

On a generalized Brauer group in mixed characteristic cases, II

Makoto Sakagaito

Abstract

As an extension of an author's previous paper ([16, Theorem 1.1]), we prove the Gersten's conjecture for the p -torsion subgroup of the generalized Brauer group over a henselian regular local ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. We also prove the \mathbb{P}^1 -homotopy invariance for the generalized Brauer group which is an analogue of a result due to Auel-Bigazzi-Böhning-Graf von Bothmer ([1, Theorem 1.1]) in mixed characteristic cases.

1 Introduction

Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, X a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}A$, $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}}$ and $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{Zar}}$ be the Bloch's cycle complex for the étale and Zariski topology, respectively. Let $\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{ét}} = \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for a positive integer m .

By V.Voevodsky, the motivic Bloch-Kato conjecture have been proved (cf. [21]). So the Beilinson-Lichtenbaum conjecture holds, i.e., we have an isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+1} R\mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{Zar}}$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2]. Here $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ is the canonical map of sites and \mathcal{E}_* is the forgetful functor. Moreover we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_r(n)$$

for any positive integer r (cf. [18, p.524, Conjecture 1.4.1]). Here $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ is the p -adic Tate twist (cf. [18, §1.3]). So we can study the mod p étale motivic cohomology by using theories of the motivic cohomology and the p -adic Tate twist.

Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. In §3, we define the homomorphism

$$\alpha_x^n : H_x^{n+i}(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

for $x \in X^{(i)} \cap Y$ (see Theorem 3.2) and the map

$$\beta_\zeta^n : \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1)_{\text{ét}}$$

for $1 \neq \zeta \in \mu_p$ (see Definition 3.3) by using theory of the p -adic Tate twist (cf. [18]). Here $X^{(i)}$ denotes the set of points of codimension i . In a later section, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1.1. (see Theorem 4.3) Let X be an essentially smooth scheme over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring A of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and Y the closed fiber of X . Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. Let $1 \neq \zeta \in \mu_p$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i}(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_x^n} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $x \in X^{(i)} \cap Y$ where the second map is induced by the map β_ζ^{n-1} . Moreover we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-k)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

for $x \in X^{(i)}$ and $k \geq 1$ where the map is induced by $\beta_\zeta^{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \beta_\zeta^{n-k}$.

Theorem 1.1 relates to the Gersten conjecture for the mod p étale motivic cohomology. Let X be a smooth scheme over the spectrum of a regular local ring A with $\dim(A) \leq 1$ and R a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of X at x . Then we have the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(0)}} \mathbf{H}_x^s(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{s+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \\ \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

(cf. [4, Part 1, (1.3)] and Definition 2.2) and it is known that the sequence (1.1) is exact in the following cases:

- In the case where A is a field and l is prime to $\text{char}(A)$, then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/l(n)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mu_l^{\otimes n}$$

by [8, Theorem 1.5] and the sequence (1.1) is exact for any s by [4, Corollary 2.2.2]. Here μ_l is the sheaf of l -th roots of unity.

- In the case where A is a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$, then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}} \simeq v_r^n[-n]$$

by [6, p.787, §5, (12)] and the sequence (1.1) is exact for $m = p^r$ by [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1]. Here $v_r^n = W_r \Omega_{\log}^n$ is the logarithmic de Rham-Witt sheaf.

- In the case where A is a discrete valuation ring, then the sequence (1.1) is exact for $s \leq n$ and any m by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.5].
- In the case where A is a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, the sequence (1.1) is exact at the first two terms for $s = n + 1$ and $m = p^r$ by [16, Theorem 1.1].

In this paper, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1.2. (see Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.10) Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and X be a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$. Let k be the residue field of A . Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. Then the sequence (1.1) is exact in the following cases:

- (i) In the case where R is a henselian local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ of X at $x \in X$, the sequence (1.1) is exact for $s \geq n+1$ and $m = p$.
- (ii) In the case where R is a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of X at $x \in X$ and k is a finite field and $n = \dim(X)$, the sequence (1.1) is exact for $s = n+1$, $m = p^r$ and $p \neq 2$.

In §4, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 (i) simultaneously (see Theorem 4.3). Let $H_B^*(X)$ be a generalized Brauer group of a smooth scheme X (cf. [16, Definition 3.1]) and $H_B^*(X)_m$ the m -torsion subgroup of $H_B^*(X)$. Since $H_B^n(R)_m = H_{\text{ét}}^n(R, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1))$ for a local ring $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ by [16, Proposition 3.4], Theorem 1.2 in the case where $s = n+1$ means the Gersten's conjecture for the p -torsion subgroup of the generalized Brauer group over a henselian local ring R^h .

In §5, we prove Theorem 1.2 (ii) (see Theorem 5.10). The proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii) is independent from that of Theorem 1.2 (i).

Let X be same as in Theorem 1.2, $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $k(R)$ the fraction field of R . In §6, we also prove that the sequence (1.1) is exact for $s \geq n+1$ and $m = p$ if the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is injective for $k \geq 2$ (see Proposition 6.4).

In §7, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1.3. (see Theorem 7.4 and Corollary 7.5) Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \tau_{\geq n+1} R\mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{s-n-1} H_{\text{ét}}^{s-2j}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-j))$$

for $n+1 \leq s \leq n+m+1$ and any $r > 0$ where $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ is the canonical map of sites and \mathcal{E}_* is the forgetful functor.

Especially, we have an isomorphism

$$H_B^n(\mathbb{P}_A^s)_{p^r} \simeq H_B^n(A)_{p^r} = H_{\text{ét}}^n(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)) \quad (1.2)$$

for any positive integers n, s and r . Here $H_B^*(X)$ is a generalized Brauer group of a smooth scheme X and $H_B^*(X)_m$ is the m -torsion subgroup of $H_B^*(X)$.

In this paper, I call the isomorphism (1.2) the \mathbb{P}^1 -homotopy invariance for the generalized Brauer group. Let X be a smooth scheme over the spectrum of a regular local ring A with $\dim(A) \leq 1$ and $k(X)$ the ring of rational functions on X . By [16, Theorem 4.6], we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_B^n(X) \rightarrow \text{Ker} \left(H_B^n(k(X)) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_B^n(k(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{sh})) \right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H_B^{n-1}(\kappa(x))$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{sh}$ is the strict henselization of a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, $\kappa(x)$ is the residue field of $x \in X$ and $X^{(1)}$ is the set of points of codimension 1. Moreover, $H_B^2(X)$ is the Brauer

group $\text{Br}(X)$ of X by [16, Proposition 3.3]. So we can regard the isomorphism (1.2) as an analogue of a result due to Auel–Bigazzi–Böhning–Graf von Bothmer ([1, Theorem 1.1]) in mixed characteristic cases.

As an application of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3, we have the following:

COROLLARY 1.4. (see Corollary 7.7) Let A be a henselian discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{Nis}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, R^{n+1}\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n-s+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-s))$$

for $0 \leq s \leq m$ where $\alpha : (\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Nis}}$ is the canonical map of sites and α_* is the forgetful functor.

Moreover, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(0)}} \mathrm{H}_x^{n+2}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}_x^{n+3}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(2)}} \mathrm{H}_x^{n+4}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Notations

For a scheme X , $X^{(i)}$ denotes the set of points of codimension i and $k(X)$ denotes the ring of rational functions on X . For a point $x \in X$, $\kappa(x)$ denotes the residue field of x , $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ denotes the local ring of X at $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ denotes the henselization of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. $X_{\text{ét}}$, X_{Nis} and X_{Zar} denote the category of étale schemes over a scheme X equipped with the étale, Nisnevich and Zariski topology, respectively. Let $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ be the canonical map of sites and ε_* the forgetful functor.

Let $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{Zar}}$) be the Bloch's cycle complex for étale (resp. Zariski) topology and $\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_t = \mathbb{Z}(n)_t \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for $t \in \{\text{ét}, \text{Zar}\}$ and a positive integer m . For an integer $m > 0$, μ_m denotes the sheaf of m -th roots of unity. For a smooth scheme Y over a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$, $v_{Y,r}^n = W_r\Omega_{Y,\log}^n$ denotes the logarithmic de Rham-Witt sheaf.

2 Preliminary

2.1 The coniveau spectral sequence

THEOREM 2.1. (cf. [4, Part 1, §1]) Let X be an equidimensional scheme and noetherian of dimension d . Let \mathcal{F}^\bullet be a bounded below complex of sheaves of abelian groups on $X_{\text{ét}}$. Then we have a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{s,t}(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(s)}} \mathrm{H}_x^{s+t}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \Rightarrow E^{s+t}(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. The proof of the statement is the same as [4, Part 1, §1]. We review the proof of [4, Part 1, §1] for convenience. Consider a chain of closed subsets of X

$$\vec{Z} : \emptyset \subset Z_d \subset Z_{d-1} \subset \cdots \subset Z_0 = X$$

where Z_i is a closed subset of X with $\text{codim}_X Z_i = i$. Take the convention that $Z_i = \emptyset$ for $i > d$ and $Z_i = X$ for $i < 0$. For a pair $(Z_{p+1} \subset Z_p)$, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{Z_{p+1}}^{p+q}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) &\xrightarrow{i^{p+1, q-1}} \mathbf{H}_{Z_p}^{p+q}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \xrightarrow{j^{p, q}} \mathbf{H}_{Z_p \setminus Z_{p+1}}^{p+q}((X \setminus Z_{p+1})_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \\ &\xrightarrow{k^{p, q}} \mathbf{H}_{Z_{p+1}}^{p+q+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

is exact (cf. [13, p.92, III, Remark 1.26]).

So we can construct an exact couple $C_{\vec{Z}}(D, E, i, j, k)$ by setting

$$D^{p, q} = \mathbf{H}_{Z_p}^{p+q}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \text{ and } E^{p, q} = \mathbf{H}_{Z_p \setminus Z_{p+1}}^{p+q}((X \setminus Z_{p+1})_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) :$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^{p+1, q-1} & \xrightarrow{i^{p+1, q-1}} & D^{p, q} \\ & \swarrow (0, +1) & \searrow j^{p, q} \\ & E^{p, q} & \end{array}$$

By [12, p.39, Theorem 2.8], this exact couple $C_{\vec{Z}}$ yields a spectral sequence of cohomological type. Put

$$F^p \mathbf{H}^{p+q} = \text{Im} \left(D^{p, q} \xrightarrow{i^{1, p+q-1} \circ \cdots \circ i^{p, q}} D^{0, p+q} \right) \text{ and } K^{p, q} = \text{Ker}(i^{1, p+q-1} \circ \cdots \circ i^{p, q}).$$

Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow j^{p, q}(K^{p, q}) \rightarrow j^{p, q}(D^{p, q}) \rightarrow F^p \mathbf{H}^{p+q} / F^{p+1} \mathbf{H}^{p+q} \rightarrow 0$$

by applying the snake lemma to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{p+1, q-1} & \longrightarrow & D^{p+1, q-1} & \longrightarrow & F^{p+1} \mathbf{H}^{p+q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i^{p+1, q-1} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K^{p, q} & \longrightarrow & D^{p, q} & \longrightarrow & F^p \mathbf{H}^{p+q} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Consequently, the spectral sequence associated to the exact couple $C_{\vec{Z}}$ converges to $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^*(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ with the filtration $F^p \mathbf{H}^*$ by [12, p.40, Proposition 2.9].

