

# LOCAL COHOMOLOGY OF MODULE OF DIFFERENTIALS OF INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS

S. P. DUTTA

ABSTRACT. The main focus of this paper is on determining the highest non-vanishing local cohomology modules of  $\Omega_{B/R}, \Omega_{B/V}$  ( $\Omega_{B/k}$ ) where  $R$  is either a complete regular local ring or a complete local normal domain with coefficient ring  $V$  (field  $k$ ) and  $B$  is its integral closure in an algebraic extension of  $Q(R)$ . Similar problem is also studied over a normal domain  $R$  containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0. In this connection new observations on the direct summand property for integral extensions are also presented.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we mainly address the following problem: let  $R$  be a normal domain containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 or let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring/complete local normal domain of dimension  $n$  with co-efficient ring  $V$  (field  $k$ ). Let  $K$  denote the field of fractions of  $R$  and let  $B$  denote the integral closure of  $R$  in an algebraic extension  $F$  of  $K$ . Let  $\Omega_{B/R}, \Omega_{B/V}(\Omega_{B/k})$  denote the module of differentials of  $B$  over  $R, V(k)$  respectively.

**Problem.** Determine whether  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/k}, R)(\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/V}, R)) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \neq 0$  or determine the highest non-vanishing local cohomology modules with respect to  $m$  of  $\Omega_{B/V}(\Omega_{B/k})$  and  $\Omega_{B/R}$ .

In section 2 we prove several lemmas that will be used in the proofs of theorems in section 3 & section 4. The corresponding results include providing sufficient conditions for a) extensions  $A \rightarrow B$  so that  $\Omega_{B/A}$  becomes  $B$ -flat,  $\Gamma_{B/A}$  becomes null and for b) extensions  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  so that the corresponding right exact sequence of module of differentials becomes left exact. Another useful tool in this respect is theorem 2.3 where by utilizing Popescu's work ([11], [14]) we provide a simple proof of the fact that for any geometrically regular extension of Noetherian rings  $A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is  $B$ -flat and  $\Gamma_{B/A} = 0$ .

---

AMS Subject Classification: 13D02, 13D22, 13D45, 13N05

Key words and phrases: module of differentials, integral extension, geometric regularity, local cohomology, formally unramified extensions.

The second part of this result is originally due to M. André ([1]) and later it was proved by Popescu ([11]) via his characterization of geometrically regular extensions. A stronger version of this result has been proved by Gabber & Ramero (Cor. 5.6.25, [4]).

As a corollary to lemma 2.1 it has been pointed out that for any integral domain  $A$  integral over a complete local normal domain  $(R, m)$  of dimension  $n$  such that  $Q(A)$  is separably algebraic over  $Q(R)$ ,  $H_m^n(\Omega_{A/R}) = 0$ .

One of our main results in section 3 is the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $R$  be a normal domain of dimension  $n$  containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 with a non-null derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and let  $B$  be its integral closure in an algebraic extension  $F$  of  $K$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/k}, R) \neq 0$ . If  $(R, m)$  is a complete local normal domain of dimension  $n$  with maximal ideal  $m$  and a non-null derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$ , then  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/k}) \neq 0$ .

The two key steps in the proof of this theorem are **Theorem 3.1** and **Proposition 3.4** where the same statement is proved for a complete d.v.r. and for a d.v.r. with a non-null derivation respectively. In these proofs we provide a prescription for defining a non-null  $R$ -linear map:  $\Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow R$  that depends on the non-null derivation  $D$ .

Next we deal with complete local domains. We would like to mention here that if  $(C, q)$  is a complete local domain of dimension  $n$  with coefficient ring  $V(k)$ , then  $\Omega_{C/V}(\Omega_{C/k})$  is not necessarily a finitely generated  $C$ -module. We prove the following:

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(C, q)$  be a complete local domain of dimension  $n \geq 2$  with coefficient ring  $V$  (field  $k$ ). Let  $(R, m)$  be a power series ring over  $V(k)$  contained in  $C$  such that  $C$  is a module finite extension of  $R$ . We have the following:

- i) if  $Q(C)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic then  $H_q^n(\Omega_{C/V})(H_q^n(\Omega_{C/k})) \neq 0$ ,
- ii) if characteristic of  $k = p > 0, k$  perfect and  $\Omega_{Q(C)/k} \neq 0$ , then  $H_q^n(\Omega_{C/k}) \neq 0$  and
- iii) if  $Q(C)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic, then  $H_q^n(\Omega_{C/R}) = 0$ ; moreover if  $\Omega_{C/R} \neq 0$  and  $C$  is normal, then  $H_q^{n-1}(\Omega_{C/R}) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.7.**, the final result of this section, can be viewed as an extension of the theorem on purity of branch locus ([10], [15]) to infinitely generated integrally closed domains  $B$  integral over a regular local ring  $R$ .

In section 4 we deal with the situation where  $R$  is a complete regular local ring and  $B$  is the absolute integral closure of  $R$ . Our problem is irrelevant in positive characteristic, since  $\Omega_{B/k} = 0$  and  $\Omega_{B/R} = 0$  i.e.

$k \rightarrow B$  and  $R \rightarrow B$  are unramified extensions. Due to theorem 3.5 we focus our attention on mixed characteristic. In this part of our work the highest non-vanishing local cohomology of  $\Omega_{B/R}$  gets connected with the direct summand property for integral extensions of  $R$  conjectured by M. Hochster ([7]). Hochster proved the equicharacteristic case of his conjecture in early seventies ([7]). In 2016 Y. André ([2]) proved this conjecture in mixed characteristic by using aspects of Almost Ring Theory introduced by Faltings in his work on  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. Afterwards Bhatt ([3]) gave a short proof of this conjecture and its derived variant. Both proofs use the notion of perfectoid geometry. The main theorem in section 4 is the following:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring of dimension  $n$  with coefficient ring  $V$  of mixed characteristic  $p$  and let  $B$  denote its absolute integral closure. We have the following:

**i)**  $\Omega_{B/V} = p \Omega_{B/V}, \Omega_{B/R} = p \Omega_{B/R}$ . These observations imply the following:

**a)** For any ideal  $I$  of  $R$  of the form  $I = (p, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  generated by a part of a system of parameters containing  $p$  of  $R$ ,  $H_I^i(\Omega_{B/V}) = 0$  and  $H_I^i(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$ . In particular  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/V}) = 0$  and  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$ .

**b)**  $\widehat{\Omega}_{B/V} = 0 = \widehat{\Omega}_{B/R}$ , where  $(\widehat{\phantom{x}})$  denotes the  $I$ -adic completion. And hence  $V \rightarrow B, R \rightarrow B, V \rightarrow \widehat{B}$  and  $R \rightarrow \widehat{B}$  are all formally unramified extensions.

**ii)** Non-vanishing of  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R})$  implies the direct summand property for integral extensions of  $R$  (equivalently descent of flatness for integral extensions of Noetherian rings ([12])) and the converse is also true.

Non-vanishing of  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V})$  implies the direct summand property for integral extensions over  $R$ .

For part i) we do not need  $R$  to be regular. Actually we prove a much more general statement.

As a **Corollary**, due to Y. André's proof of the direct summand conjecture in mixed characteristic, it follows that  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ .

We do not know whether part ii) of the above theorem is valid in equicharacteristic zero.

As corollaries to **Proposition 4.2** we derive the following over  $R = V[[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]]$  and  $m, B$  as above: i) for any  $i > 0$ , if  $H_m^{i+1}(B) \neq 0$ , then  $H_m^i(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$  and ii) for any  $i \geq 0$  there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^{n-1} \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(\Omega_{B/V}, R) \rightarrow 0$$

In particular

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^{n-1} B^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/V}, R) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Our final theorem deals with local cohomology with respect to the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  generated by a part of a regular system of parameters. The second assertion in this theorem provides an apparently approachable sufficient condition for the direct summand property.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring in mixed characteristics  $p > 0$  with co-efficient ring  $V$ , and  $B$  be its absolute integral closure. Let  $p, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  be a system of parameters of  $R$  such that  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  form a regular system of parameters. Let  $\underline{x}$  denote the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  in  $R$ . Then  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ . Non-vanishing of  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R})$  implies the direct summand property for integral extensions of  $R$ .

