

Permanents of 2×2 Matrices Modulo n

A. BOHRA AND A. SATYANARAYANA REDDY

Abstract - In this article we compute the number of invertible 2×2 matrices with integer entries modulo n whose permanents are congruent modulo n to a given integer x .

Keywords : modular arithmetic; permanents; determinants

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) : 05B10; 15A15.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let us see why counting the matrices described in the abstract is a natural idea.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ denote the ring of integers modulo n . We will denote $\text{GCD}(a, b)$ by (a, b) .

Lockhart and Wardlaw compute in [1] the number of square matrices with entries in \mathbb{Z}_n with given determinant x . Trying to do the same after replacing the determinant by the permanent looks like a daunting task, so let us examine the case of 2×2 matrices.

Let $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ denote the ring of all 2×2 matrices with entries from \mathbb{Z}_n .

Definition 1.1 Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. The permanent of A , denoted $\text{perm}(A)$, is defined as

$$\text{perm}(A) = ad + bc \pmod{n}.$$

If $n, x \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$D_n(x) = \{A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n) \mid \det(A) \equiv x \pmod{n}\}.$$

The number of elements of $D_n(x)$, $|D_n(x)|$, was found in [1]. If we now define

$$F_n(x) = \{A \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n) \mid \text{perm}(A) \equiv x \pmod{n}\},$$

and try to find $|F_n(x)|$, there is good news and bad news. The bad news is that it is easy to see that this will be the same number: the sets $F_n(x)$ and $D_n(x)$ are in bijection via the map sending $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. The good news is that $|F_n(x)|$ shares the properties of $|D_n(x)|$ [1], such as :

1. Multiplicativity in n : If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, b) = 1$, then

$$|F_{ab}(x)| = |F_a(x)| \times |F_b(x)|$$

2. GCD invariance : If $n, x, y \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$|F_n(x)| = |F_n(y)| \text{ whenever } (n, x) = (n, y)$$

For example, this allows us to conclude that

$$|M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = \sum_{d|n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) |F_n(d)|.$$

Denote by $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ the group of units of $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)$. If we now set

$$G_n(x) = \{A \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_n) | \text{perm}(A) \equiv x \pmod{n}\} \text{ and } g_n(x) = |G_n(x)|,$$

we will see that computing the values of $g_n(x)$ for every n and x is an interesting problem.

It is known that $|GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)| = (p^2 - p)(p^2 - 1)$. If $\psi : GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the group homomorphism induced by the natural ring homomorphism $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, then it is easy to check that $|\ker\psi| = p^{4(k-1)}$. From the surjectivity of ψ , we have

$$|GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_{p^k})| = p^{4(k-1)} |GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)| = p^{4(k-1)} (p^2 - p)(p^2 - 1) \quad (1)$$

(Note that a similar formula holds in arbitrary dimension [1].)

2 The Function $g_n(x)$

We start this section with the following remark related to $G_n(0)$, which we will use frequently.

Remark 2.1 Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G_n(0)$. Then $\text{perm}(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ and $(\det(A), n) = (ad - bc, n) = 1$. We claim that each of a, b, c, d is relatively prime to n . Suppose it were not true, without loss of generality assume that $(a, n) = r > 1$. Then there is a prime p such that $p|r$. As a consequence $p|a$, now p is prime and $p|ad + bc$ implies $p|b$ or $p|c$ which contradicts the fact that $(ad - bc, n) = 1$.

