

# SMOOTH COVERS OF MODULI STACKS OF RIEMANN SURFACES WITH SYMMETRY

FABIO PERRONI

*Dedicated to Fabrizio Catanese on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. We construct explicitly a finite cover of the moduli stack of compact Riemann surfaces with a given group of symmetries by a smooth quasi-projective variety.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Moduli spaces of curves with symmetry	2
2.1. On the homology of $M_g(G)$	5
3. Level structures and Teichmüller structures	6
3.1. Level structures and Teichmüller structures	7
4. Smooth covers of $\mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau)$	8
References	10

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a finite group  $G$ , the locus  $M_g(G)$  (in the moduli space  $M_g$ ) of curves that have an effective action by  $G$  plays an important role in the study of the geometry of  $M_g$  (for example its singularities [Cor87], [Cat12]), in the study of Shimura varieties (see e.g. [FPP16], [MZ18], [CFGP19] and the references therein), of totally geodesic subvarieties of  $M_g$  [EMMW20], and also in the classification of higher dimensional varieties (see e.g. [Cat15], [FG16], [Cat17], [LLR20]). Similar loci in the moduli space of higher dimensional varieties have been studied in [Li18].

To investigate the geometry of  $M_g(G)$  it is more natural to introduce the moduli stack  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$  of genus  $g$  compact Riemann surfaces with an effective action by  $G$ . Then we can obtain  $M_g(G)$  as the image of a finite morphism  $\mathcal{M}_g(G) \rightarrow M_g$ . In this paper we study some geometric properties of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ . If  $g \geq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$  is a complex orbifold, whose connected components are in bijection with the set  $\mathbb{T}$  of topological types of the  $G$ -actions. For any  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau)$  be the corresponding connected component. It is known that  $\mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau)$  is isomorphic to the stack quotient  $\left[ \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} / C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G)) \right]$ , where  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$  is

the locus of points, in the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}_g$ , that are fixed by  $\tau(G)$ , and  $C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G))$  is the centralizer of  $\tau(G)$  in the mapping class group  $\Gamma_g$ . Using the theory of level structures we define a smooth quasi-projective variety  $Z_\tau$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau) \cong [Z_\tau/\bar{C}_\tau]$ , where  $\bar{C}_\tau$  is a finite group. Hence  $\mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau)$  is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack and  $Z_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau)$  is a finite Galois cover. The disjoint union of the  $Z_\tau$ 's is a finite smooth cover of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ , since  $\mathbb{T}$  is finite (see e.g. [CLP16]). Notice that the existence of a smooth quasi-projective variety  $Z$  and a finite flat morphism  $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(G)$  follows also from [KV04].

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank Fabrizio Catanese for introducing me to the subject of moduli spaces of curves with symmetries, and for teaching me a lot about this. I am grateful to Paola Frediani and Alessandro Ghigi for discussions that motivated the present article.

The research was partially supported by the national projects PRIN 2015EYPTSB-PE1 “Geometria delle varietà algebriche” and 2017SSNZAW 005-PE1 “Moduli Theory and Birational Classification”, by the research group GNSAGA of INDAM and by FRA of the University of Trieste.

## 2. MODULI SPACES OF CURVES WITH SYMMETRY

Throughout the article  $G$  is a finite group and  $g$  is an integer greater or equal than 2. Let  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$  be the stack, in the complex analytic category, whose objects are pairs  $(\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B, \alpha)$ , where  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$  is a family of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  and  $\alpha: G \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is an effective (holomorphic) action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  such that, for any  $a \in G$ ,  $\pi \circ \alpha(a, \_) = \pi$ . A morphism  $(\Phi, \varphi): (\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B, \alpha) \rightarrow (\pi': \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow B', \alpha')$  is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{C}' \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi' \\ B & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B' \end{array}$$

such that, for any  $a \in G$ ,  $\Phi \circ \alpha(a, \_) \circ \Phi^{-1} = \alpha'(a, \_)$ .

