

# Capacity of entanglement in random pure state

---

**Kazumi Okuyama**

*Department of Physics, Shinshu University,  
3-1-1 Asahi, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan*

*E-mail:* [kazumi@azusa.shinshu-u.ac.jp](mailto:kazumi@azusa.shinshu-u.ac.jp)

**ABSTRACT:** We compute the capacity of entanglement in the bipartite random pure state model using the replica method. We find the exact expression of the capacity of entanglement which is valid for a finite dimension of the Hilbert space. We argue that in the gravitational path integral, the capacity of entanglement receives contributions only from the sub-leading saddle points corresponding to the partially connected geometries.

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Random pure state model</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Planar limit</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Exact capacity of entanglement at finite <math>d_A, d_B</math></b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusions and outlook</b>	<b>11</b>

---

## 1 Introduction

In recent papers [1, 2], the Page curve of the Hawking radiation [3] is reproduced from the replica computation of the entanglement entropy (see also [4] for a review). As argued by Page in [5], the entropy computation of the Hawking radiation is nicely modeled by the random pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$  in a bipartite Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B. \quad (1.1)$$

Here the subsystems  $A$  and  $B$  correspond to the Hawking radiation and the black hole, respectively. From the reduced density matrix  $\rho_A$  of the subsystem  $A$

$$\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|, \quad (1.2)$$

we can compute the entanglement entropy  $S_A$

$$S_A = -\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A \log \rho_A \rangle, \quad (1.3)$$

where the bracket  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the ensemble average over the random pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$ . The exact form of  $S_A$  (4.5) is obtained in [5] as a function of the dimensions  $d_A, d_B$  of the Hilbert spaces (1.1)

$$d_A = \dim \mathcal{H}_A, \quad d_B = \dim \mathcal{H}_B. \quad (1.4)$$

As discussed in [3],  $S_A$  in the random pure state model exhibits a similar behavior as the Page curve for the Hawking radiation from an evaporating black hole.

In a recent paper [6], it is argued that the capacity of entanglement  $C_A$  introduced in [7] is a useful quantity to diagnose the phase transition around the Page time.  $C_A$  is defined by

$$C_A = \text{Tr}(\rho_A K^2) - (\text{Tr} \rho_A K)^2, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $K = -\log \rho_A$  is the modular Hamiltonian. In other words,  $C_A$  measures the fluctuation of the modular Hamiltonian. See e.g. [8–10] for the study of  $C_A$  in various models.

In this paper, we compute the capacity of entanglement in the random pure state model using the replica method. From the ensemble average of  $\text{Tr } \rho_A^n$  over the random pure state, we can compute the entanglement entropy  $S_A$  and the capacity of entanglement  $C_A$  as the derivative with respect to the replica number  $n$  at  $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= -\partial_n \log \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle \Big|_{n=1} = -\partial_n \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle \Big|_{n=1}, \\ C_A &= \partial_n^2 \log \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle \Big|_{n=1} = \partial_n^2 \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle \Big|_{n=1} - (S_A)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

We find the exact form of  $C_A$  as a function of the dimensions  $d_A, d_B$  of the Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B$ . The exact expression of  $C_A$  in (4.9) is the main result of this paper.

As discussed in [1, 2], fully disconnected or fully connected geometries dominate in the replica computation of the entropy  $S_A$ , and their contributions exchange dominance around the Page time. In the case of the capacity  $C_A$ , it turns out that the leading saddle point from the fully disconnected or fully connected geometries does not contribute to  $C_A$ , and it receives non-zero contributions only from the sub-leading saddle points corresponding to the partially connected geometries. This is consistent with the result of [10] that  $C_A$  is a measure of the partial entanglement.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the random pure state model and the known exact result of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$ . We find a new formula of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$  in terms of the Narayana number (2.13), which is useful for the replica computation of the entanglement entropy  $S_A$  and the capacity of entanglement  $C_A$ . In section 3, we compute  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  in the planar limit using the replica method. Our computation shows that the leading saddle point does not contribute to  $C_A$  and it receives contributions only from sub-leading saddle points. In section 4, we compute the exact  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  using the replica method. Finally we conclude in section 5.

