

# Analytic integration methods in quantum field theory: an Introduction \*

Johannes Blümlein

**Abstract** A survey is given on the present status of analytic calculation methods and the mathematical structures of zero- and single scale Feynman amplitudes which emerge in higher order perturbative calculations in the Standard Model of elementary particles, its extensions and associated model field theories, including effective field theories of different kind.

## 1 Introduction

Analytic precision calculations for the observables in renormalizable quantum field theories have developed during the last 70 years significantly. These methods have helped to put the Standard Model of elementary particles to tests of an unprecedented accuracy, requested by the scientific method [1]. Present and future high luminosity experiments [2,3] will demand even higher precision predictions at the theory side. This goes along with mastering large sets of analytic data by methods of computer algebra and special mathematical methods to perform the corresponding integrals analytically.

Here analytic integration is understood as antidifferentiation. In this context the first question going to arise is: which is the space to represent a certain class of integrals in an irreducible manner. As history showed, this question is usually answered in an iterative way. Often not all the existing relations in a given class of functions can be revealed right from the beginning. It is even so that in some cases it remained unclear over many decades whether all relations are already found or not. One example for this are the

---

\* Contribution to the Volume “Antidifferentiation and the Calculation of Feynman Amplitudes”, Springer, Berlin, 2021.

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, DESY, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany, e-mail: Johannes.Bluemlein@desy.de

multiple zeta values [4]. The development of physics applications is of course not stopped by this, as also partial solutions are of great help in reducing the large complexity to be dealt with. The application of quite different techniques has often to be combined to finally tackle these cutting edge problems. Moreover, it is this process which delivers new insights and is able to produce even more refined technologies.

The problem of analytic integration of Feynman diagrams is nowadays a field of research requesting to join fundamental ideas from theoretical physics, computer algebra and computing technology, as well as of a growing number of fields in pure mathematics. The topics are so challenging that the experts in all these different fields are attracted by them in the solution of the different problems.

The present interdisciplinary workshop, organized by the Wolfgang-Pauli Center, arose from the idea to get scientists working in the field of Quantum Field Theory, computer algebra and pure mathematics together to review the status of the analytic solution of Feynman integrals reached and to prepare for further developments.

The topics of the workshop included both techniques to reduce the number of Feynman diagrams by physical relations, such as the integration by parts relations [5–7] as well as the mathematical methods to compute these integrals analytically. The latter include the method of generalized hypergeometric functions [8] and the general theory of contiguous relations [9], the methods of integer relations [10], guessing methods of one-dimensional quantities, hyperlogarithms [11], the solution of master-integrals using difference and differential equations [12–15], Risch algorithms on nested integrals and rationalization algorithms [16], holonomic integration [17], the multivalued Almkvist-Zeilberger algorithm [18], expansion by regions [19], elliptic integrals and related topics [20,21], cutting techniques [22], and special multi-leg applications [23–25]. In different precision calculations these technologies are applied.<sup>2</sup>

In this paper we give a brief introduction into the topic<sup>3</sup>, covering the main steps in multi-loop perturbative calculations in Section 2. Then we turn to the different symbolic integration techniques of Feynman parameter integrals in Section 3 and describe the associated function spaces in Section 4. All these technologies serve the purpose to reach a higher theoretical precision for many observables in Quantum Field Theory to cope with the experimental precision data and to either confirm the Standard Model of elementary particles to higher accuracy or to find signals of new physics. Some aspects of this are discussed in Section 5 and Section 6 contains the conclusions.

---

<sup>2</sup> For a summary on recent massless calculations, see [26].

<sup>3</sup> For other recent surveys on integration methods for Feynman integrals see [27–32].

## 2 Principle computation steps for Feynman diagrams

In any large scale calculation there is the need to generate the Feynman diagrams in an automated way. One of the important packages to provide this is `QGRAF` [33], for which the corresponding physics file containing the Feynman rules has to be provided. Necessary group-theoretic calculations, as e.g. the color algebra in QCD, can be carried out using the package `Color` [34]. Finally, there is the necessity to perform the Dirac- and Lorentz-algebra, in an efficient manner, which is provided by `Form` [35].

Next, the integration by parts reduction [36] has to be performed. For many processes up to three loops in QCD the Laporta algorithm [37] in its different implementations [5, 38–43] is sufficient. At even higher orders the complexity becomes larger and larger and it is necessary to combine different methods or to devise algorithms tailored to the particular problem to be solved [5–7]. There will be certainly more developments in this field in the future.

After this reduction one obtains the master integrals, which represent the quantity to be finally calculated, and it has to be decided in which way the computation shall be put forward. One way, if it can be pursued, is to calculate the individual master integrals to the necessary depth in the dimensional parameter  $\varepsilon = D - 4$ , with  $D$  the dimension of the space-time using the analytic calculation technologies described in Section 3. At lower orders in the coupling constant up to moderate complexity in the involved mass scales this is possible. It may even be that a single technology, like the calculation of the master integrals by solving the associated differential equations provides the full solution.

However, in particular in massive calculations it is possible, that the master integrals contain elliptic parts at 3-loop order, but the quantity to be calculated is known to be free of these contributions. In such a case one may use the method of arbitrarily high Mellin moments for single scale quantities [44] to express the moments of the master integrals. Here the elliptic structures are fully encoded in just rational coefficients. One forms the observable to be calculated which are given as series of Mellin moments weighted by  $\zeta$ - and color factors and here the elliptic or hyperelliptic contributions cancel. The method of guessing [45, 46] will then enable one to find the recurrence relation for the complete result. This method requires a large number of moments, which, however, can be algorithmically provided [44]. Recent applications are [47–50]. The solution of the recurrences provided by guessing is using difference ring theory [51] implemented in the package `Sigma` [52, 53] in the case that the recurrences factorize to first order. Other cases are discussed in Sections 3 and 4.

Let us now turn to the specific antidifferentiation methods for Feynman integrals.

### 3 Symbolic Integration of Feynman Parameter Integrals

Most of the analytic methods described in this section have more general applications than just to be used for the evaluation of Feynman integrals and were developed even without knowing of this particular application. Still the challenges to integrate also involved Feynman diagrams have refined many of these methods significantly. None of these methods is universal and it is often an appropriate combination of these methods leading to optimal solutions of a project at hand, w.r.t. the necessary computational requests such as memory, storage and computational time in the case of the high end calculations.

Many of the problems can be cast into discrete formulations, allowing to make use of methods of difference ring theory. Here the packages `Sigma` [52, 53], `EvaluateMultiSums` and `SumProduction` [54–56], see also [57], can be used.

In the following we describe the PSLQ method for zero-dimensional quantities, hypergeometric functions and their generalizations, analytic solutions using Mellin–Barnes integrals, hyperlogarithms, guessing techniques, the method of difference and differential equations, and the Almkvist-Zeilberger algorithm.

#### 3.1 PSLQ: zero-dimensional integrals

In expanding perturbatively in the coupling constant several physical quantities are zero-dimensional, i.e. they can be represented by numbers only. A recent example consists in the QCD  $\beta$ -function, now known to 5-loop order [58]. The respective expressions are given by the color factors of the gauge group, rational terms and special numbers, as e.g. multiple zeta values [4, 59]. If one knows the potential pool of all the contributing special numbers one may try to determine the rational coefficients of the whole problem by providing enough numerical digits for the corresponding quantity. One method to obtain such an experimental result is PSLQ [60].

One example is given by determining the integral

$$I_1 = \int_0^1 dx \frac{\text{Li}_2(x)}{1+x} \approx 0.3888958461681063290997435080476931009885, \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{Li}_2(x)$  denotes the classical dilogarithm [61].  $I_1$  is a weight  $w = 3$  multiple zeta value [4] for which the basis is known. It is spanned by

$$\{\ln^3(2), \zeta_2 \ln(2), \zeta_3\}. \quad (2)$$

PSLQ delivers the following representation

$$I_1 = \ln^3(2) - \frac{5}{8}\zeta_3, \quad (3)$$

which is also obtained by a direct analytic calculation. In any case it is important to have enough digits available. If a result has been obtained it should be verified by an even larger number of digits. The results usually remain experimental. In many complex applications it is difficult to proof the result analytically. Advanced applications of these and similar methods are discussed in [10].

### 3.2 Generalized hypergeometric functions and their extensions

The integrands of multi-dimensional Feynman parameter integrals are hyperexponential, i.e. given by products of multivariate polynomial expressions raised to real powers, implied by the dimensional parameter  $\varepsilon$ . These type of functions correspond to the integrands defining the (generalized) hypergeometric functions [62–64] and their generalizations such as the Appell-, Kampe-De-Feriet- and related functions [65–76]. The advantage of these integral representations is that they usually have a lower dimensional series representation compared to their integral representations and a part of the original integrals can be performed in this way. The simplest function is Euler's Beta-function implying the series of  ${}_{p+1}F_p$  functions

$$B(a_1, a_2) = \int_0^1 dt t^{a_1-1} (1-t)^{a_2-1} \quad (4)$$

$${}_3F_2(a_1, a_2, a_3; b_1, b_2; x) = \frac{\Gamma(b_2)}{\Gamma(a_3)\Gamma(b_2-a_3)} \int_0^1 dt t^{a_3-1} (1-t)^{-a_3+b_2-1} \times {}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1; tx). \quad (5)$$

Up to the level of the massless and massive two-loop calculations for single-scale quantities in QCD these representations are usually sufficient [77–80]. In the case of three-loop ladder graphs also Appell-functions [81, 82] contribute. A survey on the status of this method has been given in [8]. In relating the different special functions of this kind contiguous relations play an essential role, which has been discussed in [9] in detail. One ends up with a series of infinite sum representations, for which the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion is performed. These sums have to be further dealt with by using summation methods, cf. Section 3.6.

### 3.3 The analytic Mellin–Barnes technique

Only the simpler hyperexponential integrands can be represented by the higher transcendental functions described in Section 3.2. One major problem to proceed are the structures of some of the hyperexponential factors, for which the contributing variables cannot be cast into a form required in the previous case. Here the use of Mellin–Barnes integrals [83, 84] is of help, which are defined by

$$\frac{1}{(a+b)^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz \Gamma(\alpha+z) \Gamma(-z) \frac{b^z}{a^{\alpha+z}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0, \quad (6)$$

cf. e.g. [85]. Here the contour integral is understood to be either closed to the left or the right surrounding the corresponding singularities. Note that also the functions in Section 3.2 have representations in terms of Pochhammer–Umlauf integrals [62, 86, 87] and therefore Mellin–Barnes integral representations. The different Mellin–Barnes integrals can be turned into a number of infinite series by the residue theorem, leading to nested sums to be dealt with further by the summation technologies implemented in the package `Sigma` [52, 53]. Here it is not a priori clear that all the sums can be solved, which will turn out by working through the algorithm. One is advised therefore not to use the Mellin–Barnes splitting of the integrands extensively, although being possible [88]. On the other hand, one may apply the packages for Mellin–Barnes integrals [89–92] to obtain numerical results for comparisons, to check the final analytic results. One reason that a summation problem cannot be solved completely is related to the fact, that the associated recurrences are not first order factorizing and other technologies have to be applied. Mellin–Barnes integrals do significantly extend the methods described in Section 3.2 and may lead to new higher transcendental functions not known yet in the literature.

### 3.4 Hyperlogarithms

The idea behind the method of hyperlogarithms is that for certain multivariate Feynman parameter integrals an order of integrations can be found in which the respective parameters to be integrated over always occur in linear form (Fubini sequence) [93]. In this way the corresponding integrals are cast into iterative Kummer–Poincaré integrals [94–98]. Originally the method could only be applied to non-singular integrals in the dimensional parameter  $\varepsilon$  and an extension has been worked out in [99] to the singular case. An implementation of the algorithm has been given in [100]. The method has first been applied to massless Feynman integrals. A generalization for massive integrals,

also containing local operator insertions, has been given in [101,102], where multi-linearity is broken in part, still yielding analytic results. The method is interesting but of limited use, since it applies to structurally simple cases only and requires more than just first order factorization of the associated differential equations or the related nested sum representations, through the application of which much more general cases can be solved.