Order the set of $(d+1)$ -tuples \vec{Z} by $\vec{Z} \leq \vec{Z}'$ if $Z_q \subset Z'_q$ for all q . Passing to the limit now defines a new couple C and the spectral sequence associated to the exact couple C still converges to $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^*(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$. If T_1, \dots, T_r are pairwise distinct closed subsets of X , then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus \mathbf{H}_{T_i}^*(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\cup T_i}^*(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \quad (2.2)$$

(cf. [4, Part I, §1.2, The proof of Lemma 1.2.1]). Hence we have an isomorphism

$$\lim_{\rightarrow Z} \mathbf{H}_{Z_q \setminus Z_{q+1}}^{q+r}((X \setminus Z_{q+1})_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(q)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{q+r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n))$$

by (2.2). This completes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 2.2. Let m be a positive integer. Let X be an essentially smooth scheme over the spectrum of a regular local ring A with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. We call the following complex the Cousin complex $(C_m^{q,n}(X)^\bullet)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \xrightarrow{d_1^{0,n+q}(X,n)} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \xrightarrow{d_1^{1,n+q}(X,n)} \dots \\ \xrightarrow{d_1^{j-1,n+q}(X,n)} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(j)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q+j}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \xrightarrow{d_1^{j,n+q}(X,n)} \dots, \end{aligned}$$

which is defined as the complex $E_1^{\bullet, n+q}$ -terms of the coniveau spectral sequence (2.1) for $\mathcal{F}^\bullet = \mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{ét}}$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be an étale map of smooth schemes over a regular local ring A with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Let m be a positive integer. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q+i}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) & \xrightarrow{d_1^{i,n+q}(X,n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i+1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \\ \downarrow f_{(i)}^{q,n} & & \downarrow f_{(i+1)}^{q,n} \\ \bigoplus_{x \in X'^{(i)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q+i}(X'_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) & \xrightarrow{d_1^{i,n+q}(X',n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in X'^{(i+1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+q+i+1}(X'_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Since the composite map

$$\mathbf{H}_{Z_q \setminus Z_{q+1}}^{q+r}((X \setminus Z_q)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \xrightarrow{k^{q,r}} \mathbf{H}_{Z_{q+1}}^{q+r+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \xrightarrow{j^{q+1,r}} \mathbf{H}_{Z_{q+1} \setminus Z_{q+2}}^{q+r+1}((X \setminus Z_{q+2})_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n))$$

induces the differential

$$d_1^{q,r}(X,n) : \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(q)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{q+r}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(q+1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{q+r+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n))$$

by passing to the limit, the statement follows directly. \square

2.2 Commutative diagrams

We use the followings in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 (i).

LEMMA 2.4. In an abelian category, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & B_1 & \rightarrow & C_1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \\
 A_2 & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & C_2 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \\
 A_3 & \rightarrow & B_3 & \rightarrow & C_3 & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 0 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where all columns and all rows except for the right column are exact and the right column is complex.

Then the right column is exact.

Proof. It suffices to show the statement in the case where $A_3 \rightarrow B_3$ is injective. By the assumption, there is a unique morphism

$$C_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h) \tag{2.3}$$

such that the composition of (2.3) and $\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow C_2$ is f . Then it suffices to show that the morphism (2.3) is surjective. By the snake lemma, the morphism $\text{Ker}(g) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(h)$ is surjective. Moreover, the morphism $B_1 \rightarrow \text{ker}(g)$ is surjective by the assumption. Therefore the statement follows. \square

LEMMA 2.5. In an abelian category, consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & B_1 & \rightarrow & C_1 & \rightarrow & D_1 \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \\
 A_2 & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & C_2 & \rightarrow & D_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 A_3 & \rightarrow & B_3 & \rightarrow & C_3 & & \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \\
 0 & & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

where all columns and all rows are exact.

Then the map $D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ is injective.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, it suffices to show the statement in the case where $A_2 = A_3 = 0$. Then the morphism $\text{Coker}(f) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(g)$ is injective. Hence the statement follows from the snake lemma. \square

3 Definition of α_x^n and β_ζ^n

Let X be an essentially smooth scheme over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring A of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and Y the closed fiber of X . Let μ_p be the sheaf of p -th roots of unity. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. In this section, we define the homomorphism

$$\alpha_x^n : H_x^{n+i}(Y_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+i+1}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

for $x \in X^{(i)} \cap Y$ (cf. Theorem 3.2) and the homomorphism

$$\beta_\zeta^n : \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1)_{\text{ét}}^X$$

for $1 \neq \zeta \in \mu_p$ (cf. Definition 3.3) where $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}}$ is the Bloch's cycle complex for étale topology and $\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{ét}} = \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for a positive integer m .

Let $r > 0$ be an integer and $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ the p -adic Tate twist (cf. [18, p.537, Definition 4.2.4]). Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mathfrak{T}_r(n)$$

(cf. [18, p.524, Conjecture 1.4.1]). Moreover we have the following:

LEMMA 3.1. Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and π a prime element of A . Let X be a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$ and $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ the henselization of the local ring of X at $x \in X \otimes_A A/(\pi)$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(R, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) = 0$$

for $k \geq 2$ and any integer $r > 0$.

Proof. By [18, p.537, Definition 4.2.4], we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), \mathcal{H}^t(i^*(\mathfrak{T}_r(n)))) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

for $t > n$ where $i : \text{Spec}(R/(\pi)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is the closed fiber of $\text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$. Since R is a henselian local ring and a field of characteristic $p > 0$ has p -cohomological dimension at most 1, we have the equation (3.1) for $s > 1$. By the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), \mathcal{H}^t(i^*(\mathfrak{T}_r(n)))) \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(R/(\pi), i^*(\mathfrak{T}_r(n))),$$

we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(R/(\pi), i^*(\mathfrak{T}_r(n))) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

for $k \geq 2$. Since R is a henselian local ring, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R, \mathfrak{T}_r(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), i^*(\mathfrak{T}_r(n))) \quad (3.3)$$

for $s \geq 0$ by [6, p.777, The proof of Proposition 2.2.b)]. Hence the statement follows from (3.2) and (3.3). \square

THEOREM 3.2. Let R be a local ring which is an inductive limit of smooth algebras over a discrete valuation ring A of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and π a prime element of A . Let μ_p be the sheaf of p -th roots of unity. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$.

Then we can define the homomorphism

$$\alpha_x^n : \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(i)} \cap \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$ which satisfies the followings:

- The homomorphism α_x^n for $x = (\pi)$ is induced by the composition map of the isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R_x^h, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (3.4)$$

(cf. [16, Theorem 5.6]) and the natural map

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R_x^h, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R_x^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (3.5)$$

where R_x^h is the henselization of the local ring R_x .

- Property $\mathbf{P}_1^{i,n}(R)$: The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-2)}} \mathbf{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+j-1}((R/(\pi))_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_x^n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-2)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+j}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \\ \downarrow d_1^{j-2, n+1}(R/(\pi), n) & & \downarrow d_1^{j-1, n+1}(R, n-1) \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-1)}} \mathbf{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+j}((R/(\pi))_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_x^n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+j+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \end{array}$$

is commutative for $j \leq i$.

- Property $\mathbf{P}_2^{i,n}(R)$: Let $f : \text{Spec}(R') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be an inductive limit of étale morphisms, R' a local ring and $f_1 : \text{Spec}(R'/(\pi)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$ the base change of f .

Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-1)}} \mathbf{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+j}((R/(\pi))_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(f_1)^{(j-1)}} & \bigoplus_{y \in \text{Spec}(R'/(\pi))^{(j-1)}} \mathbf{H}_{\bar{y}}^{n+j}((R'/(\pi))_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ \downarrow (\alpha_x^n) & & \downarrow (\alpha_y^n) \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+j+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{f^{(j)}} & \bigoplus_{y \in \text{Spec}(R'/(\pi))^{(j-1)}} \mathbf{H}_y^{n+j+1}(R'_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \end{array}$$

is commutative for $j \leq i$.

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on i .

(Step 1): We define α_x^n for $x = (\pi)$. Since $k(R/(\pi)) = \kappa((\pi))$, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{(\bar{\pi})}^{n+1}((R/(\pi))_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R/(\pi)), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\kappa((\pi)), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)). \quad (3.6)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n-1+k}(k(R_x^h), \mu_p^{\otimes(n-1)}) = \mathbf{H}_{(\pi)}^{n+k}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \quad (3.7)$$

for $k \geq 2$. Since $\mu_p \subset k(R_x^h)$, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R_x^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R_x^h), \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{(\pi)}^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \quad (3.8)$$

by (3.7). Hence we can define the homomorphism $\alpha_{(\pi)}^n$ by the composite of (3.4) and (3.5). Moreover $P_2^{1,n}(R)$ follows immediately.

(Step 2): Assume that we have the homomorphism

$$\alpha_x^n : H_{\bar{x}}^{n+j}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+j+1}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(j)} \cap \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$ and $j \leq i$ which satisfies Properties $P_1^{i,n}(R)$ and $P_2^{i,n}(R)$.

First we define the homomorphism α_x^n for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(i+1)} \cap \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$ in the case where $\dim(R) = i + 1$. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R .

Assume that $i = 1$. Suppose that R is henselian. Let U be the generic fiber of $\text{Spec}(R)$. Then the sequence

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(s)) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(s)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(1)}} H_x^{n+2}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(s)) \quad (3.9)$$

is exact for any integer $s \geq 0$ because $\dim(U) = 1$. Since

$$d_1^{1,n+1}(R, n-1) \circ d_1^{0,n+1}(R, n-1) = 0$$

and $\mu_p \subset U$, the sequence

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(k(R_{(\pi)}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xrightarrow{d_1^{1,n+1}(R, n-1)} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+3}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \quad (3.10)$$

is complex by (3.8) and (3.9). By [6, p.787, §5, (12)], we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\acute{e}t}^Z \simeq v_{\mathbb{Z}, r}^n[-n]$$

for an integer $r > 0$ and a smooth scheme Z over a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. By the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xleftarrow{(*)} & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(\kappa((\pi)), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xleftarrow{(**)} & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(R_{(\pi)}^h, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(k(R_{(\pi)}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \end{array}$$

is commutative where the homomorphisms $(*)$ and $(**)$ are isomorphic by [16, Theorem 5.6]. Then the composite of the lower sequence corresponds to the homomorphism $\alpha_{(\pi)}^n$ by the isomorphisms $\kappa((\pi)) = k(R/(\pi))$, (3.6) and (3.8). Hence we can define the homomorphism $\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}^n$ which satisfies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{(\pi)}^{n+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{d_1^{0,n+1}} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+2}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \alpha_{(\pi)}^n \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \\ H_{(\pi)}^{n+2}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) & \xrightarrow{d_1^{1,n+1}} & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+3}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \end{array} \quad (3.11)$$

because the sequence (3.10) is complex and the sequence

$$\mathrm{H}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{n+1}(k(R/(\pi)), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xrightarrow{d_1^{0,n+1}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+2}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact by [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1].

Suppose that R is not henselian and $\dim(R) = 2$. Since we have the commutative diagram (3.11) for the henselization R^h of a local ring R , we also have the commutative diagram (3.11) in the case where R is not henselian by Lemma 2.3, Property $\mathrm{P}_2^{1,n}(R)$ and [13, p.93, III, Corollary 1.28].

Assume that $i \geq 2$. Since the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(i-2)}} \mathrm{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+i-1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\xrightarrow{d_1^{i-2,n+1}} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ &\xrightarrow{d_1^{i-1,n+1}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact by [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1] and

$$d_1^{i,n+1}(R, n-1) \circ d_1^{i-1,n+1}(R, n-1) = 0,$$

we can define the homomorphism α_x^n which satisfies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\xrightarrow{d_1^{i-1,n+1}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow (\alpha_x^n) & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}^n \\ \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_x^{n+i+1}(R_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) &\xrightarrow{d_1^{i,n+1}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+2}(R_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) & \end{array} \quad (3.12)$$

by Property $\mathrm{P}_1^{i,n}(R)$. Hence we have the homomorphism

$$\alpha_x^n : \mathrm{H}_{\bar{x}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_x^{n+i+2}(R_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

for any $x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)^{(i+1)} \cap \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))$.