An immediate consequence of above remark is that for an odd prime p and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$g_{p^k}(0) = \phi(p^k)^3 = (p^k - p^{k-1})^3 \quad (2)$$

The following result shows that $g_n(x)$ is multiplicative in n , which is a direct consequence of the proof that $|F_n(x)|$ is multiplicative in n [1]. We are including the proof for the sake of completeness. With Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 we can compute $g_n(x)$ for every n and x . In the process we show that $g_{p^k}(x)$ assumes only two values. In

particular, we show that $g_{p^k}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{p^k}(0) & \text{if } p|x, \\ g_{p^k}(1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proposition 2.2 *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(a, b) = 1$. Then for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$g_{ab}(x) = g_a(x) \times g_b(x).$$

Proof. We make use of the bijection we already have from $F_{ab}(x) \rightarrow F_a(x) \times F_b(x)$ in [1] which takes $[c_{ij}] \mapsto ([c_{ij} \pmod{a}], [c_{ij} \pmod{b}])$. Consider the same map from $G_{ab}(x) \rightarrow G_a(x) \times G_b(x)$. It is injective on the restricted domain since it is injective on the superset $F_{ab}(x)$. The range of the map is clearly contained in $G_a(x) \times G_b(x)$ since a unit (\pmod{ab}) is also a unit (\pmod{a}) and (\pmod{b}) when $(a, b) = 1$. Now given $(R, S) \in G_a(x) \times G_b(x)$ we have a pre-image $T \in F_{ab}(x)$. We claim that this T lies in $G_{ab}(x)$. For if it was not, then $\det(T)$ would be a zero divisor in \mathbb{Z}_{ab} , that would contradict R and S both being invertible. Thus the map is surjective on the restricted domain as well and hence a bijection from $G_{ab}(x) \rightarrow G_a(x) \times G_b(x)$. Thus $g_n(x)$ is multiplicative. \square

The next result describes $g_n(x)$ when n is a power of a prime.

Proposition 2.3 *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

- (i) *for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p|x$, $g_{p^k}(x) = g_{p^k}(0)$. Furthermore, if $p = 2$, then $g_{2^k}(0) = 0$.*
- (ii) *if $p \nmid x$, then $g_{p^k}(x) = g_{p^k}(1)$.*

Proof. (i) We first construct a bijection from $G_{p^k}(0)$ to $G_{p^k}(p^i)$:

$$\lambda : G_{p^k}(0) \rightarrow G_{p^k}(p^i) \text{ by } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d + a^{-1}p^i \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that the above map is injective. Given $A \in G_{p^k}(0)$, $\lambda(A) \in G_{p^k}(p^i)$. For $\gcd(ad - bc, p^k) = 1 \implies \gcd((ad - bc) + p^i, p^k) = \gcd(\det(\lambda(A)), p^k) = 1$. Furthermore, λ is a surjective map as well. This is because given $B = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \in G_{p^k}(p^i)$, we are guaranteed the existence of the multiplicative inverse $(a')^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ (this can be proved in the same way as Remark 2.1). Now consider $C = \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' - (a')^{-1}p^i \end{bmatrix} \in G_{p^k}(0)$. Clearly, $\lambda(C) = B$ and thus

$$|G_{p^k}(0)| = |G_{p^k}(p^i)| \implies g_{p^k}(0) = g_{p^k}(p^i).$$

Now, if $p \nmid m$, define $h_m : G_{p^k}(p^i) \rightarrow G_{p^k}(mp^i)$ by $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} ma & mb \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. This is a bijection, since $(m, p^k) = 1$ there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ such that $mt \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k}$, and the map $h_t : G_{p^k}(mp^i) \rightarrow G_{p^k}(p^i)$ defined as $\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} tp & tq \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$ is the inverse of h_m . Thus

$$g_{p^k}(0) = g_{p^k}(p^i) = g_{p^k}(mp^i).$$

Now consider the case when $p = 2$ and let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in G_{2^k}(0)$, so $ad + bc \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$ and $2 \nmid \det(A) \equiv ad - bc$. Since $2 \mid ad + bc$, both ad and bc have the same parity, so $2 \mid ad - bc$ as well, a contradiction. Thus

$$g_{2^k}(0) = 0.$$

(ii) We prove, more generally, that $g_n(k) = g_n(1)$ if $(k, n) = 1$. There exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $k\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. The map $h_k : G_n(1) \rightarrow G_n(k)$ defined by $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} ka & kb \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$, has inverse $h_\ell : G_n(k) \rightarrow G_n(1)$ defined by $\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \ell p & \ell q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$. \square

