

Weyl cycles on the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 at eight points

Maria Chiara Brambilla, Olivia Dumitrescu and Elisa Postinghel

Abstract We define the *Weyl cycles* on X_s^n , the blown up projective space \mathbb{P}^n in s points in general position. In particular, we focus on the Mori Dream spaces X_7^3 and X_8^4 , where we classify all the Weyl cycles of codimension two. We further introduce the *Weyl expected dimension* for the space of the global sections of any effective divisor that generalizes the *linear expected dimension* of [2] and the *secant expected dimension* of [3].

1 Introduction

Let X_s^n be the blown up projective space \mathbb{P}^n in s points in general position. When the number of points s is small, the space X_s^n has an interpretation as certain moduli space, see e.g. [1] and [4]. Mori Dream Spaces of the form X_s^n were classified via the work of Mukai [16, 17] and techniques of birational geometry of moduli spaces. In previous work, in order to analyze properties of the pairs (X_s^n, D) with D a Cartier divisor, the authors of this article developed techniques of *polynomial interpolation theory* in [2] for $s = n + 2$ and in [3] for $s = n + 3$ respectively, via the study of the base loci.

Maria Chiara Brambilla

Università Politecnica delle Marche, via Brecce Bianche, I-60131 Ancona, Italy, e-mail: brambilla@dipmat.univpm.it

Olivia Dumitrescu

1. University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 340 Phillips Hall CB 3250 NC 27599-3250 and
2. Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics Romanian Academy 21 Calea Grivitei Street 010702 Bucharest Romania, e-mail: dolivia@unc.edu

The second author is supported by NSF grant DMS1802082.

Elisa Postinghel

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Trento, via Sommarive 14 I-38123 Povo di Trento (TN), Italy e-mail: elisa.postinghel@unitn.it

In this paper we apply an analogous approach, based on interpolation theory, to define and study the subvarieties determining the birational geometry of the Mori Dream Spaces X_7^3 and X_8^4 . We will use this study as an opportunity to reveal the geometry hidden by the Weyl group action on fixed linear cycles of X_s^n and its consequences. For instance, we predict that for all Mori Dream Spaces, Weyl cycles determine the birational geometry of such spaces, cones of effective and movable divisors and their decomposition into nef chambers.

In this article we propose a definition of *Weyl cycles* on X_s^n as follows (see Definition 1 for details). We call *Weyl divisor* any effective divisor D in $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$ in the Weyl orbit of an exceptional divisor $E_i \in \text{Pic}(X_s^n)$. We call a *Weyl cycle of codimension i* an element of the Chow group $A^i(X_s^n)$ that is an irreducible component of the intersection of Weyl divisors, which are pairwise orthogonal with respect to the Dolgachev-Mukai pairing on $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$.

For an arbitrary number of points, s , Weyl divisors are always generators of the Cox ring. The correspondence between (-1) -curves of \mathbb{P}^2 and Weyl curves in X_s^2 (i.e. Weyl divisors) was proved by Nagata [18], while giving a counterexample to the Hilbert 14-th problem. Moreover in the case of \mathbb{P}^2 , Weyl curves have been widely investigated since they are involved in the well-known Segre-Harbourne-Gimigliano-Hirschowitz conjecture, see e.g. [5, 6] or, more widely, in the classification of algebraic surfaces (Castelnuovo's contraction theorem), the base of the minimal model program. The notion of *divisorial (-1) -classes* on X_s^n was introduced by Laface and Ugaglia in [15] and recently studied by the second author and Priddis in [12].

For X_s^3 , Laface and Ugaglia introduced the notion of elementary (-1) -curves and studied their properties in [14]. The case of Weyl cycles of X_8^4 has been studied in [10] with a different approach: indeed in such paper Weyl orbits in X_8^4 (as well as in X_7^3) of the proper transforms of linear cycles blown up along lines spanned by any two points are described. The classification of Weyl cycles obtained in [10] for the cases X_7^3 , X_8^4 , yields the same classification we determine here for Weyl curves in X_7^3 and for Weyl surfaces (see Equations (1)) in X_8^4 . Therefore we conclude that Definition 1 and the definitions used in [10] are equivalent for cycles of codimension 2 in X_7^3 and X_8^4 . The authors believe that these two definitions are related in general, namely for Weyl cycles in X_s^n , and they will study their connection in a forthcoming paper.

In this article we emphasize that basic methods of intersection theory, applied to pairs of orthogonal Weyl divisors, give an iterative method to compute the Weyl cycles of codimension 2 in X_7^3 and in X_8^4 . Moreover, we show that every Weyl cycles is swept out by families of rational curves parametrized by Weyl cycles of larger codimension, see Proposition 3, Corollary 1 and Lemma 5.

Our main result, contained in Section 5.3, is a classification of all the Weyl surfaces of X_8^4 . For each such surface, on the one hand we compute its multiplicity of containment in the base locus of any given divisor, on the other hand we compute its class in the Chow ring of $X_{8,(1)}^4$, the blow up of X_8^4 along the strict transforms of all lines through two base points and all rational normal quartic curves through seven base points; we believe that it is the only representative of its class. There are

five such classes, up to index permutation, as listed in the following formula (see Section 5.2 for the precise notation):

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{1,4,5}^1 &: h - e_1 - e_4 - e_5 - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,4,5\}} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) \\
S_{1,8}^3 &: 3h - 3e_1 - \sum_{i=2}^7 e_i - (e_{C_8} - f_{C_8}) - \sum_{i=2}^7 (e_{1i} - f_{1i}) \\
S_{6,7,8}^6 &: 6h - 3 \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i - \sum_{i=6}^8 e_i - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}, i \neq j} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{k=6}^8 (e_{C_k} - f_{C_k}) \\
S_{1,2}^{10} &: 10h - 6e_1 - 6e_2 - \sum_{i=3}^8 3e_i - 3(e_{12} - f_{12}) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=3}^8 (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{k=3}^8 (e_{C_k} - f_{C_k}) \\
S_8^{15} &: 15h - \sum_{i=1}^7 6e_i - 3e_8 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 7} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^7 (e_{C_i} - f_{C_i}) - 3(e_{C_8} - f_{C_8})
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Recall that the birational geometry of X_8^4 has been investigated in [17] and [4]. Casagrande, Codogni and Fanelli studied in detail the relation between the geometry of X_8^2 and X_8^4 and in [4, Theorem 8.7] they described five types of surfaces in X_8^4 playing a special role in the Mori program. We emphasize that this list agrees with our classification of Weyl surfaces, (1).

Finally, we propose here a notion of expected dimension for a linear system which takes into account the contribution to the speciality given by the special cycles contained in the base locus. In Definition 2, we introduce the *Weyl expected dimension* for X_7^3 and X_8^4 , which extends the analogous definitions of *linear expected dimension* of [2] and *secant expected dimension* of [3]. We prove that any effective divisor D in X_7^3 satisfies $h^0(X_7^3, \mathcal{O}_{X_7^3}(D)) = \text{wdim}(D)$, see Theorem 1, and we conjecture that the same holds in X_8^4 .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notation, recall basic facts on the blown up of \mathbb{P}^n in s general points, X_s^n , and on the action of standard Cremona transformations on $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$. In Section 3 we introduce the definition of Weyl cycles and we give some general result on Weyl curves in X_s^n . Section 4 is devoted to the preliminary case of X_7^3 , where we classify Weyl divisors and Weyl curves and we describe their geometry. Section 5 concerns the case of X_8^4 . The main result, i.e. the classification of the Weyl surfaces is contained in Section 5.3. In Section 5.4, we give the classification of Weyl divisors and their geometrical description. The last Section 6 is devoted to the dimensionality problem.

