Sargsyan, L. M. Sander, and E. Vanden-Eijnden, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **19**, 065145 (2007).