Using the Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}_g$ , we are going to define a complex orbifold structure on  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ . Given a compact, connected, oriented topological surface of genus  $g$ ,  $\Sigma_g$ , recall that a Teichmüller structure on a Riemann surface  $C$  is the isotopy class of an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $f: C \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ , it will be denoted with  $[f]$ . Two Riemann surfaces with Teichmüller structures  $(C, [f]), (C', [f'])$  are isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism  $F: C \rightarrow C'$  such that  $[f] = [f' \circ F]$ . We will denote with  $[C, [f]]$  the class of  $(C, [f])$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T}_g$  is the set of isomorphism classes  $[C, [f]]$  of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  with Teichmüller structures. The mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_g$ , is the group of all isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of  $\Sigma_g$ . There is a natural action of  $\Gamma_g$  on  $\mathcal{T}_g$ , given

by

$$[\gamma] \cdot [C, [f]] = [C, [\gamma \circ f]], \quad \forall [\gamma] \in \Gamma_g, [C, [f]] \in \mathcal{T}_g.$$

Furthermore, for any  $[C, [f]] \in \mathcal{T}_g$ , the homomorphism

$$\sigma_{[f]}: \text{Aut}(C) \rightarrow \Gamma_g, \quad \Phi \mapsto [f \circ \Phi \circ f^{-1}]$$

is injective and its image is the stabilizer of  $[C, [f]]$  in  $\Gamma_g$ , that we denote by  $\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}([C, [f]])$ . We collect in the following theorem several results about the Teichmüller space, for a proof and for more details we refer to [AC09], [ACG11].

**Theorem 2.1.**  *$\mathcal{T}_g$  has a natural structure of a complex manifold which is homeomorphic to the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3}$ . The action of  $\Gamma_g$  on  $\mathcal{T}_g$  is holomorphic and properly discontinuous. The map*

$$\mathcal{T}_g \rightarrow M_g, \quad [C, [f]] \mapsto [C],$$

*to the coarse moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  yields an isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_g/\Gamma_g \cong M_g$ .*

*Furthermore there is a universal family of Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  with Teichmüller structure*

$$\eta: \mathcal{X}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be an effective action of  $G$  on  $C$ , viewed as an injective group homomorphism  $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ . Let us choose an orientation preserving homeomorphism  $f: C \rightarrow \Sigma_g$ . Then we have an injective homomorphism

$$(1) \quad \rho := \sigma_{[f]} \circ \alpha: G \rightarrow \Gamma_g$$

such that  $\rho(G) \subset \text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}([C, [f]])$ . Notice that, if  $f': C \rightarrow \Sigma_g$  is another orientation preserving homeomorphism, we obtain a different homomorphism  $\rho': G \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ . However  $[C, [f]]$  and  $[C, [f']]$  belong to the same  $\Gamma_g$ -orbit, so there exists  $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_g$  such that  $[\gamma] \cdot [C, [f]] = [C, [f']]$  and  $\rho' = [\gamma] \cdot \rho \cdot [\gamma]^{-1}$ . This motivates the following definitions (that are already present in the literature, in slightly different forms, see e.g. [CLP16] and the references therein).

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$  be an injective homomorphism. Let  $\rho$  be defined in (1). The *topological type* of the  $G$ -action  $\alpha$  is the class of  $\rho$  in  $\text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g)/\Gamma_g$ , where  $\text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g)$  is the set of injective group homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $\Gamma_g$ ,  $\text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g)/\Gamma_g$  is the quotient under the action of  $\Gamma_g$  by conjugation.

**Definition 2.3.** The *Teichmüller space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  with  $G$ -actions* is the set

$$\mathcal{T}_g(G) = \{([C, [f]], \rho) \in \mathcal{T}_g \times \text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g) \mid \rho(G) \subseteq \text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}([C, [f]])\}.$$

Notice that, if  $\text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_g(G) \neq \emptyset$  by Nielsen realization problem [Ker83] and that it carries the following action by  $\Gamma_g$ :

$$(2) \quad [\gamma] \cdot ([C, [f]], \rho) = ([\gamma] \cdot [C, [f]], [\gamma] \cdot \rho \cdot [\gamma]^{-1}),$$

for  $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_g$  and  $([C, [f]], \rho) \in \mathcal{T}_g(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.**  *$\mathcal{T}_g(G)$  is a complex manifold. Moreover there is an object of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ ,  $(\eta(G): \mathcal{X}_g(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g(G), \alpha)$ , such that the associated classifying morphism  $\mathcal{T}_g(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(G)$  induces an isomorphism*

$$[\mathcal{T}_g(G)/\Gamma_g] \cong \mathcal{M}_g(G),$$

where  $[\mathcal{T}_g(G)/\Gamma_g]$  is the stack quotient associated to the action (2). In particular  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$  has a structure of complex orbifold in the sense of [ALR07], [ACG11, XII, §4.].