Acknowledgements: The first and third authors are members of GNSAGA-INDAM. The second author is supported by the NSF grant DMS - 1802082. The third author was partially supported by the EPSRC grant EP/S004130/1.

We wish to thank Cinzia Casagrande for many useful discussions.

2 Preliminaries

We denote by X_s^n the blown up of \mathbb{P}^n at s general points $I = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$. The Picard group of X_s^n is $\text{Pic}(X_s^n) = \langle H, E_1, \dots, E_s \rangle$, where H is a general hyperplane class, and the E_i 's are the exceptional divisors of the p_i 's. For any subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ of cardinality $\leq n$, we denote by L_J the class, in the Chow ring of X_s^n , of the strict transform of the linear cycle spanned by J . If $|J| = n$, then $L_I = H - \sum_{i \in J} E_i \in \text{Pic}(X_s^n)$ is the class of a fixed hyperplane.

The *Dolgachev-Mukai pairing* on $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$ is the bilinear form defined as follows (cf. [16]):

$$\langle H, H \rangle = n - 1, \quad \langle H, E_i \rangle = 0, \quad \langle E_i, E_j \rangle = -\delta_{i,j}.$$

The *standard Cremona transformation based on the coordinate points* on \mathbb{P}^n is the birational transformation defined by the following rational map:

$$\text{Cr} : (x_0 : \dots : x_n) \rightarrow (x_0^{-1} : \dots : x_n^{-1}),$$

see e.g. [9, 12] for more details. Given any subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ of cardinality $n + 1$, we denote by Cr_I and call *standard Cremona transformation* the map obtained by precomposing Cr with a projective transformation which takes the points indexed by I to the coordinate points of \mathbb{P}^n . A standard Cremona transformation induces an automorphism of $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$, denoted again by Cr_I by abuse of notation, by sending a divisor

$$D = dH - \sum m_i E_i \tag{2}$$

to

$$\text{Cr}_I(D) = (d - c)H - \sum_{i \in I} (m_i - c)E_i - \sum_{j \notin I} m_j E_j, \tag{3}$$

where $c := \sum_{i \in I} m_i - (n - 1)d$. The canonical divisor $-(n + 1)H + (n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^s E_i$ is invariant under such an automorphism. The *Weyl group* $W_{n,s}$ acting on $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$ is the group generated by standard Cremona transformations, see [9]. We say that a divisor (2) is *Cremona reduced* if $c \leq 0$ for any I of cardinality $n + 1$.

In [12, Theorem 3.2] the authors observed that the intersection pairing between divisors is preserved under Cremona transformation.

Lemma 1 *Let D, F be two divisors and let $\omega \in W_{n,s}$ be an element of the Weyl group. Then $\langle \omega(D), \omega(F) \rangle = \langle D, F \rangle$.*

Here we point out that the scheme-theoretic intersection of two divisors is in general not preserved under Cremona transformation. Let D, F be two divisors and let $\omega = \text{Cr}_I$ be a standard Cremona transformation. Then

$$\omega(D \cap F) \cup \Lambda \subseteq \omega(D) \cap \omega(F)$$

where Λ is a union of linear cycles of the indeterminacy locus of ω . The following lemma provides an explicit recipe for Λ .

Lemma 2 Let $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}$ have cardinality $n + 1$, and let $I = I_1 \cup I_2$, with $|I_1| = m + 1$ and $|I_2| = n - m$. Let $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$ be a divisor in X_s^n . If $(n - m - 1)d - \sum_{i \in I_2} m_i = a \geq 1$, then the m -plane L_{I_1} is contained in $\text{Cr}_I(D)$ exactly a times.

Proof Set $c = \sum_{i \in I} m_i - (n - 1)d$. By [2] and [11, Proposition 4.2], we can compute the multiplicity of containment of the m -plane L_{I_1} in $\text{Cr}(D)$:

$$\sum_{i \in I_1} (m_i - c) - m(d - c) = \sum_{i \in I_1} m_i - md - c = (n - m - 1)d - \sum_{i \in I_2} m_i = a,$$

concluding the proof. \square

3 Weyl cycles in \mathbb{P}^n blown up at s points

In [12, Definition 4.1] a smooth divisor D in $\text{Pic}(X_s^n)$ is called *(-1)-class* (or *(-1)-divisorial cycle*) if D is effective, integral and it satisfies $\langle D, D \rangle = -1$ and $\langle D, -K_{X_s^n} \rangle = n - 1$. In [12, Theorem 0.5], it is proved that D is a *(-1)-class* if and only if it is in the Weyl orbit of some exceptional divisor E_i . Notice that if $i \in I$, then $\text{Cr}_I(E_i) = L_{I \setminus \{i\}}$ is a hyperplane through n base points.

Here we generalize the definition of *(-1)-classes* to cycles of higher codimension in X_s^n , as follows. We will say that two divisors D and F are *orthogonal* if $\langle D, F \rangle = 0$.

Definition 1 We say that an effective divisor $D \in \text{Pic}(X_s^n)$ is a *Weyl divisor* if it belongs to the Weyl orbit of an exceptional divisor E_i . A non-trivial effective cycle $C \in A^i(X_s^n)$ is a *Weyl cycle of codimension i* if it is an irreducible component of the intersection of pairwise orthogonal Weyl divisors.

Remark 1 Let $s \geq n + 1$ and $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Any m -plane L spanned by $m + 1$ points is a Weyl cycle. Indeed, it is easy to check that L is the intersection of $r = n - m$ pairwise orthogonal hyperplanes spanned by n points. By Lemma 1, any effective cycle C contained in the Weyl orbit of a m -plane L spanned by $m + 1$ base points is a Weyl cycle. In particular the Weyl planes and Weyl lines studied in [10] are always Weyl cycles, according to Definition 1.

3.1 Weyl curves

We collect here some results on Weyl cycles of codimension $n - 1$ in X_s^n , which we call *Weyl curves*. The following examples show explicitly that the strict transforms of lines through two points and of the rational normal curves of degree n through $n + 3$ points are Weyl curves in X_s^n , according to Definition 1.

Example 1 Let $L = L_{12}$ be the line through p_1 and p_2 , then $L = D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{n-1}$ where $D_i = L_{I_i}$ and $I_i = \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\} \setminus \{i + 2\}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$.

Example 2 For any $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, consider the pairwise orthogonal Weyl divisors $D_i = 2H - 2E_1 - \dots - 2E_{n-1} - E_n - E_{n+1} - E_{n+2} - E_{n+3} + E_i$. One can easily check that $D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_{n-1}$ is the union of $L_{1, \dots, n-1}$ and the rational normal curve of degree n through $n+3$ points.

We recall that the Chow group of algebraic curves $A^{n-1}(X_s^n)$ is generated by h^1, e_i^1 , the classes of a general line in X_s^n and of a general line on the exceptional divisor E_i , respectively. When $n = 4$, the following formula describes the action on curves of the standard Cremona transformation Cr_J , based on the set J [10] for $n = 4$ if $C = \delta h^1 - \sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i e_i^1$, then

$$\text{Cr}_J(C) = (4\delta - 3 \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j) h^1 - \sum_{j \in J} (\delta - \sum_{i \in J \setminus \{j\}} \mu_i) e_j^1 - \sum_{j \notin J} \mu_j e_j^1. \quad (4)$$

Case $n = 3$ is described in [13]. The general formula given in equation 4 will be proved in an upcoming paper.

