

# On the existence of birational maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over biradical extensions in mixed characteristic

Prashanth Sridhar\*

University of Kansas  
405 Snow Hall, 1460 Jayhawk Blvd,  
Lawrence, Kansas, USA 66045  
e-mail: prashanth@ku.edu

## Abstract

Let  $S$  be an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$  and  $S^p$  the subring of  $S$  obtained by lifting to  $S$  the image of the Frobenius map on  $S/pS$ . Let  $R$  be the integral closure of  $S$  in a biradical extension of degree  $p^2$  of its quotient field obtained by adjoining  $p$ -th roots of sufficiently general square free elements  $f, g \in S^p$ . We show that  $R$  admits a birational maximal Cohen-Macaulay module. It is noted that  $R$  is not automatically Cohen-Macaulay.

**Keywords:** birational maximal Cohen-Macaulay module, biradical extension, mixed characteristic, unramified regular local ring, integral closure.

**Classification Codes:** 13B22, 13C10, 13C14, 13C15, 13D22, 13E05, 13H05.

## 1 Introduction

The existence of maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) modules (2.1) over catenary rings is largely an open problem. Hochster conjectured that every complete local domain admits an MCM module (see for example [Hoc73] and [Rob12]), but this is known to be true only in very few cases. The primary goal of this article is to show the existence of an MCM module for a new class of rings.

Hochster's conjecture reduces to the integral closure of a complete regular local ring in a normal extension of its fraction field, so that one may approach the problem from this viewpoint. Let  $S$  denote an unramified regular local ring with quotient field  $L$  and

---

\*Corresponding Author

$R$  the integral closure of  $S$  in a finite field extension  $K/L$ . In [Rob80] it is shown that if  $K/L$  is Abelian and the degree of the extension is not divisible by the characteristic of the residue field, then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Note that this applies when  $S$  contains the rational numbers. The proof uses the fact that the group algebra  $k[G]$  is a product of fields when the residue field  $k$  of  $S$  is algebraically closed and  $G = \text{Gal}(K/L)$ . There is no direct analog of the argument when  $\text{char}(k)$  divides the order of  $G$ . In fact, the conclusion fails in mixed characteristic as shown in [Koh86] and [Kat99].

Motivated by the above phenomenon and the studies in [Kat99], [Kat21] and [Sri21], we consider extensions  $K/L$  with the property that  $R$  is  $S$ -free when  $S$  contains a field but not necessarily so otherwise. Kummer theory tells us that Abelian extensions of a field of characteristic zero containing “suitable” roots of unity are repeated radical extensions. Thus it is natural to study repeated  $n$ -th root extensions of an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p > 0$  with the property that  $p|n$ . If  $S$  were to contain the rational numbers or if  $S$  were of mixed characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $p \nmid n$ , then it follows that the integral closure of  $S$  in an arbitrary repeated radical extension is Cohen-Macaulay, see [Sri21] and [HK19].

Now assume additionally that  $S$  has mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$ . If  $K$  is the extension by a  $p$ -th root of a square free element of  $S$ , then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay as shown in [Kat99]. In contrast, we shall see that the integral closure  $R$  fails to be Cohen-Macaulay in a finite square free tower of  $p$ -th roots. In this paper, we consider the existence of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over the integral closure of  $S$  in an extension of degree  $p^2$ , obtained by adjoining  $p$ -th roots of sufficiently general square free elements. The generality and square free conditions on the elements are natural, since any given multi-radical extension can be embedded in a sufficiently large, general, square free tower.

Let  $S^p$  denote the subring of  $S$  obtained by lifting the image of the Frobenius map on  $S/pS$  to  $S$ . Towards the above goal, the immediate obstruction is when  $f, g \in S^p$ , see [KS21]. We now outline our principal findings. Fix  $f, g \in S^p$  square free, non-units that form a regular sequence in  $S$  or units that are not  $p$ -th powers in  $S$ . Let  $\omega^p = f$  and  $\mu^p = g$ . If  $f, g$  are units, assume further that  $[L(\omega, \mu) : L] = p^2$ . Given integers  $n, k \geq 1$ , let  $S^{p^k \wedge p^n} \subset S$  be the multiplicative subset of  $S$  consisting of elements expressible in the form  $x^{p^k} + y \cdot p^n$  for some  $x, y \in S$ . For a discussion of the case  $p = 2$ , see [Sri21], where the results are sharper since in that case such extensions are automatically Abelian. When  $p \geq 3$ , the presence of a  $p$ -th root of unity in  $S$  necessarily ramifies  $p$ , so we do not quite have the same leg room. The main result of this paper is

**Theorem. 4.10** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$ . Then*

1.  *$R$  is Cohen-Macaulay if*
  - (a) *At least one of  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  is not integrally closed.*

- (b)  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  are integrally closed and  $fg^i \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ .
2. Let  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  be integrally closed such that  $fg \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $Q := (p, f, g) \subset S$  is a two generated ideal or all of  $S$ . Moreover,  $p.d.S(R) \leq 1$  and  $\nu_S(R) \leq p^2 + 1$ .
  3. If  $Q := (p, f, g) \subseteq S$  has grade three,  $R$  admits a birational maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

In section 2, we set up convention and make some preliminary remarks that will be used subsequently. In section 3, we provide some sufficient conditions for  $R$  to be Cohen-Macaulay by showing a more general version of 4.10(1), see 3.1 and 3.3. We also identify the conductor of  $R$  to the complete intersection ring  $A := S[\omega, \mu]$  in a crucial case. In section 4, we prove parts (2) and (3) of 4.10. We see that  $R$  is not “too far” from being Cohen-Macaulay, in the sense that it can be generated by  $p^2 + 1$  elements over the base ring  $S$  and  $p.d.S(R) \leq 1$ . However, it is not as close as it appears, since if  $\dim(S) \geq 3$  it could be that  $R$  does not even satisfy Serre’s condition  $S_3$ . We then show that  $R$  admits a birational maximal Cohen-Macaulay module when  $Q := (p, h_1, h_2)$  has grade three. The condition on  $Q$  can be viewed as a further generality condition on the chosen elements. For an  $A$ -module  $M$ , let  $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$  be the dual module. The strategy here is to realize  $R = I^*$  for a suitable ideal  $I \subseteq A$  and identifying an ideal  $J \subseteq A$  such that  $J^*$  is an  $I^*$  module and  $J^*$  is  $S$ -free.

## 2 Preliminaries

All rings considered are commutative and Noetherian and all modules finitely generated.

### Convention 2.1.

1. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. For  $G \subseteq M$  a subset, the notation  $M = \langle G \rangle_R$  means that  $M$  is generated as a  $R$ -module by  $G$ .
2. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring of dimension  $d$ . A nonzero  $R$ -module  $M$  is a **maximal Cohen-Macaulay module** (MCM) over  $R$  if it is finitely generated and every (some) system of parameters of  $R$  is a regular sequence on  $M$ . If  $R$  is an arbitrary Noetherian ring, then an  $R$ -module  $M$  is a **maximal Cohen-Macaulay module** if for all maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ ,  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is an MCM module over  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ .
3. Let  $R$  be a domain and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Denote by  $M_R^*$ , the dual module  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ . If  $R$  is clear from the context, denote it by  $M^*$ . Suppose that  $M \subseteq K$ , where  $K$  denotes the field of fractions of  $R$ . Then via the identification  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R) \simeq (R :_K M)$ , we use  $M^*$  to denote  $(R :_K M)$  as well.

4. If  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of dimension at least one, denote

$$NNL_1(R) := \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \text{height}(P) = 1, R_P \text{ is not a DVR}\}$$

5. Suppose  $S$  is a ring and  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  is such that  $p \in S$  is a non-unit. Let  $F : S/pS \rightarrow S/pS$  be the Frobenius map. Let  $S^p$  denote the subring of  $S$  obtained by lifting the image of  $F$  to  $S$ . Let  $S^{p^k \wedge p^n}$  for  $k, n \geq 1$  denote the multiplicative subset of  $S$  of elements expressible in the form  $x^{p^k} + yp^n$  for some  $x, y \in S$ . In particular,  $S^{p \wedge p} = S^p$ .
6. For a local ring  $R$  and an  $R$ -module  $M$ , denote  $\nu_R(M)$  for the minimal number of generators of  $M$  over  $R$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $S \subseteq C \subseteq D$  be an extension of Noetherian domains such that  $S$  is integrally closed,  $D$  is module finite over  $S$  and  $D$  is birational to  $C$ . Then if  $C$  is regular in codimension one, so is  $D$ . (see [Vas91][Theorem 2.4] for example)

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $S \subseteq D$  be an extension of Noetherian domains such that going down holds. Let  $\overline{D}$  denote the integral closure of  $D$  in its field of fractions  $K$  and assume  $\overline{D}$  is finite over  $D$ . If  $c \cdot u, c \cdot v \in D :_K \overline{D}$  with  $c \in D$  and  $u, v \in S$  such that there exists no height one prime of  $S$  containing both of them, then  $NNL_1(D) \subseteq V(c)$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $A$  be a Noetherian Gorenstein local domain and  $R$  the integral closure of  $A$ . Assume that  $R$  is module finite over  $A$ . Then for every height one unmixed ideal  $I \subseteq A$ ,  $I^*$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $A/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay (see [Sri21][Proposition 2.11] for example). In particular,  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the conductor of  $R$  to  $A$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $S \subseteq R$  be a finite extension of local rings such that  $S$  is Gorenstein and  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Then for an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_R(M, \omega_R) \simeq \text{Hom}_S(M, S)$  as  $R$ -modules (and  $S$ -modules), where  $\omega_R$  is the canonical module of  $R$ .

