

Horizon thermalization of Kerr black hole through local instability

Surojit Dalui* and Bibhas Ranjan Majhi†

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, Assam, India

(Dated: October 10, 2021)

The validity of our already proposed conjecture – *horizon creates a local instability which acts as the source of the quantum temperature of black hole* – is being tested here for Kerr black hole. Earlier this has been explicitly shown for spherically symmetric static black hole (SSS BH). The more realistic situation like Kerr spacetime, being stationary and axisymmetric, is a non-trivial example to analyze. We show that for a chargeless massless particle, the near horizon radial motion in Kerr spacetime, like SSS BH, can be locally unstable. The radial contribution in the corresponding Hamiltonian is $\sim xp$ kind, where p is the canonical momentum and x is its conjugate position of particle. Finally we show that the horizon thermalization can be explained through this Hamiltonian when one does a semi-classical analysis. It again confirms that near horizon instability is liable for its own temperature and moreover generalizes the validity of our conjectured mechanism for the black hole horizon thermalization.

I. INTRODUCTION

The works of Bekenstein [1] and Hawking [2, 3] indicate that the black hole (BH) horizon is a thermodynamical object, and the horizon temperature is the observer-dependent quantity. Interestingly, the concept of temperature came out to be purely quantum mechanical. Since then, understanding the thermalisation of the horizon in the context of black holes has been one of the central interests among physicists. The concept of horizon thermalisation originally originates from the analogy between the laws of black holes and those of the usual thermodynamical systems [4]. However, eventually, this analogy fails to give a unified perspective about the underlying mechanism which furnish temperature to the horizon.

Recent developments towards this goal have revealed some striking insights on the connection between the horizon thermalisation and the local instability in the near horizon region [5–14]¹. Although these two features may seem different from each other, there lies an important unification between them. In many works [7–14], it has been noted that their intimate relationship has the potential to become one of the leading candidates, which can shed some light on the exact mechanism of horizon thermalisation. In this direction, our earlier works [11, 12, 17, 18] have revealed that in the presence of static spherically symmetric black hole (SSS BH), an outgoing massless and chargeless particle experiences instability in the near-horizon region. We also obtained that in the quantum scale, this instability provides temperature into the system, which divulges a noticeable conjecture that *horizon creates a local instability in its vicinity region which acts as the source of the quantum temperature of a black hole*. Within this analysis very recently it has been argued that this conjecture may be supported by

the theory of eigenstate thermalization hypothesis [13].

However, in those works [11, 12], the analysis has been restricted only to SSS BH case. Therefore, this conjecture needs to be tested in some realistic situation in order to be more robust. Kerr black hole is one of the prime examples of this kind. Kerr black holes are stationary rotating black holes. Rotating black holes are formed in the gravitational collapse of a massive spinning star, or the collision of a collection of compact objects or stars, and realistic collisions have non-zero angular momentum. Therefore, it is expected that all black holes in nature are rotating ones. So, the Kerr solution has astrophysical relevance, and this study in the near-horizon region of Kerr spacetime will provide us with a much more realistic picture of the explanation of horizon thermalisation.

Like earlier [11, 12], we start our analysis with a massless and chargeless particle moving under the Kerr spacetime, where the coordinate system adopted here is a generalised version of Eddington-Finkelstein (EF) coordinates to the rotating case. The particle moves along the null normal to the $t = \text{constant}$ and $r = \text{constant}$ surface. We obtain that the motion of the particle along this null trajectory faces instability in the near-horizon region. We also found that for such particular motion of the particle, the observed instability in the near horizon region is an observer independent phenomena. The observer associated with the generalised EF coordinate system quantifies that the radial motion of the particle as $r \sim e^{\kappa t}$ where r is the generalised EF radial coordinates and t is the generalised EF time coordinates. κ is the surface gravity of black hole. Whereas in the polar or in the azimuthal direction, the instability is not present. Next, we obtain the corresponding Hamiltonian of the system, and it comes out that the radial contribution to the Hamiltonian is of xp kind. After analysing the classical picture, we next proceed towards the quantum regime, where we observed the automatic appearance of thermality into the system as a result of this aforesaid instability. We mainly concentrate on a semi-classical approach, namely tunneling formalism [19–24] through black hole horizon. The whole analysis establishes the generality of our aforesaid

* suroj176121013@iitg.ac.in

† bibhas.majhi@iitg.ac.in

¹ Near horizon calculation is sufficient to see particle emission from horizon has also been reported in [15, 16].

conjecture and thereby demands a possible candidate to explore the underlying theory of horizon thermalization process of a black hole.

The paper is organised as follows. In section II we first define the outgoing null path of our massless and chargeless test particle in the generalised EF coordinates in Kerr spacetime. Next, we analyse the characteristics of the trajectory in section III where we found that the radial trajectories are unstable in nature in the near-horizon region. In section IV we try to realise this instability in a covariant approach using the Raychaudhuri equation. Section V is dedicated to constructing the near horizon Hamiltonian of that particular particle motion. First, from the knowledge of the radial, polar and azimuthal equation of motion of the particle, we construct the Hamiltonian. In the next subsection, we verify the same using the dispersion relation, and we also obtain the Hamiltonian for the ingoing particle. The classical part of the calculation is done up to this section. In the next section VI we have studied the quantum consequences of it, which is the thermality of the Kerr black hole using the tunneling formalism. In the final section VII we conclude our work.