**Corollary.** If  $H_p^0(H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V})) \neq 0$ , then  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ .

Let us note that some of the results proved in section 4 are not valid in equicharacteristic zero. I do not know answers to several questions that came up during the course of this work. A few of these questions related to this paper are mentioned at the end.

For definition of  $\Gamma_{S/R}$  and for basic properties of  $\Omega_{S/R}$  and  $\Gamma_{S/R}$  the reader is referred to ([5], [14]).

**Notations.** Given an extension  $R \rightarrow S$  the corresponding module of differentials is denoted by  $\Omega_{S/R}$  (instead of  $\Omega_{S/R}^1$ ); d.v.r. stands for discrete valuation ring; for any integral domain (ring)  $C$ ,  $Q(C)$  denotes the field of fractions (quotient ring) of  $C$ ; for any local ring  $(R, m)$ ,  $E$  denotes the injective hull of  $R/m$  over  $R$ ,  $E(k(P))$  denotes the injective hull of  $R/P$  over  $R$  and for any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $M^\vee$  stands  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ ,  $M^*$  stands for  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ .

## SECTION 2.

In this section we are going to prove several lemmas and propositions that will be used in section 3 and section 4.

**2.1. Lemma.** Let  $R$  be a normal domain,  $R \hookrightarrow A$  an integral extension such that  $A$  is an integral domain and  $Q(R) \hookrightarrow Q(A)$  is a separable algebraic extension. Then  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{A/R}, R) = 0$ .

**Proof.** For any  $a \in A$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , the minimal polynomial  $f(X)$  for  $a$  has all its co-efficient in  $R$ ; separability of  $Q(A)$  over  $Q(R)$  implies  $f'(a) \neq 0$ . Since  $f(a) = 0$ ,  $f'(a)da = 0 \dots \dots \dots (1)$

Since  $f'(a)$  is integral over  $R$  and  $A$  is an integral domain, an integral equation of smallest degree satisfied by  $f'(a)$  is of the form:

$$[f'(a)]^t + r_1[f'(a)]^{t-1} + \dots + r_t = 0, r_i \in R$$

where  $r_t \neq 0$ . This implies, due to (1), that  $r_t da = 0$ . This is true for every  $a$  in  $A - R$ . Thus  $\Omega_{A/R}$  is a torsion module over both  $A$  and  $R$  and hence  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{A/R}, R) = 0$

**Corollary.** If  $(R, m)$  is a complete local normal domain of dimension  $n$  and  $A$  is as above then  $H_m^n(\Omega_{A/R}) = 0$ .

This follows from local duality due to the fact that the canonical module of  $R$  is isomorphic to a height 1 ideal in  $R$  and  $R$  can be embedded into this ideal.

**2.2. Sub-Lemma.** Let  $R \hookrightarrow S$  be two integral domains such that  $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ , where  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  form an  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ -sequence and  $Q(S)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic. Then  $\Gamma_{S/R} = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ . We have the following exact sequence of  $S$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{S/R} \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow \oplus_1^n S dx_i \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Since  $I/I^2$  is a free  $S$  module of rank  $n$  and  $S$  is a domain,  $I/I^2$  is torsion-free over  $S$ ; hence so is  $\Gamma_{S/R}$  unless it is 0.

Since  $Q(S)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic,  $\Omega_{Q(S)/Q(R)} = 0$ , i.e.  $\Omega_{S/R} \otimes Q(S) = 0$ . Tensoring the above sequence with  $Q(S)$  we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Q(S) \otimes \Gamma_{S/R} \rightarrow Q(S) \otimes I/I^2 \rightarrow \oplus_1^n Q(S) dx_i \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Since  $\text{rank } Q(S) \otimes I/I^2 = n = \text{rank } \oplus_1^n Q(S) dx_i$ , it follows from (3) that  $Q(S) \otimes \Gamma_{S/R} = 0$ . Hence  $\Gamma_{S/R} = 0$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $R \hookrightarrow S$  be two regular rings such that  $S$  is a module-finite extension of  $R$  and  $Q(S)$  is separable algebraic over  $Q(R)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{S/R} = 0$ .

**Proof.** First we assume that both  $R$  and  $S$  are local.

Since  $\dim R = \dim S$ , we have  $S \simeq R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/P$  where  $P$  is a prime ideal of height  $n$  (one could also write  $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]_T/P$  where  $T$  is the inverse image of the maximal ideal of  $S$  under the onto map  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow S$ ). Since  $S$  is regular local,  $P$  is a complete intersection ideal generated by  $n$  elements and  $P/P^2$  is a free  $S$ -module of rank  $n$ . The proof now follows by the above sub-lemma.

Now let us assume that  $R$  is local. Let  $m$  denote the maximal ideal of  $R$  and let  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  denote the maximal ideals of  $S$ . Let  $S_i = S_{m_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and let  $\widehat{R}, \widehat{S}$  and  $\widehat{S}_i$  denote the  $m$ -adic completions of  $R, S$

and  $S_i$  respectively. Then  $\widehat{S}_i$  is a complete regular local ring module-finite over  $\widehat{R}$ . It can be checked that  $\Gamma_{S/R} \otimes \widehat{S} \simeq \Gamma_{\widehat{S}/\widehat{R}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \Gamma_{\widehat{S}_i/\widehat{R}}$ .

Due to the result proved above it follows that  $\Gamma_{S/R} \otimes \widehat{S} = 0$  and hence  $\Gamma_{S/R} = 0$ .

Finally the general case follows via localization.

**2.3. Theorem (André, Gabber-Ramero, Popescu, see introduction)** Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be an extension of Noetherian rings such that  $B$  is geometrically regular over  $A$ . Then  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is  $B$ -flat and  $\Gamma_{B/A} = 0$ .

**Proof.** Popescu's theorem ([11], [14]) asserts that in the above situation  $B = \varinjlim C$ , a filtered inductive limit over smooth  $A$ -algebras  $C$ . Then  $\Omega_{C/A}$  is a finitely generated projective  $C$ -module and  $\Gamma_{C/A} = 0$ . Since  $\Omega_{B/A} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/A}$   $\Gamma_{B/A} = \varinjlim \Gamma_{C/A}$ , the conclusion follows.

**2.4. Lemma.**  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  are injective ring homomorphisms of integral domains such that  $Q(C)$  is a separable algebraic extension of  $Q(B)$  and  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is a flat  $B$ -module. Then the following sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Proof.** we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{C/B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Since  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is  $B$ -flat,  $\Omega_{B/A} \otimes_B C$  is  $C$ -flat; since  $C$  is an integral domain this implies that  $\Gamma_{C/B/A}$  is a torsion-free  $C$ -module unless it is null. Let  $S = C - \{0\}$ . Tensoring (4) with  $S^{-1}C = Q(C)$  we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow S^{-1}\Gamma_{C/B/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{Q(B)/Q(A)} \otimes Q(C) \rightarrow \Omega_{Q(C)/Q(A)} \rightarrow \Omega_{Q(C)/Q(B)} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $Q(C)$  is separable algebraic over  $Q(B)$ ,  $Q(C)$  is quasi-smooth (in Grothendieck's language: formally smooth with respect to discrete topology) over  $Q(B)$  and hence it follows that  $S^{-1}\Gamma_{C/B/A} = 0$  (20.5.7, [5]). Since  $\Gamma_{C/B/A}$  is torsion-free, it follows that  $\Gamma_{C/B/A} = 0$ .