*Proof.* It follows directly from Definition 2.3 that

$$\mathcal{T}_g(G) = \bigsqcup_{\rho \in \text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g)} \mathcal{T}_g^{\rho(G)},$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\rho(G)}$  is the locus of points fixed by  $\rho(G)$ . Since  $\rho(G)$  is a finite group,  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\rho(G)}$  is a complex submanifold of  $\mathcal{T}_g$ , so the first claim follows.

For any  $\rho \in \text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g)$ , let  $\eta(\rho): \mathcal{X}_g(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g^{\rho(G)}$  be the restriction of the universal family. There is a natural effective action,  $\alpha(\rho)$ , of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{X}_g(\rho)$  such that  $(\eta(\rho): \mathcal{X}_g(\rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g^{\rho(G)}, \alpha(\rho))$  is an object of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ . Then we define  $(\eta(G): \mathcal{X}_g(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g(G), \alpha)$  as the disjoint union of these objects.

To prove the last statement recall that the objects of  $[\mathcal{T}_g(G)/\Gamma_g]$ , over a base  $B$ , are pairs  $(p: P \rightarrow B, f: P \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g(G))$ , where  $p: P \rightarrow B$  is a principal  $\Gamma_g$ -bundle and  $f$  is a  $\Gamma_g$ -equivariant holomorphic map. Let  $f^*(\eta(G))$  be the pull-back of the family  $\eta(G)$ . The action of  $\Gamma_g$  on  $P$  extends to a free action on  $f^*(\eta(G))$ . So  $f^*(\eta(G))$  descends to a family  $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B$  over  $B$ . By construction there is a  $G$ -action,  $\alpha$ , on  $\mathcal{C}$ , in such a way that  $(\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B, \alpha)$  is an object of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ . Similarly one associates to every arrow of  $[\mathcal{T}_g(G)/\Gamma_g]$  an arrow of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$  obtaining an equivalence of categories.  $\square$

Furthermore we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.5.**  *$\mathcal{M}_g(G)$  is a smooth algebraic Deligne-Mumford stack with quasi-projective coarse moduli space.*

A proof of this theorem will be given in Section 4, where we define a groupoid presentation of  $\mathcal{M}_g(G)$ ,  $X_1 \begin{smallmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{smallmatrix} X_0$ , with  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  smooth quasi-projective algebraic varieties, and such that  $X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(G)$  is finite étale.

**2.1. On the homology of  $M_g(G)$ .** Let  $\mathbb{T} \subset \text{Hom}^{\text{inj}}(G, \Gamma_g)$  be a set of representatives of topological types of  $G$ -actions (see Definition 2.2). Notice that, for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ ,

$$\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}(\tau) = C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G)),$$

where  $C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G))$  is the centralizer of  $\tau(G)$  in  $\Gamma_g$ . Therefore we have an homeomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_g(G)/\Gamma_g \cong \bigsqcup_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}/C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G)).$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ . The moduli space of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  with  $G$ -action of topological type  $\tau$  is defined as  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}/C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G))$  and will be denoted by  $M_g(G, \tau)$ .

We have the following theorem from [Har71], [Cat00] (see also [GDH92] and the references therein).

**Theorem 2.7.**  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$  is bi-holomorphic to  $\mathcal{T}_{g',d}$  where  $g'$  is the genus of  $C/G$ ,  $[C, [f]] \in \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$ , and  $d$  is the number of branch points of the quotient map  $C \rightarrow C/G$ . In particular  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$  is homeomorphic to the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g'-3+d}$ .

Let us give an interpretation of the previous theorem. Given a point  $[C, [f]] \in \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$ , let  $\alpha: \sigma_{[f]}^{-1} \circ \tau: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ . Then  $f: (C, \alpha) \rightarrow (\Sigma_g, f \circ \alpha \circ f^{-1})$  is  $G$ -equivariant, so it yields an orientation-preserving homeomorphism of  $\theta: C'/G \rightarrow \Sigma'_g/G$ , where  $C' \subseteq C$  and  $\Sigma'_g \subseteq \Sigma_g$  are the loci of points with trivial stabilizer. The bi-holomorphism in Theorem 2.7 sends  $[C, [f]]$  to  $[\Sigma'_g/G, [\theta]] \in \mathcal{T}_{g',d}$ .