II. DEFINING OUTGOING PATH

We start our analysis with the aim of identifying the outgoing trajectory of a massless, chargeless particle in Kerr black hole. This will be the backbone for the main purpose of the present work. The analysis is being followed from Appendix D of [25]. Massless particle follows null-like trajectories and therefore the tangent to the path must be null-like. In Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates $(t_{BL}, r, \theta, \phi_{BL})$, the metric for Kerr black hole is of the form:

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2mr}{\rho^2}\right) dt_{BL}^2 - \frac{4mar \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} dt_{BL} d\phi_{BL} + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2mra^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2}\right) \sin^2 \theta d\phi_{BL}^2, \quad (1)$$

where m and a are mass and angular momentum per unit mass of the black hole, respectively. ρ^2 and Δ are given by $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$ and $\Delta = r^2 - 2mr + a^2$. For $a = 0$ the metric reduces to the Schwarzschild metric. However, being a generalization of Schwarzschild coordinates to the rotating case, these coordinates are singular on the event horizon \mathcal{H} which corresponds to $\Delta = 0$. The location of the horizon is then given by

$$r_H = m + \sqrt{m^2 - a^2}. \quad (2)$$

The metric coefficients are independent of t_{BL} and ϕ_{BL} and thereby respecting time translation invariance and axial symmetry. Corresponding to these symmetries one can introduce two Killing vectors $\xi_{(t_{BL})}^a = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\xi_{(\phi_{BL})}^a = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ such that their linear combination $\xi^a = \xi_{(t_{BL})}^a + \Omega_H \xi_{(\phi_{BL})}^a$ is a global timelike Killing vector. Here $\Omega_H = a/(2mr_H)$ is the angular velocity of the black hole. In this case there are two conserved quantities for

the particle motion: one is the energy of particle $E = -p_a \xi_{(t_{BL})}^a$ and other one is the angular momentum $L_z = p_a \xi_{(\phi_{BL})}^a$ along the rotation axis. Here p^a denotes the components of momentum four vector of the particle.

Since BL coordinates are not regular at r_H , like our earlier analysis in [12], we adopt here a generalization of Eddington-Finkelstein (EF) coordinates to the rotating case which are regular at the horizon. The spheroidal version of EF coordinates, denoted by (t, r, θ, ϕ) , are related to the Boyer Lindquist coordinates $(t_{BL}, r, \theta, \phi_{BL})$ by the following relations:

$$dt = dt_{BL} + \frac{dr}{\frac{r^2 + a^2}{2mr} - 1}, \quad (3)$$

$$d\phi = d\phi_{BL} + \frac{a dr}{r^2 - 2mr + a^2}. \quad (4)$$

The metric in these coordinates turns out to be

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2mr}{\rho^2}\right) dt^2 + \frac{4mr}{\rho^2} dt dr - \frac{4amr}{\rho^2} \sin^2 \theta dt d\phi + \left(1 + \frac{2mr}{\rho^2}\right) dr^2 - 2a \sin^2 \theta \left(1 + \frac{2mr}{\rho^2}\right) dr d\phi + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2a^2 mr \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2}\right) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \quad (5)$$

Note that all the metric coefficients of the above one are all regular at $r = r_H$. The components of Killing vectors in these coordinates do not change; i.e. $\xi_{(t)}^a = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\xi_{(\phi)}^a = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ and hence the conserved quantities are given by $E = -p_a \xi_{(t)}^a = -p_t$ and $L_z = p_a \xi_{(\phi)}^a = p_\phi$. We will need these later.

Now in order to find the null vector which will be the tangent to our particle path we first find the unit normals to $t = \text{constant}$ and $r = \text{constant}$ surfaces for the metric (5). The outward unit timelike normal to $t = \text{constant}$ surface is given by (see [25] for details)

$$n^a = \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \sqrt{\rho^2 + 2mr}, -\frac{2mr}{\rho \sqrt{\rho^2 + 2mr}}, 0, 0\right), \quad (6)$$

and the corresponding covariant vector is

$$n_a = \left(-\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 2mr}}, 0, 0, 0\right). \quad (7)$$

On the other hand the outward unit spacelike normal to $r = \text{constant}$ turns out to be

$$s_a = \left(0, \rho \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 2mr}{A}}, 0, 0\right); \quad (8)$$

$$s^a = \left(0, \frac{1}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{A}{\rho^2 + 2mr}}, 0, \frac{a}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + 2mr}{A}}\right), \quad (9)$$

where $A = (r^2 + a^2)^2 - (r^2 - 2mr + a^2)a^2 \sin^2 \theta$. We can obtain the null normal \mathbf{I} to the null surface by $\mathbf{I} = N(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{s})$, where

$$N = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + 2mr}}, \quad (10)$$

is the Lapse function corresponding to our metric (5) (see Appendix D of [25] for details). Then the null vector turns out to be as

$$l^a = \left(1, \frac{\sqrt{A} - 2mr}{\rho^2 + 2mr}, 0, \frac{a}{\sqrt{A}} \right). \quad (11)$$

It can be checked that on the horizon \mathcal{H} the components reduces to

$$l^a \stackrel{\mathcal{H}}{=} (1, 0, 0, a/(2mr_H)), \quad (12)$$

which is the global timelike Killing vector $\xi^a = \xi_{(t)}^a + \Omega_H \xi_{(\phi)}^a$ whose vanishing norm defines the horizon of the black hole.