## 2 Random pure state model

In this section, let us briefly review the random pure state model. We consider a pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$  in the bipartite Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ . This models the black hole evaporation where  $A$  corresponds to the Hawking radiation while  $B$  corresponds to the black hole. In the model discussed in [1],  $A$  and  $B$  correspond to the end of the world brane and the bulk JT gravity, respectively. We can expand the state  $|\Psi\rangle$  in terms of the orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$

$$|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{i=1}^{d_A} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d_B} X_{i\alpha} |i\rangle_A \otimes |\alpha\rangle_B, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the normalization factor to ensure the unit norm of  $|\Psi\rangle$

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

It is useful to regard the coefficient  $X_{i\alpha}$  in (2.1) as a component of the  $d_A \times d_B$  complex matrix  $X$

$$X = (X_{i\alpha}). \tag{2.3}$$

Then the normalization factor  $\mathcal{N}$  is written as

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Tr}(XX^\dagger)}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We are interested in the reduced density matrix  $\rho_A$  defined in (1.2) obtained by tracing out  $B$ . In terms of the matrix  $X$  in (2.3),  $\rho_A$  is written as a  $d_A \times d_A$  matrix

$$\rho_A = \frac{XX^\dagger}{\text{Tr}(XX^\dagger)} = \frac{W}{\text{Tr} W}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $W = XX^\dagger$ . The ensemble average over the random pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$  can be defined by the Gaussian integral over the matrix  $X$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(W) \rangle = \frac{\int dX dX^\dagger \mathcal{O}(W) e^{-\text{Tr}(XX^\dagger)}}{\int dX dX^\dagger e^{-\text{Tr}(XX^\dagger)}}. \quad (2.6)$$

As a distribution of the matrix  $W = XX^\dagger$ , this is known as the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble. See [11] for a nice review on this subject.

The matrix integral (2.6) can be written as the eigenvalue integral by diagonalizing the matrix  $W$ . In the original paper by Page [5], the entropy  $S_A$  was computed by evaluating the eigenvalue integral of  $\log \rho_A$  directly. In this paper, we will compute the entropy  $S_A$  and the capacity  $C_A$  using the replica method (1.6). To do this, we need the expectation value of the moment  $\text{Tr} \rho_A^n$ . Fortunately, the exact result of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  is already obtained in [12]<sup>1</sup>

$$\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(d_A d_B)}{\Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} \frac{[d_A + n - j]_n [d_B + n - j]_n}{(j-1)!(n-j)!}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $[a]_n = a(a-1)\cdots(a-n+1)$  denotes the falling factorial. For instance, the first few terms of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  read

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^2 \rangle &= \frac{d_A + d_B}{d_A d_B + 1}, \\ \langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^3 \rangle &= \frac{d_A^2 + 3d_A d_B + d_B^2 + 1}{(d_A d_B + 1)(d_A d_B + 2)}, \\ \langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^4 \rangle &= \frac{d_A^3 + 6d_A^2 d_B + 6d_A d_B^2 + d_B^3 + 5d_A + 5d_B}{(d_A d_B + 1)(d_A d_B + 2)(d_A d_B + 3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

which agree with the known exact results of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  [15, 16]. Note that  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  in (2.8) is symmetric under the exchange of  $d_A$  and  $d_B$ , which implies that  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  are also symmetric functions of  $d_A$  and  $d_B$

$$S_A(d_A, d_B) = S_A(d_B, d_A), \quad C_A(d_A, d_B) = C_A(d_B, d_A). \quad (2.10)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>As discussed in [12], the moments of  $\rho_A = \frac{W}{\text{Tr} W}$  and those of  $W$  for the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble are related by

$$\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle = \frac{\Gamma(d_A d_B)}{\Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \langle \text{Tr} W^n \rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

The exact result of  $\langle \text{Tr} W^n \rangle$  in the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble was obtained in [13, 14], which is equivalent to (2.8) via the relation (2.7).

