

# Ermakov-Pinney equation for time-varying mass systems

Bijan Bagchi and Achal Vinod

Physics Department, Shiv Nadar University, Gautam Buddha Nagar,  
Uttar Pradesh 201314, India

June 30, 2021

We extend Fring-Tenney approach of constructing invariants of constant mass time-dependent system to the case of a time-dependent mass particle. From a coupled set of equations described in terms of guiding parameter functions, we track down a modified Ermakov-Pinney equation involving a time-dependent mass function. As a concrete example we focus on an exponential choice of the mass function.

Keywords: Time-dependent Schrödinger equation, Lewis-Riesenfeld method, Ermakov-Pinney equation

## 1 Introduction

For some time now, there have been several efforts to study time-dependent quantum systems from different perspectives. One such consists in the use of optical traps (see for some representative articles [1–5]). In the non-relativistic context, the governing equation of concern is the time-dependent Schrödinger equation with the explicit presence of an optical potential. It is usually taken to be in a separable form which is made up of a time-dependent modulation term together with some trapping shape described by a spatial profile [6, 7].

Interest in exploring the dynamics of time-dependent quantum systems started with the method of seeking Hermitian invariants [8–10] for them. Recently, Fring and Tenney [11, 12] made a systematic study of time-independent approximations for a class of time-dependent optical potentials. Their general strategy was to write down an approximate Lewis-Riesenfeld scheme [9] and explore, among other things, time-dependent potentials with a Stark term. While constructing time-independent invariants, even for non-Hermitian systems [12–14], one of the central issues was to derive the highly nonlinear Ermakov-Pinney (*EP*) equation [15, 16]. Interestingly, they could obtain a regular solution to it, determine the electric field and finally construct the invariants. Note that they concentrated only on a constant mass system. For other approaches towards calculating dynamical invariants we refer to [17].

Historically, derivation of the *EP* equation (see [18] in which some symmetry aspects of this equation were explored) was first undertaken in an early work of Ermakov [15] while studying integrability of certain classes of ordinary second-order differential equation

$$\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = \frac{\lambda^2}{x^3}, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

---

E-mails: bbagchi123@gmail.com, av927@snu.edu.in

We see that the  $EP$  equation describes an oscillator in the presence of an inverse-cube force term.

Much later, Pinney [16] provided the solution and gave a complete representation of it in the form

$$x(t) = (Ar^2 + 2Brs + Cs^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $r, s$  are both functions of  $t$  and stand as the independent solutions of the standard harmonic oscillator  $\ddot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$  while  $A, B, C$  satisfy the constraint  $AC - B^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{W}$ ,  $W$  being the Wronskian.

The purpose of this article is to pursue an analog procedure as that of [11] but consider a time-dependent mass instead of a constant one. The variation of the mass with time is a well studied topic in problems of unstable particles [19, 20] in the realm of particle physics. A modification to the form of the Dirac equation in seeking invariants has also been considered for time-dependent masses in Dirac motivated relativistic systems [21]. Cases of both time-dependent and position-dependent mass have also been explored in the literature (see, for instance, [22, 23]).

In the following, we basically utilize invariants to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation when the guiding time-dependent potential is factorizable<sup>1</sup> in terms of a Gaussian profile apart from a time-dependent piece. We see that a modified  $EP$ -equation emerges as an auxiliary equation whose form differs from what is obtained in the constant mass case. However, its highly nonlinear nature precludes us from finding any exact analytical solution. We have therefore gone for a specific case-study of the mass profile as given by an exponential representation. It reduces the basic equations to a tractable form thus facilitating a simple numerical assessment.

This work is organized as follows:

In section 2, we highlight the consistency conditions that emerge when the basic equation for an invariant Hermitian operator  $\hat{I}$  is subjected to the Hamiltonian obeying the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. In section 3 we derive a modified  $EP$  equation by installing time-dependence in the mass. Such an equation constitutes our subsequent point of analysis which is taken up numerically. In Section 4 we present a particular class of solution corresponding to the exponential choice of the mass. Finally, in section 5, we present a summary.

## 2 Constraining equations for time-dependent coefficients

Let us begin with the time-dependent non-relativistic Schrödinger equation which in one-dimension reads

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x, t) = \left[ -\frac{1}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x, t) \right] \psi(x, t) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $m = m(t)$ . We work with natural units  $\hbar = c = 1$ .