We now consider our chargeless, massless particle to follow a trajectory whose tangent is defined by (11). Then, the integral curves $x^a(\mu) = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$ of l^a , characterized by

$$\frac{dx^a(\mu)}{d\mu} = l^a(x^i(\mu)), \quad (13)$$

where μ is the parameter which fixes the particle position at a particular moment, leads to the outgoing trajectory of our massless particle. Using the above general trajectory, in the next section we will investigate the nature of the particle motion very near to the horizon.

III. NEAR HORIZON BEHAVIOUR OF TRAJECTORY

The path of our test particle is given by the integral curves which are expressed in Eq. (13). We would like to investigate those trajectories and try to understand how they behave in the near horizon region of the Kerr black hole. Since the components of the normal vector l^a is given by (11) and $x^a = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$, the time component of (13) yields

$$\frac{dt}{d\mu} = 1 \Rightarrow \mu = t. \quad (14)$$

Therefore in this analysis the coordinate time t plays the role of the parameter which fixes the moment of particle position.

A. radial direction

Since $\mu = t$, the radial motion from (13) is determined by

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{A} - 2mr}{\rho^2 + 2mr}. \quad (15)$$

The solution of the above equation will give us the information about the behaviour of the particle trajectory in the radial direction. However, our main interest is to scrutinize the nature of the trajectory in the vicinity of the Kerr horizon. Therefore, we have to check the behaviour of the solution of Eq. (15) taking into account the near horizon approximation. Now, let us denote $(\sqrt{A} - 2mr)/(\rho^2 + 2mr) = f(r)$ and it has already

been checked that $f(r_H) = 0$ (see Eq. (12)). As our interest lies in the near horizon region, therefore, $f(r)$ can be taken as the leading term of the Taylor series expansion of it around the position of the horizon, i.e. $r = r_H$:

$$f(r) \simeq \kappa(r - r_H), \quad (16)$$

where $\kappa = f'(r_H)$ is the surface gravity of Kerr black hole (see Appendix D.4 of [25]) whose value is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{r_H - m}{2mr_H} = \frac{\sqrt{m^2 - a^2}}{2m(m + \sqrt{m^2 - a^2})}. \quad (17)$$

Therefore keeping upto the relevant leading order (i.e. $\mathcal{O}(r - r_H)$), in the near horizon region Eq. (15) reduces to

$$\frac{dr}{dt} \simeq \kappa(r - r_H). \quad (18)$$

The solution of it is given by

$$r - r_H = \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{\kappa t}, \quad (19)$$

which has exponentially growing behaviour with respect to t . This above solution indicates that as long as the particle resides in the vicinity of the horizon, it feels an instability. However, this equation also tells us that this mentioned instability is a ‘‘local’’ feature, not a global one. It is only applicable in the near horizon region. Incidentally, this exact feature has been experimentally verified in a recent investigation [26] on an analog black hole made by photon chip.

B. Angular direction

Next let us investigate the particle motion along the angular coordinates. From the obtained path of the test particle, given by the integral curve (13) of the null normal vector (11) we obtain from the θ component of l^a

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \text{constant}. \quad (20)$$

Therefore, the above equation suggests that the θ coordinate remains constant with respect to time along the trajectory of the particle; i.e. the particle does not have any motion along θ -direction.

The azimuthal component of (11) leads us to

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{A}}. \quad (21)$$

Now, as our interest is in the near horizon region, therefore considering only the leading order term in the above equation we obtain

$$\frac{d\phi}{dt} \simeq \frac{a}{2mr_H} = \Omega_H. \quad (22)$$

The solution of the above equation is

$$\phi = \Omega_H t; \quad (23)$$

i.e. ϕ changes linearly with t along the trajectory as long as near horizon particle motion is concerned.

The analysis in this section indicates that the motion of the particle in the near horizon regime in the radial direction incorporates a “local” instability, whereas such is absent in the angular directions. In the next section, for this particular motion, we will show the presence of such instability in a coordinate independent way.

IV. LOCAL INSTABILITY IN GEODESIC CONGRUENCE

We have already obtained that there is a local instability in the radial direction of the particle motion in the near horizon region. However, in the azimuthal direction the instability is not present. It is always important to give a description of this local instability in a covariant way. The main emphasis is to check whether this instability in the particle motion is an artefact of our chosen coordinate system or this near horizon instability has any coordinate independent explanation. Moreover, the instability is mainly measured by the exponential nature of separation between the two nearby geodesics. Therefore it is natural to analysis the geodesics deviation equation for our chosen null vector, given by null Raychaudhuri equation, in the near horizon regime. Our main focus hence will be on the expansion parameter Θ , which measures the nature of distance between the geodesics in congruence. Also we will see whether this has any connection to our earlier prediction on radial instability.