**2.5.** Here we state a result that has been used several times in this paper. This result is known as Jacobi-Zariski sequence, henceforth JZ sequence.

**JZ sequence.** ([1], [14]) Given ring extensions  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ , there exists an exact sequence  $\Gamma_{C/A} \rightarrow \Gamma_{C/B} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/A} \otimes C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is  $B$ -flat then this sequence can be extended by putting  $\Gamma_{B/A} \otimes C$  on the left.

For a proof we refer the reader to ([14]).

SECTION 3: EQUICHAARACTERISTIC 0, COMPLETE LOCAL DOMAINS  
AND EXTENSION OF PURITY

**3.1. Theorem.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete discrete valuation ring containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 and let  $B$  denote its integral closure in an algebraic extension  $F$  of  $Q(R)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/k}, R) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K$  denote the field of fractions of  $R$ . Since characteristic of  $k = 0$ ,  $R$  is geometrically regular over  $k$ . By theorem 2.3,  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is a flat  $R$ -module and hence torsion-free over  $R$ . Let  $R \subset C \subset C' \subset B$  be such that  $C, C'$  are integral closures of  $R$  in  $Q(C), Q(C')$  respectively and  $[Q(C) : K] < \infty, [Q(C') : K] < \infty$ . Then  $C, C'$  are module-finite extensions of  $R$  and  $C'$  is a module finite extension of  $C$ . Since  $R$  is a complete d.v.r.,  $C, C'$  are also the same; hence, by argument as above,  $\Omega_{C/k}(\Omega_{C'/k})$  is a flat  $C(C')$  module and  $\Gamma_{C'/C} = 0$  (lemma 2.2). Due to lemma 2.4 and the JZ sequence 2.5 we obtain the following short exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k} \otimes B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/C} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/R} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/k} \otimes C' \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/C} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/R} \otimes C' \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/C} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

Also note that  $\Omega_{B/k} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/k}$  and  $\Omega_{B/R} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/R}$  over all  $C$  as above (9)

Since characteristic of  $k = 0$  and  $R$  is a complete d.v.r., any  $C$  as above is of the form  $C = R[X]/(f(X)) = R[b]$  where  $f(X)$  is monic irreducible in  $R[X]$  ([13]). Thus  $C$  is a free  $R$ -module with bases  $1, b, b^2, \dots, b^{n-1}, n = \text{deg } f(X)$ . Hence  $\Omega_{C/R} \simeq C/(f'(b))db$  is a cyclic  $C$ -module generated by  $db$ . It follows from (5), (6), (7) and (9) that any  $\omega \in \Omega_{B/k}$  can be expressed as:

$$\omega = \sum t_i \omega_i + cdb, \quad c \in C \text{ for some } C \text{ as above, } \omega_i \in \Omega_{R/k} \quad t_i \in C, \\ 1 \leq i \leq r. \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

Let  $\text{Tr} : F \rightarrow K$  denote the trace-map: for any  $x \neq 0 \in F$ , if  $x \in L \subset F$  such that  $[L : K] < \infty$ , then  $\text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(x)/[L : K]$ . Let  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$ , be non-null (e.g.  $D = \partial/\partial X, R = \mathbb{k}[[X]], \mathbb{k} = R/m$ ). Since characteristic of  $k = 0$ ,  $D$  can be extended uniquely to a derivation  $D$  (same notation):  $B \rightarrow F$ . We define an  $R$ -linear map  $L : \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow K$  as follows: for any  $\omega \in \Omega_{B/k}, L(\omega) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{D}(\omega))$ , where  $\tilde{D} : \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow F$  is the  $B$ -linear map induced by  $D$ .

**Claim.**  $\text{Im } L \subset R$

**Proof of the Claim.** Due to (10), given any  $\omega \in \Omega_{B/k}$ , we have  $L(\omega) = L(\sum t_i \omega_i) + L(cdb)$ , For any  $x \in B$ ,  $\text{Tr}(x) \in R$  and  $\tilde{D}(\omega_i) \in R$  for every  $i$ .

Hence  $L(\sum t_i \omega_i) \in R \dots\dots\dots(11)$ .

We need to show that  $L(cdb) \in R$ . Recall that  $f(b) = 0$  where  $f(X)$  is an irreducible polynomial in  $R[X]$ . Let  $f(X) = X^n + r_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + r_n$ ,  $r_i \in R$ . Then we have  $f'(b)db + \sum_1^n d(r_i)b^{n-i} = 0$ .

Hence  $f'(b)\tilde{D}(db) + \sum D(r_i)b^{n-i} = 0$ .

Due to separability  $f'(b) \neq 0$ . This implies

$$\tilde{D}(db) = -\sum D(r_i)b^{n-i}/f'(b), 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since  $c \in R[b]$ ,  $c = \sum s_i b^i$ ,  $s_i \in R$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Due to the fact that  $f(b) = 0$  and  $D(r_i) \in R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(cdb) = c\tilde{D}(db) = \sum \mu_i b^i / f'(b), \mu_i \in R, 0 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Since  $\text{Tr}(b^i/f'(b)) = 0$  for  $i < n-1$  and  $\text{Tr}(b^{n-1}/f'(b)) = 1$  ([13]), we have  $L(cdb) = \sum \mu_i \text{Tr}(b^i/f'(b)) \in R \dots\dots\dots(12)$

From (11) and (12)  $L(\omega) \in R$  for any  $\omega \in \Omega_{B/k}$  and thus the claim is proved.

**3.2 Remarks.** Notations are as in the proof of the above theorem.

1. We have  $\Omega_{B/k} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/k}$  and  $\Gamma_{B/k} = \varinjlim \Gamma_{C/k}$ . Since  $C$  is complete d.v.r. containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0,  $C$  is geometrically regular over  $k$ . It follows by theorem 2.3 that  $\Omega_{C/k}$  is a flat torsion free  $C$ -module and  $\Gamma_{C/k} = 0$ . Hence  $\Omega_{B/k}$  is a flat torsion free  $B$ -module and  $\Gamma_{B/k} = 0$ .

2. We have  $\Omega_{B/R} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/R}$ . Since  $\Omega_{C/R}$  is a cyclic  $C$ -module of finite length,  $H_m^0(\Omega_{B/R}) = \Omega_{B/R}$  and by lemma 2.1  $H_m^1(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$ .

**3.3 Corollary.** Notations are as in the proof of the above theorem.

The following sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes H_m^1(B) \rightarrow H_m^1(\Omega_{B/k}) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

From Remark 1 and the exact sequence:  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/k} \otimes B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0$  we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow H_m^1(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes B) \rightarrow H_m^1(\Omega_{B/k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\Omega_{R/k}$  is a flat  $R$ -module, we have  $H_m^1(\Omega_{R/k} \otimes B) \simeq \Omega_{R/k} \otimes H_m^1(B)$ .

The assertion now follows from Remark 2.

**3.4.** Next we deal with the non-complete d.v.r. case.

**Proposition.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a discrete valuation ring containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 with a non-null derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and



let  $B$  be its integral closure in an algebraic extension  $F$  of  $Q(R)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/k}, R) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K$  denote the field of fractions of  $R$ . Since characteristic of  $k = 0$ ,  $D$  can be extended uniquely to a derivation  $D$  (same notation):  $B \rightarrow F$ . Let  $\text{Tr} : F \rightarrow K$  denote the trace map. We define an  $R$ -linear map  $L : \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow K$  in the following way:

for any  $\omega \in \Omega_{B/k}$ ,  $L(\omega) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{D}(\omega))$ , where  $\tilde{D} : \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow F$  is the  $B$ -linear map induced by  $D$ .