Next we show that Property $\mathrm{P}_1^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true. Let $f_x : \mathrm{Spec}(R_x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be the morphism which is induced by the localization of R at x and $f_{x,1} : \mathrm{Spec}(R_x/(\pi)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))$ be the base change of f_x . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{y \in \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_{\bar{y}}^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\xrightarrow{(f_{x,1})^{(i-1)}} \bigoplus_{y \in \mathrm{Spec}(R_x/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_{\bar{y}}^{n+i}((R_x/(\pi))_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ \downarrow (\alpha_y^n) & & \downarrow (\alpha_y^n) \\ \bigoplus_{y \in \mathrm{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_y^{n+i+1}(R_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) &\xrightarrow{(f_x)^{(i)}} \bigoplus_{y \in \mathrm{Spec}(R_x/(\pi))^{(i-1)}} \mathrm{H}_y^{n+i+1}((R_x)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \end{array}$$

is commutative by $\mathrm{P}_2^{i,n}(R)$. Hence $\mathrm{P}_1^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true by the commutative diagram (3.12), Lemma 2.3 and [13, p.93, III, Corollary 1.28].

Finally, we show Property $P_2^{i+1,n}(R)$. By the definition of $f_{(i+1)}$ and [13, p.93, III, Corollary 1.28], we can assume that $\dim(R) = \dim(R') = i + 1$. Let \mathfrak{m} (resp. \mathfrak{n}) be the maximal ideal of R (resp. R'). Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_x^n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \\
\downarrow (f_1)_{(i-1)} & & \downarrow f_{(i)} \\
\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R'/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i}((R'/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_x^n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R'/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(R'_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \\
\downarrow d_1^{i-1, n+1} & & \downarrow d_1^{i, n+1} \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{n+i+1}((R'/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{n}}^n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{n+i+2}(R'_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))
\end{array} \quad (3.13)$$

is commutative by Properties $P_2^{i,n}(R)$ and $P_1^{i+1,n}(R)$. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_x^n)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i+1}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \\
\downarrow d_1^{i-1, n+1} & & \downarrow d_1^{i, n+1} \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}^n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+2}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))
\end{array} \quad (3.14)$$

is commutative by Property $P_1^{i+1,n}(R)$. Since the homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R/\pi)^{(i-1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+i}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xrightarrow{d_1^{i-1, n+1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is surjective by [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1], the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}^n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+2}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \\
(f_1)_{(i)} \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{(i+1)} \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{n+i+1}((R'/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{n}}^n} & \mathbf{H}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{n+i+2}(R'_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))
\end{array}$$

is commutative by Lemma 2.3 and the commutative diagrams (3.13) and (3.14). Hence Property $P_2^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true. This completes the proof. \square

DEFINITION 3.3. Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and $\mu_p \subset A$. Let X be a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$ and U the generic fiber of X . Fix $1 \neq \zeta \in \mu_p$. Then we have a non-canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mu_p; \bar{1} \mapsto \zeta \quad (3.15)$$

and there is a map

$$\beta_{\zeta}^0 : \mathbb{Z}/p \simeq \mathfrak{I}_1(0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}_1(1)$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{T}_1(0) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq 0}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{T}_1(1) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mu_p \end{array}$$

is commutative where the right map is the composite of the map

$$\tau_{\leq 0}Rj_*\mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 0}Rj_*\mu_p$$

which is induced by the map (3.15) and the canonical map

$$\tau_{\leq 0}Rj_*\mu_p \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1}Rj_*\mu_p.$$

Moreover, we define the map

$$\beta_\zeta^n : \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_1(n+1)$$

which is the composite of the map

$$\mathfrak{T}_1(n) \simeq \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_1(0) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_1(1)$$

which is induced by the map β_ζ^0 and the cup structure

$$\cup : \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_1(1) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}_1(n+1)$$

(cf. [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.6]).

LEMMA 3.4. Let the notations be same as in Definition 3.3. Then the homomorphism $j^*(\beta_\zeta^n)$ corresponds to the homomorphism

$$\mu_p^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)}; \quad \zeta^{\otimes n} \mapsto \zeta^{\otimes(n+1)} \quad (3.16)$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{T}_1(n) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq n}Rj_*\mu_p^{\otimes n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{T}_1(n+1) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq n+1}Rj_*\mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)} \end{array} \quad (3.17)$$

is commutative where the right map is the composite of the map

$$\tau_{\leq n}Rj_*\mu_p^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n}Rj_*\mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)}$$

which is induced by (3.16) and the canonical map

$$\tau_{\leq n}Rj_*\mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n+1}Rj_*\mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)}.$$

Proof. By [18, The proof of Proposition 4.2.6], we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_1(0) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \otimes \mathfrak{T}_1(1) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathfrak{T}_1(n+1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n} \otimes \tau_{\leq 0} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n} \otimes \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mu_p & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n} \otimes \tau_{\leq 0} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n} \otimes \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mu_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n} & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)} \end{array}$$

where the lower map is induced by (3.16). So the diagram (3.17) is commutative. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$j^*(\tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \simeq \mu_p^{\otimes n}$$

and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j^*(\mathfrak{T}_1(n)) & \longrightarrow & j^*(\tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ j^*(\mathfrak{T}_1(n+1)) & \longrightarrow & j^*(\tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. Hence the homomorphism $j^*(\beta_\zeta^n)$ corresponds to the homomorphism (3.16). This completes the proof. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 (i)

Let $\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}}$ be the Bloch's cycle complex for étale topology and $\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{ét}} = \mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ for a positive integer m . In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 (i) (cf. Theorem 4.3).

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let X be a smooth scheme over a discrete valuation ring A of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, π a prime element of A and R the henselization of X at a point of $X \otimes_A A/(\pi)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R which is generated by π , Z the closed fiber of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and $U = \text{Spec}(R) \setminus Z$. Let μ_p be the sheaf of p -th roots of unity. Suppose that $\dim(R) = 2$ and $\mu_p \subset A$. Then the sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+3}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(k(\tilde{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+4}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact.

Proof. We have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_Z^s(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\rightarrow H_p^s(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_m^{s+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ &\rightarrow H_Z^{s+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

(cf. [13, p.92, III, Remark 1.26]). By [18, p.540, Theorem 4.4.7] and [19, p.187, Remark 3.7], the homomorphism

$$H_p^{n+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_m^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (4.2)$$

corresponds to the residue map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n-1}(k(R/\mathfrak{p}), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n-2}(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-2)).$$

Hence the homomorphism (4.2) is surjective and so the homomorphism

$$H_Z^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_p^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (4.3)$$

is injective by the exact sequence (4.1). Moreover, the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R', \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R'), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (4.4)$$

is injective for a local ring of a smooth algebra R' over A by [16, Proposition 3.4] and [16, Theorem 4.2]. Hence the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(U), \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is injective because we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_Z^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_p^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \end{array}$$

where the sequences are exact. So the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in U^{(1)}} H_x^{n+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is exact by (3.9). Hence the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mu_p^{\otimes s}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^h), \mu_p^{\otimes s}) \quad (4.5)$$

is injective for $s = n$ by [16, Proposition 6.1]. Here $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^h$ is the henselization of the local ring of R at \mathfrak{p} . Since $\mu_p \subset U$, the homomorphism (4.5) is also injective for any positive integer s . Since we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = H_Z^{n+k+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = H_p^{n+k+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (4.6)$$

for $k \geq 2$ by Lemma 3.1, the homomorphism

$$H_Z^{n+k+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_p^{n+k+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (4.7)$$

is injective for $k \geq 2$ by the injectivity of (4.5). By the injectivity of the homomorphisms (4.3) and (4.7), the statement follows from (4.1) and (4.6). \square

COROLLARY 4.2. Let the notations be same as above. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^{n+2}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_m^{n+3}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow H_m^{n+3}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. We consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(\kappa(\mathfrak{p}), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_m^{n+2}((R/\mathfrak{p})_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^{n+1}(k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) & \longrightarrow & H_m^{n+3}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+2}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n+2}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & H_m^{n+3}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

where $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^h$ is the henselization of the local ring of R at \mathfrak{p} . Then the rows are exact by [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1] and Proposition 4.1. Moreover, the columns except the right column are exact by [16, Theorem 5.6], the injectivity of (4.4) and Lemma 3.1. Hence the statement follows from the 9-lemma. \square

THEOREM 4.3. Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and π a prime element of A . Let X be a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$ and $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ the henselization of the local ring of X at $x \in X$. Let μ_p be the sheaf of p -th roots of unity. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$.

Then the following Properties $P_m^{i,n}(R)$ ($3 \leq m \leq 5$) are true for any positive integers i and n :

- Property $P_3^{i,n}(R)$: Let $j \leq i$. Then the map

$$H_x^{n+1+j}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-k)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+1+j}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

is an isomorphism for $x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-1)}$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$ where the map is induced by $\beta_{\zeta}^{n-2} \circ \dots \circ \beta_{\zeta}^{n-k}$ and $1 \neq \zeta \in \mu_p$.

- Property $P_4^{i,n}(R)$: Let $j \leq i$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_x^{n+j}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\zeta}^n} H_x^{n+j}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow H_x^{n+j}(R_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $x \in \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))^{(j-1)}$. Here the second map is induced by $\beta_{\zeta}^{(n-1)}$.

- Property $P_5^{i,n}(R)$: Let $1 - r \leq j \leq i - r$ and $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(j)}$. Then we have

$$H^j(C^{r+1,n-r}(R)^\bullet) = 0$$

for $r = 0, 1$, i.e., the Cousin complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(j-1)}} H_x^{n+j}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-r)) & \xrightarrow{d_1^{j-1,n+1}(R,n-r)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(j)}} H_x^{n+j+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-r)) \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1^{j,n+1}(R,n-r)} & \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(j+1)}} H_x^{n+j+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-r)) \end{array}$$

is exact for $r = 0, 1$.

Here $C^{r+1,n-r}(R)^\bullet$ (resp. $d_1^{j,n+1}(R,n-r)$) denotes $C_p^{r+1,n-r}(\text{Spec}(R))^\bullet$ (resp. $d_1(\text{Spec}(R), n-r)$) (cf. Definition 2.2).

Proof. Since the motivic Bloch-Kato conjecture holds (cf. [21]), we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X \simeq \mathfrak{T}_r(n)^X$$

for a smooth scheme X over a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and a positive integer r (cf. [18, p.524, Conjecture 1.4.1]). Here $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ is the p -adic Tate twist (cf. [18, p.537, Definition 4.2.4]). We prove the statement by induction on i .

(Step 1): Assume that $i = 1$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R_{(\pi)}^h, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R_{(\pi)}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{(\pi)}^{n+2}(R_{(\pi)}^h, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact by the injectivity of the homomorphism (4.4) and Lemma 3.1. Here $R_{(\pi)}^h$ is the henselization of the local ring $R_{(\pi)}$. Hence Properties $P_3^{1,n}$ and $P_4^{1,n}$ follow from (3.6), (3.7) and [16, Theorem 5.6].

Next we prove Property $P_5^{1,n}$. We consider the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{s,t}(R, n) = \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(s)}} H_x^{s+t}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \Rightarrow E^{s+t}(R, n) = H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (4.8)$$

(cf. Theorem 2.1). Then we have morphisms

$$d_r^{s,t}(R, n) : E_r^{s,t}(R, n) \rightarrow E_r^{s+r,t-r+1}(R, n)$$

and

$$E_{r+1}^{s,t}(R, n) = \frac{\ker(d_r^{s,t}(R, n))}{\text{im}(d_r^{s-r,t+r-1}(R, n))}$$

for $r \geq 1$ by the definition of the spectral sequence. By the cohomological purity (cf. [13, p.241, VI, Theorem 5.1]), we have

$$H_x^s(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = H_{\text{ét}}^{s-2}(\kappa(x), \mu_p^{\otimes(n-1)})$$

for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)} \setminus \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_x^{n+k+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(k(R_x^h), \mu_p^{\otimes n})$$

for $x = (\pi)$ and $k \geq 2$. Hence the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+k}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+k+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is injective for $k \geq 2$ by [16, Proposition 6.1]. Hence we have

$$E_2^{0,t}(R, n) = 0 \tag{4.9}$$

for $t > n + 1$. So we have

$$\mathbf{H}^0(C^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) = E_2^{0,n+1}(R, n-1) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$E_\infty^{1,n+1}(R, n) = 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$E_2^{s,t}(R, n) = 0$$

for $s > 0$ and $t \leq n$ by [6, p.786, Corollary 4.5] and [18, p.540, Theorem 4.4.7]. Hence we have

$$E_2^{1,n+1}(R, n) = E_\infty^{1,n+1}(R, n) = 0.$$

Therefore Property $\mathbf{P}_5^{1,n}(R, n)$ is true.

