

Motion groupoids and mapping class groupoids

Fiona Torzewska*, João Faria Martins†, Paul Purdon Martin‡

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, UK

December 8, 2021

Abstract

A central role in the description of topological phases of matter in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensions is played by the braid groups. Motions exchanging non-abelian anyons in the disk induce unitary representations of the braid groups. It is of interest to generalise these ideas to different manifolds, to particles which are not point like (loop or string excitations in a 3-ball, for example) and to motions of particles that do not necessarily start and end in the same configuration. In this paper we construct a categorical framework for studying such generalisations of anyons. Mathematically, there are a number of ways to define the braid groups but the one that arises from the physical picture of particles moving in space is the definition as (equivalence classes of) motions of points in a disk. In this paper we construct for each manifold M its *motion groupoid* Mot_M . (One recovers the classical definitions of motion groups associated to a pair of a manifold M and a subset by considering the automorphisms of the corresponding object.)

Braid groups can be equivalently defined as the boundary fixing mapping class groups of points in a disk. We also give a construction of a *mapping class groupoid* MCG_M associated to a manifold. It is not the case that for all manifolds the mapping class groupoid MCG_M and motion groupoids Mot_M are isomorphic. We give conditions under which we have an isomorphism (for $M = [0, 1]^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for example).

We will investigate the constructions of motion groupoids and mapping class groupoids, and their relations, in the topological category. We expect that our constructions and results can be formulated in the smooth category.

Acknowledgement: JFM and PM are partially funded by the Leverhulme trust research project grant “RPG-2018-029: Emergent Physics From Lattice Models of Higher Gauge Theory”. FT is funded by a University of Leeds PhD Scholarship. FT thanks Carol Whitton; and PM thanks Paula Martin for useful conversations. FT also thanks Simona Pauli, without her invitation to give a lecture series, this work would not have existed. We all thank Celeste Damiani for useful discussions.

*f.m.torzewska@leeds.ac.uk

†j.fariamartins@leeds.ac.uk

‡p.p.martin@leeds.ac.uk

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Paper Overview	3
1.2	Physical motivation	5
2	The compact open topology	8
2.1	Definition and examples	8
2.2	The space $\mathbf{TOP}(X, Y)$ and the product-hom adjunction	9
3	$\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ and the path space $\mathbf{TOP}(\mathbb{I}, X)$	11
4	Self homeomorphisms of the instantaneous ambient space M	14
4.1	The topological group $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$	14
4.2	Groupoid of self homeomorphisms Homeo_M	17
5	Motion groupoid Mot_M^A	19
5.1	Pre-motions	19
5.2	Motions	20
5.3	Motion-equivalence of motions	23
5.4	Set-stationary motions	29
5.5	A -fixing motions	32
5.6	Examples	33
5.6.1	Dimension 1	34
5.6.2	Dimension 3	34
6	Relative fundamental groupoid $rpMot_M^A$	38
7	Mapping class groupoid MCG_M^A	41
7.1	A -fixing mapping class groupoids	43
8	Functor from Mot_M^A to MCG_M^A	44
8.1	Long exact sequence of relative homotopy groups	45
8.2	Isomorphism Mot_M^A to MCG_M^A	48
8.3	Examples using long exact sequence	48

1 Introduction

Motion groups first appeared as a way to define the braid groups (rigorously studied in [14] although conjectured by Fox and Artin). Mapping class groups of a manifold and submanifold pair similarly have origins in the study of braid groups [7]. Their study has mainly focused on particular cases for which the two constructions yield the same group. The braid group can be equivalently defined as the mapping class group or as the motion group of finite sets of points in the 2-disk [7, 8], and the loop braid group can be obtained as the mapping class group or as the motion group of unlinked, unknotted loops in the 3-disk [22, 15, 4]. (See also [12, 16]).

In this paper we construct for each manifold M its motion groupoid Mot_M , and its mapping class groupoid MCG_M , the object set of both is the power set of M . We then study the relationship between our two constructions. In particular we construct a functor $F: Mot_M \rightarrow MCG_M$ and give conditions for it to be an isomorphism. Here we work in the topological category following e.g [22]. However we expect that the theory holds in the smooth category as is considered in [4, 41].

There is also another topological definition of the braid group, as paths in the configuration space of finite sets of points in the 2-disk [26]. We expect our groupoids to have interesting connections to configuration spaces (cf. [9]). Although we do not investigate this here.

We will present some key examples to demonstrate the properties of our construction. The category framework allows us to think about skeletons of these groupoids, note that homeomorphism between subspaces is not enough to ensure they are connected by a morphism in the motion groupoid. We also give examples for which the motion groupoid and mapping class groupoid are not isomorphic.

Another motivation for the groupoid setting is that it allows us to connect to relevant physical concepts, see 1.2 for more.

One of our motivating aims is to add a monoidal structure to the motion groupoid developed here. This will be addressed in a separate work.

We also intend, in a future work, to prove a presentation for a full subcategory of the motion groupoid of points and unknotted, unlinked loops in the 3-disk, which is conjectured in 5.6.2.

1.1 Paper Overview

In this Section 1.1 we give an overview of the paper. In Section 1.2 we briefly review the physical contexts which inform our choices in these constructions (for example where motions are motions of particles in space [4, 41]). In Sections 2-4 we begin by introducing notation. We also recall technology that will enable us to interpret our subsequent constructions of ‘motion groupoids’ so that morphisms are indeed ‘motions of particles in space’.

In Section 2.1 we recall the compact-open topology on morphism sets in the category of topological spaces. It is intuitive that if there is a topology on the set of continuous maps from a space X to itself we would like it to be true that a homotopy is the same as a path into said space. (As with the internal hom adjunction in the category of sets.) With some conditions on X the compact-open topology achieves this. In Section 2.1 we recall this topology as well as giving some lemmas to aide understanding. We end this section by giving the product-hom adjunction Lemma 2.10 which gives conditions under which the usual product-hom adjunction in the category of sets becomes an adjunction in the category of topological spaces.

In Section 3 we recall the fundamental groupoid of a topological space X — Proposition 3.7. Here the aforementioned adjunction says that a homotopy of paths is the same as a path into path

space. We also develop notation that will be useful later.

In Section 4 we recall Theorem 4.5 which gives conditions under which the space of self-homeomorphisms of a space is a topological group [2]. This theorem together with the product-hom adjunction allows us to make the bridge from our mathematical construction to motions of particles in space.

In Section 4 we also, in Theorem 4.10, construct of a groupoid of self-homeomorphisms $Homeo_M$ corresponding to a manifold M , with object class the power set $\mathcal{P}(M)$. In general this category is too large to be an interesting object of study itself but it is a natural first step in the construction that follows.

In Section 5 the main theorem is Theorem 5.33, the construction of the motion groupoid Mot_M of a manifold M , whose object class is again the power set $\mathcal{P}(M)$. We do this by first defining ‘motions’ in M and then imposing an equivalence. On route we have Theorem 5.23 which highlights the type of equivalence required to obtain a category. We also have a useful version of Theorem 5.33 which fixes a distinguished subset of M (e.g. ∂M), this is Theorem 5.41. Picking a single set in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ and looking at the group of automorphisms we get back the motion group constructed by Dahm [14] and developed by Goldsmith [21]. We also have Theorem 5.14 which uses the product-hom adjunction and Theorem 4.5 to say that our motions, defined as paths in the space of homeomorphisms of a manifold M , are equivalent to homeomorphisms $M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M \times [0, 1]$ subject to some conditions.

In Section 5.6 we have some examples with manifolds in dimensions 1 and 3. In general the object sets of our motion groupoids are very large so we look at restricting the object sets in various ways. In particular we take $M = \mathbb{I}^3$ and consider objects that are certain configurations of loops and points. Looking at the automorphism groups at appropriate objects we can find well studied groups such as the familiar braid groups (see [8]) and the slightly less familiar loop braid groups (see [15], also [4, 9]). We can also see a benefit of working with a motion groupoid: we can often write a more simple presentation.

The next Theorem is Theorem 6.5. Here we also start from motions in a manifold M but we use a different composition and equivalence used in the motion groupoid. In Theorem 6.9 we prove that this groupoid is isomorphic to the motion groupoid. This reformulation will be important in Section 8.

In Section 7 we have Theorem 7.3, the mapping class groupoid of a manifold M . We obtain this as a quotient of the category $Homeo_M$. In Theorem 7.7 we have a corresponding subset-fixing version. The automorphism groups of a single object in this category is a mapping class group as described in [15].

In Section 8 we first have Theorem 8.11 in which we construct a functor from the motion groupoid of a manifold to its mapping class groupoid. We show the restriction of this functor to automorphism groups is part of the long exact sequence of homotopy groups, following the ideas used in the group case by [22]. This allows us to give, in Theorem 8.11, conditions on the space of homeomorphisms M to M under which we obtain an isomorphism between the motion groupoid of a manifold and its mapping class groupoid, and then in Theorem 8.12 the version relative to some distinguished subset. In Section 8.3 we give some examples demonstrating the use of the functor from Theorem 8.11. In particular we show that the boundary fixing motion groupoid and mapping class groupoid of D^n are isomorphic for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and that for S^1 the mapping class groupoid and motion groupoid are not isomorphic.

Our approach will be motivated by physics so we start by discussing the relevant concepts.

1.2 Physical motivation

We are partly motivated by the particular challenge of develop mathematical models for materials useful in building quantum computers. Topological quantum field theories, and various generalisations thereof, are models potentially of this type (see for example [47, 44] and cf. for example [5, 6, 13]). Thus we are interested in studying representations of (generalised) bordism categories and embedded bordism categories. And thus we are interested in studying generalisations of embedded bordism categories themselves (cf. for example [42, 48, 35, 30, 36, 34, 33, 11, 46, 17]). However even ordinary bordism categories are not particularly well understood [31, 29]. One way to approach this suite of categories is to restrict initially to the simpler subcategories of isomorphisms. If one does this with the tangle category one arrives at a category that can be shown to be isomorphic to the braid category (see [27, 32, 3]). From a representation theory perspective this is already a very interesting category in its own right, via the famous seven different realisations of the braid group with very different flavours, see [8]. In particular it can be realised as a motion group; and as a mapping class group. Our approach here then, to the motivating problem, is to consider what happens to (some of) the famous seven when we lift from the tangle category.

As with any lifting exercise, part of the challenge is to be not-too-narrow in the type of generalisation considered (keeping the aim in mind); and not-too-broad so as to avoid nebulous or wildly hard problems. Framing our choice of direction of generalisation is thus part of project. The ‘laboratory principle’ (for guiding generalisations of braids): a concrete morphism should be no more wild than can in principle be built and detected in a lab. For example, if our model is of some emergent behaviour of collections of molecules then there is no point in modelling features requiring length scales smaller than molecules, since this is beyond the range over which the emergent behaviour can possibly be defined. (Note that while mathematical smoothness, say, looks like a tough condition to be imposed and checked for, in the modelling sense it is simply an approximation to a finitary-ness/discreteness that is enforced by context. Strict smoothness requires an infinite amount of harmonic data — it just says that a lot of higher modes are exactly zero. This is no more meaningful in an emergent model than specifying infinitely many non-zero modes, as would be needed to build a non-tame knot for example. A good way of seeing what should be a relevant mode in a model is with a Hamiltonian — something well-approximated by smoothness arising because roughness requires a lot of energy.)

Our next aim in this introduction is to show a little of the ‘emergence’ of emergent topological theories. This broadly embraces both theories relevant in topological quantum computing and in other areas of physics (cf. [38, 40]). Both the emergence and the underlying systems are in general rather complex, and it is not easy to be rigorous or complete about this aspect. Nor is it necessary. The idea is simply to show the kind of mathematical objects that we consider — embedded manifolds and bordisms, and the bases on which we consider them. (If a more complete picture is required then one could follow the trails started, for example, in [20, 34, 33].)

With this aim in mind, we next show some Ising pictures, and so must also discuss caveats on Ising pictures. The pictures are of configurations of spins for the classical equilibrium Ising model on a square lattice. ‘Equilibrium’ means that this model does not consider dynamics. Expectation values of observables are time averaged — one considers ensembles of states rather than individual states, so there is no time evolution and so no ‘motion’. A separation of physical dimensions is often spoken about (which in other settings might be space and time), but the

‘transfer matrix’ separation is a purely computational convenience. (Having said that, correlation functions, which probe physically useful properties, constructively resolve space dimensions into a direction of separation and the remaining perpendicular directions.) The fact that a physical state is modelled by an ensemble of configurations has bearing in various ways, further complicating the story. So for our immediate purposes (towards statistical mechanics) the recasting of local configurations as emergent classes of global manifolds (with hybrid metric/topological properties) here is purely heuristic.

Figures 1 and 2 show emergent topology in a low energy configuration of a lattice Ising model. Each square in this picture represents an atomic spin state. Each spin state can be in one of two configurations, represented by the black and white squares. The Ising model is a nearest neighbour interaction Hamiltonian, so adjacent spins being in different states is energetically penalised. The system certainly has a metric but at low energy it can be effectively described by a topological model. Here we have a small number of regions of black squares which we can describe by the one dimensional domain walls surrounding these regions. Movements of these manifolds preserving length do not have an energy penalty. As we increase the energy and have more regions of black squares, the topological properties disappear. We can obtain an Ising category taking objects to be boundary configurations encoding the points at which the spin changes, i.e. points in a line. Morphisms are then the one manifolds connecting them (see [33], [34] for more). The categorified form of such emergent (partly-)topological structures is hugely powerful in both computational Physics and representation theory (again see [33, 47] and references therein). This is true in principle, but in practice significant technical obstructions arise. Here we set up a key special (groupoid) form of such categorifications in which all technicalities are completed.




Figure 1: Low energy square-lattice Ising spin configuration




Figure 2: Emergent topology in low energy configuration of square lattice Ising model

2 The compact open topology

Denote by **Top** the category with topological spaces (spaces) as objects and continuous maps as morphisms. Then we denote the set of morphisms from a space X to a space Y by $\mathbf{Top}(X, Y)$.

We assume familiarity with **Top** (thus with product spaces, and so on, making it a monoidal category like the category **Set**). See e.g. Chapter 1 of [45] for this.

To construct our motion groupoids we will require a topology on the morphism sets in **Top**. For this we use the compact open topology.

In Section 2.1 we give the definition of the compact open topology and give some results demonstrating its intuitive naturality (Prop.2.6).

In addition to its intuitive naturality, the compact-open topology allows us to lift the classical product-hom adjunction in **Set** to an adjunction in **Top** (see Theorem 2.10).

We will show in Section 5.2 that this is required for our motions to have (in a suitable sense) a physically meaningful interpretation.

In Section 3 we will recall the construction of the fundamental groupoid and explore the use of the adjunction in this context.

2.1 Definition and examples

Definition 2.1. Given a set X , and a subset Y of the power set with $\cup_{A \in Y} A = X$, we write \overline{Y} for the topology closure of Y . Hence the open sets in the topological space (X, \overline{Y}) are unions of finite intersections of elements in Y . We say that Y is a subbasis of (X, τ) if $\overline{Y} = \tau$. (NB: $\tau = \overline{Y}$ does not determine Y .)

Definition 2.2. A neighbourhoods basis of (X, τ) at $x \in X$ is a subset $B \subset \tau$, whose members are called basic neighbourhoods of x , such that every neighbourhood of x ¹ contains an element of B .

Definition 2.3. Let X and Y be topological spaces, then the compact open topology τ_{XY}^{co} on $\mathbf{Top}(X, Y)$ has subbasis the set b_{XY} of all subsets of the form

$$B(K, U) = \{f: X \rightarrow Y \mid f(K) \subset U\}$$

where K is compact and U is open. That is $\tau_{XY}^{co} = \overline{b_{XY}}$.²

Proposition 2.4. *If X is the space with a single point then the τ_{XY}^{co} is the same in the obvious sense as the topology on Y .*

Proof. The maps $X \rightarrow Y$ can be labelled by their image in Y . The only compact set K is the single point set X . The sets $B(K, U)$ are the sets of maps labelled by the elements of U . \square

¹Our convention is that a neighbourhood of x is subset of X containing an open set containing x .

²There are two conventions for compact-open topology. The one written here (which is the classical one) and the one where we additionally impose that each K in $B(K, U)$ be Hausdorff. For example [37, Chapter 5] takes the latter convention. This creates an a priori smaller set of open sets in the function space. However there will be no ambiguity issues in this paper as we will only be working with Hausdorff topological spaces.

Proposition 2.5. *If X is space of n points with discrete topology, τ_{XY}^{co} is the same in the obvious sense as the topology on $Y^n = Y \times \dots \times Y$, the product of Y with itself n times.*

Proof. Maps $X \rightarrow Y$ are tuples $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Y^n$ where y_i is the image of $x_i \in X$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. All subsets of X are compact so we have

$$B(C, U) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mid y_i \in U \text{ if } x_i \in C\}$$

which is the subset of Y^n with i^{th} component U if $x_i \in C$ and Y otherwise. Hence elements of the subbasis of τ_{XY}^{co} are open sets in the product topology.

And basis elements in the topology on Y^n are obtained from the compact open topology as follows. Let U^n be a basic set in the topology on Y^n , then U^n is of the form $U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$. Now

$$B(\{x_i\}, U_i) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \mid y_i \in U_i\},$$

and $\cap_i B(\{x_i\}, U_i) = U^n$. □

Proposition 2.6. (A.13 in [24]) *Let X be a compact space and Y a metric topological space with metric d . Then*

(i) *the function*

$$d'(f, g) := \sup_{x \in X} d(f(x), g(x))$$

is a metric on $\mathbf{Top}(X, Y)$; and

(ii) *the compact open topology on $\mathbf{Top}(X, Y)$ is the same as the one defined by the metric d' .*

Proof. See A.13 in [24]. □

So, at least in any Euclidean setting, we have a notion of infinitesimal change in continuous maps.