**Claim.**  $\text{Im } L \subset R$ .

Can assume  $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ . We will show that  $L(\omega) \in R$ . Let  $C$  be a normal domain integral over  $R$  such that  $[Q(C) : K] < \infty$  and  $C \subset B$ . We have  $\Omega_{B/k} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/k}$  over all such  $C$  as above. For any  $R \subset C \subset C'$ ,  $C, C'$  as above are module finite extensions of  $R$  and hence are semi-local Dedekind domains. Since characteristics of  $k = 0$ , for any such  $C, k \hookrightarrow C$  is geometrically regular and hence  $\Omega_{C/k}$  is a flat  $C$ -module (theorem 2.3). The exact sequences (5)-(8) in 3.1 are valid here. In particular we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k} \otimes C' \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{C'/C} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

The left exactness in (13) follows from lemma 2.2 and the JZ sequence 2.5. Without any lose of generality can assume  $\omega \in \Omega_{C/k}$  for some  $C$  as above. Let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_r$  be the maximal ideals of  $C$  lying over  $m$ . Then  $\widehat{C} \simeq \widehat{C}_{Q_1} \times \dots \times \widehat{C}_{Q_r}$  (m-adic completion) \dots\dots\dots(14)

Here each  $\widehat{C}_{Q_i}$  is a complete d.v.r. and a module finite extension of  $\widehat{R}$ . Since  $R$  contains a field of characteristic 0, each  $C_{Q_i} \rightarrow \widehat{C}_{Q_i}$  is geometrically regular and hence so is  $C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ . By theorem 2.3  $\Omega_{\widehat{C}/C}$  is a flat  $\widehat{C}$ -module and  $\Gamma_{\widehat{C}/C} = 0$ . Hence, due to the JZ sequence 2.5, we obtain the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{C/k} \otimes \widehat{C} \rightarrow \Omega_{\widehat{C}/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{\widehat{C}/C} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots(15)$$

$$\text{We also have } \Omega_{\widehat{C}/k} \simeq \Omega_{\widehat{C}_{Q_1}/k} \times \dots \times \Omega_{\widehat{C}_{Q_r}/k} \dots\dots\dots(16)$$

Due to the fact that  $\Omega_{B/k} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/k}$  and (13), (15) and (16) we can write

$$\omega = \omega_1 \times \dots \times \omega_r, \omega_i \in \Omega_{\widehat{C}_{Q_i}/k}, 1 \leq i \leq r. \dots\dots\dots(17)$$

Since  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and  $\text{Der}_k(R, \widehat{R}) \simeq \text{Der}_k(\widehat{R})$  ( $R$  being local any such  $D$  is continuous),  $D$  can be extended uniquely to a derivation  $\widehat{D} : \widehat{R} \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ . This derivation  $\widehat{D}$  can be extended uniquely to a derivation  $\widehat{D}_i : \widehat{C}_{Q_i} \rightarrow K_i$ , where  $K_i$  is the field of fractions of  $\widehat{C}_{Q_i}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Thus  $D$  can be extended uniquely to a derivation  $D' : \widehat{C} \rightarrow K_1 \times \dots \times K_r$

such that  $D'|\widehat{C}_{Q_i} = \widehat{D}_i$ . Due to uniqueness of extension of derivation we have  $D'|C = D$ .

Hence from (17) we have  $\tilde{D}(\omega) = \Sigma\tilde{D}_i(\omega_i)$  where  $\tilde{D}_i$  is the corresponding  $\widehat{C}_{Q_i}$ -linear map:  $\Omega_{\widehat{C}_{Q_i}/k} \rightarrow K_i$ . This implies that  $L(\omega) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{D}(\omega)) = \Sigma\text{Tr}(\tilde{D}_i(\omega_i))$ . By theorem 3.1,  $\text{Tr}(\tilde{D}_i(\omega_i)) \in \widehat{R}, 1 \leq i \leq r$ . Hence  $L(\omega) \in K \cap \widehat{R} = R$  and the claim is proved.

**Remark.** Remarks in 3.2 and corollary of 3.3 are also valid here.

**3.5.** Our next theorem in this section addresses the general set-up.

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a normal domain containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 with a non-null derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and let  $B$  be its integral closure in an algebraic extension  $F$  of  $Q(R)$ . Then  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/k}, R) \neq 0$ . In particular if  $(R, m)$  is a complete local normal domain of dimension  $n$  containing a field  $k$  of characteristic 0 with a derivation as above, then  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/k}) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $K$  denote the field of fractions of  $R$ . Since characteristic of  $k = 0$ ,  $D$  can be extended to a derivation  $D$  (same notation):  $B \rightarrow F$  and hence to a derivation:  $B_P \rightarrow F$  for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Let  $\text{Tr} : F \rightarrow K$  denote the trace map. We define an  $R$ -linear map  $L : \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow K$  in the following way:

for any  $\omega \in \Omega_{B/k}$ ,  $L(\omega) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{D}(\omega))$  where  $\tilde{D} : \Omega_{B/k} \rightarrow F$  is the  $B$ -linear map induced by  $D$ ; the same prescription works for  $\Omega_{B_P/k} \rightarrow F$  for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ .

**Claim.**  $\text{Im } L \subset R$ .

Can assume  $\tilde{D}(\omega) \neq 0$ . Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of height 1 in  $R$ . Let  $\omega$  also denote the image of  $\omega$  in  $\Omega_{B_P/k}$ . It follows from proposition 3.4 that  $L(\omega) \in R_P$ .

Since this holds for every prime ideal  $P$  of height 1 in  $R$  and  $R$  is a normal domain,  $L(\omega) \in \bigcap_{ht P=1} R_P = R$ . Hence the claim follows.

In particular if  $R$  is a complete local normal domain then the canonical module of  $R$  is isomorphic to a height 1 ideal of  $R$ . Hence the assertion follows by local duality.

**3.6.** The following theorem deals with complete local domains. We recall that if  $(C, q)$  is a complete local domain of dimension  $n$  with coefficient ring  $V(k)$ , then  $\Omega_{C/V}(\Omega_{C/k})$  is not necessarily a finitely generated  $C$ -module.

**Theorem.** Let  $(C, q)$  be a complete local domain of dimension  $n \geq 2$  with coefficient ring  $V$  (field  $k$ ). Let  $(R, m)$  be a power series ring over  $V(k)$  contained in  $C$  such that  $C$  is a module finite extension of  $R$ . We

have the following:

i) if  $Q(C)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic, then  $\text{rank } \widehat{\Omega}_{C/k} = n$ ,  $\text{rank } \widehat{\Omega}_{C/V} = n - 1$  and  $H_q^n(\Omega_{C/V})(H_q^n(\Omega_{C/k})) \neq 0$ ,

ii) if characteristic of  $k = p > 0$ ,  $k$  perfect and  $\Omega_{Q(C)/k} \neq 0$ , then  $\text{rank } \widehat{\Omega}_{C/k} = [Q(C) : Q(C)^p]$ ,  $H_q^n(\Omega_{C/k}) \neq 0$  and

iii) if  $Q(C)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic, then  $H_q^n(\Omega_{C/R}) = 0$ ; moreover if  $\Omega_{C/R} \neq 0$  and  $C$  is normal, then  $H_q^{n-1}(\Omega_{C/R}) \neq 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $R = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  or  $R = V[[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]]$  be contained in  $C$  such that  $C$  is a module finite extension of  $R$ . Let  $m$  denote the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Then for any  $C$ -module  $M$ ,  $H_m^i(M) = H_q^i(M)$  for  $i \geq 0$ . We intend to show that  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/V}(\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k})$ , the  $m$ -adic, equivalently  $q$ -adic, completion of  $\Omega_{C/V}(\Omega_{C/k})$ , is a finitely generated  $C$ -module of finite rank and hence a finitely generated  $R$ -module of finite rank. This would imply that  $\text{Hom}_R(\widehat{\Omega}_{C/V}(\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k}), R) \neq 0$ . Since  $R$  is complete we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/V}(\Omega_{C/k}), R) = \text{Hom}_R(\widehat{\Omega}_{C/V}(\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k}), R) \neq 0$$

Now the result would follow by local duality.

i) a) Equicharacteristic case. The arguments in (21.9.5, [5]) show that  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k}$  is a finitely generated  $C$ -module and  $\text{rank}_C \widehat{\Omega}_{C/k} = n$ .

b) Mixed characteristic  $p$ . In this case  $C$  is a module finite extension of  $R = V[[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]]$ . We need to modify the arguments in (21.9.5, [5]). For this purpose we need the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let  $(R, m)$  be as above. Then  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -module,  $\widehat{\Omega}_{R/V} \simeq R^{n-1}$  and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigcap_{t \geq 0} m^t \Omega_{R/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{R/V} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact.