(Step 2): Let n be any integer and X a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$. Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h$ be the henselization of the local ring of X at $x \in X$. Suppose that Properties $\mathbf{P}_m^{i,n}(R)$ ($3 \leq m \leq 5$) are true for i .

First we show Property $\mathbf{P}_3^{i+1,n}(R)$. By [13, p.93, III, corollary 1.28], we can assume that $\dim(R) = i + 1$. We consider the spectral sequence (4.8). Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$E_1^{s,t}(R, n-k) = E_1^{s,t}(R, n-1)$$

for $s \leq i$ and $t \geq n + 1$ by Property $\mathbf{P}_3^{i,n}(R)$. Moreover, we have

$$E^{n+1}(R, n-k) = E^{n+1}(R, n-1) = 0$$

by Lemma 3.1. So it suffices to show that

$$E_2^{s,t}(R, n-k) = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

for $0 \leq s \leq i + 1$ and $t = n + 1$. By Properties $\mathbf{P}_3^{i,n}(R)$ and $\mathbf{P}_5^{i,n}(R)$, we have the equation (4.10) for $0 \leq s \leq i - 1$ and $t = n + 1$. Hence it suffices to show the equation (4.10) for $(s, t) = (i, n + 1), (i + 1, n + 1)$.

Since $\dim(R) = i + 1$, we have the equation (4.10) for $s > i + 1$. By Properties $\mathbf{P}_3^{i,n}(R)$ and $\mathbf{P}_5^{i,n}(R)$, we have the equation (4.10) for $0 < s \leq i - 1$ and $t \geq n + 1$. By

(4.9) (or Property $P_5^{1,n}(R)$), we have the equation (4.10) for $s = 0$ and $t \geq n + 1$. Hence we have

$$E_\infty^{s,n+1}(R, n-k) = E_2^{s,n+1}(R, n-k) \quad (4.11)$$

for $s = i, i + 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$E_\infty^{s,n+1}(R, n-k) = E^{n+s+1}(R, n-k) = 0 \quad (4.12)$$

for $s \geq 1$. Therefore we have (4.10) for $(s, t) = (i, n + 1), (i + 1, n + 1)$ by (4.11) and (4.12). Hence Property $P_3^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true.

By the same argument as above, we have

$$E_2^{s,n+1}(R, n) = 0$$

for $1 \leq s \leq i + 1$. Hence we have

$$E_2^{s,n+1}(R, n-r) = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

for $r = 0, 1$ and $1 - r \leq s \leq i + 1$ in the case where $\dim(R) = i + 1$.

Next we show that $P_4^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true. $P_4^{2,n}(R)$ is true by Corollary 4.2. In order to prove that $P_4^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true for $i \geq 2$, we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
& & & & 0 & & & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & & & E_1^{i-2,n+1}(R/(\pi), n) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i-1,n+1}(R/(\pi), n) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i,n+1}(R/(\pi), n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow (\alpha_x) & & \downarrow (\alpha_x) & & \downarrow (\alpha_x) & & \\
E_1^{i-2,n+1}(R, n-1) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i-1,n+1}(R, n-1) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i,n+1}(R, n-1) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i+1,n+1}(R, n-1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
E_1^{i-2,n+1}(R, n) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i-1,n+1}(R, n) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i,n+1}(R, n) & \longrightarrow & E_1^{i+1,n+1}(R, n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

where the rows are induced by $C^{1,n}(R/(\pi))^\bullet$, $C^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet$ and $C^{1,n}(R)^\bullet$. Since we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\acute{e}t}^Z \simeq v_{Z,r}^n[-n]$$

for an integer $r > 0$ and a smooth scheme Z over a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$ by [6, p.787, §5, (12)], the rows and the columns except the rightmost column are exact for $i \geq 2$ by Property $P_4^{i,n}(R)$, (4.13) and [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1]. Hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{n+i+1}((R/(\pi))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}} E_1^{i+1,n+1}(R, n-1) \rightarrow E_1^{i+1,n+1}(R, n) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for $i \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. Here \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of R . Therefore Property $P_4^{i+1,n}(R)$ is true.

Finally, we prove Property $P_5^{i+1,n}(R)$. We consider the spectral sequence (4.8). By Properties $P_5^{i,n}$, $P_3^{i+1,n}$ and (4.9), we have

$$E_2^{s,t}(R,n) = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

for $s \leq i-1$ and $t \geq n+2$. Let $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ be the canonical map of sites and ε_* the sheafification functor. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n} R\varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{Zar}}$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2] and [21]. Moreover we have an isomorphism

$$H_x^{i+i}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq H_{\text{ét}}^{i-i}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-i))$$

for $t \leq n$ by [13, p.241, VI, Theorem 5.1], [18, p.540, Theorem 4.4.7] and [19, p.187, Remark 3.7]. So we have the equation (4.14) for $s > 0$ and $t \leq n$ by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.5]. Hence we have

$$E_{\infty}^{i+1,n+1}(R,n) = E_2^{i+1,n+1}(R,n)$$

by (4.14). So we have

$$E_2^{i+1,n+1}(R,n) = H^{i+1}(C^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

by Lemma 3.1. Next we prove

$$H^i(C^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

In order to prove (4.16), we define $C_{(j)}^{q,n}(R)^\bullet$ as follows:

$$C_{(j)}^{q,n}(R)^\bullet = \begin{cases} C^{q,n}(R)^\bullet & (s \leq j) \\ 0 & (s > j). \end{cases}$$

Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{(i+1)}^{1,n}(R/(\pi))^\bullet[-1] \rightarrow C_{(i+1)}^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet \rightarrow C_{(i+1)}^{1,n}(R)^\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

is exact by Property $P_4^{i+1,n}(R)$. Hence the sequence

$$H^{i-1}(C_{(i+1)}^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(C_{(i+1)}^{1,n}(R/(\pi))^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(C_{(i+1)}^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(C_{(i+1)}^{1,n}(R)^\bullet)$$

is exact and so the sequence

$$H^{i-1}(C^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(C^{1,n}(R/(\pi))^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(C^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(C^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) \quad (4.17)$$

is exact. Then we have

$$H^j(C^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) = \begin{cases} H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & (j=0) \\ 0 & (0 < j \leq i) \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

by Property $P_5^{i,n}(R)$. Moreover, we have

$$H^j(C^{1,n}(R/(\pi))^\bullet) = \begin{cases} H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & (j=0) \\ 0 & (0 < j \leq i) \end{cases} \quad (4.19)$$

by [20, p.300, Theorem 4.1]. Hence we have the equation (4.16) for $i > 1$ by (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19). For the same reason as above, the sequence

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H^1(C^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. So we have the equation (4.16) for $i = 1$ by [16, Theorem 5.6]. Therefore Property $P_5^{i+1,n}$ is true. This completes the proof. \square

5 Kato homology

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 (ii) (cf. Theorem 5.10).

Let A be a regular local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^i = \Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{Z}}^i$ be the exterior algebra over $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}$ of the sheaf $\Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)/\mathbb{Z}}^1$ of absolute differentials on $\text{Spec}(A)$ and define the subsheaf \mathfrak{B}^i of $\Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^i$ by

$$\mathfrak{B}^i = \text{Im} \left(d : \Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^{i-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^i \right).$$

Then we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^0(A, \Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^i / \mathfrak{B}^i) = \Omega_A^i / B_A^i$$

by [16, Lemma 5.2]. Here B_A^i denotes $\mathfrak{B}^i(\text{Spec}(A))$. So we have a surjective homomorphism

$$\delta_i : \Omega_A^i / B_A^i \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, v_1^i)$$

by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow v_1^i \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{Spec}(A)}^i / \mathfrak{B}^i \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. [20, p.576, Proposition 2.8]). Then we have the following:

LEMMA 5.1. Let A be a regular local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes (A^*)^{\otimes i} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} & \Omega_A^i / B_A^i \\ \delta_0 \otimes \psi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_i \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^0(A, v_1^i) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, v_1^i) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here we write the homomorphisms

$$\gamma_i : A \otimes (A^*)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow \Omega_A^i / B_A^i; \quad \gamma_i(x \otimes y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_i) = x \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dy_i}{y_i} \text{ mod } B_A^i$$

and

$$\psi_i : (A^*)^{\otimes i} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(A, v_1^i); \quad \psi_i(y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_i) = \frac{dy_1}{y_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dy_i}{y_i}.$$

Proof. Since the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(A, v_1^i) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(k(A), v_1^i)$$

is injective, the proof of the statement is reduced to the case where A is a field.

Suppose that A is a field. By [13, p.53, II, Theorem 1.9], étale cohomology of a field coincides with Galois cohomology. Hence the statement follows from the definition of δ_i (cf. [14, p.27, I, (1.3.2) Theorem]) and the definition of the cup product (cf. [14, p.37, I, §4]). \square

Let \mathfrak{X} be a semi-stable family over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and $i : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ the inclusion of the closed fiber. Let $r > 0$ be an integer. Let $\lambda_{Y,r}^n$ be the sheaf on $Y_{\text{ét}}$ which is defined in [17, p.726, Definition 3.1.1], i.e.,

$$\lambda_{Y,r}^n = \text{Im} \left((\mathbb{G}_{m,Z})^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(0)}} (i_y)_* v_{\mathbb{K}(y),r}^n \right)$$

and $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ be the p -adic Tate twist (cf. [18, p.537, Definition 4.2.4]). By [18, p.537, (4.2.5) in Definition 4.2.4] and [18, p.533, Theorem 3.4.2], we define the homomorphism

$$i^* : i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n) \rightarrow \lambda_r^n[-n] \quad (5.1)$$

as the composite map of étale sheaves

$$i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n) \rightarrow i^* \tau_{\geq n} \mathfrak{T}_r(n) \simeq \mathcal{H}^n(i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n))[-n] \simeq FM_r^n[-n] \rightarrow \lambda_r^n[-n]$$

where the last map sends a symbol $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ to $\frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$. Here $j : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is the inclusion of the generic fiber and FM_r^n is the étale subsheaf of $i^* R^n j_* \mu_{p^r}$ which is defined in [18, §3.4]. Then we have the following:

LEMMA 5.2. Let the notations be same as above. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n) \otimes i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n') & \xrightarrow{\cup} & i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n+n') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \lambda_r^n[-n] \otimes \lambda_r^{n'}[-n'] & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \lambda_r^{n+n'}[-(n+n')] \end{array}$$

is commutative. Here the upper map is the cup product which is defined in [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.6].

Proof. By the definition of $i^* : i^* \mathfrak{T}_r(n) \rightarrow \lambda_r^n[-n]$, the statement follows immediately. \square

LEMMA 5.3. Let R be a local ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Let $a \in R$ and $P(X)$ be a monic polynomial $X^p - X - a \in R[X]$. Then we have

$$(P, P') = R[X]$$

where $P'(X)$ is the formal derivative of $P(X)$.

Proof. We have

$$pP - XP' = (1-p)X - pa \text{ and } X - p(1-p)^{-1}a \in (P, P').$$

Put $b = p(1-p)^{-1}a \in R$. Then

$$pb^{p-1} - 1 \in (X - b, P') \text{ and } pb^{p-1} - 1 \in (P, P').$$

Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R . Since R is local and $p \in \mathfrak{m}$,

$$pb^{p-1} - 1 \notin \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } pb^{p-1} - 1 \in R^*.$$

This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 5.4. Let R be a regular local ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R with $p \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is surjective.