In what follows, we will assume  $d_A \leq d_B$  without loss of generality.  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  in the opposite regime  $d_A > d_B$  can be obtained by exchanging  $d_A$  and  $d_B$  using the symmetry (2.10).

When  $n$  is a positive integer, the summation of  $j$  in (2.8) can be extended to  $j = \infty$  since the summand vanishes for  $j > n$ . Then (2.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle &= \frac{\Gamma(d_A d_B)}{\Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-1} \frac{[d_A + n - j]_n [d_B + n - j]_n}{(j-1)!(n-j)!} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(d_A + n) \Gamma(d_B + n) \Gamma(d_A d_B + 1)}{\Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(d_A + 1) \Gamma(d_B + 1) \Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \\ &\quad \times {}_3F_2(\{1 - d_A, 1 - d_B, 1 - n\}, \{1 - d_A - n, 1 - d_B - n\}; 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The last expression makes sense for non-integer  $n$  and it defines an analytic continuation of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$  away from the integer  $n$ . One can in principle compute the derivative of the last expression in (2.11) with respect to  $n$  to find  $S_A$  and  $C_A$ . However, it is not straightforward to simplify the derivative of the hypergeometric function  ${}_3F_2$  in (2.11).

It turns out that it is useful to rewrite (2.11) as the following form using the identity of  ${}_3F_2$ <sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle &= \frac{\Gamma(d_B + n) \Gamma(d_A d_B + 1)}{\Gamma(d_B + 1) \Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \\ &\quad \times {}_3F_2(\{1 - d_A, 1 - n, -n\}, \{2, 1 - d_B - n\}; 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We find that this is expanded as

$$\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_{n,k} \frac{\Gamma(d_A) \Gamma(d_B + 1 + n - k) \Gamma(d_A d_B + 1)}{\Gamma(d_A + 1 - k) \Gamma(d_B + 1) \Gamma(d_A d_B + n)}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $N_{n,k}$  is the Narayana number

$$N_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} = \frac{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(n+1)}{k!(k-1)! \Gamma(1+n-k) \Gamma(2+n-k)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Indeed, one can show that the summation in (2.13) reproduces the hypergeometric function in (2.12). This expression (2.13) makes contact with the planar limit of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$  where the Narayana number naturally appears from the number of non-crossing permutations [17]. When  $n$  is a positive integer, the summation of  $k$  in (2.13) is truncated at  $k = n$  and one can easily check that (2.13) reproduces the result (2.9) for small  $n$ .

Using the analytic continuation of the Narayana number by the last expression in (2.14), we can define a natural analytic continuation of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$  in (2.13) for non-integer  $n$ . When  $d_A \leq d_B$  and  $d_A$  is a positive integer, the summand in (2.13) vanishes for  $k > d_A$  and hence (2.13) becomes

$$\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{d_A} N_{n,k} \frac{\Gamma(d_A) \Gamma(d_B + 1 + n - k) \Gamma(d_A d_B + 1)}{\Gamma(d_A + 1 - k) \Gamma(d_B + 1) \Gamma(d_A d_B + n)}. \quad (2.15)$$

In section 4, we will use this expression of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$  for the replica computation of the exact  $S_A$  and  $C_A$ .

<sup>2</sup>See the identity in the Wolfram Functions Site <http://functions.wolfram.com/07.27.17.0046.01>.

### 3 Planar limit

Before discussing the exact result of  $C_A$ , in this section we will compute  $C_A$  in the planar limit

$$d_A, d_B \rightarrow \infty \text{ with } \alpha = \frac{d_A}{d_B} : \text{fixed.} \quad (3.1)$$

We will assume  $\alpha \leq 1$  without loss of generality.  $C_A$  in the opposite regime  $\alpha > 1$  can be obtained by sending  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}$  using the symmetry (2.10). The computation of  $C_A$  in this limit (3.1) has been already done in [6] using the planar eigenvalue density of the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble, known as the Marchenko–Pastur distribution. Here we will use the replica method to compute  $C_A$ , which clarifies the role of replica wormholes in  $C_A$ .