**Convention 2.6.** We will assume the following notation for the rest of the paper unless otherwise specified. Let  $S$  denote a Noetherian, integrally closed domain of dimension  $d$  and  $L$  its field of fractions. Assume  $\text{Char}(L) = 0$ . Fix  $3 \leq p \in \mathbb{Z}$  a prime and assume that  $p \in S$  is a principal prime such that  $S/pS$  is integrally closed. Note here that an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p$  satisfies the above hypothesis, though not all results here require this specific setting.

Say that a subset  $E \subset S$  satisfies  $\mathcal{A}_1$ , if for all distinct  $x, y \in E$ , there exists no height one prime  $Q \subset S$  such that  $(x, y) \subset Q$ . An element  $c \in S$  is square free if for all height one primes  $P \subset S$  containing  $c$ ,  $PS_P = (c)S_P$ .

Fix  $f, g \in S^p$  square free, non-units, satisfying  $\mathcal{A}_1$  or  $f, g \in S^p$  units such that they are not  $p$ -th powers in  $S$ . Write  $f = h_1^p + a \cdot p$  and  $g = h_2^p + b \cdot p$  with  $h_1, h_2, a, b \in S$ .

Let  $W, U$  be indeterminates over  $S$ . We have the monic irreducible polynomials  $F(W) := W^p - f \in S[W]$  and  $G(U) := U^p - g \in S[U]$ . Let  $\omega, \mu$  be roots of  $F(W)$  and  $G(U)$  respectively and set  $K := L(\omega, \mu)$ . Assume that  $G(U)$  is irreducible over  $L(\omega)$ , so that  $[K : L] = p^2$ . If  $S$  is a unique factorization domain and  $f, g$  are non units, it can be shown that  $[K : L] = p^2$  is automatic. Let  $R$  be the integral closure of  $S$  in  $K$ , that is  $R$  is the integral closure of  $A := S[\omega, \mu]$ . Note that,  $A \simeq S[W, U]/(F(W), G(U))$ ,  $S[\omega] \simeq S[W]/(F(W))$  and  $S[\mu] \simeq S[U]/(G(U))$ .

**Remark 2.7.** From [Sri21][Proposition 2.10], if exactly one of  $f, g$  lies in  $S^p$  then  $R$  is  $S$ -free. Moreover [Sri21][Example 2.12] shows that  $R$  need not be  $S$ -free when  $f, g \notin S^p$ . However, to construct an MCM module over  $R$  it suffices to consider the case  $f, g \in S^p$  when  $S$  is a complete unramified regular local ring with perfect residue field, see [KS21]. This motivates us to understand the case  $f, g \in S^p$ .

**Lemma 2.8** ([Kat99]). *Let  $p = 2k + 1$  and  $h \in S \setminus pS$ . Let  $W$  be an indeterminate over  $S$ . If*

$$C := (W^p - h^p) - (W - h)^p = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p}{j} (W \cdot h)^j [W^{p-2j} - h^{p-2j}] \quad (1)$$

*$C' := (p(W - h))^{-1} \cdot C$  and  $\tilde{P} := (p, W - h)S[W]$ , then  $C' \notin \tilde{P}$ .*

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $p = 2k + 1$  and  $h \in S \setminus pS$ . Let  $W$  be an indeterminate over  $S$ . Suppose  $C'$  is as defined in 2.8. Then  $C' \equiv h^{p-1} \pmod{(p, W - h)S[W]}$ .*

**Proof.** We have in  $S[W]$

$$\begin{aligned} C' &= \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} j^{-1} \binom{p-1}{j-1} (W \cdot h)^j [W^{p-2j-1} + \dots + h^{p-2j-1}] \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} j^{-1} \binom{p-1}{j-1} h^{2j} \cdot (p-2j) \cdot h^{p-2j-1} \pmod{(p, W-h)} \\ &\equiv -2h^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} \binom{p-1}{j-1} \pmod{(p, W-h)} \\ &\equiv -h^{p-1} (-1)^{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{(p, W-h)} \\ &\equiv h^{p-1} \pmod{(p, W-h)} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Convention 2.10.** Suppose  $h_1, h_2 \in S \setminus pS$ . In this case, let  $C'_1$  and  $C'_2$  denote respectively the elements in the rings  $S[W]$  and  $S[U]$  obtained by setting  $h = h_1$  and  $h = h_2$  in 2.8. Denote by  $c'_1$  and  $c'_2$  their respective images in the rings  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  respectively. If  $h_1 = 0$  ( $h_2 = 0$ ), simply set  $c'_1 = 0$  ( $c'_2 = 0$ ). Denote by  $d_i$  the corresponding element in  $S[\omega\mu^i]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ .

**Proposition 2.11** ([Kat99]). *With notation as specified above,  $S[\omega]$  is integrally closed if and only if  $f \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Further, if  $S[\omega]$  is not integrally closed, write  $f = h_1^p + a' \cdot p^2$  for some  $a' \in S$ . Then*

- (a)  $\overline{S[\omega]} = (P^*)_{S[\omega]} = S[\omega, \tau_1]$  where  $\tau_1 = p^{-1} \cdot (\omega^{p-1} + h_1\omega^{p-2} + \dots + h_1^{p-1})$  and  $P := (p, \omega - h_1)S[\omega]$ .
- (b) *There are exactly two height one primes in  $\overline{S[\omega]}$  containing  $p$ , namely  $P := (p, \omega - h_1, \tau_1)\overline{S[\omega]}$  and  $Q := (p, \omega - h_1, \tau - c'_1)\overline{S[\omega]}$ . Further,  $P_P = (\omega - h_1)_P$  and  $Q_Q = (p)_Q$ .*
- (c) *The element  $\tau_1$  satisfies  $l(T) := T^2 - c'_1T - a' \cdot (\omega - h_1)^{p-2} \in S[\omega][T]$ .*
- (d)  $\overline{S[\omega]} = \langle 1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{p-2}, \tau_1 \rangle_S$  is  $S$ -free.

### 3 Cohen-Macaulay integral closures

In this section we identify scenarios where  $R$  is  $S$ -free by showing more general versions of 1(a) and 1(b) in Theorem 4.10. We maintain notation as set up in 2.6.

**Proposition 3.1.**  *$R$  is  $S$ -free if at least one of the rings  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  is not integrally closed.*

**Proof.** We organize the proof as follows:

1. Assume  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  are both not integrally closed. We then
  - (a) Identify a finite birational overring  $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}A$  such that  $\mathcal{R}A$  satisfies  $R_1$ .
  - (b) Identify a “natural” finite birational overring  $\mathcal{R}A \hookrightarrow Z$  such that  $Z$  is  $S$ -free, so that  $R = Z$  is  $S$ -free.
2. Assume exactly one of the rings  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  is integrally closed. We then take an identical path as indicated in (1) above.
  1. (a) From 2.11,  $f, g \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Write  $f = h_1^p + a' \cdot p^2$  and  $g = h_2^p + b' \cdot p^2$  for some  $a', b' \in S$ . Note that  $h_1, h_2 \neq 0$  since  $f, g$  are square free. We have from 2.11 that  $S[\omega, \tau_1], S[\mu, \tau_2]$  are the respective normalizations of  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  where

$$\tau_1 = p^{-1} \cdot (\omega^{p-1} + h_1\omega^{p-2} + \dots + h_1^{p-1})$$

$$\tau_2 = p^{-1} \cdot (\mu^{p-1} + h_2\mu^{p-2} + \dots + h_2^{p-1})$$

Set  $E := A[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ . Let  $X, Y$  be indeterminates over  $A$  and let  $\phi : A[X, Y] \rightarrow E$  be the projection map sending  $X \rightarrow \tau_1$  and  $Y \rightarrow \tau_2$ . From 2.11:

$$X^2 - c'_1 X - a'(\omega - h_1)^{p-2}, Y^2 - c'_2 Y - b'(\mu - h_2)^{p-2} \in \text{Ker}(\phi)$$

Height one primes in  $E$  containing  $p$  correspond to height three primes in  $A[X, Y]$  containing  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$  and  $p$ . Since  $P := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)$  is the unique height one prime in  $A$  containing  $p$ , any such height three prime in  $A[X, Y]$  has to contain either  $X$  or  $X - c'_1$ . Likewise it contains either  $Y$  or  $Y - c'_2$ . Therefore if  $Q \subseteq A[X, Y]$  is a height three prime containing  $p$  and  $\text{Ker}(\phi)$ , it must be that  $(p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, X - m, Y - n) \subseteq Q$  for some  $m, n \in A$  and hence the containment must be an equality. Moreover, there is at least one height one prime in  $E$  containing  $p$ , since  $p$  is not a unit in  $S$ . Therefore, the only possibilities for height one primes in  $E$  containing  $p$  are

$$P_1 := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$$

$$P_2 := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 - c'_2)$$

$$P_3 := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \tau_1 - c'_1, \tau_2)$$

$$P_4 := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \tau_1 - c'_1, \tau_2 - c'_2)$$

We have  $\omega \cdot F'(\omega) = p \cdot f \in (S[\mu, \tau_2, \omega] :_K R)$  and identically  $p \cdot g \in (S[\omega, \tau_1, \mu] :_K R)$  (see for example [HS06][Theorem 12.1.1]) and hence  $p \cdot f, p \cdot g \in (E :_K R)$ . From 2.3,  $NNL_1(E) \subseteq V(p)$ . But the localizations of  $E$  at  $P_2, P_3$  and  $P_4$  are regular with uniformizing parameters being the images of  $\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2$  and  $p$  respectively. For example, consider  $P_2P_2$ . Let  $Q_1 := (p, \omega - h_1, \tau_1)S[\omega, \tau_1]$  and  $Q_2 := (p, \mu - h_2, \tau_2 - c'_2)S[\mu, \tau_2]$ . From 2.11,  $Q_1Q_1 = (\omega - h_1)Q_1$  and  $Q_2Q_2 = (p)Q_2$ . Thus  $P_2P_2 = (\omega - h_1)P_2$ . The  $P_3$  and  $P_4$  cases are similar. Note that however  $P_1P_1 = (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)P_1$ .

