

Dicke model semiclassical dynamics in superradiant dipolar phase follows the Euler heavy top

S. I. Mukhin, A. Mukherjee, and S.S. Seidov

¹*Theoretical Physics and Quantum Technologies*

Department, NUST "MISIS", Moscow, Russia

(Dated: March 24, 2021)

Abstract

Analytic solution is presented of the nonlinear semiclassical dynamics of superradiant photonic condensate that arises in the Dicke model of two-level atoms dipolar coupled to the electromagnetic field in the microwave cavity. In adiabatic limit with respect to photon degree of freedom the system is approximately integrable and its evolution is expressed via Jacobi elliptic functions of real time. Periodic trajectories of the semiclassical coordinate of photonic condensate either localise around two degenerate minima of the condensate ground state energy or traverse between them over the saddle point. An exact mapping of the semiclassical dynamics of photonic condensate on the motion of unstable Lagrange 'sleeping top' is found. Analytic expression is presented for the frequency dependence of transmission coefficient along a transmission line inductively coupled to the resonant cavity with superradiant condensate. Sharp transmission drops reflect Fourier spectrum of the semiclassical motion of photonic condensate and of 'sleeping top' nodding.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 05.45.-a, 45.10.-b, 05.10.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

Predicted superradiant quantum phase transition, that breaks parity symmetry of the system consisting of $N \gg 1$ two-level (TL) atoms coupled to a single bosonic mode in the resonant cavity [1, 2], opens an interesting question about observable fingerprints of photonic condensate emerging in the quantum metamaterials [3–8]. This knowledge is also important for the quantum computation perspectives [9–12]. Recently an approximate integrability of the Dicke model [13] was established [14] in the adiabatic limit with respect to photon degree of freedom. Here we report analytic solutions of the semiclassical dynamics equation for the superradiant condensate coordinate based on the two integrals of motion possessed by the coupled photonic condensate and TL system in the adiabatic limit. These solutions bear unexpected parallelism with the evolution of the polar angle made by the pivoted axis of Euler symmetric spinning top with the vertical axis in the gravitational field, i.e. nodding of Lagrange 'sleeping top' [15–19]. We demonstrate, that semiclassical dynamics of the superradiant condensate in the resonant cavity, and hence, of the Lagrange 'sleeping top' nodding, could be studied by measuring frequency dependence of the transmission coefficient along a transmission line inductively coupled to the cavity. This follows from the derived below analytic expression featuring sharp transmission coefficient drops at the frequencies $\sim \Omega_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ of the Fourier spectrum of the semiclassical motion of photonic condensate. Our analytic solutions are valid close to the second order quantum phase transition at zero temperature. In the vicinity of the transition point an adiabatic limit is achieved: $\Omega_n \ll \omega_0$, where $\hbar\omega_0$ is bare TL splitting.

In this article we consider Dicke model Hamiltonian (DH) expressed in terms of the operators of collective variables:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\omega}{2} (\hat{p}^2 + \hat{q}^2) + \frac{2\gamma}{\sqrt{S}} \hat{q} \hat{S}^x + \omega_0 \hat{S}^z, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{S}^\alpha = \sum_i \hat{s}_i^\alpha$ are Cartesian components of the total pseudo-spin of the TL system, and spin-1/2 Pauli operators \hat{s}_i^α characterise states of the i -th TL. We use here and below notations introduced in [14], where $\hbar = 1$. The photon field second quantised operators are:

$$\hat{p} = i\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger - \hat{a}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{q} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a}), \quad (2)$$

where $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^\dagger] = 1$. The superradiant regime is achieved for $f^2 = \gamma^2/\gamma_c^2 > 1$, $\gamma_c = \sqrt{\omega\omega_0}/2$ [1]. Using then Born-Oppenheimer approximation for a slow semiclassical motion of the