Proof of the Lemma. There exists an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow R/m \rightarrow m/m^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes R/m \rightarrow 0 \\ \bar{1} \rightarrow \bar{p} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\dim(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes R/m) = n - 1$ . Hence, due to theorem 2.3,  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -module. Moreover  $T$ , the Hausdorff-module associated with  $\Omega_{R/V}$ , i.e.  $\Omega_{R/V} / \bigcap m^t \Omega_{R/V}$ , is generated by images of  $dX_1, \dots, dX_{n-1}$  over  $R$ .  $R$  being complete implies  $T$  is also complete and hence  $T = \widehat{\Omega}_{R/V}$ . Due to the existence of derivations  $\partial/\partial X_i : R \rightarrow R$ ,  $\partial/\partial X_i(X_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , and duality between

derivatives and differentials it follows that images of  $dX_1, \dots, dX_{n-1}$  are linearly independent in  $\widehat{\Omega}_{R/V}$ . Thus  $\widehat{\Omega}_{R/V}$  is free  $R$ -module of rank  $n-1$ . And this implies the split exactness of the sequence in the lemma.

Now arguing as in (21.9.5, [5]) we observe that  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/V}$  is a finitely generated  $C$ -module of finite rank  $n-1$  and hence a finitely generated  $R$ -module of finite rank.

ii) Characteristic of  $k = p > 0$ . We assume that  $k$  is perfect and  $\Omega_{Q(C)/k} \neq 0$ . Let  $R_1 = k[[X_1^p, \dots, X_n^p]]$ . By (21.9.4, [5])  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k} = \Omega_{C/R_1}$ . let  $A = R_1[C^p]$ . Then  $\Omega_{C/R_1} = \Omega_{C/A}$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_t$  in  $C$  form a  $p$ -basis of  $Q(C)$  over  $Q(R_1)$  i.e.  $Q(C)$  over  $k$ , since  $k$  is perfect. Due to our assumption that  $\Omega_{Q(C)/k} \neq 0$  such a basis exists. Let  $B = A[y_1, \dots, y_t]$ . Then  $B$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $p^t$  and  $\Omega_{B/A}$  is a free  $B$ -module of rank  $t$  with basis  $dy_1, \dots, dy_t$ . We have the following short exact sequence:

$$\Omega_{B/A} \otimes C \rightarrow \Omega_{C/A} \rightarrow \Omega_{C/B} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $Q(C) = Q(B)$ ,  $\Omega_{C/B}$  is a torsion  $C$ -module.

**Claim.**  $dy_1, \dots, dy_t$  are also linearly independent in  $\Omega_{C/A}$ .

The Claim follows via the existence of linearly independent  $Q(A)$  derivations  $\partial/\partial y_i, Q(C) \rightarrow Q(C), \partial/\partial y_i(y_j) = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq t$ .

Due to the above exact sequence we conclude that  $\Omega_{C/A}$  is a finitely generated  $C$ -module of rank  $t$ . Hence  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module of finite rank.

The referee made the following observation: if  $Q(C)/Q(R)$  is not separable, then since  $R \rightarrow C$  is module-finite,

$$\Omega_{C/R} \otimes Q(C) \simeq \Omega_{C/R} \otimes Q(C) \simeq \Omega_{Q(C)/Q(R)} \neq 0.$$

Since  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{C/R}$  is onto, it follows that  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k} \otimes Q(C) \neq 0$  and thus  $\widehat{\Omega}_{C/k}$  has a positive rank.

iii) Now assume that  $R$  is a complete regular local ring contained in  $C$  such that  $C$  is a module finite extension of  $R$  and  $Q(C)/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic. Then by corollary to lemma 2.1,  $H_m^n(\Omega_{C/R}) = 0$ .

Assume in addition that  $\Omega_{C/R} \neq 0$  and  $C$  is normal.

Let  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P)) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  denote an injective resolution of  $R, K = Q(R)$ . Let  $T = \text{Im}\phi$ ; then  $T \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P))$  is an essential extension.

Consider the exact sequence:  $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow K \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ . By assumption  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, K) = \text{Hom}_K(\Omega_{Q(C)/K}, K) = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^i(\Omega_{C/R}, K) = 0$  for every  $i > 0$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, T) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{C/R}, R)$ . Thus, by

local duality, in order to prove our assertion we need to show that  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, T) \neq 0$ .

We have an injection:

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, T) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, \bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P))).$$

If  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, E(k(P))) = \text{Hom}_{R_P}(\Omega_{C_P/R_P}, E(k(P))) = 0$  for every prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  of height 1, then  $\Omega_{C_P/R_P} = 0$  for every prime ideal of height 1. Hence  $\Omega_{C_q/R} = 0$  for every prime ideal  $q$  of height 1 in  $C$ . By the theorem on purity of branch locus ([8], [13]) this would imply  $\Omega_{C/R} = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus there exists at least one prime ideal  $P$  of height 1 such that  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, E(k(P))) \neq 0$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, \bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P))) \neq 0$ .

Let  $f(\neq 0) \in \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, \bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P)))$ .  $\Omega_{C/R}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_t$  denote the generators of  $\Omega_{C/R}$ ; then each  $f(\omega_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$  has only finitely many non-null components in  $\bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P))$ . Since  $T \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{htP=1} E(k(P))$  is essential, there exists  $s \neq 0$  in  $R$  such that  $sf(\omega_i) \in T$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $sf(\omega_i) \neq 0$  for at least one  $i$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{C/R}, T) \neq 0$ .

**Remarks.** 1) The proof for part i) of the above theorem is valid in equicharacteristic when dimension  $C = 1$ .

2) With hypothesis as in part iii) of the above theorem,  $\dim \Omega_{C/R} = n - 1$ . The proof in this part is also valid in the non-complete case.

**3.7.** Our final result of this section can be viewed as an extension of the theorem on purity of branch locus ([10], [15]) to infinitely generated integrally closed domains  $B$  integral over a regular local ring  $R$ . Let us recall that an extension  $R \rightarrow S$  is unramified if and only if  $\Omega_{S/R} = 0$  and a local extension  $(R, m) \rightarrow (S, q)$  of essentially finite type is unramified if and only if  $mS = q$  and  $S/q$  is a separable algebraic extension of  $R/m$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $R$  be a regular local ring and let  $B$  denote the integral closure of  $R$  in a field extension  $K$  of  $Q(R)$  such that  $K/Q(R)$  is separably algebraic. Suppose that for every prime ideal  $q$  of height 1 in  $B$ ,  $\Omega_{B_q/R} = 0$ . Then  $\Omega_{B/R} = 0$  i.e.  $B$  is an unramified extension of  $R$ .