Definition 2.7. We define topological space \mathbb{I} as $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the subset topology.

See Figure 3 for an example with $\mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, X)$ where X is a metric space.

2.2 The space $\mathbf{TOP}(X, Y)$ and the product-hom adjunction

Here we construct a product hom adjunction in the category \mathbf{Top} . (The adjunction holds subject to some conditions. These are not too restrictive for us.) In particular, the compact-open topology allows us to define a right-adjoint to the functor $- \times K: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$, when K is a locally compact Hausdorff space, see Theorem 2.10. (In the case $K = [0, 1]$, this was one of the examples of adjunctions given in their original reference [28, pp 294].)

We will use capital $\mathbf{TOP}(X, Y)$ to indicate the morphism set $\mathbf{Top}(X, Y)$ considered as a space with the compact open topology, so

$$\mathbf{TOP}(X, Y) = (\mathbf{Top}(X, Y), \tau_{XY}^{co}).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Fix a topological space Y . We can define a functor $- \times Y: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ as follows. A space X is sent to the product space $X \times Y$. A continuous map $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is sent to the map $f \times \text{id}: X \times Y \rightarrow X' \times Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), y)$. We will refer to this as the product functor.*




Figure 3: Let X be a metric space. The red line represents the image of a map $f: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X$. The grey line encloses the set of all points a distance d or less from any point in f . The blue lines are images of maps f' which are in the open ball of radius d' around f .

Proof. We must show that, for a map $f: X \rightarrow X'$, $f \times id$ is a continuous map $X \times Y$ to $X' \times Y$. Let $U' \times V$ be a basis open set in $X' \times Y$. Then the preimage under $f \times id$ is $f^{-1}(U') \times V$ which is open since f is continuous. It is clear that the product functor preserves the identity and respects the composition. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Fix a topological space Y . We can define a functor $\mathbf{Top}(Y, -): \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ as follows. A space Z is sent to the space $\mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z)$. A continuous map $f: Z \rightarrow Z'$ is sent to $f \circ -: \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z')$, $g \mapsto f \circ g$. We will refer to this as the hom functor.*

Proof. We must show that $f \circ -$ is a continuous map. Open sets in the subspace of $\tau_{Y Z'}^{co}$ are of the form $B(K, U)$ for some K a compact set in Y and U a compact set in Z' . The set $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in Z since f is a continuous map. Now $B(K, f^{-1}(U))$ is an open set in $\tau_{Y Z}^{co}$ and for any $g \in B(K, f^{-1}(U))$ we have $f \circ g \in B(K, U)$. Conversely suppose some $h \in B(K, U)$ can be written in the form $f \circ g'$ for some $g' \in \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z)$, then $g' \in B(K, f^{-1}(U))$. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let Y be locally compact Hausdorff topological space. The product functor $- \times Y: \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ is left adjoint to the hom functor $\mathbf{TOP}(Y, -)$. In particular for objects $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Top}$ the usual hom-tensor correspondence from \mathbf{Set} sending a set map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z)$ to $\hat{f}: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$, $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)(y)$ is well-defined (i.e. \hat{f} is continuous); and this gives a set map*

$$\Phi: \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}(X \times Y, Z)$$

that is a bijection, natural in the variables X and Z .³

Proof. That we have a bijection of sets is proved in Proposition A.14 of [24]. It remains to prove

³There is in fact an adjustment of the compact open topology which, with an adjustment to the product, gives an adjunction without the need to restrict Y . See section 5.9 in [10] for more information.

that this bijection is natural. Suppose we have a continuous map $\alpha: X' \rightarrow X$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow Y'$ then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Top}(X \times Y, Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Top}(X', \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z')) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Top}(X' \times Y, Z') \end{array}$$

Looking first at the left hand vertical arrow, a map $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z)$ is sent to a map $X' \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}(Y, Z')$, $x' \mapsto \beta \circ f(\alpha(x'))$, and then to $(x', y) \mapsto (\beta \circ f(\alpha(x')))(y)$ in $\mathbf{Top}(X' \times Y, Z')$. Going first along the top, a map f is sent to $\hat{f}: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)(y)$ and then to $X' \times Y \rightarrow Z'$ defined by $(x', y) \mapsto (\beta \circ f(\alpha(x')))(y)$. \square

Corollary 2.11. *Let $f \in \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$ and $m \in M$, then $F(f, m) = f(m)$ is a continuous map $\mathbf{TOP}(M, M) \times M \rightarrow M$.*

Proof. Let $X = \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$, $Y = M$, $Z = M$ and then consider the image of $id: \mathbf{TOP}(M, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$. \square

3 $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ and the path space $\mathbf{TOP}(\mathbb{I}, X)$

In this section we spend some time focusing on $\mathbf{TOP}(\mathbb{I}, X)$, the set of paths in X . We add a composition, and then an equivalence relation such that the composition is well defined on equivalence classes culminating in the construction of the fundamental groupoid (see Proposition 3.7). Some careful constructions can be found in the literature for example in [45] and [10], although we will use (more radical versions of) similar ideas repeatedly in later sections so we think this ‘warm up’ is worthwhile.

This also allows us to give an often overlooked first example of the utility of the product hom adjunction from Theorem 2.10; paths in the fundamental groupoid are equivalent if and only if there is a path between them in the space of paths (Lemma 3.5). We will use path-equivalence alongside several other equivalence relations so we also introduce some careful notation here.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a topological space. An element of $\mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, X)$ is called a path in X ; and we define topological space

$$\mathfrak{P}X = \mathbf{TOP}(\mathbb{I}, X)$$

called the path space of X . I.e. the underlying set is the set of all paths $\{\gamma: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X \mid \gamma \text{ is continuous}\}$. Notation: We will use γ_t for $\gamma(t)$, and we say γ is a path from x to x' when $\gamma_0 = x$ and $\gamma_1 = x'$. For $x, x' \in X$, let $\mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ denote the subset of paths in X with $\gamma_0 = x$ and $\gamma_1 = x'$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a topological space. For any $x, x', x'' \in X$, there exists a composition*

$$\Psi: \mathfrak{P}X(x, x') \times \mathfrak{P}X(x', x'') \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}X(x, x'')$$

with

$$(\psi(\gamma, \gamma'))_t = \begin{cases} \gamma_{2t} & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \gamma'_{2(t-1/2)} & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Notation: We will write $\Psi(\gamma, \gamma')$ as $\gamma' \gamma$.

Proof. We check that, for any $\gamma \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ and $\gamma' \in \mathfrak{P}X(x', x'')$, $\gamma'\gamma \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x'')$. We have $\gamma_1 = \gamma'_0$ so Equation (1) defines a continuous map. Notice $(\gamma'\gamma)_0 = \gamma_0 = x$ and $(\gamma'\gamma)_1 = \gamma'_1 = x''$. \square

Definition 3.3. Let X be a topological space. Define a relation on $\mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ as follows. Suppose we have paths γ and γ' in $\mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$, then $\gamma \stackrel{p}{\sim} \gamma'$ if there exists a continuous map $H: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X$ such that

- for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $H(t, 0) = \gamma(t)$,
- for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $H(t, 1) = \gamma'(t)$, and
- for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$, $H(\mathbb{I} \times \{s\})$ is a path x to x' .

Notation: We call such an H a path homotopy from γ to γ' . We use $[\gamma]_p$ for the path-equivalence class of γ .

Proposition 3.4. Let X be a topological space. For each pair $x, x' \in X$ we have $(\mathfrak{P}X(x, x'), \stackrel{p}{\sim})$ is an equivalence relation.

Notation: If $\gamma \stackrel{p}{\sim} \gamma'$ we say γ and γ' are path-equivalent. We use $[\gamma]_p$ for the path-equivalence class of γ .

Proof. We show that $(\mathfrak{P}X(x, x'), \stackrel{p}{\sim})$ is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$, $\gamma' \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ and $\gamma'' \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ be paths with $\gamma \stackrel{p}{\sim} \gamma'$ and $\gamma' \stackrel{p}{\sim} \gamma''$.

The function $H(t, s) = \gamma_t$ is a path homotopy from γ to γ . There exists a path homotopy, say $H_{\gamma, \gamma'}$, from γ to γ' . The function $H_{\gamma', \gamma}(t, s) = H_{\gamma, \gamma'}(t, 1 - s)$ is a homotopy from γ' to γ . There also exists a path homotopy, say $H_{\gamma', \gamma''}$, from γ' to γ'' . The function

$$H_{\gamma, \gamma''}(t, s) = \begin{cases} H_{\gamma, \gamma'}(t, 2s) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_{\gamma', \gamma''}(t, 2(s - \frac{1}{2})) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

is a path homotopy from γ to γ'' . \square

Lemma 3.5. Let X be a topological space. Let $\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ are paths. Then $\gamma \stackrel{p}{\sim} \gamma'$ if and only if there is a path $\tilde{H}: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}X$ such that $\tilde{H}(0) = \gamma$, $\tilde{H}(1) = \gamma'$ and for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $\tilde{H}(t) \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$.

Proof. We have that \mathbb{I} is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space so Theorem 2.10 gives that there is a bijection between continuous maps $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X$ and continuous maps $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}X$. We obtain the appropriate conditions by looking at the image of a path homotopy under this bijection. \square

Lemma 3.6. Let X be a topological space. Path composition (see Proposition 3.2) in X passes to a well defined composition on path-equivalence classes, so for any $x, x', x'' \in X$ we have a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')/p \times \mathfrak{P}X(x', x'')/p &\rightarrow \mathfrak{P}X(x, x'')/p \\ ([\gamma]_p, [\gamma']_p) &\mapsto [\gamma'\gamma]_p. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We check composition is well defined. Suppose $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$ are path-equivalent and so there exists a path homotopy, say $H_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}$ from γ to $\tilde{\gamma}$. And suppose $\gamma', \tilde{\gamma}' \in \mathfrak{P}X(x', x'')$ are

path-equivalent and so there exists a path homotopy, say $H_{\gamma', \tilde{\gamma}'}$ from γ' to $\tilde{\gamma}'$. Notice $H_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}(1, s) = H_{\gamma', \tilde{\gamma}'}(0, s)$ and so the function

$$H(t, s) = \begin{cases} H_{\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}}(2t, s) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_{\gamma', \tilde{\gamma}'}(2(t - \frac{1}{2}), s) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy from $\gamma'\gamma$ to $\tilde{\gamma}'\tilde{\gamma}$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a topological space. There is a groupoid, $\pi(X)$ such that*

- *objects are points x in X ,*
- *morphisms from x to x' are path-equivalence classes in $\mathfrak{P}X(x, x')/\mathbb{L}$;*
- *composition is of morphisms $[\gamma]_{\mathbb{P}}$ from x to x' and $[\gamma']_{\mathbb{P}}$ from x' to x'' is given by $[\gamma'\gamma]_{\mathbb{P}}$ where $\gamma\gamma'$ is as defined in Proposition 3.2 (note this is well defined by Lemma 3.6).*

The identity morphism at each object x is the path-equivalence class of the constant path $\gamma_t = x$ for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, which we denote e_x . The inverse of a morphism $[\gamma]_{\mathbb{P}}$ from x to x' is the path-equivalence class of $\tilde{\gamma}_t = \gamma_{1-t}$.

This $\pi(X)$ is called the fundamental groupoid of X .

We take this opportunity to recall the definition of a groupoid before the proof (see e.g. [43]).

Definition 3.8. A groupoid \mathcal{G} consists of

- a collection $Ob(\mathcal{G})$ of *objects*, and
- for each pair $X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$ a collection $\mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ of *morphisms* with source X and target Y (we use $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to indicate that f is a morphism from X to Y);

such that:

- each $X \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$ has a designated *identity* morphism $1_X: X \rightarrow X$;
- for each triple of objects $X, Y, Z \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$ there exists a function

$$\begin{aligned} *_{\mathcal{G}}: \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \times \mathcal{G}(Y, Z) &\rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X, Z) \\ (f, g) &\mapsto g *_{\mathcal{G}} f \end{aligned}$$

called *composition*;

- for each pair $X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{G})$ a function

$$(-)^{-1}: \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(Y, X)$$

called the *inverse assigning function*.

This data is subject to the following axioms:

(G1) **Identity law:** for any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have $1_Y *_{\mathcal{G}} f = f = f *_{\mathcal{G}} 1_X$.

(G2) **Associativity:** for any triple of morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ and $h: Z \rightarrow W$ we have $h *_G (g *_G f) = (h *_G g) *_G f$.

(G3) **Inverse:** for any morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have $f^{-1} *_G f = 1_X$ and $f *_G f^{-1} = 1_Y$.

Proof. (Of Proposition 3.7) (G1) Suppose $\gamma \in \mathfrak{P}X(x, x')$, the following function is a path homotopy from $e_x \gamma$ to γ :

$$H_{id}(t, s) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ x & \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

The case for $\gamma e'_x$ is very similar.

(G2) The following function is a path homotopy $\gamma''(\gamma' \gamma)$ to $(\gamma'' \gamma') \gamma$:

$$H_{ass}(t, s) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\frac{t}{\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4}}} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \\ \gamma'_{4(t - \frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{4})} & \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \\ \gamma''_{\frac{t - \frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{4}}} & \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

(G3) The following function is a homotopy $\bar{\gamma} \gamma$ to e_x :

$$H_{in}(t, s) = \begin{cases} \gamma_{2t} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2} \\ \gamma_{1-s} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2} \\ \gamma_{1-2(t - \frac{1}{2})} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

A similar homotopy gives $\gamma \bar{\gamma} \stackrel{p}{\sim} e_x$. □

Definition 3.9. Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$ a subset. The fundamental groupoid of X with respect to A is the full subgroupoid of $\pi(X)$ with object set A , denoted $\pi(X, A)$.

Remark. Let X be a topological space and $x \in X$ be a point, we have that $\pi(X)(x, x)$ is the fundamental group based at $x \in X$.

4 Self homeomorphisms of the instantaneous ambient space M

In section 5 we will be interested in formalising how certain paths in $\mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$ induce ‘movements’ of subsets in M . Here we discuss appropriate restrictions on the spaces $\mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$ which allow us to formalise this notion.

4.1 The topological group $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$

For any space M then $\mathbf{Top}(M, M)$ is a monoid, with identity the identity map. The subset of maps which are set bijections is a submonoid. Let \mathbf{Top}^h be the subcategory of \mathbf{Top} generated by the bijections f such that f^{-1} is also continuous (homeomorphisms). (Note that the indicated

subclass is in fact closed.) Then $\mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$ is a group. Denote by $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ the subspace of $\mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$ with underlying set $\mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$.

For paths in $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ to have a physically meaningful interpretation as homeomorphisms of $M \times \mathbb{I}$ we need that M satisfies the conditions of the product hom adjunction in Theorem 2.10 and that $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ is a topological group (cf. Lemma 5.14).

Here we show that $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ becomes a topological group if M is a locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff space. Notice this means if we have a topological group then we also have that M satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.10.

This section follows the proof of Theorem 4 in [2]. Recall that a space X is said to be locally compact if each $x \in X$ has an open neighbourhood which is contained in a compact set. As discussed in [39], recalling that we take X to be Hausdorff, this implies that for each $x \in X$ and open set $U \subset X$ containing x , there exists an open set V containing x with \bar{V} compact and $\bar{V} \subset U$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $K \subset M$ be compact and $U \subset M$ be open with $K \subset U$. Then there exists an open set V with $K \subset V \subset \bar{V} \subset U$, where \bar{V} (the closure of V) is compact.*

Proof. Since M is locally compact Hausdorff, for every $x \in K$ there is an open set $V(x) \subset U$ with $\bar{V(x)} \subset U$ compact. The set of all $V(x)$ is a cover for K , and K is compact so there exists a finite subcover. Hence we have

$$K \subset \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} V(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \bar{V(x_i)} \subset U$$

for some finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$. We can choose $V = \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} V(x_i)$, noting that (since the union is finite) $\bar{V} = \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \bar{V(x_i)}$, and hence \bar{V} is compact, since it is a finite union of compact subsets. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let M be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the composition of homeomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \circ: \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) \times \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) &\rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) \\ (\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) &\mapsto \mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

Proof. Let $B(K, U)$ be an element of the subbasis of τ_{MM}^{co} . Now suppose $\mathfrak{h} \in B(K, U)$ is in the image of \circ , so $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f}$ for some $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f} \in \mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$. We show that for all such \mathfrak{h} , we can construct an open set in $V \in \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) \times \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ with $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) \in V$ and for all $(\mathfrak{f}', \mathfrak{g}') \in V$, $\mathfrak{g}' \circ \mathfrak{f}' \in B(K, U)$.

We have $g(K) \subset f^{-1}(U)$, and so by Lemma 4.1 there exists an open set W with $g(K) \subset W \subset \bar{W} \subset f^{-1}(U)$, and \bar{W} compact. Now $B(K, W) \times B(\bar{W}, U)$ is an open set containing $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g})$ and for any $(\mathfrak{f}', \mathfrak{g}') \in B(K, W) \times B(\bar{W}, U)$, $\mathfrak{g}' \circ \mathfrak{f}' \in B(K, U)$. \square

The previous Lemma can be stated in a more general case where the maps are not necessarily homeomorphisms, see Theorem 2.2 of [18].