Proof. By [13, p.103, III, Lemma 2.15] and [13, p.114, III, Remark 3.8], we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$$

for $i \geq 1$. So an element of $H_{\text{ét}}^1(R/\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ corresponds to $(R/\mathfrak{p})[X]/(X^p - X - c)$ for $c \in R/\mathfrak{p}$ by the Artin-Schreier theory (cf. [13, p.67]). Hence the statement follows from Lemma 5.3. \square

Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, X a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$ and $i : Y \rightarrow X$ the inclusion of the closed fiber. Then we define the morphism

$$i^* : \mathfrak{T}_1(n) \rightarrow i_* v_1^n[-n] \quad (5.2)$$

as the right adjoint of the homomorphism (5.1) for $r = 1$. Then we have the following:

PROPOSITION 5.5. Let R be a local ring of a smooth algebra over a discrete valuation ring A of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Let π be a prime element of A and $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$. Then the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{i+1}(R, \mathfrak{T}_1(i)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^i) \quad (5.3)$$

is surjective where the homomorphism (5.3) is induced by the morphism (5.2).

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, \mathfrak{T}_1(0)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathfrak{T}_1(i)) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^{i+1}(R, \mathfrak{T}_1(i)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ét}}^1(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^0) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^0(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^i) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^1(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^i) \end{array}$$

by Lemma 5.2. Moreover, the lower map is surjective by [3, p.122, Lemma (4.2)] and Lemma 5.1. So it suffices to show that the left map is surjective. Since the homomorphism

$$\overbrace{H_{\text{ét}}^0(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^0(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^1)}^{i\text{-times}} \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(R/\mathfrak{p}, v_1^i)$$

and the homomorphism

$$R^* \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{p})^*$$

are surjective, the homomorphism (5.3) is also surjective. Therefore the statement follows from Lemma 5.4. \square

PROPOSITION 5.6. Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, k the residue field of A and $[k : k^p] \leq p^s$. Let \mathfrak{X} be a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$ and $i : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ the inclusion of the closed fiber.

Suppose that $n = \dim(\mathfrak{X}) + s$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$Ri^! \mathfrak{T}_r(n) \simeq v_r^{n-1}[-(n-2)]$$

for $r \geq 1$.

Proof. By the definition of $\mathfrak{T}_r(n)$ (cf. [18, pp.522–523, Lemma 1.3.1]), it suffices to show that

$$\tau_{\geq n+1} Rj_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} = 0. \quad (5.4)$$

So it suffices to show that

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}^{\text{sh}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}} U, \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n}) = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

for $i \geq n+1$ by [13, p.88, III, Theorem 1.15]. Here $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}^{\text{sh}}$ is the strictly henselization of a local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}$.

Let x' be an inverse image of x under the morphism $\mathfrak{X} \times_A A^{\text{sh}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}^{\text{sh}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X} \times_A A^{\text{sh}},x'}^{\text{sh}}.$$

Let k_s be the separable closure of k . Since $k \rightarrow k_s$ is étale, we have

$$[k_s : k_s^p] = [k : k^p] \leq p^s$$

by the first fundamental exact sequence ([11, p.193, Theorem 25.1]) and [11, p.202, Theorem 26.5]. So it suffices to show the equation (5.5) in the case where k is a separable closed field.

Suppose that $k = k_s$. By [11, pp.118–119, Theorem 15.5] and [11, p.119, Theorem 15.6], we have

$$\text{trdeg}_k \kappa(x) = \dim(Y) - \dim(\mathcal{O}_{Y,x})$$

where $\text{trdeg}_k \kappa(x)$ is the transcendence degree of $\kappa(x)$ over k . So we have

$$\text{rank}_{\kappa(x)} \Omega_{\kappa(x)/\mathbb{Z}} \leq s + \dim(Y) - \dim(\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}) \quad (5.6)$$

by [5, p.57, Corollaire 2.3.2] and [11, p.202, Theorem 26.5]. Since $\kappa(x) \rightarrow \kappa(x)_s$ is étale, we have

$$\text{rank}_{\kappa(x)_s} \Omega_{\kappa(x)_s/\mathbb{Z}} = \text{rank}_{\kappa(x)} \Omega_{\kappa(x)/\mathbb{Z}}$$

by the first fundamental exact sequence. Hence we have

$$\text{cd}_p(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},x}^{sh} \times U) \leq s + \dim(\mathfrak{X}) = n$$

by [5, p.71, Théorème 6.1]. This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 5.7. Let X be an essentially smooth scheme over the spectrum of a Dedekind domain. Let $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ be the canonical map of sites and ε_* the forgetful functor. Then we have

$$\Gamma(X, \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{\text{ét}})_m = \Gamma(X, \mathbf{R}^n \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)_{\text{ét}}) \quad (5.7)$$

for any integer $m > 0$. If

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{Zar}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)) = 0$$

for $i \geq n$, then we have

$$\Gamma(X, \mathbf{R}^n \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)_{\text{ét}}) = \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)). \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. By [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2] and [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4], we have the isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{R}^n \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{\text{Zar}}) = 0.$$

Hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{\times m} \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}(n-1)_{\text{ét}}$$

is exact and we have the equation (5.7). If

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{Zar}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)) = 0$$

for $i \geq n$, then we have the equation (5.8) by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. This completes the proof. \square

Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and π a prime element of A . Let X be a smooth scheme over $\text{Spec}(A)$, $j : U \rightarrow X$ the generic fiber of X and $i : Y \rightarrow X$ the closed fiber of X . Then we define the following homomorphisms:

DEFINITION 5.8. Let the notations be same as above. Then we define the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(X, \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1)) \quad (5.9)$$

by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(X, \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1)) \\ \downarrow a & \longrightarrow & \downarrow \bar{\pi} \cup \bar{a} \end{array}$$

where

$$\bar{\pi} = \text{Im} \left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(U, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p(1)) \right) (\pi)$$

and

$$\bar{a} = \text{Im} \left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(X, \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \right) (a).$$

Moreover, we define the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(X, \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (5.10)$$

which is induced by the map

$$\tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow i_*(\mathbf{v}_1^n[-n-1])$$

(cf. [18, p.537, (4.2.1)]).

Then we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 5.9. Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ and k the residue field of A . Let $i : \text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ be the closed immersion and $j : \text{Spec}(k(A)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ the open immersion.

Then the composite of (5.9) and (5.10) equals the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (5.11)$$

which is induced by the morphism (5.2).

Proof. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of $\text{Spec}(A)$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ a geometric point of $\text{Spec}(A)$ such that $\kappa(\bar{\mathfrak{m}})$ is the separable closure of $k = \kappa(\mathfrak{m})$. Let $(i^* R^n j_* \mu_p^{\otimes n})_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ be the stalks of $i^* R^n j_* \mu_p^{\otimes n}$ at $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$. By [13, p.88, III, Theorem 1.15], we have an isomorphism

$$(i^* R^n j_* \mu_p^{\otimes n})_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mu_p^{\otimes n})$$

where $K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is the maximal unramified extension of $k(A)$. We define the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, i^* \mathcal{H}^n(\mathfrak{T}_1(n))) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, i^* R^{n+1} j_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)}) \quad (5.12)$$

which is induced by the homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}^n(\mathfrak{T}_1(n))_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} & \longrightarrow & (R^{n+1} j_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)})_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ b & \longrightarrow & \pi_2 \cup b_2 \end{array}$$

where

$$\pi_2 = \text{Im} \left(\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mu_p) \right) (\pi)$$

and

$$b_2 = \text{Im} \left(\mathcal{H}^n(\mathfrak{T}_1(n))_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(K_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}}, \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \right) (b).$$

By the property of the cup product, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(A, \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(A, \tau_{\leq 1} Rj_* \mu_p) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(A, \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, i^* R^n j_* \mu_p^{\otimes n}) \otimes \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^0(k, i^* R^1 j_* \mu_p) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, i^* R^{n+1} j_* \mu_p^{\otimes(n+1)})
\end{array}$$

is commutative. So we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) & \xrightarrow{(5.9)} & \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(A, \tau_{\leq n+1} Rj_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, i^* \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}/p(n))) & \xrightarrow{(5.12)} & \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, i^* R^{n+1} j_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n+1))
\end{array}$$

by the definition of the cup product (cf. [14, p.36, I, §4]). Hence the statement follows. \square

THEOREM 5.10. Let A be a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, k the residue field of A and $[k : k^p] = p^s$. Let \mathfrak{X} be a smooth scheme over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$, R a local ring of \mathfrak{X} at a point x and $n = \dim(\mathfrak{X}) + s$. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. Then the sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) &\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)^{(1)}} \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)) \\
&\rightarrow \dots
\end{aligned}$$

is exact for any positive integer r in the following cases:

- (i) k is finite field and $p \neq 2$.
- (ii) A is henselian.

Proof. Let U be the generic fiber of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^i(U, \mathbb{Z}(n)) = \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^i(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = 0$$

for $i \geq n+1$ by [6, p.779, Theorem 3.2] and [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4]. So the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (5.13)$$

is injective by [16, Theorem 4.6] and Lemma 5.7. Since the homomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(\kappa((\pi)), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

is injective, the composite of maps

$$\mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^n(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

which is induced by the morphism (5.9) and

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \quad (5.14)$$

equals the natural map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1))$$

by (5.4) and Lemma 5.9. So the homomorphism (5.14) is surjective by Proposition 5.5. Hence the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(U, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (5.15)$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geq n+2$ by Proposition 5.6 and [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1]. Since $\mu_p \subset A$, the homomorphism

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (5.16)$$

is injective for $i \geq n+2$ by (5.15) and (5.13). In the case (i), $\text{cd}_p(k(A)) = 2$. In the case (ii), $\text{cd}_p(k(A)) \leq s+2$ by [5, p.48, Théorème 1.2]. So we have

$$\text{cd}_p(k(R)) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{X}) + s + 1 = n + 1 \quad (5.17)$$

by [14, p.367, (6.5.14) Theorem]. Hence we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = 0$$

for $i \geq n+2$ by the equation (5.17) and the injectivity of (5.16). Since the sequence

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}(n)) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

is exact, we have the equation

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(R, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = 0 \quad (5.18)$$

for $i \geq n+2$ and $r \geq 1$. Let Y be the closed fiber of \mathfrak{X} and k_s the separable closure of k . Since the composite of $k(Y)$ and k_s is étale over $k(Y)$, we have

$$\text{rank}_{k(Y)} \Omega_{k(Y)/\mathbb{Z}} \leq s + \dim(Y) = n - 1$$

by (5.6). So we have

$$H_x^i(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = H_{\text{ét}}^{i-2c}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-c)) = 0 \quad (5.19)$$

for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(c)} \cap Y$ and $i \geq n+c+2$ by Proposition 5.6 and [20, p.583, Theorem 3.2]. In the case where $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(c)} \setminus Y$ and $i \geq n+c+2$, we have

$$\text{trdeg}_{k(A)} \kappa(x) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{X}) - c - 1$$

by [11, pp.118–119, Theorem 15.5] and [11, p.119, Theorem 15.6]. So we have also the equation (5.19) for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(c)} \setminus Y$ and $i \geq n + c + 2$ by [13, p.241, VI, Theorem 5.1] and [14, p.367, (6.5.14) Theorem]. Consider the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{s,t} = \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(s)}} H_x^{s+t}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \Rightarrow E^{s+t} = H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

(cf. Theorem 2.1). Then we have the equation

$$E_2^{s,t} = 0 \tag{5.20}$$

for $t \geq n + 2$ by the equation (5.19). Moreover, we have the equation (5.20) for $t \leq n$ and $s > 0$ by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.5]. Hence we have

$$E_2^{s,n+1} = 0$$

for $s > 0$ by the equations (5.18) and (5.20). This completes the proof. \square

6 The mod p étale motivic cohomology in high degrees

In this section, we show relations between the generalized Brauer group and the mod p étale motivic cohomology in high degrees.

PROPOSITION 6.1. Let A be a discrete valuation ring and π the maximal ideal of A . Let R be a local ring of a smooth algebra over A with $\text{char}(R) = (0, p)$. Then we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), i^* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$H_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), i^* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = 0 \tag{6.2}$$

for $s \geq n + 2$ and any integer $r > 0$ where $i : \text{Spec}(R/(\pi)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is the inclusion of the closed fiber.