**Proof.** If  $[K : Q(R)] < \infty$  then this is the usual theorem on purity of branch locus. Assume that  $[K : Q(R)] = \infty$ . Let  $R \subset C \subset C' \subset B$  be such that  $C, C'$  are integral closures of  $R$  in  $Q(C), Q(C')$  respectively and  $[Q(C) : Q(R)] < \infty$ ,  $[Q(C') : Q(R)] < \infty$ . Then  $C, C'$  are module-finite extensions of  $R$  and  $C'$  is a module finite extension of  $C$ . Since

$B = \varinjlim C$ ,  $\Omega_{B/R} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C/R}$  over all such  $C$  as mentioned above. Let  $q$  be a prime ideal of  $B$  of height 1 and let  $P = q \cap R$ . Then  $\Omega_{B_P/R} = \varinjlim \Omega_{C_P/R}$ . From the assumption it follows that  $\Omega_{B_P/R} = 0$ . Each  $C_P$  is a semi-local Dedekind domain and  $C_P \hookrightarrow C'_P$  is a module finite extension. Hence  $\Gamma_{C'_P/C_P} = 0$  (lemma 2.2). By the JZ sequence 2.5 we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{C_P/R} \otimes C'_P \rightarrow \Omega_{C'_P/R} \rightarrow \Omega_{C'_P/C_P} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $\Omega_{B_P/R} = 0$ , from the above exact sequence it follows that  $\Omega_{C_P/R} = 0$  for every such  $C$ . By our assumption this holds for every prime ideal  $P$  of height 1 in  $R$  and hence for prime ideals  $\tau$  of height 1 in  $C$  we have  $\Omega_{C_\tau/R} = 0$ . Due to the theorem on purity of branch locus it follows that  $\Omega_{C/R} = 0$  for every such  $C$ . Hence  $\Omega_{B/R} = 0$ .

#### SECTION 4: MIXED CHARACTERISTIC

In this section we deal with the situation  $R \hookrightarrow B$  where  $B$  is the absolute integral closure of  $R$ . In the positive characteristic  $p > 0$  case we have  $\Omega_{B/R} = 0$ ,  $\Omega_{B/k} = 0$ , i.e.  $k \rightarrow B$ ,  $R \rightarrow B$  are unramified extensions. Due to theorem 3.5 we now concentrate on the mixed characteristic case. First we define formally unramified extension (19.10, [5]).

**Definition.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous ring homomorphism between two topological rings. We say  $B$  is formally unramified over  $A$  if given any discrete  $A$ -algebra  $C$ , any ideal  $I$  of  $C$  such that  $I^2 = 0$ ,  $C/I$  with discrete topology and  $\eta : C \rightarrow C/I$ , the natural surjection, every continuous  $A$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : B \rightarrow C/I$  has at most one  $A$ -algebra extension  $\psi : B \rightarrow C$  such that  $\phi = \eta \cdot \psi$ .

**4.1. Theorem.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring of dimension  $n$  in mixed characteristic  $p$  with coefficient ring  $V$  and let  $B$  denote its absolute integral closure. We have the following:

i)  $\Omega_{B/V} = p \Omega_{B/V}$ ,  $\Omega_{B/R} = p \Omega_{B/R}$ . These observations imply the following:

a) For any ideal  $I$  of  $R$  of the form  $I = (p, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  generated by a part of a system of parameters containing  $p$  of  $R$ ,  $H_I^i(\Omega_{B/V}) = 0$  and  $H_I^i(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$ . In particular  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/V}) = 0$  and  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$ .

b)  $\widehat{\Omega}_{B/V} = 0 = \widehat{\Omega}_{B/R}$ , where  $(\widehat{\phantom{x}})$  denotes the I-adic completion. And hence  $V \rightarrow B$ ,  $R \rightarrow B$ ,  $V \rightarrow \widehat{B}$  and  $R \rightarrow \widehat{B}$  are all formally unramified extensions.

ii) Non-vanishing of  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R})$  implies the direct summand property for integral extensions of  $R$  ([5]) (equivalently descent of flatness

for integral extensions of Noetherian rings ([10])) and the converse is also true.

Non-vanishing of  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V})$  implies the direct summand property for integral extensions of  $R$ .

**Proof. i)** Actually we would prove the following more general statement:

Let  $A$  be an integral domain of characteristic 0 and let  $B$  be the absolute integral closure of an integral domain  $C$  containing  $A$ . Let  $t$  be a positive integer such that  $t$  is not a unit in  $C$ . Then for any proper ideal  $I$  of  $A$  containing  $t$  and for every  $n > 0$  we have  $\Omega_{B/A} = I^n \Omega_{B/A}$ . Let  $\widehat{\Omega}_{B/A}$  and  $\widehat{B}$  denote the  $I$ -adic completion of  $\Omega_{B/A}$  and  $B$  respectively. Then  $\widehat{\Omega}_{B/A} = 0 = \widehat{\Omega}_{\widehat{B}/\widehat{A}}$  and  $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow \widehat{B}$  are formally unramified extensions with respect to the  $I$ -adic topology.

Proof. For every element  $x (\neq 0)$  in  $B$ ,  $x$  has a  $t^n$ th root  $y$  in  $B$  i.e.  $y^{t^n} = x$ . Hence  $dx = t^n y^{t^n-1} dy$ ; this implies that  $\Omega_{B/A} = t^n \Omega_{B/A}$  and thereby  $\Omega_{B/A} = I^n \Omega_{B/A}$  for every  $n > 0$ .

Let  $\widetilde{B} = B/I^n B, \widetilde{A} = A/I^n A$ ; via base change we obtain

$$\Omega_{B/A} \otimes \widetilde{B} \simeq \Omega_{\widetilde{B}/\widetilde{A}}$$

We have from above,  $\Omega_{\widetilde{B}/\widetilde{A}} = 0$ . Hence  $\widehat{\Omega}_{B/A} = 0$ . Let  $\widetilde{\widehat{B}} = \widehat{B}/I^n \widehat{B} = B/I^n B$ ; this implies  $\Omega_{\widetilde{\widehat{B}}/\widetilde{\widehat{A}}} = \Omega_{\widetilde{B}/\widetilde{A}} = 0$ . Hence  $\widehat{\Omega}_{\widetilde{\widehat{B}}/\widetilde{\widehat{A}}} = 0$ . It follows from (20.7.4 and 20.7.5, [5]) that  $A \rightarrow B, A \rightarrow \widehat{B}$  are formally unramified extensions.

Next let  $(A, q)$  be a complete local normal domain of dimension  $n$  with coefficient ring  $V$  and let  $B$  be its absolute integral closure. By our assumption it follows from above that  $\Omega_{B/V} = p^t \Omega_{B/V}$  and if  $I = (p, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  generated by a part of a system of parameters of  $R$  and  $I_t = (p^t, x_1^t, \dots, x_{i-1}^t)$ , then  $\Omega_{B/V} = I_t \Omega_{B/V}$  for  $t > 0$ . Similar statement is valid for  $\Omega_{B/R}$ . Now the assertion follows immediately.

**ii)** Let  $\alpha : R \hookrightarrow B$  denote the inclusion as mentioned in the setup. We need to show that  $\alpha$  splits as an  $R$ -module map  $\Leftrightarrow H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ .

Recall that  $\alpha$  splits as an  $R$ -module map  $\Leftrightarrow B^* = \text{Hom}_R(B, R) \neq 0$  i.e.  $H_m^n(B) \neq 0$  ([8]) (same condition for descent of flatness ([12])).

First we deal with the unramified case i.e.  $R = V[[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]]$ . If dimension of  $R = 1, R = V, \Omega_{Q(B)/Q(R)} = 0$  implies that  $\Omega_{B/R}[1/p] = 0$ ; hence  $H_m^0(\Omega_{B/R}) = \Omega_{B/R} \neq 0$ .

We can assume  $\dim R \geq 2$ .

Since the direct summand property is valid over  $R$  ([2]), we have  $H_m^n(B) \neq 0$ . Since  $V \rightarrow R$  is geometrically regular,  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is a flat  $R$ -module (theorem 2.3).