In the planar limit (3.1), the exact result of  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle$  in (2.15) reduces to [17]

$$\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} = d_A^{1-n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_{n,k} \alpha^{k-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

When  $n$  is a positive integer, the sum over  $k$  is truncated to  $1 \leq k \leq n$  since the Narayana number  $N_{n,k}$  in (2.14) vanishes for  $k \geq n+1$ . The first few terms of the planar expectation values of  $\text{Tr } \rho_A^n$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^2 \rangle_{\text{planar}} &= \frac{d_A + d_B}{d_A d_B}, \\ \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^3 \rangle_{\text{planar}} &= \frac{d_A^2 + 3d_A d_B + d_B^2}{(d_A d_B)^2}, \\ \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^4 \rangle_{\text{planar}} &= \frac{d_A^3 + 6d_A^2 d_B + 6d_A d_B^2 + d_B^3}{(d_A d_B)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

One can see that (3.3) is obtained from the planar limit of the exact result (2.9), as expected.

When  $n$  is a positive integer, (3.2) is expanded as

$$\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} = d_A^{1-n} + \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) d_A^{2-n} d_B^{-1} + \dots + d_B^{1-n}. \quad (3.4)$$

In the gravitational path integral, the first term  $d_A^{1-n}$  and the last term  $d_B^{1-n}$  come from fully disconnected and fully connected geometries, respectively. If we assume  $d_A < d_B$ , the dominant contribution is the first term  $d_A^{1-n}$  and  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}}$  is written as

$$\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} = d_A^{1-n} \left[ 1 + f(n, \alpha) \right], \quad (3.5)$$

where  $f(n, \alpha)$  is given by

$$f(n, \alpha) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} N_{n,k} \alpha^{k-1} = {}_2F_1(1-n, -n; 2; \alpha) - 1. \quad (3.6)$$

In other words, in the gravitational picture  $f(n, \alpha)$  summarizes all contributions from the sub-dominant, partially connected geometries. Note that  $f(n, \alpha)$  vanishes at  $n = 1$  by our definition in (3.6)

$$f(1, \alpha) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Plugging (3.5) into (1.6) we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A,\text{planar}} &= \log d_A - f'(1, \alpha), \\ C_{A,\text{planar}} &= f''(1, \alpha) - f'(1, \alpha)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where the prime in  $f'$  and  $f''$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $n$ . Note that the capacity is completely determined by the sub-leading contributions  $f(n, \alpha)$  in (3.5). In fact, if we use the leading approximation of the trace

$$\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} \approx d_A^{1-n}, \quad (3.9)$$

the capacity vanishes

$$\begin{aligned} C_{A,\text{planar}} &= \partial_n^2 \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} \Big|_{n=1} - \left( \partial_n \langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} \Big|_{n=1} \right)^2 \\ &\approx (\log d_A)^2 - (\log d_A)^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

The same conclusion holds in the opposite regime  $d_A > d_B$  as well if we use the leading approximation  $\langle \text{Tr } \rho_A^n \rangle_{\text{planar}} \approx d_B^{1-n}$ . This implies that the dominant saddle point of gravitational path integral does not contribute to the capacity. In other words, the capacity of entanglement is sensitive to the sub-dominant saddle points corresponding to the partially connected geometries. Thus,  $C_A$  is a useful probe of the contributions of replica wormholes which are not fully connected nor fully disconnected geometries, but some “intermediate” geometries. This is consistent with the result in [10] that  $C_A$  takes a non-zero value for partially entangled states and  $C_A$  vanishes for the pure state or a maximally entangled state. Namely,  $C_A$  is a measure of partial entanglement [10].