photonic condensate on the background of fast coherent TL system [14] one may substitute operators \hat{p} and \hat{q} with c-numbers considered as fixed parameters with respect to the fast superspin degrees of freedom. Then Eq. (1) is diagonalized using rotation angle θ around y -axis (compare [14, 20]):

$$\hat{S}^z \cos \theta + \hat{S}^x \sin \theta = \hat{J}^z; \quad (3)$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_P(q)}; \quad \sin \theta = \frac{2q\gamma}{\omega_P(q)\sqrt{S}}; \quad (4)$$

$$\omega_P(q) = \omega_0 \sqrt{1 + f^2 \frac{q^2 \omega}{S \omega_0}}, \quad (5)$$

and consequently one obtains the Hamiltonian with adiabatic invariant \hat{J}^z :

$$\hat{H}_a = \frac{\omega}{2} (p^2 + q^2) + \omega_P(q) \hat{J}^z. \quad (6)$$

Since \hat{H}_a commutes with \hat{J}^z , the lowest energy band is reached in the state $|S, -S\rangle$ with $\hat{J}^z |S, -S\rangle = -S |S, -S\rangle$. Substituting this spin projection into Eq. (6) one finds effective Hamiltonian of the condensate:

$$H_a(S, -S) = \frac{\omega}{2} (p^2 + q^2) - \omega_P(q) S. \quad (7)$$

Hence, Eq. (7) describes a 'particle' moving in the potential:

$$U(q) = \frac{\omega}{2} q^2 - \omega_0 S \sqrt{1 + f^2 \frac{\omega q^2}{\omega_0 S}}. \quad (8)$$

Considering the first integral of motion of the Hamiltonian Eq. (7) of a 'particle' with effective mass $1/\omega$, one finds differential equation for the dynamic variable q :

$$H_a(S, -S) = \frac{\dot{q}^2}{2\omega} + U(q) = E. \quad (9)$$

Now we consider the case when square root in Eq. (8) can be expanded in powers of coordinate q , i.e. the following condition holds:

$$f^2 \frac{\omega q^2}{\omega_0 S} \ll 1. \quad (10)$$

Then, expanding the root in Eq. (8) up to fourth order in q and substituting it into Eq. (9), one obtains equation of motion of a 'particle' in the double-well potential U_{dw} (the constant term $-S\omega_0$ is absorbed by constant E):

$$E = \frac{\dot{q}^2}{2\omega} + \frac{\omega_0}{8S} \left(f^2 \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right)^2 q^4 + \frac{\omega}{2} (1 - f^2) q^2 \equiv \frac{\dot{q}^2}{2\omega} + U_{dw}(q) \quad (11)$$

Condition of superradiance $f^2 > 1$ makes the last term negative, thus forming a double-well potential. The minima $\pm q_0$ of $U_{dw}(q)$ are found readily from condition $\partial_q U_{dw}(q)|_{q_0} = 0$. After substitution q_0 into applicability condition Eq. (10) one obtains the following inequality condition:

$$f^2 \frac{\omega q_0^2}{\omega_0 S} \equiv \frac{2(f^2 - 1)}{f^2} \ll 1. \quad (12)$$

Substituting q_0 into $U_{dw}(q)$ and using condition in Eq.(12) one finds:

$$|U_{dw}(q_0)| \equiv |U_{dw}|_{min} = \frac{(f^2 - 1)^2 \omega_0 S}{2f^4} \ll \frac{\omega_0 S}{8}. \quad (13)$$

Hence, approximate polynomial expression in Eq. (11) for potential energy is safe to use in the close enough vicinity of the phase transition $f^2 \rightarrow 1 + 0$. Therefore, allowing for the limitation Eq. (12), it seems at first glance, that the adiabaticity condition for the Hamiltonian Eq. (6) would be $\omega \ll \omega_P$, which in our case of $f \approx 1$ could be achieved via inequality $\omega \ll \omega_0$, i.e. far from the resonance: $\omega = \omega_0$, compare [14]. We shall see below, that this is not the case in the vicinity of the saddle-point energy $|E| \leq |U_{dw}|_{min}$, when adiabaticity is granted already by $f^2 \rightarrow 1 + 0$ itself, even though the resonant condition $\omega_0 = \omega$ holds. Analytic solutions of equation of motion Eq. (11) in the quartic double well potential are well known [21] and found below in the form of the different Jacobi elliptic functions, depending on the value E of the total energy of the system.