### 3.5 The method of guessing

The integral transform

$$\mathbf{M}[f(x)](N) = \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} f(x) \quad (7)$$

defines the Mellin transform, which will often appear in the following. If singlevariate multiple Feynman parameter integrals  $f(x)$  in QCD processes are viewed in terms of their Mellin moments  $\{a(N)|_{N=1}^{\infty}\}$  for fixed values of  $N$ , one obtains series of rational numbers weighted by color factors and multiple zeta values or other special numbers, cf. [103–106]. It turns out in very many practical cases that the general  $N$  solution generating the individual moments  $a(N)$  obey recursion relations. This is the case e.g. for (massive) operator matrix elements [107] but also for single-scale Wilson coefficients, Ref. [108].

One would like now to determine this recurrence on the basis of a (large) number of these moments algorithmically. The corresponding algorithms are called guessing methods [46], which are also available in `Sage` [109], exploiting the fast integer algorithms available there. The method returns the wanted difference equation, and tests it by a larger series of further moments. This method has been applied in Ref. [45] to obtain from more than 5000 moments the massless unpolarized three-loop anomalous dimensions and Wilson coefficients in deep-inelastic scattering [108, 110]. More recently, the method has been applied *ab initio* in the calculation of three-loop splitting functions [47, 49] and the massive two- and three-loop form factor [111, 112]. The largest systems solved in this context were massive operator matrix elements needing  $\sim 8000$  moments [48] to derive the corresponding recurrences.

One then tries to solve these recurrences with the package `Sigma` [52, 53], which will either find the solution or does at least factor off all the first order factors, separating the remaining part to be solved using other techniques. The large number of moments needed is generated using the method described in Ref. [44]. This algorithm will play a central role in many upcoming calculations in the singlevariate case.

Other algorithms such as `Mincer` [113], `MATAD` [114] or `Q2E` [115, 116] do also provide Mellin moments. However, the number of moments which can be

obtained with these formalisms is rather low. Still these packages play a very essential role in higher order calculations, since they provide independent tests and they are used both for predicting intermediary and final results for indispensable comparisons.

### 3.6 Difference equations and summation methods

Many of the problems occurring in analytic Feynman integral calculation can be mapped to summation problems and the solution of difference equations. Infinite and finite sums appear in binomial and Mellin–Barnes decompositions and also in the expansion of Pochhammer symbols depending on the dimensional parameter  $\varepsilon$  into the associated Laurent series. Moreover, ordinary differential equations in a variable  $x$  can be transformed into recursions in the variable  $N$  by a Mellin transform [117]. Furthermore, structures in  $x$ -space can be expanded into formal Taylor series, the  $N$ th coefficient of which,  $a(N)$ , also obeys a certain recurrence.

Nested sums over hypergeometric terms  $h(k)$ , with  $h(k+1)/h(k) \in \mathbb{Q}(k)$ , will form the basis of the summation problems we briefly consider in the following. One first considers finite sums, i.e. those terminating at an upper integer for all summation quantifiers. The corresponding sums are then cast into the form

$$S_{b,\mathbf{a}}(N; \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{k=1}^N h_b(k; \mathbf{c}) S_{\mathbf{a}}(k; \mathbf{c}). \quad (8)$$

Here  $\{\mathbf{c}\}$  denotes a finite set of constants, which has to be added to the ground field of the difference ring. These are also given by certain physical parameters in multi-scale processes. Infinite sums can be dealt with by considering limiting procedures implemented in the package `HarmonicSums` [118–126]. In solving a summation problem at hand the associated sum-algebra is built and the corresponding sums appearing in the final result are simplified accordingly.

All summation problems which lead to first order factorizing recurrences can be solved using difference ring theory [51] implemented in the package `Sigma` [52, 53]. This concerns a rather wide class of cases. By the systematic use of these techniques, harmonic sums [118, 119] generalized harmonic sums [120], cyclotomic harmonic sums [121], and finite binomial and inverse-binomial sums [122] can be dealt with. A part of these function spaces has been found and systematically explored by these techniques. Recent developments in this field are summarized in Ref. [12].

These methods also apply to cases, which are not factorizing to first order, as e.g. in Ref. [48]. Here all first order factors are separated from a remainder non-factorizing recurrence. The latter one can be further dealt with using different techniques.

### 3.7 Differential equations

The IBP-relations [36] do naturally imply systems of differential equations for the master integrals. In the case of single-scale quantities these are systems of ordinary differential equations, Eq. (9), which have to be solved, providing the necessary boundary conditions. Early investigations following this approach were [127–130].

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \dots & A_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ \vdots \\ g_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

One way to solve the system (9) consists in decoupling it using the methods of [131, 132] encoded in `Oresys` [133]. In this way one obtains one scalar differential equation of higher order

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}(x) \frac{d^{n-k}}{dx^{n-k}} f_1(x) = \bar{g}(x), \quad (10)$$

with  $p_n \neq 0$ , and  $(n-1)$  equations for the remaining solutions, which are fully determined by the solution  $f_1(x)$ . One also may transform Eq. (9) into Mellin space, decouple there and solve using the efficient methods of the package `Sigma`, cf. Ref. [82].

In the case of first order factorization the decoupled differential operator of (10) can be written in form of a combination of iterative integrals, cf. Section 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \gamma_k g_k(x), \quad \gamma_k \in \mathbb{C}, & (11) \\ g_k(x) &= h_0(x) \int_0^x dy_1 h_1(y_1) \int_0^{y_1} dy_2 h_2(y_2) \dots \int_0^{y_{k-2}} dy_{k-1} h_{k-1}(y_{k-1}) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{y_{k-1}} dy_k q_k(y_k), & (12) \end{aligned}$$

with  $q_k(x) = 0$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . Further,  $\gamma_{m+1} = 0$  if  $\bar{g}(x) = 0$  in (10), and  $\gamma_{m+1} = 1$  and  $q_{m+1}(x)$  being a mild variation of  $\bar{g}(x)$  if  $\bar{g}(x) \neq 0$ .

One obtains d'Alembertian solutions [134] since the master integrals appearing in quantum field theories obey differential equations with rational coefficients, the letters  $h_i$ , which constitute the iterative integrals, have to be hyperexponential and the solution can be computed using the package `HarmonicSums`. Liouvillian solutions [135] can also be calculated with `HarmonicSums` utilizing Kovacic's algorithm [136]. This algorithm has been applied in various massive three-loop calculations so far, cf. [48, 82, 137].

A solution algorithm for first order systems has also been presented in [111]. In these algorithms no specific choice of a basis is necessary and the different contributions in the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion are obtained straightforwardly.

In the multivariate case, the so-called  $\varepsilon$ -representation of a linear system of partial differential equations

$$\partial_m f(\varepsilon, x_n) = A_m(\varepsilon, x_n) f(\varepsilon, x_n) \quad (13)$$

is important, as has been recognized in Refs. [138, 139], see also [140]. The matrices  $A_n$  can now be transformed in the non-Abelian case by

$$A'_m = B^{-1} A_m B - B^{-1} (\partial_m B), \quad (14)$$

as well-known [141, 142]. One then intends to find a matrix  $B$  to transform (13) into the form

$$\partial_m f(\varepsilon, x_n) = \varepsilon A_m(x_n) f(\varepsilon, x_n), \quad (15)$$

if possible. This then yields solutions in terms of iterative integrals. Here a formalism for the basis change to the  $\varepsilon$ -basis has been proposed in [143] and implemented in the singlevariate case in [144, 145] and in the multivariate case in [146]. All these methods apply only if the systems are first order factorizable.

In the solution of differential equations it is often important to rationalize roots as much as possible [16, 147, 148]. The corresponding algorithms are important for the derivation of iterated root-valued integrals also in the multivariate case [149, 150]. The corresponding structures do then allow expansions in small parameters to obtain even more compact analytic results, since normally the iterated integrals with various involved root-valued letters turn out to form very large expressions. Recent developments have been summarized in [16]. General aspects on the solution of differential equation systems were summarized in Ref. [14], while aspects of differential Galois theory were discussed in [13]. In the solution of ordinary differential equations emerging in the context of Feynman diagrams holonomic integration often provides a powerful tool, see. [17].

### 3.8 Multivalued Almkvist-Zeilberger algorithm

Singlevariate Feynman parameter integrals  $I(x, \varepsilon)$  are integrals over  $\{x_i|_{i=1}^n\} \in [0, 1]^n$  with one more free parameter  $x \in [0, 1]$  and the dimensional parameter  $\varepsilon$ . A Mellin transform leads to the function  $\hat{I}(N, \varepsilon)$ . The Almkvist-Zeilberger algorithm [151, 152] provides a method to either find an associated differential equation for  $I(x)$  or a difference equation for  $I(N)$ , the coefficients of which are either polynomials in  $\{x, \varepsilon\}$  or  $\{N, \varepsilon\}$ ,

$$\sum_{l=0}^m P_l(x, \varepsilon) \frac{d^l}{dx^l} I(x, \varepsilon) = N(x, \varepsilon) \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^m R_l(N, \varepsilon) I(N + l, \varepsilon) = M(N, \varepsilon). \quad (17)$$

Both equations may be inhomogeneous, where the inhomogeneities emerge as known functions from lower order problems. An optimized and improved algorithm for the input class of Feynman integrals has been implemented in the `MultiIntegrate` package [82, 125]. It can either produce homogeneous equations of the form (16,17) or equations with an inhomogeneity formed out of already known functions. The method extends successively the structural form of the difference or differential equation for the functions  $\hat{I}$  or  $I$  unless a solution is found.

This algorithm is of great use in specific cases in which either direct summation problems or the solution of associated differential equations becomes too voluminous or in the case of very long computation times. Like also in the case of guessing the corresponding recurrences turn out to be well homogenized, which makes their solution easier.

## 4 The Function Spaces

The solution of the different massless and massive higher loop calculations for zero-, single-, and multiscale problems induce specific function spaces, which also form algebras. These structures have been revealed in more detail after 1997 along with performing more and more involved computations. Before it has been known that specific numbers play a role in Feynman integral calculations, see e.g. [153], and in the one-dimensional case Nielsen integrals [154], generalizing the classical polylogarithms [61], were in use.

The first generalizations of these functions led to nested sum structures on the one hand [118, 119], and iterative integrals over certain alphabets on the other hand [126]. These structures do also apply to not too involved multiscale problems. Iterative non-iterative integrals occurred with the advent of complete elliptic integrals in letters, or more generally higher transcendental functions for whose integral representation the variable to be integrated over cannot be transformed in one of the integration boundaries only. Synonymous objects appear in the associated sums.

In the following we describe the hierarchies of spaces for iterated integrals and nested sums, which contribute in Feynman diagram calculations. Beyond these structures there are also problems leading to non first order factorizable recurrences and differential operators. In all calculations special numbers oc-

cur, which have representations by iterated integrals at  $x = 1$  or through nested sums in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Even others appear in the context of the quantities discussed in Section 4.4. Finally, we will also discuss numerical representations of all these functions, including the analytic continuation of nested sums to  $N \in \mathbb{C}$ .

## 4.1 Nested Sums

Considering the singlevariate case sum representations have the form given in Eq. (8). Very often finite sums of another type have first to be brought into this representation using the algorithms encode in the package `Sigma` [52, 53]. Furthermore, also infinite sums have to be handled, which are usually considered as the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  of the associated finite sums.