We describe now the map  $\mathcal{T}_{g',d} \rightarrow M_g(G, \tau)$ , which is the composition of the inverse of the previous one,  $\mathcal{T}_{g',d} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$ , with the quotient  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}/C_{\Gamma_g}(\tau(G))$ . Let  $p: \Sigma_g \rightarrow \Sigma_g/G$  be the quotient map, let  $y \in \Sigma'_g/G$ , and let  $x \in p^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $\mu: \pi_1(\Sigma'_g/G, y) \rightarrow G$  be the monodromy of the covering  $p$  restricted to  $\Sigma'_g$ . For any  $[D', [\theta]] \in \mathcal{T}_{g',d}$  the composition

$$\mu \circ \theta_*: \pi_1(D', \theta^{-1}(y)) \rightarrow G$$

gives a  $G$ -cover  $C' \rightarrow D'$ , hence a point  $[C, \alpha] \in M_g(G, \tau)$ . Then the map  $\mathcal{T}_{g',d} \rightarrow M_g(G, \tau)$  sends  $[D', [\theta]]$  to  $[C, \alpha]$ .

Notice that the class of  $\mu$ ,

$$[\mu] \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma'_g/G, y), G)/G,$$

where  $G$  acts by conjugation, does not depend from the choice of  $y$ , and that  $\Gamma_{g',d}$  acts on  $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma'_g/G, y), G)/G$ .

It follows from this that

$$M_g(G, \tau) \cong \mathcal{T}_{g',d}/\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_{g',d}}([\mu]).$$

In particular the homology of  $M_g(G, \tau)$  with rational coefficients can be computed as the homology of the group  $\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_{g',d}}([\mu])$ :

$$H_n(M_g(G, \tau); \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_n(\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_{g',d}}([\mu]); \mathbb{Q}).$$

Let now  $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{g'}, \beta_{g'}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d)$  be a geometric basis of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g'} \setminus \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}, y)$  (here we follow the notation of [CLP16]). Setting  $a_i = \mu(\alpha_i), b_i = \mu(\beta_i), c_j = \mu(\gamma_j)$ , we obtain an element

$$[(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{g'}, b_{g'}, c_1, \dots, c_d)] \in G^{2g'+d}/G,$$

where  $G$  acts diagonally by conjugation. This yields an injective correspondence between classes  $[\mu]$  of monodromies and elements of  $G^{2g'+d}/G$ .  $\Gamma_{g',d}$  acts on  $G^{2g'+d}/G$  (see e.g. [CLP16, Sec 2.]) and the homology of  $\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_{g',d}}([\mu])$  is isomorphic to the equivariant homology of the orbit of  $[(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{g'}, b_{g'}, c_1, \dots, c_d)]$  under the action of  $\Gamma_{g',d}$ . This motivates the following

**Question 2.8.** [CLP] *Let  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Are there constants  $a, b$  such that the dimension of  $H_n^{\Gamma_{g',d}}(G^{2g'+d}/G; \mathbb{Q})$  is independent of  $g'$ , in the range  $g' > an + b$ ?*

We proved in [CLP16] that the previous question has an affirmative answer in the case where  $n = 0$ .

### 3. LEVEL STRUCTURES AND TEICHMÜLLER STRUCTURES

In this section we recall some basic results and we fix the notation, for the proofs and for more details we refer to [ACG11, Chapter XVI]. Given two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  we denote with  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  the set of homomorphisms from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . Notice that there is an action of  $G_2$  on  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$ , which is induced by the action of  $G_2$  on itself by conjugation  $((g, h) \mapsto g^{-1}hg)$ . An **exterior group homomorphism** from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  is an element of the quotient set  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)/G_2$ . For any  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  we denote with  $\hat{\varphi}$  its class in  $\text{Hom}(G_1, G_2)/G_2$ . We will say that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is an exterior group monomorphism (respectively epimorphism, isomorphism) if  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism (respectively epimorphism, isomorphism).

Let  $X$  be a path connected topological space. For any pair of points  $x, y \in X$  and for any continuous path  $\gamma$  from  $x$  to  $y$ , let  $\varphi_\gamma: \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, y)$  be the isomorphism that sends any  $[c] \in \pi_1(X, x)$  to  $[\gamma^{-1} \cdot c \cdot \gamma]$ , where  $c$  is a loop in  $X$  based at  $x$  and  $[c]$  is its homotopy class.