For a general quantum mechanical Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$ , the time evolution operator  $U(t, t_0)$  obeys [24]

$$i\dot{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{H}U(t, t_0), \quad U(t_0, t_0) = I, \quad t > t_0 \quad (2.2)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>For a Hamiltonian which is time-dependent such a situation does not in general occur

If the Hamiltonian does not depend on time then the solution of the above equation is simply

$$U(t, t_0) = e^{-i\hat{H}(t-t_0)} \quad (2.3)$$

where Hamiltonian is considered to be Hermitian.

Against the background of the evolution equation obeying (2.2), we note that the time-dependent invariant operator  $\hat{I}(t)$  satisfies

$$\frac{d\hat{I}}{dt} = \dot{\hat{I}}(t) + \frac{1}{i}[\hat{I}, H] = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

where  $H$  is time-dependent  $\hat{H} = \hat{H}(x, t)$ . As a concrete model of our analysis we consider the following representative Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(x, t) = \frac{p^2}{2m(t)} + V(x, t) + xE(t) \quad (2.5)$$

where the mass and the potential have been taken to be time-dependent. The latter is assumed to be factorizable<sup>2</sup> in that  $V(x, t) = \kappa(t)V(x)$ , where  $\kappa$  is a function of  $t$ . Additionally, a Stark like term is included in (2.5) which points to the presence of an electric field  $E(t)$ . The angular frequency  $\omega$  is taken to be constant.

The choice of the representation for the invariant is flexible. In the following we adopt the form

$$\hat{I}(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha(t)p^2 + \gamma(t)x + \delta(t)\{x, p\} + \varepsilon(t)x^2] \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\{x, p\} = xp + px$  and the coefficient functions  $\alpha, \gamma, \delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are time-dependent functions. Of course, these are distinct from  $m(t)$  or  $\kappa(t)$  and have to be solved for through the consistency equations.

We now address the simplest class of time-dependent Hamiltonian as induced by a varying mass harmonic oscillator potential. In such a case  $\kappa$  corresponds to  $m(t)$  while  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2$ . Substituting in (2.4) we obtain through the use of (2.5) the commutator

$$[\hat{I}, \hat{H}] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha m (-\hbar^2 \omega^2 - 2i\hbar \omega^2 x p) + \alpha E (-2i\hbar) p + \frac{\gamma}{2m} (2i\hbar) p + \frac{\delta}{2m} (4i\hbar) p^2 \right. \\ \left. + \delta m (-2i\hbar) \omega^2 x^2 + \delta E (-2i\hbar) x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2m} (4i\hbar x p + 2\hbar^2) \right) \quad (2.7)$$

When substituted in (2.4) the following set of conditions is easily obtained

$$\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{2\delta}{m} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\dot{\delta} = \alpha m \omega^2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = 2\delta m \omega^2 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\dot{\gamma} = 2\delta E \quad (2.11)$$

We also have an additional relation

$$\gamma = 2m\alpha E \quad (2.12)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>For a wider class of time-dependent potentials that are factorizable and also exactly solvable see [25, 26]

along with a closed form for  $E(t)$  given by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{m} e^{\int Q(t) dt}, \quad Q(t) = \frac{3\delta}{\alpha m} \quad (2.13)$$

We should mention that in the constant mass case, the set of equations (2.8) – (2.12) reduce to those of the corresponding ones in [11].

### 3 Ermakov-Pinney equation and its modification

We aim at writing down a modified EP equation in the presence of  $m(t)$ . Redefining  $\alpha = \sigma^2$  the remaining parameters read

$$\delta = -m\dot{\sigma}\sigma \quad (3.1)$$

$$\gamma = 2\sigma^2 m E(t) \quad (3.2)$$

$$\varepsilon = m^2 \dot{\sigma}^2 + m^2 \sigma \ddot{\sigma} + m \dot{m} \dot{\sigma} \sigma + m^2 \sigma^2 \omega^2 \quad (3.3)$$

Introducing  $\tau \equiv \tau(\sigma)$  as an arbitrary function of  $\sigma$  which is defined through

$$m^2 \sigma \ddot{\sigma} + m \dot{m} \dot{\sigma} \sigma + m^2 \sigma^2 \omega^2 = \frac{\tau m^2}{\sigma^2} \quad (3.4)$$

it is clear that (3.4) stands as the signature of a dissipative EP equation for the time-varying mass case

$$\ddot{\sigma} + \omega^2 \sigma + \frac{\dot{m}}{m} \dot{\sigma} = \frac{\tau}{\sigma^3} \quad (3.5)$$