The sums obey quasi–shuffle relations [155, 156], see Section 4.3. The simplest structures are the finite harmonic sums [118, 119], where  $g_b(k) = (\text{sign}(b))^k/k^{|b|}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . A generalization is obtained in the cyclotomic case [121]. Here the characteristic summands are  $g_{a,b,c}(k) = (\pm 1)^k/(ak + b)^c$ , with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . Further, the generalized harmonic sums have letters of the type  $b^k/k^c$ , with  $c \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, b \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , [120]. A generalization of the last two classes of sums are those generated by the Mellin transform of iterative integrals with letters induced by quadratic forms [157], see Section 4.2. Another generalization are nested finite binomial and inverse–binomial sums, containing also other sums discussed before. An example is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(2i+1)\binom{2i}{i}} \sum_{j=1}^i \binom{2j}{j} \frac{(-1)^j}{j^3} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{(-x)^N - 1}{x+1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x+\frac{1}{4}}} \text{H}_{\mathbf{w}_{14},0,0}^*(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{\text{H}_{-\frac{1}{4},0,0}^*(0)}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dx \frac{\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)^N - 1}{x-4} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x}}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

see [122]. Here the indices  $\mathbf{w}_k$  label specific letters given in [122] and the iterated integrals  $\text{H}^*$  are defined over the support  $[x, 1]$ . Infinite binomial and inverse–binomial sums have been considered in [158, 159]. Given the general structure of (8) many more iterated sums can be envisaged and may still appear in even higher order calculations.

## 4.2 Iterated Integrals

Iterated integrals are of the form

$$H_{b,\mathbf{a}}(x) = \int_0^x dy f_b(y) H_{\mathbf{a}}(y), \quad H_{\emptyset} = 1, f_c \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in [0, 1], \quad (19)$$

where  $f_c$  are real or complex-valued functions and are the letters of the alphabet  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For certain letters regularizations are required since otherwise the corresponding integrals so not exist. This occurs if the letters have poles in  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Iterated integrals obey shuffle relations [156, 160] which allows to represent them over a basis of fewer terms.

The simplest iterative integrals having been considered in quantum field theory are the Nielsen integrals for the two-letter alphabets  $\{1/x, 1/(1-x)\}$  or  $\{1/x, 1/(1+x)\}$  [154], covering also the polylogarithms [61]. This class has later been extended to the harmonic polylogarithms [126] built over the alphabet  $\{1/x, 1/(1-x), 1/(1+x)\}$ .

A further extension is to the real representations of the cyclotomic polylogarithms, with  $\{1/x, 1/\Phi_k(x)\}$  [121], where  $\Phi_k(x)$  denotes the  $k$ th cyclotomic polynomial. Another extension is given by Kummer–Poincaré iterative integrals over the alphabet  $\{1/(x-a_i), a_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , [94–98]. Properties of these functions have been studied in Refs. [120, 161]. In general one may have also more general denominator polynomials  $P(x)$ , which one can factor into

$$P(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (x - a_k) \prod_{l=1}^m (x^2 + b_l x + c_l), \quad a_k, b_l, c_l \in \mathbb{R} \quad (20)$$

in real representations. One then performs partial fractioning for  $1/P(x)$  and forms iterative integrals out of the obtained letters in

$$\mathfrak{A}_R = \left\{ \frac{1}{x - a_i}, \frac{1}{x^2 + b_i x + c_i}, \frac{x}{x^2 + b_i x + c_i} \mid a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}, 4c_i \geq b_i^2 \right\}, \quad (21)$$

cf. [157].

The iterated integrals (19) can be analytically continued from  $x \in [0, 1]$  to the complex plane by observing their respective cuts. For the harmonic polylogarithms this has been described in [162]. For the other cases the corresponding algorithm is implemented in `HarmonicSums`, see also [163].

Further classes are found for square-root valued letters as studied e.g. in Ref. [122]. In multi-scale problems, cf. e.g. [149, 150, 164], further root-valued letters appear, like also the Kummer–elliptic integrals [150], which are iterative integrals, because the elliptic structure is due to incomplete elliptic integrals.

The occurrence of several masses or additional external non-factorizing scales in higher order loop- and phase-space integrals leads in general to rational and root-valued letters with real parameter letters in the contributing alphabet, cf. [149, 150, 164]. In the case of the loop integrals one obtains letters of the kind

$$\frac{1}{1-x(1-\eta)}, \frac{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{\eta+x(1-\eta)}, \sqrt{x(1-\eta(1-x))}, \quad \eta \in [0, 1]. \quad (22)$$

The iterative integrals and constants which appeared in [164,165] could finally be all integrated to harmonic polylogarithms containing complicated arguments, at least up to one remaining integration, which allows their straightforward numerical evaluation.

In the case of phase space integrals with more scales, e.g. [149], also letters contribute, which may imply incomplete elliptic integrals and iterated structures thereof. The integrands could not be rationalized completely by variable transformations, see also [147]. Contributing letters are e.g.

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-k^2x^2}}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-k^2x^2}(k^2(1-x^2(1-z^2))-z^2)}, \quad (23)$$

with  $k, z \in [0, 1]$ . The corresponding iterative integrals are called Kummer-elliptic integrals. They are derived using the techniques described in Refs. [122, 166, 167].

### 4.3 General properties of nested sums and iterated integrals

Iterated integrals obey shuffle relations

$$\begin{aligned} H_{a_1, \dots, a_m}(z) \cdot H_{b_1, \dots, b_n}(z) &= H_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(z) \sqcup H_{b_1, \dots, b_m}(z) \\ &= \sum_{c_i \in \{a_1, \dots, a_m \sqcup b_1, \dots, b_n\}} H_{c_i}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Here the order of the letter sequences of the quantities to be shuffled is preserved. The associated algebras are called shuffle algebras [156,160]. The counting of the basis elements in the respective class [168] may be done by counting its Lyndon words [169] or using the Witt-formulae [170].

Likewise, nested sums over hypergeometric terms form quasi-shuffle or stuffle [59] algebras [155]. The stuffing relations are obtained by [156, 161]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(N) \cdot S_{b_1, \dots, b_m}(N) &= \sum_{l_1=1}^N \frac{\text{sign}(a_1)^{l_1}}{l_1^{|a_1|}} S_{a_2, \dots, a_n}(l_1) \cdot S_{b_1, \dots, b_m}(l_1) \\ &+ \sum_{l_2=1}^N \frac{\text{sign}(b_1)^{l_2}}{l_2^{|b_1|}} S_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(l_2) \cdot S_{b_2, \dots, b_m}(l_2) \\ &- \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{(\text{sign}(a_1)\text{sign}(b_1))^{l_2}}{l^{|a_1|+|b_1|}} S_{a_2, \dots, a_n}(l) \cdot S_{b_2, \dots, b_m}(l) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for harmonic sums and similar for the sums in extended spaces, see [120–122, 124, 171, 172]

These algebraic relations allow to reduced the number of contributing functions already significantly.

In the case of the iterated integrals different classes of mappings of the main argument may be used, which are helpful in many cases. The most important ones are

$$k \cdot z \rightarrow z, \quad k \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad 1 - z \rightarrow z, \quad \frac{1}{z} \rightarrow z, \quad \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \rightarrow z. \quad (26)$$

Depending on the class of iterative integrals to be considered, not all of these relations map inside this class, but can lead to functions in respective extensions. In these cases one just considers the wider space. Iterated integrals also obey the differentiation relation

$$\frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{H}_{b, \mathbf{a}}(z) = f_b(z) \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{a}}(z). \quad (27)$$

Beyond the quasi–shuffle relations, also nested sums obey relations if considering their analytic continuation to  $N \in \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , cf. [120–122, 124, 171, 172]. These relations are called structural relations, cf. [124]. The double- [4] and multiple arguments relations and the differential relations, applied to the associated Mellin transforms, belong to this class. The simplest double argument relation reads

$$S_{n_1, \dots, n_p}(N) = 2^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_p - p} \sum_{\pm} S_{\pm n_1, \dots, \pm n_p}(2N), \quad (28)$$

for the harmonic sums. Examples for the differential relation are

$$\frac{d}{dN} S_k(N) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \psi^{(k)}(N+1) = -k(S_{k+1}(N) - \zeta_{k+1}) \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{d}{dN} S_{-k}(N) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \beta^{(k)}(N+1) = -k \left[ S_{-(k+1)}(N) + \left( 1 - \frac{\zeta_{k+1}}{2^k} \right) \right], \quad (30)$$

with  $\beta(N) = [\psi((N+1)/2) - \psi(N/2)]/2$ . Therefore all single harmonic sums fall into a single equivalence class under differentiation for  $N$ , which is represented by the harmonic sum  $S_1(N)$ . The number of elements in the respective classes after applying the structural relations can also be counted by Witt–like formulae.

#### 4.4 Solutions in the case of non first order factorizable recurrences and differential operators

Non-first order factorizing systems of differential or difference equations for the master integrals, cf. Section 3.6, occur at a certain order in massive Feynman diagram calculations. Well-known examples for this are the sunrise integral, cf. e.g. [173–179], the kite integral [180–182], the three-loop QCD-corrections to the  $\rho$ -parameter [183–185], and the three-loop QCD corrections to the massive operator matrix element  $A_{Qg}$  [48]. In the case of the  $\rho$ -parameter a Heun equation [186] remains after separating the first order factorizing terms. Its solution can be given in terms of  ${}_2F_1$ -functions with a certain rational argument [185,187] and rational parameters. These structures will later turn out not to occur accidentally. Next one may investigate whether these solutions can be expressed in terms of complete elliptic integrals. This can be checked algorithmically using the triangle group [188].

In the examples mentioned one can find representations in terms of complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind,  $\mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$ , cf. [189,190]. Here the question arises whether an argument transformation allows for a representation through only  $\mathbf{K}$ . It turns out that this is not possible in the present case according to the criteria given in [191,192].

The homogeneous solution of the Heun equations are given by  ${}_2F_1$ -solutions  $\psi_k^{(0)}(x)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , at a specific rational argument. However, these integrals cannot be represented such that the variable  $x$  just appears in the boundaries of the integral. The inhomogeneous solution reads

$$\psi(x) = \psi_1^{(0)}(x) \left[ C_1 - \int dx \psi_2^{(0)}(x) \frac{N(x)}{W(x)} \right] + \{1 \rightarrow 2\}, \quad (31)$$

with  $N(x)$  and  $W(x)$  the inhomogeneity and the Wronskian.  $C_{1,2}$  are the integration constants. Through partial integration the ratio  $N(x)/W(x)$  can be transformed into an iterative integral. Since  $\psi_k^{(0)}(x)$  cannot be written as iterative integrals,  $\psi(x)$  is obtained as an *iterative non-iterative integral* [185,193] of the type

$$\mathbb{H}_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}; a_m, F_m(r(y_m)), a_{m+1}, \dots, a_q}(x) = \int_0^x dy_1 f_{a_1}(y_1) \int_0^{y_1} dy_2 \dots \int_0^{y_{m-1}} dy_m f_{a_m}(y_m) F_m[r(y_m)] H_{a_{m+1}, \dots, a_q}(y_m), \quad (32)$$

with  $r(x)$  a rational function and  $F_m$  a *non-iterative integral*. In general, usually more non-iterative integrals will appear in (32).  $F_m$  denotes *any* non-iterative integral, implying a very general representation, cf. [185].<sup>4</sup> In Ref. [195] an  $\varepsilon$ -form for the Feynman diagrams of elliptic cases has been found recently. Here transcendental letters contribute. This is in accordance

<sup>4</sup> This representation has been used in a more specific form also in [194] later.

with our earlier finding, Eq. (32), which, as well is an iterative integral over all objects between the individual iterations and to which now also the non-iterative higher transcendental functions  $F_m[r(y_m)]$  contribute. One may obtain fast convergent representations of  $\mathbb{H}(x)$  by overlapping series expansions around  $x = x_0$  outside possible singularities, see Ref. [185] for details.