Set  $\eta_1 = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2)^{p-2} \in K$ . Let  $X$  be an indeterminate over  $E$ . Then  $\eta_1$  satisfies  $l(X) \in E[X]$  where  $l(X) := X^{p-1} - (\tau_1 - c'_1)(\tau_2 - c'_2)^{p-2}$  since  $p \cdot \tau_1 = (\omega - h_1)^{p-1} + p \cdot c'_1$  (similarly for  $p \cdot \tau_2$ ). We claim that  $\mathcal{R}A := E[\eta_1]$  is regular in codimension one. From 2.2,  $NNL_1(\mathcal{R}A) \subseteq V(P_1\mathcal{R}A)$ . Denote by  $\overline{l(X)}$  the image of  $l(X)$  in  $(E/P_1E)[X]$ . From 2.9 we have  $c'_1 \equiv h_1^{p-1}$  and  $c'_2 \equiv h_2^{p-1}$  in the ring  $E/P_1E$ , and thus <sup>1</sup>

$$\overline{l(X)} = X^{p-1} - (h_1 h_2^{p-2})^{p-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} (X + k h_1 h_2^{p-2}) \in (E/P_1E)[X]$$

---

<sup>1</sup>If  $R$  is a ring of characteristic  $p$  and  $X, Y$  indeterminates over  $R$ , then for  $X^{p-1} - Y^{p-1} \in R[X, Y]$ ,  $X^{p-1} - Y^{p-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (X + iY)$ .

Thus, the only possibilities for height one primes in  $\mathcal{R}A$  lying over  $P_1$  are

$$Q_k = (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, \eta_1 + kh_1h_2^{p-2})\mathcal{R}A$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$  and we have

$$Q_{kQ_k} = (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \eta_1 + kh_1h_2^{p-2})_{Q_k}$$

Since  $(\mu - h_2)\eta_1 = (\tau_2 - c'_2)(\omega - h_1)$  and

$$\eta_1, \tau_2 - c'_2, \prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^{p-1} (\eta_1 + jh_1h_2^{p-2}) \notin Q_k$$

we have  $Q_{kQ_k} = (\mu - h_2)_{Q_k} = (\omega - h_1)_{Q_k}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{R}A$  is regular in codimension one.

(b) Set  $Z := \langle T \rangle_S$  where  $T := T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$  and

$$T_1 := \{(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1, i+j < p-1\}$$

$$T_2 := \{p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1, i+j \geq p-1, i+j \neq 2p-2\}$$

$$T_3 := \{p^{-2}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1}\}$$

For every choice of  $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$ , there is a unique element in  $T$  with “leading coefficient”  $\omega^i\mu^j$ . Therefore the order of  $T$  is  $p^2$ . Moreover, since  $A$  is  $S$ -free with a basis given by  $D := \{(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1\}$ , the elements of  $T$  are linearly independent over  $S$ . From the relations

$$(\omega - h_1)^p = a'p^2 - pc'_1(\omega - h_1)$$

$$(\mu - h_2)^p = b'p^2 - pc'_2(\mu - h_2)$$

we get that  $Z$  is a ring. Since  $Z$  satisfies  $S_2$ , if we show  $\mathcal{R}A \subseteq Z$ , then from 2.2  $Z = R$ . Since  $D \subseteq T$ , we have  $A \subseteq Z$ . Since  $\eta_1 = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2)^{p-2} \in T$ , it only remains to be seen that  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in Z$ . But this is clear from the relation  $\tau_1 = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1} + c'_1$  (analogously for  $\tau_2$ ). Thus  $Z = R$  and  $R$  is  $S$ -free.

2. (a) Assume without loss of generality  $\overline{S[\omega]} = S[\omega, \tau]$  where  $\tau = p^{-1}(\omega^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1})$  and that  $S[\mu]$  is integrally closed. Notice that if  $P := (p, \mu - h_2) \subseteq S[\mu]$  is the unique height one prime in  $S[\mu]$  containing  $p$ , then  $P_P = (\mu - h_2)_P$  since  $(\mu - h_2)(\mu^{p-1} + \dots + h_2^{p-1}) = bp$  and  $b \notin pS$ . From 2.11,  $f \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ , so write  $f = h_1^p + a'p^2$ .

Set  $E := S[\omega, \mu, \tau]$ . From 2.11, it follows that there are precisely two height one primes in  $E$  containing  $p$ , namely  $P_1 := (p, \omega - h_1, \tau, \mu - h_2)$  and  $P_2 := (p, \omega - h_1, \tau - c'_1, \mu - h_2)$ . From 2.3,  $E$  is regular in codimension one outside of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . It follows from 2.11(b) that  $P_{1P_1} = (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)_{P_1}$  and  $P_{2P_2} = (p, \mu - h_2)_{P_2} = (\mu - h_2)_{P_2}$ .



Set  $\eta_1 := p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} \in K$ .  $\eta_1 \in R$  since it satisfies

$$l(X) := X^{p-1} - (\tau - c'_1)k_2^{p-2}(\mu - h_2) \in E[X] \quad (2)$$

where  $k_2 = p^{-1}(\mu - h_2)^p \in A \setminus P$ . Set  $\mathcal{R}A := E[\eta_1]$ . From 2.2,  $\mathcal{R}A$  is regular in codimension one if height one primes in  $\mathcal{R}A$  lying over  $P_1E$  are regular. From the above integral equation for  $\eta_1$  over  $E$ , it is clear that the only such height one prime in  $\mathcal{R}A$  is  $Q_1 := (p, \omega - h_1, \tau, \mu - h_2, \eta_1)$ . Now  $Q_1Q_1 = (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \eta_1)_{Q_1}$ . But  $\eta_1(\mu - h_2) = k_2(\omega - h_1)$  and  $k_2 \notin Q_1$ . Further, since  $\tau - c'_1 \notin Q_1$ ,  $Q_1Q_1 = (\eta_1)_{Q_1}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{R}A$  is regular in codimension one.

(b) Set  $Z := \langle T \rangle_S$  where  $T = T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3$  and

$$T_1 := \{(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid i + j < p, 0 \leq i \leq p - 2, 0 \leq j \leq p - 1\}$$

$$T_2 := \{p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid i + j \geq p, 1 \leq i \leq p - 1, 1 \leq j \leq p - 1\}$$

$$T_3 := \{\tau - c'_1 = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}\}$$

For every  $0 \leq i, j \leq p - 1$ , there is a unique element in  $T$  with “leading coefficient”  $\omega^i \mu^j$ . Therefore the order of  $T$  is  $p^2$ . Moreover since  $A$  is  $S$ -free with a basis given by  $D := \{(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p - 1\}$ , the elements of  $T$  are linearly independent over  $S$ . From the relations

$$(\omega - h_1)^p = a'p^2 - pc'_1(\omega - h_1)$$

$$(\mu - h_2)^p = bp - pc'_2(\mu - h_2)$$

we see that  $Z$  is a ring. Since  $Z$  satisfies  $S_2$ , if we show that  $\mathcal{R}A \subseteq Z$ , then from 2.2  $Z = R$ . It now suffices to note that  $D \subseteq Z$ ,  $\eta_1 \in T$  and  $\tau := p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1} + c'_1 \in Z$ , so that  $\mathcal{R}A \subseteq Z$ . Thus  $R = Z$  is  $S$ -free. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *With established notation, assume that  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  are integrally closed. The following hold*

1.  $(P^{(p-1)})_A^* = \langle T \rangle_S$ , where  $P^{(p-1)}$  denotes the  $(p - 1)$ -th symbolic power of the unique height one prime  $P \subseteq A$  containing  $p$  and  $T := T_1 \cup T_2$ , with

$$T_1 := \{(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p - 1, i + j < p\}$$

$$T_2 := \{p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p - 1, i + j \geq p\}$$

2. The ring  $A/P^{(p-1)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

**Proof.** For every  $0 \leq i, j \leq p-1$ , there is a unique element in  $T$  with “leading coefficient”  $\omega^i \mu^j$ . Therefore the order of  $T$  is  $p^2$ . Moreover since  $A$  is  $S$ -free with a basis given by  $D := \{(\omega - h_1)^i (\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p-1\}$ , the elements of  $T$  are linearly independent over  $S$ . From the relations