We immediately see that when  $m$  is stationary and  $\tau$  is a constant equal to  $\lambda^2$  the standard equation (1.1) is recovered. (3.3) now reads

$$\varepsilon = m^2 \dot{\sigma}^2 + \frac{\tau m^2}{\sigma^2} \quad (3.6)$$

Clearly, no unique set of solutions of the parameter functions exists satisfying  $\sigma$ -dependent equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.6), for a given functional choice of the mass function  $m(t)$  and the electric field  $E(t)$ .

Identifying the EP equation in the extended form (3.5) constitutes the central result of this note. Although not exactly coincident with (3.5), a dissipative *EP* equation was also derived by Fring and Tenney [12] but in a different context

$$\ddot{\chi} + f^2 \chi - \frac{\dot{f}}{f} \dot{\chi} = \frac{f^2}{\chi^3}, \quad \chi = \chi(t) \quad (3.7)$$

where  $f$  is a time-dependent parametrizing function.  $f$  seems to play the analog of the mass function but notice that while the coefficient of  $\sigma$  in (3.5) is a constant, the same in the corresponding (3.7) is a function of time.

Our next endeavour would be to analyse (3.6) by taking its time-derivative and comparing with (2.10). Towards this end we obtain the pair of equations

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = 2m\dot{m}\dot{\sigma}^2 + 2\dot{\sigma}\ddot{\sigma}m^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2}(2m\dot{m}\tau + m^2\tau'\dot{\sigma}) - \frac{2m^2\tau\dot{\sigma}}{\sigma^3} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\dot{\epsilon} = -2m^2\omega^2\sigma\dot{\sigma} \quad (3.9)$$

where (3.1) is used. Equating them we straightforwardly obtain a differential equation for  $\tau$

$$\tau' + 2\frac{\dot{m}}{m\dot{\sigma}}\tau = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to  $\sigma$ .

## 4 Solving the modified *EP* equation

Since  $\tau = \tau(\sigma)$  let us assume a monomial form for it

$$\tau = \tau_0\sigma^4 \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\tau_0$  is a constant assumed to be  $> 0$ . The EP equation, in principle, admits of complex solutions given by the general form

$$\sigma(t) = \xi(t)e^{i\eta(t)}, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{R} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are linked by a set of coupled equations

$$\ddot{\xi} + \frac{\dot{m}}{m}\dot{\xi} + (\Omega^2 - \dot{\eta}^2) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

$$2\dot{\xi}\dot{\eta} + \xi\left(\ddot{\eta} + \frac{\dot{m}}{m}\dot{\eta}\right) = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\Omega^2 = (\omega^2 - \tau_0)$ . These are very complicated equations to tackle. So we concentrate on real solutions only and in this regard consider a mass function  $m(t)$  of the exponential type

$$m(t) = m_0e^{-qt}, \quad q > 0 \quad (4.5)$$

where its damping character is in keeping with [21, 22] but with respect to the  $t$ -variable. For  $\sigma$  we find the solution

$$\sigma(t) = e^{qt/2} \quad (4.6)$$

subject to the the following constraint on  $\tau_0$

$$\tau_0 = \omega^2 - \frac{q^2}{4} \quad (4.7)$$

$\tau$  is insensitive to the sign of  $q$ . For completeness we note that  $\delta$  turns out to be a constant while the coefficient function  $\gamma$  and the electric field  $E$  show a decaying behaviour  $\sim e^{-qt/2}$ .

We display the behavior of the mass variation in Figure 1 corresponding to different values of  $\omega$ . We took the input value of  $\tau_0 = 0.01$ . The results reflect a typical exponential trajectory of  $m$ .




Figure 1:  $m(t)$  variation for  $\omega = 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9$

## 5 Summary

In this article we considered the possibility of introducing a time-dependent mass while constructing an invariant for a time-dependent quantum Hamiltonian. Assuming a time-dependent harmonic oscillator with a Stark-like Hamiltonian we were able to derive the invariant conditions in their entirety. We then obtained the time-dependent mass version of the  $EP$  equation that included an additional term as compared to the constant-mass case. Because of its strongly nonlinear character we inquired into simple parameter variations to assess the mass function. For the specific case of a damping mass with a certain input value of  $\tau_0$ , its graphical behavior was plotted over a wide range of  $t$ .