Let  $H$  be a group. We will identify two exterior homomorphisms  $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), H)/H$  and  $\hat{\beta} \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, y), H)/H$  if  $\hat{\alpha} = \widehat{\beta \circ \varphi_\gamma}$ . Notice that this definition does not depend on the choice of  $\gamma$  and yields an equivalence relation on the disjoint union

$$\bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), H)/H.$$

The class of  $\hat{\alpha}$  will be denoted with  $[\alpha]$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A **Teichmüller structure of level  $H$  on  $X$**  is the class  $[\alpha]$  of an exterior epimorphism  $\hat{\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X, x), H)/H$ , for  $x \in X$ .

Let now  $C$  and  $C'$  be two compact Riemann surfaces. Let  $[\alpha]$  and  $[\alpha']$  be two Teichmüller structures of level  $H$  on  $C$  and  $C'$ , respectively. We say that the pairs  $(C, [\alpha])$  and  $(C', [\alpha'])$  are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism  $F: C \rightarrow C'$  such that  $[\alpha] = [\alpha' \circ F_*]$ , where  $F_*: \pi_1(C, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(C', F(x))$  is the homomorphism induced by  $F$ ,  $\alpha: \pi_1(C, x) \rightarrow H$  and  $\alpha': \pi_1(C', F(x)) \rightarrow H$  are representatives of  $[\alpha]$  and  $[\alpha']$ , respectively. The isomorphism class of  $(C, [\alpha])$  will be denoted  $[C; \alpha]$ . The set of isomorphism classes of compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$  with Teichmüller structures of level  $H$  will be denoted  ${}_H M_g$ . When  $g \geq 2$  it is possible to define a structure of complex analytic space on  ${}_H M_g$ , called the *moduli space of genus  $g$  curves with Teichmüller structure of level  $H$* . We refer to [ACG11] for more details.

**3.1. Level structures and Teichmüller structures.** Let  $[\psi]$  be a Teichmüller structure of level  $H$  on  $\Sigma_g$ . The following map defines a morphism of complex analytic spaces:

$$t_{[\psi]}: \mathcal{T}_g \rightarrow {}_H M_g, \quad [C, [f]] \mapsto [C; \psi \circ f_*],$$

where  $f$  is a representative of  $[f]$  and  $\psi: \pi_1(\Sigma_g, f(x)) \rightarrow H$  is a representative of  $[\psi]$ .

*Remark 3.2.* As explained in [ACG11], the mapping class group  $\Gamma_g$  acts on the set of Teichmüller structures of level  $H$  on  $\Sigma_g$  as follows:  $[\psi] \cdot [\gamma] = [\psi \circ \gamma_*]$ . Moreover every connected component of  ${}_H M_g$  coincides with  $t_{[\psi]}(\mathcal{T}_g)$ , for some  $[\psi]$ , we denote  $t_{[\psi]}(\mathcal{T}_g)$  by  $M_g[\psi]$ . Hence we have the following decomposition,

$${}_H M_g = \coprod_{[\psi] \bmod \Gamma_g} M_g[\psi].$$

Let  $\Lambda_{[\psi]} := \{[\gamma] \in \Gamma_g \mid [\psi] \cdot [\gamma] = [\psi]\}$ . Then

$$M_g[\psi] = \mathcal{T}_g / \Lambda_{[\psi]}.$$

Furthermore, if  $\Lambda_{[\psi]}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$  and  $\Gamma_g[\psi] := \Gamma_g / \Lambda_{[\psi]}$ , then

$$M_g = M_g[\psi] / \Gamma_g[\psi].$$

We report the following result from [ACG11], where a characteristic subgroup of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g, x)$  is a subgroup that is mapped to itself by every automorphism of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g, x)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $\ker(\psi)$  is a characteristic subgroup, then  $\Lambda_{[\psi]}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$ .*

*Proof.* Under our hypotheses any automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  induces an automorphism  $\bar{\gamma}$  of  $H$  such that  $\psi \circ \gamma = \bar{\gamma} \circ \psi$ . This yields a group homomorphism  $\text{Out}^+(\pi_1(\Sigma_g)) \rightarrow \text{Out}(H)$ ,  $[\gamma] \mapsto [\bar{\gamma}]$ , whose kernel is  $\Lambda_{[\psi]}$ , under the identification of  $\text{Out}^+(\pi_1(\Sigma_g))$  with  $\Gamma_g$ .  $\square$

In the case where  $\ker(\psi)$  is a characteristic subgroup we can describe the action of  $\Gamma_g$  on  $M_g[\psi]$  as follows ([ACG11]).