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the sets $B(L, U)$ where L is compact, connected and has non empty interior, and U is open, form a subbasis for the compact open topology.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$. We show that for any $B(K, U)$ containing \mathfrak{h} where K is compact and U is open, there exists a subset of $B(K, U)$ containing \mathfrak{h} of the form $B(L_1, U) \cap \dots \cap B(L_n, U)$ where each L_i is compact, connected and has non empty interior.

Since M is locally compact, for each $x \in K$ we can find an open set $V(x)$ containing $\mathfrak{h}(x)$ such that $V(x) \subset U$ and $\overline{V(x)}$ is compact. Now since M is locally connected, there exists a connected open set $V'(x) \subset V(x)$. And $\overline{V'(x)}$ is compact since $\overline{V'(x)} \subset \overline{V(x)}$ and closed subsets of compact spaces are compact.

The $V'(x)$ cover K and so there exists a finite subcover by $V(x_i)$ for some finite set of $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Now

$$\bigcap_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} B(\overline{V'(x_i)}, U) \subset B(K, U).$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let M be a locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff space. Then the inverse map*

$$\begin{aligned} (-)^{-1}: \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) &\rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) \\ \mathfrak{f} &\mapsto \mathfrak{f}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 we only need to consider open sets of the form $B(L, U)$ with L compact, connected and with non empty interior, and U open. We show that for any $\mathfrak{f}^{-1} \in B(L, U)$, we can construct an open set $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ which is a subset of the preimage of $B(L, U)$.

Using Lemma 4.1 we can choose an open set $V \subset M$ such that $f^{-1}(L) \subset V \subset \overline{V} \subset U$, and an open set $W \subset M$ with $\overline{V} \subset W \subset \overline{W} \subset U$. Now $f(M \setminus V \cap \overline{W}) \subset M \setminus L \cap f(U)$. We can also choose an $x \in M$ such that $f(x) \in \text{int}(L)$ (where $\text{int}(L)$ is the interior of L). So there exists an open set

$$B(\{x\}, \text{int}(L)) \cap B((M \setminus V \cap \overline{W}), (M \setminus L \cap f(U)))$$

containing \mathfrak{f} , which we denote U_0 .

Now for any $\mathfrak{h} \in U_0$, $\mathfrak{h}(M \setminus V \cap \overline{W}) \subset M \setminus L \cap \mathfrak{f}(U)$. Taking complements and reversing the inclusion we have $L \cup M \setminus \mathfrak{f}(U) \subset \mathfrak{h}(V \cup M \setminus \overline{W})$. Now $\mathfrak{h}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{h}(M \setminus \overline{W})$ are disjoint open sets, and L is connected, so L is contained in one or the other. It cannot lie in $\mathfrak{h}(M \setminus \overline{W})$ as $x \in \text{int}(L)$ and $x \notin M \setminus \overline{W}$ as $\mathfrak{f}(x) \in \text{int}(L)$ and $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(L) \subset \overline{W}$. Hence $L \subset \mathfrak{h}(V)$, or equivalently $\mathfrak{h}^{-1}(L) \subset V \subset U$. Hence $\mathfrak{h}^{-1} \in B(L, U)$. □

Theorem 4.5. *This is Theorem 4 in [2]. If M is a locally connected, locally compact Hausdorff space then $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$, the set $\mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$ with the subspace topology from τ_{MM}^{co} , is a topological group. (This means the composition $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}) \mapsto \mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{g}$ and the map $\mathfrak{f} \mapsto \mathfrak{f}^{-1}$ are both continuous.)*

Proof. In Lemma 4.2 we prove that the composition is continuous if M is locally compact Hausdorff. In Lemma 4.4 we prove that the inverse map is continuous. □

4.2 Groupoid of self homeomorphisms $Homeo_M$

For paths in $\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M)$ to be physically meaningful we require that M itself has, at least locally, the Euclidean metric topology. Thus we restrict to the case M is a manifold.

So let M be a manifold possibly with boundary. (In this paper manifold means a Hausdorff topological manifold.) We will refer to M as the ambient space.

Lemma 4.6. *Let (G, \circ_G, e_G) be a group and X a set. Suppose we have a set map*

$$\kappa: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}G,$$

where $\mathcal{P}G$ is the power set of G , such that

- (I) for all $x, y, z \in G$, and for all $g_1 \in \kappa(x, y)$ and $g_2 \in \kappa(y, z)$, then $g_1 \circ_G g_2 \in \kappa(x, z)$, and
- (II) for all $x \in X$ $e_G \in \kappa(x, x)$, and
- (III) for all $x \in X$ if $g \in \kappa(x, x)$ then $g^{-1} \in \kappa(x, x)$.

Then there is a groupoid \mathcal{G}^κ such that

- objects are the elements of X ,
- the set of morphisms between $x, y \in X$ is the set $\kappa(x, y)$;
- composition of morphisms $g_1 \in \kappa(x, y)$ and $g_2 \in \kappa(y, z)$ is $g_1 \circ_G g_2$ which is in $\kappa(x, z)$ by (I). The identity morphism at any object $x \in X$ is e_G which is in $\mathcal{G}^\kappa(x, x)$ by (II). Each morphism $g \in \mathcal{G}^\kappa(x, x)$ has inverse morphism g^{-1} which is in $\mathcal{G}^\kappa(x, x)$ by (III).

Proof. (G 1) We have that $e_G \in \mathcal{G}^\kappa(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$ by assumption. Also for all $g \in G$ $e_G \circ_G g = g = g \circ_G e_G$ since G is a group.

(G 2) The composition is associative since G is group.

(G 3) For all $g \in G$, $g^{-1} \circ g = e_G = g \circ g^{-1}$ since G is a group. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let M be manifold. For each $A \subset M$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} K^A: \mathcal{P}M \times \mathcal{P}M &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{TOP}^h(M, M) \\ (N, N') &\mapsto Homeo_M^A(N, N') \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Homeo_M^A(N, N') = \left\{ \mathfrak{f}: M \rightarrow M \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{f} \text{ is a homeomorphism} \\ \mathfrak{f}(N) = N' \\ \mathfrak{f} \text{ fixes } A \text{ pointwise} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.6.

Proof. (I) Let $\mathfrak{f} \in Homeo_M^A(N, N')$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in Homeo_M^A(N', N'')$, then $\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f}(N) = \mathfrak{g}(N') = N''$ and $\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f}(A) = \mathfrak{g}(A) = A$ so $\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f} \in Homeo_M^A(N, N'')$.

(II) We have $id_M(N) = N$ and $id_M(A) = A$ so $id_M \in Homeo_M^A(N, N)$ for all $N \subset M$.

(III) For any $\mathfrak{f} \in Homeo_M^A(N, N')$ we have $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(N') = N$ and $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(A) = A$ so $\mathfrak{f}^{-1} \in Homeo_M^A(N', N)$. □

Notice that $\mathbf{Top}^h(M, M) = \mathit{Homeo}_M^\emptyset(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and every $\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N') \subseteq \mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$. Hence each $\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N')$ becomes a topological space with the induced topology from $\mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$. Notice each self homeomorphism \mathfrak{f} of M will belong to many $\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N')$. From here we will denote a self homeomorphism $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N')$ as a triple $\mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N'$ to make it clear which set we are considering \mathfrak{f} as an element of.

We will use just $\mathit{Homeo}_M(N, N')$ and $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ for the case $A = \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let M be a manifold. Then each $\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N')$ is a topological subgroup of $\mathbf{Top}^h(M, M)$.*

Proof. Suppose we have self homeomorphisms $\mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N$ and $\mathfrak{g}^A: N \curvearrowright N$, then $\mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{g}(N) = \mathfrak{f}(N) = N$ and $\mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{f}(A) = A$ so $\mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{g}^A: N \curvearrowright N$ is in $\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N)$. Similarly $\mathfrak{f}^{-1A}: N \curvearrowright N$ is in $\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N)$. Note that a subgroup of a topological group is itself a topological group with the induced topology. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let M be a manifold and $A \subset M$ a fixed subset. For any subsets $N, N' \subset M$ we have*

$$\mathit{Homeo}_M^A(N, N') = \mathit{Homeo}_M^A(M \setminus N, M \setminus N').$$

Proof. Since any $\mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N'$ is a bijection, $\mathfrak{f}(N) = N'$ iff $\mathfrak{f}(M \setminus N) = M \setminus N'$. \square

Remark. For any map $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathit{Homeo}_M(N, N)$ then $\mathfrak{f}|_{M \setminus N} \in \mathbf{Top}^h(M \setminus N, M \setminus N)$. If $M \setminus N$ is compact then any $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathbf{Top}^h(M \setminus N, M \setminus N)$ has a (non unique) extension to an element of $\mathit{Homeo}_M(N, N)$.

Remark. There are various ways in which we could equip the subsets of M with extra structure. For example we could let N and N' be submanifolds of M equipped with an orientation and then consider homeomorphisms which preserve these orientations.

Theorem 4.10. *Let M be a manifold and A a subset. There is a groupoid Homeo_M^A such that*

- *objects are subsets of M ,*
- *the morphisms from N to N' are the self homeomorphisms $\mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N'$;*
- *composition is given by the usual composition of functions. In our notation we have:*

$$(\mathfrak{g}^A: N' \curvearrowright N'') \circ (\mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N') = \mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N''.$$

The identity at each object N is $id_M: N \curvearrowright N$ where id_M denotes the identity homeomorphism. Given a morphism $\mathfrak{f}^A: N \curvearrowright N'$ the inverse is the morphism $\mathfrak{f}^{-1A}: N' \curvearrowright N$.

Where $A = \emptyset$ we omit all superscripts and denote the groupoid by Homeo_M .

Proof. This is precisely the groupoid obtained by letting κ be K^A , as defined in Lemma 4.7, in 4.6. \square

5 Motion groupoid Mot_M^A

The core topological ideas used in this section are present in [22] (see also [21],[14]). Here Goldsmith constructs motion groups associated to a pair (M, N) of a manifold and a subset, group elements are then equivalence classes of paths in the space of self homeomorphisms of M .

Here we construct a motion groupoid Mot_M associated to a manifold M . The objects are subsets $N \subset M$ and the morphisms are equivalence classes of ‘motions’. Looking at the automorphism group at N gives back Goldsmith’s motion group for the pair (M, N) . To make the notation more manageable we only give the full details of proofs the when working in $Homeo_M$. In Section 5.5 we also construct a version using $Homeo_M^A$, i.e fixing a distinguished choice of subset A , Mot_M^A .

In Section 5.6 we have examples.

5.1 Pre-motions

Definition 5.1. Fix a manifold M . A pre-motion in M is a path in $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ starting at id_M ; i.e. a map $f \in \mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset))$ with $f_0 = id_M$.

We denote the set of all pre-motions in M by $Premot_M$.

Example 5.2. For any manifold M the path $f_t = id_M$ for all t , is a pre-motion. We will denote this pre-motion Id_M .

Example 5.3. For $M = S^1$ (the unit circle) we may parameterise by $\theta \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi$ in the usual way. Consider the functions $\tau_\phi : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ ($\phi \in \mathbb{R}$) given by $\theta \mapsto \theta + \phi$, and note that these are homeomorphisms. Then consider the path $f_t = \tau_{t\pi}$ (‘half-twist’). This is a pre-motion.

Lemma 5.4. Let M be a manifold. There is a bijection between $\mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M)$ and the subset of $\mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M \times \mathbb{I})$ of maps such that for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $f(M \times \{t\}) = M \times \{t\}$.

Proof. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta: \mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M \times \mathbb{I}) \\ ((m, t) \mapsto f(m, t)) &\mapsto ((m, t) \mapsto (f(m, t), t)) \end{aligned}$$

has inverse $\Theta^{-1}((m, t) \mapsto f'(m, t)) = ((m, t) \mapsto p_0 \circ f'(m, t))$ (where p_0 is the projection onto the first coordinate) on the described subset. For any $f \in \mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M)$, the image $\Theta(f)$ is continuous as it is continuous on each projection. \square

Lemma 5.5. Let M be a manifold. For any pre-motion f in M $(f^{-1})_t = f_t^{-1}$ is a pre-motion.

Proof. By Theorem 4.5 $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is a topological group, so the map $g \in Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset) \mapsto g^{-1} \in Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is continuous. It follows that the composition $t \mapsto f_t \mapsto f_t^{-1}$ is continuous. Notice also that $(f^{-1})_0 = id_M^{-1} = id_M$. \square

Lemma 5.6. Let M be a manifold. Consider the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \circ \Phi: \mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M \times \mathbb{I}), \\ (t \mapsto f_t) &\mapsto ((m, t) \mapsto (f_t(m), t)) \end{aligned}$$

where Φ is as in Theorem 2.10 and Θ is as described in Lemma 5.4. Under this map a pre-motion is sent to a homeomorphism $M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{I}$.

Proof. Let $t \mapsto f_t$ be a pre-motion. The map $(m, t) \mapsto (f_t(m), t)$ has inverse $(m, t) \mapsto (f_t^{-1}(m), t)$. Let us see that this is continuous. Lemma 4.4 gives that f^{-1} is a pre-motion and its image is the desired map. ⁴ \square

Suppose we have pre-motions f and g . We would like to define a composition of f and g . In general the path g does not start at the end of the path f so we do the composition in two steps. First we construct a new path $g'_t = g_t \circ f_1$ which starts at f_1 . The composition of f and g is then the usual non-associative ‘stack+shrink’ composition of the paths f and g' in $\mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, X)$ as in (1).

Proposition 5.7. *Let M be a manifold. There exists a composition*

$$*: \text{Premot}_M \times \text{Premot}_M \rightarrow \text{Premot}_M$$

with

$$(* (f, g))_t = \begin{cases} f_{2t} & 0 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ g_{2(t-1/2)} \circ f_1 & 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Notation: We will write $* (f, g)$ as $g * f$.

Proof. We check that for any $f, g \in \text{Premot}_M$, $g * f \in \text{Premot}_M$. We have $f_1 = g_0 \circ f_1$ so Equation (2) defines a continuous map. Also $(g * f)_0 = f_0 = \text{id}_M$. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let M be a manifold. There exists a set map*

$$\bar{\cdot}: \text{Premot}_M \rightarrow \text{Premot}_M$$

with

$$\bar{f}_t = f_{(1-t)} \circ f_1^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Let $U \subset M$ be an open set, then $\bar{f}^{-1}(U) = \cup_{t \in \mathbb{I}} f_{1-t}^{-1}(f_1(U)) = f^{-1}(U)$. So \bar{f} is continuous for any $f \in \text{Premot}_M$. Also notice $\bar{f}_0 = f_1 \circ f_1^{-1} = \text{id}_M$. \square

Intuitively \bar{f} is obtained from f by first changing the direction of travel along the path and then precomposing at each t with f_1^{-1} to force the reversed path to start at the identity.

Note that the operation $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ is an involution, namely $\bar{\bar{f}} = f$.

5.2 Motions

Definition 5.9. Fix a manifold M . A motion in M is a triple $(f, N, f_1(N))$ consisting of a pre-motion f in M , a subset $N \subset M$ and the image of the endpoint of f , $f_1(N)$.

We will denote such a triple by $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ where $f_1(N) = N'$, and say it is a motion from N to N' . (Note $f_1(N) = N'$ if and only if $f_1 \in \text{Homeo}_M(N, N')$.)

⁴Note that we used the fact that M is a manifold, so that $\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is a topological group. An alternative proof of this result that holds if M is compact (and not necessarily a manifold) follows from the fact that any continuous bijection between compact Hausdorff spaces is a homeomorphism.

For subsets $N, N' \subset M$ we define

$$Mt_M(N, N') = \{(f, N, f_1(N)) \text{ a motion in } M \mid f(N) = N'\}$$

and

$$Mt_M = \bigcup_{(N, N')} Mt_M(N, N'),$$

the union over all pairs $N, N' \subset M$.

Example 5.10. For any manifold M , $N \subset M$ and Id_M the pre-motion described in Example 5.2, $Id_M: N \rightsquigarrow N$ is a motion. We will call this the ‘trivial motion’ from N to N . Note that the pre-motion Id_M becomes a motion from N to N for any N , but not a motion from N to N' unless $N = N'$.

Example 5.11. The half-twist of S^1 (see Example 5.3) becomes a motion in N to $\tau_\pi(N)$ for any N .

Example 5.12. Figure 4 gives, schematically, two examples of types of motions in \mathbb{I} . Here $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is represented as a region of the plane, so the various $Homeo_M(N, N')$ s are intersecting subregions. The blue line represents a motion from N to N . Notice the motion is a path starting and ending in the same shaded region, this is possible since $Homeo_M(N, N)$ must contain the identity. (Although $Homeo_M(N, N)$ may also have path connected components which do not contain the identity.) The red path is a motion from N to N' where $N \neq N'$.




Figure 4: Paths in $Homeo_{\mathbb{I}}$ which are motions. Shaded region is $Homeo_{\mathbb{I}}(N, N)$ and lighter-shaded region is $Homeo_{\mathbb{I}}(N, N')$. Hence the blue path is a motion from N to N and the red path is a motion from N to N' .

We will now give two further equivalent definitions of motions. Definition 5.9 is the one we will work with for most proofs and technical constructions but the following definitions will be helpful to aid our intuitive understanding of what motions are.