Let us evaluate  $f'(1, \alpha)$  and  $f''(1, \alpha)$ . From (3.6) they are written as

$$\begin{aligned} f'(1, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \partial_n N_{n,k} \Big|_{n=1} \alpha^{k-1}, \\ f''(1, \alpha) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \partial_n^2 N_{n,k} \Big|_{n=1} \alpha^{k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Thus, we need to compute the derivative of Narayana number  $N_{n,k}$  at  $n = 1$ . From (2.14), one can easily show that  $N_{n,k}$  is expanded around  $n = 1$  as

$$N_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 1, & (k = 1), \\ \frac{1}{2}(n-1) + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)^2, & (k = 2), \\ -\frac{(n-1)^2}{k(k-2)(k-1)^2} + \mathcal{O}((n-1)^3), & (k \geq 3). \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

This implies that the first derivative  $\partial_n N_{n,k}$  at  $n = 1$  vanishes unless  $k = 2$ , and  $f'(1, \alpha)$  in (3.11) becomes

$$f'(1, \alpha) = \alpha \partial_n N_{n,2} \Big|_{n=1} = \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (3.13)$$

In a similar manner, from (3.12) we find that  $f''(1, \alpha)$  in (3.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f''(1, \alpha) &= \alpha - \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k(k-2)(k-1)^2} \alpha^{k-1} \\ &= -1 - \frac{3\alpha}{2} + (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) \log(1 - \alpha) + 2\text{Li}_2(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Finally, plugging the result of  $f'(1, \alpha)$  in (3.13) and  $f''(1, \alpha)$  in (3.14) into the definition of  $S_{A,\text{planar}}$  and  $C_{A,\text{planar}}$  in (3.8) we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A,\text{planar}} &= \log d_A - \frac{\alpha}{2}, \\ C_{A,\text{planar}} &= -1 - \frac{3\alpha}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} + (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) \log(1 - \alpha) + 2\text{Li}_2(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

This agrees with the result in [6] obtained from the Marchenko–Pastur distribution. Our replica computation reveals the importance of the sub-leading contribution  $f(n, \alpha)$  to the capacity of entanglement.

We note in passing that  $C_{A,\text{planar}}$  in (3.15) takes the maximal value at  $\alpha = 1$ , or  $d_A = d_B$  [6, 10]

$$C_{A,\text{planar}}^{(\max)} = C_{A,\text{planar}} \Big|_{\alpha=1} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{11}{4}. \quad (3.16)$$

#### 4 Exact capacity of entanglement at finite $d_A, d_B$

In this section we will compute the exact  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  using the exact result of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  in (2.15).<sup>3</sup> Here we assume that  $d_A$  and  $d_B$  are both integers and  $d_A \leq d_B$ .

Let us first compute the entanglement entropy  $S_A$ . Plugging (2.15) into the definition of  $S_A$  in (1.6) we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_A &= - \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=1}^{d_A} \frac{\Gamma(d_A) \Gamma(d_B + 1 + n - k) \Gamma(d_A d_B + 1)}{\Gamma(d_A + 1 - k) \Gamma(d_B + 1) \Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \\ &\quad \times \left[ N_{n,k} \left( \psi(d_B + 1 + n - k) - \psi(d_A d_B + n) \right) + \partial_n N_{n,k} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\psi(z)$  denotes the digamma function

$$\psi(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \log \Gamma(z). \quad (4.2)$$

From the behavior (3.12) of the Narayana number  $N_{n,k}$  near  $n = 1$ , (4.1) becomes

$$S_A = \psi(d_A d_B + 1) - \psi(d_B + 1) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(d_A) \Gamma(d_B)}{\Gamma(d_A - 1) \Gamma(d_B + 1)}. \quad (4.3)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>The exact computation of  $S_A$  by the replica method is also considered in [18] using the expression of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  in (2.8).



Using the property of the digamma function

$$\psi(m+1) = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} - \gamma, \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (4.4)$$


with  $\gamma$  being the Euler's constant, we arrive at the exact entanglement entropy  $S_A$  for  $d_A \leq d_B$

$$S_A = \sum_{k=d_B+1}^{d_A d_B} \frac{1}{k} - \frac{d_A - 1}{2d_B}. \quad (4.5)$$

This agrees with the famous Page's result [5].<sup>4</sup>  $S_A$  in the opposite regime  $d_A > d_B$  is obtained from (4.5) by exchanging the role of  $d_A$  and  $d_B$ . Note that the first term of (4.5) is written as

$$\sum_{k=d_B+1}^{d_A d_B} \frac{1}{k} = H_{d_A d_B} - H_{d_B}, \quad (4.6)$$

where  $H_m = \sum_{k=1}^m 1/k$  denotes the harmonic number.