Remark 2 Given a divisor D in X_s^n and a line $L_{ij} = h^1 - e_i^1 - e_j^1$, then the multiplicity of containment of the line L_{ij} in the base locus of D is exactly $\max\{0, -D \cdot L_{ij}\}$, where \cdot denotes the intersection product in the Chow ring of X_s^n (cf. [11, Proposition 4.2]). If $n = 3, 4$, the same holds for any curve C in the Weyl orbit of the line L_{ij} , thanks to formulas (4).

4 \mathbb{P}^3 blown up in 7 points

In this section we consider Weyl cycles of X_7^3 , the blow up of \mathbb{P}^3 at 7 points in general position. Recall that X_7^3 is a Mori Dream Space and that the cone of effective divisors is generated by the divisors of anticanonical degree $\frac{1}{2}\langle D, -K_{X_7^3} \rangle = 1$. These are exactly the Weyl divisors and they fit in five different types, modulo index permutation.

Proposition 1 *The Weyl divisors in X_7^3 are, modulo index permutation:*

- (1) E_i (exceptional divisor);
- (2) $H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$ (planes through three points);
- (3) $2H - 2E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6$ (pointed cone over the twisted cubic);
- (4) $3H - 2(E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4) - E_5 - E_6 - E_7$ (Cayley nodal cubic);
- (5) $4H - 3E_1 - 2(E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5 + E_6 + E_7)$.

Proof It is easy to compute the Weyl orbit of a plane through 3 points, by applying formula (3). \square

Proposition 2 *The Weyl curves in X_7^3 are the fixed lines $h^1 - e_i^1 - e_j^1$ and the fixed twisted cubics $3h^1 - \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i^1 + e_j^1$.*

Proof For every pair of orthogonal Weyl divisors as in Proposition 2, one can check that the intersection is the union of fixed lines and twisted cubics. \square

From the previous result we can conclude that our Definition 1 of Weyl curves in X_7^3 is equivalent to the definition of Weyl line of [10].

In the following result we describe the intrinsic geometry of the Weyl divisors of X_7^3 , showing that they are covered by pencils of rational curves parametrized by a Weyl curve.

Proposition 3 *Let D be Weyl divisor on X_7^3 . If $C \subset D$ is a Weyl curve, then there is a pencil of rational curves $\{C_q : q \in C\}$ with $C_q \cdot D = 0$ sweeping out D .*

Proof We will consider the divisors (2)-(5) from Proposition 2. It is easy to check what Weyl curves are contained in D , using Remark 2. For each such containment $C \subseteq D$, we will find a suitable pencil of curves, parametrised by C , sweeping out D .

(2) Let us consider the fixed hyperplane $D = H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$ and the Weyl line $L_{12} \subset D$. Such plane is swept out by the pencil of lines through p_3 and with a point $q \in L_{12}$: $\{C_3^1(q) : q \in L_{12}\}$. Since the cycle class of $C_3^1(q)$ is $h^1 - e_3^1$, then we obtain $C_3^1(q) \cdot D = 0$.

(3) The quadric surface $D = 2H - 2E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6$ contains the fixed twisted cubic $C_{1,\dots,6}^3 = 3h^1 - \sum_{i=1}^6 e_i^1$. Since it is the strict transform of a pointed cone, it is swept out by the pencil of lines $\{C_1^1(q) : q \in C_{1,\dots,6}\}$. Notice also that D can be obtained from $H - E_1 - E_2 - E_3$ through the transformation $\text{Cr}_{1,4,5,6}$ (cf. (3)). The latter preserves the line L_{12} and, for every $q \in L_{12}$, it sends the line $C_1^1(q)$ to the cubic curve $C_{1,3,4,5,6}^3(q)$, see formula (4). Therefore we see that D is also swept out by the pencil $\{C_{1,3,4,5,6}^3(q) : q \in L_{12}\}$.

(4) This surface is obtained from (3) via the standard Cremona transformation Cr_{2347} . The image of the first pencil sweeping out (3) is the pencil of cubics $\{C_{1,\dots,4,7}^3(q) : q \in C_{1,\dots,6}\}$ and it sweeps out (4). The images of the second pencil sweeping out (3) is $\{C_{3,4}^5(q) : q \in L_{12}\}$, where $C_{3,4}^5(q)$ is a quintic curve with cycle class $5h - \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i - e_3 - e_4$ and passing through $q \in L_{12}$: this pencil sweeps out (4).

(5) This surface is obtained from (4) via $\text{Cr}_{1,5,6,7}$. On the one hand we obtain that (5) is swept out by the pencil of quintics $\{C_{1,7}^5(q) : q \in C_{1,\dots,6}\}$. On the other hand the surface is covered by the pencil of septic curves $C_2^7(q)$ with class $7h - 2 \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i + e_2$ passing through $q \in L_{12}$: $\{C_2^7(q) : q \in L_{12}\}$.

In each of the above, since the intersection product \cdot is preserved under Cremona transformation, we can conclude.

5 \mathbb{P}^4 blown up in 8 points

5.1 Curves in X_8^4

Notation 1 We consider the following classes of moving curves in $A^3(X_8^4)$, each obtained from the previous via a standard Cremona transformation (see formula (4) and a permutation of indices). They each live in a 4-dimensional family.

- $h^1 - e_i^1$, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$,
- $4h^1 - \sum_{i \in J} e_i^1$, for any $J \subset \{1, \dots, 8\}$ with $|J| = 6$,
- $7h^1 - \sum_{i \in J} 2e_i^1 - \sum_{i \notin J} e_i^1$, for any J with $|J| = 3$,
- $10h^1 - e_{i_1} - 3e_{i_2} - \sum_{i \neq i_1, i_2} 2e_i^1$, for any $i_1 \neq i_2, i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$,
- $13h^1 - \sum_{i \in J} 2e_i^1 - \sum_{i \notin J} 3e_i^1$, for any J with $|J| = 3$.
- $16h^1 - \sum_{i \in J} 4e_i^1 - \sum_{i \notin J} 3e_i^1$, for any J with $|J| = 2$.

The families of curves in Notation 1 correspond to facets of the effective cone of divisors on X_8^4 , see [4]. Here we include a proof via our geometrical approach.

Proposition 4 *Let $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$ be a divisor in X_8^4 . If D is effective, then we have:*

- $m_i \leq d$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$,
- $\sum_{i \in J} m_i - 4d \leq 0$, for any $J \subset \{1, \dots, 8\}$ with $|J| = 6$,
- $\sum_{i \in J} 2m_i + \sum_{i \notin J} m_i - 7d \leq 0$, for any J with $|J| = 3$,
- $m_{i_1} + 3m_{i_2} + \sum_{i \neq i_1, i_2} 2m_i - 10d \leq 0$, for any $i_1 \neq i_2, i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$,
- $\sum_{i \in J} 2m_i + \sum_{i \notin J} 3m_i - 13d \leq 0$, for any J with $|J| = 3$.
- $\sum_{i \in J} 4m_i + \sum_{i \notin J} 3m_i - 16d \leq 0$, for any J with $|J| = 2$.

The first two inequalities were also proved in [2, Lemma 2.2].

