

THE AUGMENTED BASE LOCUS IN MIXED CHARACTERISTIC

LIAM STIGANT

ABSTRACT. Let L be a nef and big line bundle on a scheme X . It is well known that if X is a projective over a field then the augmented base locus and the exceptional base locus agree. This result is extended to projective schemes over arbitrary excellent Noetherian bases. In particular the result holds in mixed characteristic.

1. INTRODUCTION

The augmented base locus is well studied for schemes over a field. An important characterisation, first noted for smooth varieties of characteristic 0 by Nakayama [Nak00], is that for a nef line bundle L the augmented base locus $\mathbf{B}_+(L)$ agrees with the exceptional locus $\mathbb{E}(L)$.

Since then the result has been shown to hold for projective schemes over a field, first in positive characteristic by Cascini-McKernan-MustaŃa [CMM14], and then for \mathbb{R} -divisors over any field by Birkar [Bir17]. Similar results are given for non-nef divisors in [ELM⁺09] and for Kähler manifolds in [CT15].

We use the mixed characteristic analogue of Keel's Theorem developed in [Wit20] together with ideas from the positive characteristic proof to show that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbb{E}(L)$ for any nef line bundle on a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base.

Theorem 1 (Theorem 19). *Let X be a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a nef line bundle on X then $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbb{E}(L)$*

With the same methods we extend a characterisation of semi-ampleness for big line bundles analogous to those given in [Bir17] and [CMM14].

Theorem 2 (Corollary 14). *Suppose that X is a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a line bundle on X . Then there is a scheme $Z \subseteq X$ whose reduced subvariety is $\mathbb{E}(L)$ such that L is semiample if and only if $L|_Z$ is. Moreover all the vertical components of Z are reduced.*

Acknowledgements. This result was born from fruitful conversation with Fabio Bernasconi, Federico Bonjorno, Iacopo Brivio and Paolo Cascini. Thanks in particular to Fabio and Paolo for their comments and advice on earlier drafts. I am also grateful to the EPSRC for my funding.

Date: November 26, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14A15 (Primary), 14E99 (Secondary).

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will work exclusively with line bundles. Since the schemes we work with need not be normal, line bundles are not the same as Cartier divisors, however we typically use the traditional notation for divisors as we still sometimes treat line bundles as Cartier divisors when appropriate. That is we write the tensor product of L, L' as $L + L'$, $L^{\otimes k}$ is often written kL and given $f : Y \rightarrow X$, then $f^*L = L|_Y$ is often written $\mathcal{O}_Y(L)$, including for $Y = X$, $f = id$.

Since the questions considered are local on the base, it suffices to work only with affine bases. In particular, for notational simplicity, $H^i(X, L)$ will be used to denote the higher derived pushforwards of L by $X \rightarrow S$.

Definition 3. *Let L be a line bundle on a projective Noetherian scheme X . Then base locus is given as*

$$\mathbf{B}(L) = \bigcap_{s \in H^0(X, L)} Z(s)_{red}$$

where $Z(s)$ is the zero set of s equipped with the obvious scheme structure. The stable base locus is then

$$\mathbf{SB}(L) = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{B}(mL).$$

Fix an ample line bundle A . The augmented base locus is given as

$$\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{SB}(mL - A)$$

and is independent of the choice of A .

We could also write

$$\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \bigcap_{A \text{ ample}, m \geq 0} \mathbf{SB}(mL - A)$$

for a definition that involves no choice of ample line bundle. By Noetherianity if we choose m sufficiently large and divisible then in fact $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{SB}(mL - A)$.

Definition 4. *Let L be a line bundle on a projective scheme X . The exceptional locus, $\mathbb{E}(L)$, is the union of integral subschemes on which L is not big.*

The previous two definitions are invariant under scaling by $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ and line bundles will frequently be replaced with higher multiples.

Theorem 5. [Wit20][Theorem 1.10] *Suppose that X is a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base S and L is a nef line bundle on X . Then if $L|_{X_{red}}$ and $L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ are semiample so too is L .*

Theorem 6. [Kee03][Theorem 1.5] *Let X be a projective scheme over a Noetherian ring, A an ample line bundle and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf. Then there is some m_0 with*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes A^m \otimes \mathcal{N}) = 0$$

for all $i > 0, m \geq m_0$ and all nef line bundles \mathcal{N} .