By lemma 2.4 we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is a flat  $R$ -module,  $H_m^i(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes B) \simeq \Omega_{R/V} \otimes H_m^i(B)$  for every  $i > 0$ .

Applying local cohomology to the above short exact sequence, by i), we obtain the following short exact sequence:

$$\rightarrow H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R H_m^n(B) \rightarrow 0. \dots\dots\dots (18)$$

Due to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/m \rightarrow m/m^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes R/m \rightarrow 0$$

$$(\bar{1} \rightarrow \bar{p})$$

we obtain  $\Omega_{R/V} \otimes R/m \neq 0$  and thus  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ . Since  $H_m^n(B) \neq 0$ ,  $\Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R H_m^n(B) \neq 0$ . Hence from (18) it follows that  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ . Consider the short exact sequence obtained via base change:  $R \hookrightarrow B$  (spectral sequence)

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_B^1(\Omega_{B/R}, B^*) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/R}, \text{Ext}_R^1(B, R)).$$

Note that since  $(R, m)$  is complete, given any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R)$  is  $m$ -separated - actually it is linearly compact for every  $i \geq 0$  ([9]). This can be checked by considering a free resolution  $F_\bullet$  of  $M$  and then applying  $\text{Hom}_R(F_\bullet, R)$  and noticing that for any continuous  $R$ -linear map  $f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$  between two linearly compact  $m$ -separated  $R$ -modules  $\text{Im} f$  and  $\text{Ker} f$  are both linearly compact,  $m$ -separated closed submodules of  $T_2$  and  $T_1$  respectively ([9]).

Since  $\text{Ext}_R^1(B, R)$  is  $m$ -separated and  $\Omega_{B/R} = p^n \Omega_{B/R}$  for every  $n > 0$ , the right end term of the above exact sequence is 0. By local duality,  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$  implies  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \neq 0$ . Hence from the above exact sequence  $\text{Ext}_B^1(\Omega_{B/R}, B^*) \neq 0$  and thus  $B^* \neq 0$ .

If  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ , same argument as above shows that  $\text{Hom}_R(B, R) \neq 0$ .

Now let us deal with the ramified case. In this case the proof that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \neq 0$  implies  $\text{Hom}_R(B, R) \neq 0$  is same as above. Our proof for the reverse implication needs a modification due to the fact that in this case  $V \rightarrow R$  is not geometrically regular and hence  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is not necessarily flat. We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{\phi} \Omega_{B/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (19)$$



Since  $R$  is ramified,  $R = S[X]/(f(X))$ , where  $S = V[[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]]$  and  $f(X)$  is an Eisenstein polynomial in  $S[X]$ . Then  $\Omega_{R/S} = R/(f')$ . By lemma 2.2 and the JZ sequence we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/V} \otimes_S R \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \rightarrow R/f'R \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring this sequence with  $B$  we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/V} \otimes_S B \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B \rightarrow B/f'B \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (20)$$

Let  $q$  denote the maximal ideal of  $S$ . Then for any  $R$ -module  $M$ ,  $H_q^i(M) = H_m^i(M)$  and  $\text{Hom}_R(B, R) \simeq \text{Hom}_S(B, S)$ . Since  $H_m^n(B) \neq 0$  and  $\Omega_{S/V}$  is faithfully flat over  $S$ , it follows that  $H_m^n(\Omega_{S/V} \otimes B) \simeq \Omega_{S/V} \otimes H_m^n(B) \neq 0$ . We have  $(\Omega_{S/V} \otimes H_m^n(B))^\vee \simeq \text{Hom}(\Omega_{S/V}, H_m^n(B)^\vee) \simeq \text{Hom}(\Omega_{S/V}, B^*) \simeq \bigoplus_1^{n-1} B^*$  (lemma in i) b) theorem 3.6). Hence from the above exact sequence we obtain  $H_m^n(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes B) \neq 0$ .

We also obtain an exact sequence (lemma 2.4) via  $V \rightarrow S \rightarrow B$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{S/V} \otimes_S B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/S} \rightarrow 0 \dots\dots\dots (21)$$

In (19) let  $W = \text{Im } \phi$ . From (19), (20) and (21) we get the following commutative diagram of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & N & \xlongequal{\quad} & N & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{S/V} \otimes B & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{R/V} \otimes B & \longrightarrow & B/f'B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \dots\dots\dots (22) \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{S/V} \otimes B & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & 
 \end{array}$$

From the exact column on the right end we obtain  $H_m^n(N) = 0$ . Hence from the middle column we obtain  $H_m^n(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes B) \simeq H_m^n(W) \neq 0$ . Now applying local cohomology to the exact sequence (from 19):

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \Omega_{B/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0$$

We obtain our required result  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$  (by part i).

When  $\Omega_{S/V}$  is not flat over  $S$ , i.e. when  $S = V$ ,  $R = S[X]/(f(X))$  as mentioned earlier,  $\dim R = 1$  and  $\dim B = 1$ . Then  $\Omega_{B/V}$  is  $p$ -torsion and  $H_p^0(\Omega_{B/V}) = \Omega_{B/V} \neq 0$ .

**Corollaries.** With  $R, B$  as in the above theorem we have the following

i)  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ .

This follows due to André's proof ([2]) of the direct summand conjecture.

ii)  $\text{Ext}_B^1(\Omega_{B/R}, B^*) \neq 0$ .

This follows from the proof of the theorem.

**Remark.** We do not know whether part ii) of the above theorem is valid in equicharacteristic zero.

**4.2.** Our next proposition points out a relation between local cohomologies of  $B$  and that of  $\Omega_{B/R}, \Omega_{B/V}$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete unramified regular local ring of dimension  $n$  with coefficient ring  $V$  and let  $B$  be its absolute integral closure.

Then, for every  $i \geq 0$ , there exists a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^i(\Omega_{B/V}) \rightarrow H_m^i(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R H_m^{i+1}(B) \rightarrow 0$$

**Proof.** Consider the exact sequence (lemma 2.4):

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B \rightarrow \Omega_{B/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/R} \rightarrow 0$$

. Since  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is  $R$ -flat and  $\Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R R/m \neq 0$ ,  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is faithfully flat as an  $R$ -module. Hence  $H_m^i(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B) = \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R H_m^i(B)$  is non-null whenever  $H_m^i(B)$  is so.

**Claim.** For any  $B$ -module  $M$ ,  $\Omega_{B/V} \otimes_B H_m^i(M) = 0$ . Same result holds for  $\Omega_{B/R}$ .

**Proof of the Claim.**  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{B/V} \otimes_B H_m^i(M), E) \simeq \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/V}, \text{Hom}_R(H_m^i(M), E)) \simeq \text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/V}, \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, R))$  (local duality). Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, R)$  is Hausdorff in the  $m$ -adic topology and  $\Omega_{B/V} = p^n \Omega_{B/V}$ , for  $n > 0$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/V}, \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(M, R)) = 0$  and hence the claim follows.

Now the assertion follows from noticing that the map:  $H_m^i(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B) \rightarrow H_m^i(\Omega_{B/V})$  is the composition of the following two maps:

$$\Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R H_m^i(B) \simeq \Omega_{R/V} \otimes_R B \otimes_B H_m^i(B) \rightarrow \Omega_{B/V} \otimes_B H_m^i(B) \text{ and } \Omega_{B/V} \otimes_B H_m^i(B) \rightarrow H_m^i(\Omega_{B/V})$$

When  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is not  $R$ -flat, i.e. when  $\dim R = 1$  i.e.  $R = V$ ,  $\Omega_{R/V} = 0$  and the asserted sequence becomes obvious.

**Corollary 1.** With  $R, B$  as above,  $H_m^{i+1}(B) \neq 0 \Rightarrow H_m^i(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$  for  $i \geq 0$ .