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega - h_1)^p &= ap - pc'_1(\omega - h_1) \\ (\mu - h_2)^p &= bp - pc'_2(\mu - h_2)\end{aligned}$$

we see that  $\langle T \rangle_S$  is a ring (in particular it is an  $A$ -module). Moreover, since it is Cohen-Macaulay, (2) immediately follows from (1) by using 2.4. Therefore, only (1) remains to be shown. Since  $(P^{(p-1)})^*$  and  $\langle T \rangle_S$  are birational,  $S_2$   $A$ -modules, it suffices to show their equality in codimension one. If  $Q \subseteq A$ ,  $Q \neq P$  is a height one prime, the equality is clear. So localize  $A$  at  $P$  and assume  $(A, P)$  local for the rest of the proof. Then  $\langle T \rangle_S = A[\eta]$  where  $\eta := (\omega - h_1)^{-1}(\mu - h_2)$ . Note that  $A = B'/(G(U))$ , where  $B' := S[\omega][U]$ . Set  $\tilde{I} := (\omega - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1} \subseteq B'$ . We have  $G(U) \in \tilde{I}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}U^p - g &= (U - h_2)^p + p(C'_2(U - h_2) - b) \\ &= -k_1^{-1}(\omega - h_1)(C'_2(U - h_2) - b) \cdot \Delta_1 + 0 \cdot \Delta_2 + \cdots + 0 \cdot \Delta_{p-1} - (U - h_2) \cdot \Delta_p\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_i = (-1)^i e_i$  with  $e_i = (\omega - h_1)^{p-i} (U - h_2)^{i-1}$  and  $k_1 = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^p$ . That is  $\tilde{I}$  is the lift to  $B'$  of  $P^{p-1}$ . Further,  $\tilde{I}$  is grade two perfect since it arises as the ideal of maximal minors of the  $p \times (p-1)$  matrix  $M$ :

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} U - h_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \omega - h_1 & U - h_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \omega - h_1 & U - h_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \omega - h_1 & U - h_2 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \omega - h_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $M'$  be the  $p \times p$  matrix obtained by adjoining  $M$  with the column of coefficients of  $G(U)$ :

$$M' = \begin{bmatrix} U - h_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -k_1^{-1}(\omega - h_1)(C'_2(U - h_2) - b) \\ \omega - h_1 & U - h_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega - h_1 & U - h_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \omega - h_1 & U - h_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \omega - h_1 & -(U - h_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

By [KU97][Lemma 2.5] (or [Kat99][Prop 2.1]),  $(P^{p-1})^*$  is generated as an  $A$ -module by the set  $\{\delta_i^{-1} M'_{i,i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ , where  $\delta_i$  denotes the image of  $\Delta_i$  in  $A$  and  $M'_{i,i}$  the image

in  $A$  of the  $(i, i)$ -th cofactor of  $M'$ . This is exactly the set  $\{\eta^{p-1}, \eta^{p-2}, \dots, \eta, 1\}$ . Since  $\eta$  satisfies the integral equation  $X^p - k_1^{-1}k_2 \in A[X]$  (with  $k_2 = p^{-1}(\mu - h_2)^p$ ), this implies  $(P^{p-1})^* = A[\eta] = \langle T \rangle_S$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *With established notation,  $R$  is  $S$ -free if  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  are integrally closed and  $fg^i \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ . Further in this case,  $P^{(p-1)}$  is the conductor of  $R$  to  $A$  where  $P$  is the unique height one prime in  $A$  containing  $p$  and  $P^{(p-1)}$  denotes the  $(p-1)$ -th symbolic power of  $P$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  are integrally closed, we have from 2.11 that  $f, g \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Write  $f = h_1^p + ap$  and  $g = h_2^p + bp$  with  $a, b \notin pS$ . We first note the following: The condition  $fg^i \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$  is equivalent to the condition  $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ah_2^p + ibh_1^p) \notin pS$ . This follows since for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$

$$\begin{aligned} fg^i &= (h_1^p + ap)(h_2^p + bp)^i \\ &= (h_1h_2^i)^p + h_2^{p(i-1)}(ah_2^p + ibh_1^p) \cdot p + q \cdot p^2 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $q \in S$ . We organize the proof as follows:

1. We first construct the normalization of  $A$  locally at  $NNL_1(A)$ . Since  $NNL_1(A) = \{P\}$ , we only need to construct  $R_P$ .
2. Using (1), identify a finite birational overring  $A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}A$  such that  $\mathcal{R}A$  satisfies  $R_1$ . Then choose a suitable finite birational overring  $\mathcal{R}A \hookrightarrow Z$  such that  $Z$  is  $S$ -free. This would show  $R = Z$  is  $S$ -free.

1. From 2.3,  $A$  is regular in codimension one outside of  $V(p)$ . Moreover  $P := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)$  is the only height one prime in  $A$  containing  $p$ . Localize at  $P$  and assume  $(A, P)$  local for part (1). Now  $(\omega - h_1)^p = pk_1$  and  $(\mu - h_2)^p = pk_2$  where  $k_1 = a - c'_1(\omega - h_1)$  and  $k_2 = b - c'_2(\mu - h_2)$ . Since  $k_1, k_2 \notin P$ , we have  $P = (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)$ . The element  $\eta = (\omega - h_1)^{-1}(\mu - h_2) \in K$  satisfies the integral equation  $l(X) := X^p - k_1^{-1}k_2 \in A[X]$ . If  $\eta \in A$ , then  $P_P = (\omega - h_1)_P$  so  $A$  is integrally closed. But from [Sri21][Proposition 2.7] this is impossible. Therefore  $A[\eta]$  is a proper birational extension of  $A$ . We claim that  $E := A[\eta]$  is regular when  $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ah_2^p + ibh_1^p) \notin pS$ . We will observe that  $E$  is local with maximal ideal  $Q \subseteq E$  either of the form  $Q = PE$  or  $Q = (P, \eta - r)E$  for some suitable  $r \in S \setminus pS$ . To see this, let  $\phi : \tilde{E} := S[W, U]_{\tilde{P}}[X] \rightarrow E$  be the natural projection map sending  $W \mapsto \omega, U \mapsto \mu, X \mapsto \eta$ , where  $W, U, X$  are indeterminates over  $S$  and  $\tilde{P} := (p, W - h_1, U - h_2)$ . Let  $Q \subseteq E$  be any maximal ideal and let  $\tilde{Q}$  be the preimage of  $Q$  under  $\phi$ . Now

$$l(X) \equiv X^p - ba^{-1} \in (A/P)[X] \simeq (S/pS)[X] \quad (3)$$

Since  $S/pS$  is a field, if  $l(X)$  is an irreducible polynomial over  $(S/pS)[X]$  then

$$(p, W - h_1, U - h_2, l(X)) \subseteq \tilde{Q}$$

is a height four prime containing  $p$ . Therefore the above inclusion must be an equality. If on the other hand  $l(X)$  is reducible over  $S/pS$  then  $l(X) \equiv (X - r)^p \in (S/pS)[X]$  for some  $r \in S \setminus pS$ . So in this case

$$(p, W - h_1, U - h_2, X - r) \subset \tilde{Q}$$

is a height four prime. Again, the above inclusion must then be an equality. Therefore in either case  $E$  is local and the maximal ideal is either of the form  $PE = (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)E$  or  $Q := (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2, \eta - r)E$ . In the first case, since  $\eta \cdot (\omega - h_1) = \mu - h_2$ ,  $E$  is a DVR. In the second case, we have  $Q_Q = (\omega - h_1, \eta - r)_Q$ . We now show that  $Q_Q = (\eta - r)_Q$ . We have for some  $m \in E$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta - r)^p &= \eta^p - ba^{-1} + pm \\ &= k_1^{-1}k_2 - ba^{-1} + pm \\ &= k_1^{-1}[-c'_2(\mu - h_2) + ba^{-1}c'_1(\omega - h_1) + pmk_1] \\ &= k_1^{-1}(\omega - h_1)[-c'_2\eta + ba^{-1}c'_1 + (\omega - h_1)^{p-1}m] \end{aligned}$$

So  $Q$  is principal if  $\alpha := c'_2\eta - ba^{-1}c'_1$  is invertible in  $E$ . To show  $\alpha \in E$  is a unit, it suffices to show  $(ac'_2\eta - bc'_1)^p \in E$  is invertible. From 2.9,  $c'_1 \equiv h_1^{p-1} \pmod{Q}$  and  $c'_2 \equiv h_2^{p-1} \pmod{Q}$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} (ac'_2\eta - bc'_1)^p &\equiv a^p(c'_2)^p\eta^p - b^p(c'_1)^p \pmod{Q} \\ &\equiv b(a^{p-1}(c'_2)^p - b^{p-1}(c'_1)^p) \pmod{Q} \\ &\equiv b[a^{p-1}(h_2^{p-1})^p - b^{p-1}(h_1^{p-1})^p] \pmod{Q} \\ &\equiv b \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ah_2^p + ibh_1^p) \pmod{Q} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\alpha \in E$  is a unit. Hence  $E = A[\eta]$  is regular, that is  $E = R$ .

2. Set  $\mathcal{R}A := A[k_1\eta]$  for  $\eta = (\omega - h_1)^{-1}(\mu - h_2)$  and  $k_1 = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^p$ . Note that  $k_1\eta = p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)$  and that it satisfies the integral equation  $X^p - k_1^{p-1}k_2 \in A[X]$  for  $k_2 := p^{-1}(\mu - h_2)^p$ . Since  $k_1 \notin P$ , by 2.2 and part (1) of the proof,  $\mathcal{R}A$  is regular in codimension one.

Set  $Z := \langle T \rangle_S$  where  $T$  is as in the statement of 3.2. We see that  $Z$  is a ring from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega - h_1)^p &= ap - pc'_1(\omega - h_1) \\ (\mu - h_2)^p &= bp - pc'_2(\mu - h_2) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover it is a free  $S$ -module of rank  $p^2$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{R}A \subseteq Z$ , so  $Z$  inherits  $R_1$  from  $\mathcal{R}A$ . Thus  $Z = R$  and  $R$  is  $S$ -free.