Lemma 7. [CMM14]/[Lemma 2.3] *Let X be a reduced projective scheme and L, A line bundles on X with A ample. Then for large m and general $s \in H^0(X, mL - A)$ and any irreducible component Y of X with $L|_Y$ big we have $Y \not\subseteq Z(s)$.*

Lemma 8. [EH00]/[Proposition IV-21] *Let X be a scheme and $Z \subseteq X$ a subscheme with $Y \rightarrow X$ the blowup of X along Z . If $f : X' \rightarrow X$ is any morphism and we write $Z' = f^{-1}Z$, then the closure W of $\pi_{X'}^{-1}(X' \setminus Z')$ inside $X' \times_X Y$ is exactly the blowup of X' along Z' .*

Most importantly, here, if Z is a subscheme of X_{red} , then the blowup of X_{red} along Z is the fibre product of X_{red} with the blowup of X along Z .

We will also need the following. It is well known but a satisfactory reference couldn't be found.

Lemma 9 (Elimination of Indeterminacy by blowups). *Let $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ be a rational map of S schemes associated to an S -linear system $|V| \subseteq H^0(X, L)$ without fixed part, then there is Z with maps $\phi_1 : Z \rightarrow X$, $\phi_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $\phi_1^*L = M + F$ for M a line bundle globally generated by $\phi_1^*|V|$, $F \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$ is a line bundle, $\phi_1(F) = \mathbf{B}|V|$ as reduced schemes and $\phi_2 = f \circ \phi_1$. Further we may construct $Z \rightarrow X$ as a blowup of X .*

Proof. Consider the following morphism of line bundles $V \otimes L^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ and let \mathcal{I} be the image. Then $\mathcal{I} \otimes L$ is the image of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$, in particular the support of \mathcal{I} is exactly $\mathbf{B}|V|$.

Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X along \mathcal{I} . We then have $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_Z(-F)$ for some F an effective Cartier divisor. Hence we have

$$\pi^*(V \otimes L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(-F) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$$

where the first map is surjective by right exactness of the pullback functor.

Tensoring by π^*L then gives the following.

$$\pi^*(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \pi^*L(-F) \hookrightarrow \pi^*L$$

In particular the line bundle in the middle, which we may write M is globally generated by sections indexed by $\pi^*|V|$ and we have $M = \pi^*L(-F)$ by construction. Clearly $\pi(F)$ is the support of \mathcal{I} , which is nothing but $\mathbf{B}|V|$. Since M is globally generated it defines a morphism $\phi_2 := \phi_{\pi^*|V|} : Z \rightarrow Y$ and as $\phi_1 := \pi$ is an isomorphism away from F the sections in $\pi^*|V|$ agree with those of $|V|$ on this locus. Hence $\phi_{\pi^*|V|}$ agrees with f here, that is $\phi_2 = f \circ \phi_1$ as required. \square

3. STABLE BASE LOCI

Theorem 10. *Let X be a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base S . Then if $\mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{red, \mathbb{Q}}})$ we have $\mathbf{SB}(L) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{red}})$.*

Proof. First we reduce to the case that $L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semiample.

We can replace L with mL so that $\mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{B}(L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}})$. Then by Lemma 9 there is an elimination of indeterminacy, $Y_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ obtained by blowing up some subscheme Z . Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X along Z' , the scheme theoretic image of Z in X . Then we $Y \times_Z \mathbb{Q} \simeq Y \times_X X_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by flatness.

We have that $L|_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}} = M_{\mathbb{Q}} + F_{\mathbb{Q}}$ where $M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is semiample. By construction we have $\pi(F_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{red,\mathbb{Q}}})$. Let F be the pullback of Z' to Y . Then $F|_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is precisely $F_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence $M = L - F$ is semiample on $Y_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $\pi(\mathbf{SB}(M)) \cup \pi(F) = \mathbf{SB}(L)$. The same holds on the reduced subscheme and thus it suffices to show that $\mathbf{SB}(M|_{Y_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{SB}(M|_{Y_{red,\mathbb{Q}}})$ since $\pi(F)|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}} = \pi(F_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Note also that M is a line bundle by construction.