Proof Notice that each 4-dimensional family of Notation 1 covers $X_8^4 \setminus \bigcup_i E_i$, indeed for each general point in $X_8^4 \setminus \bigcup_i E_i$ we find one curve of the family that passes through it. Now, if $D \cdot (h^1 - e_i^1) = d - m_i < 0$, then D contains each line in the family in its base locus, but this contradicts the assumption that D is effective. This proves the first inequality. The remaining inequalities are proved similarly. \square

5.2 Further blow up of \mathbb{P}^4 .

For any $1 \leq i \leq 8$, we denote by $C_{\hat{i}}$ the rational normal quartic curve passing through seven base points and skipping the i th point. Consider now

$$X_{8,(1)}^4 \xrightarrow{p} X_8^4,$$

the blow up of X_8^4 along the 28 lines L_{ij} and the 8 curves $C_{\hat{i}}$. The Picard group of $X_{8,(1)}^4$ is $\text{Pic}(X_{8,(1)}^4) = \langle H, E_i, E_{ij}, E_{C_{\hat{i}}} \rangle$, where, abusing notation, we denote again by E_i the pull-back $p^*(E_i)$ and by H the pull-back $p^*(H)$, while E_{ij} and $E_{C_{\hat{i}}}$ are the exceptional divisors of the curves. Notice that E_i is a blown up \mathbb{P}^3 in 14 general points, X_{14}^3 , while $E_{ij} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and $E_{C_{\hat{i}}} \cong C_{\hat{i}} \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

For any $D \in \text{Pic}(X_8^4)$, of the form $D = dH - \sum_{i=1}^8 m_i E_i$, the strict transform \tilde{D} of D under p satisfies

$$\tilde{D} := D - \sum k_{ij} E_{ij} - \sum k_{C_{\hat{i}}} E_{C_{\hat{i}}}. \quad (5)$$

where k_{ij} and k_{C_i} are defined in Remark 2.

Let us consider now the Chow group of 2-cycles of $X_{8,(1)}^4$:

$$A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4) = \langle h, e_i, e_{ij}, f_{ij}, e_{C_i}, f_{C_i} \rangle.$$

where h is the pullback of a general plane of \mathbb{P}^4 , e_i is the pull-back of a general plane contained in E_i , $f_{ij} \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ is the fiber over a point of the line and $e_{ij} \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is the transverse direction, f_{C_i} is the fiber over a point of the curve C_i and e_{C_i} is the transverse direction. In the Chow ring $A^*(X_{8,(1)}^4)$ we have the following relations:

$$H^2 = h, \quad E_i^2 = -e_i, \quad HE_i = 0, \quad E_i E_j = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$HE_{ij} = E_i E_{ij} = f_{ij}, \quad E_i E_{jk} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$E_{ij}^2 = -e_{ij} - f_{ij}, \quad E_{ij} E_{ik} = 0, \quad E_{ij} E_{kl} = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$H^4 = h^2 = 1, \quad E_i^4 = e_i^2 = -1, \quad f_{ij} e_{ij} = e_{ij}^2 = -1 \quad (9)$$

$$f_{ij}^2 = e_i f_{ij} = 0, \quad h e_i = h f_{ij} = 0, \quad e_i e_{ij} = h e_{ij} = 0. \quad (10)$$

5.3 Classification of the Weyl surfaces

The section contains one of the main results of this paper. We construct five Weyl surfaces in X_8^4 and we prove that they are the only such cycles, modulo index permutation. For any surface, we also give its exact multiplicity of containment in a given divisor and its class in the Chow ring of $X_{8,(1)}^4$.

Proposition 5 *Let $S^1 = S_{1,4,5}^1$ be the plane L_{145} through three points in \mathbb{P}^4 .*

- *Given an effective divisor $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$ in X_8^4 , let*

$$k_{S^1}(D) = \max\{0, m_1 + m_4 + m_5 - 2d\}.$$

Then the surface S^1 is contained in the base locus of D exactly $k_{S^1}(D)$ times.

- *The class of the strict transform \widetilde{S}^1 of S^1 in the Chow group $A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4)$ is*

$$h - e_1 - e_4 - e_5 - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,4,5\}} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}).$$

Proof The first part of the statement follows from [2] and [11, Proposition 4.2].

Consider the fixed hyperplanes $D_0 := H - E_1 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5$ and $F_0 := H - E_1 - E_2 - E_4 - E_5$. Let \widetilde{D}_0 and \widetilde{F}_0 be their strict transforms on $X_{8,(1)}^4$, see (5). Clearly we have $S^1 = D_0 \cap F_0$, and $\widetilde{S}^1 = \widetilde{D}_0 \cap \widetilde{F}_0$. By using relations (6), (7), (8), we compute $\widetilde{D}_0 \cap \widetilde{F}_0 = h - e_1 - e_4 - e_5 - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,4,5\}} (e_{ij} - f_{ij})$. \square

Using Lemma 2 we obtain the following.

Lemma 3 *Given a subset $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_5\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, 8\}$ and a divisor $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$ in X_8^4 . If*

$$d - m_{i_1} - m_{i_2} = a \geq 1,$$

then the 2-plane $L_{i_3 i_4 i_5}$ is contained in $\text{Cr}_I(D)$ exactly a times.

Lemma 4 *Let $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5\}$ and $J = \{i_1, i_2, i_6\}$ two subset of $\{1, \dots, 8\}$, such that $|I \cap J| = 2$. If Cr_I is the standard Cremona transformation based on I , then the plane L_J is Cr_I -invariant, that is $\text{Cr}_I(L_J) = L_J$.*

Proof Consider the hyperplanes $D = L_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_6}$ and $F = L_{i_1 i_2 i_4 i_6}$. We have $D \cap F = L_{i_1 i_2 i_6} = L_J$. Clearly $\text{Cr}_I(D) = D$ and $\text{Cr}_I(F) = F$ and hence also

$$\text{Cr}_I(L_J) = \text{Cr}(D \cap F) \subseteq \text{Cr}_I(D) \cap \text{Cr}_I(F) = D \cap F = L_J.$$

Proposition 6 *Let $J := \{1, 2, 3, 6, 7\}$ and consider the Cremona transformation Cr_J . Let $S^1 = L_{145}$. Then $S^3 := \text{Cr}_J(S^1)$ is the strict transform of cubic pointed cone over the rational normal curve C_8 and the point p_1 .*

- *Given a divisor $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$, let*

$$k_{S^3}(D) = \max\{0, 2m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7 - 5d\}.$$

Then the surface S_3 is contained in the base locus of D exactly $k_{S^3}(D)$ times.

- *The class of the strict transform \tilde{S}^3 of S^3 in the Chow group $A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4)$ is*

$$3h - 3e_1 - \sum_{i=2}^7 e_i - (e_{C_8} - f_{C_8}) - \sum_{i=2}^7 (e_{1i} - f_{1i})$$

Proof The plane $S^1 = L_{145}$ is swept out by the pencil of lines $\{C^1(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$, where the cycle class of $C^1(q)$ is $h^1 - e_5^1$ and it passes through the point $q \in L_{14}$. Using formulas (4) and the same idea as in the proof of Proposition 3, we compute the images of the line $L_{14} = h^1 - e_1^1 - e_4^1$ and of the pencil of lines $\{C^1(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$ of class $h^1 - e_5^1$ via the transformation Cr_J . We have $\text{Cr}_J(L_{14}) = L_{14}$ and $\text{Cr}_J(C^1(q)) = C^4(q)$ where $C^4(q)$ is rational curve with class $4h^1 - e_1^1 - e_2^1 - e_3^1 - e_5^1 - e_6^1 - e_7^1$ and passing through q . Thus we get that the surface S_3 is swept out by the pencil $\{C^4(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$. Therefore D contains any curve $C^4(q)$, and hence S_3 , in its base locus at least $\max\{0, m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7 + \max\{0, m_1 + m_4 - d\} - 4d\}$ times. Notice that we have $m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7 - 4d \leq 0$, since D is effective, by Proposition 4. Hence the claim follows.