Finally, from 3.2(1)  $P^{(p-1)}$  is contained in the conductor  $J$  of  $R$  to  $A$ . Since  $A_P$  is a one dimensional Gorenstein local ring,  $J_P = (P^{p-1})_P$  and thus  $J \subseteq P^{(p-1)}$ . Thus  $P^{(p-1)}$  is the conductor of  $R$  to  $A$ .

□

**Remark 3.4.** The condition  $fg^i \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$  in 3.3 is saying that some suitable subrings of  $A$  are integrally closed. As noted in the proof of 3.3, the condition is equivalent to  $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ah_2^p + ibh_1^p) \notin pS$ . Let  $1 \leq k, i \leq p-1$  and  $1 \leq i(k) \leq p-1$  be such that  $i(k) - ik \in p\mathbb{Z}$ . The condition  $\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ah_2^p + ibh_1^p) \notin pS$  is saying that for all  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ ,  $A_i := S[\omega\mu^{i(1)}, \dots, \omega^j\mu^{i(j)}, \dots, \omega^{p-1}\mu^{i(p-1)}]$  is integrally closed. Indeed

$$(\omega\mu^i)^p = fg^i = (h_1h_2^i)^p + (ah_2^{ip} + ibh_1^p h_2^{i(p-p)})p + p^2q$$

for some  $q \in S$ . If  $i = 1$ , we have that  $fg$  is squarefree in  $S$  and by 2.11  $S[\omega\mu]$  is integrally closed. If  $i \neq 1$ , the given condition is equivalent to saying that

$$NNL_1(S[\omega\mu^i]) \cap V(p) = \emptyset$$

Moreover  $NNL_1(S[\omega\mu^i]) \subseteq V(g)$ . Choose  $k$  such that  $i(k) = 1$ , so that  $S[\omega\mu^i, \omega^k\mu]$  is a finite birational extension of both  $S[\omega\mu^i]$  and  $S[\omega^k\mu]$ . Now  $NNL_1(S[\omega^k\mu]) \subseteq V(f)$ . So by 2.2,  $S[\omega\mu^i, \omega^k\mu]$  is regular in codimension one since  $f, g \in S$  satisfy  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Since  $A_i$  is a finite birational extension of  $S[\omega\mu^i, \omega^k\mu]$ , it is regular in codimension one for the same reason. The remark follows since it is easily checked that  $A_i$  is  $S$ -free.

**Remark 3.5.** The powers of the prime  $P^{p-1} \subseteq A$  in 3.3 are not  $P$ -primary in general. For example if  $p = 3$ , observe that  $3a, 3b \in P^2$ . However, it holds that  $P^{(p-1)} = (p) + P^{p-1}$ .

## 4 Existence of birational MCM modules

In this section we look at cases where  $R$  is not  $S$ -free. That is in the primary case of interest, when  $S$  is an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p$ , we look at non-Cohen-Macaulay integral closures  $R$ . More specifically, we will show that  $p.d.S(R) = 1$  under some natural conditions and show that in this case  $R$  admits a birational MCM module.

From 3.1 and 3.3, if we are looking for a non  $S$ -free  $R$ , we must have that  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  are integrally closed such that there exists an  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$  satisfying  $fg^i \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . The reader can easily see that if it exists, such an “ $i$ ” is unique. We start by identifying an ideal  $I \subseteq A$ , such that  $I^* = R$  under this circumstance.

**Convention 4.1.** We maintain notation as set up in 2.6 and make the additional assumption that  $f, g \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Write  $f = h_1^p + ap$ ,  $g = h_2^p + bp$  with  $a, b \notin pS$ . Assume further that  $h_1, h_2 \neq 0$ . Note here that if  $h_1 = 0$  (or  $h_2 = 0$ ), we have by 3.3 that  $R$  is  $S$ -free. Let  $P := (p, \omega - h_1, \mu - h_2) \subseteq A$  denote the unique height one prime in  $A$  containing  $p$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** For  $H := (p, \omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \subseteq A$ ,  $H_P^* = \langle 1, \tau_i \rangle_{A_P}$  where

$$\tau_i = p^{-1}[(\omega\mu^i)^{p-1} + h_1h_2^i(\omega\mu^i)^{p-2} + \cdots + (h_1h_2^i)^{p-1}] \in K$$

**Proof.** Localize  $A$  at  $P$  and assume  $(A, P)$  local. Consider the ideal

$$\tilde{H} := (p, W\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \subseteq S[\mu][W]$$

We have  $F(W) \in \tilde{H}$  :

$$F(W) - h_2^{-ip}[(W\mu^i)^{p-1} + h_1h_2^i(W\mu^i)^{p-2} + \cdots + (h_1h_2^i)^{p-1}] \cdot (W\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \in pS[\mu][W] \quad (4)$$

Clearly  $\tilde{H}$  is a grade two perfect ideal in  $S[\mu][W]$  and is the ideal of maximal minors of the matrix  $E$ :

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} W\mu^i - h_1h_2^i \\ p \end{bmatrix}$$

Adjoining the column of coefficients from (4) appropriately, we have for some  $\alpha \in S[\mu][W]$  the matrix  $E'$ :

$$E' = \begin{bmatrix} W\mu^i - h_1h_2^i & \alpha \\ p & h_2^{-ip}[(W\mu^i)^{p-1} + h_1h_2^i(W\mu^i)^{p-2} + \cdots + (h_1h_2^i)^{p-1}] \end{bmatrix}$$

From [Kat99][Proposition 2.1],  $H^* = \langle E'_{11}/\delta_1, E'_{22}/\delta_2 \rangle_A$  where  $E'_{ii}$  and  $\delta_i$  denote the image in  $A$  of the  $(i, i)$ -th cofactor of  $E'$  and the  $i$ -th (signed) minor of  $E$  respectively. Thus  $H^* = \langle 1, \tau_i \rangle_A$   $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** With established notation, let  $fg^i \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Then for

$$I := pA + P^{p-2} \cdot (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i)A$$

we have  $I_A^* = R$ .

**Proof.** Since  $I_A^*$  and  $R$  are birational  $S_2$   $A$ -modules, it suffices to show the desired equality in codimension one. If  $Q \neq P$  is a height one prime in  $A$ ,  $I_Q^* = R_Q = A_Q$ . Therefore localize  $A$  at  $P$  and assume  $(A, P)$  and  $(S, pS)$  are one dimensional local rings for the remainder of the proof.

We have  $A = S[\mu, \omega\mu^i]$ . Note that  $g, fg^i \in S$  are units and therefore trivially are square free and satisfy  $\mathcal{A}_1$ . Moreover,  $S[\mu]$  is integrally closed and  $S[\omega\mu^i]$  is not. Thus we are in the setting of 3.1(2). From the proof of 3.1(2)(a), we get that  $R = A[\tau, \eta]$  where  $\tau = p^{-1}[(\omega\mu^i)^{p-1} + h_1h_2^i(\omega\mu^i)^{p-2} + \cdots + (h_1h_2^i)^{p-1}]$  and  $\eta = p^{-1}(\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i)(\mu - h_2)^{p-1}$ . Since  $\tau\eta \in A$ , we see from 2.11(c) and equation (2) that  $R = \langle 1, \eta, \dots, \eta^{p-2}, \tau \rangle_A$ .

Since  $p \in P^{p-1}$ , a straightforward calculation gives

$$P^{p-1} \cap (p, \omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) = (p) + P^{p-1} \cap (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) = (p) + P^{p-2} \cdot (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) = I$$

From 3.2,  $(P^{p-1})^* = A[\eta] \subseteq R$ . Since  $A$  is Gorenstein,  $A :_K R \subseteq P^{p-1}$ . Let  $H$  be as in 4.2. Combining 4.2 and 2.11(c), we get that  $H^* = A[\tau] \subseteq R$ . Again since  $H$  is reflexive,  $A :_K R \subseteq H$ . Therefore,  $A :_K R \subseteq P^{p-1} \cap H = I$ .

To show  $IR \subseteq A$ , note that  $I\eta^i \subseteq A$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-2$ , since  $I \subseteq P^{p-1} = A[\eta]^*$ . Similarly,  $I\tau \subseteq A$  since  $I \subseteq H = A[\tau]^*$ . Thus we have shown  $I = A :_K R$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We now set out to show  $R$  need not be Cohen-Macaulay.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  be integrally closed such that  $fg \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Then*

1.  $R \subseteq \langle \{1\} \cup p^{-1} \cdot (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)^{p-1} \rangle_A$ .
2. Consider  $y = p^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^p a_i(\mu - h_2)^{p-i}(\omega - h_1)^{i-1}) \in K$  with the  $a_i \in A$ . Then  $y \in R$  if and only if for all  $2 \leq i \leq p$ ,  $a_{i-1}h_2 + a_ih_1 \in P$ .