## 6 Acknowledgment

We are indebted to Andreas Fring and Rebecca Tenney for pointing out errors in the previous version of the draft.

## References

- [1] Ashkin A 1970 Acceleration and trapping of particles by radiation pressure *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24** 156
- [2] Neuman K C and Block S M 2004 Optical trapping *Review of scientific instruments* **75** 2787
- [3] Ashkin A, Dziedzic J M, Bjorkholm J E and Chu S 1986 Observation of a single-beam gradient force optical trap for dielectric particles *Optics Letters* **11** 288
- [4] Metcalf H J and Van der Straten P 2007 *Laser cooling and trapping of neutral atoms, The Optics Encyclopedia: Basic Foundations and Practical Applications.*
- [5] Ashkin A, Dziedzic J M and Yamane T 1987 Optical trapping and manipulation of single cells using infrared laser beams *Nature* **330** 769.
- [6] Ohara K M, Gehm M E, Granade S R and Thomas J E 2001 Scaling laws for evaporative cooling in time-dependent optical traps *Phys. Rev.* **A64** 051403
- [7] Fulton R, Bishop A I, Shneider M N and Barker P F 2006 Controlling the motion of cold molecules with deep periodic optical potentials *Nature Physics* **2** 465
- [8] Lewis H R, Jr 1968 Class of exact invariants for classical and quantum time-dependent harmonic oscillators *J. Math. Phys.* **9** 1976
- [9] Lewis H R, Jr and Riesenfeld W 1969 An exact quantum theory of the time dependent harmonic oscillator and of a charged particle time dependent electromagnetic field *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 1458
- [10] Lai Y -Z, Liang J -Q, Müller-Kirsten H J W and Zhou J -G 1996 Time-dependent quantum systems and the invariant Hermitian operator *Phys. Rev.* **A53** 3691
- [11] Fring A and Tenney R 2020 Time-independent approximations for time-dependent optical potentials *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135** 163
- [12] Fring A and Tenney R 2021 Perturbative approach for strong and weakly coupled time-dependent non-Hermitian quantum systems *Phys Scrip* **96** 045211
- [13] Fring A and Frith T 2017 Mending the broken PT-regime via an explicit time-dependent Dyson map *Phys. Lett.* **A381** 2318

- [14] Koussa W and Maamache M 2020 Pseudo-Invariant Approach for a Particle in a Complex Time-Dependent Linear Potential *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **59** 1490
- [15] Ermakov V 1880 Second order differential equations. Conditions of complete integrability *Universita Izvestia Kiev Series III* **9** 1
- [16] Pinney E 1950 The nonlinear differential equation  $y'' + p(x)y + \frac{c}{y^3} = 0$  *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** 681
- [17] Bertin M C, Pimentek B M and Ramirez J A 2012 Construction of time-dependent dynamical invariants: A new approach *J. Math. Phys.* **53** 042104
- [18] Morris R M and Leach P G L 2015 The Ermakov-Pinney Equation: its varied origins and the effects of the introduction of symmetry-breaking functions arXiv:1510.08992v1 (math.CA)
- [19] Bekkar H, Benamira F and Maamache M 2003 Comment on “Solution of the Schrödinger equation for the time-dependent linear potential” *Phys. Rev. A* **68** 016101
- [20] Landim R R and Guedes I 2000 Wave functions for a Dirac particle in a time-dependent potential *Phys. Rev.* **A61** 054101
- [21] Zhang Z-G 2007 Complete solutions for a class of time-dependent Dirac equations *Phys. Scr.* **76** 349
- [22] Pedrosa I A 1997 Exact wave functions of a harmonic oscillator with time-dependent mass and frequency *Phys. Rev.* **A** **55** 3219
- [23] Bagchi B, Gorain P, Quesne C and Roychoudhury R 2005 New approach to (quasi)-exactly solvable Schrödinger equations with a position-dependent effective mass *Europhys. Lett.* **72** 155
- [24] Sakurai J J 1994 *Modern quantum mechanics* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.
- [25] Rogers J and Spector D 1992 Quantum mechanics with explicit time-dependence *Phys. Lett.* **A170** 344
- [26] Efthimion C J and Spector D 1994 Separation of variables and exactly soluble time-dependent potentials in quantum mechanics *Phys. Rev.* **A49** 2301