Now we prove the second statement. Given D_0 and F_0 defined in the previous proposition, recall that $D_0 \cap F_0 = S^1$. We consider now their images $D_1 = \text{Cr}_J(D_0)$ and $F_1 = \text{Cr}_J(F_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= 2H - 2E_1 - E_2 - 2E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6 - E_7 \\ F_1 &= 2H - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6 - E_7. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $S^3 \subseteq D_1 \cap F_1$. By Proposition 5 we easily see that the only plane contained in $D_1 \cap F_1$ is L_{123} . Moreover it is easy to check that the intersection $D_1 \cap F_1$ does not intersect the indeterminacy locus of the Cremona transformation Cr_J in any other 2-dimensional component. Hence $D_1 \cap F_1$ is the union of the plane L_{123} and an irreducible cubic surface with one triple point in p_1 and 6 simple points. We conclude that S^3 is exactly such cubic surface.

We now shall describe the class of S^3 in $A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4)$. Let \widetilde{D}_1 and \widetilde{F}_1 be the corresponding strict transforms under the blow up of lines and rational normal curves in $X_{8,(1)}^4$. By (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}_1 &= 2H - 2E_1 - E_2 - 2E_3 - \sum_{i=4}^7 E_i - 2E_{13} - \sum_{i \in \{1,3\}, k \in \{2,4,5,6,7\}} E_{ik} - E_{C_8} \\ \widetilde{F}_1 &= 2H - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - \sum_{i=3}^7 E_i - 2E_{12} - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2, 3 \leq k \leq 7} E_{ik} - E_{C_8}. \end{aligned}$$

By using relations (6), (7), (8), we compute the intersection:

$$\widetilde{D}_1 \cap \widetilde{F}_1 = (h - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}} (e_{ij} - f_{ij})) + (3h - 3e_1 - \sum_{i=2}^7 e_i - (e_{C_8} - f_{C_8}) - \sum_{i=2}^7 (e_{1i} - f_{1i})).$$

Finally by Proposition 5, we can conclude. \square

We will denote by $S_{i,\widehat{j}}^3$ the cubic surface with a triple point at p_i and multiplicity zero at p_j .

Proposition 7 *Let $J := \{2, 3, 4, 5, 8\}$ and consider the Cremona transformation Cr_J . Then $S^6 := \text{Cr}_J(S^3)$ is a surface of degree 6 with five triple points.*

- Given an effective divisor $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$, let

$$k_{S_6}(D) = \max\{0, 2(m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5) + m_6 + m_7 + m_8 - 8d\}.$$

Then the surface S_6 is contained in the base locus of D exactly $k_{S_6}(D)$ times.

- The class in $A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4)$ of strict transform \widetilde{S}^6 of S^6 in $X_{8,(1)}^4$ is

$$6h - 3 \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i - \sum_{i=6}^8 e_i - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}, i \neq j} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{k=6}^8 (e_{C_k} - f_{C_k}).$$

Proof We know from the previous proposition that the surface S^3 is swept out by a pencil of rational normal quartic curves $\{C^4(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$. By (4), we obtain that the image of the pencil is $\{C^7(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$, where $C^7(q)$ is a rational septic

curve with class $7h^1 - \sum_{i=1}^8 e_i^1 - e_2^1 - e_3^1 - e_5^1$ and passing through $q \in L_{14}$. Since the surface S^6 is swept out by this, we can say that D contains S^6 in its base locus at least $\max\{0, m_1 + 2m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4 + 2m_5 + m_6 + m_7 + m_8 + \max\{0, m_1 + m_4 - d\} - 7d\}$ times. Since D is effective, by Proposition 4 we have $m_1 + 2m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4 + 2m_5 + m_6 + m_7 + m_8 - 7d \leq 0$, hence the claim follows.

Now we prove the second statement. Given D_1 and F_1 defined in the previous proposition, recall that $D_1 \cap F_1 = L_{123} \cup S^3$. We consider now $D_2 = \text{Cr}_J(D_1)$ and $F_2 = \text{Cr}_J(F_1)$ to be their image under the Cremona transformation and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &= 3Hx - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 3E_3 - 2E_4 - 2E_5 - E_6 - E_7 - E_8 \\ F_2 &= 3H - 2E_1 - 3E_2 - 2E_3 - 2E_4 - 2E_5 - E_6 - E_7 - E_8. \end{aligned}$$

We now analyse the intersection $D_2 \cap F_2$. Note that $\text{Cr}_J(L_{123}) = L_{123}$, by Lemma 4. By Proposition 5 we see that the only planes contained in $D_2 \cap F_2$ are $L_{123}, L_{234}, L_{235}$. Finally we check that the intersection of $D_2 \cap F_2$ with the indeterminacy locus of Cr_J does not contain any other 2-dimensional component, besides the planes L_{234} and L_{235} . Hence the intersection $D_2 \cap F_2$ splits into four components: the three planes $L_{123}, L_{234}, L_{235}$ and a sextic surface with five triple points at p_1 and three simple points. Hence we conclude that S^6 is exactly the sextic irreducible surface.

We now describe the class of S^6 in $X_{8,(1)}^4$. Let \widetilde{D}_2 and \widetilde{F}_2 be the corresponding strict transforms under the blow up of lines and rational normal curves in $X_{8,(1)}^4$, see (5). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}_2 &= 3H - \sum_{i \in \{1,2,4,5\}} 2E_i - 3E_3 - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_i - \sum_{i \in \{1,2,4,5\}} 2E_{3i} - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_{3i} - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,4,5\}, i \neq j} E_{ij} - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_{C_i} \\ \widetilde{F}_2 &= 3H - \sum_{i \in \{1,3,4,5\}} 2E_i - 3E_2 - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_i - \sum_{i \in \{1,3,4,5\}} 2E_{2i} - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_{2i} - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,3,4,5\}, i \neq j} E_{ij} - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_{C_i} \end{aligned}$$

Computing their complete intersection, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}_2 \cap \widetilde{F}_2 &= (h - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,3\}, i \neq j} (e_{ij} - f_{ij})) + \\ &+ (h - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - \sum_{i,j \in \{2,3,4\}, i \neq j} (e_{ij} - f_{ij})) + (h - e_2 - e_3 - e_5 - \sum_{i,j \in \{2,3,5\}} (e_{ij} - f_{ij})) + \\ &(6h - 3 \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i - \sum_{i=6}^8 e_i - \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}, i \neq j} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{k=6}^8 (e_{C_k} - f_{C_k})) \end{aligned}$$

where we use relations (6), (7), (8), and we conclude. \square

We will denote by $S_{i,j,k}^6$ the sextic surface with five triple points at $\{p_h\}$ for $h \neq i, j, k$.

Proposition 8 *Let $J := \{1, 2, 6, 7, 8\}$ and consider the Cremona transformation $\text{Cr}_{1,J}$. Then $S^{10} := \text{Cr}_J(S^6)$ is a surface of degree 10 with two sextuple points and six triple points.*

- Given an effective divisor $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$, let

$$k_{S^{10}}(D) = \max\{0, 3(m_1 + m_2) + 2(m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7 + m_8) - 11d\}.$$

Then the surface S^{10} is contained in the base locus of D exactly $k_{S^{10}}(D)$ times.