**Proof.** From 4.3,  $p \cdot R \subseteq A$ , so consider an arbitrary element  $y := p^{-1} \cdot x \in R$  with  $x \in A$ . From 4.3,  $x \cdot (\omega - h_1)^{p-2}(\omega\mu - h_1h_2) \in pA$ . Lifting to  $B := S[W, U]$  and denoting lifts by  $\sim$

$$\tilde{x}(W - h_1)^{p-2}(WU - h_1h_2) \in (p, F(W), G(U)) \quad (5)$$

Write

$$\omega\mu - h_1h_2 = (\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2) + h_2(\omega - h_1) + h_1(\mu - h_2) \quad (6)$$

Lifting the identity in (6) to  $B$  we see that  $\tilde{x} \in (p, W - h_1, (U - h_2)^{p-1})$ . By symmetry  $\tilde{x} \in (p, (W - h_1)^{p-1}, U - h_2)$  and hence

$$\tilde{x} \in (p, (U - h_2)^{p-1}, (W - h_1)^{p-1}, (W - h_1)(U - h_2))$$

This is because for a regular sequence  $(q, y, z) \subseteq B$

$$(q, y, z^n) \cap (q, y^n, z) = (q, y^n, z^n, yz) \quad (7)$$

Since  $1 \in R$ , towards describing  $A$ -module generators for  $R$  we may assume that  $y = p^{-1}x$  with

$$x = a_1 \cdot (\mu - h_2)^{p-1} + a_2 \cdot (\omega - h_1)^{p-1} + a_3 \cdot (\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2) \quad (8)$$

for some  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in A$ . Suppose we can write

$$y = p^{-1}[a_1(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} + a_2(\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2)^{p-2} + \dots + a_p(\omega - h_1)^{p-1} + b \cdot (\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^i] \quad (9)$$

with  $1 \leq i < (p-1)/2$  and  $a_i, b \in A$ . By (8), we can do this for  $i = 1$ , where  $a_j = 0$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p-1$ . Now using (6) we get that  $y \cdot (\omega - h_1)^{p-1-i}(\mu - h_2)^{i-1}(\omega\mu - h_1h_2) \in pA$  if and only if

$$a_{i+1}h_1(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} + a_ih_2(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} + bh_1(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{2i} \in pA$$

Pulling back to  $B$

$$\tilde{b}h_1(U - h_2)^{2i} + h_2\tilde{a}_i(U - h_2)^{p-1} + h_1\tilde{a}_{i+1}(U - h_2)^{p-1} \in (p, (U - h_2)^p, W - h_1) \quad (10)$$

and thus  $\tilde{b} \in (p, W - h_1, (U - h_2)^{p-2i-1})$ . By symmetry,  $\tilde{b} \in (p, U - h_2, (W - h_1)^{p-2i-1})$  and hence by (7)

$$\tilde{b} \in (p, (U - h_2)^{p-2i-1}, (W - h_1)^{p-2i-1}, (W - h_1)(U - h_2))$$

Therefore

$$py \in (p, (\omega - h_1)^{i+1}(\mu - h_2)^{i+1}) + (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)^{p-1}$$

Starting from (8) and iterating the argument from (9) to this point sufficiently many times, we see that

$$R \subseteq \langle \{1\} \cup p^{-1} \cdot (\omega - h_1, \mu - h_2)^{p-1} \rangle_A$$

Consider

$$y = p^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p a_i (\mu - h_2)^{p-i} (\omega - h_1)^{i-1} \right) \in K \quad (11)$$

with the  $a_i \in A$ . From 4.3,  $y \in R$  if and only if for all  $2 \leq i \leq p$

$$y \cdot (\omega - h_1)^{p-i} (\mu - h_2)^{i-2} (\omega\mu - h_1h_2) \in A \quad (12)$$

From (6) the above statements are equivalent to

$$(a_{i-1}h_2 + a_ih_1)(\mu - h_2)^{p-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1} \in pA \quad (13)$$

for each  $2 \leq i \leq p$ . Lifting to  $B$ , we see that (13) is equivalent to

$$a_{i-1}h_2 + a_ih_1 \in P \quad (14)$$

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Assume  $S$  is an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$ . Let  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  be integrally closed such that  $fg \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Then  $\nu_S(R) \leq p^2 + 1$ . More explicitly, set  $\eta_i := p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^{p-i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ . We have  $R = \langle A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \cup \{\epsilon\} \rangle_S$  for:*

$$\epsilon := p^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^p (-1)^i c^{p-i} e^{i-1} (\mu - h_2)^{p-i} (\omega - h_1)^{i-1}$$

where  $h_1 \equiv zc \pmod{pS}$ ,  $h_2 \equiv ze \pmod{pS}$  for some  $z \in S \setminus pS$  and  $c, e \in S$  relatively prime.



**Proof.** Suppose  $\langle T \rangle_S$  is as in 3.2. Note that it is just the ring  $A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}]$ . Since  $\langle T \rangle_S$  is  $S$ -free of rank  $p^2$ , the assertion  $\nu_S(R) \leq p^2 + 1$  follows from the second assertion.

Since  $S/pS$  is regular local (a UFD),  $h_1 \equiv (zc) \pmod{pS}$ ,  $h_2 \equiv (ze) \pmod{pS}$  for some  $z \in S \setminus pS$  and  $c, e \in S$  relatively prime. First suppose  $c$  or  $e$  is a unit in  $S$ . Then it follows from 4.4(1) and (2) that  $R = \langle A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \cup \epsilon \rangle_A$ . Notice that if  $i + j > 0$ , then  $(\omega - h_1)^i (\mu - h_2)^j \in (A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] :_K \epsilon)$ . Thus  $R = \langle A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \cup \{\epsilon\} \rangle_S$  in this case.

Next assume that neither  $c$  or  $e$  is a unit, so that  $(p, c, e) \subseteq S$  forms a regular sequence. Now  $\epsilon \in R$  from 4.4(2). From 4.4(1), it suffices to look at elements of the form

$$y = p^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p a_i (\mu - h_2)^{p-i} (\omega - h_1)^{i-1} \right) \in R$$

In view of 4.4(2), the condition  $a_1 h_2 + a_2 h_1 \in P$  upon lifting to  $B := S[W, U]$  (denoting lifts by  $\sim$ ) tells us that  $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2$  arise from the first syzygy of the grade five complete intersection  $B$ -ideal,  $\tilde{Q} := (p, c, e, W - h_1, U - h_2)$ . In particular

$$\tilde{a}_2 \in (p, c, W - h_1, U - h_2) \cap (p, e, W - h_1, U - h_2) = (p, ce, W - h_1, U - h_2)$$

since  $a_2 h_2 + a_3 h_1 \in P$  as well. Since  $A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \subseteq R$ , towards describing  $A$ -module generators for  $R$  we may assume  $\tilde{a}_2 = ace$  for some  $\alpha \in B$  and consequently that  $\tilde{a}_1 = -\alpha c^2$  and  $\tilde{a}_3 = -\alpha e^2$ .

Now let  $3 \leq i < p$  be such that for all  $1 \leq k \leq i$ ,  $a_k = (-1)^k \alpha c^{i-k} e^{k-1}$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ . Lifting  $a_i h_2 + a_{i+1} h_1 \in P$  to  $B$ , we have  $(-1)^i \alpha e^i + a_{i+1} c \in \tilde{P}$ . Since  $A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \subseteq R$ , we may assume that  $\alpha = \alpha' c$  for some  $\alpha' \in B$  and hence that  $a_{i+1} = (-1)^{i+1} \alpha' \cdot e^i$ . Iterating this argument, we get that  $R = \langle A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \cup \{\epsilon\} \rangle_A$ . Finally, if  $i + j > 0$  then  $(\omega - h_1)^i (\mu - h_2)^j \in (A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] :_K \epsilon)$  and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$  such that  $S[\omega]$  and  $S[\mu]$  are integrally closed and  $fg \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $Q := (p, h_1, h_2) \subset S$  is a two generated ideal or all of  $S$ . Moreover,  $p.d_S(R) \leq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $S/pS$  is a UFD,  $h_1 \equiv zc \pmod{pS}$ ,  $h_2 \equiv ze \pmod{pS}$  for some  $z \in S \setminus pS$  and  $c, e \in S$  relatively prime. Then  $Q$  is a two generated ideal or all of  $R$  if and only if  $c$  or  $e$  is a unit. From 4.5,  $R = \langle A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \cup \{\epsilon\} \rangle_S$ . Suppose that  $c$  is a unit. Then

$$(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} \in \langle \epsilon, (\omega - h_1)(\mu - h_2)^{p-2}, \dots, (\omega - h_1)^{p-1} \rangle_S.$$

Thus  $R$  is  $S$ -free of rank  $p^2$  and hence is Cohen-Macaulay.

Now assume neither  $c$  nor  $e$  is a unit, that is  $Q$  is either grade three perfect or grade two and not perfect. We know from 4.5 that  $R = \langle A[\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{p-1}] \cup \{\epsilon\} \rangle_S$ . With  $T$  as in 3.2, define  $\Gamma : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\Gamma' : T \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$\Gamma(p^{-k} (\omega - h_1)^i (\mu - h_2)^j) = i + j$$

$$\Gamma'(p^{-k}(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j) = i$$

Define a total ordering on  $T$  as follows: for  $x, y \in T$ , if  $\Gamma(x) \geq \Gamma(y)$  then  $x \geq y$  and if  $\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(y)$ , then  $x \geq y$  if  $\Gamma'(x) \geq \Gamma'(y)$ . Let  $\alpha : S^{p^2+1} \rightarrow R$  be the  $S$ -projection map defined by the generating set  $T \cup \{\epsilon\}$  such that the basis element  $e_{p^2+1}$  maps to  $\epsilon$  and the image of the basis elements  $e_i$ ,  $i \neq p^2 + 1$  is defined by the ordered set  $T$ . Consider  $U = [u_i] \in \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ . Since  $A$  is  $S$ -free with a basis given by  $\{(\omega - h_1)^i(\mu - h_2)^j \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq p - 1\}$ , we get that that  $u_i = 0$  for  $m \leq i \leq p^2$ , where  $m = p^2 - 2^{-1}(p - 1)p + 1$ . Let  $p\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} v_i x_i$  with the  $v_i \in S$  and  $x_i$  from the ordered set  $T$ . Since  $p \in S$  is prime we get the following free resolution of  $R$  over  $S$ :

$$0 \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{\psi^T} S^{p^2+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \longrightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

where  $\psi = [v_1 \dots v_{m-1} \ 0 \dots 0 \ -p]$ . The above resolution is minimal since  $\psi^T(S) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}S^{p^2+1}$ , so that  $p.d_S(R) = 1$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $S$  be an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$ . Note that the ideal  $(p, h_1, h_2) \subseteq S$  is a two generated ideal or all of  $R$  if and only if the same property holds for the ideal  $(p, f, g) \subseteq S$ . Similarly, the ideal  $(p, h_1, h_2)$  has grade three if and only if the ideal  $(p, f, g)$  has the same property.