II. SOLUTION IN JACOBI FUNCTIONS

If the total energy of the system is positive: $E > 0$, i.e. the 'particle' has enough momentum to surpass the potential barrier at $q = 0$, solution is:

$$\begin{aligned} q(t)_{E>0} &= A \operatorname{cn}(\Omega t, k); \quad A^2 = \frac{2S(f^2 - 1)\omega_0}{f^4} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{2f^4 E}{S\omega_0(1-f^2)^2}} \right) \\ \Omega^2 &= \omega^2(f^2 - 1) \sqrt{1 + \frac{2f^4 E}{S\omega_0(1-f^2)^2}}; \quad k^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2f^4 E}{S\omega_0(1-f^2)^2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

One should note, that $q(t)$ is a sign changing function, meaning that the 'particle' travels between the two wells $q = \pm q_0$.

For negative total energy, $E < 0$, solution is:

$$\begin{aligned} q(t)_{E<0} &= \pm A \operatorname{dn}(\Omega t, k); \quad A^2 = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega} \frac{4S(1-f^2)2z}{f^4(1-\sqrt{4z+1})} \\ \Omega^2 &= \frac{\omega^2(1-f^2)2z}{1-\sqrt{4z+1}}; \quad k^2 = \frac{\sqrt{4z+1}}{2z} (\sqrt{4z+1}-1) \\ z &= \frac{Ef^4}{2\omega_0 S(1-f^2)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In this case $q(t)$ does not change sign, so that 'particle' remains in one of the two potential wells.

If the total energy is zero $E = 0$, the period of motion becomes infinite and solution is:

$$q(t)_{E=0} = \pm \frac{2\sqrt{S}}{f^2} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_0}{\omega}(f^2-1)} \operatorname{sech}(\omega t \sqrt{f^2-1}). \quad (16)$$

This solution describes 'particle' moving infinitely long from the 'turning point' at $q = q(t=0)$ to the saddle point at $q(t=\infty) = 0$. In all the solutions above the inequality in Eq. (12) ensures adiabaticity condition $\Omega \ll \omega_0$ provided that $\omega \leq \omega_0$, and:

$$|E| \leq |U_{dw}|_{min} = \frac{(f^2-1)^2 \omega_0 S}{2f^4}. \quad (17)$$

Simultaneously, the semiclassical approximation is justified by the proportionality of the photonic condensate coordinate $q(t) \propto \sqrt{S} = \sqrt{N/2}$, where N is the macroscopic number of the TL's.

III. MAPPING ON DYNAMICS OF SPINNING LAGRANGE TOP

Using definition of θ -angle in Eq. (4) in combination with equation of motion of the photon field coordinate q in Eq. (11) in the limit Eq. (10), it is straightforward to find a striking coincidence of the photonic coordinate dynamics with the dynamics of the Euler θ -angle of a symmetric top in gravitational field [15], the Lagrange top. For this purpose we remind this fundamental problem in classical mechanics. It is well known that the Euler-Poisson equations of motion of a rigid symmetric top, that moves about a fixed point under the action of a gravitational force (the Lagrange case), are integrable [15]. The energy conservation law for this case is written as:

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{\tilde{I}_1}{2} (\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 \sin^2 \theta) + \frac{I_3}{2} (\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos \theta)^2 + \mu g l \cos \theta. \quad (18)$$

Here the Euler angles θ, ϕ, ψ are introduced as usual [15], relative to the laboratory x, y, z coordinate system, and gravity acts in the negative direction of the polar z -axis direction, see Fig.1. Then, angle θ is formed by the z -axis and the axis x_3 of the rotating top, i.e. one