**Figure 1:** Plot of the entanglement entropy  $S_A$  as a function of  $\log d_A$ . We have set  $d_B = 20$  in this figure. The blue solid curve represents the exact result of  $S_A$  in (4.5). The orange dashed curve is the leading approximation  $S_A = \log d_A$  ( $d_A \leq d_B$ ) and  $S_A = \log d_B$  ( $d_A > d_B$ ).

In Fig. 1, we show the plot of  $S_A$  as a function of  $\log d_A$  with a fixed  $d_B$ . As we can see from Fig. 1,  $S_A$  grows like  $\log d_A$  for small  $d_A$  and approaches  $\log d_B$  for large  $d_A$ . At least qualitatively, this reproduces the Page curve of the Hawking radiation [3] if we regard the subsystem  $A$  as the radiation and the subsystem  $B$  as the black hole and  $t = \log d_A$  as time. Around the Page time  $t = \log d_B$ , the contributions from the fully disconnected and the fully connected geometries exchange dominance in the replica computation of  $S_A$  [1, 2].

<sup>4</sup>The exact  $S_A$  in (4.5) is conjectured in [5] and it is later proved in [19–21].

Next, let us compute the capacity of entanglement  $C_A$  by the replica method (1.6). To do this, we need to compute the second derivative of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  at  $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n^2 \langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle \Big|_{n=1} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \sum_{k=1}^{d_A} \frac{\Gamma(d_A) \Gamma(d_B + 1 + n - k) \Gamma(d_A d_B + 1)}{\Gamma(d_A + 1 - k) \Gamma(d_B + 1) \Gamma(d_A d_B + n)} \\ &\times \left[ N_{n,k} \left( \psi(d_B + 1 + n - k) - \psi(d_A d_B + n) \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad + 2 \partial_n N_{n,k} \left( \psi(d_B + 1 + n - k) - \psi(d_A d_B + n) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + N_{n,k} \left( \psi_1(d_B + 1 + n - k) - \psi_1(d_A d_B + n) \right) + \partial_n^2 N_{n,k} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\psi_1(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \psi(z)$  denotes the trigamma function. Using the relation

$$\psi_1(m+1) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k^2}, \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (4.8)$$

and the behavior (3.12) of  $N_{n,k}$  near  $n = 1$ , after some algebra we find the exact result of capacity  $C_A$  for  $d_A \leq d_B$

$$C_A = \sum_{k=d_B+1}^{d_A d_B} \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{(d_A - 1)(d_A + 3)}{4d_B^2} + \frac{d_A - 1}{d_B} - \sum_{k=3}^{d_A} \frac{2}{k(k-2)(k-1)^2} \prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \frac{d_A - 1 - i}{d_B - i}. \quad (4.9)$$

This is our main result.  $C_A$  in the opposite regime  $d_A > d_B$  is obtained from (4.9) by exchanging  $d_A$  and  $d_B$  using the symmetry (2.10). Note that the first term of (4.9) is written as

$$\sum_{k=d_B+1}^{d_A d_B} \frac{1}{k^2} = H_{d_A d_B}^{(2)} - H_{d_B}^{(2)}, \quad (4.10)$$

where  $H_m^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^m 1/k^2$  denotes the generalized harmonic number of the 2nd order. This is similar to the first term of  $S_A$  in (4.5), but the other terms in  $C_A$  are more complicated than  $S_A$ .