**Example 4.8.** The conditions in 4.6 give a non-empty class of non Cohen-Macaulay integral closures  $R$ . For an example where  $Q = (p, h_1, h_2)$  has grade three, consider  $S = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]_{(3, X, Y)}$  where  $X, Y$  are indeterminates over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ . Let

$$f = -5X^3 + 9 = X^3 + 3(3 - 2X^3)$$

$$g = -2Y^3 + 9 = Y^3 + 3(3 - Y^3)$$

and  $\omega^3 = f, \mu^3 = g$ . Then  $f, g$  are square free elements that form a regular sequence in  $S$ . It is easily checked that  $[K : L] = 9$  and that this choice satisfies the hypothesis of 4.6, so that  $p.d_S(R) = 1$ .

For an example where  $Q$  has grade two but  $p.d_S(S/Q) = 3$ , let  $S = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]_{(p, X, Y)}$  for some prime number  $p \geq 3$ . Set

$$f = (1 - p)X^{2p} + p^2 = (X^2)^p + p(p - X^{2p})$$

$$g = (1 + p)(XY)^p + p^2 = (XY)^p + p(p + (XY)^p)$$

Then  $f, g \in S$  are square free and form a regular sequence in  $S$ . It is easily verified that  $[K : L] = p^2$  and that the choice satisfies the hypothesis of 4.6, so that  $p.d_S(R) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *With established notation, the following holds*

$$P_A^* = \langle 1, p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} \rangle_A$$

**Proof.** Set  $P_1 := (p, \omega - h_1)$  and  $P_2 := (p, \mu - h_2)$ , so that  $P^* = P_1^* \cap P_2^*$ . Let  $\tilde{P}_1 := (p, W - h_1) \subseteq S[\mu][W]$ . It is the maximal minors of

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} W - h_1 \\ p \end{bmatrix}$$

We have  $F(W) \in \tilde{P}_1$ ,  $F(W) = a \cdot (-p) + (W^{p-1} + h_1 W^{p-2} + \dots + h_1^{p-1})(W - h_1)$ . Adjoining the appropriate column of coefficients

$$E' = \begin{bmatrix} W - h_1 & a \\ p & W^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

From [KU97][Lemma 2.5]  $P_1^* = \langle E'_{11}/\delta_1, E'_{22}/\delta_2 \rangle_A$  where  $E'_{ii}$  and  $\delta_i$  denote the image in  $A$  of the  $(i, i)$ -th cofactor of  $E'$  and the  $i$ -th (signed) minor of  $E$ . Therefore

$$P_1^* = \langle 1, p^{-1}(\omega^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1}) \rangle_A$$

Identically

$$P_2^* = \langle 1, p^{-1}(\mu^{p-1} + \dots + h_2^{p-1}) \rangle_A$$

Now consider  $y \in P^* = P_1^* \cap P_2^*$ . Write for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in A$

$$py = p\alpha_1 + \beta_1(\omega^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1}) = p\alpha_2 + \beta_2(\mu^{p-1} + \dots + h_2^{p-1})$$

Lifting to  $B := S[W, U]$  and denoting lifts by  $\sim$

$$p(\tilde{\alpha}_1 - \tilde{\alpha}_2) + \tilde{\beta}_1(W^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1}) - \tilde{\beta}_2(U^{p-1} + \dots + h_2^{p-1}) \in (F(W), G(U))$$

Writing  $W^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1} = (W - h_1)^{p-1} + p \cdot C'_1$  (respectively for  $U^{p-1} + \dots + h_2^{p-1}$ ),

$$\tilde{\beta}_1(W - h_1)^{p-1} - \tilde{\beta}_2(U - h_2)^{p-1} \in (p, F(W), G(U))$$

This gives  $\tilde{\beta}_1 \in (p, W - h_1, (U - h_2)^{p-1})$ . Since  $1 \in P^*$  and  $(\omega - h_1)(\omega^{p-1} + \dots + h_1^{p-1}) \in pA$ , we get  $P^* \subseteq \langle 1, p^{-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} \rangle_A$ . Since the reverse inclusion is obvious, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  be an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p \geq 3$ . Then*

1.  *$R$  is Cohen-Macaulay if*

- (a) *At least one of  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  is not integrally closed.*
- (b)  *$S[\omega], S[\mu]$  are integrally closed and  $fg^i \notin S^{p \wedge p^2}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ .*

2. Let  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  be integrally closed and  $fg \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ . Then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $Q := (p, f, g) \subseteq S$  is a two generated ideal or all of  $S$ . Moreover,  $p.d_S(R) \leq 1$  and  $\nu_S(R) \leq p^2 + 1$ .
3. If  $Q := (p, f, g) \subseteq S$  has grade three,  $R$  admits a birational maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.

**Proof.** We have shown 1(a) in 3.1 and 1(b) in 3.3. The proof of (2) follows from 4.6, 4.5 and 4.7.

Now assume  $Q$  has grade three. From part (1), we may assume that  $S[\omega], S[\mu]$  are integrally closed and  $fg^i \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$  for some (unique)  $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ . From 4.3,  $I^* = R$  for  $I := pA + (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \cdot P^{p-2}$ . Set  $M := (IP)^*$ . Then  $M$  is an  $R$ -module since  $(A :_K IP) = ((A :_K I) :_K P) = (R :_K P)$ . We will show  $\text{depth}_S(M) = d$ , so that  $M$  is an MCM module over  $R$ . By definition

$$M = (IP)^* = (p \cdot P + (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \cdot P^{p-1})^* = F_1 \cap F_2.$$

where  $F_1 = p^{-1}P^*$  and  $F_2 = (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i)^{-1}(P^{p-1})^*$ . This is because for ideals  $H, N \subseteq A$ ,  $(A :_K H + N) = (A :_K H) \cap (A :_K N)$  as  $A$ -modules. Now  $A/P \simeq S/pS$  as  $S$ -modules, therefore by the depth lemma  $P$  is  $S$ -free. By 2.5,  $\text{Hom}_A(P, A) \simeq \text{Hom}_S(P, S)$  as  $S$ -modules and hence  $P^*$  is Cohen-Macaulay. On the other hand, since  $(P^{p-1})^*$  and  $(P^{(p-1)})^*$  are birational  $S_2$  modules that agree in codimension one, we have  $(P^{p-1})^* = (P^{(p-1)})^*$ . From 3.2(2) and 2.4 we then have that  $(P^{p-1})^*$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Cohen-Macaulay since  $F_1 \simeq P^*$  and  $F_2 \simeq (P^{p-1})^*$  as  $A$ -modules and  $S$ -modules. We have the natural short exact sequence of  $S$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow F_1 \cap F_2 \longrightarrow F_1 \oplus F_2 \longrightarrow F_1 + F_2 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (16)$$

To complete the proof it suffices to show that  $\text{depth}_S(F_1 + F_2) \geq d-1$ . Set

$$\mathcal{F} := p(\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \cdot (F_1 + F_2) = \mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2.$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_1 := (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i)P^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2 := p(P^{p-1})^*$ . Clearly  $F_1 + F_2 \simeq \mathcal{F}$  as  $A$ -modules and hence as  $S$ -modules. From 3.2(1),  $\mathcal{F}_2 = (p) + P^p$  and from 4.9

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = (\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i, p^{-1}(\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i)(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1})A. \quad (17)$$

Set  $m := \omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i$ . We make the following two claims:

1.  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2 + (m)$ .
2.  $(\mathcal{F}_2 :_A m) = (p) + P^{p-1}$ .

Assume both claims hold. Since  $(\mathcal{F}_2 :_A m) \simeq \mathcal{F}_2 \cap (m)$  as  $A$ -modules and hence  $S$ -modules, we have a natural short exact sequence of  $S$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (p) + P^{p-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \oplus (m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (18)$$

If  $\text{depth}_S((p) + P^{p-1}) = d$ , then  $\text{depth}_S(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$  and we are done. But  $\text{depth}_S((p) + P^{p-1}) = d$  if and only if  $A/((p) + P^{p-1})$  is Cohen-Macaulay. For  $B := S[W, U]_{(m, W-h_1, U-h_2)}$  we have as  $B$ -modules

$$A/((p) + P^{p-1}) \simeq B/((p) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1}).$$

Since  $B/pB$  is regular local and any power of a complete intersection  $B$ -ideal is perfect, we are through. Therefore only the claims remain to be proved.