FIG. 1: Scheme of the Euler top with pivoted point of the x_3 -axis and the definition of the Euler angles.

of its three principal axes $x_{1,2,3}$, with the corresponding moments of inertia $I_1 = I_2, I_3$. The pivoting point and the centre of mass both lie on the x_3 -axis, separated by the distance l . Constants μ and g are the mass and the acceleration of the top in the gravitational field, and $\tilde{I}_1 \equiv I_1 + \mu l^2$. Since the conjugate momenta corresponding to the cyclic angles ϕ, ψ are conserved, one obtains the following extra integrals of motion:

$$p_\psi = I_3(\dot{\psi} + \dot{\phi} \cos \theta) = M_3 \quad (19)$$

$$p_\phi = (\tilde{I}_1 \sin^2 \theta + I_3 \cos^2 \theta) \dot{\phi} + I_3 \dot{\psi} \cos \theta = M_z, \quad (20)$$

where integrals of motion M_3, M_z are the angular moments of the top along the axes x_3 and z respectively, counted with respect to the fixed point O of the top. Now, excluding variables ϕ, ψ from expression in Eq. (18) using Eqs. (19), (20), and considering a particular case $M_3 = M_z \equiv M$, one finds instead of Eq. (18):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} - \frac{M^2}{2I_3} - \mu gl &= \frac{\tilde{I}_1}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{M^2}{2\tilde{I}_1} \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - 2\mu gl \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \approx \\ &\approx 2\tilde{I}_1 \dot{Q}^2 + \frac{M^2}{2\tilde{I}_1} \left[Q^4 + Q^2 \left(1 - \frac{4\mu gl \tilde{I}_1}{M^2} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$




FIG. 2: Trajectories on the sphere of the free end of the x_3 -axis of the spinning Lagrange top with: a) positive energy $E = 0.01$, b) negative energy $E = -0.0008$ and other parameters of the Dicke model Hamiltonian Eq. (1): $\omega = 1, \omega_0 = 5, S = 10, f = 1.01$. Corresponding time-evolution of the angle $\theta(t)$, c) and d), is taken from Dicke model solutions Eq. (14), Eq. (15) and definition Eq. (4) of the angle θ . T is the oscillation time period of the corresponding Jacobi function.

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{M}{2\tilde{I}_1(1-Q^2)}, \quad \dot{\psi} = \frac{M(I_3 + 2(\tilde{I}_1 - I_3)(1-Q^2))}{2\tilde{I}_1 I_3(1-Q^2)}, \quad (22)$$

where smallness of the Euler angle θ is assumed: $\sin \theta/2 \equiv Q \ll 1$. Now, a direct comparison of Eq. (21) with Eq. (11), allowing for the inequality Eq. (10), leads to the conclusion that the pseudospin rotation angle θ defined in Eq. (4) possesses the same dynamics as the Euler angle of the Lagrange top θ , that enters dynamics equation Eq. (21). Namely, the one-to-one

correspondence between equations Eq. (11) and Eq. (21) is achieved under the following conditions:

$$\mu gl/\tilde{I}_1 = \omega^2(2f^2 - 1), \quad M/2\tilde{I}_1 = \omega f^{-1}, \quad \frac{E}{2\omega_0 S} = \left[\tilde{E} - \frac{M^2}{2I_3} - \mu gl \right] \cdot (M^2/2\tilde{I}_1)^{-1}. \quad (23)$$

The analytical solutions Eq. (14) and Eq. (15) expressed via the angle θ , as defined in Eq. (4), are plotted in the form of trajectories of the spinning Lagrange top ('sleeping top' noddings) on the sphere, see Fig. (2). For this purpose we have used one-to-one correspondence between the Euler angle ϕ and time variable t , that follows from Eq. (22).