Lemma 5.13. *Let M be a manifold. There is a bijection between elements of $Mt_M(N, N')$ and continuous maps $f: M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$ such that*

- $f|_{M \times \{t\}}$ is a homeomorphism $M \times \{t\} \rightarrow M \forall t \in \mathbb{I}$,
- $f(m, 0) = m$ for all $m \in M$,
- $f(N \times \{1\}) = N'$.

Proof. We have $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset) \subset \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$ with the induced topology, so all motions are continuous maps $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)$. From Theorem 2.10, there is a bijection

$$\Phi: \mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{TOP}(M, M)) \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M).$$

Looking at which maps in $\mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M)$ arise as the image of a motion under this bijection we obtain the conditions in the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.13 justifies drawing motion using what is known as movie presentation. A movie presentation consists of a number of pictures at some chosen values of t , where each picture shows the image of M under $f_t(M)$.

Theorem 5.14. *Let M be a manifold. There is a bijection between elements of $Mt_M(N, N')$ and homeomorphisms $f: M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{I}$ such that*

- $f(m, 0) = (m, 0)$ for all $m \in M$,
- $f(N \times \{1\}) = N' \times \{1\}$, and
- $f(M \times \{t\}) = M \times \{t\}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$.

Proof. Theorem 2.10 and 5.4 give that there is a bijection between paths in $\mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, \mathbf{TOP}(M, M))$ and continuous maps $\mathbf{Top}(M \times \mathbb{I}, M \times \mathbb{I})$ with $f(M \times \{t\}) = M \times \{t\}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$. Lemma 5.6 gives that under this bijection motions are sent to homeomorphisms. Looking at the images of motions under this bijection we obtain the other conditions. \square

Figure 5 gives a schematic for the maps $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}$ corresponding to the paths in $Homeo_{\mathbb{I}}$ depicted in 4. These ‘flare’ schematics are too be understood as follows. The square represents the image of a homeomorphism $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I}$ (which is equivalent to a motion by Lemma 5.14). The ambient space \mathbb{I} is oriented horizontally left to right. Looking first at the bottom horizontal boundary, in addition to marking the subset N in bold, we also mark evenly spaced points along \mathbb{I} so that the effect at each t is ascending up the page. We call the resultant vertical indicator lines ‘flare’ lines. (The horizontal lines mark out snapshots of \mathbb{I} as we progress along the path and so are merely a guide to the eye.)

Proposition 5.15. *Let M be a manifold. Then for any subsets $N, N', N'' \subset M$ there exists a composition*

$$\begin{aligned} *: Mt_M(N, N') \times Mt_M(N', N'') &\rightarrow Mt_M(N, N'') \\ (f: N \hookrightarrow N', g: N' \hookrightarrow N'') &\mapsto g * f: N \hookrightarrow N'' \end{aligned}$$

where $g * f$ is as defined in Equation (2).

Notation: We will use $(f: N \hookrightarrow N') * (g: N' \hookrightarrow N'')$ for $*(f: N \hookrightarrow N', g: N' \hookrightarrow N'')$.




Figure 5: Schematic for motions in $Mot_I(N, N)$ and $Mot_I(N, N')$ (see text).

Proof. Note from Proposition 5.7 that $g * f$ is a pre-motion; and we have $(g * f)_1(N) = g_1 \circ f_1(N) = g_1(N') = N''$. \square

See Fig.7 for an example in our schematic representation. Fig.7(a) simply shows the flare-schematics for two motions in a formal stack — note that this is not itself a flare-schematic for a motion, since the indicative paths are not matched at the join. Fig.7(b) deals with the adjustment at the join. It remains only to ‘shrink’ time – i.e. to pass to double speed.

Proposition 5.16. *Let M be a manifold. For any subsets $N, N' \subset M$ there is a set map*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\cdot} : Mt_M(N, N') &\rightarrow Mt_M(N', N) \\ f : N \curvearrowright N' &\mapsto \bar{f} : N' \curvearrowright N \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{f} is as in Equation (3).

Proof. We check that $(\bar{f} : N' \curvearrowright N) \in Mt_M(N', N)$. Proposition 5.8 gives that \bar{f} is a pre-motion. Note that we have $\bar{f}^{-1}(N') = N$. \square

Notice that if we turn a flare schematic upside down it is not a flare schematic, corresponding to the fact that $f_{(1-t)}$ is not the identity at $t = 0$; but the initial f_1^{-1} ‘fixes’ this.

5.3 Motion-equivalence of motions

In this section we impose an equivalence relation on motions which will allow us to construct the groupoid Mot_M associated to a manifold M in Theorem 5.33 which has equivalence classes of motions as morphisms.

To obtain a category, this equivalence must at least make the composition associative, and such that a unit exists. A minimal way to achieve this might be, for example, letting motions $f : N \curvearrowright N'$ and $f' : N \curvearrowright N'$ be equivalent if f and f' are path-equivalent as paths in $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. However we will impose a stronger equivalence.

We are interested in how movements of some ambient space induce movements of subsets, but we are not interested in the movement of the ambient space per se. In Section 5.4, we will define




Figure 6: Top: Schematic for path in space of boundary-fixing homeomorphisms of the disk revealed by a marked polar grid. Bottom: We add a couple of marked points on the disk and watch them braid-exchanged by this path.




Figure 7: Schematic for category composition. (a) formal stack of pictures of motions; (b) first step of the composition as in (2).

stationary motions, motions $N \rightsquigarrow N$ which leave N fixed setwise, and construct an equivalence such that these are equivalent to the identity.

We proceed by first constructing a category with a more general equivalence to expose the conditions necessary to obtain an equivalence from a chosen subset of Mt_M .

In what follows we will say two motions are path-equivalent when we mean the underlying premotions path-equivalent. Also note for any motion $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$, for any path-equivalent $f' \stackrel{p}{\sim} f$, $f': N \rightsquigarrow N'$ is a motion. The following Lemma will be useful in the proofs which subsequent proofs.

Lemma 5.17. *Fix a manifold M and $N, N', N'' \subset M$. Let $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and $g: N' \rightsquigarrow N''$ be motions. Then*

- (I) $(g \cdot f)_t = g_t \circ f_t$ gives a path; and
- (II) this path $g \cdot f$ is path-equivalent to $g * f$.
- (III) Furthermore \cdot defines an associative composition of paths and
- (IV) $g \cdot f: N \rightsquigarrow N''$ is a motion.

Before the proof, let us fix some conventions. Motions are paths $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and then homotopies of paths are functions $H: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. We will always think of the first copy of \mathbb{I} in a homotopy as the one parameterising the motion and will continue to use the parameter t . For the second copy of \mathbb{I} which parameterises the homotopy we will use s .

Proof. (I) We first check that $g \cdot f$ is a continuous map. This can be seen by rewriting as

$$\begin{aligned} I &\rightarrow \text{Homeo}_M \times \text{Homeo}_M \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_M \\ t &\mapsto (f_t, g_t) \quad \mapsto g_t \circ f_t. \end{aligned}$$

The map into the product is continuous because it is continuous on each projection and the second map is continuous because Homeo_M is a topological group by Theorem 4.5.

(III) It is clear \cdot is associative.

(IV) Notice that $(g \cdot f)_0 = g_0 \circ f_0 = \text{id}_M$ and $(g \cdot f)_1(N) = g_1 \circ f_1(N) = g_1(N') = N''$ so $(g \cdot f)_1 \in \text{Homeo}_M(N, N'')$. So we have that $g \cdot f$ is a motion from N to N'' .

(II) The following function is suitable path homotopy to prove the path-equivalence

$$H(t, s) = \begin{cases} g_{ts} \circ f_{2t-st} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ g_{2(t-\frac{1}{2})(1-s)+ts} \circ f_{(1-s)+ts} & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Notice $H(t, 0) = (g * f)_t$, $H(t, 1) = (g \cdot f)_t$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$ $H(0, s) = g_0 \circ f_0 = \text{id}_M$ and $H(1, s) = g_1 \circ f_1$. \square

In fact, we will see in Section 6 that we could have used the convention $g \cdot f$ for composition of motions.⁵ The convention $*$ is used since this fits better with the intuitive notion of performing one motion followed by another.

In what follows when we say motions are path-equivalent we mean the underlying pre-motions are path-equivalent. Notice that, since path homotopies fix the endpoints, for any motion $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and path-equivalence $f' \stackrel{p}{\sim} f$, $f': N \rightsquigarrow N'$ is a motion.

⁵Note we can use composition with \cdot because $\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is topological group. It is possible to prove Lemmas 5.20 and 5.22 directly without the use of \cdot .

Definition 5.18. Let M be a manifold. A subset $E \subset Mt_M$ is called a closed motion subset if

- (I) for each motion $e: N \curvearrowright N' \in E$, we have that $\bar{e}: N' \curvearrowright N \in E$ (E is ‘bar-closed’),
- (II) for each pair of motions $e: N \curvearrowright N', e': N' \curvearrowright N'' \in E$ we have $e' * e: N \curvearrowright N'' \in E$, and
- (III) for all $N \subset M$ we have $Id_M: N \curvearrowright N \in E$.

Lemma 5.19. Let M be a manifold and $E \subset Mt_M$ a closed motion subset. Let $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $f': N' \curvearrowright N''$ be path-equivalent motions. Then $\bar{f} * f \stackrel{p}{\approx} Id_M$.

Proof. There exists a path homotopy from f to f' which we denote $H_{f,f'}$. Now we will construct a path homotopy from $\bar{f} * f$ to Id_M . First notice $f_1 = f'_1$ as path-equivalent paths have the same endpoints. Consider the following function:

$$H_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} f_{2t} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_{f,f'}(1 - 2(t - \frac{1}{2}), s) \circ f_1'^{-1} \circ f_1 & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that when $t = 1/2$ we have $H_{f,f'}(1, s) \circ f_1'^{-1} \circ f_1 = f_1 \circ f_1^{-1} \circ f_1$ as $f_1 = f'_1$ so H_1 is continuous. Also $H_1(t, 0) = f' * f$ and $H_1(t, 1) = f * f$. So H_1 is a homotopy from $f' * f$ to $f * f$. Then the following function

$$H_2(t, s) = \begin{cases} f_{2t(1-s)} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ f_{(1-2(t-\frac{1}{2}))(1-s)} & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is a homotopy from $\bar{f} * f$ to Id_M . Observe that for each fixed s , the path $H_{\bar{f}*f}(t, s)$ starts at the identity, follows f until $f_{(1-s)}$, and then follows $f_{(1-t)}$ back to id_M . \square

Lemma 5.20. Let M be a manifold and let E be a closed motion subset. We define a relation on each $Mt_M(N, N')$ as follows. A motion $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ is said to be E -equivalent, denoted $\stackrel{E}{\sim}$, to $f': N' \curvearrowright N''$ if the motion $\bar{f}' * f: N \curvearrowright N$ is path-equivalent (see Prop. 3.2) to a motion from N to N in E . This is an equivalence relation.

We will use $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_E$ to denote the equivalence class of $f: N \curvearrowright N'$

Proof. Let $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $g: N \curvearrowright N'$ be motions. First notice that combining (2) and (3) we have

$$(\bar{g} * f)_t = \begin{cases} f_{2t} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ g_{(1-2(t-\frac{1}{2}))} \circ g_1^{-1} \circ f_1 & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We first check reflexivity. A motion $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ is path-equivalent to itself by Lemma 3.4 and hence, since $(Id_M: N \curvearrowright N) \in E$, by Lemma 5.19 it is E -equivalent to itself.

For symmetry, suppose $\bar{g} * f$ is path-equivalent to a motion $e: N \curvearrowright N$ in E via some path homotopy $H_{\bar{g}*f}$. Then the function:

$$H(t, s) = H_{\bar{g}*f}(1 - t, s) \circ f_1^{-1} \circ g_1$$

is a path homotopy from $\bar{f} * g$ path-equivalent to \bar{e} .

Finally, for transitivity, in addition to $H_{\bar{g}*f}$, suppose there is a motion $h: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and a path homotopy $H_{\bar{h}*g}$ from $\bar{h} * g$ to e' . Now first that the following composition

$$H_3(t, s) = \begin{cases} H_{\bar{g}*f}(2t, s) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_{\bar{h}*g}(2(t - \frac{1}{2}), s) \circ g_1^{-1} \circ f_1 & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy $(\bar{h} * g) * (\bar{g} * f)$ to $e' * e$, which is in E .

Using Lemma 5.17 $\bar{h} * f \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \bar{h} \cdot f = \bar{h} \cdot Id_M \cdot f$. By Lemma 5.19 $\bar{g} * g$ is path-equivalent to $Id_M: N' \rightsquigarrow N'$, and hence by Lemma 5.17 $\bar{g} \cdot g$ is path equivalent $Id_M: N' \rightsquigarrow N'$. So we have

$$\bar{h} \cdot f \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \bar{h} \cdot (g \cdot \bar{g}) \cdot f = (\bar{h} \cdot g) \cdot (g \cdot f) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} (\bar{h} \cdot g) * (\bar{g} \cdot f) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} (\bar{h} * g) * (\bar{g} * f)$$

again using Lemma 5.17. \square

Definition 5.21. Let M be a manifold. A subset $E \subset Mt_M$ is called normal motion subset if

(IV) for any motion $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ in M and motion $e: N' \rightsquigarrow N' \in E$ then the composition (see Proposition 5.15) $\bar{f} * (e * f): N \rightsquigarrow N$ is path-equivalent a motion from N to N in E .

Lemma 5.22. Let M be a manifold and $E \subset Mt_M$ a closed motion subset and a normal motion subset. Then

$$\begin{aligned} * : Mot^0(N, N') \Big/ \stackrel{E}{\sim} \times Mot^0(N, N') \Big/ \stackrel{E}{\sim} &\rightarrow Mot^0(N', N'') \Big/ \stackrel{E}{\sim} \\ [f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_E * [g: N' \rightsquigarrow N'']_E &\mapsto [g * f: N \rightsquigarrow N'']_E \end{aligned}$$

is a well defined composition.

Proof. We have from Lemma 5.20 that $\stackrel{E}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation. We check the composition is well defined on equivalence classes. Suppose we have pairs of equivalent motions $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$, $f': N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and $g: N' \rightsquigarrow N''$, $g': N' \rightsquigarrow N''$. Hence there exists a path homotopy from $\bar{f}' * f: N \rightsquigarrow N$ to a motion $e: N \rightsquigarrow N \in E$ and a path homotopy from $\bar{g}' * g: N' \rightsquigarrow N'$ to a motion $e': N' \rightsquigarrow N' \in E$ which we will denote by $H_{\bar{f}'*f}$ and $H_{\bar{g}'*g}$ respectively.

Using Lemma 5.17 there are also homotopies from $\bar{f}' \cdot f$ to e and $\bar{g}' \cdot g$ to e' , which we denote $H_{\bar{f}' \cdot f}$ and $H_{\bar{g}' \cdot g}$, where $e: N \rightsquigarrow N$ and $e': N' \rightsquigarrow N'$ are motions in E .

Again using Lemma 5.17 we have

$$(\overline{g' * f'}) * (g * f) = (\bar{f}' * \bar{g}') * (g * f) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} (\bar{f}' \cdot \bar{g}') * (g \cdot f) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} (\bar{f}' \cdot \bar{g}') \cdot (g \cdot f).$$

Combining the homotopies in Equation (5) and (4) we have a path homotopy from the identity to $f \cdot \bar{f}$ so this gives

$$(\bar{f}' \cdot \bar{g}') \cdot (g \cdot f) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} \bar{f}' \cdot f \cdot \bar{f} \cdot \bar{g}' \cdot g \cdot f.$$

Now the function

$$H_{\bar{f}' \cdot f}(s, t) \circ \bar{f}_t \circ H_{\bar{g}' \cdot g}(s, t) \circ f_t$$

is a path homotopy $\bar{f}' \cdot f \cdot \bar{f} \cdot \bar{g}' \cdot g \cdot f$ to $e \cdot \bar{f} \cdot e' \cdot f$.

Now by Lemma 5.17 we have $e \cdot \bar{f} \cdot e' \cdot f \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} e \cdot \bar{f} \cdot (e' * f) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} e \cdot (\bar{f} * (e' * f))$. By assumption there is a path homotopy $\bar{f} * (e' * f)$ to e'' and precomposing with e gives $e \cdot (\bar{f} * (e' * f)) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} e \cdot e'' \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\sim} e * e''$ where $e * e'': N \rightsquigarrow N$ is in E by assumption. \square

Theorem 5.23. *Let M be a manifold and let $E \subset Mt_M$ a closed motion subset and a normal motion subset. There is a groupoid such that*

- objects are subsets of M ,
- morphisms between subsets N, N' are E -equivalence classes $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_E$ of motions (see Lemma 5.20);
- composition of morphisms is given by

$$[f: N \curvearrowright N']_E * [g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_E = [g * f: N \curvearrowright N'']_E$$

where $g * f: N \curvearrowright N''$ is as described in Lemma 5.15 (note this is well defined by Lemma 5.22).

The identity at object N is the motion-equivalence class of $Id_M: N \curvearrowright N$. Each morphism $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_E$ has inverse the E -equivalence class of $\bar{f}: N' \curvearrowright N$ (see Proposition 5.8).

Proof. Let $f: N \curvearrowright N'$, $g: N' \curvearrowright N''$ and $h: N'' \curvearrowright N'''$ be motions.

(G1) We check $(f * Id_M: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{E}{\sim} (f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{E}{\sim} (Id_M * f: N \curvearrowright N')$. The following function is a path homotopy $f * Id_M$ to f .

$$H_{id}(t, s) = \begin{cases} f_{\frac{t}{\frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ f_1 & \frac{s}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We can similarly construct a path homotopy $Id_M * f$ to f . Hence, since $Id_M: N \curvearrowright N$ is in E , by Lemma 5.19 we have the result.