- The class of the strict transform $\widetilde{S^{10}}$ of S^{10} in $A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4)$ is

$$10h - 6e_1 - 6e_2 - \sum_{i=3}^8 3e_i - 3(e_{12} - f_{12}) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=3}^8 (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{k=3}^8 (e_{C_{\bar{k}}} - f_{C_{\bar{k}}}).$$

Proof We know from the previous proposition that the surface S^6 is swept out by the pencil of rational septic curves $\{C^7(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$. By (4), we obtain that the image of the pencil is $\{C^{10}(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$, where $C^{10}(q)$ is a rational curve with class $10h^1 - 2e_1^1 - 3e_2^1 - 2e_3^1 - e_4^1 - 2e_5^1 - 2e_6^1 - 2e_7^1 - 2e_8^1$ and passing through $q \in L_{14}$. Since the surface S^{10} is swept out by this pencil, we can say that D contains S^{10} in its base locus at least $\max\{0, 2m_1 + 3m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4 + 2m_5 + 2m_6 + 2m_7 + 2m_8 + \max\{0, m_1 + m_4 - d\} - 10d\}$ times. Since $2m_1 + 3m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4 + 2m_5 + 2m_6 + 2m_7 + 2m_8 - 10d \leq 0$, by Proposition 4 then we the claim follows.

Now we prove the second statement. Given D_2 and F_2 defined in the previous proposition, recall that $D_2 \cap F_2 = S^6 \cup L_{123} \cup L_{234} \cup L_{235}$. We consider now $D_3 = \text{Cr}_J(D_2)$ and $F_3 = \text{Cr}_J(F_2)$ to be their image under the Cremona transformation and we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_3 &= 5H - 4E_1 - 4E_2 - 3E_3 - 2E_4 - 2E_5 - 3E_6 - 3E_7 - 3E_8 \\ F_3 &= 4H - 3E_1 - 4E_2 - 2E_3 - 2E_4 - 2E_5 - 2E_6 - 2E_7 - 2E_8. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check, by applying the previous propositions, that the intersection $D_3 \cap F_3$ contains the planes $L_{123}, L_{126}, L_{127}, L_{128}$ and the cubic surfaces $S_{2,4}^3$ and $S_{2,5}^3$. Notice that $\text{Cr}_J(L_{123}) = L_{123}$ by Lemma 4, and $\text{Cr}_J(L_{234}) = S_{2,5}^3$, $\text{Cr}_J(L_{235}) = S_{2,4}^3$, by Proposition 6. By computing the intersection of $D_3 \cap F_3$ with the indeterminacy locus of Cr_J we see that there are no other 2-dimensional components, besides the planes $L_{126}, L_{127}, L_{128}$. Hence we conclude that S^{10} is an irreducible surface with degree 10 and two sextuple points at p_1 and p_2 and 6 triple points.

Finally we describe the class of S^{10} in $X_{8,(1)}^4$. Let \widetilde{D}_3 and \widetilde{F}_3 be the corresponding strict transforms under the blow up of lines and rational normal curves in $X_{8,(1)}^4$, see (5). Computing their complete intersection, as in the previous case we get our claim. \square

Proposition 9 Let $J := \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ and consider the Cremona transformation Cr_J . Then $S^{15} := \text{Cr}_J(S^{10})$ is a surface of degree 15 with one triple point and seven sextuple points.

- Given an effective divisor $D = dH - \sum m_i E_i$, let

$$k_{S^{15}}(D) = \max\{0, 3(m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + m_4 + m_5 + m_6 + m_7) - 2m_8 - 14d\}.$$

Then the surface S^{15} is contained in the base locus of D exactly $k_{S^{15}}(D)$ times.

- The class of the strict transform $\widetilde{S^{15}}$ of S^{15} in $A^2(X_{8,(1)}^4)$ is

$$15h - \sum_{i=1}^7 6e_i - 3e_8 - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 7} (e_{ij} - f_{ij}) - \sum_{i=1}^7 (e_{C_{\widehat{i}}} - f_{C_{\widehat{i}}}) - 3(e_{C_{\widehat{8}}} - f_{C_{\widehat{8}}}).$$

Proof We know from the previous proposition that the surface S^{10} is swept out by the pencil of rational septic curves $\{C^{10}(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$. By (4), we obtain that the image of the pencil is $\{C^{13}(q) : q \in L_{14}\}$, where $C^{13}(q)$ is a rational curve with class $13h^1 - 2e_1^1 - 3e_2^1 - 3e_3^1 - 2e_4^1 - 3e_5^1 - 3e_6^1 - 3e_7^1 - 2e_8^1$ and passing through $q \in L_{14}$. Since the surface S^{15} is swept out by this pencil, we can say that D contains S^{15} in its base locus at least $\max\{0, 2m_1 + 3m_2 + 3m_3 + 2m_4 + 3m_5 + 3m_6 + 3m_7 + 2m_8 + \max\{0, m_1 + m_4 - d\} - 13d\}$ times. Since $2m_1 + 3m_2 + 3m_3 + 2m_4 + 3m_5 + 3m_6 + 3m_7 + 2m_8 - 13d \leq 0$ by Proposition 4, then we the claim follows.

Now we prove the second statement. Given D_3 and F_3 defined in the previous proposition, recall that

$$D_3 \cap F_3 = S_{10} \cup L_{123} \cup L_{126} \cup L_{127} \cup L_{128} \cup S_{2,4}^3 \cup S_{2,5}^3.$$

We consider now $D_4 = \text{Cr}_J(D_3)$ and $F_4 = \text{Cr}_J(F_3)$ to be their image under the Cremona transformation.

$$\begin{aligned} D_4 &:= 7H - 4E_1 - 4E_2 - 5E_3 - 4E_4 - 4E_5 - 5E_6 - 5E_7 - 3E_8 \\ F_4 &:= 6H - 3E_1 - 4E_2 - 4E_3 - 4E_4 - 4E_5 - 4E_6 - 4E_7 - 2E_8. \end{aligned}$$

Now the intersection $D_4 \cap F_4$ contains $S_{3,8}^3 = \text{Cr}_J(L_{123})$ (by Proposition 6), $S_{6,8}^3 = \text{Cr}_J(L_{126})$ (by Proposition 6), $S_{148}^6 = \text{Cr}_J(S_{2,4}^3)$ (by Proposition 7), $S_{158}^6 = \text{Cr}_J(S_{2,5}^3)$ (by Proposition 7). Moreover we have the components: $S_{7,8}^3$, S_{128}^6 , and it can be easily proved that $S_{7,8}^3 = \text{Cr}_J(L_{127})$ and $S_{128}^6 = \text{Cr}_J(L_{128})$. Finally we check that the intersection of $D_4 \cap F_4$ with the indeterminacy locus of Cr_J does not contain any 2-dimensional component. Hence we conclude that S^{15} is an irreducible surface of degree 15 and with a triple point at p_8 and seven sextuple points.

Finally, as in the previous case, we compute the complete intersection of the strict transforms \widetilde{D}_4 and \widetilde{F}_4 , and we get our statement. \square

Remark 3 We point out that the five Weyl surfaces described above correspond to the same list computed by [4, Theorem 8.7].

Remark 4 Notice that the class of a Weyl surface must be computed in the Chow ring of $X_{8,(1)}^4$ in order to uniquely identify the surface. Indeed, for instance, the class of S^3 in the Chow ring of X_8^4 is $3h - 3e_1 - \sum_{i=2}^7 e_i$, but so is the class of the union of the three planes L_{123} , L_{145} and L_{167} . This was predicted in [7, Theorem 4.4] where the authors proved that the cone of effective 2-cycles of X_8^4 is linearly generated,

namely each effective cycle can be written as a sum of linear cycles. However, the three planes do not contain the rational normal curve, whereas S^3 does. From this observation it is clear that the cone of effective cycles of codimension 2 of $X_{8,(1)}^4$ will not be linearly generated.