A. Measuring 'sleeping top' phase breakdown via transmission line coupled to photonic condensate

The 'sleeping top' case would correspond to the case of a single-well potential in Eq. (21), when $\theta = 0$ is at the minimum of the effective potential energy [15]. Via mapping back on the DH Eq. (11) one concludes, that this single minimum potential condition corresponds to the case $f^2 < 1$, i.e. to the normal state of Dicke model without macroscopic photonic condensate. The emergence of the superradiant condensate at $f^2 > 1$ causes transition to a bifurcating equilibria, i.e. to the double-well potentials in Eq. (11) and Eq. (21), thus, making 'sleeping top' phase unstable. Simultaneously, the semiclassical motions of the photonic condensate Eq. (14) and Eq. (15), that map onto Lagrange top dynamics Eq. (21), could be measured e.g. using transmission line setup [5]. In this setup an electromagnetic wave $Q(y, t)$ propagating in the transmission line (along axis y) is described by the Maxwell propagation equation of an electromagnetic wave coupled linearly to the superradiant condensate via semiclassical coordinate $q(t)$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q(y, t)}{c^2 \partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 Q(y, t)}{\partial y^2} = \kappa \delta(y - y_0) q(t) \quad (24)$$

$$H = H_a(S, -S) - \kappa q(t) Q(y_0, t), \quad (25)$$

where c is the electromagnetic wave propagation velocity and κ is the strength of inductive coupling between the transmission line and the microwave cavity, that contains condensate described by the unperturbed Hamiltonian Eq. (9). Considering now the last term in Eq. (25) as a perturbation, one finds a response $q_1(t)$ of the condensate, linear in $\kappa Q(y_0, t)$, that follows from Eq. (11):

$$\frac{\ddot{q}_1}{\omega} + \frac{\partial^2 U_{dw}(q_0)}{\partial q^2} q_1 \equiv \hat{L}q_1 = \kappa Q(y_0, t), \quad (26)$$

where unperturbed condensate motions $q_0(t)$, expressed in Eqs. (14) - (16), obey Eq. (11). Allowing for the latter, one finds that Eq. (26) is of Lamé type, with 'external force' $\kappa Q(y_0, t)$ and, hence, its solution looks like:

$$q_1(t) = \int_0^t G^R(t-t') \kappa Q(y_0, t') dt' \quad (27)$$

$$\hat{L}G^R(t-t') = \delta(t-t'); \quad G^R(t-t' < 0) \equiv 0, \quad (28)$$

where retarded Green's function G^R of the Lamé differential operator \hat{L} is introduced. Substituting Eq. (27) into right-hand side of the Maxwell's equation Eq. (24) and making its Fourier transform with respect to time variable t , one finds a Schrödinger like equation for a scattering from the Dirac delta function potential barrier, which results in a transmission coefficient $D(\tilde{\omega})$ of the transmission line [22]:

$$-\partial_y^2 Q_{\tilde{\omega}} - \kappa^2 G^R(\tilde{\omega}) \delta(y-y_0) Q_{\tilde{\omega}} = \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2}{c^2} Q_{\tilde{\omega}}; \quad (29)$$

$$D(\tilde{\omega}) = \left| \frac{2\tilde{\omega}}{2\tilde{\omega} - ic\kappa^2 G^R(\tilde{\omega})} \right|^2. \quad (30)$$

The Green's function $G^R(t)$ is easily constructed allowing for the fact, that zero modes of Lamé differential operator $\hat{L}\partial_t q_0 = 0$ are just the first time-derivatives of the corresponding unperturbed solutions, $q_0(t)$, of the Hamiltonian dynamics Eq. (11) expressed via the Jacobi elliptic functions in Eqs. (14)-(15):

$$G^R(t \geq 0) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\omega}{\Omega^2} \partial_t \text{cn}(\Omega t, k); & E > 0 \\ -\frac{\omega}{\Omega^2} \partial_t \text{dn}(\Omega t, k); & E < 0; \end{cases}; \quad G^R(t < 0) \equiv 0. \quad (31)$$