Replacing X with Y and L with M we may suppose then that L is semiample on $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Once again, we may replace L with a multiple so that $\mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{red}}) = \mathbf{B}(L|_{X_{red}})$ and let $\pi' : Y' \rightarrow X_{red}$ be an elimination of indeterminacy of $L|_{X_{red}}$, so that $\pi'^*L|_{X_{red}} = M' + F'$ where F' is the fixed part with $\pi'(F') = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{red}})$. Then π' is the blowup of some subscheme Z of X_{red} . Let Y be the blowup of X along Z , then we have $Y' = X_{red} \times_X Y$ by Lemma 8.

We now have the following Cartesian square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{red} & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \pi' \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{i'} & Y \end{array}$$

If T is a reduced scheme mapping onto Y , the induced map to X factors uniquely through X_{red} . Then as the square is Cartesian the map to Y must also factor uniquely through Y' . In particular $Y' \simeq Y_{red}$ by universality.

As before, if we let F be the exceptional divisor of the blowup $Y \rightarrow X$, then writing $M = \pi^*L - F$ we have that M is a line bundle with $i'^*M = M' + F' - F' = M'$ and $M|_{Y'_{\mathbb{Q}}} = \pi_{\mathbb{Q}}^*L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semiample. By Theorem 5 we see that M is semiample and hence $\mathbf{SB}(L) = \pi(F) = \pi(F') = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{X_{red}})$ where the equalities are as reduced subschemes.

□

Lemma 11. *Let L be a nef line bundle on X projective over an excellent Noetherian base S with and D an effective Cartier divisor such that $L(-D)$ is an ample line bundle. Then if $\mathbf{SB}(L|_{D_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{D_{red,\mathbb{Q}}})$ we also have*

$$\mathbf{SB}(L) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_D).$$

In particular the result holds if $L|_{D_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semiample.

Proof. Clearly $\mathbf{SB}(L) \subseteq D$ as L is ample away from D . and we have $\mathbf{SB}(L|_D) \subseteq \mathbf{SB}(L)$ by restriction.

Consider the following short exact sequence.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(kL - mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(kL) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{mD}(kL) \rightarrow 0$$

By Theorem 6, we may choose $m \gg 0$ such that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, kL - mD) = 0$ for $k \geq m$. Then by Theorem 10 and the assumption on the base locus, we have $\mathbf{SB}(L|_D) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{mD})$ and may pick k with $\mathbf{SB}(L|_D) = B(kL|_{mD})$ as reduced subschemes of X . In particular if P is any closed point of D , we may find a section of $kL|_{mD}$ avoiding it, and then lift this to a section of kL . Thus $\mathbf{SB}(L|_D) \subseteq \mathbf{SB}(L)|_D$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 12. *Suppose that X is a reduced projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base. Suppose that L, A are line bundles with L nef and A ample. Take $Z = Z(s)$ for some section s of $L - A$. Then if $\mathbf{SB}(L|_{Z_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{Z_{\text{red}, \mathbb{Q}}})$ we have $\mathbf{SB}(L) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_Z)$.*

Proof. Let Y_1 be the union of components of X contained in Z and Y_2 the union of those not contained in Z . If either are empty the result is clear so suppose otherwise.

Let $D = Z \cap Y_2$. By assumption D is a Cartier divisor on Y_2 with $D = (L - A)|_{Y_2}$. As above we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}(kL_2 - mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}(kL_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{mD}(kL_2) \rightarrow 0$$

and choosing $k > m \gg 0$ this allows us to lift sections from $kL_2|_{mD}$. We then have $\mathbf{B}(kL|_{mZ}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{mZ}) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{B}(kL|_Z)$ for large enough k by Theorem 10. Now, given any section t of $kL|_{mZ}$ we may restrict it to D and then lift it to t' a section of kL_2 . By construction t' agrees with t on $D = Z \cap Y_2$, and since $Y_1 \subseteq Z$ it follows we may glue $t|_{Y_1}$ and t' . In particular then we must have $\mathbf{SB}(L)|_Z = \mathbf{SB}(L|_Z)$, but since L is ample away from Z the result follows. \square

Corollary 13. *Suppose that X is a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a nef line bundle on X . Then $\mathbf{SB}(L) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{\mathbb{E}(L)})$ so long as $L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semiample.*

Proof. By Noetherian induction we may suppose that this holds on every proper closed subscheme. By Theorem 10 we may suppose that X is reduced and wlog we may also assume $\mathbb{E}(L) \neq X$. Let X' be the union of components on which L is big and X'' the union of those on which it is not.