The Raychaudhuri equation for null geodesics is [27]

$$\frac{d\Theta}{d\mu} = \tilde{\kappa}\Theta - \frac{1}{2}\Theta^2 - \sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab} + \omega_{ab}\omega^{ab} - R_{ab}l^a l^b, \quad (24)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}$ is the non-affinity coefficient, σ_{ab} is the shear parameter, ω_{ab} is the rotation parameter and R_{ab} is the Ricci tensor. Since our aim is to study this equation in the near horizon region of Kerr spacetime, we will now investigate nature of each terms in the above equation. Note that the quantities are defined with respect to the null vector (11) as the motion of our particle is along this vector. Therefore, it is time to examine each of the terms on the right hand side of this equation and try to analyze them. We obtain that although the value of the shear parameter σ_{ab} does not vanish for any arbitrary value of r but on the horizon $r = r_H$ it vanishes, i.e. $\sigma_{ab}(r_H) = 0$ (see [25] for detailed discussion). Therefore, in the near horizon region the leading order term of the shear parameter is

$$\sigma_{ab}(r) \simeq \sigma'_{ab}(r_H)(r - r_H), \quad (25)$$

where the higher order terms ($\mathcal{O}(r - r_H)^2$) have been neglected and the prime denotes the derivative with respect to r . We have also found that on the horizon $\Theta \stackrel{H}{=} 0$. Therefore, in the near horizon region the leading order

term of Θ which contributes in the Raychaudhuri equation is

$$\Theta(r) \simeq \Theta'(r_H)(r - r_H), \quad (26)$$

where, as earlier, the higher order terms have been neglected. Whereas for the non-affinity parameter we have $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa + \mathcal{O}(r - r_H)$. We must also have the rotation parameter $\omega_{ab} = 0$, as l_a is hypersurface orthonormal. On the other hand, since Kerr BH is the solution of vacuum Einstein’s field equations, we have $R_{ab} = 0$. Therefore, keeping only the leading order terms, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(r - r_H)$ terms in the right hand side of Eq. (24) one obtains

$$\frac{d\Theta}{d\mu} \simeq \kappa\Theta. \quad (27)$$

Hence the expansion parameter, in the near horizon regime, at the leading order behaves as

$$\Theta \simeq \kappa e^{\kappa\mu}. \quad (28)$$

So, the above expression suggests that in the near horizon the the geodesic congruence expand exponentially with increase of μ . This is the signature of the presence of instability in the geodesic motion of the particle in the vicinity of the Kerr horizon. As Θ is a scalar quantity and its nature has been extracted from a covariant equation, this above analysis provides a covariant description of our aforesaid local instability as long as particle trajectory is defined by (11).

We will now check whether this observation has any direct connection with our radial instability, given by (19). The expansion parameter Θ can be expressed in terms of l^a and $\tilde{\kappa}(r)$ as

$$\Theta = \nabla_a l^a - \tilde{\kappa}. \quad (29)$$

Now, let us take $\nabla_a l^a = M(r)$ and in the near horizon region we can expand $M(r)$ as $M(r) \simeq \kappa + M'(r_H)(r - r_H)$, where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to the radial coordinate. Similarly, we can expand $\tilde{\kappa}(r)$ in the near horizon as $\tilde{\kappa}(r) \simeq \kappa + \tilde{\kappa}'(r_H)(r - r_H)$. Therefore, putting these values into Eq. (29) we obtain the value of expansion parameter in the near horizon region as

$$\Theta = S(r_H)(r - r_H) \quad (30)$$

where $S(r_H) = [M'(r_H) - \tilde{\kappa}'(r_H)]$ and $S(r_H) \neq 0$. Now, substituting this value of Θ (30) in the solution (28) yields $r - r_H \simeq (\kappa/S(r_H))e^{\kappa t}$. Hence, once again we found that there is instability in the radial direction of the particle motion and the nature of instability is similar in nature (19). With this analysis we conclude that although the local instability is not a coordinate dependent feature, but the particular nature of radial behaviour (19) is related to our chosen coordinate system.

V. THE HAMILTONIAN

Let us now proceed to construct the Hamiltonian of the particle which leads to the above observed features

in near horizon particle motion. This will be explicitly used later for the semi-classical analysis of our system.

A. From the knowledge of trajectory

Till now, we have shown the presence of instability in the radial direction of the particle motion in the near horizon region. There is no signature of instability in the polar and the azimuthal direction of the particle motion. This instability in the radial direction has some particular consequences which has been seen in some earlier works also [11, 12], where it has been shown that such instability in the radial direction in the near horizon region leads to a certain kind of Hamiltonian of the system which takes up the form of $\sim xp$ kind, where $x \equiv r - r_H$ and p is corresponding radial momentum. However, these studies are particularly performed for the case of SSS BH [11, 12, 17]. For the case of Kerr system such studies have not been addressed anywhere. In case of Kerr BH, unlike the case of SSS BH, there is one extra parameter a . Therefore, it would be interesting to see the effect of rotation parameter a on the dynamics of the particle motion in the near horizon region. The Hamiltonian prescription is one of the main tools to investigate that and let us see whether its possible implications meet our previous findings or not.

We have the near horizon radial motion which is given by (19). Use of the Hamilton's equation of motion $\dot{r} = \partial H / \partial p_r$ implies

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_r} = \kappa(r - r_H) . \quad (31)$$

Solution of this is given by

$$H = \kappa(r - r_H)p_r + h_1(r, \theta, p_\theta, \phi, p_\phi) . \quad (32)$$

where $h_1(r, \theta, p_\theta, \phi, p_\phi)$ is some arbitrary function.