Using Fourier series expansions of the Jacobi elliptic functions [21] $\text{cn}(\Omega t, k)$, $\text{dn}(\Omega t, k)$ one finds $G^R(\tilde{\omega})$ as the sum of the Green's functions $G_{\Omega_n}^{0R}(\tilde{\omega})$ of harmonic oscillators with frequencies forming (half)integer multiples of photonic condensate frequency $\pi\Omega/K$:

$$G_{E>0}^R(\tilde{\omega}) = \frac{\pi^2 \omega}{2\Omega k K^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)G_{\Omega_n}^{0R}(\tilde{\omega})}{\text{ch}[(2n+1)\pi K'/2K]}, \quad \Omega_n = \frac{(2n+1)\pi\Omega}{2K}; \quad (32)$$

$$G_{E<0}^R(\tilde{\omega}) = \frac{\pi^2 \omega}{\Omega K^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{nG_{\tilde{\Omega}_n}^{0R}(\tilde{\omega})}{\text{ch}[n\pi K'/K]}, \quad \tilde{\Omega}_n = \frac{n\pi\Omega}{K}, \quad (33)$$

$$G_{\Omega}^{0R}(\tilde{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\omega} + \Omega + i\delta} - \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega} - \Omega + i\delta} \right], \quad K' = K(\sqrt{1-k^2}). \quad (34)$$

Corresponding frequency dependences of the transmission coefficient $D(\tilde{\omega})$ for the transmission line are presented in Fig. (3). The presence of the superradiant condensate is reflected by the sharp transmission drops at the Fourier spectrum of the semiclassical motion of the condensate, the latter being marked by the poles of the Green's function in Eqs. (32), (33).




FIG. 3: Frequency dependences of the transmission coefficient $D(\tilde{\omega})$ Eq. (30) for a transmission line inductively coupled to the microwave cavity at different energies E of the superradiant condensate, that enter Eqs. (14)-(15). Propagation velocity c and coupling constant κ in Eq. (25) obey relation: $c * \kappa^2 = 0.0225$. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. (2).

Relative narrowing of the intervals between transmission coefficient sharp drops in Fig. (3) when one moves from $E = 0.01$ to $E = 0.0001$, i.e. more close to the saddle-point energy of photonic condensate $E = 0$, is remarkable. It is related with the fact that solutions for k -values in Eq. (14) and Eq. (15) provide the limit $k \rightarrow 1$ when $E \rightarrow 0$. Since the complete elliptic integral of the first kind $K(k \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow \infty$ [21] the frequencies spectrum Ω_n of the superradiant condensate given in Eqs. (32), (33), tightens in the direction of zero frequency.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have considered Dicke model in a superradiant state and found analytic solutions of the semiclassical dynamics of the photonic condensate in the adiabatic limit with respect to the bare cavity mode coupled to the two-level atomic array. We have also discovered one-to-one correspondence between photonic condensate dynamics and nodding motion of the Lagrange 'sleeping top'. Finally, we found analytic expression for the frequency dependence of the transmission coefficient of a transmission line inductively coupled to the microwave cavity with the superradiant photonic condensate. Predicted sharp transmission drops reflect Fourier spectrum of the semiclassical motion of photonic condensate and of a nodding 'sleeping top'. This opens way to observe directly the fingerprints of photonic condensates emerging in the quantum metamaterials, as well as to use QED circuits for a simulation of dynamics of the classical mechanical systems.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge illuminating discussion with Prof. Alexandre Zagoskin in the final stage of this work. The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation in the framework of Increase Competitiveness Program of NUST MISiS, grant K4-2018-061, implemented by a governmental decree dated 16 th of March 2013, No. 211.