Let A be an ample line bundle and s a general section of $mL - A$, then $Z = Z(s)$ must contain $\mathbb{E}(L)$. By Lemma 7 we have that $Z \neq X$, since s does not vanish on any component of X' . Since $\mathbb{E}(L|_Z) = \mathbb{E}(L)|_Z = \mathbb{E}(L)$ we must have $\mathbf{SB}(L) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{SB}(L|_{\mathbb{E}(L)})$ by the induction hypothesis. \square

Corollary 14. *Suppose that X is a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a line bundle on X . Then there is a scheme $Z \subseteq X$ whose reduced subvariety is $\mathbb{E}(L)$ such that L is semiample if and only if $L|_Z$ is. Moreover all the vertical components of Z are reduced.*

Proof. We may freely assume that L is nef. Indeed if $L.C < 0$ then $C \subseteq \mathbb{E}(L)$ but then L cannot be semiample on $\mathbb{E}(L)$ so $Z = \mathbb{E}(L)$ suffices.

In characteristic 0 then, this is [Bir17][Theorem 1.4]. In particular there is $Z_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfying the hypotheses for $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let Z' be its closure and then let Z be the union of Z' and every component of $\mathbb{E}(L)$ not contained in Z' .

Suppose $L|_Z$ is semiample. Then $L|_{Z_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semiample and by construction $L|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semiample also. Hence it is enough to show that $L|_{\mathbb{E}(L)}$ is semiample by Corollary 13, but since $L|_Z$ is semiample then so too is its pullback to $\mathbb{E}(L)$. The converse is clear. \square

4. AUGMENTED BASE LOCI

Lemma 15. *Let X be a projective scheme, L a line bundle and A a very ample line bundle. Then for $m \gg 0$ large and divisible we have that*

$$\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{B}(mL - A).$$

Proof. Certainly we have n such that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{SB}(nL - A)$ and thus also $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{B}(nkL - kA)$ for large divisible k . Conversely however $\mathbf{B}(nkL - kA) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L)$ as A is very ample. Since $\mathbf{B}_+(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}(nkL - kA)$ by definition, taking $m = kn$ suffices. \square

Lemma 16. *Suppose that X is a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a line bundle on X , then $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{B}_+(X_{red})$.*

Proof. Write $Y = X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let K be the field of fractions of S , then we have a flat morphism $K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and a base change $Y_K \rightarrow Y$. Note that $(Y_K)_{red} = (Y_{red})_K = Y_{red,K}$ by the universal property.

Choose A an ample line bundle and $m \gg 0$ such that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{SB}(mL - A)$ and $\mathbf{B}_+(X_{red}) = \mathbf{SB}((mL - A)|_{X_{red}})$ we may further assume the same holds on Y_K and $Y_{red,K}$. It is enough to know that we have that $\mathbf{SB}((mL - A)|_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \mathbf{SB}((mL - A)|_{X_{red,\mathbb{Q}}})$. If this is the case then the result follows by Theorem 10.

Now by flat base change, it is enough to check that $\mathbf{SB}(mL_{Y_K} - A) = \mathbf{SB}(mL_{Y_{red,K}} - A)$. However by [Bir17][Theorem 1.3] we have

$$\mathbf{SB}((mL - A)|_{Y_K}) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_{Y_K}) = \mathbb{E}(L|_{Y_K}) = \mathbb{E}(L|_{Y_{red,K}}) = \mathbf{SB}((mL - A)|_{X_{red,\mathbb{Q}}}).$$

\square

Lemma 17. *Let X be a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a nef line bundle on X . Let D be an effective Cartier divisor with $L(-D)$ an ample line bundle then $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_D)$.*

Proof. Since $D = L - A$ we must have that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) \subseteq D$, and conversely $\mathbf{B}_+(L|_D) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L)$ since we may always pullback sections. It suffices to show then that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L|_D)$ and we need only check this on points inside D .