(G2) The function

$$H_{ass}(t, s) = \begin{cases} f_{\frac{t}{\frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4}}} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \\ g_{4(t - \frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{4})} \circ f_1 & \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \\ h_{\frac{t - \frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{4}}} \circ g_1 \circ f_1 & \frac{s}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

is a path homotopy $(f * g) * h$ to $f * (g * h)$. Hence by Lemma 5.19 associativity is satisfied.

(G3) We check that $(\bar{f} * f: N \curvearrowright N) \stackrel{E}{\sim} (Id_M: N \curvearrowright N)$. The homotopy in Equation (5) gives that $\bar{f} * f \stackrel{P}{\sim} Id_M$, and hence $\bar{f} * f \stackrel{E}{\sim} Id_M$. The case for $\bar{f} * f: N \curvearrowright N$ is similar. \square

5.4 Set-stationary motions

In this section we will fix our choice of a subset E to obtain the equivalence on motions we will use to construct our motion groupoid. We do this with ‘set-stationary’ motions. The idea is that a motion $f: N \curvearrowright N$ in some M is stationary if for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $f_t(N) = N$. In our groupoid these motions will become equivalent to the identity motion at N . How restrictive this is will depend on the topology of the points in N , see Examples 5.26-5.28.

Definition 5.24. A motion $f: N \curvearrowright N$ in a manifold M is said to be set-stationary if $f_t \in Homeo_M(N, N)$ for all t .

Definition 5.25. We define the topological space D^2 , called the unit disk, as $\{x \in \mathbb{C} \mid |x| \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with the subset topology.

Example 5.26. Let $M = D^2$ be the unit disk. Let $N \subset M$ be a finite set of points. Then a motion $f: N \curvearrowright N$ is set-stationary if and only if $f_t(x) = x$ for all $x \in N$ and $t \in \mathbb{I}$.

Example 5.27. Let $M = D^2$ be the unit disk. Consider the pre-motions of the disk shown in the top schematic of Figure 6. Now pick a subset $N \subset D^2$ which is any circle, i.e. the set of all points a fixed distance from the centre using the metric induced from the complex plane. Then this pre-motion becomes a set-stationary motion from N to N .

Example 5.28. Let M be a manifold and f a pre-motion. Then $f: M \curvearrowright M$ is a set-stationary motion.

Lemma 5.29. Let M be a manifold. The set of all set-stationary motions $S \subset Mt_M$ is a closed motion subset and a normal motion subset. By definition set-stationary motions are of the form $f: N \curvearrowright N$ so we must check that for each N :

- (I) we have $(Id_M: N \curvearrowright N) \in S$,
- (II) for any $(x: N \curvearrowright N) \in S$ then $(\bar{x}: N \curvearrowright N) \in S$,
- (III) for any $(x: N \curvearrowright N), (x': N \curvearrowright N) \in S$, the composition $(x' * x: N \curvearrowright N) \in S$, and
- (IV) for any $(x: N \curvearrowright N) \in S$ and any motion $f: N' \curvearrowright N$ in M , the motion $\bar{f} * (x * f): N' \curvearrowright N'$ is path-equivalent to a stationary motion.

Proof. (I) We have $(Id_M)_t(N) = id_M(N) = N$

(II) Since $x: N \curvearrowright N$ is a set-stationary motion, we have $\bar{x}_t(N) = x_{1-t} \circ x_1^{-1}(N) = x_{1-t}(N) = N$.

(III) Notice that for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$ we have $x'_t \circ x_1(N) = x'_t(N) = N$, so for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $(x' * x)_t(N) = N$.

(IV) Notice $\bar{f} * (x * f) \stackrel{p}{\sim} \bar{f} \cdot (x * f) \stackrel{p}{\sim} \bar{f} \cdot x \cdot f$ using Lemma 5.17. Now the following function

$$H(t, s) = f_{(1-t)(1-s)} \circ f_{(1-s)+ts}^{-1} \circ f_{(1-t)s}^{-1} \circ x_t \circ f_{t(1-s)+s}$$

is a path homotopy $\bar{f} \cdot x \cdot f$ to the path $f_1^{-1} \circ x_t \circ f_1$ □

Definition 5.30. Let M be a manifold. Let $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$ denote the relation obtained by letting $E = S$, the set of all set-stationary motions in M , in Lemma 5.20. Explicitly $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{m}{\sim} (g: N' \curvearrowright N'')$ if $\bar{g} * f: N \curvearrowright N$ is path-equivalent to a set-stationary motion from N to N .

Lemma 5.31. Let M be a manifold. For each pair of subsets $N, N' \subset M$, we have that the pair $(Mot_M^0(N, N'), \stackrel{m}{\sim})$ is an equivalence relation.

Notation: When $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{m}{\sim} (g: N \curvearrowright N')$ we say they are motion-equivalent and use notation $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$ for the class of $f: N \curvearrowright N'$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.29, the set S is a closed motion subset and so Lemma 5.20 gives that the described relation is an equivalence. □

Lemma 5.32. *Let M be a manifold. For any subsets $N, N', N'' \subset M$, the following composition of motion-equivalence classes is well defined.*

$$\begin{aligned} * : \text{Mot}^0(N, N') / \sim_m \times \text{Mot}^0(N, N') / \sim_m &\rightarrow \text{Mot}^0(N', N'') / \sim_m \\ ([g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m, [f: N \curvearrowright N']_m) &\mapsto [g * f: N \curvearrowright N'']_m. \end{aligned}$$

Notation: We will use $[g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m * [f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$ for $*([g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m, [f: N \curvearrowright N']_m)$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.29 the set S is a closed motion subset and a normal motion subset so by Lemma 5.22 the composition is well defined. \square

Remark. Notice that the equivalence in Lemma 5.31 implies that any stationary motion $f: N \rightarrow N$ is equivalent to $\text{Id}_M: N \rightarrow N$. (Notice $\overline{\text{Id}_M} * f = \text{Id}_M * f$ is a set-stationary motion.)

In fact the previous remark is closely related to the fact that this equivalence can be obtained by constructing a pre-groupoid of motions up to path-equivalence and quotienting by the set-stationary motions, which form a normal subgroupoid.

It may seem surprising that we define motion-equivalence entirely in terms of motions from N to itself for some subset N , as opposed to N to N' . Recall we are working with a groupoid and so inverses exist. In any groupoid, let X, Y be objects, then equivalence of two morphisms $F, G: X \rightarrow Y$ can be expressed by saying $G^{-1}F: X \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to the identity morphism at X , or $GF^{-1}: Y \rightarrow Y$ is equivalent to the identity morphism at Y .

Remark. Let $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $f': N \curvearrowright N'$ be motion-equivalent, so we have a homotopy H making $\bar{f}' * f$ path-equivalent to a set-stationary motion. Such a homotopy exists if and only if we have a path-equivalence between

$$(\bar{f}' * f) \circ f_1^{-1} = \begin{cases} f_{2t} \circ f_1^{-1} & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ f'_{1-2(t-\frac{1}{2})} \circ f_1^{-1} & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and a path in $\text{Homeo}_M(N', N)$. This has the advantage of appearing more symmetric than our initial formulation of motion-equivalence, the path $(\bar{f}' * f) \circ f_1^{-1}$ starts at f_1^{-1} and ends at $f_1'^{-1}$ and N and N' appear in the statement of equivalence. However it has the disadvantage that we are no longer working with motions.

Theorem 5.33. *Let M be a manifold. There is a groupoid Mot_M such that*

- *objects are subsets of M ,*
- *morphisms between subsets N, N' are motion equivalence classes $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$ of motions (see Lemma 5.31);*
- *composition of morphisms is given by*

$$[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m * [g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m = [g * f: N \curvearrowright N'']_m$$

*where $g * f: N \curvearrowright N''$ is as defined in Proposition 5.15 (note this is well defined by Lemma 5.32).*

The identity at object N is the motion-equivalence class of $Id_M: N \rightsquigarrow N$. Each morphism $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_m$ has inverse the motion-equivalence class of $f: N' \rightsquigarrow N$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.29, the set S of all stationary motions is closed motion subset and normal motion subset so this is proved by letting $S = E$ in Theorem 5.23. \square

Lemma 5.34. *Let M and M' be manifolds such that there exists a homeomorphism $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$. Then there is a isomorphism of categories*

$$\Psi: Mot_M \rightarrow Mot_{M'}$$

defined as follows. On objects $N \subset M$, $\Psi(N) = \psi(N)$. Suppose we have a motion in M $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and let $(\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})_t = \psi \circ f_t \circ \psi^{-1}$. Then Ψ sends the equivalence class $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_m$ to the equivalence class $[\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}: \psi(N) \rightarrow \psi(N')]_m$.

Proof. Notice $(\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})_0 = id'_M$ and $(\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})_1(\psi(N)) = \psi \circ f_1 \circ \psi^{-1}(\psi(N)) = \psi \circ f_1(N) = \psi(N')$.

We check Ψ is well defined. Suppose $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and $f': N \rightsquigarrow N'$ are equivalent motions in M . So there is a path homotopy $f' * f$ to a path say x such that $x: N \rightsquigarrow N$ is a stationary motion, let us call this H . The function $(\psi \circ H \circ \psi^{-1})(t, s) = \psi \circ H(t, s) \circ \psi^{-1}$ is a homotopy making $(\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}) \circ (\psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1})$ path-equivalent to $\psi \circ x \circ \psi^{-1}$ and $\psi \circ x \circ \psi^{-1}: \psi(N) \rightsquigarrow \psi(N)$ a stationary motion.

We can define an inverse $\Psi^{-1}: Mot_{M'} \rightarrow Mot_M$ as follows. On objects $N \subset M'$, $\Psi^{-1}(N) = \psi^{-1}(N)$. Suppose we have a motion $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ in M' , then Ψ^{-1} sends the equivalence class $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_m$ to the equivalence class $[\psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi: \psi^{-1}(N) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(N')]_m$. \square

Lemma 5.35. *Let M be a manifold. There is an involutive automorphism*

$$\Omega: Mot_M \rightarrow Mot_M$$

which sends an object $N \subset M$ to its complement $M \setminus N$ and which sends a morphism $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_m$ to $[f: M \setminus N \rightsquigarrow M \setminus N']_m$.

Proof. First notice that by Lemma 4.9, $f_1 \in Homeo_M(M \setminus N, M \setminus N')$. We also need to check this functor is well defined. Suppose $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and $f': N \rightsquigarrow N'$ are motion-equivalent, so there is a path homotopy $f' * f$ to a stationary motion. So then $f: M \setminus N \rightsquigarrow M \setminus N' \stackrel{m}{\rightsquigarrow} f': M \setminus N \rightsquigarrow M \setminus N'$ using the same homotopy. \square

5.5 A -fixing motions

So far we have avoided working with A -fixing homeomorphisms to avoid overloading the notation and to add make the exposition clearer. Everything we have done so far could have been done by working instead with paths in $Homeo_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. We have the following adjusted definitions.

Definition 5.36. Fix a manifold M . An A -fixing pre-motion in M is a path in $Homeo_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ starting at id_M ; i.e. an map $f \in \mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{I}, Homeo_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset))$ with $f_0 = id_M$.

Definition 5.37. An A -fixing motion in a manifold M is triple consisting of an A -fixing pre-motion and a pair of subsets $N, N' \subset M$ with $f_1 \in Homeo_M^A(N, N')$. We will denote this with $f^A: N \rightsquigarrow N'$.

In practice we will mostly be interested in the case $A = \partial M$.

Example 5.38. *All motions of \mathbb{I} are $\partial\mathbb{I}$ -fixing motions.*

Example 5.39. *The half-twist motions described in Example 5.11 are not A fixing motions for any subset N .*

Example 5.40. *Let $M = D^2$ be the disk. Consider the motions of points in the disk shown in Figure 6. These are ∂D^2 fixing motions.*

Theorem 5.41. *We obtain a category as in Theorem 5.33 replacing motions with A -fixing motions and working in $\text{Homeo}_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. Note that here A -fixing motions $f^A: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $f'^A: N \curvearrowright N'$ are equivalent if $\bar{f}' * g$ is path-equivalent to a stationary motion in $\text{Homeo}_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. We will denote this category by Mot_M^A .*

Proof. Notice that if $f^A: N \curvearrowright N', g^A: N' \curvearrowright N''$ are A -fixing motions then \bar{f} is an A -fixing motions and $g * f$ is an A -fixing motion. All motions constructed in homotopies required for the proof of Theorem 5.33 and associated Lemmas are A -fixing if the inputs are A -fixing. \square

Lemma 5.42. *Let M and M' be manifolds such that there exists a homeomorphism $\psi: M \rightarrow M'$. Then there is a isomorphism of categories*

$$\Psi: \text{Mot}_M^A \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{M'}^{\psi(A)}$$

defined as in Lemma 5.34.

Proof. We can use the same proof as in Lemma 5.34. \square

Lemma 5.43. *Let M be a manifold. There is an involutive automorphism*

$$\Omega: \text{Mot}_M^A \rightarrow \text{Mot}_M^A$$

which sends and object $N \subset M$ to it's complement $M \setminus N$ and which sends a morphism $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$ to $[f: M \setminus N \curvearrowright M \setminus N']_m$.

Proof. This is the same as for Lemma 5.35. \square

5.6 Examples

Here we look at some examples.

Note that by Lemma 5.42, the groupoid Mot_- is invariant up to manifold homeomorphism (up to groupoid isomorphism) so it is enough to consider one manifold for each homeomorphism class. Here we will consider some examples in dimensions 1 and 3, which serve to illustrate different key aspects of the richness of our construction.

An interesting problem in each case is to give a characterisation of a skeleton. This is far from straightforward, even if we restrict to objects that are themselves manifolds. For example homeomorphism of objects is not enough to ensure isomorphism (see Example 5.49). Our construction also allows us to ask questions about ‘inner’ and ‘outer’ automorphisms. For what manifolds M can we find isomorphic $\text{Mot}_M(N, N)$ and $\text{Mot}_M(N', N')$ with N not homeomorphic to N' . Think for example about $N \subset \mathbb{I}^3$ which is a hopf link and $N' = N \setminus \{x\}$ where $x \in N$ is any point.

Observe that even in a skeleton most objects are undefinable so it is a good exercise to restrict to a full subgroupoid of particular interest.

Given a subset Q of the object class $\mathcal{P}(M)$ of Mot_M^A we write $Mot_M^A|_Q$ for the corresponding full subcategory.

5.6.1 Dimension 1

Example 5.44. Let $M = \mathbb{I}$. Then two finite subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{I} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ are isomorphic in $Mot_{\mathbb{I}}$ if, and only if, A and B have the same cardinality. Moreover, in the latter case $|Mot_{\mathbb{I}}(A, B)| = 1$. (Note that points in the boundary must remain fixed during any motion so if we allow these, we must consider more than just cardinality.)

Example 5.45. Let $M = \mathbb{I}$. Suppose $N \subset \mathbb{I} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is a compact subset with a finite number of connected components. So N is a union of points and closed intervals. We can assign a tuple to N as follows: each point in N is represented by an a and each interval by b , ordered in the obvious way using the ordering on \mathbb{I} . Let $N' \subset \mathbb{I} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ be another compact subset with a finite number of connected components. There will be a morphism N to N' if and only if the tuple assigned to both is the same. And then $|Mot_{\mathbb{I}}(N, N')| = 1$.

Notice that a similar process is possible without the compactness condition but we must distinguish different types of intervals as well as things of the form (a, b) and (c, d) where $b \neq c$ from those with $b = c$.

The automorphism groups $N \rightarrow N$ in $Mot_{\mathbb{I}}$ of a subset of \mathbb{I} with a finite number of connected components is always trivial. This changes dramatically if more complicated subsets of \mathbb{I} are considered.

Example 5.46. Let again $M = \mathbb{I}$. Let $N = [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\phi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a piecewise linear orientation preserving homeomorphism $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ such that the gradient of each linear map is rational, and the end-points of each of these intervals are also rational. Therefore $\phi(N) = N$. By moving the nodes of the piecewise linear function, we can find a pre-motion $t \mapsto \phi_t$ of \mathbb{I} such that $\phi_1 = \phi$. It is easy to see that $(\phi_t: N \curvearrowright N) \neq (Id_{\mathbb{I}}: N \curvearrowright N)$. The latter is a straightforward consequence of the fact that all paths $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ are constant, which follows from the intermediate value theorem.

Question: Let $N \neq N'$ be countable dense subsets of \mathbb{I} . Then does there exist a motion $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ in \mathbb{I} ?

Example 5.47. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$. There does not exist a motion $f: \mathbb{N} \curvearrowright \mathbb{Q}$. This can be seen by observing that there is no homeomorphism $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sending \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z} , since homeomorphisms $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ must map dense subsets to dense subsets.

Example 5.48. Let $M = \mathbb{R}$ again. Then $Mot_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. An isomorphism sends $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the motion-equivalence class of the motion $f: \mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f_t(x) = x + tn$.

5.6.2 Dimension 3

Example 5.49. Let $M = \mathbb{I}^3$. Consider a subset $N \subset \mathbb{I}^3$ in the interior such that N is homeomorphic to the 2-sphere S^2 . Now N separates $\mathbb{I}^3 \setminus N$ into two connected components. Let P be a point in the connected component of $\mathbb{I}^3 \setminus N$ containing the boundary, and P' a point in the other connected component. Then $N \cup P$ and $N \cup P'$ are homeomorphic but there does not exist a motion from $N \cup P$ to $N \cup P'$ in \mathbb{I}^3 .