The polar equation of motion of the particle is given by Eq. (20). Therefore, use of Hamilton's equation of motion $\dot{\theta} = \partial H / \partial p_\theta$ implies

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\theta} = 0 , \quad (33)$$

and the solution of this is given by

$$H = h_2(r, p_r, \theta, \phi, p_\phi) \quad (34)$$

where $h_2(r, p_r, \theta, \phi, p_\phi)$ is again an arbitrary function.

The equation of motion along the azimuthal direction is given by Eq. (21). Therefore, using again the Hamilton's equation of motion $\dot{\phi} = \partial H / \partial p_\phi$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_\phi} = \Omega_H , \quad (35)$$

and its solution is given by

$$H = \Omega_H p_\phi + h_3(r, p_r, \theta, p_\theta, \phi) , \quad (36)$$

where $h_3(r, p_r, \theta, p_\theta, \phi)$ is again some arbitrary function. Therefore, the Hamiltonian of the system becomes

$$H = \kappa(r - r_H)p_r + \Omega_H p_\phi + h(r, \theta, \phi) . \quad (37)$$

Now, the value of the arbitrary function $h(r)$ can be fixed by using the information that the corresponding Lagrangian must vanish as we are dealing with massless particle. The Lagrangian for the above Hamiltonian comes out to be

$$L = \sum_i p_i \dot{q}^i - H = -h(r, \theta, \phi) . \quad (38)$$

Therefore, in order to make it vanish for the massless particle, we must choose $h(r, \theta, \phi) = 0$. Thus we obtain our desired Hamiltonian in the near horizon of the Kerr BH and it is given by

$$H = \kappa(r - r_H)p_r + \Omega_H p_\phi . \quad (39)$$

Interestingly in this case $H - \Omega_H p_\phi = \kappa(r - r_H)$ has the form xp , like the SSS black hole [11, 12], and this is valid only in the near horizon at the leading order in x . As the above Hamiltonian is related to the null vector (11), which has been constructed from the *outward* timelike and spacelike unit normals, it is related to the *outgoing* trajectory of our particle. Interesting observation is that xp type term is always related to the conserved quantity corresponding to global timelike Killing vector $\xi^a = \xi_{(t)}^a + \Omega_H \xi_{(\phi)}^a$ whose vanishing norm defines the location of the horizon. For instance here the conserved quantity is given by $K = -\xi^a p_a = E - \Omega_H p_\phi$ as $p_t = -E$.

At the end, we aim to possibility of thermalization of horizon through tunneling of particles through the horizon. In this case Hamiltonians for both outgoing and ingoing are essential. The approach, adopted so far, yields only the outgoing Hamiltonian. In the next section that for ingoing trajectory will be deduced using the dispersion relation among the four-momentum of the particle.

B. Using dispersion relation

Another way to find out our desired Hamiltonian is from the dispersion relation which relates the four-momentum of our particle. It not only gives the Hamiltonian structure of the outgoing particle, it also provides the information about the ingoing one. We start with the Kerr metric written in (t, r, θ, ϕ) coordinates, i.e. Eq. (5). The metric (5) is clearly stationary and axisymmetric and hence, as we stated earlier, there are two associated Killing vectors: $\xi_{(t)}^a = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ and $\xi_{(\phi)}^a = (0, 0, 0, 1)$. Therefore we have two corresponding conserved quantities for a particle motion: energy $E = -\xi_{(t)}^a p_a = -p_t$ and the angular momentum $L_z = \xi_{(\phi)}^a p_a = p_\phi$ along the rotational axis of the black hole, where p_a is the four momentum whose components are $p_a = (p_t, p_r, p_\theta, p_\phi)$. Now, using the covariant form of the dispersion relation $g^{ab} p_a p_b = 0$ for the massless particle, we obtain the equation of the energy in terms of the radial and azimuthal components of momenta as

$$g^{tt} E^2 - 2g^{tr} E p_r + 2g^{r\phi} p_r p_\phi + g^{rr} p_r^2 + g^{\phi\phi} p_\phi^2 = 0 , \quad (40)$$

where we have substituted $p_t = -E$ and since our particle has no motion along θ (see e.g. Eq. (11) we have used $p_\theta = 0$. The above one yields two solutions of energy:

$$E = \frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} p_r \pm \left[\left(\left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} \right)^2 - \frac{g^{rr}}{g^{tt}} \right) p_r^2 - 2 \frac{g^{r\phi}}{g^{tt}} p_r p_\phi - \frac{g^{\phi\phi}}{g^{tt}} p_\phi^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (41)$$

where the values of each g^{ab} components are given in Appendix A. Among these two solutions one corresponds to the energy of the outgoing particle and the other solution corresponds to the ingoing one.