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\ker(\psi)$  be a characteristic subgroup of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ . Then  $\Gamma_g$  acts on  $M_g[\psi]$  as follows:*

$$[\gamma] \cdot [C; \alpha] = [C; \bar{\gamma} \circ \alpha],$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the automorphism of  $H$  defined in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Let now  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  and let  $\chi_m: \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$  be the composition of the natural morphism  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z})$  with the reduction modulo  $m$ . Then  $H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$  is a strongly characteristic quotient of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  (i.e. there is only one subgroup  $K$  of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  such that  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)/K$  is isomorphic to  $H_1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ ), in particular  $\ker(\chi_m)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$ . In this situation we use the following notation:

$$M_g[m] := M_g[\chi_m], \quad \Gamma_g[m] := \Gamma_g[\chi_m], \quad \Lambda_{[m]} := \Lambda_{[\chi_m]}, \quad t_{[m]} := t_{[\chi_m]}.$$

Furthermore we have that

$$\Gamma_g[m] \cong \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$$

and moreover  $M_g[m]$  coincides with the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(C, \rho)$ , where  $\rho: H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$  is a symplectic isomorphism, with respect to the intersection form on  $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$  and the standard symplectic form on  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ .

#### 4. SMOOTH COVERS OF $\mathcal{M}_g(G, \tau)$

Let  $\tau: G \rightarrow \Gamma_g$  be an injective homomorphism, we denote with  $C_\tau$  the centralizer of  $\tau(G)$  in  $\Gamma_g$ , and with  $N_\tau$  the normalizer of  $\tau(G)$  in  $\Gamma_g$ . For any subgroup  $H \leq \Gamma_g$ , its image under the quotient morphism  $\Gamma_g \rightarrow \Gamma_g[m] = \Gamma_g/\Lambda_{[m]}$  will be denoted by  $\bar{H}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $m \geq 3$  be an integer and  $Z_\tau := \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}/(\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau)$ . Then  $Z_\tau$  is a complex manifold and, for  $M_g(G, \tau)$  defined in Definition 2.6, the quotient morphism  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} \rightarrow M_g(G, \tau)$  induces a finite morphism*

$$(3) \quad Z_\tau \rightarrow M_g(G, \tau),$$

which gives an isomorphism between  $M_g(G, \tau)$  and  $Z_\tau/\bar{C}_\tau$ .

*Proof.* The first claim follows from the fact that  $\Lambda_{[m]}$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{T}_g$ , since  $m \geq 3$ . To see this, let  $[\gamma] \in \Lambda_{[m]}$  and let  $[C, [f]] \in \mathcal{T}_g$  such that  $[\gamma] \cdot [C, [f]] = [C, [f]]$ . Then  $[\gamma] \in \text{Aut}(C)$ . Let  $\bar{\gamma}_*$  be the

induced automorphism of  $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $[\gamma] \in \Lambda_{[m]}$ ,  $\bar{\gamma}_* = \text{Id}$ , then  $[\gamma] = \text{Id}_C$  ([ACG11, Prop. (2.8), p. 512]).

The last claim follows from the fact that  $\Lambda_{[m]}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$  (Lemma 3.3) and  $\bar{C}_\tau = \frac{C_\tau}{C_\tau \cap \Lambda_{[m]}}$ , so

$$M_g(G, \tau) = \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} / C_\tau = Z_\tau / \bar{C}_\tau.$$

□

Now we prove that  $Z_\tau$  is a quasi-projective algebraic variety. Although this fact can be deduced from [GDH92] or [Cat12], we give here, for completeness, an elementary proof by showing that there is a finite morphism  $Z_\tau \rightarrow (M_g[m])^{\overline{\tau(G)}}$ , where  $(M_g[m])^{\overline{\tau(G)}}$  is the set of points of  $M_g[m]$  fixed by the action of  $\overline{\tau(G)}$ . By a finite morphism of complex analytic spaces we mean a proper morphism with finite fibers.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $t_{[m]}: \mathcal{T}_g \rightarrow M_g[m]$  be the morphism defined in Section 3.1,  $m \geq 3$ . Then the following statements hold true:*