Now we return to the elliptic case. Here one may transform the kinematic variable  $x$  occurring as  $\mathbf{K}(k^2) = \mathbf{K}(r(x))$  into the variable  $q = \exp[i\pi\tau]$  analytically with

$$k^2 = r(x) = \frac{\vartheta_2^4(q)}{\vartheta_3^4(q)}, \quad (33)$$

by applying a cubic order Legendre–Jacobi transformation, where  $\vartheta_l, l = 1, \dots, 4$  denote Jacobi’s  $\vartheta$ -functions and  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ . In this way Eq. (31) is rewritten in terms of the new variable. The integrands are given by products of meromorphic modular forms, cf. [196–198], which can be written as a linear combination of ratios of Dedekind’s  $\eta$ -function

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{12}} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2k}). \quad (34)$$

Depending on the largest multiplier  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k_m$ , of  $\tau$  in the argument of the  $\eta$ -function, the solution transforms under the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(k_m)$  and one can perform Fourier expansions in  $q$  around the different cusps of the problem, cf. [199, 200].

For holomorphic modular forms, one obtains representations in Eisenstein series with character, while in the meromorphic case additional  $\eta$ -factors in the denominators are present. In the former case the  $q$ -integrands can be written in terms of elliptic polylogarithms in the representation [177, 178]

$$\text{ELi}_{n,m}(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^n} \frac{y^l}{l^m} q^{kl} \quad (35)$$

and products thereof, cf. [178]. The corresponding  $q$ -integrals can be directly performed. The solution (31) usually appears for single master integrals. Other master integrals are obtained integrating further other letters, so that finally representations by  $\mathbb{H}(x)$  occur. Iterated modular forms, resp. Eisenstein series, have been also discussed recently in [201–203].

Returning to the example of the  $\rho$ -parameter we find that it cannot be represented in terms of elliptic polylogarithms only because of the emergence of the complete elliptic integral  $\mathbf{E}$ , for which the singularity in  $q$  implies Dedekind  $\eta$ -functions appearing in the denominator. These factors have no (known) closed form  $q$ -expansion, cf. [204]. Let us also remark that the corresponding non-iterative solutions are sometimes found mapping first into the non-physical region. In the end one has to perform an analytic continuation

back to the physical case, which requires to have closed form expressions. Recent developments in the field of Feynman integrals and elliptic structures are discussed in Refs. [20, 21].

Let us mention that in some applications also non-factorizable differential equations of 3rd order and higher can occur. The higher the order the less is known about the analytic structure of the solutions in the general case. In the future one will be confronted with these cases and practical solutions for them have to be found, including highly precise numerical representations in the physical cases. This issue is presently under study.

## 4.5 Spaces of special numbers

For the sums of Section 4.1 which are convergent in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and the iterated integrals of Section 4.2 which can be evaluated at  $x = 1$  one obtains two sets of special numbers. They span the solution spaces for zero-scale quantities and appear as boundary values for single-scale problems. Examples for these special numbers are the multiple zeta values [4], associated to the harmonic sums and harmonic polylogarithms, special generalized numbers [120] like  $\text{Li}_2(1/3)$  and  $\text{Li}_k(-1/2)$ , cf. [157], associated to generalized sums and to Kummer–Poincaré iterated integrals, special cyclotomic numbers [121] like Catalan’s number, special binomial numbers [122], as e.g.  $\text{arccot}(\sqrt{7})$ , and special constants in the elliptic case [185, 205]. The latter numbers are given by integrals involving complete elliptic integrals at special rational arguments and related functions. In general these numbers obey more relations than the finite sums and iterated integrals. One may use the PSLQ-method to get a first information on relations between these numbers occurring in a given problem and proof the conjectured relations afterwards.

## 4.6 Numerical representations

Physical observables based on single scale quantities can either be represented in Mellin  $N$ -space or  $x$ -space. Many of these representations are given in terms of either nested sums or iterative integrals. However, there are also contributions due to iterative non-iterative integrals.

Representations in Mellin  $N$ -space allow the exact analytic solution of evolution equations [206] and scheme-invariant evolution equations can be derived in this way [207, 208]. The  $x$ -space representation is then obtained by a single numerical integral around the singularities of the respective quantity for  $N \in \mathbb{C}$ , cf. [206], requiring to know the complex representation of the integrand in  $N$ -space.

In the case of harmonic sums semi-numerical representations were given in [209,210]. Furthermore, it is known that the basic harmonic sums, except of  $S_1(N)$ , which is represented by the Digamma function, and its polynomials, have a representation by factorial series [211,212], which has been used in [124,171] for their asymptotic representation, see also [213].

The asymptotic representation of these quantities is thus given to arbitrary precision and one may use the recurrence relations of these quantities to analytically continue (8) from integer values of  $N$  to  $N \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here it is important to observe the crossing relations for the respective process [214,215] which either implies the analytic continuation from the even or from the odd integers. These steps also apply to the other types of sums which were described in Refs. [120–122,157] analogously, which appear in certain physical problems, cf. [82,101].

In the case that the corresponding relations are not given in tabulated form, they can be calculated using the package `HarmonicSums`. Relations for harmonic sums are also implemented in `summer` [118], and for generalized harmonic sums in `nestedsums` [216], `Xsummer` [217], and `PolyLogTools` [218].

In other applications one may want to work in  $x$ -space directly. Here numerical representations are available for the Nielsen integrals [154], the harmonic polylogarithms [162,163,219,220], the Kummer–Poincaré iterative integrals [163], the cyclotomic harmonic polylogarithms [111], and those implied by quadratic forms [157]. These representations are also useful to lower the number of numerical integrations for more general problems, e.g. in the multivariate case. The relations for the corresponding quantities are implemented for the harmonic polylogarithms in [126,219] and for all iterative integrals mentioned, including general iterative integrals, in the package `HarmonicSums`. Moreover, the packages `summer` [118], the multiple zeta values data mine [4], and `HarmonicSums` also provide extensive lists of special numbers in various tabulated basis representations allowing quick numerical evaluation. Dynamical numerical evaluations are provided by the package described in [163] and by `HarmonicSums` for non tabulated cases.

Finally, we remark that numerical evaluations of a series of elliptic integral solutions were given in Refs. [221,222].

## 5 Precision goals in testing the Standard Model

I would like to finally discuss the application of the mathematical methods described to precision prediction for dedicated observables in Quantum Field Theory, which are measured at high precision both in low energy experiments and at present and future colliders.

At low energies central quantities are  $(g - 2)_{e,\mu}$  [223], for which the  $O(\alpha^5)$  contributions have been computed numerically [224] and the  $O(\alpha^4)$  contributions and parts of  $O(\alpha^5)$  terms have been calculated analytically

[205, 225–229]. For various years there is a discrepancy between the experimental data and the theoretical prediction. For massive calculations also the on-shell renormalization and decoupling constants are important. At present highest loop order they were given in Refs. [230].

In massless QCD the level of 3-loop corrections for the anomalous dimensions and Wilson coefficients has been reached [48, 49, 108, 110]. The corrections to the  $\beta$ -function [58] are available to at five loop order. The heavy flavor corrections to deep-inelastic structure functions reached the level of 3-loop corrections [48, 107, 164, 165, 231] and are on the way to be completed. Furthermore, there are also analytic 3-loop corrections to the inclusive Higgs production rate [232] and the Drell-Yan process [233, 234], while the NNLO corrections for the  $t\bar{t}$ -production in hadronic collisions [235] has been computed numerically, because of the presence of more involved integrals, still to be solved analytically.

All these processes are essential to pin down the accuracy of the parton distribution functions in the region of a clear twist-2 dominance [236], also accounting for jet production cross sections in  $pp \rightarrow Z + \text{jet}$  at NNLO [237, 238] and in  $ep$  two-jet production [239].

The final goal is here the precision measurement of the strong coupling constant  $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$  in a widely unique manner. This can also be achieved using the method of scheme-invariant evolution equations [240] for which the initial conditions are measured directly. Furthermore, one would like to determine at least the charm quark mass,  $m_c$  [241], in a correlated way with the parton densities and  $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$ . Here one wants to reach relative accuracies of the order of 1% and better. It finally may be necessary to study QCD evolution at the level of N<sup>3</sup>LO [242], in particular if one wants to include small  $x$  effects and check the analytic predictions of the BFKL formalism [243].

Facing future colliders such as the FCC\_ee [3] a measurement of the fine structure constant  $\alpha(M_Z^2)$  is possible at very high accuracy by using the forward-backward asymmetry [244] and one needs to know precision predictions on the QED radiative corrections [245]. This measurement may yield an independent access to the size of the hadronic contributions to  $\alpha$ . In studying the  $Z$  resonance at the FCC\_ee one expects precisions of  $\sim 100$  keV for  $M_Z$  and the width of the  $Z$  boson,  $\Gamma_Z$ , which requires refined QED corrections. Those for the initial state radiation have been calculated in [149] using a wide host of methods described in this article. There are more goals, as e.g. the precision understanding of the top-threshold, cf. e.g. [246–252], the measurement of  $\sin^2\theta_W$  [253], and the precision measurement of the  $W$ -boson mass.

Beyond the more inclusive measurements we have described, there is a large list of hard exclusive reactions needed in the analysis of the experimental data at the LHC and at future colliders. These corrections require a lot more numerical technologies, because of the number of different scales present. For a recent summary of the status see [254].

Effective field methods can also be applied to classical gravity to derive higher order post-Newtonian corrections for the inspiraling process of two massive objects. With these methods currently the level of the 5th post-Newtonian order has been reached [255].

## 6 Conclusions

With the progress in analytic precision calculations in Quantum Field Theory more and more mathematical technologies are used to solve the corresponding integrals analytically. The classical and Nielsen polylogarithms turned out to be not sufficient any more to represent intermediary and the final results in the late 1990ies. Moreover, the method of hypergeometric functions, which has fully provided the corresponding integral representations up this point failed to cover more involved structures. This applied already to massless and massive calculations for single scale quantities in QCD at 3-loop order. The first indication for this was that the arguments appearing in the 2-loop Nielsen integral representations became more and more complicated. At this time it has also been discovered that Mellin-space representations lead to essential compactifications [118, 119] and later it turned out that all the single-scale 2-loop result can be written in terms of just six harmonic sums [172, 256, 257].

The iterative integral structure has been known from the classical polylogarithms [61] and Nielsen integrals [154] and led to the harmonic polylogarithms [126]. During the following years more and more of these structures have been revealed. Here the difference ring techniques [12, 51–53] played an essential role, since the corresponding structures were found constructively, mostly in massive calculations. The Mellin transform of these quantities allowed then to find the associated iterated integrals.

For some years now also iterative non-iterative integrals are known and were widely studied in the case of complete elliptic integrals. However, we expect more involved structures to emerge. Possible new structures of this kind could be Abel-integrals [258] and integrals related to K3-surfaces [259].

In massive calculations [48, 50] we observe a growing number of non first order factorizable recurrences, probably already containing structures beyond the elliptic level. Their solution calls for a general method, which might provide semi-analytic numerical representations in the end, which can be tuned to any precision. Yet one is also interested in the concrete mathematical structures of these cases. Global methods like the recurrences or the method of differential equations will have a hard time to reveal those. It is rather important here to analyze the multidimensional integrands first, which is provided by applying cutting techniques in a systematic manner, performing various Hilbert-transforms [260–262]. This has been successfully practiced at one-loop order, see e.g. [263], and also revealed in a nice manner the emergence

of elliptic integrals.<sup>5</sup> The method has been advocated early by M. Veltman in his PhD thesis [264], see also [265].