Proof. Assume that $r = 1$. We have already proved (6.1) in the proof of [16, Theorem 5.6] (cf. [16, (5.6)]). Since $\text{cd}_p(R/(\pi)) \leq 1$ by [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1], we do not need to assume that R is henselian in the proof of [16, (5.6)]. Moreover, we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \tau_{\leq n} i^* Rj_* \mu_p) = H_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \oplus H_{\text{ét}}^n(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \tag{6.3}$$

by [16, (5.9)]. Here $j : \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A k(A)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is the inclusion of the generic fiber. Since $\text{cd}_p(R/(\pi)) \leq 1$ by [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1], we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = 0 \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$H_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), \tau_{\leq n} i^* Rj_* \mu_p) = 0 \tag{6.5}$$

for $s \geq n + 2$ by the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_{\text{ét}}^s(R/(\pi), \mathcal{H}^t(\tau_{\leq n} i^* Rj_* \mu_p)) \Rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(R/(\pi), \tau_{\leq n} i^* Rj_* \mu_p).$$

If $s = n + 2$, the equation (6.2) follows from (6.3) and (6.5). If $s > n + 2$, the equation (6.2) follows from (6.4) and (6.5). Hence the statement holds for $r = 1$.

By the induction on r , the statement follows from the snake lemma. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 6.2. Let the notations R, A, π be same as above. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset R$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0$$

and an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-k))$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. Let $i : \text{Spec}(R/(\pi)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ be the inclusion of the closed fiber and $j : \text{Spec}(R \otimes_A k(A)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ the inclusion of the generic fiber. Since $j_!$ is exact (cf. [13, p.76, II, Proposition 3.14 (b)]), we have an isomorphism

$$j_!(\mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}}) \simeq j_!\mu_p^{\otimes n}$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.4]. So we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow j_!\mu_p^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow i_*i^*(\mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

by [13, pp.75–76, II, Remark 3.13]. Hence we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, j_!\mu_p^{\otimes n}) &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(R, j_!\mu_p^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R, j_!\mu_p^{\otimes n}) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

for $s \geq n + 3$ by Proposition 6.1. Since $\mu_p \subset R$, the statement follows from Proposition 5.5. \square

LEMMA 6.3. Let X be a smooth scheme over the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring A of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. We consider the Cousin complex $C_m^{q,n}(X)^\bullet$ (cf. §2). Then we have the followings:

(i) Let m be any positive integer. Then we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^j(X, R^s \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) = \mathbf{H}^j(C_m^{s-n,n}(X)^\bullet)$$

for $j \geq 0$ and $s \leq n$ where $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ is the canonical map of sites and ε_* is the forgetful functor.

(ii) If $\mu_p \subset A$, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^j(X, R^s \alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = \mathbf{H}^j(\mathbf{C}_p^{s-n, n}(X)^\bullet)$$

for $j \geq 0$ and $s > n$ where $\alpha : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Nis}}$ is the canonical map of sites and α_* is the forgetful functor.

Proof. First we show (i). By [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.1], we have

$$\mathbf{H}_x^{s+j}(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{s-j}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/m(n-j))$$

for $x \in X^{(j)}$. So we have a flabby resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R^s \varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} (i_x)_* \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} (i_x)_* \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{s-1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)) \rightarrow \dots$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2 and Theorem 1.2.5]. Hence (i) follows.

Next we show (ii). Since the Nisnevich cohomological dimension of a field is 0 by [15, pp.279–280, 1.32. Theorem], a presheaf

$$(i_x)_* R^t (i'_x)^! (R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is a flabby sheaf for any integer $t \geq 0$ by [6, p.89, III, Lemma 1.19]. Here we write i'_x for the canonical map $\text{Spec}(\kappa(x)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. So we have a flabby resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R^s \alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(0)}} (i_x)_* R^s (i'_x)^! (R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} (i_x)_* R^{s+1} (i'_x)^! (R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \dots$$

by Theorem 4.3. Hence (ii) follows. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 6.4. Let R be a local ring of a smooth algebra over a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset R$.

If the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

is injective for $r \geq 1$, then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(0)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+r}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+r+1}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact for $r \geq 1$.

Proof. By [16, Theorem 4.6], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+r+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r))$$

is exact. Moreover, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(\kappa(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{(\pi)}^{n+r+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{(\pi)}^{n+r+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r))$$

is exact for $1 \leq r \leq n$ by Theorem 4.3. Since $\mu_p \subset R$, we have isomorphisms

$$\mu_p^{\otimes n} \simeq \mu_p^{\otimes(n+r)}$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_x^{n+r+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_x^{n+r+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r))$$

for $x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)} \setminus \text{Spec}(R/(\pi))$. Hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(k(R), \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \text{Spec}(R)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+r+2}(R_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \quad (6.6)$$

is exact for $r \geq 1$ by Proposition 6.2 because $\kappa((\pi)) = k(R/(\pi))$ and the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+r+1}(k(R/(\pi)), \mathbb{Z}/p(n+r))$$

is injective by [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1].

So it suffices to show that

$$\mathbf{H}^j(C_p^{1+r,n}(R)^\bullet) = 0 \quad (6.7)$$

for $r = 0, 1$ and $j > 0$. Let $\beta : \text{Spec}(R)_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)_{\text{Zar}}$ be the canonical map of sites and β^* is the sheafification functor. Since $\beta^*\mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{Zar}} = \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{Nis}}$, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \cdots$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. So we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^s(R, \tau_{\geq n+1}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

for $s \geq n+1$ by [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4]. Moreover, we have isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^s(R, \tau_{\geq s}(\tau_{\geq n+1}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n))) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^0(R, R^s\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^s(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

for $s-1 \geq n+1$ by (6.6) and Lemma 6.3 (ii). Hence we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^s(R, \tau_{\leq s-1}(\tau_{\geq n+1}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n))) = 0 \quad (6.8)$$

for $s-1 \geq n+1$. Then we show the equation (6.7) by the induction on j .

Assume that $j = 1$. By the equation (6.8) for $s = n+2$ and Lemma 6.3 (ii), we have

$$\mathbf{H}^1(C_p^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^1(R, R\alpha_*^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}/p(n)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the sequence

$$\mathbf{H}^0(C_p^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^0(C_p^{1,n}(R/(\pi))^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(C_p^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(C_p^{1,n}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.9)$$

is exact by Theorem 4.3 and [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1]. Moreover, the first map in (6.9) is surjective by Proposition 5.5, [16, Theorem 1.1] and [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1]. So we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}^1(C_p^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^1(C_p^{1,n}(R)^\bullet)$$

and the equation (6.7) for $j = 1$ and $r = 0, 1$.

Assume that we have the equation (6.7) for $j \leq i$. Then we have

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^s(R, \tau_{\leq s-1}(\tau_{\geq n+2} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n))) = 0$$

for $s \leq n+2+i$ by the assumption. So we have the equation (6.7) for $j = i+1$ and $r = 0$ by the equation (6.8) for $s = n+i+2$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$H^{i+1}(C_p^{2,n-1}(R)^\bullet) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(C_p^{1,n}(R)^\bullet)$$

by Theorem 4.3 and [20, p.600, Theorem 4.1]. Hence we have the equation (6.7) for $j = i+1$ and $r = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

7 The Gersten resolution for \mathbb{P}_A^m and \mathbb{A}_A^m

Let A be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\text{char}(A)$ is $p > 0$ or $(0, p)$. Let $f : Z \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of essentially smooth schemes over $\text{Spec}(A)$. Let r be a positive integer. In the case where A is mixed characteristic, there is a morphism

$$f^* (\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^Z \quad (7.1)$$

by [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.8]. So we can define a morphism

$$f^* : \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X \rightarrow Rf_* (\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^Z) \quad (7.2)$$

by the adjunction map $\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X \rightarrow Rf_* f^* (\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X)$ and the morphism (7.1). In the case where $\text{char}(A) = p > 0$, we have the homomorphism f^* by [17, p.732, Theorem 3.5.1].

Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of codimension 1 between essentially smooth schemes over $\text{Spec}(A)$. Suppose that $\text{char}(Z) = \text{char}(X)$. Then we have the Gysin morphism

$$\text{Gys}_i^n : \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)_{\text{ét}}^Z[-2] \rightarrow Ri^! \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X$$

by [18, p.527, Definition 2.2.1], [18, p.547, Theorem 6.1.3] and [9, p.48, (3.5.18)]. Moreover, we have the map

$$i_* : i_* (\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)_{\text{ét}}^Z)[-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X$$

which is the left adjunct of the Gysin morphism Gys_i^n .

Then we have the followings:

PROPOSITION 7.1. Let the notations be same as above. Then we have

$$i_* (i^*(x) \cup z) = x \cup i_* z$$

for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}^X$ and $z \in i_* (\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m)_{\text{ét}}^Z)[-2]$.

Proof. Assume that A is a discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U' & \xrightarrow{j_Z} & Z & \xleftarrow{i_Z} & Y' \\ i_U \downarrow & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow i_Y \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & X & \xleftarrow{i'} & Y \end{array}$$

be Cartesian where $j : U \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of the generic fiber and $i' : Y \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of the closed fiber. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} i_* \tau_{\leq m} R(j_Z)_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes m}[-2] & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes Rj_*(i_U)_*} & \tau_{\leq n} Rj_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes n} \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \tau_{\leq m} Rj_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes m} \\ \downarrow \cup \circ (Rj_*(i_U)^* \otimes \text{id}) & & \downarrow \cup \\ i_* \tau_{\leq m+n} R(j_Z)_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes m+n}[-2] & \xrightarrow{Rj_*(i_U)_*} & \tau_{\leq m+n} Rj_* \mu_{p^r}^{\otimes m+n} \end{array}$$

by [13, p.250, VI, Proposition 6.5 (a)]. Although $k(A)$ is a separable closed field in [13, p.250, VI, Proposition 6.5 (a)], [13, p.250, VI, Proposition 6.5 (a)] holds without this assumption. So we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{F}_r(n)_X \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} i_* \mathfrak{F}_r(m)_Z[-2] & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i_*} & \mathfrak{F}_r(m)_X \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} \mathfrak{F}_r(n)_X \\ \downarrow \cup \circ (i^* \otimes \text{id}) & & \downarrow \cup \\ i_* \mathfrak{F}_r(m+n)_Z[-2] & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathfrak{F}_r(m+n)_X \end{array}$$

by definitions of Product (cf. [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.6]), i^* (cf. [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.8]) and i_* (cf. [18, p.540, Definition 4.4.5]). Hence the statement is true in the case where A is mixed characteristic.

Assume that $\text{char}(A) = p > 0$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{v}_r^n[-n] \otimes (i_Y)_* \mathbf{v}_r^m[-m-2] & \xrightarrow{\text{id}[-n] \otimes (i_Y)_*[-m]} & \mathbf{v}_r^n[-n] \otimes \mathbf{v}_r^m[-m] \\ \downarrow \cup \circ ((i_Y)^* \otimes \text{id}) & & \downarrow \cup \\ (i_Y)_* \mathbf{v}_r^{n+m}[-(n+m)-2] & \xrightarrow{(i_Y)_*[-(n+m)]} & \mathbf{v}_r^{n+m}[-(n+m)] \end{array}$$

by [18, p.542, The proof of Proposition 4.4.10]. Hence the statement is true in the case where $\text{char}(A) = p > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 7.2. Let the notations be same as above. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^{s-t-2}(Z, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes i_*} & H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^t(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(m)) \\ \downarrow \cup \circ (i^* \otimes \text{id}) & & \downarrow \cup \\ H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t-2}(Z, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n+m-1)) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n+m)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Especially, we have

$$i_* (i^*(x)) = x \cup i_*(1)$$

for $x \in H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$.