Points and unknotted loops in $M = \mathbb{I}^3$

The setup

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by A_n the set of objects in $Mot_{\mathbb{I}^3}^{\partial \mathbb{I}^3}$ which are subsets of \mathbb{I}^3 of the following form. Each subset is made up of n connected components labelled by a_i , $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Each a_i is either a circle or a point. If a_i is a point then it is the point $(\frac{i-1/2}{n}, 1/2, 1/2)$. If a_i is a loop, then it is a circle lying in the plane $y = 1/2$ with centre $(\frac{i-1/2}{n}, 1/2, 1/2)$ and radius $1/4n$. See Figure 8 for an example. For a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the full subgroupoid

$$Mot_{\mathbb{I}^3}^{\partial \mathbb{I}^3} |_{A_n} \text{ by } \mathcal{A}_n.$$




Figure 8: Example of positioning of three loops and four points in \mathbb{I}^3




Figure 9: The images of subset $N \subset \mathbb{I}^3$ as shown at $t = 0$ under a motion in \mathbb{I}^3 . The gray markings indicate the position of N at earlier times.

Let us consider some motions in $Mot_{\mathbb{I}^3}^{\partial \mathbb{I}^3} |_{A_n}$.

First let $N \in A_2$ be the subset of \mathbb{I}^3 which consists of a single point and a circle lying in an xz plane as shown on the left hand side of Figure 9, labelled $t = 0$. Let $N' \in A_2$ be the subset of \mathbb{I}^3 with a loop on the left and a point on the right, as shown on the right hand side of Figure 9, labelled $t = 1$.

There is a continuous map $\sigma: \mathbb{I}^3 \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^3$ (equivalently a motion) with the images of $\sigma(N \times \{t\})$ as shown for various t in Figure 9. Looking at the image of N under σ , the point passes through the circle.

There is another motion with the same source and target during which the point does not pass through the circle.

We claim that the above two motions are not representatives of the same morphism.

There is also a motion from N to N which leaves the point fixed for all t but which rotates the circle by π around an axis in the xz plane which passes through the centre of the circle. (If we

added an orientation this would be a motion changing the orientation of the circle.) We claim this motion is not a representative of the same motion as the identity.

A conjecture for a presentation for $Mot_{\mathbb{F}_3}^{\partial \mathbb{F}_3^3} |_{A_n}$.

Let G be a graph and $F(G)$ the free groupoid generated by G . Let R be a collection of sets of relations on the hom sets $F(G)(a, b)$. To give a presentation of a groupoid \mathcal{C} is to give some G and R such that the quotient groupoid $F(G)/R$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{C} . (See [32] for more.)

In this section we will give a category in terms of generators and relations and conjecture that this category is isomorphic to $Mot_{\mathbb{F}_3}^{\partial \mathbb{F}_3^3} |_{A_n}$.

We construct an abstract category for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which we denote \mathcal{D}_n , by giving objects, generating morphisms and relations. The objects of \mathcal{D}_n are tuples of length n with entries in $\{p, c\}$. Let $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ denote the generators of the Coxeter presentation of the symmetric group, S_n . So s_i corresponds to swapping the i th and $i + 1$ th letters. If $N \in Ob(\mathcal{D}_n)$ is a tuple we use N_{s_i} to denote the tuple with the entries in position i and $i + 1$ permuted.

Definition 5.50. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by \mathcal{D}_n the category with objects, generating morphisms and relations as follows.

The objects of \mathcal{D}_n are tuples of length n with entries in $\{p, c\}$. Let $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ denote the generators of the Coxeter presentation of the symmetric group, S_n . S_n acts on $N \in Ob(\mathcal{D}_n)$ by permuting the i th and $i + 1$ th entries. We use N_{s_i} to denote the image of N under this action.

(Note that in what follows we find it convenient to label morphisms only by a triple $f: X \rightarrow Y$, so there may exist a distinct morphism $f: W \rightarrow Z$ with $W \neq X$ and $Y \neq Z$, and f alone has no meaning.) For each $N \in Ob(\mathcal{D}_n)$ we have generating morphisms $\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ subject to the following relations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\rho_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) & |i - j| > 1 \\ \begin{array}{l} (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_{i+1} s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1} s_i}) = \\ (\rho_{i+1}: N \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1}}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1}}) * (\rho_{i+1}: N_{s_i s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}}) \end{array} & i = 1, \dots, n - 2 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i s_i}) = Id: N \rightarrow N & i = 1, \dots, n - 1. \end{array} \right.$$

And also generating morphisms $\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that the entry $i + 1$ of

N is c , subject to the following relations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\sigma_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\sigma_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) \quad |i - j| > 1 \\ (\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\sigma_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_{i+1} s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1} s_i}) = \\ (\sigma_{i+1}: N \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1}}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1}}) * (\sigma_{i+1}: N_{s_i s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\rho_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) \quad |i - j| > 1 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_{i+1} s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1} s_i}) = \\ (\rho_{i+1}: N \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1}}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1}}) * (\rho_{i+1}: N_{s_i s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i s_i}) = (Id_{\mathbb{I}^3}: N \rightarrow N) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\sigma_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\sigma_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) \quad |i - j| > 1 \\ (\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_{i+1} s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1} s_i}) = \\ (\rho_{i+1}: N \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1}}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1}}) * (\sigma_{i+1}: N_{s_i s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\sigma_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_{i+1} s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1} s_i}) = \\ (\sigma_{i+1}: N \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1}}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1}}) * (\rho_{i+1}: N_{s_i s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 2 \end{array} \right.$$

And also generating morphisms $\tau_i: N \rightarrow N$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the i th entry of N is c subject to the following relations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\tau_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\tau_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\tau_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) \quad i \neq j \\ (\tau_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_i: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_i}) = (Id_{\mathbb{I}^3}: N \rightarrow N) \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ (\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\tau_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) \quad |i - j| > 1 \\ (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_j: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_j s_i}) = (\tau_j: N \rightarrow N_{s_j}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_j} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_j}) \quad |i - j| > 1 \\ (\tau_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\rho_i: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_i}) = (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \\ (\tau_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_i}) = (\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_{i+1}: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1} s_i}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \\ (\tau_{i+1}: N \rightarrow N_{s_{i+1}}) * (\sigma_i: N_{s_{i+1}} \rightarrow N_{s_i s_{i+1}}) = (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) \\ (\sigma_i: N_{s_i s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i})^{-1} * (\rho_i: N \rightarrow N_{s_i}) * (\tau_i: N_{s_i} \rightarrow N_{s_i}) \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Conjecture 5.51. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have an isomorphism*

$$\phi: \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n.$$

That is to say \mathcal{D}_n is a presentation of \mathcal{A}_n .

We conjecture such an isomorphism from the combinatorially defined groupoid above to the full subgroupoid of the motion groupoid $Mot_{\mathbb{I}^3}^{\partial}$ with objects in \mathcal{A}_n can be constructed as follows: Objects in \mathcal{A}_n are mapped to the tuple of length n where the i th position is p if a_i is a point and c if a_i is a circle. Intuitively the generating morphisms labelled by ρ_i should be sent to an equivalence class of motions which swap the circles or points in positions i and $i + 1$ without passing through each other. The generating morphisms labelled σ_i should be sent to the equivalence class of motions

which swap the circles or points in positions i and $i+1$ with the i th component passing through the component in position $i+1$. (For $n=2$ this is indicated in Figure 8.) The generating morphisms labelled τ_i should be sent to equivalence classes of motions which flip the loop in position i .

Note that if we further restrict to the subset $N \in A_n$ where all connected components are points, this is the usual presentation of the n th braid group [8] and if all connected components are circles this is the usual presentation of the n th loop braid group [15].

6 Relative fundamental groupoid $rpMot_M^A$

In this section we will prove in Theorem 6.9 that there is another equivalent way to define Mot_M . This gives us another way to understand motions, in particular we have a new definition of the equivalence of motions (see Lemmas 6.8 and 6.7). This equivalence the same as the equivalence of relative paths in the relative fundamental set of a pair of spaces. Hence it will allow us to use the relative homotopy long exact sequence to prove the relationship between motion groupoids and mapping class groupoids in section 8.

Definition 6.1. Fix a manifold M . Define an relation on $Mot_M(N, N')$ as follows. Let $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $g: N \curvearrowright N'$ be motions. Then $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{rp}{\sim} (g: N \curvearrowright N')$ if there exists a continuous map

$$H: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$$

such that

- $H(\mathbb{I} \times \{s\})$ is a motion from N to N' for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$,
- $H(t, 0) = f(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, and
- $H(t, 1) = g(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$.

We call such a homotopy a relative path homotopy.

Lemma 6.2. Fix a manifold M . For each pair (N, N') we have that $(Mot_M^0(N, N'), \overset{rp}{\sim})$ is an equivalence relation.

Notation: We call $\overset{rp}{\sim}$ classes relative path-equivalence classes and use $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_{rp}$ for the class of f .

Proof. Let $f: N \curvearrowright N'$, $g: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $h: N \curvearrowright N'$ be motions. We can show this relation is reflexive by considering the homotopy $H(t, s) = f_t$ for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$.

For symmetry suppose $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{rp}{\sim} (g: N \curvearrowright N')$ via some relative path homotopy, say $H_{f,g}$. Then the function $H_{g,f}(t, s) = H_{f,g}(t, 1-s)$ is a homotopy making $(g: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{rp}{\sim} (f: N \curvearrowright N')$. For transitivity, suppose that $(g: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{rp}{\sim} (h: N \curvearrowright N')$ via a homotopy, say $H_{g,h}$. Then

$$H_{f,h}(t, s) = \begin{cases} H_{f,g}(t, 2s) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_{g,h}(t, 2(s - \frac{1}{2})) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy making $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{rp}{\sim} (h: N \curvearrowright N')$. □




Figure 10: Paths in Homeo_M for some M . There is a relative path homotopy from (b) to (c), but not from (a) to (b) or (c) because of the non trivial topology of Homeo_M .

Lemma 6.3. *Let $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and $g: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ be motions which are path-equivalent, then they are relative path-equivalent.*

Proof. Clear. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let M be a manifold. For any subsets $N, N', N'' \subset M$, the following composition of motion-equivalence classes is well defined.*

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot : \text{Mot}^0(N, N') / \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \times \text{Mot}^0(N, N') / \sim_{\mathcal{P}} &\rightarrow \text{Mot}^0(N', N'') / \sim_{\mathcal{P}} \\ ([f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_{\mathcal{P}}, [g: N' \rightsquigarrow N'']_{\mathcal{P}}) &\mapsto [g \cdot f: N \rightsquigarrow N'']_{\mathcal{P}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notation: We will use $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_{\mathcal{P}} \cdot [g: N' \rightsquigarrow N'']_{\mathcal{P}}$ for $\cdot ([g: N' \rightsquigarrow N'']_{\mathcal{P}}, [f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_{\mathcal{P}})$.

Proof. Lemma 5.17 gives that \cdot is an associative composition of motions.

From Lemma 6.2 we have that $\sim_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an equivalence relation. We check that the composition is well defined on equivalence classes.

Suppose we have motions $(f: N \rightsquigarrow N') \sim_{\mathcal{P}} (f': N \rightsquigarrow N')$ via some homotopy, say H_f , and motions $(g: N' \rightsquigarrow N'') \sim_{\mathcal{P}} (g': N' \rightsquigarrow N'')$ via some homotopy, say H_g . Then the function $H_{g \cdot f}(t, s) = H_g(t, s) \circ H_f(t, s)$ is a homotopy $g \cdot f$ to $g' \cdot f'$. For any fixed $s \in \mathbb{I}$ we have $H_g(1, s) \circ H_f(1, s)(N) = H_g(1, s)(N') = N''$ and $H_g(0, s) \circ H_f(0, s) = \text{id}_M$, so $H_{g \cdot f}(t, s)$ is a motion. □

Theorem 6.5. *For a manifold M , there is a groupoid rpMot_M such that*

- *objects are subsets of M ,*
- *morphisms from N to N' are equivalence classes of motions $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_{\mathcal{P}}$ up to the relative path-equivalence (see Lemma 6.2);*

- composition of morphisms is given by

$$[f: N \curvearrowright N']_{rp} \cdot [g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_{rp} = [g \cdot f: N \curvearrowright N'']_{rp}$$

where $g \cdot f$ is described in Lemma 5.17 (note this is well defined by Lemma 6.4).

Each morphism $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_{rp}$ has inverse the relative path-equivalence class of $[f^{-1}: N' \curvearrowright N]_{rp}$, where $f_t^{-1} = (f_t)^{-1}$. The identity morphism for each object N is $[Id_M: N \curvearrowright N]_{rp}$.

Proof. (G1) For any pre-motion f we have $Id_M \cdot f = f \cdot Id_M = f$ and hence for any $N \subset M$, $[Id_M: N \curvearrowright N]_{rp}$ is an identity.

(G2) Lemma 5.17 gives that the composition is associative.

(G3) Lemma 5.5 gives that for any pre-motion f , f^{-1} is a pre-motion and we have $f \cdot f^{-1} = f^{-1} \cdot f = Id_M$. For any morphism $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_{rp}$ we have $f_1^{-1}(N') = (f_1)^{-1}(N') = N$ so $[f^{-1}: N' \curvearrowright N]_{rp}$ is an inverse motion. \square

Remark. Note that by the following Lemma we could have defined the composition in the groupoid using $f * g$.

Lemma 6.6. For motions $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $g: N' \curvearrowright N''$ we have $(g \cdot f: N \curvearrowright N'') \stackrel{rp}{\sim} (g * f: N \curvearrowright N'')$.

Proof. Lemma 5.17 gives $(g \cdot f: N \curvearrowright N'') \stackrel{p}{\sim} (g * f: N \curvearrowright N'')$ which by Lemma 6.3 is sufficient. \square

Lemma 6.7. Suppose we have relative path-equivalent motions $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{rp}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$, then $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{m}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$.

Proof. Let H be a relative path homotopy from $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ to $f': N \curvearrowright N'$. We must show that $\bar{f}' * f: N \curvearrowright N$ is path-equivalent to a stationary motion from N to N .

Notice first that $H(1, 1-s)$ is a path f'_1 to f_1 which is in $Homeo_M(N, N')$ for all t , we relabel this path as γ . We define $\tilde{\gamma}$ as $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ f_1'^{-1}$, so $\tilde{\gamma}: N' \curvearrowright N'$ is a stationary motion with $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = f_1 \circ f_1'^{-1}$.

First note that we can use H to construct a path homotopy from f to the path composition $\gamma f'$. Explicitly a suitable function is:

$$H_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} H(\frac{2t}{2-s}, s) & t \leq 1 - \frac{s}{2} \\ \gamma_{2t-1} & 1 - \frac{s}{2} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

For fixed $s \in \mathbb{I}$ the path $H_1(t, s)$ starts at the identity, traces the whole of the path $H(t, s)$ followed by the part of the path γ starting from $\gamma_{1-s} = H(1, s)$ and ending at γ_1 . Note that the path composition, $\gamma f'$ is precisely the motion composition $\tilde{\gamma} * f'$, so $f \stackrel{p}{\sim} \tilde{\gamma} * f'$.

Hence by gluing H_1 with the trivial homotopy along $t = 1/2$, we have that $\bar{f}' * f$ is path-equivalent to $\bar{f}' * (\gamma * f')$.

Now using the normalcy of stationary motions proved in Lemma 5.29, we have that the motion $\bar{f}' * (\tilde{\gamma} * f'): N \curvearrowright N$ is path-equivalent to a stationary motion from N to N . \square

Lemma 6.8. Suppose we have motion-equivalent motions $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{m}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$, then $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{rp}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$.

Proof. We have $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{m}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$ implies $(\bar{f}: N' \curvearrowright N) \stackrel{m}{\sim} (\bar{f}': N' \curvearrowright N)$ by uniqueness of inverses. Let H be the path homotopy $f' * \bar{f}: N' \curvearrowright N'$ to a stationary motion $\gamma: N' \curvearrowright N'$. Consider the following function:

$$H_1 = \begin{cases} f_{\frac{2t}{2-s}} & t \leq 1 - \frac{s}{2} \\ \gamma_{2(t+\frac{s}{2}-1)} \circ f_1 & 1 - \frac{s}{2} \leq t. \end{cases}$$

We have that $H(t,0)$ is the path f and $H(t,1)$ is the path $\gamma * f$. And for any fixed $s \in \mathbb{I}$ we have $H(0,s) = id_M$ and $H(1,s)(N) = \gamma_s \circ f_1(N) = \gamma_s(N') = N'$. Also note H_1 is continuous as both functions agree when $t = 1 - \frac{s}{2}$. Hence we have that H_1 is a relative path homotopy and $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{rp}{\sim} (\gamma * f: N \curvearrowright N')$.

Using Lemma 5.17 we have that $\gamma * f \stackrel{p}{\sim} \gamma \cdot f$. Now $H_2(t,s) = H(t,1-s) \circ f_t$ is a path homotopy giving $\gamma \cdot f \stackrel{p}{\sim} (f' * \bar{f}) \cdot f$. Again using Lemma 5.17 $(f' * \bar{f}) \cdot f \stackrel{p}{\sim} f' \cdot \bar{f} \cdot f \stackrel{p}{\sim} f' \cdot (\bar{f} * f) \stackrel{p}{\sim} f' \cdot Id_M$, so $\gamma * f \stackrel{p}{\sim} f'$.