In the future we will see an intense cooperation of theoretical physicists, mathematicians and scientists working on large scale computer algebra on the topic of the calculation of Feynman integrals by antidifferentiation. The field will conquer new horizons, one never thought of. All the participating fields will enormously profit from this work and new masterpieces of the esprit humain will be seen.

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank to all who have contributed to the present volume and all my colleagues with whom I have had countless fruitful discussions on the present topic during the last 30 years. This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska–Curie grant agreement No. 764850, SAGEX.

## References

1. See: M. Veltman, *Facts and Mysteries in Elementary Particle Physics*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2003).
2. The ILC: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International\\_Linear\\_Collider](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_Linear_Collider)  
 J.A. Aguilar-Saavedra *et al.* [ECFA/DESY LC Physics Working Group], *TESLA: The Superconducting electron positron linear collider with an integrated x-ray laser laboratory. Technical design report. Part 3. Physics at an  $e^+e^-$  linear collider*, hep-ph/0106315;  
 E. Accomando *et al.* [ECFA/DESY LC Physics Working Group], *Phys. Rept.* **299** (1998) 1–78 [hep-ph/9705442];  
 The Future Circular Collider,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Future\\_Circular\\_Collider](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Future_Circular_Collider);  
 TH FCC-ee design study, <http://tlep.web.cern.ch>;  
 A. Abada *et al.* [FCC], *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **228** (2019) no.4, 755–1107;  
 J. L. Abelleira Fernandez *et al.* [LHeC Study Group], *J. Phys. G* **39** (2012) 075001 [arXiv:1206.2913 [physics.acc-ph]];  
 D. Boer, M. Diehl, R. Milner, R. Venugopalan, W. Vogelsang, D. Kaplan, H. Montgomery, S. Vignor, A. Accardi and E. C. Aschenauer, *et al.* *Gluons and the quark sea at high energies: Distributions, polarization, tomography*, [arXiv:1108.1713 [nucl-th]].
3. A. Abada *et al.* [FCC], *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **228** (2019) no.2, 261–623.
4. J. Blümlein, D. Broadhurst, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 582–625, [arXiv: 0907.2557 [math-ph]].
5. P. Marquard, *Integration-by-parts: A Survey*, contribution to this volume.
6. J. Vermaseren, *Some steps towards improving IBP calculations and related topics*, contribution to this volume.
7. H. Frellesvig, *Top-down Decomposition: A Cut-based Approach to Integral Reductions*, contribution to this volume.

---

<sup>5</sup> To see the same on the basis of a Heun differential equation is much more difficult [185].

8. M. Kalmykov, V. Bytev, B.A. Kniehl, S.O. Moch, B.F.L. Ward and S.A. Yost, *Hypergeometric Functions and Feynman Diagrams*, [arXiv:2012.14492 [hep-th]].
9. P. Paule, *Contiguous Relations and Creative Telescoping*, contribution to this volume.
10. K. Acres and D. Broadhurst, *Empirical determinations of Feynman integrals using integer relation algorithms*, [arXiv:2103.06345 [hep-ph]].
11. E. Panzer, talk at this workshop, contribution not received.
12. C. Schneider, *Term Algebras, Canonical Representations and Difference Ring Theory for Symbolic Summation*, contribution to this volume.
13. T. Dreyfus and J.-A. Weil, *Differential Galois Theory and Integration*, contribution to this volume.
14. A.V. Kotikov, *Differential equations and Feynman integrals*, [arXiv:2102.07424 [hep-ph]].
15. J. Henn, talk at this workshop, contribution not received.
16. C.G. Raab, *Nested Integrals and Rationalizing Transformations*, contribution to this volume.
17. C. Koutschan, *Holonomic Anti-Differentiation and Feynman Amplitudes*, contribution to this volume.
18. J. Ablinger, *Extensions of the AZ-algorithm and the Package MultiIntegrate*, [arXiv:2101.11385 [cs.SC]].
19. V.A. Smirnov, *Expansion by regions: an overview*, contribution to this volume.
20. S. Weinzierl, *Iterated integrals related to Feynman integrals associated to elliptic curves*, [arXiv:2012.08429 [hep-th]].
21. J. Broedel and A. Kaderli, *A geometrical framework for amplitude recursions: bridging between trees and loops*, contribution to this volume.
22. D. Kreimer, *Outer Space as a combinatorial backbone for Cutkosky rules and coactions*, [arXiv:2010.11781 [hep-th]].
23. J.L. Bourjaily, Y.-H. He, A.J. McLeod, M. Spradlin, C. Vergu, M. Volk, M. von Hippel, and M. Wilhelm, *Direct Integration for Multi-leg Amplitudes: Tips, Tricks, and When They Fail*, contribution to this volume.
24. J. Bartels,  *$N=4$  SYM Gauge Theories: the  $2 \rightarrow 6$  Amplitude in the Regge limit*, contribution to this volume.
25. G. Papathanasiou, talk at this workshop, contribution not received.
26. S. Moch and V. Magerya, *Calculating Four-Loop Corrections in QCD*, contribution to this volume.
27. S. Weinzierl, *Introduction to Feynman Integrals*, arXiv:1005.1855 [hep-ph].
28. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, and C. Schneider, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **523** (2014) 012060, [arXiv: 1310.5645 [math-ph]].
29. J. Ablinger and J. Blümlein, in: *Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory. Integration, Summation and Special Functions*, (Springer, Wien, 2012) 1–32, Eds. C. Schneider and J. Blümlein, [arXiv: 1304.7071 [math-ph]].
30. S. Weinzierl, in: *Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory. Integration, Summation and Special Functions*, (Springer, Wien, 2012) 381–406, Eds. C. Schneider and J. Blümlein, [arXiv:1301.6918 [hep-ph]].
31. C. Duhr, in: *Journeys Through the Precision Frontier: Amplitudes for Colliders*, 2014 TASI Lectures, eds. L. Dixon and F. Petriello, 419–476 (World Scientific, Singapore, 2015) [arXiv:1411.7538 [hep-ph]].
32. J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **33** (2018) no.17, 1830015 [arXiv:1809.02889 [hep-ph]].
33. P. Nogueira, *J. Comput. Phys.* **105** (1993) 279–289.
34. T. van Ritbergen, A. Schellekens, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **14** (1999) 41–96 [hep-ph/9802376].
35. J.A.M. Vermaseren, *New features of FORM*, math-ph/0010025; M. Tentyukov, D. Fliegner, M. Frank, A. Onischenko, A. Retey, H.M. Staudenmaier and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **583** (2002) no.1, 202 [cs/0407066]

- [cs-sc]);  
M. Tentyukov and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 1419–1427 [hep-ph/0702279];  
B. Ruijl, U. Takahiro, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *FORM version 4.2*, arXiv:1707.06453[hep-ph].
36. J. Lagrange, *Nouvelles recherches sur la nature et la propagation du son*, Miscellanea Taurinensis, t. II, 1760-61; Oeuvres t. I, p. 263;  
C.F. Gauss, *Theoria attractionis corporum sphaeroidicorum ellipticorum homogeneorum methodo novo tractate*, Commentationes societatis scientiarum Gotttingensis recentiores, Vol III, 1813, Werke Bd. **V** pp. 5–7;  
G. Green, *Essay on the Mathematical Theory of Electricity and Magnetism*, Nottingham, 1828 [Green Papers, pp. 1–115];  
M. Ostrogradski, *Mem. Ac. Sci. St. Peters.*, **6**, (1831) 129–133;  
K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, *Nucl. Phys.* **B192** (1981) 159–204.
  37. S. Laporta, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A15** (2000) 5087–5159 [hep-ph/0102033].
  38. A. Smirnov, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 107 [arXiv: 0807.3243[hep-ph]].
  39. A.V. Smirnov and F.S. Chuharev, *FIRE6: Feynman Integral REDuction with Modular Arithmetic*, arXiv:1901.07808 [hep-ph].
  40. C. Studerus, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181** (2010) 1293–1300 [arXiv: 0912.2546 [physics.comp-ph]].
  41. A. von Manteuffel and C. Studerus, *Reduze 2 - Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction*, arXiv:1201.4330 [hep-ph].
  42. P. Marquard and D. Seidel, *The Crusher algorithm*, (unpublished).
  43. P. Maierhöfer, J. Usovitsch and P. Uwer, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **230** (2018) 99–112 [arXiv:1705.05610 [hep-ph]];  
J. Klappert, F. Lange, P. Maierhöfer and J. Usovitsch, [arXiv:2008.06494 [hep-ph]].
  44. J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, *Phys. Lett.* **B771** (2017) 31–36 [arXiv: 1701.04614 [hep-ph]].
  45. J. Blümlein, M. Kauers, S. Klein, and C. Schneider, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 2143–2165 [arXiv:0902.4091[hep-ph]].
  46. M. Kauers, M. Jaroschek, and F. Johansson, in: *Computer Algebra and Polynomials*, Editors: J. Gutierrez, J. Schicho, Josef, M. Weimann, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **8942** (Springer, Berlin, 2015) 105–125, [arXiv:1306.4263 [cs.SC]].
  47. J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, *Nucl. Phys. B* **922** (2017) 1–40 [arXiv:1705.01508 [hep-ph]].
  48. J. Blümlein, J. Ablinger, A. Behring, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider and C. Schneider, *PoS (QCDEV2017)* (2017) 031 [arXiv:1711.07957 [hep-ph]].
  49. A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, S. Klein, A. von Manteuffel, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, *Nucl. Phys. B* **948** (2019) 114753 [arXiv:1908.03779 [hep-ph]].
  50. J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana and C. Schneider, *Nucl. Phys. B* **949** (2019) 114751 [arXiv:1908.00357 [hep-ph]].
  51. M. Karr, *J. ACM* **28** (1981) 305–350;  
M. Bronstein, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **29** (2000) no. 6 841–877;  
C. Schneider, *Symbolic Summation in Difference Fields*, Ph.D. Thesis RISC, Johannes Kepler University, Linz technical report 01–17 (2001);  
C. Schneider, *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat.-Inform.* **42** (2004) 163–179;  
C. Schneider, *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* **11** (2005) 799–821;  
C. Schneider, *Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput.* **16** (2005) 1–32;  
C. Schneider, *J. Algebra Appl.* **6** (2007) 415–441;  
C. Schneider, *Clay Math. Proc.* **12** (2010) 285–308 [arXiv:0904.2323 [cs.SC]];  
C. Schneider, *Ann. Comb.* **14** (2010) 533–552 [arXiv:0808.2596];  
C. Schneider, in: *Computer Algebra and Polynomials, Applications of Algebra and*