One can easily check that (4.9) reduces to  $C_{A,\text{planar}}$  in (3.15) in the planar limit (3.1). Also, one can check that  $C_A(d_A, d_B)$  in (4.9) for  $d_A, d_B = 2, 3$  agree with the result in [10]

$$\begin{aligned} C_A(2, 2) &= \frac{13}{36}, \\ C_A(2, 3) &= \frac{1169}{3600}, \\ C_A(3, 3) &= \frac{2898541}{6350400}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

From the exact result (4.9), we find the small  $d_A$  and the large  $d_A$  behavior of  $C_A$

$$C_A \approx \begin{cases} \left( d_A - \frac{1}{d_A} \right) \frac{1}{d_B}, & (1 \leq d_A \ll d_B), \\ \left( d_B - \frac{1}{d_B} \right) \frac{1}{d_A}, & (d_A \gg d_B). \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

Our exact  $C_A$  in (4.9) takes the maximal value at  $d_A = d_B$


$$C_A^{(\max)} = \sum_{k=1}^{d_B^2} \frac{1}{k^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{d_B} \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{1}{d_B} - \frac{1}{4d_B^2} - \frac{11}{4}. \quad (4.13)$$

In the large  $d_B$  limit this is expanded as

$$C_A^{(\max)} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{11}{4} - \frac{3}{4d_B^2} + \mathcal{O}(d_B^{-3}), \quad (4.14)$$

where the first two terms agree with the maximal value of capacity in the planar limit (3.16). For finite  $d_A, d_B$ , one can show that the exact  $C_A$  is bounded from above

$$C_A \leq C_A^{(\max)} < \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{11}{4}. \quad (4.15)$$



**Figure 2:** Plot of the capacity of entanglement  $C_A$  as a function of  $\log d_A$ . We have set  $d_B = 20$  in this figure. The blue solid curve is the exact result of  $C_A$  in (4.9). The orange dashed curves represent the asymptotic behavior of  $C_A$  in (4.12). The dashed vertical line is at  $d_A = d_B$  where  $C_A$  becomes maximal.

In Fig. 2, we show the plot of the exact capacity  $C_A$  in (4.9). We can see that  $C_A$  vanishes for  $d_A = 1$  and approaches zero at large  $d_A \gg d_B$ . This is qualitatively similar to the result of the planar limit found in [6]. We emphasize that our result (4.9) is exact at finite  $d_A, d_B$  and (4.9) includes all the non-planar corrections. As we argued in the previous section,  $C_A$  is sensitive to the sub-leading terms in  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  corresponding to the partially connected geometries. Indeed,  $C_A$  vanishes at the early and late “time”  $t = \log d_A$  where the fully connected or fully disconnected geometry is dominant.  $C_A$  takes a non-zero value near the Page time  $t = \log d_B$  (or  $d_A = d_B$ ) which is interpreted that the partially connected geometries give substantial contributions to  $C_A$  near the Page time.

## 5 Conclusions and outlook

In this paper, we have computed the exact capacity of entanglement  $C_A$  (4.9) at finite  $d_A, d_B$  using the replica method (1.6). At the technical level, the important ingredient in our computation is the new exact formula (2.15) of  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  written in terms of the Narayana number  $N_{n,k}$ . This formula (2.15) makes the relation to the planar limit manifest. We argued that  $C_A$  vanishes for the fully connected or fully disconnected geometries, and  $C_A$  is sensitive to the sub-leading contributions to  $\langle \text{Tr} \rho_A^n \rangle$  coming from the partial connected geometries in the gravitational path integral. This suggests that  $C_A$  is a good probe of the partial entanglement, as discussed in [10].

There are several open questions. The capacity of entanglement is introduced in [7] as an analogue of the heat capacity. Indeed, if we introduce the modular Hamiltonian  $K = -\log \rho_A$ , the moment  $\text{Tr} \rho_A^n$  looks like the partition function

$$Z_n = \text{Tr} \rho_A^n = \text{Tr} e^{-nK}, \quad (5.1)$$

and  $n$  plays the role of the inverse temperature  $\beta$ . In this picture, our definition (1.6) of  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  is based on the “annealed” free energy  $\log \langle Z_n \rangle$

$$S_A = -\partial_n \log \langle Z_n \rangle \Big|_{n=1}, \quad C_A = \partial_n^2 \log \langle Z_n \rangle \Big|_{n=1}. \quad (5.2)$$

One could consider the quenched version of  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  as well

$$S_A^{\text{qu}} = -\partial_n \langle \log Z_n \rangle \Big|_{n=1}, \quad C_A^{\text{qu}} = \partial_n^2 \langle \log Z_n \rangle \Big|_{n=1}. \quad (5.3)$$

We leave the computation of the quenched version of  $S_A$  and  $C_A$  as an interesting future problem.