Remark 5 Notice that, in Propositions 5,6,7,8,9 we used a specific sequence of Cremona transformations to obtain each Weyl surface of X_8^4 from the previous. This choice is clearly not unique, in fact there are multiple paths going from one Weyl surface to another. Similarly, for each Weyl surface S we found a suitable pencil of curves over a Weyl curve $C \subseteq S$ that covers it. This description is also not unique, in particular for every Weyl curve $C \subseteq S$, we can find one such pencil.

Proposition 10 *The five surfaces $S^1, S^3, S^6, S^{10}, S^{15}$ are the only Weyl surfaces in X_8^4 .*

Proof The statement can be proved by direct inspection. In Proposition 11 below we classify all the Weyl divisors in X_8^4 . Then we consider all the possible intersection of two orthogonal Weyl divisors and, by using Propositions 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and computing degrees and multiplicities, we have checked that all the irreducible components of the intersections are surfaces of type $S^1, S^3, S^6, S^{10}, S^{15}$. \square

By the previous proposition we conclude that any Weyl surface of X_8^4 is contained in the orbit of a plane through 3 points. Hence our Definition 1 of Weyl surface in this case coincide with the definition Weyl plane given in [10].

From the proofs of Propositions 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, we get the following consequence.

Corollary 1 *Every Weyl surface on X_8^4 is swept out by a pencil of rational curves curve $\{C(q) : q \in C\}$ over a Weyl curve C .*

5.4 Weyl divisors.

Recall that X_8^4 is a Mori Dream Space and in particular the cone of effective divisors is finitely generated by the divisors of anticanonical degree $\frac{1}{3}\langle D, -K_{X_8^4} \rangle = 1$. A simple application of formula (3) gives the following classification of all the Weyl divisors in X_8^4 ; they are exactly the generators of the effective cone, see also [17].

Proposition 11 *The Weyl divisors in X_8^4 are, modulo permutation of indices:*

- (1) E_i , (the exceptional divisor)
- (2) $H - \sum_{i=1}^4 E_i$, (hyperplane through four points);
- (3) $2H - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - \sum_{i=3}^7 E_i$, (quadric cone, join of a rational normal quartic and a line);
- (4) $3H - \sum_{i=1}^7 2E_i$, (the 2-secant variety to a rational normal quartic);
- (5) $3H - 3E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^5 2E_i - \sum_{i=6}^8 E_i$, (cone on the Cayley surface of \mathbb{P}^3);
- (6) $4H - \sum_{i=1}^4 3E_i - \sum_{i=5}^7 2E_i - E_8$, with $|J| = 4$ and $j \notin J$;

- (7) $4H - 4E_1 - 3E_2 - \sum_{i=3}^8 2E_i$, (cone on a quartic surface of \mathbb{P}^3);
(8) $5H - 4E_1 - 4E_2 - \sum_{i=3}^6 3E_i - 2E_7 - 2E_8$;
(9) $6H - 5E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^4 4E_i - \sum_{i=5}^8 3E_i$;
(10) $6H - \sum_{i=1}^6 4E_i - 3E_7 - 2E_8$;
(11) $7H - \sum_{i=1}^3 5E_i - \sum_{i=4}^7 4E_i - 3E_8$;
(12) $7H - 6E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^8 4E_i$;
(13) $8H - 6E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^6 5E_i - 4E_7 - 4E_8$;
(14) $9H - \sum_{i=1}^4 6E_i - \sum_{i=5}^8 5E_i$;
(15) $10H - 7E_1 - \sum_{i=2}^8 6E_i$.

We conclude this section with the following geometrical descriptions of the Weyl divisors on X_8^4 . As pencils of curves with cycle class as in Notation 1 sweep out Weyl surfaces of X_8^4 , nets of such curves sweep out Weyl divisors.

Lemma 5 *Let D be a Weyl divisor on X_8^4 containing a Weyl surface S . Then there is a net of curves $\{C(q) : q \in S\}$ with $C(q) \cdot D = 0$ sweeping out D .*

Proof Notice that every divisor (2)-(15) of Proposition 5.4 satisfies the hypotheses. For one such divisor, let $S \subset D$. By Propositions 5-6-7-8-9, we can find a sequence of standard Cremona transformations such that the image of S is a plane S_1 . Applying the same sequence of transformations to D_1 , we obtain a Weyl divisor containing such plane. Modulo reordering the points, the possible outputs for the image of D are the divisors (2), (3), (5), (7), (8), (9), (11) of Proposition 11. For each such output, we shall exhibit a sequence of Cremona transformations that preserve the plane S_1 and takes D_1 to a hyperplane containing S_1 . Without loss of generality, we will assume that S_1 is the class of the plane passing through the first three points. In the following tables, for every (i), on the left hand side we will describe the class of the Weyl divisor and on the right hand side the class of the curve $C(q)$ of the net:

(11)	7	5 5 5 3 4 4 4 4	19	4 4 4 3 4 4 4 4
	(9)	5 4 4 3 4 3 3 3	16	4 3 3 3 2 3 3 3
	(8)	5 4 4 3 2 3 3 3 2	13	3 3 2 2 3 3 3 2
	(7)	4 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2	10	3 2 1 2 2 2 2 2
	(5)	3 3 2 2 2 2 1 1 1	7	2 1 1 2 2 1 1 1
	(3)	2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 0	4	1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0
	(2)	1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	1	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0

6 Weyl expected dimension

Let $n = 3, 4$. For $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $L_{I(r)}$ be a linear cycle of dimension r spanned by $r + 1$ base points. Recall that $W_{n,n+4}$ denotes the Weyl group of X_{n+4}^n . Consider the following set of Weyl r -cycles: $W_n(r) := \{w(L_{I(r)}) : w \in W_{n,n+4}\}$, and let

$k_A(D)$ denote the multiplicity of containment of the r -cycle A in the base locus of the divisor D .

By Remark 2 we know that for any Weyl curve $A \in W_n(1)$, then $k_C = \max\{0, -D \cdot A\}$. For every Weyl divisor $A \in W_n(n-1)$ (i.e. those listed in Propositions 2 and 11), we have that $k_A = -\max\{0, \langle D, A \rangle\}$, see [2, Proposition 2.3] and [11, Proposition 4.2] for details. Finally, for $n = 4$, by the results of Section 5.3 we know that $W_4(2)$ is the set of the Weyl surfaces (i.e. those listed in equation (1)) and the multiplicity of containment $k_A(D)$ of any Weyl surface $A \in W_4(2)$ in the base locus of an effective divisor D is computed in Propositions 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

We introduce now the notion of *Weyl expected dimension*.

Definition 2 Let $n = 3, 4$ and D be an effective divisor on $X = X_{n+4}^n$. We say that D has *Weyl expected dimension* $\text{wdim}(D)$, where

$$\text{wdim}(D) := \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{A \in W_n(r)} (-1)^{r+1} \binom{n + k_A(D) - r - 1}{n}.$$

We now show that the Weyl expected dimension is invariant under the action of the Weyl group.

Proposition 12 Let $n = 3, 4$ and D an effective divisor on X_{n+4}^n . The Weyl dimension of D is preserved under standard Cremona transformations.

Proof Let $D = dH - \sum_{i=1}^{n+4} m_i E_i$. We need to prove that $\text{wdim}(D) = \text{wdim}(\text{Cr}_I(D))$ for Cr_I a standard Cremona transformation. Let $D' = dH - \sum_{i \in I} m_i E_i$ be the divisor obtained from D by forgetting 3 points. From [2, Corollary 4.8, Theorem 5.3] we have that $\text{wdim}(D') = \text{wdim}(\text{Cr}_I(D'))$, where the formula $\text{wdim}(D')$ only takes into account the Weyl cycles of D based exclusively at the points parametrized by I that are therefore fixed linear subspaces through base points.