The following result follows immediately from Propositions 2.2 and 2.3

Corollary 2.4 *Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r be distinct odd primes.*

(i) *Let $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $g_n(x)$ takes 2^r possible values.*

(ii) *Let $n = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r} \in \mathbb{N}$, where $a > 0$. Then $g_n(x)$ takes $2^{r-1} + 1$ possible values.*

Corollary 2.5 *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $|GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) g_n(d)$.*

Proof. We have

$$|GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_n)| = g_n(1) + g_n(2) + \dots + g_n(n),$$

so it is sufficient to prove that $g_n(a) = g_n(b)$ whenever $(a, n) = (b, n)$. If $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ and $(k, n) = d > 1$, then $d = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_r^{b_r}$, where $0 \leq b_i \leq a_i$, and from Proposition 2.2 we have

$$g_n(d) = \prod_{p_i \mid d} g_{p_i^{a_i}}(d) \prod_{p_j \nmid d} g_{p_j^{a_j}}(d).$$

Now from Proposition 2.3 we have

$$g_n(d) = \prod_{p_i \mid d} g_{p_i^{a_i}}(0) \prod_{p_j \nmid d} g_{p_j^{a_j}}(1) = g_n(k).$$

\square

Corollary 2.6 *Let p be an odd prime and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

(i) $g_{p^k}(0) = (p^k - p^{k-1})^3$

(ii) $g_{p^k}(1) = p^{3(k-1)}(p-1)(p^2+1)$

(iii) $g_{2^k}(0) = 0$

(iv) $g_{2^k}(1) = 6 \times 8^{k-1}$.

Proof. (i) is (2).

(ii) follows from (i), (1), Proposition 2.3, and Corollary 2.5.

(iii) is in Proposition 2.3 (i).

(iv) follows from (iii), (1), Proposition 2.3, and Corollary 2.5. \square

We can now use the fact that $g_n(x)$ is multiplicative in n and the previous corollary to find values of $g_n(x)$ for all $n, x \in \mathbb{N}$. We illustrate this process with a couple of examples.

For the first example, $g_{75}(x)$ can take four values:

1. $g_{75}(0) = g_3(0)g_{25}(0) = 64,000$
2. $g_{75}(1) = g_3(1)g_{25}(1) = 260,000$
3. $g_{75}(3) = g_3(0)g_{25}(1) = 104,000$
4. $g_{75}(5) = g_3(1)g_{25}(0) = 160,000$.

Any other $g_{75}(x)$ is equal to one of these four, e.g.

$$g_{75}(14) = g_3(14)g_{25}(14) = g_3(1)g_{25}(1) = 260,000$$

and

$$g_{75}(35) = g_3(35)g_{25}(35) = g_3(1)g_{25}(0) = 160,000.$$

For the second example, the three possible values of $g_{24}(x)$ are :

1. $g_{24}(0) = g_8(0)g_3(0) = 0$
2. $g_{24}(1) = g_8(1)g_3(1) = 7,680$
3. $g_{24}(3) = g_8(1)g_3(0) = 3,072$.

Any other $g_{24}(x)$ is equal to one of these three, e.g.

$$g_{24}(14) = g_8(14)g_3(14) = g_8(0)g_3(1) = 0 \cdot g_3(1) = 0$$

and

$$g_{24}(35) = g_{24}(11) = g_8(11)g_3(11) = g_8(1)g_3(1) = 7,680.$$

References

- [1] J.M. Lockhart, W.P. Wardlaw, Determinants of Matrices over the Integers Modulo m , *Math. Mag.*, **80** (2007), 207–214. Also available at the URL:<http://www.jstor.org/stable/27643029>

Ayush Bohra

Department of Mathematics,
Shiv Nadar University,
India-201314.
E-mail: ab424@snu.edu.in

Arikatla Satyanarayana Reddy

Department of Mathematics,
Shiv Nadar University,
India-201314.
E-mail: satya.a@snu.edu.in