- 1)  $t_{[m]}(\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}) = (M_g[m])^{\overline{\tau(G)}}$ ;
- 2) let  $t_{[m]|}$  be the restriction of  $t_{[m]}$  to  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$ , then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} & \longrightarrow & Z_\tau \\ \downarrow t_{[m]|} & & \downarrow q \\ (M_g[m])^{\overline{\tau(G)}} & \xleftarrow{p} & Z_\tau / \left( \frac{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau}{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau} \right) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrow to the top is the quotient map by  $\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau$ ,  $q$  is the quotient map by  $\frac{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau}{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau}$  and  $p$  sends any equivalence class of the  $(\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau)$ -action to the corresponding equivalence class of the  $\Lambda_{[m]}$ -action (under the identification of  $Z_\tau / \left( \frac{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau}{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau} \right)$  with  $\frac{\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}}{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau}$ );

- 3) the morphism  $p \circ q$  is finite, therefore  $Z_\tau$  has a structure of complex quasi-projective algebraic variety and  $p \circ q$  is algebraic.

*Proof.* 1) Since  $\Lambda_{[m]}$  is normal in  $\Gamma_g$  (Lemma 3.3),  $t_{[m]}$  is  $G$ -equivariant, therefore  $t_{[m]}(\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}) \subseteq (M_g[m])^{\overline{\tau(G)}}$ . On the other hand, for any given  $[C, \chi_m \circ f_*] \in (M_g[m])^{\overline{\tau(G)}}$  and  $[\gamma] \in \tau(G)$ , from the equality

$$[C, \chi_m \circ f_*] = \overline{[\gamma]} \cdot [C, \chi_m \circ f_*]$$

it follows that  $[C, [f]]$  and  $[\gamma] \cdot [C, [f]]$  map to the same point of  $M_g[m]$ . So, if  $[\gamma] \notin \text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}([C, [f]])$ , it would be an element of finite order of  $\Lambda_{[m]}$ , but this contradicts the fact that  $\Lambda_{[m]}$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{T}_g$ .

The statement in 2) follows from the fact that  $M_g[m] = t_{[m]}(\mathcal{T}_g) = \mathcal{T}_g / \Lambda_{[m]}$  and from 1).

To prove 3), we show that  $p \circ q$  is closed and has finite fibers. Let us first show that  $q$  has finite fibers, equivalently that  $\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau$  has finite index in  $\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau$ . Notice that, for  $\tau(G) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{|\tau(G)|}\}$ ,  $C_\tau$  is the stabilizer of  $(h_1, \dots, h_{|\tau(G)|}) \in \prod_{h \in \tau(G)} \tau(G)$  with respect to the action of  $N_\tau$  given by conjugation on each factor. So  $[N_\tau : C_\tau] < \infty$ , hence also  $[\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau : \Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau] < \infty$ . To see that  $p$  has finite fibers, notice that for any  $[C, [\chi_m \circ f_*]] \in (M_g[m])^{\tau(G)}$

$$p^{-1}([C, [\chi_m \circ f_*]]) = \frac{(\Lambda_{[m]} \cdot [C, [f]]) \cap \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}}{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau},$$

and, since  $\Lambda_{[m]}$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$  (see the proof of Proposition 4.1),  $p^{-1}([C, [\chi_m \circ f_*]])$  is in bijection with

$$(4) \quad \frac{\{\lambda \in \Lambda_{[m]} \mid \lambda^{-1} \tau(G) \lambda \subseteq \text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}([C, [f]])\}}{\Lambda_{[m]} \cap N_\tau}.$$

The claim follows since the map from the quotient set in (4) to the set of subgroups of  $\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_g}([C, [f]])$ , induced by  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \tau(G) \lambda$ , is injective. The fact that  $p \circ q$  is closed follows from the fact that, for any closed subset  $A \subseteq \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$ , the union of all  $[\gamma] \cdot A$ ,  $[\gamma] \in \Gamma_g$ , is closed in  $\mathcal{T}_g$  (see e.g. [GDH92], proof of Theorem 1). Finally,  $Z_\tau$  and  $p \circ q$  are algebraic by the Generalized Riemann Existence Theorem of Grauert-Remmert [GR58] (see [Har77, Theorem 3.2, Appendix B]),  $Z_\tau$  is quasi-projective since  $(M_g[m])^{\tau(G)}$  is so.  $\square$