Proof. By the property of the cup product, the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^t(X, i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)[-2]) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n) \otimes i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)[-2]) \\ \text{id} \otimes i_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^t(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(m)) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n+m)) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^t(X, i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)[-2]) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n) \otimes i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)[-2]) \\ i^* \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{ét}}^s(X, i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^t(X, i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m)[-2]) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n+m)[-2]) \end{array}$$

are commutative. Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n) \otimes i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)[-2]) & \xrightarrow{i^* \otimes \text{id}} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n) \otimes i_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(m-1)[-2]) \\ \text{id} \otimes i_* \downarrow & & \downarrow i_* \circ \cup \\ H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^r(m)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{\text{ét}}^{s+t}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n+m)) \end{array}$$

is commutative by Proposition 7.1. Hence the statement follows. \square

LEMMA 7.3. *A* be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\text{char}(A)$ is $p > 0$ or $(0, p)$. Let \mathbb{P}_A^{m-1} be a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}_A^m and $i_m : \mathbb{P}_A^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^m$ the corresponding closed immersion. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m}(1)$ be the tautological invertible sheaf on \mathbb{P}_A^m and $\xi \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1))$ be the value of the first class $c^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m}(1)) \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{G}_m)$ under the map associated with the distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(1)_{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{\times p^r} \mathbb{Z}(1)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \cdots$$

and an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\text{ét}} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m[-1]$$

(cf. [10, Lemma 11.2]). Then we have

$$(i_m)^*(\xi) = \xi \tag{7.3}$$

and the image of the morphism

$$(i_m)_* : \mathbb{Z}/p^r \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) \tag{7.4}$$

is generated by ξ .

Proof. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (i_m)^*(\mathbb{Z}(1)_{\acute{e}t}) & \longrightarrow & (i_m)^*(\mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)_{\acute{e}t}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i^* \\ \mathbb{Z}(1)_{\acute{e}t} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)_{\acute{e}t} \end{array}$$

where the left map is induced by the natural map $(i_m)^*(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. Since $c^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}}(1))$ is the image of $c^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m}(1))$ under the natural map

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^1(\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}, \mathbb{G}_m),$$

we have the equation (7.3).

Next we prove that the image of (7.4) is generated by ξ . The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}}^2((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Zar}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}}^2((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Zar}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}}^2((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\acute{e}t}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_{\text{Zar}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Zar}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\acute{e}t}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) \end{array} \quad (7.5)$$

is commutative. By [6, p.781, Corollary 3.5] and [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4], the maps

$$H_{\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}}^s((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Zar}}, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}(1)) \quad (7.6)$$

and

$$H_{\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}}^s((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Zar}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1))$$

are isomorphisms for $s \geq 2$. Moreover, we have

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^3(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}(1)) = 0$$

by (7.6) and [6, p.780, (6)]. Hence the left and the middle maps in (7.5) are isomorphisms and the first map of the lower row in (7.5) is surjective. So the first map of the upper row in (7.5) is surjective.

Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion of codimension 1 between essentially smooth schemes over $\text{Spec}(A)$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{H}^t(\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{Zar}}^Z) = 0 \text{ and } R^{t+1}i^!\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{Zar}}^X = 0$$

for $t \geq n+1$ by [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4] and [6, p.780, (6)]. So the Gysin isomorphism $\tau_{\leq n+1}(\text{Gys}_i^n)$ (cf. [18, p.547, Theorem 6.1.3]) induces an isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n+1}R\mathcal{E}_*(\text{Gys}_i^n) : \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)_{\text{Zar}}[-2] \rightarrow Ri^!\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{Zar}} \quad (7.7)$$

by [6, p.776, Proposition 2.2.a)] and [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. Hence the second map of the upper row is an isomorphism. Since the morphism (7.4) is the composition of the map which is induced by the Gysin map and the right map in (7.5), the image of

the morphism (7.4) equals the image of the composition of the maps of the lower row in (7.5). Moreover, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}(1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}(1)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^2(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(1)) \end{array}$$

is commutative and the left map in the diagram is an isomorphism by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. Since $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^1(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is generated by $c^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m}(1))$, the image of the morphism (7.4) is generated by ξ . This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 7.4. Let A be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\mathrm{char}(A)$ is $p > 0$ or $(0, p)$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \tau_{\geq n+1} R\mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{s'} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^{s-2j}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-j))$$

for $s \geq n+1$ and any $r > 0$ where $\mathcal{E} : X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} \rightarrow X_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ is the canonical map of sites and \mathcal{E}_* is the forgetful functor. Here $s' = s - n - 1$ if $s \leq n + m + 1$ and $s' = m$ if $s > n + m + 1$.

Proof. We have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\mathrm{Zar}} \rightarrow R\mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1} R\mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} \rightarrow \cdots$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. So it suffices to show that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=0}^{s'} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^{s-2j}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-j)) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.8)$$

is exact. Let f_m be the projection $\mathbb{P}_A^m \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. In order to show that the sequence (7.8) is exact, we show that we have an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^{s-2}(\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)) \oplus \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^s(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \xrightarrow{((i_m)_*, (f_m)^*)} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \quad (7.9)$$

where \mathbb{P}_A^{m-1} is a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}_A^m and $i_m : \mathbb{P}_A^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^m$ is the corresponding closed immersion. Let $\xi \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^1(\mathbb{P}_A^{m'}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ be same as in Lemma 7.3. For $m' > 0$, we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{j=0}^{m'} \xi^j \cup - : \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m'} \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-j)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}[-2j] \xrightarrow{\sim} R(f_{m'})_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}) \quad (7.10)$$

by [19, p.190, Theorem 4.1] and [19, p.191, Lemma 4.2]. Since

$$(f_{m-1})^* = (i_m)^* \circ (f_m)^*$$

by [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(f_m)_*(i_m)_*(\xi^j \cup (f_{m-1})^*(x)) &= \xi^j \cup R(f_m)_*((i_m)_*(i_m)^*)((f_m)^*(x)) \\ &= \xi^j \cup R(f_m)_*(\text{id} \cup (i_m)_*(1))((f_m)^*(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-j)_{\text{ét}}[-2j]$ by Corollary 7.2 and Lemma 7.3. Consequently, the composite of (7.10) for $m' = m-1$ and

$$R(f_{m-1})_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)_{\text{ét}}[-2] \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}} \xrightarrow{R(f_m)_*(i_m)_*(f_m)^*} R(f_m)_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}} \quad (7.11)$$

is an isomorphism by the isomorphism (7.10) and Lemma 7.3. So the homomorphism (7.11) is an isomorphism. Hence the homomorphism (7.9) is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{s-2}(\mathbb{P}_A^{m-1}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-1)) \oplus \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \\ \xrightarrow{(\tau_{\leq n+1} R\mathcal{E}_*(i_m)_*, \tau_{\leq n} R\mathcal{E}_*(f_m)^*)} \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

by (7.7) and [6, p.781, Corollary 3.5].

Since we have the isomorphisms (7.9) and (7.12), the homomorphism (7.8) is an isomorphism by the induction on m . \square

COROLLARY 7.5. Let A be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\text{char}(A)$ is $p > 0$ or $(0, p)$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{p^r} = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

for any integer $r > 0$ where $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(X)$ is the $(n+1)$ -th Brauer group of a smooth scheme X (cf. [16, Definition 3.1]) and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(X)_{p^r}$ is the p^r -torsion subgroup of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(X)$.

Suppose that $\text{char}(A) = p > 0$. Then

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, R^{n+1}\mathcal{E}_*\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n-s+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n-s))$$

for $0 \leq s \leq m$ and any integer $r > 0$ where $\mathcal{E} : (\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Zar}}$ is the canonical map of sites and \mathcal{E}_* is the forgetful functor.

Proof. By [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1], the statement follows directly from Theorem 7.4. \square

COROLLARY 7.6. Let A be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Suppose that $\text{char}(A)$ is $p > 0$ or $(0, p)$. Let $r > 0$ be an integer. Then the natural map

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(\mathbb{P}_A^m), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m, x}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \quad (7.13)$$

is injective where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m, x}^h$ is the henselization of \mathbb{P}_A^m at a point $x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}$.

Suppose that A is henselian discrete valuation ring. Then the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{p^r} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(\mathbb{P}_k^m)_{p^r} \quad (7.14)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By [16, Theorem 4.6] and Corollary 7.5, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(\mathbb{P}_A^m), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+2}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

is exact. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m, x}^h, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

for $x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}$ by [16, Theorem 5.3], [16, Theorem 5.6] and [6, p.777, The proof of Proposition 2.2.b)]. Hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^m, x}^h), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_x^{n+2}(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

is exact for $x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}$. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between smooth schemes over $\text{Spec}(A)$. Since we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(Z) = \Gamma(Z, \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\varepsilon_*\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)_{\text{ét}})$$

for a smooth scheme Z over $\text{Spec}(A)$ by [16, Proposition 3.7], we can define a homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(X)$$

by the morphism (7.2) and [17, p.732, Theorem 3.5.1]. Let the residue field $\kappa(x)$ of a point $x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}$ be the fraction field of \mathbb{P}_A^{m-1} and the residue field $\kappa(y)$ of a point $y \in (\mathbb{A}_A^{m-1})^{(m-1)}$ be $k(A)$. Then the composite of the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_A^{m-1}) \tag{7.15}$$

and the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_A^{m-1}) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(\kappa(y))$$

agrees with the natural map

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{B}}^{n+1}(k(A))$$

by [18, p.538, Proposition 4.2.8] and [17, p.734, Corollary 3.5.3]. So the homomorphism (7.15) is injective by [16, Theorem 4.6] and the homomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

is also injective by [16, Theorem 4.6] and [16, Proposition 3.4]. Hence the natural map (7.13) is injective.

By Corollary 7.5, [16, Theorem 5.3] and [16, Theorem 5.6], the homomorphism (7.14) is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 7.7. Let A be a henselian discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Suppose that $\mu_p \subset A$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \mathbf{R}^{n+1}\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n-s+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-s))$$

for $0 \leq s \leq m$ where $\alpha : (\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{Nis}}$ is the canonical map of sites and α_* is the forgetful functor. Moreover, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(0)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+2}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+3}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \\ &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_A^m)^{(2)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{n+4}((\mathbb{P}_A^m)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

is exact.

Proof. Let k be the residue field of A , $i : \mathbb{P}_k^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^m$ the inclusion of the closed fiber with $U = \mathbb{P}_A^m \setminus i(\mathbb{P}_k^m)$. Since we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p(n)_{\text{ét}}|_U \simeq \mu_p^{\otimes n},$$

the map β_ζ^{n-1} induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)|_U.$$

Let R be the henselization of \mathbb{P}_A^m at a point of $i(\mathbb{P}_k^m)$ and π a prime element of A . Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^k(R, \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^k(R/(\pi), \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

for $k \geq n+1$ by Proposition 6.1 and the isomorphism (3.3). Hence we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n) \rightarrow i_* (\tau_{\geq n+1} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (7.17)$$

by [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1]. Let X be a smooth scheme over the spectrum of a regular local ring B with $\dim(B) \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{Zar}}$ the canonical map of sites. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^t(X, \tau_{\geq n+1} R\varepsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^t(X, \tau_{\geq n+1} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n))$$

for $t \geq n+1$ by [6, p.781, Proposition 3.6]. Hence we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^t(\mathbb{P}_A^m, \tau_{\geq n+1} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) = 0 \quad (7.18)$$

for $t \geq n+1$ by the distinguished triangle (7.17) and Theorem 7.4. Since we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow R^n \alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)[-n] \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1} R\alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n-1) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Nis}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^m, R^{n+1} \alpha_* \mathbb{Z}/p(n)) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n-s+1}(A, \mathbb{Z}/p(n-s))$$

for $0 \leq s \leq m$ by the equation (7.18) and Theorem 7.4. Since we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow R^{n+1}\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)[-(n+1)] \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n-1) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+2}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n-1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and a quasi-isomorphism

$$\tau_{\geq n+2}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n-1) \simeq \tau_{\geq n+2}R\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n),$$

we have

$$H_{\text{Nis}}^s(\mathbb{P}_A^n, R^{n+1}\alpha_*\mathbb{Z}/p(n-1)) = 0$$

for any integer $s \geq 0$ by the equation (7.18). Hence the sequence (7.16) is exact by Lemma 6.3. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 7.8. Let k be a field. Then we have

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^j(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{Z}/m(n))) = 0$$

for $j > 0$ and $s \leq n$ in the following cases:

- (i) In the case where m is a prime number which is prime to $\text{char}(k)$.
- (ii) In the case where $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ and $m = p^f$.