- Number Theory, J. Gutierrez, J. Schicho, M. Weimann (ed.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS) 8942 (2015) 157–191 [arXiv:1307.7887 [cs.SC]];  
 C. Schneider, J. Symb. Comput. **72** (2016) 82–127 [arXiv:1408.2776 [cs.SC]].  
 C. Schneider, J. Symb. Comput. **80** (2017) 616–664 [arXiv:1603.04285 [cs.SC]].  
 S.A. Abramov, M. Bronstein, M. Petkovšek, Carsten Schneider, J. Symb. Comput. 107 (2021) 23–66 [arXiv:2005.04944 [cs.SC]].
52. C. Schneider, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **56** (2007) 1–36, article B56b.
  53. C. Schneider, in: *Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functions*, Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation eds. C. Schneider and J. Blümlein (Springer, Wien, 2013) 325–360 [arXiv:1304.4134 [cs.SC]].
  54. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, and C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **205–206** (2010) 110–115 [arXiv:1006.4797[math-ph]].
  55. J. Blümlein, A. Hasselhuhn, and C. Schneider, PoS (RADCOR2011) 032 [arXiv:1202.4303 [math-ph]].
  56. C. Schneider, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **523** (2014) 012037 [arXiv:1310.0160[cs.Sc]].
  57. C. Krattenthaler and C. Schneider, in: *Algorithmic Combinatorics: Enumerative Combinatorics, Special Functions and Computer Algebra*, Eds. V. Pillwein and C. Schneider, (Springer, Wien, 2020) 249–296.
  58. P.A. Baikov, K.G. Chetyrkin and J.H. Kühn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118** (2017) no.8, 082002 [arXiv:1606.08659 [hep-ph]];  
 F. Herzog, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, JHEP **02** (2017) 090 [arXiv:1701.01404 [hep-ph]];  
 T. Luthe, A. Maier, P. Marquard and Y. Schröder, JHEP **10** (2017) 166 [arXiv:1709.07718 [hep-ph]];  
 K. G. Chetyrkin, G. Falcioni, F. Herzog and J. A. M. Vermaseren, JHEP **10** (2017) 179 [arXiv:1709.08541 [hep-ph]].
  59. J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, D.J. Broadhurst, and P. Lisonek, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **353** (2001) 907–941 [math/9910045].
  60. H.R.P. Ferguson and D.H. Bailey, *A Polynomial Time, Numerically Stable Integer Relation Algorithm*, RNR Techn. Rept. RNR-91-032, Jul. 14, 1992.
  61. A. Devoto and D.W. Duke, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **7N6** (1984) 1–39;  
 L. Lewin, *Dilogarithms and associated functions*, (Macdonald, London, 1958);  
 L. Lewin, *Polylogarithms and associated functions*, (North Holland, New York, 1981).
  62. F. Klein, *Vorlesungen über die hypergeometrische Funktion*, Wintersemester 1893/94, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **39**, (Springer, Berlin, 1933).
  63. W.N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935).
  64. L.J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966).
  65. P. Appell and J. Kampé de Fériet, *Fonctions Hypergéométriques et Hypersphériques, Polynômes D’ Hermite*, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1926).
  66. P. Appell, *Les Fonctions Hypergéométriques de Plusieurs Variables*, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1925).
  67. J. Kampé de Fériet, *La fonction hypergéométrique*, (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1937).
  68. H. Exton, *Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications*, (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1976).
  69. H. Exton, *Handbook of Hypergeometric Integrals*, (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1978).
  70. M.J. Schlosser, in: *Computer Algebra in Quantum Field Theory: Integration, Summation and Special Functions*, C. Schneider, J. Blümlein, Eds., p. 305–324, (Springer, Wien, 2013) [arXiv:1305.1966 [math.CA]].

71. C. Anastasiou, E.W.N. Glover, and C. Oleari, Nucl. Phys. **B572** (2000) 307–360, [hep-ph/9907494].
72. C. Anastasiou, E.W.N. Glover, and C. Oleari, Nucl. Phys. **B565** (2000) 445–467, [hep-ph/9907523].
73. H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series*, (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1985).
74. G. Lauricella, *Rediconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, **7** (S1) (1893) 111–158.
75. S. Saran, *Ganita* **5** (1954) 77–91.
76. S. Saran, *Acta Math.* **93** (1955) 293–312.
77. R. Hamberg, W.L. van Neerven, and T. Matsuura, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 343–405, [Erratum: Nucl. Phys. B644 (2002) 403–404].
78. R. Hamberg, *Second order gluonic contributions to physical quantities*, Ph.D. Thesis, Leiden University, 1991.
79. M. Buza, Y. Matiounine, J. Smith, R. Migneron, and W.L. van Neerven, Nucl. Phys. **B472** (1996) 611–658 [hep-ph/9601302].
80. I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein, and S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. **B780** (2007) 40–75 [hep-ph/0703285].
81. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. Hasselhuhn, S. Klein, C. Schneider, and F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. **B864** (2012) 52–84 [arXiv:1206.2252[hep-ph]].
82. J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, and C. Schneider, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **202** (2016) 33–112 [arXiv:1509.08324 [hep-ph]].
83. E.W. Barnes, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* **41** (1910) 136–140.
84. H. Mellin, *Math. Ann.* **68**, no. 3 (1910) 305–337.
85. V.A. Smirnov, *Feynman Integral Calculus*, (Springer, Berlin, 2006).
86. L. Pochhammer, *Math. Ann.* **35** (1890) 495–526.
87. A. Kratzer and W. Franz, *Transzendente Funktionen*, (Geest & Portig, Leipzig, 1960).
88. J. Blümlein, S. Klein, C. Schneider and F. Stan, *J. Symb. Comput.* **47** (2012) 1267–1289 [arXiv:1011.2656 [cs.SC]].
89. M. Czakon, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175** (2006) 559–571 [hep-ph/0511200].
90. A. Smirnov and V. Smirnov, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C62** (2009) 445–449 [arXiv:0901.0386 [hep-ph]].
91. J. Gluza, K. Kajda, and T. Riemann, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177** (2007) 879–893 [arXiv:0704.2423[hep-ph]].
92. J. Gluza, K. Kajda, T. Riemann, and V. Yundin, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1516, [arXiv:1010.1667[hep-ph]].
93. F. Brown, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **287** (2009) 925–958, [arXiv:0804.1660 [math.AG]].
94. E.E. Kummer, *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)* **21** (1840) 74–90; 193–225; 328–371.
95. H. Poincaré, *Acta Math.* **4** (1884) 201–312.
96. J.A. Lappo-Danilevsky, *Mémoires sur la Théorie des Systèmes Différentielles Linéaires*, (Chelsea Publ. Co, New York, 1953).
97. K.T. Chen, *Trans. A.M.S.* **156** (3) (1971) 359–379.
98. A.B. Goncharov, *Math. Res. Lett.* **5** (1998) 497–516.
99. A. von Manteuffel, E. Panzer, and R.M. Schabinger, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 120 [arXiv:1411.7392[hep-ph]].
100. E. Panzer, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **188** (2015) 148–166 [arXiv:1403.3385[hep-th]].
101. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. Raab, C. Schneider, and F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. **B885** (2014) 409–447 [arXiv:1403.1137[hep-ph]].

102. F.Ph. Wißbrock,  $O(\alpha_s^3)$  contributions to the heavy flavor Wilson coefficients of the structure function  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  at  $Q^2 \gg m^2$ , Ph.D. Thesis, TU Dortmund, 2015.
103. S.A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. **B427** (1994) 41–52.
104. S.A. Larin, P. Nogueira, T. van Ritbergen, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. **B492** (1997) 338–378, [hep-ph/9605317].
105. A. Retey and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. **B604** (2001) 281–311, [hep-ph/0007294].
106. J. Blümlein and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Phys. Lett. **B606** (2005) 130–138, [hep-ph/0411111].
107. I. Bierenbaum, J. Blümlein and S. Klein, Nucl. Phys. B **820** (2009) 417–482 [arXiv:0904.3563 [hep-ph]].
108. J.A.M. Vermaseren, A. Vogt, and S. Moch, Nucl. Phys. **B724** (2005) 3–182 [hep-ph/0504242].
109. Sage, <http://www.sagemath.org/>.
110. S. Moch, J.A.M. Vermaseren, and A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. **B688** (2004) 101–134 [hep-ph/0403192];  
A. Vogt, S. Moch, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. **B691** (2004) 129–181 [hep-ph/0404111];  
S. Moch, J. A. M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B **889** (2014) 351–400 [arXiv:1409.5131 [hep-ph]].
111. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana and C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. B **939** (2019) 253–291 [arXiv:1810.12261 [hep-ph]].
112. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, P. Marquard, N. Rana and C. Schneider, Phys. Lett. B **782** (2018) 528–532 [arXiv:1804.07313 [hep-ph]].
113. S.G. Gorishnii, S.A. Larin, L.R. Surguladze and F.V. Tkachov, Comput. Phys. Commun. **55** (1989) 381–408;  
S.A. Larin, F.V. Tkachov and J.A.M. Vermaseren, *The FORM version of MINICER* NIKHEF-H-91-18.
114. M. Steinhauser, Comput. Phys. Commun. **134** (2001) 335–364 [hep-ph/0009029].
115. R. Harlander, T. Seidensticker, and M. Steinhauser, Phys. Lett. **B426** (1998) 125–132 [hep-ph/9712228].
116. T. Seidensticker, *Automatic application of successive asymptotic expansions of Feynman diagrams*, in: Proc. 6th International Workshop on New Computing Techniques in Physics Research, Crete, Greece, April 1999, hep-ph/9905298.
117. N.E. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, (Springer, Berlin, 1924); reprinted by (Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1954).
118. J.A.M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14** (1999) 2037–2076 [arXiv:hep-ph/9806280 [hep-ph]].
119. J. Blümlein and S. Kurth, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 014018 [arXiv:hep-ph/9810241 [hep-ph]].
120. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 082301 [arXiv: 1302.0378 [math-ph]].
121. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. **52** (2011), 102301 [arXiv: 1105.6063 [math-ph]].
122. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C.G. Raab and C. Schneider, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014) 112301 [arXiv:1407.1822 [hep-th]].
123. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein and C. Schneider, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **523** (2014) 012060 [arXiv:1310.5645 [math-ph]];  
J. Ablinger, PoS (LL2014) 019 [arXiv:1407.6180[cs.SC]]; *A Computer Algebra Toolbox for Harmonic Sums Related to Particle Physics*, Diploma Thesis, JKU Linz, 2009, arXiv:1011.1176[math-ph]; PoS (LL2016) 067; Experimental

- Mathematics 26 (2017) [arXiv:1507.01703 [math.CO]]; PoS (RADCOR2017) 001 [arXiv:1801.01039 [cs.SC]]; PoS (LL2018) 063;  
 J. Ablinger, Experimental Mathematics 26 (2017) [arXiv:1507.01703 [math.CO]]; *Discovering and Proving Infinite Pochhammer Sum Identities*, arXiv:1902.11001 [math.CO].
124. J. Blümlein, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 2218–2249 [arXiv:0901.3106 [hep-ph]];
  125. J. Ablinger, *Computer Algebra Algorithms for Special Functions in Particle Physics*, Ph.D. Thesis, Linz U. (2012) arXiv:1305.0687[math-ph];
  126. E. Remiddi and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15** (2000) 725–754, [hep-ph/9905237].
  127. A.V. Kotikov, Phys. Lett. **B254** (1991) 158–164.
  128. Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon, and D.A. Kosower, Phys. Lett. **B302** (1993) 299–308, [Erratum: Phys. Lett. B318, (1993) 649] [hep-ph/9212308].
  129. E. Remiddi, Nuovo Cim. **A110** (1997) 1435–1452 [hep-th/9711188].
  130. T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. **B580** (2000) 485–518 [hep-ph/9912329].
  131. A. Bostan, F. Chyzak, É. de Panafieu, *Complexity Estimates for Two Uncoupling Algorithms*, Proceedings of ISSAC’13, Boston, June 2013.
  132. B. Zürcher, *Rationale Normalformen von pseudo-linearen Abbildungen*, Master’s thesis, Mathematik, ETH Zürich (1994).
  133. S. Gerhold, *Uncoupling systems of linear Ore operator equations*, Master’s thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University, Linz, 2002.
  134. S.A. Abramov and M. Petkovšek, *D’Alembertian solutions of linear differential and difference equations*, Proc. ISSAC’94, 169–174, ed. J. von zur Gathen, (ACM Press, 1994).
  135. M.F. Singer, American Journal of Mathematics, **103** (4) (1981) 661–682.
  136. J.J. Kovacic, J. Symb. Computation **2** (1986) 3–43.
  137. J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, G. Falcioni, A. De Freitas, P. Marquard, N. Rana, and C. Schneider, Phys. Rev. D **97** (2018) no.9, 094022 [arXiv:1712.09889 [hep-ph]].
  138. A.V. Kotikov, *The Property of maximal transcendentality in the  $N=4$  Supersymmetric Yang-Mills*, In *Subtleties in quantum field theory*, ed. D. Diakonov, p. 150–174, [arXiv:1005.5029 [hep-th]].
  139. J.M. Henn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 251601 [arXiv:1304.1806[hep-th]].
  140. J.M. Henn, J. Phys. **A48** (2015) 153001 [arXiv:1412.2296[hep-ph]].
  141. V.E. Zakharov, S.V. Manakov, S.P. Novikov, L.P. Pitaevskii, *Teoria Solitonov: metod obratnoi zadatschi*, (Nauka, Moskva, 1980).
  142. S.Yu. Sakovich, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **28** (1995) 2861–2869.
  143. R.N. Lee, JHEP **04** (2015) 108 [arXiv:1411.0911[hep-ph]].
  144. M. Prausa, Comput. Phys. Commun. **219** (2017) 361–376 [arXiv:1701.00725 [hep-ph]].
  145. O. Gituliar and V. Magerya, Comput. Phys. Commun. **219** (2017) 329–338, [arXiv:1701.04269[hep-ph]].
  146. C. Meyer, Comput. Phys. Commun. **222** (2018) 295–312, [arXiv:1705.06252 [hep-ph]].
  147. M. Besier, D. Van Straten and S. Weinzierl, Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. **13** (2019) 253–297 [arXiv:1809.10983 [hep-th]].
  148. M. Besier, P. Wasser and S. Weinzierl, Comput. Phys. Commun. **253** (2020) 107197 [arXiv:1910.13251 [cs.MS]].
  149. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **955** (2020) 115045 [arXiv:2004.04287 [hep-ph]];  
 J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. Raab and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **956** (2020) 115055 [arXiv:2003.14289 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Lett. B **801** (2020) 135196 [arXiv:1910.05759 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Lett. B **791** (2019), 206–209 [arXiv:1901.08018 [hep-ph]].