**Corollary 2.** With  $R, B$  as above, for every  $i \geq 0$  there exists a short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^{n-1} \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n-i}(\Omega_{B/V}, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^{n-1} B^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/R}, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega_{B/V}, R) \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact.}$$

**Proof.** It follows from the lemma in part b), i) theorem 3.6 that  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is a faithfully flat  $R$ -module,  $\hat{\Omega}_{R/V} \simeq R^{n-1}$  and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigcap_{t \geq 0} m^t \Omega_{R/V} \rightarrow \Omega_{R/V} \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}_{R/V} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact. Since  $R$  is complete,  $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R)$  is  $m$ -separated (a proof of this fact is sketched in the proof of part ii), theorem 4.1). We have  $(\Omega_{R/V} \otimes H_m^{i+1}(B))^\vee \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/V}, H_m^{i+1}(B)^\vee) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/V}, \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R))$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R)$  is  $m$ -separated, it follows from the above lemma that  $\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/V}, \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R)) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(\bigoplus_1^{n-1} R, \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R)) \simeq \bigoplus_1^{n-1} \text{Ext}_R^{n-i-1}(B, R)$ . Now applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$  to our asserted exact sequence in the above proposition the corollary follows.

### Remarks.

**1.** If  $\dim R \geq 2$ , then  $H_m^0(\Omega_{B/V}) \simeq H_m^0(\Omega_{B/R})$ .

This follows due to the fact that if  $\dim R \geq 2$ , then  $H_m^i(B) = 0, i = 0, 1$  and  $\Omega_{R/V}$  is  $R$ -flat.

**2.** Part i) of theorem 4.1 (except  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$ ) and results in 4.2 are not necessarily valid in equicharacteristic zero.

This follows mainly due to theorem 3.5.

**4.3.** Our final theorem deals with local cohomology of module of differentials with respect to the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  generated by a part of a regular system of parameters.

**Theorem.** Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring of dimension  $n$  in mixed characteristics  $p$  with co-efficient ring  $V$  and let  $B$  be its absolute integral closure. Let  $p, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  be a system of parameters of  $R$  such that  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  form a regular system of parameters. Let  $\underline{x}$  denote the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  in  $R$ . Then  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ .

Non-vanishing of  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R})$  implies the direct summand property for integral extensions of  $R$ .

**Proof.** For any  $x \neq 0 \in R$  and for any  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $\tilde{K}(x; M)$  denote the complex:  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M[1/x] \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tilde{K}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}; R)$  denote the complex  $\bigotimes_1^{n-1} \tilde{K}(x_i; R)$ . The local cohomology modules of  $\Omega_{B/V}$  with respect to  $m$  are obtained by taking cohomologies of  $\tilde{K}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}; R) \otimes \tilde{K}(p; \Omega_{B/V})$ . Since this complex is a tensor product of two complexes, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \rightarrow H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \xrightarrow{\eta} H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}[1/p]) \rightarrow H_m^n(\Omega_{B/V}) \rightarrow 0 \dots \dots \dots (23)$$

By theorem 4.1  $H_m^n(\Omega_{B/V}) = 0$ ; hence  $\eta$  is onto.

Let  $q$  denote the prime ideal  $\underline{x} \subset R$ , then  $qR_q$  is a maximal ideal in  $R_q$ . We have:

$$H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}[1/p]) = \Omega_{B/V}[1/p] \otimes_R H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(R) \simeq \Omega_{B/V} \otimes_R H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(R[1/p]) \simeq \Omega_{B/V} \otimes_R H_{qR_q}^{n-1}(R_q) \simeq \Omega_{B/V} \otimes_R H_{q\hat{R}_q}^{n-1}(\hat{R}_q) \simeq H_{q\hat{R}_q}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B_q/k} \otimes \hat{R}_q),$$

( $k =$  field of fractions of  $V$ ). By theorem 3.5 we have  $\text{Hom}_{R_q}(\Omega_{B_q/k}, R_q) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_q}(\Omega_{B_q/k}, \hat{R}_q) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\hat{R}_q}(\Omega_{B_q/k} \otimes \hat{R}_q, \hat{R}_q) \neq 0$ . Hence, by local duality, it follows from above that  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}[1/p]) \neq 0$ .

Since  $\eta$  is onto in (23) it follows that  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ .

Now let us assume  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ . By similar arguments as for exactness in (23) we obtain the following exact sequence

$$H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}[1/p]) \rightarrow H_m^n(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow 0 \dots \dots \dots (24)$$

By arguments as above we have  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}[1/p]) = H_{qR_q}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B_q/R_q}) = 0$  (corollary to lemma 2.1) and hence  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}[1/p]) = 0$ . It follows from (24) that the sequence  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Hence the assertion follows by part ii) theorem 4.1.

**Corollary.** If  $H_p^0(H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V})) \neq 0$ , then  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $H_p^0(H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V})) \neq 0$ . Then, in the exact sequence (23),  $\eta$  is not an isomorphism and hence  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) \neq 0$ .

**Remark.** With notations as above if  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$  generated by a system of parameters of length  $n - 1$  such that  $p \in I$ , then  $H_I^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) = 0$  and  $H_I^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V}) = 0$ .

This has been pointed out in part i) of theorem 4.1.

**4.4.** We here mention a couple of questions that came up during this study and whose answers we do not know at present.

1. Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring of dimension  $n$  of equicharacteristic 0 and let  $B$  be its absolute integral closure. Is  $H_m^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ ?

2. Let  $(R, m)$  be a complete regular local ring of dimension  $n$  of mixed characteristic  $p$  with coefficient ring  $V$  and let  $B$  be its absolute integral closure. Let  $p, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  be a system of parameters of  $R$  such that  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  form a part of a regular system of parameters.

a) Is  $H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/R}) \neq 0$ ?

b) Is  $H_p^0(H_{\underline{x}}^{n-1}(\Omega_{B/V})) \neq 0$ ?

**Remark.** It can be shown that an affirmative answer for b) implies an affirmative answer for a).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. André, Homologies des algèbres commutative, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (1974).
- [2] Y. André, La conjecture de facteur direct, Publ. Math. IHES 127, 71-93 (2018).
- [3] B. Bhatt, On the direct summand conjecture and its derived variant, Invent. Math. 212.2(2018), 297-317.
- [4] O. Gabber & L. Ramero, Foundations For Almost Ring Theory, arXiv:math/0409584V7[Math AG] Feb 2012.
- [5] A. Grothendieck & J. Dieudonné, EGA IV, Publ. Math. IHES 20 (1964).
- [6] R. Hartshorne, Local Cohomology, Lecture Notes in Math, 41 (1967), Springer-Verlag. Berlin. Heidelberg. NewYork.
- [7] M. Hochster, Contracted ideals from integral extensions of regular rings, Nagoya Math. J. 51(1973), 25-43.
- [8] M. Hochster, Canonical elements in local cohomology modules and the direct summand conjecture, J. of Algebra, vol. 84, No. 3, October (1983), 503-553.
- [9] I. G. Macdonald, Duality over complete local rings, Topology, vol. 1, 213-235, 1962.
- [10] M. Nagata, Local Rings, R. E. K. Publ. Company, Huntington, New York, 1975.
- [11] D. Popescu, General Nèron desingularization and approximation, Nagoya Math. J., 104 (1986), 85-115.
- [12] M. Raynaud et L. Gruson, Critères de platitude et projectivité, Inventiones Math., 13, (1971), 1-89.
- [13] J. P. Serre, Local Fields, Grad. text in Math., Springer Verlag New York Heidelberg Berlin.
- [14] R. G. Swan, Nèron-Popescu desingularization, Proc. Alg. and Geom (1995), 135-192.
- [15] O. Zariski, On the purity of the branch locus of algebraic functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. U. S. 44(1958), 791-796.