Set  $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{F}_2 + (m)$ . For claim (1), from (17) we only need to show

$$s := p^{-1}m(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Since  $fg^i \in S^{p \wedge p^2}$ , we get  $ah_2^p + ibh_1^p \in pS$ . Moreover,  $\text{grade}(Q) = 3$  implies  $a - qh_1^p \in pS$  and  $b + i^{-1}qh_2^p \in pS$  for some  $q \in S$ . Write

$$m = (\omega - h_1)(\mu^i - h_2^i) + h_2^i(\omega - h_1) + h_1(\mu^i - h_2^i). \quad (19)$$

and recall that  $(\omega - h_1)^p = p(a - c'_1(\omega - h_1))$  and  $(\mu - h_2)^p = p(b - c'_2(\mu - h_2))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} s &\equiv p^{-1}[h_2^i(\omega - h_1) + h_1(\mu^i - h_2^i)](\omega - h_1)^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} \pmod{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &\equiv ah_2^i(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} + bh_1(\mu^{i-1} + \cdots + h_2^{i-1})(\omega - h_1)^{p-1} \pmod{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &\equiv ah_2^i(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} + ibh_1h_2^{i-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1} \pmod{\mathcal{Q}} \\ &\equiv qh_1h_2^i[h_1^{p-1}(\mu - h_2)^{p-1} - h_2^{p-1}(\omega - h_1)^{p-1}] \pmod{\mathcal{Q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Now  $(\omega\mu^i - h_1h_2^i) \cdot P^{p-2} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , (19) and  $P^p \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  imply

$$h_2^i(\omega - h_1) + h_1(\mu^i - h_2^i) \in (\mathcal{Q} :_A P^{p-2}).$$

Therefore for all  $0 \leq j \leq p - 2$

$$\begin{aligned} &(h_2^i(\omega - h_1) + h_1(\mu^i - h_2^i)) \cdot h_2^{p-2-j}h_1^j(\omega - h_1)^{p-2-j}(\mu - h_2)^j \\ &= h_2^{i-1}[(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-j-1}(h_1(\mu - h_2))^j] + \\ &\quad (h_1(\mu - h_2))^{j+1}(\mu^{i-1} + \cdots + h_2^{i-1})(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-2-j} \in \mathcal{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$h_2^{i-1}[(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-j-1}(h_1(\mu - h_2))^j] \equiv -ih_2^{i-1}(h_1(\mu - h_2))^{j+1}(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-2-j} \pmod{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

It then follows that for any  $1 \leq k \leq p - j - 1$

$$h_2^{i-1}[(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-j-1}(h_1(\mu - h_2))^j] \equiv (-i)^k h_2^{i-1}(h_1(\mu - h_2))^{j+k}(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-j-1-k} \pmod{\mathcal{Q}}.$$

In particular for  $j = 0$  and  $k = p - 1$  we get

$$h_2^{i-1}(h_2(\omega - h_1))^{p-1} \equiv h_2^{i-1}(h_1(\mu - h_2))^{p-1} \pmod{\mathcal{Q}}. \quad (21)$$

Combining (21) and (20), we see that  $s \in \mathcal{Q}$  and thus claim (1) holds.

To show one containment in claim (2), note that  $(p) + P^{p-1} \subseteq (\mathcal{F}_2 :_A m)$  since  $p + P^p = \mathcal{F}_2$ . For the reverse inclusion, consider  $y \in (\mathcal{F}_2 :_A m)$ . Lifting to  $B$  and denoting lifts by  $\sim$

$$\tilde{y}(WU^i - h_1h_2^i) \in (p, F(W), G(U)) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^p = (p) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^p. \quad (22)$$

Using (19) we have  $\tilde{y} \in (p, (W - h_1)^{p-1}, U - h_2)$ . Similarly  $\tilde{y} \in (p, W - h_1, (U - h_2)^{p-1})$  and from (7)

$$\tilde{y} \in (p, (W - h_1)^{p-1}, (U - h_2)^{p-1}, (W - h_1)(U - h_2)). \quad (23)$$

Now assume for some  $1 \leq i \leq 2^{-1}(p - 1) - 1$ ,

$$\tilde{y} \in (p, (W - h_1)^i(U - h_2)^i) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1}. \quad (24)$$

Write  $\tilde{y} = \alpha \cdot (W - h_1)^i(U - h_2)^i + \beta$  for some  $\alpha \in B$  and  $\beta \in (p) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1}$ . From (22):

$$\alpha \cdot (W - h_1)^i(U - h_2)^i(WU^i - h_1h_2^i) \in (p) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^p. \quad (25)$$

Using the regular sequence  $(p, (U - h_2)^{i+1}, (W - h_1)^{i+1}, h_2^i) \subseteq B$ , we get

$$\alpha \in (p, (W - h_1)^{p-2i-1}, U - h_2).$$

Similarly, using the regular sequence  $(p, (W - h_1)^{i+1}, (U - h_2)^{i+1}, h_1(U^{i-1} + \dots + h_2^{i-1})) \subseteq B$  we get  $\alpha \in (p, W - h_1, (U - h_2)^{p-2i-1})$ . Thus by (7):

$$\alpha \in (p, (W - h_1)^{p-2i-1}, (U - h_2)^{p-2i-1}, (W - h_1)(U - h_2))$$

and hence

$$\tilde{y} \in (p, (W - h_1)^{i+1}(U - h_2)^{i+1}) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1}.$$

Thus starting from (23) we may induct on  $i$  to get

$$\tilde{y} \in (p, (W - h_1)^{2^{-1}(p-1)}(U - h_2)^{2^{-1}(p-1)}) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1} = (p) + (W - h_1, U - h_2)^{p-1}.$$

This shows  $(\mathcal{F}_2 :_A m) = (p) + P^{p-1}$  and all claims have been proved. Thus  $R$  admits a birational MCM module. □

**Remark 4.11.** If  $\text{grade}(Q) = 2$  and  $p.d_S(S/Q) = 3$  in the context of 4.10(3), we are not able to construct a birational MCM module over  $R$  at present. However, if we allow an extension of the quotient field, then constructing an MCM module over  $R$  may be possible in this case, see [KS21].

**Remark 4.12.** By a vector bundle on the punctured spectrum of a regular local ring  $(S, \mathfrak{m})$  or simply a bundle on  $S$  we mean a finitely generated reflexive  $S$ -module  $M$  such that  $M_P$  is  $S_P$ -free for all non maximal ideals  $P \subseteq S$ . One could use 4.10(2) to generate examples of non-trivial bundles  $M$  on localizations of polynomial rings or power series rings over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  of dimension  $d$  at least three such that  $\text{rank}_S(M) = p^2 + d - 3$ . Moreover, these bundles would satisfy  $p.d_S(M) = 1$ .

Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $(T, \mathfrak{n})$  be a  $d$ -dimensional unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p$ . Choose  $(S, \mathfrak{m}) \subseteq T$  a three dimensional subring of  $T$  that is an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p$  and a quotient of  $T$  by a regular sequence (such a choice is possible for example when  $T$  is a localization of a polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ). Let  $S \subseteq (E, \mathfrak{n}') \subseteq T$  be such that  $E$  is regular local and  $S = E/(t)$  for some  $0 \neq t \in E$ . Using 4.10(2), with the base ring as  $S$ , construct  $R$  such that it is not  $S$ -free. Choose a minimal  $S$ -free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow S \xrightarrow{\psi^T} S^{p^2+1} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0 \quad (26)$$

Let  $M'$  be the cokernel of the  $E$ -matrix  $\phi := \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \psi^T & t \end{array} \right]$

$$0 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E^{p^2+2} \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow 0 \quad (27)$$

so that  $p.d_E(M) = 1$ . The ideal of maximal minors of  $\psi^T$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary since  $R$  is a bundle over  $S$ . Therefore the ideal of maximal minors of  $\phi$  is  $\mathfrak{n}'$ -primary and hence it is free on the punctured spectrum of  $E$ . Proceeding this way, we can construct a finite module  $M$  over  $T$  that is free on the punctured spectrum of  $T$  and  $p.d_T(M) = 1$ . Moreover, since  $M$  is an  $S_2$   $T$ -module, it is  $T$ -reflexive (see [BH98][Proposition 1.4.1] for example).

## Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my Ph.D. advisor Prof. Daniel Katz for suggesting this problem and for his support through the course of this work. I would also like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the paper and suggestions for improvement.

## References

- [BH98] Winfried Bruns and H. Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 1998.

- [HK19] Craig Huneke and Daniel Katz. Uniform symbolic topologies in abelian extensions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 372(3):1735–1750, May 2019.
- [Hoc73] Melvin Hochster. Cohen-Macaulay modules. In James W. Brewer and Edgar A. Rutter, editors, *Conference on Commutative Algebra*, pages 120–152, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [HS06] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 13. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [Kat99] Daniel Katz. On the existence of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules over  $p$ -th root extensions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 127(9):2601–2609, 1999.
- [Kat21] Daniel Katz. Conductors in mixed characteristic. *Journal of Algebra*, 571:350–375, 2021. Commutative Algebra and its Interactions with Algebraic Geometry: A volume in honor of Craig Huneke on the occasion of his 65th birthday.
- [Koh86] Jee Koh. Degree  $p$  extensions of an unramified regular local ring of mixed characteristic  $p$ . *Journal of Algebra*, 99(2):310–323, 1986.
- [KS21] Daniel Katz and Prashanth Sridhar. Small CM modules over repeated radical extensions in mixed characteristic. *in preparation*, 2021.
- [KU97] Steven Kleiman and Bernd Ulrich. Gorenstein algebras, symmetric matrices, self-linked ideals, and symbolic powers. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 349(12):4973–5000, 1997.
- [Rob80] Paul Roberts. Abelian extensions of regular local rings. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 78(3):307–310, 1980.
- [Rob12] Paul C Roberts. The homological conjectures. *Progress in Commutative Algebra 1: Combinatorics and Homology*, page 199, 2012.
- [Sri21] Prashanth Sridhar. Existence of birational small CM modules over biquadratic extensions in mixed characteristic, 2021.
- [Vas91] Wolmer V Vasconcelos. Computing the integral closure of an affine domain. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 113(3):633–638, 1991.