150. J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C.G. Raab and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **945** (2019) 114659 [arXiv:1903.06155 [hep-ph]];  
J. Blümlein, C. Raab and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **948** (2019) 114736 [arXiv:1904.08911 [hep-ph]].
151. G. Almkvist and D. Zeilberger, J. Symb. Comp. **10** (1990) 571–591.
152. M. Apagodu and D. Zeilberger, Adv. Appl. Math. (Special Regev Issue), **37** (2006) 139–152.
153. D.J. Broadhurst and D. Kreimer, Phys. Lett. B **393** (1997) 403–412 [arXiv:hep-th/9609128 [hep-th]].
154. N. Nielsen Nova Acta Leopold. **XC** (1909) Nr. 3, 125–211;  
K.S. Kölbig, J.A. Mignoco and E. Remiddi, BIT **10** (1970) 38–74;  
K.S. Kölbig, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **17** (1986) 1232–1258.
155. M.E. Hoffman, J. Algebraic Combin. **11** (2000) 49–68 [arXiv:math/9907173 [math.QA]].
156. J. Blümlein, Comput. Phys. Commun. **159** (2004) 19–54 [hep-ph/0311046].
157. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, C. Schneider *Iterated integrals over letters induced by quadratic forms*, arXiv:2103.08330 [hep-th].
158. A.I. Davydychev and M.Yu. Kalmykov, Nucl. Phys. **B699** (2004) 3–64, [hep-th/0303162].
159. S. Weinzierl, J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004) 2656–2673, [hep-ph/0402131].
160. C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras* (Calendron Press, Oxford, 1993).
161. S. Moch, P. Uwer and S. Weinzierl, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 3363–3386 [arXiv:hep-ph/0110083 [hep-ph]].
162. T. Gehrmann and E. Remiddi, Comput. Phys. Commun. **141** (2001) 296–312 [hep-ph/0107173].
163. J. Vollinga and S. Weinzierl, Comput. Phys. Commun. **167** (2005) 177–194 [arXiv:hep-ph/0410259 [hep-ph]].
164. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **927** (2018) 339–367 [arXiv:1711.06717 [hep-ph]].
165. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **932** (2018) 129–240 [arXiv:1804.02226 [hep-ph]].
166. C.G. Raab. *On the arithmetic of d’Alembertian functions*, presentation at the 19<sup>th</sup> Conference on Applications of Computer Algebra (ACA 2013), Málaga, Spain, 2–6 July 2013, in preparation.
167. Li Guo, G. Regensburger, and M. Rosenkranz. J. Pure and Applied Algebra **218** (2014) 456–473.
168. D.E. Radford, J. Algebra **58** (1979) 432–454.
169. R.C. Lyndon, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1954) 202–215; **78** (1955) 329–332.
170. E. Witt, Journ. Reine und Angew. Mathematik **177** (1937) 152–160; Math. Zeitschr. **64** (1956) 195–216.
171. J. Blümlein, Clay Math. Proc. **12** (2010) 167–188, [arXiv:0901.0837[math-ph]].
172. J. Blümlein, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **135** (2004) 225–231 [arXiv:hep-ph/0407044 [hep-ph]].
173. D.J. Broadhurst, J. Fleischer, and O. V. Tarasov, Z. Phys. **C60** (1993) 287–302 [hep-ph/9304303].
174. S. Bloch and P. Vanhove, J. Number Theor. **148** (2015) 328–364 [hep-th/1309.5865].
175. S. Laporta and E. Remiddi, Nucl. Phys. **B704** (2005) 349–386 [hep-ph/0406160].
176. L. Adams, C. Bogner, and S. Weinzierl, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 052303 [hep-ph/1302.7004].
177. L. Adams, C. Bogner, and S. Weinzierl, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), no. 10 102301 [hep-ph/1405.5640].
178. L. Adams, C. Bogner, and S. Weinzierl, J. Math. Phys. **56** (2015), no. 7 072303 [hep-ph/1504.03255].

179. L. Adams, C. Bogner, and S. Weinzierl, *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016), no. 3 032304 [hep-ph/1512.05630].
180. A. Sabry, *Nucl. Phys.* **33** (1962) 401–430.
181. E. Remiddi and L. Tancredi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B907** (2016) 400–444 [arXiv:1602.01481[hep-ph]].
182. L. Adams, C. Bogner, A. Schweitzer, and S. Weinzierl, *J. Math. Phys.* **57** (2016), no. 12 122302, [hep-ph/1607.01571].
183. J. Grigo, J. Hoff, P. Marquard and M. Steinhauser, *Nucl. Phys. B* **864** (2012) 580–596 [arXiv:1206.3418 [hep-ph]].
184. J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. Van Hoeij, E. Imamoglu, P. Marquard and C. Schneider, *PoS (LL2018) 017* [arXiv:1807.05287 [hep-ph]].
185. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. van Hoeij, E. Imamoglu, C.G. Raab, C.S. Radu and C. Schneider, *J. Math. Phys.* **59** (2018) no.6, 062305 [arXiv:1706.01299 [hep-th]].
186. A. Ronveaux, ed., *Heun's differential equations*, (The Clarendon Press Oxford, Oxford, 1995).
187. E. Imamoglu and M. van Hoeij, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **83** (2017) 245–271 [arXiv:1606.01576 [cs.SC]].
188. K. Takeuchi, *J. Fac. Sci, Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 1A* **24** (1977) 201–272.
189. F.G. Tricomi, *Elliptische Funktionen*, (Geest & Portig, Leipzig, 1948); übersetzt und bearbeitet von M. Krafft.
190. E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996), reprint of 4th edition (1927).
191. S. Herfurtner, *Math. Ann.* **291** (1991) 319–342.
192. H. Movasati and S. Reiter, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **43** (2012) 423–442 [arXiv:0902.0760[math.AG]].
193. J. Blümlein, talks at: The 5th International Congress on Mathematical Software ZIB Berlin from July 11 to July 14, 2016, Session: Symbolic computation and elementary particle physics, <https://www.risc.jku.at/conferences/ICMS2016/>; and QCD@LHC2016, U. Zürich, August 22 to August 26, 2016, <https://indico.cern.ch/event/516210/timetable/#all.detailed>.
194. E. Remiddi and L. Tancredi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B925** (2017) 212–251 [arXiv:1709.03622[hep-ph]].
195. L. Adams and S. Weinzierl, *Phys. Lett.* **B781** (2018) 270–278 [arXiv: 1802.05020[hep-ph]].
196. J.-P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, (Springer, Berlin, 1973).
197. H. Cohen and F. Strömberg, *Modular Forms, A Classical Approach*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 179 (AMS, providence, RI, 2017).
198. K. Ono, *The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and  $q$ -series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 102 (AMS, Providence, RI, 2004).
199. H.H. Chan and W. Zudilin, *Mathematika* **56** (2010) 107–117.
200. D.J. Broadhurst, *Eta quotients, Eichler integrals and L-series*, talk at HMI Bonn, February 2018. [201]
201. J. Broedel, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, B. Penante and L. Tancredi, *JHEP* **1808** (2018) 014 [arXiv:1803.10256 [hep-th]].
202. L. Adams and S. Weinzierl, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **12** (2018) 193–251 [arXiv:1704.08895 [hep-ph]].
203. J. Broedel, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, B. Penante and L. Tancredi, *JHEP* **05** (2019), 120 [arXiv:1902.09971 [hep-ph]]; *JHEP* **01** (2019), 023 [arXiv:1809.10698 [hep-th]]; J. Broedel, C. Duhr, F. Dulat and L. Tancredi, *JHEP* **05** (2018), 093 [arXiv:1712.07089 [hep-th]] *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) no.11, 116009 [arXiv:1712.07095 [hep-ph]].