-
- [1] Clive Emary and Tobias Brandes, Chaos and the quantum phase transition in the Dicke model, [Phys. Rev. E **67**, 066203 \(2003\)](#).
 - [2] K. Hepp and E.H. Lieb, On the Superradiant Phase Transition for Molecules in a Quantized Radiation Field: the Dicke Maser Model, [Ann. Phys. **76**, 360 \(1973\)](#).
 - [3] Oliver Viehmann, Jan von Delft, and Florian Marquardt, *Superradiant Phase Transitions and the Standard Description of Circuit QED*, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 113602 \(2011\)](#).
 - [4] S. I. Mukhin and M. V. Fistul, Generation of non-classical photon states in superconducting quantum metamaterials, [Supercond. Sci. Technol. **26**, 084003 \(2013\)](#).

- [5] M. A. Iontsev, S. I. Mukhin, and M. V. Fistul, Double-resonance response of a superconducting quantum metamaterial: Manifestation of nonclassical states of photons, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 174510 (2016).
- [6] Motoaki Bamba, Kunihiro Inomata, and Yasunobu Nakamura, Superradiant Phase Transition in a Superconducting Circuit in Thermal Equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 173601 (2016).
- [7] Pierre Nataf and Cristiano Ciuti, *No-go theorem for superradiant quantum phase transitions in cavity QED and counter-example in circuit QED*, *Nature Communications* **1**, 72 (2010).
- [8] Tuomas Jaako, Ze-Liang Xiang, Juan José Garcia-Ripoll, and Peter Rabl, Ultrastrong-coupling phenomena beyond the Dicke model, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 033850 (2016).
- [9] Alexandre Blais, Ren-Shou Huang, Andreas Wallraff, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Cavity quantum electrodynamics for superconducting electrical circuits: An architecture for quantum computation, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 062320 (2004).
- [10] A. Wallraff, D. I. Schuster, A. Blais, L. Frunzio, R.-S. Huang, J. Majer, S. Kumar, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, *Strong coupling of a single photon to a superconducting qubit using circuit quantum electrodynamics*, *Nature* **431**, 162-167 (2004).
- [11] J. M. Raimond, M. Brune, and S. Haroche, Manipulating quantum entanglement with atoms and photons in a cavity, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 565 (2001).
- [12] L. DiCarlo, M. D. Reed, L. Sun, B. R. Johnson, J. M. Chow, J. M. Gambetta, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Preparation and measurement of three-qubit entanglement in a superconducting circuit, *Nature* **467**, 574-578 (2010).
- [13] R. H. Dicke, Coherence in Spontaneous Radiation Processes, *Phys. Rev.* **93**, 99 (1954).
- [14] A. Relano, M. A. Bastarrachea-Magnani, and S. Lerma-Hernandez, Approximated integrability of the Dicke model, *EPL*, **116**, 50005 (2016).
- [15] L. D Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics: Volume 1 (Course of Theoretical Physics) 3rd Edition*, Butterworth-Heinemann, 1976 , 170p.
- [16] G. H. M. van der Heijden and Kazuyuki Yagasaki, Horseshoes for the nearly symmetric heavy top, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **65**, 221-240 (2014).
- [17] H. Murakami, O. Rios, T. J. Impelluso, A Theoretical and Numerical Study of the Dzhanibekov and Tennis Racket Phenomena, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, **83**, 111006 (2016)
- [18] Ashbaugh, M., Chicone, C. C., and Cushman, A. H., The Twisting Tennis Racket, *J. Dyn. Differ. Equations*, **3**(1), pp. 67-85 (1991).

- [19] Chao B., Feynman, Dining Hall Dynamics, *Physics Today*, **42**(2), 15 (1989).
- [20] S. I. Mukhin and N. V. Gnezdilov, First-order dipolar phase transition in the Dicke model with infinitely coordinated frustrating interaction, *Phys.Rev.A*, **97**, 053809 (2018).
- [21] Wang Z.X., Guo D.R., *Special Functions*, World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd., 1989, 695p.
- [22] S. Flügge, *Practical Quantum Mechanics*, vol. 1, Springer, 1994, 331p.