*Proof of Thm. 2.5* From the proof of Proposition 2.4 we have that

$$\mathcal{M}_g(G) = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} [\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} / C_\tau],$$

where we use the notation of Section 2.1. Since  $\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)}$  the stack quotient  $[\mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} / (\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau)]$  is represented by the variety  $Z_\tau = \mathcal{T}_g^{\tau(G)} / (\Lambda_{[m]} \cap C_\tau)$ , hence

$$\mathcal{M}_g(G) = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}} [Z_\tau / \bar{C}_\tau].$$

The claim follows from the fact that the stack quotient of the algebraic variety  $Z_\tau$  (Proposition 4.1) by the finite group  $\bar{C}_\tau$  is a Deligne-Mumford stack (see e.g. [LMB00, (4.6.1)]).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AC09] Enrico Arbarello and Maurizio Cornalba, *Teichmüller space via Kuranishi families*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **8** (2009), no. 1, 89–116. MR 2512202

- [ACG11] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, and Phillip A. Griffiths, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Volume II*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 268, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [ALR07] Alejandro Adem, Johann Leida, and Yongbin Ruan, *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Cat00] Fabrizio Catanese, *Fibred surfaces, varieties isogenous to a product and related moduli spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000).
- [Cat12] ———, *Irreducibility of the space of cyclic covers of algebraic curves of fixed numerical type and the irreducible components of  $\text{Sing}(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_g)$* , Advances in geometric analysis, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), vol. 21, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012, pp. 281–306.
- [Cat15] F. Catanese, *Topological methods in moduli theory*, Bull. Math. Sci. **5** (2015).
- [Cat17] Fabrizio Catanese, *Kodaira fibrations and beyond: methods for moduli theory*, Jpn. J. Math. **12** (2017), no. 2, 91–174.
- [CFGFP19] Elisabetta Colombo, Paola Frediani, Alessandro Ghigi, and Matteo Penegini, *Shimura curves in the Prym locus*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **21** (2019), no. 2.
- [CLP] Fabrizio Catanese, Michael Lönne, and Fabio Perroni, *Homological stability for moduli spaces of curves with symmetries*, (work in progress).
- [CLP16] ———, *Genus stabilization for the components of moduli spaces of curves with symmetries*, Algebr. Geom. **3** (2016), no. 1, 23–49.
- [Cor87] Maurizio Cornalba, *On the locus of curves with automorphisms*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **149** (1987).
- [EMMW20] Alex Eskin, Curtis T. McMullen, Ronen E. Mukamel, and Alex Wright, *Billiards, quadrilaterals, and moduli spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (2020), no. 4.
- [FG16] Davide Frapporti and Christian Gleißner, *On threefolds isogenous to a product of curves*, J. Algebra **465** (2016).
- [FPP16] Paola Frediani, Matteo Penegini, and Paola Porru, *Shimura varieties in the Torelli locus via Galois coverings of elliptic curves*, Geom. Dedicata **181** (2016).
- [GDH92] G. González Díez and W. J. Harvey, *Moduli of Riemann surfaces with symmetry*, Discrete groups and geometry (Birmingham, 1991), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 173, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992, pp. 75–93.
- [GR58] Hans Grauert and Reinhold Remmert, *Komplexe Räume*, Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 245–318.
- [Har71] W. J. Harvey, *On branch loci in Teichmüller space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 387–399.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., no. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [Ker83] Steven P. Kerckhoff, *The Nielsen realization problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 235–265.
- [KV04] Andrew Kresch and Angelo Vistoli, *On coverings of Deligne-Mumford stacks and surjectivity of the Brauer map*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36** (2004), no. 2, 188–192.
- [Li18] Binru Li, *G-marked moduli spaces*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **20** (2018), no. 6.

- [LLR20] Ju A Lee, Michael Lönne, and Sönke Rollenske, *Double Kodaira fibrations with small signature*, Internat. J. Math. **31** (2020), no. 7.
- [LMB00] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [MZ18] Abolfazl Mohajer and Kang Zuo, *On Shimura subvarieties generated by families of abelian covers of  $\mathbb{P}^1$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), no. 4.

(F. Perroni) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E GEOSCIENZE, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TRIESTE, VIA VALERIO 12/1, 34127 TRIESTE, ITALY  
*Email address:* fperroni@units.it