204. K. Martin, *Modular Forms*, Lecture Notes (2016) U. Oklahoma, <http://www2.math.ou.edu/~kmartin/mfs/>.  
G.H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **17** (1918) 75–115;  
H. Rademacher, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **43** (1937) 241–254; *Ann. Math.* **44** (1943) 416–422;  
J.H. Brunier and K. Ono, *Adv. Math.* **246** (2013) 198–219.
205. S. Laporta, *Phys. Lett. B* **772** (2017) 232–238 [arXiv:1704.06996 [hep-ph]].
206. J. Blümlein and A. Vogt, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 014020 [hep-ph/9712546].
207. J. Blümlein, V. Ravindran and W.L. van Neerven, *Nucl. Phys. B* **586** (2000) 349–381 [hep-ph/0004172].
208. J. Blümlein and A. Guffanti, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **152** (2006) 87–91 [hep-ph/0411110].
209. J. Blümlein, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **133** (2000) 76–104, [hep-ph/0003100].
210. J. Blümlein and S.-O. Moch, *Phys. Lett.* **B614** (2005) 53–61, [hep-ph/0503188].
211. N. Nielsen, *Handbuch der Theorie der Gammafunktion*, (Teubner, Leipzig, 1906); reprinted by (Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, 1965).
212. E. Landau, *Über die Grundlagen der Theorie der Fakultätenreihen*, S.-Ber. math.-naturw. Kl. Bayerische Akad. Wiss. München, 36 (1906) 151–218.
213. A.V. Kotikov and V.N. Velizhanin, *Analytic continuation of the Mellin moments of deep inelastic structure functions*, hep-ph/0501274.
214. H.D. Politzer, *Phys. Rept.* **14** (1974) 129–180.
215. J. Blümlein and N. Kochelev, *Nucl. Phys. B* **498** (1997) 285–309 [arXiv:hep-ph/9612318 [hep-ph]].
216. S. Weinzierl, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **145** (2002) 357–370, [math-ph/0201011].
217. S. Moch and P. Uwer, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **174** (2006) 759–770, [math-ph/0508008].
218. C. Duhr and F. Dulat, *PolyLogTools - Polylogs for the masses*, arXiv:1904.07279 [hep-th].
219. D. Maitre, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **174** (2006) 222–240, [hep-ph/0507152].
220. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, M. Round and C. Schneider, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **240** (2019) 189–201 [arXiv:1809.07084 [hep-ph]].
221. C. Bogner, A. Schweitzer and S. Weinzierl, *Nucl. Phys. B* **922** (2017) 528–550 [arXiv:1705.08952 [hep-ph]].
222. G. Passarino, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) no.2, 77 [arXiv:1610.06207 [math-ph]]; M. Walden and S. Weinzierl, *Numerical evaluation of iterated integrals related to elliptic Feynman integrals*, arXiv:2010.05271 [hep-ph].
223. K. Melnikov and A. Vainshtein, *Springer Tracts Mod. Phys.* **216** (2006) 1–176; F. Jegerlehner and A. Nyffeler, *Phys. Rept.* **477** (2009) 1–110 [arXiv:0902.3360 [hep-ph]]; F. Jegerlehner, *Springer Tracts Mod. Phys.* **274** (2018) 1–711.
224. T. Aoyama, M. Hayakawa, T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 111807 [arXiv:1205.5368 [hep-ph]]; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 111808 [arXiv:1205.5370 [hep-ph]].
225. P.A. Baikov, A. Maier and P. Marquard, *Nucl. Phys. B* **877** (2013) 647–661 [arXiv:1307.6105 [hep-ph]].
226. A. Kurz, T. Liu, P. Marquard, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *EPJ Web Conf.* **118** (2016) 01033 [arXiv:1511.08222 [hep-ph]].
227. A. Kurz, T. Liu, P. Marquard, A. Smirnov, V. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) no.5, 053017 [arXiv:1602.02785 [hep-ph]].
228. P. Marquard, A.V. Smirnov, V.A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser and D. Wellmann, *EPJ Web Conf.* **218** (2019) 01004 [arXiv:1708.07138 [hep-ph]].
229. S. Volkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) no.9 096004 [arXiv:1909.08015 [hep-ph]].
230. P. Marquard, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov and M. Steinhauser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) no.14, 142002 [arXiv:1502.01030 [hep-ph]];

- P. Marquard, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, M. Steinhauser and D. Wellmann, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.7, 074025 [arXiv:1606.06754 [hep-ph]];
- S. Laporta, Phys. Lett. B **802** (2020) 135264 [arXiv:2001.02739 [hep-ph]];
- Y. Schröder and M. Steinhauser, JHEP **01** (2006), 051 [arXiv:hep-ph/0512058 [hep-ph]];
- K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn and C. Sturm, Nucl. Phys. B **744** (2006) 121–135 [arXiv:hep-ph/0512060 [hep-ph]];
- T. Liu and M. Steinhauser, Phys. Lett. B **746** (2015) 330–334 [arXiv:1502.04719 [hep-ph]];
- M. Fael, K. Schönwald and M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** (2020) no.5, 052003 [arXiv:2005.06487 [hep-ph]]; JHEP **10** (2020) 087 [arXiv:2008.01102 [hep-ph]]; *Third order corrections to the semi-leptonic  $b \rightarrow c$  and the muon decays* arXiv: 2011.13654 [hep-ph].
231. J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, S. Klein, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B **844** (2011) 26–54 [arXiv:1008.3347 [hep-ph]];
- J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B **882** (2014) 263–288 [arXiv:1402.0359 [hep-ph]];
- J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel, M. Round, C. Schneider, and F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. **B886** (2014) 733–823, [arXiv:1406.4654[hep-ph]];
- J. Ablinger, A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. B **890** (2014) 48–151 [arXiv:1409.1135 [hep-ph]];
- A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) no.11, 114005 [arXiv:1508.01449 [hep-ph]];
- A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. B **897** (2015) 612–644 [arXiv:1504.08217 [hep-ph]];
- J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Hasselhuhn, C. Schneider and F. Wißbrock, Nucl. Phys. B **921** (2017) 585–688 [arXiv:1705.07030 [hep-ph]];
- A. Behring, J. Blümlein, G. Falcioni, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel and C. Schneider, Phys. Rev. D **94** (2016) no.11, 114006 [arXiv:1609.06255 [hep-ph]];
- A. Behring, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. von Manteuffel, K. Schönwald and C. Schneider, Nucl. Phys. B **964** (2021) 115331 [arXiv:2101.05733 [hep-ph]];
- J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, A. Goedicke, M. Saragnese, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **955** (2020) 115059 [arXiv:2004.08916 [hep-ph]];
- J. Ablinger, J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas, M. Saragnese, C. Schneider and K. Schönwald, Nucl. Phys. B **952** (2020) 114916 [arXiv:1911.11630 [hep-ph]].
232. C. Anastasiou, C. Duhr, F. Dulat, F. Herzog and B. Mistlberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** (2015) 212001 [arXiv:1503.06056 [hep-ph]].
233. C. Duhr, F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125** (2020) no.17, 172001 [arXiv:2001.07717 [hep-ph]].
234. C. Duhr, F. Dulat and B. Mistlberger, JHEP **11** (2020) 143 [arXiv:2007.13313 [hep-ph]].
235. M. Czakon, P. Fiedler and A. Mitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 252004 [arXiv:1303.6254 [hep-ph]].
236. S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein and S. Moch, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 054009 [arXiv:1202.2281 [hep-ph]];
- A. Accardi, *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **76** (2016) no.8, 471 [arXiv:1603.08906 [hep-ph]];
- S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, S. Moch and R. Placakyte, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.1, 014011 [arXiv:1701.05838 [hep-ph]].
237. A. Gehrmann-De Ridder, T. Gehrmann, E.W.N. Glover, A. Huss and T.A. Morgan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117** (2016) no.2, 022001 [arXiv:1507.02850 [hep-ph]].

238. R. Boughezal, J.M. Campbell, R.K. Ellis, C. Focke, W.T. Giele, X. Liu and F. Petriello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) no.15, 152001 [arXiv:1512.01291 [hep-ph]].
239. J. Currie, T. Gehrmann, A. Huss and J. Niehues, JHEP **07** (2017) 018 [Erratum: JHEP **12** (2020) 042] [arXiv:1703.05977 [hep-ph]]; V. Andreev *et al.* [H1], Eur. Phys. J. C **77** (2017) no.11, 791 [arXiv:1709.07251 [hep-ex]].
240. J. Blümlein and A. Guffanti, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **152** (2006) 87–91 [arXiv:hep-ph/0411110 [hep-ph]]; J. Blümlein, H. Böttcher and A. Guffanti, Nucl. Phys. B **774** (2007) 182–207 [arXiv:hep-ph/0607200 [hep-ph]]; J. Blümlein and M. Saragnese, in preparation.
241. S. Alekhin, J. Blümlein, K. Daum, K. Lipka and S. Moch, Phys. Lett. B **720** (2013), 172–176 [arXiv:1212.2355 [hep-ph]].
242. S. Moch, B. Ruijl, T. Ueda, J.A.M. Vermaseren and A. Vogt, JHEP **10** (2017) 041 [arXiv:1707.08315 [hep-ph]].
243. V.S. Fadin, E.A. Kuraev and L.N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **60** (1975) 50–52; S. Catani and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B **427** (1994) 475–524 [arXiv:hep-ph/9405388 [hep-ph]]; V.S. Fadin and L.N. Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B **429** (1998) 127–134 [arXiv:hep-ph/9802290 [hep-ph]].
244. P. Janot, JHEP **02** (2016) 053 [Erratum: JHEP **11** (2017) 164] [arXiv:1512.05544 [hep-ph]].
245. J. Blümlein, A. De Freitas and K. Schönwald, *The QED Initial State Corrections to the Forward-Backward Asymmetry of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^*/Z^{0*}$  to Higher Orders*, Phys. Lett. B (2021) in print [arXiv:2102.12237 [hep-ph]].
246. M. Beneke, Y. Kiyo, A. Maier and J. Piclum, Comput. Phys. Commun. **209** (2016) 96–115 [arXiv:1605.03010 [hep-ph]].
247. M. Beneke, A. Maier, T. Rauh and P. Ruiz-Femenia, JHEP **02** (2018) 125 [arXiv:1711.10429 [hep-ph]].
248. M. Beneke, Y. Kiyo, P. Marquard, A. Penin, J. Piclum and M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) no.19, 192001 [arXiv:1506.06864 [hep-ph]].
249. F. Bach, B.C. Nejad, A. Hoang, W. Kilian, J. Reuter, M. Stahlhofen, T. Teubner and C. Weiss, JHEP **03** (2018) 184 [arXiv:1712.02220 [hep-ph]].
250. A.H. Hoang and M. Stahlhofen, JHEP **05** (2014) 121 [arXiv:1309.6323 [hep-ph]].
251. K. Seidel, F. Simon, M. Tesar and S. Poss, Eur. Phys. J. C **73** (2013) no.8, 2530 [arXiv:1303.3758 [hep-ex]].
252. F. Simon, PoS (ICHEP2016) 872 [arXiv:1611.03399 [hep-ex]].
253. I. Dubovyk, A. Freitas, J. Gluza, T. Riemann and J. Usovitsch, JHEP **08** (2019) 113 [arXiv:1906.08815 [hep-ph]]; Phys. Lett. B **783** (2018) 86–94 [arXiv:1804.10236 [hep-ph]].
254. G. Heinrich, *Collider Physics at the Precision Frontier*, arXiv:2009.00516 [hep-ph].
255. S. Foffa, P. Mastrolia, R. Sturani, C. Sturm and W. J. Torres Bobadilla, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122** (2019) no.24, 241605 [arXiv:1902.10571 [gr-qc]]; J. Blümlein, A. Maier and P. Marquard, Phys. Lett. B **800** (2020), 135100 [arXiv:1902.11180 [gr-qc]]; J. Blümlein, A. Maier, P. Marquard and G. Schäfer, potential contributions,” Nucl. Phys. B **965** (2021) 115352 [arXiv:2010.13672 [gr-qc]].
256. J. Blümlein and V. Ravindran, Nucl. Phys. B **716** (2005) 128–172 [arXiv:hep-ph/0501178 [hep-ph]].
257. J. Blümlein and V. Ravindran, Nucl. Phys. B **749** (2006) 1–24 [arXiv:hep-ph/0604019 [hep-ph]].
258. C. Neumann, *Vorlesungen über Riemann’s Theorie der Abel’schen Integrale*, (Teubner, Leipzig, 1884), 2nd Edition.

- 259. F. Brown and O. Schnetz, *Duke Math. Journal* **161** No. 10 (2012) 1817–1862.
- 260. D. Hilbert, *Grundzüge einer allgemeinen Theorie der linearen Integralgleichungen*, (Teubner, Leipzig, 1912).
- 261. R. de L. Kronig, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **12** (1926) 547–557.
- 262. H.A. Kramers, *Atti Cong. Intern. Fisici*, (Transactions of Volta Centenary Congress) Como, **2** (1927).
- 263. S. Abreu, R. Britto, C. Duhr, and E. Gardi, *JHEP* **12** (2017) 090 [arXiv:1704.07931[hep-th]].
- 264. M.J.G. Veltman, *Physica* **29** (1963) 186–207.
- 265. E. Remiddi, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **54** (1982) 364–382;  
E. Remiddi, *Differential Equations and Dispersion Relations for Feynman Amplitudes*, in: *Elliptic Integrals, Elliptic Functions and Modular Forms in Quantum Field Theory*, eds. J. Blümlein, C. Schneider, and P. Paule, (Springer, Wien, 2019), 391–414.