It would be interesting to study the gravitational picture of the capacity of entanglement.  $C_A$  is related to the quantum fluctuation of the modular Hamiltonian and the prescription of the gravitational computation of  $C_A$  is proposed in [8]. We have argued that  $C_A$  receives contributions only from the sub-leading partially connected geometries in the replica computation. It would be interesting to related this picture to the prescription in [8].

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 19K03845.

## References

- [1] G. Penington, S. H. Shenker, D. Stanford, and Z. Yang, “Replica wormholes and the black hole interior,” [arXiv:1911.11977](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [2] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, “Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation,” *JHEP* **05** (2020) 013, [arXiv:1911.12333](#) [[hep-th](#)].

- [3] D. N. Page, “Information in black hole radiation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 3743–3746, [arXiv:hep-th/9306083](#).
- [4] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, “The entropy of Hawking radiation,” [arXiv:2006.06872 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] D. N. Page, “Average entropy of a subsystem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 1291–1294, [arXiv:gr-qc/9305007](#).
- [6] K. Kawabata, T. Nishioka, Y. Okuyama, and K. Watanabe, “Probing Hawking radiation through capacity of entanglement,” [arXiv:2102.02425 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] H. Yao and X.-L. Qi, “Entanglement entropy and entanglement spectrum of the Kitaev model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 080501, [arXiv:1001.1165 \[cond-mat\]](#).
- [8] Y. Nakaguchi and T. Nishioka, “A holographic proof of Rényi entropic inequalities,” *JHEP* **12** (2016) 129, [arXiv:1606.08443 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] Y. O. Nakagawa and S. Furukawa, “Capacity of entanglement and the distribution of density matrix eigenvalues in gapless systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96** no. 20, (2017) 205108, [arXiv:1708.08924 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [10] J. De Boer, J. Järvelä, and E. Keski-Vakkuri, “Aspects of capacity of entanglement,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99** no. 6, (2019) 066012, [arXiv:1807.07357 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] B. Collins and I. Nechita, “Random matrix techniques in quantum information theory,” *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016) 015215, [arXiv:1509.04689 \[quant-ph\]](#).
- [12] I. Nechita, “Asymptotics of random density matrices,” *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **8** (2007) 1521, [arXiv:quant-ph/0702154](#).
- [13] P. J. Hanlon, R. P. Stanley, and J. R. Stembridge, “Some combinatorial aspects of the spectra of normally distributed random matrices,” *Contemp. Math* **138** (1992) 151–174.
- [14] U. Haagerup and S. Thorbjørnsen, “Random matrices with complex Gaussian entries,” *Expo. Math.* **21** (2003) 293.
- [15] E. Lubkin, “Entropy of an  $n$ -system from its correlation with a  $k$ -reservoir,” *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978) 1028.
- [16] H.-J. Sommers and K. Zyczkowski, “Statistical properties of random density matrices,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2004) 8457, [arXiv:quant-ph/0405031](#).
- [17] J. Kudler-Flam, “Relative Entropy of Random States and Black Holes,” [arXiv:2102.05053 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] J. P. Dyer, “Divergence of Lubkin’s series for a quantum subsystem’s mean entropy,” [arXiv:1406.5776 \[cond-mat\]](#).
- [19] S. K. Foong and S. Kanno, “Proof of Page’s conjecture on the average entropy of a subsystem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1993) 1148.
- [20] J. Sánchez-Ruiz, “Simple proof of Page’s conjecture on the average entropy of a subsystem,” *Phys. Rev. E* **52** (1995) 5653.
- [21] S. Sen, “Average Entropy of a Quantum Subsystem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** (1996) 1.