We claim that, for all the remaining Weyl cycles A of D , interpolating at least a point away from the indeterminacy locus and for which $k_A(D) \geq 1$, we have $k_A(D) = k_{\text{Cr}(A)}(\text{Cr}(D))$. If A is a curve, the claim is true because $k_A(D) = -A \cdot D = -\text{Cr}(A) \cdot \text{Cr}(D)$. If A is a divisor, the claim is true because $k_A(D) = -\langle A, D \rangle = -\langle \text{Cr}(A), \text{Cr}(D) \rangle$. It only remains to show the claim for $A = S$ a surface of X_8^4 . It follows from the proofs of Propositions 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and Remark 5 that for a Weyl curve $C \subseteq S$ such that S is swept out by a pencil $\{C(q) : q \in C\}$, then $k_S(D) = -C(q) \cdot D + k_C(D)$. Since D is effective, then $C(q) \cdot D \geq 0$ by Proposition 4, so $k_C(D) = -C \cdot D \geq 1$. Since $k_S(D) = -C(q) \cdot D - C \cdot D = -\text{Cr}(C_q) \cdot \text{Cr}(D) - \text{Cr}(C) \cdot \text{Cr}(D) = -\text{Cr}(C(q)) \cdot D + k_{\text{Cr}(C)}(\text{Cr}(D))$ and $\text{Cr}(D)$ is swept out by $\{\text{Cr}(C(q)) : q \in \text{Cr}(C)\}$, we conclude. \square

This yields an explicit formula for the dimension of any linear system in X_7^3 .

Theorem 1 For any effective divisor $D \in \text{Pic}(X_7^3)$, we have

$$h^0(X_7^3, \mathcal{O}_{X_7^3}(D)) = \text{wdim}(D).$$

Proof For the sake of simplicity, we will abbreviate $h^0(X_7^3, \mathcal{O}_{X_7^3}(D))$ with $h^0(D)$. Consider a sequence of standard Cremona transformations which takes D to a Cremona reduced divisor D' : it is well-known that $h^0(D) = h^0(D')$. By the previous proposition we have that $\text{wdim}(D) = \text{wdim}(D')$. Since D' is Cremona reduced, by [8, Theorem 5.3] we know that D' is linearly non-special, i.e. its dimension equals its linear expected dimension introduced in [2]: $h^0(D') = \text{l dim}(D') = \text{wdim}(D')$ where the last equality is easy to check for Cremona reduced divisors in X_7^3 . Hence we conclude that $h^0(D) = \text{wdim}(D)$. \square

For the case of X_8^4 , we propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 *For any effective divisor $D \in \text{Pic}(X_8^4)$, we have*

$$h^0(X_8^4, \mathcal{O}_{X_8^4}(D)) = \text{wdim}(D).$$

We claim that the notion of Weyl dimension extends both that of *linear expected dimension* of [2] and that of *secant expected dimension* of [3].

In fact, first of all, notice that that linear cycles of dimension at most $n-1$ spanned by the collection of s points are *Weyl cycles*, according to our definition. This holds because hyperplanes passing through n base points are always Weyl divisors. We recall that for $s = n+2$, the only Weyl divisors are the exceptional divisors and the hyperplanes spanned by n base points. We conclude that for $s = n+2$ the linear cycles spanned by base points are the only Weyl cycles, hence we have that for divisors in X_{n+2}^n , the Weyl expected dimension equals the linear expected dimension, so the analogous of Conjecture (1) in X_{n+2}^n holds by [2]. Moreover, by [2, Corollary 4.8] we can say that the analogous of Conjecture 1 holds in arbitrary dimension for small number of points.

Secondly, in [3] the authors considered cycles $J(L_I, \sigma_t)$, joins over the t secant variety to the rational normal curve of degree n passing through $n+3$ points, and they gave a *secant expected dimension* for an effective divisor. It agrees with the Weyl expected dimension for $n = 4$. For X_7^4 , these varieties are just the unique rational normal quartic curve through the 7 points and the pointed cones over it, namely cone over rational normal curve, labeled $S_{1,8}^3$ as in notation (1). Therefore, we ask the following question:

Conjecture 2 *The varieties $J(L_I, \sigma_t)$ are Weyl cycles on X_{n+3}^n .*

Solving Conjecture 1 would complete the analysis of the dimensionality problem for all the Mori Dream Spaces of the form X_s^n , which are X_{n+3}^n, X_8^2, X_7^3 and X_8^4 .

References

1. C. Araujo, C. Casagrande, *On the Fano variety of linear spaces contained in two odd-dimensional quadrics*, with Carolina Araujo, Geometry & Topology 21, 3009-3045, 2017

2. M.C. Brambilla, O. Dumitrescu and E. Postingshel, *On a notion of speciality of linear systems in \mathbb{P}^n* , Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), 5447-5473
3. M.C. Brambilla, O. Dumitrescu and E. Postingshel, *On the effective cone of \mathbb{P}^n blown-up at $n + 3$ points*, Exp. Math. 25, no. 4, 452–465 (2016)
4. C. Casagrande, G. Codogni and A. Fanelli, *The blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 at 8 points and its Fano model, via vector bundles on a degree 1 del Pezzo surface*, Revista Matematica Complutense, 2 (2019) 32.
5. C. Ciliberto, *Geometrical aspects of polynomial interpolation in more variables and of Waring's problem*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000), 289–316, Progr. Math., 201, Birkhäuser, Basel (2001)
6. C. Ciliberto, B. Harbourne, R. Miranda, J. Roé, *Variations on Nagata's conjecture*, Clay Math. Proc. 18, 185–203, Amer. Math. Soc., (2013)
7. I. Coskun, J. Lesieutre, and J. Ottem, *Effective cones of cycles on blowups of projective space*, Algebra Number Theory 10 (2016), no. 9 , 1983-2014.
8. C. De Volder and A. Laface, *On linear systems of \mathbb{P}^3 through multiple points*, J. Algebra 310 (2007), no. 1, 207–217.
9. I. Dolgachev, *Weyl groups and Cremona transformations*, Singularities, Part 1 (Arcata, Calif., 1981), 283–294, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 40, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
10. O. Dumitrescu and R. Miranda, *Cremona Orbits in \mathbb{P}^4 and Applications*, arXiv Preprint 2021.
11. O. Dumitrescu and E. Postingshel, *Vanishing theorems for linearly obstructed divisors*, J. Algebra, 477, 312-359 (2017)
12. O. Dumitrescu and N. Priddis, *On (-1) classes*, arXiv: 1905.00074.
13. A. Laface and L. Ugaglia, *On a class of special linear systems of \mathbb{P}^3* , Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 5485-5500.
14. A. Laface and L. Ugaglia, *Elementary (-1) curves of \mathbb{P}^3* , Comm. Algebra 35 (2007), 313–324
15. A. Laface and L. Ugaglia, *Standard classes on the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^n at points in very general position*, Comm. in Alg., vol. 40 (2012), pag. 2115-2129.
16. S. Mukai, *Geometric realization of T-Shaped root systems and counterexamples to Hilbert's fourteenth problem*, Algebraic Transformation Groups and Algebraic Varieties Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences Volume 132, 2004, pp 123-129.
17. S. Mukai, *Finite generation of the Nagata invariant rings in A-D-E cases*, RIMS Preprint n. 1502, Kyoto, 2005.
18. M. Nagata, *On the fourteenth problem of Hilbert*, Proc. Internat. Congress Math., Cambridge University Press, 1958, 459-462.