Let us now proceed to identify them. First investigate with the negative sign solution of Eq. (41). Note that the coefficient of p_r in the first term of Eq. (41) is always a negative quantity for the particle outside the horizon (see the values of g^{ab} components in the Appendix A (check Eq. (A2)) whereas the second term (the quantity with the square root) is a positive. On the other hand, since the energy E is positive, the radial momentum p_r is negative in this case. So, it suggests that the energy solution of Eq. (41) with the negative sign corresponds to the ingoing particle. Now, putting all the values of g^{ab} components in this solution of energy and considering only the leading order terms in the near horizon region we obtain the energy for the ingoing particle as

$$E = -R_H p_r - \Omega_H p_\phi + C_H, \quad (42)$$

where $R_H = 4mr_H/(\rho_H^2 + 2mr_H)$ and $\rho_H = \rho(r_H)$ and the value of C_H , which is unimportant for our present analysis, is given in the Appendix A (see Eq. (A4)). From the above expression it is again evident that p_r is negative and thereby confirming again that this energy is for ingoing particle.

Next, we shall investigate the other solution of Eq. (41), i.e. the energy value with the positive sign. As we have already obtained the energy solution with the negative sign corresponds to the ingoing particle, therefore consequently we can tell that the energy solution with the positive sign corresponds to the outgoing particle. However, in this case we want to affirm that this energy solution of the outgoing particle exactly matches with the energy solution which we obtained earlier (39) with the knowledge of the outgoing particle trajectory (15). From the positive energy solution of (41), using Hamilton's equation of motion we obtain the radial equation of motion of the outgoing particle which is

$$\dot{r} = \frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} + \frac{\left[\left(\left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} \right)^2 - \frac{g^{rr}}{g^{tt}} \right) p_r - \frac{g^{r\phi}}{g^{tt}} p_\phi \right]}{E - \frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} p_r}. \quad (43)$$

Now for our already obtained outgoing radial path, given by (15), we want check whether the above yields the energy of the particle as (39). Expressing Eq. (15) in terms

of the g^{ab} one finds

$$\dot{r} = \frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} \right)^2 - \frac{g^{rr}}{g^{tt}}}. \quad (44)$$

Substituting the above expression in Eq. (43) and solving for E we obtain

$$E = \left[\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} \right)^2 - \frac{g^{rr}}{g^{tt}}} \right] p_r - \frac{\frac{g^{r\phi}}{g^{tt}}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} \right)^2 - \frac{g^{rr}}{g^{tt}}}} p_\phi \quad (45)$$

Now, using the expressions of every g^{ab} component in Eq. (45) and taking only the leading order term in the near horizon region we obtain the energy as (39). Therefore, we confirm here that the energy solution with positive sign of Eq. (45) corresponds to the energy of the outgoing particle.

VI. THERMALIZATION: A SEMI-CLASSICAL APPROACH

So far we observed that an outgoing massless particle in the near horizon regime suffers local instability. The corresponding Hamiltonian, at the leading order, is given by (39), while that for ingoing one is (42). Now, within a semi-classical approach, we will show that nature of these Hamiltonians are responsible to feel the black hole horizon by the particle as thermal object. Here we shall adopt the quantum tunneling approach in order to investigate the issue. The tunneling of particles through horizon has been a very well known method to understand Hawking radiation (see [19] for the details). In this approach the main quantity to be calculated is the tunneling probability which is a ratio between the outgoing and ingoing probability of particle through the horizon. Without going into the details of this approach let us now apply for our present case.

We start with the standard ansatz for the wave function for a particle as

$$\Psi(q^\mu) = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} S(q^\mu) \right], \quad (46)$$

where $S(q^\mu)$ is the Hamilton-Jacobi action for the particle which is defined as an integration of the momentum components p^μ of the particle with respect to the position coordinates q^μ :

$$S(q^\mu) = \int p_\mu dq^\mu. \quad (47)$$

The outgoing and ingoing trajectories correspond to $\partial S/\partial q^\mu < 0$ and $\partial S/\partial q^\mu > 0$, respectively. Here we are interested to calculate the emission probability of the outgoing particle while the absorption probability of the ingoing one. The ratio of them will give our required tunneling probability.

First we calculate the emission action of the outgoing particle. The radial momentum is given by (39). As the particle crosses the horizon from just inside to just outside, the integration limit must be chosen $x = -\epsilon$ to

$x = \epsilon$ where $x \equiv r - r_H$ and $\epsilon > 0$ and thus the ‘‘emission’’ action is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S[\text{Emission}] &= \left(\frac{E - \Omega_H p_\phi}{\kappa} \right) \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_0^{2\pi} p_\phi d\phi \\ &= \left(\frac{E - \Omega_H p_\phi}{\kappa} \right) (-i\pi) + \text{Real part} . \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Note that for the above one $\partial S/\partial x = (E - \Omega_H p_\phi)/(\kappa x)$ and as inside the horizon $x < 0$, we have $\partial S/\partial x < 0$. Therefore satisfies the outgoing trajectory condition as stated below Eq. (47). The ‘‘absorption’’ action for the ingoing particle can be calculated from radial momentum given by (42). Since it does not contain any singularity at $x = 0$, one obtains

$$S[\text{Absorption}] = \text{Real quantity} . \quad (49)$$

Since for the tunneling probability, the explicit form of the above one is not necessary as long as the final value is real, we do not bother about its actual expression. Therefore, the probability of emission turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} P[\text{emission}] &\sim \left| e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} S[\text{emission}]} \right|^2 \\ &\propto \exp \left[-\frac{2\pi(E - \Omega_H p_\phi)}{\hbar\kappa} \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

whereas the probability of absorption is $P[\text{Absorption}] \sim 1$. Hence the tunneling probability is evaluated as

$$\Gamma = \frac{P[\text{Emission}]}{P[\text{Absorption}]} \sim \exp \left[-\frac{2\pi(E - \Omega_H p_\phi)}{\hbar\kappa} \right] . \quad (51)$$

Hence use of the usual argument yields the expression for temperature as

$$T = \frac{\hbar\kappa}{2\pi} , \quad (52)$$

which is the well known Hawking expression [2, 3] for black hole horizon temperature.