Proof. First we prove that the statement in the case of (i) is true by induction on n . Assume that $n = 0$. Then we have

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^j(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{Z}/m(0))) = H_{\text{Zar}}^j(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathbb{Z}/m(0)) = H_{\text{Zar}}^j(k, \mathbb{Z}/m(0)) = 0$$

for $j > 0$ by [6, p.781, Corollary 3.5] and [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4].

Assume that the statement in the case of (i) is true for $n \leq N$. We prove that the statement is true for $n = N + 1$ in the case of (i).

By a standard norm argument, the proof of the statement in the case (i) is reduced to the case where μ_m is contained in k and $s = n$. So we assume that $\mu_m \subset k$. By [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2], we have an isomorphism

$$\tau_{\leq n}R\mathcal{E}_*\mu_m^{\otimes n} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}} \quad (7.19)$$

for any integer $n \geq 0$. Since $\mu_m \subset k$, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}/m(N+1)_{\text{Zar}})$$

for $n \leq N + 1$ by (7.19). Hence we have

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^{n+j}(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \tau_{\leq n-1}\mathbb{Z}/m(n)) = 0 \quad (7.20)$$

for $j > 0$ and $n = N + 1$ by the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = H_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathcal{H}^t(\tau_{\leq n-1}\mathbb{Z}/m(n))) \Rightarrow E^{s+t} = H_{\text{Zar}}^{s+t}(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \tau_{\leq n-1}\mathbb{Z}/m(n))$$

for $n = N + 1$ and the assumption. Since we have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n-1} \mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}})[-n] \rightarrow \cdots \quad (7.21)$$

by [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4], the statement in the case of (i) follows from (7.20) and [6, p.781, Corollary 3.5].

Next we prove that the statement in the case of (ii) is true. Then we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{Zar}} \simeq \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{Zar}})[-n] \quad (7.22)$$

by [7, Theorem 8.3]. Hence we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^j(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathcal{H}^n(\mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{n+j}(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{n+j}(k, \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = 0$$

for $j > 0$ by (7.22) and [6, p.781, Corollary 3.5]. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 7.9. Let A be a regular local ring with $\dim(A) \leq 1$. Then the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(A, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(k(\mathbb{A}_A^i), \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_A^i)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{s-1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1)) \\ \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

is exact for $s \leq n$ in the following cases:

- (i) In the case where m is a prime number which is prime to $\text{char}(A)$.
- (ii) In the case where $\text{char}(A) = p > 0$ and $m = p^r$.

Proof. The exactness of (7.23) except at the first two terms follows directly from Lemma 6.3 and Proposition 7.8. We prove the exactness of (7.23) at the first two terms. We have a distinguished triangle

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tau_{\leq s-1}(\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow \tau_{\geq s}(\mathbb{Z}/m(n)_{\text{Zar}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^t(\mathbb{A}_A^i, \tau_{\leq s-1}(\mathbb{Z}/m(n))) = 0$$

for $t \geq s$ by Proposition 7.8. Hence we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(\mathbb{A}_A^i, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^0(\mathbb{A}_A^i, \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{Z}/m(n)))$$

and so the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(A, \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^s(k(\mathbb{A}_A^i), \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_A^i)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^{s-1}(\kappa(x), \mathbb{Z}/m(n-1))$$

is exact by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.5]. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 7.10. Let A and m be same as in Corollary 7.9. Then we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^j(\mathbb{P}_A^i, R^s \epsilon_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{s-j}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-j))$$

for $s \leq n$ and $j \leq i$.

Proof. Since

$$(\mathbb{P}_A^i)^{(j)} = (\mathbb{P}_A^{i-1})^{(j-1)} \oplus (\mathbb{A}_A^i)^{(j)},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^j(\mathbb{P}_A^i, R^s \mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^0(\mathbb{P}_A^{i-j}, R^{s-j} \mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n-j))$$

by Corollary 7.9. Since \mathbb{P}_A^i is covered by open sets \mathbb{A}_A^i , we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{Zar}}^0(\mathbb{P}_A^{i-j}, R^{s-j} \mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/m(n-j)) = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{s-j}(A, \mathbb{Z}/m(n-j))$$

by Corollary 7.9. This completes the proof. \square

PROPOSITION 7.11. Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k(\mathbb{A}_k^i), \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_k^i)^{(1)}} \mathbf{H}_x^2((\mathbb{A}_k^i)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \\ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{A}_k^i)^{(2)}} \mathbf{H}_x^3((\mathbb{A}_k^i)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

is exact for $r > 0$.

Proof. We have a distinguished triangle

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{Zar}} \rightarrow R\mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow R^{n+1} \mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)_{\text{ét}}[-n-1] \rightarrow \dots$$

by [6, p.774, Theorem 1.2.2]. Hence we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{n+1}(\mathbb{A}_k^i, \mathbf{v}_r^n) = \mathbf{H}^0(\mathbb{A}_k^i, R^{n+1} \mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n))$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}^j(\mathbb{A}_k^i, R^{n+1} \mathcal{E}_* \mathbb{Z}/p^r(n)) = 0$$

for $j > 0$ by [6, p.786, Corollary 4.4] and [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1]. \square

LEMMA 7.12. Let k be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^{n-1}).$$

Proof. By the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = \bigoplus_{x \in (\mathbb{P}_k^1)^{(i)}} \mathbf{H}_x^{i+j}((\mathbb{P}_k^1)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \Rightarrow E^{i+j} = \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n)$$

(cf. Theorem 2.1), we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \simeq E_2^{1,1}.$$

Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$E_2^{1,1} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^{n-1})$$

by Corollary 7.5 and Lemma 6.3. Hence the statement follows. \square

The following is a generalization of a result of S.Yuan (cf. [22], [13, p.153, IV, Exercise 2.20 (d)]):

PROPOSITION 7.13. For a field k of positive characteristic $p > 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{K}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.24)$$

where \tilde{K} is the henselization of $k(\mathbb{P}_k^1)$ at the point at infinity.

Proof. By Proposition 7.11 and Corollary 7.5, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\infty}^2((\mathbb{P}_k^1)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n)$$

is exact. Moreover, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{K}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\infty}^2((\mathbb{P}_k^1)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (7.25)$$

is exact by [16, Theorem 5.3], [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1] and [13, p.93, III, Corollary 1.28]. Hence the first map in the sequence (7.24) is injective by the snake lemma. Put

$$C = \text{Im}\left(H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\infty}^2((\mathbb{P}_k^1)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n)\right).$$

Since we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) = 0$$

by [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow H_{\infty}^2((\mathbb{P}_k^1)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact by the definition of C . Moreover, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n) & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^n) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{ét}}^1(\tilde{K}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) & \longrightarrow & H_{\infty}^2((\mathbb{P}_k^1)_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (7.26)$$

where the sequences are exact by the definition of C and (7.25). Since the composite of the natural map

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(k, \mathbf{v}_r^n) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \mathbf{v}_r^n)$$

and the left map in the diagram (7.26) is the identity map, the left map in the diagram (7.26) is surjective. Hence the statement follows from the snake lemma and Lemma 7.12. \square

REMARK 7.14. As mentioned in [19, p.178, Introduction], the p -adic étale Tate twists do not satisfy homotopy invariance. Indeed, we can show this fact as follows: Let A be a henselian discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$, k the residue field of A , K the field of fractions of A and $n \geq 1$ an integer. Let $j : \mathbb{A}_K^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_A^1$ be the open immersion. Suppose that k is a separably closed field and $\mu_p \subset A$.

Assume that the p -adic étale Tate twists satisfy homotopy invariance. Then we have

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \nu_1^n) = H_{\text{ét}}^{n+2}(\mathbb{A}_A^1, j_! \mu_p) = 0$$

by Proposition 6.1 and [2, Exposé X, Théorème 5.1]. On the other hand,

$$H_{\text{ét}}^1(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \nu_1^n) \neq 0$$

if $[k : k^p] \geq p^n$ by [5, p.48, Théorème 1.2] and Proposition 7.13. Hence the p -adic étale Tate twists do not satisfy homotopy invariance in general.

References

- [1] A.AUEL, A.BIGAZZI, C.BÖHNING AND H.-C.GRAF VON BOTHMER, Universal triviality of the Chow group of 0-cycles and the Brauer group, *Int.Math.Res.Not.*2021, No 4, 2479–2496 (2021).
- [2] ARTIN, M., A. GROTHENDIECK, AND J.-L. VERDIER., *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas (SGA 4)*, Tome 3. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 305. Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [3] S.BLOCH AND K.KATO, p -adic étale cohomology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 63 (1986), 107–152.
- [4] COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE, JEAN-LOUIS; HOOBLER, RAYMOND T.; KAHN, BRUNO, The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem, Snaith, Victor P. (ed.), *Algebraic K-theory. Papers from the 2nd Great Lakes conference, Canada, March 1996, in memory of Robert Wayne Thomason*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society. *Fields Inst. Commun.* 16, 31–94 (1997).
- [5] O.GABBER AND F.ORGOGOZO, Sur la p -dimension des corps, *Invent.Math.*174, No.1, 47–80 (2008).
- [6] T.GEISSER, Motivic cohomology over Dedekind rings, *Math. Z.* 248 (2004), no. 4, 773–794.
- [7] T.GEISSER AND M.LEVINE, The K -Theory of fields in characteristic p , *Invent. Math.* 139 (2000), no. 3, 459–493.
- [8] T.GEISSER AND M.LEVINE, The Bloch-Kato conjecture and a theorem of Suslin-Voevodsky, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 530, 55–103 (2001).
- [9] M.GROS, Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér.* 21, 87 p. (1985).
- [10] M.LEVINE, K -theory and motivic cohomology of schemes, Preprint, 1999.
- [11] H.MATSUMURA, *Commutative ring theory*, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

- [12] J.MCCLEARY, A user's guide to spectral sequences. 2nd ed, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 58, Cambridge University Press, 2001, Cambridge.
- [13] J.S.MILNE, Etale Cohomology, Princeton Math. Ser. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [14] J.NEUKIRCH; A.SCHMIDT; K.WINBERG, Cohomology of Number Fields. 2nd ed, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 323.Berlin, 2008.
- [15] Y.NISNEVICH, The completely decomposed topology on schemes and associated descent spectral sequences in algebraic K-theory, Algebraic K-theory: Connections with geometry and topology, Proc. Meet., Lake Louise/Alberta (Can.) 1987, NATO ASI Ser., Ser. C 279, 241–342 (1989).
- [16] M.SAKAGAITO, On a generalized Brauer group in mixed characteristic cases, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 27, No.1, 29–64 (2020).
- [17] K.SATO, Logarithmic Hodge-Witt sheaves on normal crossing varieties, Math. Z. 257, No. 4, 707–743 (2007).
- [18] K.SATO, p -adic étale Tate twists and arithmetic duality. With an appendix by Kei Hagihara. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 40 (2007), no. 4, 519–588.
- [19] K.SATO, Cycle classes for p -adic étale Tate twists and the image of p -adic regulators, Doc. Math.18 (2013), 177–247.
- [20] A.SHIHO, On logarithmic Hodge-Witt cohomology of regular schemes, J. Math. Sci., Tokyo 14, No. 4, 567–635 (2007).
- [21] V.VOEVODSKY, On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients, Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 1, 401–438.
- [22] S.YUAN, On the Brauer groups of local fields, Ann.Math.(2) 82, 434–444 (1965).

E-mail address: sakagaito43@gmail.com