Using Lemma 6.3 $(\gamma * f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{rp}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$ and hence $(f: N \curvearrowright N') \stackrel{rp}{\sim} (f': N \curvearrowright N')$. □

Theorem 6.9. *Let M be a manifold. There is an isomorphism of categories*

$$\Phi: rpMot_M \xrightarrow{\sim} Mot_M$$

where Φ is the identity on objects and $\Phi([f: N \curvearrowright N']_{rp}) = [f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$.

Proof. We first check Φ is a functor. Lemma 6.7 gives that the map Φ is well defined. For motions $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $g: N' \curvearrowright N''$, Lemma 5.17 gives that $(g * f: N \curvearrowright N'') \stackrel{p}{\sim} (g \cdot f: N \curvearrowright N'')$, and hence by Lemma 5.19 we have $(g * f: N \curvearrowright N'') \stackrel{m}{\sim} (g \cdot f: N \curvearrowright N'')$. So Φ preserves composition. We have $\Phi([Id_M: N \curvearrowright N]_{rp}) = [Id_M: N \curvearrowright N]_m$ so the identity is preserved.

That Φ is full is clear and Lemma 6.8 gives that Φ is faithful. □

Remark. All proofs in this section work in exactly the same way restricting to A -fixing motions. Hence we have a groupoid $rpMot_M^A$ and a functor

$$\Phi^A: rpMot_M^A \xrightarrow{\sim} Mot_M^A.$$

7 Mapping class groupoid MCG_M^A

In this section we introduce the mapping class groupoid. The morphisms in mapping class groupoids are certain equivalence classes of self homeomorphisms of a manifold M . Unlike motions, they do not keep track of an entire path in $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. These are in general a simpler construction than motions groupoids and there are many known results already in the literature [23, 7, 25].

In Section 8 we will construct a functor from the motion groupoid of a manifold M to the mapping class groupoid and obtain conditions for this to be an isomorphism.

Recall from Section 4 that for a manifold M , the notation $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ means a self homeomorphism f of M with $f(N) = N'$ considered as an element of $Homeo_M(N, N')$

Definition 7.1. Let M be a manifold, $N, N' \subset M$ subsets and $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N', \mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$ two self homeomorphisms. Then $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$ is said to be isotopic to $\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$, denoted by $\overset{i}{\sim}$, if there exists a continuous map

$$H: M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$$

such that and

- for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$ the map $m \mapsto H(m, s)$ is in $\text{Homeo}_M(N, N')$,
- for all $m \in M$, $H(m, 0) = \mathfrak{f}(m)$, and
- for all $m \in M$, $H(m, 1) = \mathfrak{g}(m)$.

We call such a map an isotopy from $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$ to $\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$.

Lemma 7.2. *The pair $(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N'), \overset{i}{\sim})$ is an equivalence relation for all pairs $N, N' \subset M$.*

Notation: We call this equivalence relation isotopy equivalence. We denote the equivalence class of $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$ up to isotopy equivalence as $[\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N']_i$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N', \mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $\mathfrak{h}: N \curvearrowright N'$ be a self homeomorphisms of M .

We first check reflexivity. Then the map $H(m, s) = \mathfrak{f}(m)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$ is an isotopy from $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$ to itself.

For symmetry, suppose $(\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{i}{\sim} (\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N')$ so there exists some isotopy, say $H_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}}$ from $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$ to $\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$. Then $H(m, s) = H_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}}(m, 1 - s)$ is an isotopy from $\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$ to $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$.

For transitivity suppose also that $(\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N') \overset{i}{\sim} (\mathfrak{h}: N \curvearrowright N')$ with some isotopy, say $H_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}}$ from $\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'$ to $\mathfrak{h}: N \curvearrowright N'$. Then

$$H(m, s) = \begin{cases} H_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}}(m, 2s) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}}(m, 2(s - \frac{1}{2})) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is an isotopy from $\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'$ to $\mathfrak{h}: N \curvearrowright N'$. □

Theorem 7.3. *Let M be a manifold. We have a quotient groupoid (in the sense of [32]) of Homeo_M , where Homeo_M is as defined in Theorem 4.10:*

$$\text{MCG}_M = \text{Homeo}_M / \overset{i}{\sim}.$$

We call this the mapping class groupoid of M .

Proof. We need to only that the pair $(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N'), \overset{i}{\sim})$ is an equivalence relation for all pairs $N, N' \subset M$, as proved in Lemma 7.2. □

Lemma 7.4. *Let M be a manifold, isotopy is a congruence on Homeo_M . This means that for any subsets $N, N', N'' \subset M$, the following composition of motion-equivalence classes is well defined*

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : \text{Homeo}_M(N, N') / \overset{i}{\sim} \times \text{Homeo}_M(N, N') / \overset{i}{\sim} &\rightarrow \text{Homeo}_M(N', N'') / \overset{i}{\sim} \\ ([\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N']_i, [\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N']_i) &\mapsto [\mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N'']_i. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, this is precisely the composition in the quotient category.

Notation: We will use $[\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N']_i \circ [\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'']_i$ for $\circ([\mathfrak{f}: N \curvearrowright N']_i, [\mathfrak{g}: N \curvearrowright N'']_i)$.

Proof. We have that $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ is a self homeomorphism from Theorem 4.10. We check that the composition descends to a well defined composition on equivalence classes. Suppose there exists an isotopy, say $H_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'}$ from $\mathbf{f}: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ to $\mathbf{f}': N \rightsquigarrow N'$ and another isotopy, say $H_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}'}$ from $\mathbf{g}: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ to $\mathbf{g}': N \rightsquigarrow N'$. Then

$$H(m, s) = H_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}'}(m, s) \circ H_{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}'}(m, s)$$

is an isotopy from $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f}: N \rightsquigarrow N''$ to $\mathbf{g}' \circ \mathbf{f}': N \rightsquigarrow N''$. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let M be a manifold. We have that as a set*

$$MCG_M(N, N') = \pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N')).$$

Proof. Using Theorem 2.10 a continuous map $M \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow M$ satisfying the conditions in 7.1 corresponds to a path $\mathbb{I} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_M(N, N')$ from \mathbf{f} to \mathbf{g} . \square

Proposition 7.6. *We have*

$$MCG_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Let $f, g: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be homeomorphisms that can be connected by a path in $\text{Homeo}_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. Then f and g are homotopic, so they have the same degree, so either they are both orientation preserving or orientation reversing. It is proven in [23, Theorem 1.1.2] that the space of orientation preserving homeomorphisms $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is homotopic to S^1 , and in particular that it is path-connected. Since the identity map $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is orientation preserving, the path-component of the identity in $\text{Homeo}_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is the set of orientation preserving homeomorphisms $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$.

If f and g are orientation reversing, then $f \circ g^{-1}$ is orientation preserving, and hence can be connected by a path to id_{S^1} . Hence it follows that f and g can be connected by a path in $\text{Homeo}_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$.

In particular, $\text{Homeo}_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ has two path-components, containing respectively the orientation preserving and the orientation reversing homeomorphisms $S^1 \rightarrow S^1$. Therefore the homomorphism $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset)) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ induced by the degree homomorphism $\text{deg}: \text{Homeo}_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is an isomorphism. \square

7.1 A -fixing mapping class groupoids

Theorem 7.7. *Let M be a manifold and $A \subset M$ a subset. There is a groupoid*

$$MCG_M^A = \text{Homeo}_M^A / \sim.$$

Note that in this case the first condition in Definition 7.1 becomes: for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$ the map $m \mapsto H(m, s)$ is in Homeo_M^A .

Proof. The proofs of Lemmas 7.2 and 7.4 proceed in the exactly the same way for A -fixing self homeomorphisms. All constructed homotopies will be A -fixing for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$. \square

Proposition 7.8. *The morphism group $MCG_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is trivial.*

Proof. (This follows from the Alexander trick [1].) Suppose we have $\mathfrak{f}^{\partial D^2}: \emptyset \simeq \emptyset$ in D^2 . Define

$$f_t(x) = \begin{cases} t\mathfrak{f}(x/t) & 0 \leq |x| \leq t, \\ x & t \leq |x| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $f_0 = id_{D^2}$ and $f_1 = \mathfrak{f}$ and each f_t is continuous. Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} H: D^2 \times \mathbb{I} &\rightarrow D^2, \\ (x, t) &\mapsto f_t(x) \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous map. So we have constructed an isotopy from any boundary preserving self homeomorphism of D^2 to id_{D^2} . \square

Note that a lot more is true. The same argument gives that the space of maps $D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ fixing the boundary is contractible; see [23].

Remark. Note that if K is a finite subset of $D^2 \setminus \partial D^2$ then the morphism group $MCG_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(K, K)$ is actually isomorphic to the braid group in $|K|$ strands. For discussion see [8, 7]. See also [15] for a thorough exposition of how loop braid groups arise as morphisms groups of the form $MCG_{D^3}^{\partial}(L, L)$ where L consists of a set of unknotted loops contained in the interior of D^3 .

8 Functor from Mot_M^A to MCG_M^A

It is known that the braid groups and loop braid groups can be seen obtained as mapping class groups, as well as as motion groups [15, 14, 22, 7].

In this section we use the homotopy long exact sequence of a space to prove in Theorem 8.11 that there is an isomorphism of categories Mot_M to MCG_M if $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ has only one path connected component and its fundamental group is trivial.

Theorem 8.1. *Let M be a manifold. There is a functor*

$$F: Mot_M \rightarrow MCG_M$$

which is the identity on objects and on morphisms we have

$$F([f: N \curvearrowright N']_m) = [f_1: N \simeq N']_i.$$

Proof. We first check F is well defined. By Theorem 6.9 two motions $f: N \curvearrowright N'$ and $f': N \curvearrowright N'$ are motion-equivalent if and only if they are relative path-equivalent, i.e. if we have homotopy:

$$H: \mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$$

satisfying the conditions in Definition 6.1. Then $H(1, s)$ is a path f_1 to f'_1 such that for all $s \in \mathbb{I}$, $H(1, s) \in Homeo_M(N, N')$. Hence they are isotopic self homeomorphisms.

We check F preserves composition. For $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$ and $[g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m$ in Mot_M we have

$$\begin{aligned} F([g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m * [f: N \curvearrowright N']_m) &= F([g * f: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m) = [(g * f)_1: N \simeq N'']_i \\ &= [g_1 \circ f_1: N \simeq N'']_i = [g: N' \simeq N'']_i \circ [f: N \simeq N']_i \\ &= F([g: N' \curvearrowright N'']_m) \circ F([f: N \curvearrowright N']_m). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 8.2. *Let M be a manifold. The functor*

$$F: Mot_M \rightarrow MCG_M$$

defined in Theorem 8.1 is full if and only if $\pi_0(Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial.

Proof. Suppose $\pi_0(Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial and let $[f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_i \in MCG_M(N, N')$ with representative self homeomorphism $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$. Since $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is path connected, there exists a motion $f: N \rightsquigarrow N'$ with $f_1 = f$, so $F([f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_m) = [f: N \rightsquigarrow N']_i$.

Now suppose $\pi_0(Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is non trivial. Let $f: \emptyset \rightsquigarrow \emptyset$ be a self homeomorphism in a path component of $Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ which does not contain the identity. Then all self homeomorphisms in the isotopy class $[f: \emptyset \rightsquigarrow \emptyset]_i \in MCG_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ are in the same connected component. Hence there is no motion with endpoint in $[f: \emptyset \rightsquigarrow \emptyset]_i$. \square

8.1 Long exact sequence of relative homotopy groups

In Theorem 8.11 we will prove the functor $F: Mot_M \rightarrow MCG_M$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\pi_1(Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset))$ and $\pi_0(Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset))$ are trivial. To do this we will use the homotopy long exact sequence. We briefly introduce this here but see Section 4.1 of [24] or Chapter 9 of [37] for a more thorough exposition.

Definition 8.3. Let \mathbb{I}^{n-1} be the face of \mathbb{I}^n with last coordinate 1 and let J^{n-1} be the closure of $\mathbb{I}^n \setminus \mathbb{I}^{n-1}$, i.e. the union of all remaining faces of \mathbb{I}^n .

Proposition 8.4. *Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$ a subset and choose a point $x_0 \in A$. For fixed $n \geq 1$ we define a relation on the set of continuous maps*

$$\gamma: (\mathbb{I}^n, \partial\mathbb{I}^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$$

as follows. We say $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ if there exists $H: \mathbb{I}^n \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X$ such that

- *for all $t \in \mathbb{I}$, $H|_{\mathbb{I}^n \times \{t\}}$ is a map $(\mathbb{I}^n, \partial\mathbb{I}^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$,*
- *for all $x \in \mathbb{I}^n$, $H(x, 0) = \gamma(x)$, and*
- *for all $x \in \mathbb{I}^n$, $H(x, 1) = \gamma'(x)$.*

This is an equivalence relation.

Notation: We will call this set with the described equivalence the n^{th} relative homotopy set and denote it $\pi_n(X, A, x_0)$.

Proof. We omit this proof but it is similar to Lemma 6.2. See also [24]. \square

Lemma 8.5. *At each N the set of relative path-equivalence classes of motions from N to N is precisely the relative fundamental set $\pi_1(Homeo_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), Homeo_M(N, N), id_M)$.*

Proof. By definition. \square

Notation: Due to the fact the two equivalences coincide on sets we are interested in we will use $[\gamma]_{\mathbb{I}^n}$ for the equivalence class of γ in a relative homotopy set.

Lemma 8.6. Let X be a topological space, A a subset and $\{x_0\}$ a point in A . For $n \geq 2$, given continuous maps $\beta: (\mathbb{I}^n, \partial\mathbb{I}^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, \{x_0\})$ and $\gamma: (\mathbb{I}^n, \partial\mathbb{I}^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, \{x_0\})$, Define

$$(\gamma + \beta)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \begin{cases} \beta(2t_1, \dots, t_n) & 0 \leq t_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \gamma(2(t_1 - \frac{1}{2}), \dots, t_n) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t_1 \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then there is a composition

$$\begin{aligned} +: \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\}) \times \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\}) &\rightarrow \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\}) \\ (\gamma, \beta) &\mapsto \gamma + \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose $\beta, \beta': (\mathbb{I}^n, \partial\mathbb{I}^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ are equivalent in $\pi(X, A, \{x_0\})$ via some homotopy, say H_1 . Similarly suppose γ and γ' are maps $(\mathbb{I}^n, \partial\mathbb{I}^n, J^{n-1}) \rightarrow (X, A, x_0)$ which are equivalent in $\pi(X, A, \{x_0\})$ via some homotopy, say H_2 . Then

$$H(t_1, \dots, t_n, s) = \begin{cases} H_1(2t_1, \dots, t_n, s) & 0 \leq t_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ H_2(2(t_1 - \frac{1}{2}), \dots, t_n, s) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t_1 \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

is a homotopy making $\gamma + \beta$ equivalent to $\gamma' + \beta'$ in $(X, A, \{x_0\})$. \square

Lemma 8.7. Let X be a topological space, A a subset and $\{x_0\}$ a point in A . For $n \geq 2$ the set $\pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\})$ becomes a group with $+$. The identity is the equivalence class of the constant path $e_x(t) = \{x_0\}$. The inverse of $[\gamma]_p \in \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\})$ is the equivalence class of γ is the equivalence class of $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \gamma(1 - t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

Proof. See [24]. \square

Theorem 8.8. Let $i: (A, \{x_0\}) \rightarrow (X, \{x_0\})$ and $j: (X, \{x_0\}, \{x_0\}) \rightarrow (X, A, \{x_0\})$ be the inclusions. Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} i_*^n: \pi_n(A, \{x_0\}) &\rightarrow \pi_n(X, \{x_0\}) \\ [\gamma]_p &\mapsto [i \circ \gamma]_p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} j_*^n: \pi_n(X, \{x_0\}) &\rightarrow \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\}) \\ [\gamma]_p &\mapsto [j \circ \gamma]_{rp}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define a map which is the following restriction:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^n: \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\}) &\rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(A, \{x_0\}) \\ [\gamma]_{rp} &\mapsto [\gamma|_{\mathbb{I}^{n-1}}]_p. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^1: \pi_1(X, A, \{x_0\}) &\rightarrow \pi_0(A, \{x_0\}), \\ [\gamma]_{rp} &\mapsto [\gamma(1)]_p. \end{aligned}$$

Let X be a space, $A \subset X$ a subspace and $x_0 \in A$ a basepoint. There is a long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_n(A, \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{i_*^n} \pi_n(X, \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{j_*^n} \pi_n(X, A, \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{\partial^n} \pi_{n-1}(A, \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{i_*^{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{i_*^0} \pi_0(X, \{x_0\})$$

where exactness at the end of the sequence, where group structures are not defined, means the image of one map is equal to the set of maps sent to the homotopy class of the identity by the next.

Proof. See for example Chapter 4 of [24]. \square

Note the following long exact sequence generalises the sequence which appears in [22].

Lemma 8.9. *Suppose M is a manifold and fix a subset $N \subset M$. Then we have a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \pi_n(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N), \{x_0\}) &\xrightarrow{i_*^n} \pi_n(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{j_*^n} \\ \pi_n(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), \text{Homeo}_M(N, N), \{x_0\}) &\xrightarrow{\partial^n} \pi_{n-1}(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N), \{x_0\}) \xrightarrow{i_*^{n-1}} \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\partial^2} \pi_1(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N), \{x_0\}) &\xrightarrow{i_*^1} \pi_1(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), \{x_0\}) \\ &\xrightarrow{j_*^1} \text{rpMot}_M(N, N) \xrightarrow{F\phi^{-1}} \text{MCG}_M(N, N) \xrightarrow{i_*^0} \pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M) \end{aligned}$$

where all maps are group maps and \tilde{F} are Φ (the restrictions to the morphism group of) the functors defined in Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 6.9 respectively.