Here we saw that the emission probability, calculated from the Hamiltonian (39), is the main for showing the thermality of the horizon. We know that, this Hamiltonian, in the locality of horizon yields an instability in the radial motion of the particle. This is in favour of our earlier conjecture [11, 12], even for more general like axisymmetric black hole, that horizon creates a local instability in its vicinity which is responsible for its own thermalization as feels by our massless particle.

VII. CONCLUSION

For the past few decades, physicists are looking for a unified explanation to understand the underlying reason why the horizon is associated with temperature. In this scenario, recent developments [11–13] have shown that the possible answer may be hiding behind the classical instability in the near horizon region and its intimate relationship with its quantum consequences. This possibility leads us to propose a striking conjecture which

suggests *near horizon local instability itself is the source of black hole temperature*. However, in our earlier works [11, 12], this conjecture has been proposed by investigating only SSS BH scenario. Therefore, in order to attest to the validity of our conjecture, we needed to test it for a more generic class of black holes. Kerr black hole is one of the prime examples of that which is much more realistic than the SSS BH, and have astrophysical relevance. All known stars rotate, and realistic collisions have non-zero angular momentum, therefore, the black holes formed due to the collapse or collision of stars or compact objects are expected to be the rotating ones in nature. Hence, the foremost aim of this work was to test the robustness of the conjecture in a much more realistic picture in order to explain the horizon thermalization.

Like our earlier investigation [11, 12], the main focus, in this paper, was to inspect whether the presence of local instability in the near horizon region is responsible for providing the temperature for a particular set of observer but this time in Kerr spacetime. We finally found that this is indeed the case. Although near horizon instability is an observer independent phenomenon for our chosen null path, the specific feature of the radial motion of the outgoing particle is a coordinate dependent event. The radial contribution in corresponding Hamiltonian of the system comes out to be of xp kind, which is indeed an unstable Hamiltonian. This is where the classical part of the calculation ends. In the quantum regime, using the tunneling approach we obtain that thermality emerges due to that instability and the temperature exactly matches with the Hawking temperature. Therefore, it turns out that the extension of our proposed conjecture is applicable to much more generic black holes also. Hence, the generality of this conjecture evident here, and thereby providing a prospective to become one of the leading candidates to understand the underlying mystery of the horizon thermalization in the context of black holes.

Appendix A: Inverse of metric (5) and the value of C_H in Eq. (42)

Our massless and chargeless test particle is moving under the background of the metric given by (5). Now the determinant of the metric components (5) takes a very simple form

$$\det(g_{ab}) = -\rho^4 \sin^2 \theta , \quad (A1)$$

and the inverse metric takes the form

$$g^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} -\left(1 + \frac{2mr}{\rho^2}\right) & \frac{2mr}{\rho^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2mr}{\rho^2} & \frac{r^2 + a^2 - 2mr}{\rho^2} & 0 & \frac{a}{\rho^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a}{\rho^2} & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho^2 \sin^2 \theta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (A2)$$

Now, let us denote $X^2(r) = \left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}}\right)^2 - \frac{g^{rr}}{g^{tt}}$, $Y(r) = \frac{g^{r\phi}}{g^{tt}}$ and $Z(r) = \frac{g^{\phi\phi}}{g^{tt}}$. Therefore, in terms of these, the energy

solution with the negative sign of Eq. (41) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= \frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} p_r - [X^2(r)p_r^2 - 2Y(r)p_r p_\phi - Z(r)p_\phi^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &= \frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} p_r - \left(X(r)p_r - \frac{Y(r)}{X(r)} p_\phi \right) \left[1 - \frac{\left(\frac{Y^2(r)}{X^2(r)} + Z(r) \right) p_\phi^2}{\left(X(r)p_r - \frac{Y(r)}{X(r)} p_\phi \right)^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{A3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, performing the Binomial series expansion of the term under the square root of the above equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= \left(\frac{g^{tr}}{g^{tt}} - X(r) \right) p_r + \frac{Y(r)}{X(r)} p_\phi \\
 &+ \underbrace{\left(X(r)p_r - \frac{Y(r)}{X(r)} p_\phi \right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{Y^2(r)}{X^2(r)} + Z(r) \right) p_\phi^2}{\left(X(r)p_r - \frac{Y(r)}{X(r)} p_\phi \right)^2} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{\left(\frac{Y^2(r)}{X^2(r)} + Z(r) \right)^2 p_\phi^4}{\left(X(r)p_r - \frac{Y(r)}{X(r)} p_\phi \right)^4} + \dots \right]}_{C(r)}. \tag{A4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, considering only the leading order terms in the near horizon region and putting all the values of g^{ab} components we land up to Eq. (42) where $C_H = C(r = r_H)$.