By taking multiples we may freely assume $L - D = 2A$ for A very ample. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(k(mL - D - A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(kmL - kA) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{kD}(mkL - kA) \rightarrow 0$$

We have that $H^1(X, kmL - kD - kA) = H^1(X, (k-1)mL + kA) = 0$ for $k \gg 0$ which we now fix and for all $m > 0$.

In particular we may lift sections from $\mathcal{O}_{kD}(mkL - kA)$ for any $m > 0$. From the previous lemma we have $\mathbf{B}_+(L|_{kD}) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_D)$ and by Lemma 15 we have that $\mathbf{B}_+(L|_{kD}) = \mathbf{B}((mkL - kA)_{kD})$ for sufficiently large and divisible m . Given this choice we may lift sections avoiding $\mathbf{B}((mkL - kA)_{kD})$ and thus $\mathbf{B}_+(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L|_D)$.

□

Corollary 18. *Let X be a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a nef line bundle on X and A an ample line bundle. Let $Z = Z(s)$ for some s a section of $L - A$ then $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_Z)$*

Proof. As above we need only prove that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_+(L|_Z)$.

Let Y_1 the union of components on which Z is non-zero and Y_2 the union of those on which it is not. From above we may assume that $Y_1 \neq 0$ else $Z_{red} = X_{red}$ and the result follows. Let $D = Z|_{Y_1}$ and write $L|_{Y_1} = L', A|_{Y_1} = A'$. As in the proof of previous theorem, after possibly replacing L, D with a multiples, we may find k such that every section of $(mkL' - kA')|_{kD}$ lifts to one of $mkL' - kA'$. Similarly for $n \gg 0$ sufficiently divisible we have $\mathbf{B}((nL - kA)|_{kZ}) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_Z)$. Taking any section s of $(mkL - kA)|_{kZ}$, we may restrict to a section on kD and then lift to s' a section of $k(mL' - A')$. By construction $s|_{Y_2}, s'$ glue along $Y_1 \cap Y_2 \subseteq D$ to give a corresponding section of $k(mL - A)$ and the result follows. □

Theorem 19. *Let X be a projective scheme over an excellent Noetherian base with L a nef line bundle on X then $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbb{E}(L)$*

Proof. We may freely assume X is reduced by earlier results and for induction we suppose the result holds on every proper closed subscheme. It is enough to show that $\mathbf{B}_+(L) \subseteq \mathbb{E}(L)$ so wlog we can take $\mathbb{E}(L) \neq X$. Let Y_1 be the union of irreducible components with L big and Y_2 the union of those on which it is not. Fix A an ample line bundle, then by Lemma 7 we can find a section s of $mL - A$, for m sufficiently large, whose restriction to every component of Y_1 is non-zero but which is zero on Y_2 . We have $\mathbf{B}_+(L) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_Z)$ and as Z contains the $\mathbb{E}(L)$ by construction we also have $\mathbb{E}(L) = \mathbb{E}(L|_Z) = \mathbf{B}_+(L|_Z)$ by the induction hypothesis.

□

REFERENCES

- [Bir17] Caucher Birkar. The augmented base locus of real divisors over arbitrary fields. *Mathematische Annalen*, 368(3):905–921, 2017.
- [CMM14] Paolo Cascini, James McKernan, and Mircea Mustața. The augmented base locus in positive characteristic. *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, 57(1):79–87, 2014.

- [CT15] Tristan C Collins and Valentino Tosatti. Kähler currents and null loci. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 202(3):1167–1198, 2015.
- [EH00] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *The geometry of schemes* { *Graduate Texts in Mathematics; 197*}. Springer-Verlag New York Incorporated, 2000.
- [ELM⁺09] Lawrence Ein, Robert Lazarsfeld, Mircea Mustața, Michael Nakamaye, and Mihnea Popa. Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 131(3):607–651, 2009.
- [Kee03] Dennis S Keeler. Ample filters of invertible sheaves. *Journal of Algebra*, 259(1):243–283, 2003.
- [Nak00] Michael Nakamaye. Stable base loci of linear series. *Mathematische Annalen*, 318(4):837–847, 2000.
- [Wit20] Jakub Witaszek. Keel’s base point free theorem and quotients in mixed characteristic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.11915*, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, 180 QUEEN’S GATE, LONDON SW7 2AZ, UK

Email address: `l.stigant18@imperial.ac.uk`