Proof. We have from Lemma 7.5 that $\text{MCG}_M(N, N) = \pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(N, N), id_M)$ and from Lemma 8.5 that $\text{Mot}_M(N, N) \cong \pi_1(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), \text{Homeo}_M(N, N), id_M)$ as sets. Notice also that, considered as a set map, $\tilde{F}\phi^{-1}$ is precisely ∂^1 . Hence substituting $X = \text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ and $A = \text{Homeo}_M(N, N)$ into Theorem 8.8 gives the exact sequence.

We check that j_*^1 preserves composition, and hence becomes a group map. Let g and f be paths from id_M to id_M in $\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. Then the pre-motion gf is precisely the pre-motion $g * f$ as $f_1 = id_M$. Hence we have

$$j_*^1([gf]_{\mathbb{P}}) = [gf: N \curvearrowright N]_{\mathbb{P}} = [g * f: N \curvearrowright N]_{\mathbb{P}} = [g: N \curvearrowright N]_{\mathbb{P}} * [f: N \curvearrowright N]_{\mathbb{P}} = j_*^1([g]_{\mathbb{P}}) * j_*^1([f]_{\mathbb{P}}).$$

As a set $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M) = \text{MCG}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset)$, so we equip $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ with the composition in mapping class groupoid (composition of self homeomorphisms). So the composition is the same in the source and target of i_*^0 . \square

Lemma 8.10. *Suppose M is a manifold and fix a subset $N \subseteq M$. Suppose*

- $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial, and
- $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial.

Then there is a group isomorphism

$$\text{Mot}_M(N, N) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{MCG}_M(N, N).$$

Proof. Using the conditions of the lemma, the long exact sequence in Lemma 8.9 gives short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{rpMot}_M(N, N) \rightarrow \text{MCG}_M(N, N) \rightarrow 1$$

so we have an isomorphism $\text{rpMot}_M(N, N) \cong \text{MCG}_M(N, N)$ and hence, with Theorem 6.9, an isomorphism $\text{Mot}_M(N, N) \cong \text{MCG}_M(N, N)$. \square

8.2 Isomorphism Mot_M^A to MCG_M^A

Theorem 8.11. *Let M be a manifold. If*

- $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial, and
- $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial

the functor

$$F: Mot_M \rightarrow MCG_M,$$

defined in Theorem 8.1 is an isomorphism of categories.

Proof. We have from Lemma 8.2 that F is full. We check F is faithful. Suppose we have motions $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m$ and $[f': N \curvearrowright N']_m$. If

$$F([f: N \curvearrowright N']_m) = F([f': N \curvearrowright N']_m)$$

then

$$F([f: N \curvearrowright N']_m * [f': N \curvearrowright N']_m^{-1}) = F([\bar{f}' * f: N \curvearrowright N']_m) = [id_m: N \curvearrowright N]_i.$$

By Lemma 8.10 this is true if and only if

$$[\bar{f}' * f: N \curvearrowright N']_m = [Id_M: N \curvearrowright N]_m$$

which is equivalent to saying $Id_M * (\bar{f}' * f)$ is path-equivalent to a stationary motion, and hence that $\bar{f}' * f$ is path-equivalent to the stationary motion (since $Id_M * (\bar{f}' * f) \stackrel{p}{\sim} \bar{f}' * f$). So we have $[f: N \curvearrowright N']_m = [f': N \curvearrowright N']_m$. \square

Theorem 8.12. *Let M be a manifold. If*

- $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial, and
- $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_M^A(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial

the functor

$$F: Mot_M^A \rightarrow MCG_M^A,$$

defined in Theorem 8.1 is an isomorphism of categories.

Proof. The proof proceeds exactly as for the previous theorem. \square

8.3 Examples using long exact sequence

Example 1: the 2-disk D^2 .

Proposition 8.13. *Let D^2 be the disk as defined in Definition 5.25. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$F: Mot_{D^2}^{\partial D^2} \rightarrow MCG_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}$$

with F as in 8.1.

Proof. We proved in Proposition 7.8 that $MCG_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset) = \pi_0(\text{Homeo}_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_M)$ is trivial. Also $\text{Homeo}_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ is contractible, see e.g. Theorem 1.1.3.2 of [23]. Hence by Theorem 8.11 we have the result. \square

Remark. As we recalled in Subsection 7.1, if K is a set with n -elements in the interior of D^2 , then the morphism group $MCG_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(K, K)$ is isomorphic to the braid group in n strands. The previous proposition hence implies that the group $Mot_{D^2}^{\partial D^2}(K, K)$ is isomorphic to the braid group in n -strands. This isomorphism was (from what we know) first noticed in [14, 22].

Remark. In fact $\text{Homeo}_{D^m}^{\partial D^m}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ contractible for all m . This follows from the Alexander Trick [1]. Hence the same argument as for the $n = 2$ case proves that we have an isomorphism

$$F: Mot_{D^3}^{\partial D^3} \rightarrow MCG_{D^3}^{\partial D^3}.$$

If L is an unlinked set of n loops in D^3 , then this means that the loop braid group [15, 4] can either be defined as $MCG_{D^3}^{\partial D^3}(L, L)$ or as $Mot_{D^3}^{\partial D^3}(L, L)$. This latter isomorphism was also mentioned in [15, 22].

We say a few words about what happens if we do not fix the boundary of the disk in the mapping class groupoid as we think it adds some nice intuition. Let $P_2 \subset D^2$ be a subset consisting of two points as shown in Figure 6. Consider a motion whose associated pre-motion is a πt rotation of the disk for any $t \in \mathbb{I}$, which exchanges the two particles as shown in the figure.

If we do this motion once then its equivalence class is non trivial in Mot_{D^2} , and its end point also represents a non trivial element of MCG_{D^2} . Now consider the motion $P_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} P_2$ obtained from the 2π rotation of D^2 , and hence ‘remembering’ all intermediate stages of the rotation, it is intuitively clear this motion is non-trivial in Mot_{D^2} by considering the as its image as a homeomorphism $D^2 \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow D^2 \times \mathbb{I}$, see Figure 11. A proof follows from the fact that the worldlines of the trajectory of the points in P_2 transcribe a non-trivial braid.

However its endpoint is a 2π rotation, which clearly represents $[id_{D^2}: P^2 \rightsquigarrow P^2]$ in MCG_{D^2} .




Figure 11: Figure showing movement of 2 points during a motion whose endpoint is a 2π rotation of the disk.

In fact, the map $Mot_{D^2} \rightarrow MCG_{D^2}$ is neither full nor faithful. The space Homeo_{D^2} is homotopy equivalent to $S^1 \sqcup S^1$, where the first connected component corresponds to orientation preserving homeomorphisms and the second orientation reversing (see Section 1.1 of [23]). Hence we have that $\pi_1(\text{Homeo}_{D^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{D^2}) = \mathbb{Z}$ where the single generating element corresponds to the 2π rotation. And $\pi_0(\text{Homeo}_{D^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{D^2}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. So we have an exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Homeo}_{D^2}(N, N), id_{D^2}) \xrightarrow{i_*^1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Mot_{D^2}(N, N) \rightarrow MCG_{D^2}(N, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 2: the 1-circle S^1 . The unit circle S^1 is an interesting example of very simple manifold with different motion and mapping class groupoids.

Let $P \subset S^1$ be a subset containing a single point in S^1 . Similarly to the disk, there is a non-trivial morphism in $Mot_{S^1}(P, P)$ represented by a 2π rotation of the circle, see Figure 12. We




Figure 12: Example of motion of circle which is a 2π rotation carrying a point to itself.

can prove this using the long exact sequence. Note that the connected component containing id_{S^1} of $Homeo_{S^1}(P, P)$ is contractible, see section 1 of [23]. In particular $\pi_1(Homeo_{S^1}(P, P), id_{S^1})$ is trivial. Also from [23] we have that S^1 is a strong deformation retract of $Homeo_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset)$. Hence the sequence becomes

$$\dots \rightarrow \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Mot_{S^1}(P, P) \rightarrow MCG_{S^1}(P, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The exact sequence gives an injective map $\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_1(Homeo_{S^1}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{S^1}) \rightarrow Mot_{S^1}(P, P)$. Explicitly this monomorphism sends $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the equivalence class of the pre-motion tracing a $2n\pi$ rotation of the circle S^1 . The space $Homeo_{S^1}(P, P)$ only has two connected components, consisting of orientations preserving and orientation reversing homeomorphisms of S^1 fixing P , each of which is connected. In particular it follows that the projection map $Mot_{S^1}(P, P) \rightarrow MCG_{S^1}(P, P) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the trivial group map, for its image only contains isotopy equivalence classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms. The exact sequence hence becomes:

$$\dots \rightarrow \{1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} Mot_{S^1}(P, P) \xrightarrow{0} MCG_{S^1}(P, P) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular the equivalence class of the 2π rotation of S^1 is non-trivial in $Mot_{S^1}(P, P)$, even though its image in $MCG_{S^1}(P, P)$ is trivial.

Example 3: the 2-sphere. Let $M = S^2$ and P_2 be the subset containing 2 points in the sphere. From Section 1.2 of [23] we have the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(Homeo_{S^2}(P_2, P_2), id_{S^2}) &= \mathbb{Z} \\ \pi_1(Homeo_{S^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{S^2}) &= \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ \pi_0(Homeo_{S^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{S^2}) &= \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

So exact sequence becomes

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Mot_{S^2}(P_2, P_2) \rightarrow MCG_{S^2}(P_2, P_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Also from [23], the map $\pi_1(Homeo_{S^2}(P_2, P_2), id_{S^2}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Homeo_{S^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{S^2})$ is surjective, with the non trivial element in $\pi_1(Homeo_{S^2}(\emptyset, \emptyset), id_{S^2})$ represented by a path which is a $2\pi t$ rotation

about some chosen axis for each $t \in \mathbb{I}$. Hence the map $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Mot}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2)$ is the zero map, and the same rotation is trivial in $\text{Mot}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2)$.

This can be seen directly by choosing the points to be antipodal, say the north and south pole. Now consider a 2π rotation with axis through north and south pole. This is a path fixing both points, hence a stationary path which is equivalent to the identity.

Looking back at the exact sequence, we have that the map $\text{Mot}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2) \rightarrow \text{MCG}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2)$ is injective. Combining the results of Hamstrom with the result on pg.52 of [19], we have that $\text{MCG}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where the non trivial element in the first copy of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is represented by a self homeomorphism which swaps the points by an orientation preserving self homeomorphism, and the non trivial element in the second component is represented by a self homeomorphism swapping the two points with is orientation reversing. Hence a motion which swaps the two points represents a non trivial morphism in $\text{Mot}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2)$.

Let $\text{MCG}_{S^2}^+$ be the mapping class groupoid constructed using only orientation preserving homeomorphisms. Then we have a group isomorphism

$$\text{Mot}_{S^2}(P_2, P_2) \simeq \text{MCG}_{S^2}^+(P_2, P_2).$$

Note this does not extend to a category isomorphism. Considering instead the subset consisting of three points the groups are non isomorphic. Intuitively we can see this by arguing that we cannot place three points on the sphere such that any 2π rotation is a stationary motion. But as with the previous examples a 2π rotation of the sphere represents the identity morphism in the mapping class groupoid.

References

- [1] J. W. Alexander. On the deformation of an n cell. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 9(12):406, 1923.
- [2] R. Arens. Topologies for homeomorphism groups. American Journal of Mathematics, 68(4):593–610, 1946.
- [3] J. C. Baez and J. Dolan. Higher-dimensional algebra and topological quantum field theory. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 36(11):6073–6105, 1995.
- [4] J. C. Baez, D. K. Wise, A. S. Crans, et al. Exotic statistics for strings in 4d BF theory. Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 11(5):707–749, 2007.
- [5] F. Bais. Flux metamorphosis. Nuclear Physics B, 170(1):32–43, 1980.
- [6] F. A. Bais, P. van Driel, and M. de Wild Propitius. Quantum symmetries in discrete gauge theories. Physics Letters B, 280(1-2):63–70, 1992.
- [7] J. S. Birman. Mapping class groups and their relationship to braid groups. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 22(2):213–238, 1969.
- [8] J. S. Birman and T. E. Brendle. Braids: a survey. In Handbook of knot theory, pages 19–103. Elsevier, 2005.

- [9] T. E. Brendle and A. Hatcher. Configuration spaces of rings and wickets. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 88(1):131–162, 2013.
- [10] R. Brown. Topology and groupoids. www.groupoids.org, 2006.
- [11] A. Bullivant, M. Calçada, Z. Kádár, P. Martin, and J. F. Martins. Topological phases from higher gauge symmetry in $3+1$ dimensions. Physical Review B, 95(15):155118, 2017.
- [12] A. Bullivant, A. Kimball, P. Martin, and E. C. Rowell. Representations of the necklace braid group: topological and combinatorial approaches. Communications in Mathematical Physics, pages 1–25, 2019.
- [13] A. Bullivant, J. F. Martins, and P. Martin. Representations of the loop braid group and aharonov-bohm like effects in discrete $(3+1)$ -dimensional higher gauge theory. arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.09551, 2018.
- [14] D. M. Dahm. A generalization of braid theory. PhD thesis, Princeton University, Mathematics, 1936.
- [15] C. Damiani. A journey through loop braid groups. Expositiones Mathematicae, 35(3):252–285, 2017.
- [16] C. Damiani and S. Kamada. On the group of ring motions of an h-trivial link. Topology and its Applications, 264:51–65, 2019.
- [17] R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten. Topological gauge theories and group cohomology. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 129(2):393–429, Apr. 1990.
- [18] J. Dugundji. Topology. Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1966.
- [19] B. Farb and D. Margalit. A primer on mapping class groups (pms-49). Princeton University Press, 2011.
- [20] E. Fradkin. Field theories of condensed matter physics. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [21] D. L. Goldsmith. Motions of links in the 3-sphere. PhD thesis, Princeton University, Mathematics, 1972.
- [22] D. L. Goldsmith. The theory of motion groups. The Michigan Mathematical Journal, 28(1):3–17, 1981.
- [23] M.-E. Hamstrom et al. Homotopy in homeomorphism spaces, *top* and *pl*. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 80(2):207–230, 1974.
- [24] A. Hatcher. Algebraic topology cambridge university press. Cambridge, UK, 2002.
- [25] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston. A presentation for the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface. Topology, 19(3):221–237, 1980.
- [26] A. Hurwitz. Über riemann’sche flächen mit gegebenen verzweigungspunkten. Mathematische Annalen, 39(1):1–60, 1891.

- [27] A. Joyal and R. Street. Braided tensor categories. Advances in Mathematics, 102(1):20–78, 1993.
- [28] D. M. Kan. Adjoint functors. Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 87:294–329, 1958.
- [29] C. Kassel. Quantum groups, volume 155. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [30] L. H. Kauffman and J. F. Martins. Invariants of Welded Virtual Knots Via Crossed Module Invariants of Knotted Surfaces. Compos. Math., 144:1046–1080, 2008.
- [31] J. Lurie. On the classification of topological field theories. Current developments in mathematics, 2008:129–280, 2009.
- [32] S. Mac Lane. Categories for the working mathematician, volume 5. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [33] P. Martin. On diagram categories, representation theory and. In Noncommutative Rings, Group Rings, Diagram Algebras and Their Applications: International Conference, December 18-22, 2006, University of Madras, Chennai, India, volume 456, page 99. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [34] P. P. Martin. Potts models and related problems in statistical mechanics, volume 5. World Scientific, 1991.
- [35] J. F. Martins, P. P. Martin, and F. Torzewska. Topological quantum field theories for homotopy cobordisms. in progress.
- [36] J. F. Martins and T. Porter. On Yetter’s invariant and an extension of the Dijkgraaf-Witten invariant to categorical groups. Theor. Appl. Categor., 18:118–150, 2007.
- [37] J. P. May. A concise course in algebraic topology. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1999.
- [38] B. McCanna and H. M. Price. Superfluid vortices in four spatial dimensions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.07485, 2020.
- [39] J. Munkres. Topology Second Edition. Prentice Hall, 2000.
- [40] H. M. Price. Four-dimensional topological lattices through connectivity. Physical Review B, 101(20):205141, 2020.
- [41] Y. Qiu and Z. Wang. Representations of motion groups of links via dimension reduction of tqfts. Communications in Mathematical Physics, pages 1–30, 2021.
- [42] F. Quinn. Lectures on axiomatic topological field theory. Geometry and Quantum Field Theory, 1, 1992.
- [43] E. Riehl. Category theory in context. Courier Dover Publications, 2017.
- [44] E. Rowell and Z. Wang. Mathematics of topological quantum computing. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 55(2):183–238, 2018.

- [45] T. tom Dieck. Algebraic topology, volume 8. European Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [46] K. Walker and Z. Wang. $(3+1)$ -TQFTs and topological insulators. Frontiers of Physics, 7(2):150–159, 2012.
- [47] Z. Wang. Topological quantum computation. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [48] D. N. Yetter. Homologically Twisted Invariants Related to $(2+1)$ - and $(3+1)$ -Dimensional State-Sum Topological Quantum Field Theories. arXiv e-prints, pages hep-th/9311082, Nov. 1993.