-
- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, “Black holes and entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333-2346 (1973)
- [2] S. W. Hawking, “Black hole explosions,” *Nature* **248**, 30-31 (1974)
- [3] S. W. Hawking, “Particle Creation by Black Holes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199-220 (1975) [erratum: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **46**, 206 (1976)]
- [4] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S. W. Hawking, “The Four laws of black hole mechanics,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **31**, 161-170 (1973)
- [5] J. M. Maldacena and N. Seiberg, “Flux-vacua in two dimensional string theory,” *JHEP* **09**, 077 (2005) [[arXiv:hep-th/0506141](#) [hep-th]].
- [6] P. Betzios, N. Gaddam and O. Papadoulaki, “The Black Hole S-Matrix from Quantum Mechanics,” *JHEP* **11**, 131 (2016) [[arXiv:1607.07885](#) [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Morita, “Thermal Emission from Semi-classical Dynamical Systems,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, no.10, 101603 (2019) [[arXiv:1902.06940](#) [hep-th]].
- [8] S. S. Hegde, V. Subramanyan, B. Bradlyn and S. Vishveshwara, “Quasinormal Modes and the Hawking-Unruh Effect in Quantum Hall Systems: Lessons from Black Hole Phenomena,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, no.15, 156802 (2019) [[arXiv:1812.08803](#) [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [9] M. Maitra, D. Maity and B. R. Majhi, “Near horizon symmetries, emergence of Goldstone modes and thermality,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **135**, no.6, 483 (2020) [[arXiv:1906.04489](#) [hep-th]].
- [10] M. R. Setare, A. Jalali and B. R. Majhi, “Thermalization of horizon through asymptotic symmetry in three-dimensional massive gravity,” [[arXiv:2102.10097](#) [hep-th]].
- [11] S. Dalui, B. R. Majhi and P. Mishra, “Horizon induces instability locally and creates quantum thermality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.4, 044006 (2020) [[arXiv:1910.07989](#) [gr-qc]].
- [12] S. Dalui and B. R. Majhi, “Near horizon local instability and quantum thermality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 124047 (2020) [[arXiv:2007.14312](#) [gr-qc]].
- [13] B. R. Majhi, “Is eigenstate thermalization hypothesis the key for thermalization of horizon?,” [[arXiv:2101.04458](#) [gr-qc]].
- [14] V. Subramanyan, S. S. Hegde, S. Vishveshwara and B. Bradlyn, “Physics of the Inverted Harmonic Oscillator: From the lowest Landau level to event horizons,” [[arXiv:2012.09875](#) [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [15] H. E. Camblong, A. Chakraborty and C. R. Ordonez, “Near-horizon aspects of acceleration radiation by free fall of an atom into a black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.8, 085010 (2020) [[arXiv:2009.06580](#) [gr-qc]].
- [16] A. Azizi, H. E. Camblong, A. Chakraborty, C. R. Ordonez and M. O. Scully, “Acceleration radiation of an atom freely falling into a Kerr black hole and near-horizon conformal quantum mechanics,” [[arXiv:2011.08368](#) [gr-qc]].
- [17] S. Dalui, B. R. Majhi and P. Mishra, “Presence of horizon makes particle motion chaotic,” *Phys. Lett. B* **788**, 486-493 (2019) [[arXiv:1803.06527](#) [gr-qc]].
- [18] S. Dalui, B. R. Majhi and P. Mishra, “Induction of chaotic fluctuations in particle dynamics in a uniformly accelerated frame,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **35**, no.18, 2050081 (2020) [[arXiv:1904.11760](#) [gr-qc]].
- [19] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, “Particle production and complex path analysis,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60**,

- 024007 (1999) [[arXiv:gr-qc/9812028](#) [gr-qc]].
- [20] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, “Hawking radiation as tunneling,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 5042-5045 (2000) [[arXiv:hep-th/9907001](#) [hep-th]].
- [21] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, “Quantum Tunneling Beyond Semiclassical Approximation,” *JHEP* **06**, 095 (2008) [[arXiv:0805.2220](#) [hep-th]].
- [22] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, “Connecting anomaly and tunneling methods for Hawking effect through chirality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 064024 (2009) [[arXiv:0812.0497](#) [hep-th]].
- [23] R. Banerjee and B. R. Majhi, “Hawking black body spectrum from tunneling mechanism,” *Phys. Lett. B* **675**, 243-245 (2009) [[arXiv:0903.0250](#) [hep-th]].
- [24] B. R. Majhi, “Quantum Tunneling in Black Holes,” [[arXiv:1110.6008](#) [gr-qc]].
- [25] E. Gourgoulhon and J. L. Jaramillo, “A 3+1 perspective on null hypersurfaces and isolated horizons,” *Phys. Rept.* **423**, 159-294 (2006) [[arXiv:gr-qc/0503113](#) [gr-qc]].
- [26] Y. Wang, C. Sheng, Yong-Heng Lu, Jun Gao, Yi-Jun Chang, Xiao-Ling Pang, Tian-Huai Yang, Shi-Ning Zhu, Hui Liu, Xian-Min Jin, “Quantum simulation of particle pair creation near the event horizon,” *National Science Review*, Volume 7, Issue 9, September 2020, Pages 1476–1484.
- [27] T. Padmanabhan, “Gravitation: Foundations and Frontiers,” Cambridge University Press, New York (2010).